SAMPLE BALLOT
Special Election, July 16, 1946

RECALL PROPOSITION
Shall Roger D. Lapham be Recalled from the Office of Mayor?

DIRECTIONS FOR VOTING

1st. Move Red Handle of Voting Machine to the right as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2nd. TO VOTE FOR THE RECALL pull down the pointer over the word "YES" from this position: 

to this position: 

TO VOTE AGAINST THE RECALL pull down the pointer over the word "NO" from this position:

to this position: 

3rd. LEAVE THE POINTER DOWN and move the Red Handle back to the left as far as it will go, and you have voted and your vote has been registered.

Polls Will Open at 7 a.m. and Close at 8 p.m.

Read Statements on Recall Inside

(Section 183 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco provides: "With or upon the sample ballot mailed to each voter prior to a recall election, there shall be transmitted the reasons for demanding the recall of the officer as set forth in the recall petition, printed in not more than three hundred words, and with or upon the same ballot the printed statement of the officer in not more than three hundred words justifying his course in office.")

Page 2. Reasons for Demanding the Recall of the Mayor as Set forth in the Recall Petition.

Reasons for Demanding the Recall of the Mayor as Set Forth in the Recall Petition

The grounds on which the removal of Roger D. Lapham as Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is sought:

1. Mayor Roger D. Lapham has wilfully, deliberately and flagrantly violated a mandate of the people expressed at election Nov. 6, 1946, against an increased carfare.

2. He has attempted at all times since to foist upon the people of the City and County of San Francisco and against their will said increased carfare.

3. He has brought disrespect and discredit to the city by upbraiding agencies of the United States Government engaged in enforcing the law.

4. He has caused much unnecessary expense to the city through such tactics in disregard of the public will; forced court hearing with attendant loss of time and money, thus impeding settlement of many legal matters pending in the courts.

5. His conduct has been arrogant and contemptuous of the electorate, and he has at all times toadied to the interests of special groups in utter disregard and neglect of the community as a whole. He has discriminated against the neighborhoods in favor of downtown.

6. He has lost public confidence and hurt the prestige of the city by his calloused indifference. In his public utterances and official life he has exhibited a bored and tired attitude notwithstanding the city's need of an alert, responsive official.

7. He has been negligent, incompetent and intolerant in office.

8. His failure to be "on the job" for which he was elected cost San Francisco its favorable position as potential United Nations capital.

9. He has forgotten the "business principles" upon which he was elected, and has directed city affairs in a completely dictatorial manner.
Statement of Roger D. Lapham Justifying His Course in Office

TO THE CITIZENS OF SAN FRANCISCO:

When I asked you to elect me Mayor nearly three years ago, I pledged you to serve but one four-year term—and I want to finish that one four-year term.

I said then I was no miracle man. I promised a sound, honest, businesslike administration.

I promised to build no political machine, to become no one’s political puppet nor to mortgage my freedom of action to any man. I promised to do my best to serve San Francisco first, and chiseling promoters not at all. The phrase I used was “Freedom from humbug.”

I have kept my pledges, but no man in public office who calls his shots as he sees them can hope to escape the wails of disgruntled office seekers. The accusations against me are all “bum beefs.” Who is really behind this recall? Who wants to take over?

San Francisco has the reputation of being a city of fair play. That people differ with my actions and decisions is just as it should be—that is democracy, but I do not believe you citizens will tolerate a political lynching because of honest differences of opinion.

Many projects launched during my administration are at the half-way stage. With your help, I would like to complete them. I am no quitter.

I repeat, I want to serve out my remaining year and a half, and I pledge you I will continue to call my shots as I see them.

R. D. Lapham (Signed)
READ THIS EXPOSE OF THE REAL MOTIVES BEHIND THE ATTEMPT TO RECALL MAYOR LAPHAM

FELLOW SAN FRANCISCANS:

Not since the days when San Francisco was a boss-ridden city have the voters been called upon to repudiate a political power-grab as bold as the current attempt to take over the City Hall at the July 16 special election!

