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PROPOSITIONS A, B, C, D
ORDINANCE CALLING SPECIAL BOND ELECTION

FILE NO. 118-68-1

ORD. NO. 74.68

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, JUNE 4, 1968, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PROPOSITIONS TO INCUR BONDED DEBTS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION OR COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF THE FOLLOWING MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS, TO WIT: $24,500,000.00 FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENT OF PORTIONS OF MARKET STREET, OF PORTIONS OF STREETS INTERSECTING MARKET STREET, AND OF STREET AREAS IN THE VICINITY OF TRANSIT STATIONS; $14,885,000.00 FOR ADDITIONS TO AND IMPROVEMENT OF THE RECREATION AND PARK SYSTEM OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; $5,700,000.00 FOR CLIFF HOUSE-SUTRO BATHS LAND ACQUISITION AND IMPROVEMENT; $17,500,000.00 FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE SEWERAGE AND WATER POLLUTION CONTROL SYSTEMS IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; AND THAT THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAID MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS IS AND WILL BE TOO GREAT TO BE PAID OUT OF THE ORDINARY ANNUAL INCOME AND REVENUE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY AND WILL REQUIRE EXPENDITURES GREATER THAN THE AMOUNTS ALLOWED THEREFOR BY THE ANNUAL TAX LEVY; ALL IN ORDER TO DO AND PERFORM ANY AND ALL OF THE MATTERS HEREIN-ABOVE REFERRED TO: FIXING RATE OF INTEREST OF SAID BONDS AND PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF TAXES TO PAY BOTH PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST THEREOF; PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION AND CONSOLIDATING THE SPECIAL ELECTION WITH THE CONSOLIDATED DIRECT AND PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 4th of June, 1968, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county propositions to incur bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco for the acquisition, construction or completion by the city and county of the hereinafter described municipal improvements in the amounts and for the purposes stated:

(A) MARKET STREET RECONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1968.

$24,500,000.00 to pay the cost of the reconstruction and improvement of portions of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street
and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations, including facilities, fixtures, equipment, land, easements, rights of way, and all other works, property and structures necessary or convenient for the reconstruction and improvement of portions of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

The total estimated cost of said municipal improvement is $34,400,000.00 and it is estimated that $9,900,000.00 of this cost will be financed from gas tax funds, federal grant funds, and other bond funds.

(B) RECREATION AND PARK BONDS, 1968.

$14,885,000.00 to pay the cost of additions to and improvement of the recreation and park system of the City and County of San Francisco, including land, buildings, facilities, equipment and all other works, property and structures necessary or convenient for additions to and improvement of the recreation and park system of the City and County of San Francisco.

(C) CLIFF HOUSE-SUTRO BATHS LAND ACQUISITION AND IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1968

$5,700,000.00 to pay the cost of the acquisition of approximately twelve acres of land, with the structures thereon, located at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and bounded by the Pacific Ocean on the west, the Seal Rock State Park on the north, City park land on the east and Point Lobos Avenue and Great Highway on the south, and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

(D) SEWERAGE AND WATER POLLUTION CONTROL BONDS, 1968.

$17,500,000.00 to pay the cost of improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco, including all works, property and structures necessary or convenient for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated costs of the municipal improvements described in Section 1 hereof were fixed by the Board of Supervisors by the following resolutions and in the amounts specified:

Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 109-68 — $24,500,000.00; Recreation and Park Bonds, Resolution No. 130-68 — $14,885,000.00; Cliff House-Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 121-68—$5,700,000.00; Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, Resolution No. 129-68 — $17,500,000.00.

That each of said resolutions was passed by two-thirds or more of the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor, and in each of said resolutions it was recited and found that the sums of money specified were too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for those purposes and will require expenditures greater than the amounts allowed therefor by the annual tax levy.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated costs of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amounts specified.
Said estimates of cost as set forth in said resolutions, and each thereof, are hereby adopted and determined to be the estimated costs of said improvements, and each thereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the Consolidated Direct and Presidential Primary Election to be held Tuesday, June 4, 1968, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said Consolidated Direct and Presidential Primary Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for such special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth, in the official publication, by the Registrar of Voters of precincts, polling places and election officers for the said Consolidated Direct and Presidential Primary Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said Consolidated Direct and Presidential Primary Election and reference is hereby made to the notice of election setting forth the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election by the Registrar of Voters for the Consolidated Direct and Presidential Primary Election to be published in the San Francisco Examiner on or about May 15, 1968.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following, each to be separately stated, and appear upon the ballots as separate propositions:

(a) "Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000.00 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations."

(b) "Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,885,000.00 for additions to and improvement of the recreation and park system of the City and County of San Francisco."

(c) "Cliff House-Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $5,700,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes."

(d) "Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco."

To vote for any proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein,
stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against any proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for any proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on any proposition voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semiannually.