An overwhelming "NO" vote will provide the only language understandable to the political jugglers seeking, as their first objective, the ouster of Mayor Roger D. Lapham.

THE ISSUE

Mayor Lapham is the target, BUT HE IS NOT THE ISSUE!

The issue is whether the City Hall and the city government are to be turned over to an unholy alliance fronted by a puppet mayor picked out of a grab-bag.

The issue is whether you, as citizens, will retain your Democratic right to choose your own chief city executive, or surrender that power into other hands.

The issue is whether you will permit the instrument of recall to be misused and diverted from its real intent—which provides a method of removing a city official guilty of graft, corruption, malfeasance or misfeasance—into an instrument for the political execution of a public servant whose honesty and integrity are unchallenged.

WHO IS THE UNDER-COVER CANDIDATE?

To recall Mayor Lapham on the flimsy charges specified by the recallers would be tantamount to putting a bludgeon into the hands of shady politicians and inviting them to use it to browbeat any elected city official who refuses to bend the knee to their demands.

Make no mistake about this. There is more—much more—behind this recall drive than appears on the surface.

It is not a mere crack-pot adventure by publicity seekers.
Because, as devious politicians, they figured their chances would be better at a special election in a summer month when thousands of voters will be away on vacation. Suppose it does cost $68,000 of the people's tax money? The obvious slogan of the recallers is "the public be damned!"

The recallers knew exactly what they were doing AND THEY HAVE A CHANCE TO SUCCEED. Absent voters, and apathy are their best allies.

YOUR CITY IS IN JEOPARDY!

In the opinion of the recallers, many voters may be expected to think that this is just a crack-pot scheme, a silly-season exploit of amateur politicos and publicity seekers. The recallers feel that many won't bother to vote at all—and a minority, however small, will turn the trick for the recall interests.

THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT WILL HAPPEN ON JULY 16 UNLESS THE PUBLIC AWAKENS TO THE SERIOUSNESS OF THIS POLITICAL USURPATION OF THE MAYOR'S OFFICE.

If the recall should succeed, the public would have to search far and wide to induce men of pride and honesty ever again to run for public office in San Francisco. Why should any honest, successful citizen accept public office if he must risk having his reputation torn to shreds overnight by a pack of political wolves?

We say in deadly seriousness—it is up to you, Mr. and Mrs. Voter, to restore confidence in the political sanity of San Francisco BY VOTING "NO" against the recall.

You CAN'T do it by ignoring this election.
You CAN'T do it by viewing this as a political side-show.
YOU MUST GO TO THE POLLS AND VOTE "NO!"

Only the ballot that is cast can be counted. BE SURE YOUR VOTE IS THERE TO BE COUNTED on election day, July 16.

YOU OWE IT TO SAN FRANCISCO, and to YOURSELF, to

Vote "NO" and SMASH THE RECALL!

CITIZENS COMMITTEE AGAINST THE RECALL

Francis V. Keesling, Chairman

Edward B. Baron
Frank Belgrano
Dr. Mariana Bertola
C. J. Christensen
Dr. John W. Cline
Michael Costello
Bartley C. Crum

A. F. "Gus" Gaynor
Lucretia Del Valle Grady
Maurice E. Harrison
Mrs. Jean B. Henno
Parker Maddux
Garret McEnery
John B. Molinari

Mrs. Paige Monteagle
Dr. A. S. Musante
Mrs. Mildred M. Prince
Theodore J. Roche
William J. Traner
Miss Ruth Turner
Ward G. Walkup
DON'T SURRENDER
your city to
THE FACELESS MAN!
The under-cover Candidate for Mayor

vote "NO" on the recall

COUNTLESS CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS AND
THOUSANDS OF CITIZENS OF SAN FRANCISCO
are up in arms over a brazen attempt—by a few men who dis-
agree politically with this City’s Chief Executive—to seat a
new and nameless man in the Mayor’s office—without benefit
of a people’s vote on such a candidate!

Eleven men—the Board of Supervisors—would pick
the man to occupy the Mayor’s chair for the next year and a
half! However strong may be the confidence of the people in
their Supervisors, the right to name their own Chief Executive
is a privilege that citizens scarcely wish to surrender!

In this leaflet are listed some of the organizations and
civic leaders who ask every San Franciscan to recognize this
move for what it is—a gross misuse of the recall, against an
executive whose honesty and integrity are unquestioned—
even by the recall sponsors!
These Civic Leaders and Plain Citizens Who Love and Honor San Francisco Urge You to Vote "NO!" on the RECALL

J. C. CHRISTENSEN, President, Mission Street Merchants Association:
"There is no reasonable basis for this recall. It is outrageous that the taxpayers are subjected to the huge expense of a needless election!"

MRS. MILDRED M. PRINCE, civic leader:
"It seems very unfortunate that the recall procedure, which was instituted as a means of ridding our government of men unfit to hold office, could be used to attack a man who has given distinguished and courageous service to the people of San Francisco. The attempt may well deter other fine citizens from being willing to run for public office."

DAN GALLAGHER, President, Board of Supervisors:
"Any person in public life should be entitled to express his honest opinions without threat of recall!"

MRS. EFFINGHAM SUTTON, former president, San Francisco Center, League of Women Voters:
"If Mayor Lapham is recalled simply because a political enemy disagrees with him, will any decent man dare accept the job in the future?"

RICHARD H. NORTON, former publisher, Neighborhood Newspapers; Richmond district civic leader:
"It is absurd to submit a recall without any valid charge whatever against a public official—merely over a difference of opinion on policy!"

RICHARD J. TRAVERS, Pacific Tel. and Tel. installer:
"Blaming the Mayor for the traffic situation is like blaming the weather man for that recent highest tide that ever hit San Francisco!"

CLIFFORD E. HOLMOE, President, Eureka District Merchants Association:
"To me this seems like a tricky scheme to foist a new, unknown mayor on San Francisco. The voters would not have anything to say about his selection. We must not be caught by this trick."

LEE KAISER, businessman, Democratic leader:
"The recall attempt deserves the smashing defeat I am certain it will receive on July 16."

JESSE COLMAN, Supervisor:
"The recallers don't make sense. And neither do the charges against the Mayor."

BARTLEY C. CRUM, attorney, liberal leader:
"Promoters of the recall have a very low regard for voter intelligence in supposing they can put this one over!"

ED BARON, Marina theatre operator:
"San Francisco has a big job on its hands: the recallers are throwing sand in the gears."

RAY SCHILLER, Parkside-Sunset leader:
"The answer is emphatically 'No!'"

A. F. (GUS) GAYNOR, veteran labor leader and Railroad Brotherhoods official:
"A vote to remove Mayor Lapham would put the privilege of naming a new Mayor in the hands of 11 men holding political office! Is that Democracy? Isn't even good common sense!"

THOMAS J. RIORDAN, Past State Commander American Legion:
"Mayor Lapham is a veteran and a fair, honest man. I urge all war veterans to vote against his recall."

GEORGE CREEL, National Democratic leader:
"I consider the recall movement unwarranted and outrageous."

WARD WALKUP, former Police Commissioner:
"A person does not need to agree completely with all the Mayor's policies to see that an attempt to recall him for political reasons is unfair, un-Democratic and un-American!"

MRS. PAIGE MONTEAGLE, businesswoman and civic leader:
"Mayor Lapham was chosen by the people. To recall him would take the right to name his successor out of the people's hands and place it in the hands of the Board of Supervisors. The people would be disfranchised."

D. R. HUTCHISON, President, Forest Hill Forum:
"We must resist the advances of politicians who are attempting to make personal capital out of our civic problems. There seems to be no valid reason for a recall."

FRANCIS V. KEESLING, advocate, civic leader:
"Mayor Lapham has saved our city with full faith and integrity, refusing to play politics in any way. Common justice and fair play make it imperative that this politically inspired scheme to recall him be rejected!"

MRS. WM. CHIPMAN, Social Board of Social Welfare:
"It is discouraging to women voters to find our city involved in needless political contention. We must defeat the recall by such a great margin that public spirited citizens will not be discouraged from seeing and holding public office."

MRS. HENRY DIPPEL, Jr., businesswoman:
"This misuse of the recall certainly isn't Democracy. It's a racket!"

RICHARD H. NEWHALL, State Commander, Veterans of Foreign Wars:
"Veterans won't have trouble seeing through this recall smoke-screen!"

HERBERT HANLEY, S. F. County Republican Central Committee:
"It's unfortunate to have to dignify the recallers by voting on their ridiculous proposal. The answer is 'NO!'"

DR. CHESTER G. COOLEY, President, S. F. County Medical Society:
"It is extremely unfortunate that a city with the reputation for political maturity that San Francisco enjoys throughout the nation should be forced to give serious heed to the wily political venture behind the attempt to recall Mayor Lapham."

HENRY F. GRADY, Democratic leader:
"It would be a disgrace to our city if this recall movement should succeed!"

DR. MARIANA BERTOLA, civic leader:
"I am confident the women of San Francisco will reject the brazen attempt to remove Mayor Lapham on specious charges that have no relation to fact."

WILLIAM J. TRAVER, Chairman, S. F. Civic Interest Committee, past president, Marin Boosters:
"Disgruntled politicians should not be permitted to block the progress of San Francisco."

JERD F. SULLIVAN, civic leader:
"This is a brazen attempt to give dignity and prestige to a political racket."

HAROLD BERLINER, former Collector of Internal Revenue:
"I am emphatically against the recall."

MAURICE HARRISON, former Chairman, Dem. State Central Committee:
"I am absolutely opposed to this unjustified attempt to recall Mayor Lapham!"

MRS. ROSE C. WALKER, President, Greater Mission Improvement Association:
"The recall advocates convicted themselves of bad faith when they intentionally failed to get this issue on the June Primary ballot, which would have saved the taxpayers more than $60,000! It is a disgrace that San Francisco has to go through this recall election."

GERALD O'GARA, Senator-elect:
"Let's get on with our postwar job; the recall should be overwhelmingly defeated!"

CHESTER McPhee, supervisor:
"The recall isn't only a 'bum beef': it's a raw deal!"

KATHLEEN NORRIS, novelist:
"The recallers are trying to make fiction read like fact!"

IAN DEL CARLO, AFL leader:
"There is no fairness or justice in the attempted recall."

THOMAS P. MEAGHER, Past Pres., S. F. Bldg. Trades Council:
"To blame Mayor Lapham for the city's ills is like blaming your doctor when you're sick!"

WALTER (The Great) MAILLS, baseball impresario:
"The recall attempt is plain foul ball!"
From the MARINA to the MISSION
From NORTH BEACH to NOE VALLEY
San Francisco civic groups, business organizations and district improvement clubs say: "Smash the Recall!" A few of those on record follow—

CITY EFFICIENCY LEAGUE
MARINA MERCHANTS ASSN.
S. F. CIVIC INTEREST COMMITTEE
SERVICE STAR LEAGUE, INC.
BUILDING OWNERS & MANAGERS ASSN.
BERNAL BOOSTERS, INC.
MAIDEN LANE ASSN.
S. F. REAL ESTATE BOARD
S. F. TAX LIMIT LEAGUE
UNITED VETERANS OF THE REPUBLIC
WOMEN'S CIVIC INTEREST CLUB
CITY CENTER CIVIC CLUB
CENTRAL COUNCIL, S. F. PROPERTY OWNERS LEAGUE
DOWN TOWN ASSN. OF S. F.
EUREKA DISTRICT BOOSTERS ASSN.
GLEN PARK COMMUNITY CLUB
GOOD GOVERNMENT LEAGUE OF S. F. INC.
GOOD NEIGHBOR COMMITTEE OF S. F.
OWNERS & LESSEES APARTMENT HOUSE ASSN., INC.
PACIFIC-JACKSON IMPROVEMENT ASSN.
DOWN TOWN MISSION DISTRICT COMMITTEE
GREATER MISSION IMPROVEMENT ASSN.
STEUBEN SOCIETY

RICHMOND DISTRICT HOME OWNERS CIVIC LEAGUE OF IMPROVEMENT CLUBS
UPPER NOE VALLEY IMPROVEMENT ASSN.
HYDE STREET IMPROVEMENT ASSN.
MARKET STREET ASSN.
PARK PRESIDIO CIVIC CENTER
BUREAU OF HOTELS, RESTAURANTS & PURVEYORS
SLAVONIC UNITY COUNCIL
APARTMENT HOUSE ASSN. OF S. F.
TWENTY-FOURTH STREET BOOSTERS ASSN.
WESTERN ADDITION IMPROVEMENT ASSN.
BUSINESS PROPERTY OWNERS ASSN.
NATIONAL AMERICAN VETERANS, INC.
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN HOTEL ASSN.
CALIF. STATE COLORED REPUBLICAN CLUBS
DR. GEO. WASHINGTON CARVER PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRATIC LEAGUE
GOLDEN GATE EXCHANGE CLUB
GREEK-AMERICAN INSTITUTE
RUSSIAN-AMERICAN INSTITUTE
S. F. HOTEL ASSN.
S. F. RESTAURANT ASSN.
SOCIETY OF EARLY SAN FRANCISCANS
NORTH BEACH PROPERTY OWNERS ASSN.

Vote "NO!" on the Recall
Citizens Committee Against the Recall
345 Powell, San Francisco
What Has Mayor Lapham Done For You?

Apply These Tests

And You Cannot Fail to Vote YES on July 16

1. The Transportation Test: You are now being charged 10c a single ride, or 3 rides for a quarter by Lapham’s Utility Commission. There is no present prospect of better service. Mayor Lapham made a warning statement that even this recent raise may not be enough. If you object, yours is a “Bum Beef.”

2. The Tax Test: Mayor Lapham and his adherents have so bungled the budget that the realty groups, and others, contemplate suing the City to prevent the threatened collection of $1.00 more per hundred in taxes. If you protest, you have a “Bum Beef.”

3. The Interest Test: Lapham and his friends have so arranged it that you are paying 4% interest on the Market Street Railway Debt, when money is available everywhere at ½ of 1% interest. Millions of dollars in City-money are out at only ½ of 1%. If you disagree with them, it’s a “Bum Beef.”

4. The Airport-Development Test: Instead of prompt action for the development of the $20,000,000 Municipal Airport, Lapham even vetoed measures designed to get things started there. It took action from the Board of Supervisors, in the form of overriding the Mayor’s inaction, to expedite the program. If you dislike the Mayor’s “not-doing-things,” it’s a “Bum Beef.”

5. The Water-Rates Test: The City Water System made a profit of $2,000,000 last year, but your water rates were raised to make you pay the highest water-rates in the Country. If you don’t like that, your objection is a “Bum Beef.”

6. The Aid-to-Veterans Test: Lapham insisted on the closing of our fine Hospitality House, and would prefer to let our heroic servicemen find for themselves diversion at poolrooms, dives and cocktail bars. If you think that unreasonable, you make a “Bum Beef.”

7. The Harbor and Recreational Test: Mayor Lapham ignores the public’s need for the development of our harbor, the finest in the world. Small-boat operators cannot find moorings here to visit the City, and spend welcome tourist-money. The City’s Aquatic Park remains undeveloped, and our children have no “Swimmin’ Hole” for recreation. If you disagree, your opinion is a “Bum Beef.”

Who Is Behind the Recall? 24,459 registered voters, including the Mayor, signed the petitions for his recall.

Who Started the Recall? Roger D. Lapham himself. He has so aroused tens of thousands of our citizens by his wilful actions that hundreds of citizens voted for a cleanup of the Mayor’s Office in the impartial poll conducted by the San Francisco Progress. The results were 20 to 1 demanding his recall.

The Recall has been endorsed by the Veterans’ Non-Partisan League, by the Warehousemen’s Union, Local 860, A.F.L., and by UNION LABOR, as represented by The American Federation, headed by these outstanding leaders: Thomas P. “Ted” White, general chairman, and Victor S. Swanson, executive chairman.

These organizations join the Recall Lapham Committee of 1100 in presenting this bill of particulars against Lapham for your earnest consideration.

Who Will Benefit From the Recall? The Right of Recall is the Constitutional Guarantee to the People whereby they alone will benefit. This is the only way the people can say:

STOP FOISTING EXPENSIVE TRANSPORTATION ON US. A 10c
FARE IS UNREASONABLE—THE 7c FARE IS ENOUGH.
STOP BOOSTING OUR TAXES. OUR MONEY BUYS LITTLE
ENOUGH.
STOP FORCING US TO PAY THE HIGHEST WATER RATES
IN THE COUNTRY.

Who Will Be Elected Mayor? The Board of Supervisors has the duty to fill
the vacancy of the Mayor’s office by our Charter, and this provision was passed
by the people upon its submission to the electorate by the Board of Freeholders,
one of whom was the Mayor’s estimable Mr. Francis Keesling, who now sees things
“the other way.”

The Supervisors are the elected representatives of the People, who have a high
trust to perform for the citizens of San Francisco. They have acted with courage
and intelligence on two other occasions. They elected Dr. Taylor to occupy the
vacancy created by Mayor Schmitz’s removal, and they elected Angelo J. Rossi
Mayor when our famous Sunny Jim Rolph vacated the office to become Governor
of California

Not then, and not now, need we fear that a crepe wreath will ever adorn the
City Hall because of their election. The Board of Supervisors has precedence,
experience and the wisdom to guide them in the election of a man better qualified
to give you the sound, solid administration needed to replace the Lapham Dictatorial
maladministration.

Extreme Pressure, verging on intimidation, has been brought to bear on your
Supervisors by the dailies. They are using every press tactic to “SMASH the
WILL OF THE PEOPLE.”

The Voters of San Francisco, in their Sovereign Role as Electors, will dem-
strate that they do not “scare” or weaken, and refuse to have GOVERNMENT
BY INTIMIDATION! July 16 is Judgment Day at the Voting Booths! The
People shall have Their-Government!

The Cost of This Special Election: Estimated at $68,000—it is a trifling sum
to RID THE CITY of the huge Waste and Heavy Taxes brought about by Lapham
maladministration.

The Lapham Paid-Press Publicity Cry: They allege, “Recall is only for those
accused of crime.” What is it when an official utterly disregards the public’s wishes?
When a public official rejects his people’s mandate, he should be “discharged.”

Has the Mayor violated the City Charter? Section 130 states, in effect, that
only the ordinary expenses of operations can be realized by a fare increase, but the
acquisition, construction, or extension of said utility must be financed out of the
general tax fund, or by a bond issue. This has been the interpretation of the City
Attorney in two prior administrations.

This is Democracy in Action! By exercising your constitutional right of recall,
YOU, the ELECTORATE, will demonstrate that San Francisco is “a government
of the people, by the people, and for the people.” Vote YES July 16.

SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS BY:
The Recall Lapham Committee of 1100, Inc., Bernard Freed, Secretary.
Citizens’ Recall Committee, Russell A. Powell, Chairman.
A. F. of L. Recall Committee, Thomas P. White, General Chairman.
Victor S. Swanson, Executive Chairman.
Warehousemen’s Union, A. F. of L.; Mark O’Reilly, Vice President.
Apartment & Employees’ Union, Local 14; Russell R. Dreyer, President.
Production Machine Operators & Aeronautical Lodge 1327, Anthony Ballerini.
Retail Delivery Drivers & Salesmen, A. F. L., Local 278; H. C. McNally, Rep.
1. Move RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT.
2. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of the CANDIDATE.
3. To vote for a person whose name does not appear on BALLOT LABEL CARD, (write-in candidate) raise notch.
4. LEAVING the pointers as you have placed them (DOWN in the voting position) move the RED HANDLE.
### DEMOCRATIC BALLOT

**FOR VOTING FOR PERSONS NOT NOMINATED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-A</td>
<td>Charles N. Douglas</td>
<td>Attorney at Law</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-A</td>
<td>Irving Mead</td>
<td>Supervisor of Juvenile Probation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-A</td>
<td>William F. Knowland</td>
<td>United States Senator</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-A</td>
<td>Kitty A. Patterson</td>
<td>Member of the United States Senate</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-A</td>
<td>Will Boggs</td>
<td>Recorder</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-A</td>
<td>Frank E. Havenner</td>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-A</td>
<td>Howard M. McKinley</td>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-A</td>
<td>Tom W. Young</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-A</td>
<td>Ernest H. Hailey</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-A</td>
<td>Gerald J. O'Keefe</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-A</td>
<td>Ernest Spagnoli</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-A</td>
<td>Bernard H. Brady</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-A</td>
<td>Raymond H. Vandegriff</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-A</td>
<td>William Parmen</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>(4th Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-A</td>
<td>William Joseph Latham</td>
<td>Electrician</td>
<td>(3rd Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33-A</td>
<td>Leland J. Lazarus</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>(3rd Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-A</td>
<td>Raymond J. McShane</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>(3rd Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-A</td>
<td>Thomas A. Cowan</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>(3rd Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-A</td>
<td>Melvin Cohen</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>(3rd Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-A</td>
<td>Robert McWilliams</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>(3rd Dist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HAVE IT THERE.**

- CANDIDATES for whom you wish to vote and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

- Raise numbered slide at top of machine corresponding with number on Office Title Card, and write name on paper under slide.

- HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>37</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>41</th>
<th>42</th>
<th>43</th>
<th>44</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE SENATOR</strong>&lt;br&gt;(14th District)&lt;br&gt;VOTE FOR ONE</td>
<td><strong>Member of Assembly</strong>&lt;br&gt;(19th District)&lt;br&gt;VOTE FOR ONE</td>
<td><strong>Members of County Central Committee</strong>&lt;br&gt;(19th District)&lt;br&gt;VOTE FOR FIVE</td>
<td><strong>Judge of the Superior Court</strong>&lt;br&gt;Office No. 1&lt;br&gt;Vote for One</td>
<td><strong>Judge of the Superior Court</strong>&lt;br&gt;Office No. 2&lt;br&gt;Vote for One</td>
<td><strong>Judge of the Superior Court</strong>&lt;br&gt;Office No. 3&lt;br&gt;Vote for One</td>
<td><strong>Judge of the Superior Court</strong>&lt;br&gt;Office No. 4&lt;br&gt;Vote for One</td>
<td><strong>Judge of the Superior Court</strong>&lt;br&gt;Office No. 5&lt;br&gt;Vote for One</td>
<td><strong>Superintendent of Public Instruction</strong>&lt;br&gt;VOTE FOR ONE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study Sample Ballot CAREFULLY, so when you go to vote you can VOTE WITHOUT DELAY.

VOTE EARLY. DON'T WAIT UNTIL THE LAST MINUTE.

POLS OPEN 7 A.M.—CLOSE 8 P.M.