The votes cast for and against each of said respective propositions shall be counted separately and when two-thirds of the qualified electors voting on any one of such propositions, vote in favor thereof such proposition shall be deemed adopted.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there is a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same becomes due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Examiner, a newspaper published daily in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county and such publication shall constitute notice of said election and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Approved as to form: 

THOMAS M. O'CONNOR, City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 18, 1968.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelssohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.
Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 25, 1968.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaris, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.


ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk
JOSEPH L. ALIOTO, Mayor

PROPOSITION A

Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000.00 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “A”
Vote Yes on “A”—A Bold Plan for a Great Street

All of us have wondered when something would be done about Market Street.

Proposition A—a bold plan for a great street—is the answer!

Market Street has been torn up and laid open for construction of the new subway. Soon that subway work will be completed and at that time San Francisco must either put Market Street back the way it was—dreary, depressing and uninviting—or we can seize a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity and transform it into a truly great street, a magnificent boulevard that will be as important to the economy and reputation of the City as was the Market Street of years ago.

Traditionally, Market Street has divided San Francisco. Proposition A, together with the extension of both Fifth Street and Seventh Street across Market will at last bring North and South of Market Street together. From the Ferry Building to Valencia Street, this handsome new thoroughfare will attract pedestrians, encourage retail activity and provide a park-like environment for all San Franciscans—workers, shoppers and visitors.

The drawing on the next page reveals the exciting new Market Street design with its broad sidewalks, distinctive paving, large trees and spacious plazas.

Vote Yes on “A”—$9,900,000 Already Available

The total cost of the Market Street reconstruction and neighborhood station improvements is $34,400,000. The City already has $9,900,000 from Federal Grants, approved bonds and State Gas Tax funds available for this project. Nearly one-third of the Market Street project is already financed. Your Yes vote will provide the remaining $24,500,000.
Proposition "A" Will Give San Francisco a New Market Street
Vote Yes on "A"—What It Provides

Proposition A will provide the wide sidewalks and dramatic landscaping for Market Street, the refurbishing of the Path of Gold light standards, the installation of benches, tree guards, street furniture, and the distinctive sidewalk paving.

The majestic Civic Center will be connected to Market Street through a new Fulton Mall.

Great new public plazas will be constructed at Powell Street and Civic Center.

In addition, Proposition A will provide for roadway paving, relocation of police and fire call boxes, necessary sewer work, relocation of high pressure fire hydrants and mains, and provision of new traffic signals and signs.

The new Street will have four rather than six lanes of moving traffic. In the absence of surface streetcars, Market Street will have a greater vehicle capacity. The 35-foot sidewalks are interrupted where necessary with parking bays for truck loading and right turn lanes to keep the flow of traffic moving.

Significantly, Proposition A provides funds for the surface improvement of the transit stations along the Mission Line and the Upper Market Line. These stations will be consistent in appearance with those on Market Street.

Vote Yes on "A"—Jobs!

The reconstruction of Market Street and the neighborhood transit stations means jobs. Not only jobs in the construction trades but, when the work is completed, additional jobs from increased business activity throughout the City.

Vote Yes on "A"—A Good Investment for You

Proposition A is a sound investment for everyone in San Francisco. A revitalized Market Street will greatly stimulate retail activity. San Francisco with its ready accessibility via rapid transit from outlying communities will be a magnet for shoppers.

Tourists and visitors, now the number one industry in San Francisco, will be drawn by Market Street and pour fresh dollars into our economy.

Increased retail activity will bring to the City added sales tax revenues.

Most important, a strong central business district with an expanding tax base will help take the tax pressure off home owners and renters. The experience of other large cities proves that if the Central Business District declines, neighborhood taxes rise.

Vote Yes on "A"—The Future Is Now

Rarely does a city have an opportunity to transform its appearance on the scale offered San Francisco through Proposition A. San Francisco had such a chance after the 1906 catastrophe and missed. Market Street began to decline in the 1930's.

The voters approval of the Rapid Transit Bonds in 1962 marked a turning point. Major construction in the downtown area was virtually nonexistent for thirty years. Since passage of the rapid transit bonds, however, many of these areas have started to boom. The new Wells Fargo, Standard Oil, Crocker, Citizens Federal and Fox Plaza Buildings attest to this vitality. The first new major retail store in twenty years is now under construction on the south side of Market Street.

Now is the opportunity to make certain that we gain the greatest possible advantage from rapid transit construction. Aside from soaring costs, San Francisco would never tolerate a second total disruption of Market Street.
Vote Yes on "A"—A Symbol of San Francisco's Vitality

San Francisco is traditionally a great City. We know it and so does the world. In recent years, San Francisco's leadership in the Bay Area has been challenged in many areas. Neighboring communities have formed symphonies and built museums, major league sports have located outside the City, the Port of San Francisco faces stiff competition. Renewed interest is springing up in America's central cities. Across the land, cities are rebuilding. St. Louis has built a magnificent arch along the Mississippi River symbolizing its regeneration. New York has placed the glittering Lincoln Center in a former slum, Los Angeles is justly proud of its Music Center and new Downtown, Oakland has financed its Sports and Convention Center.

San Francisco's opportunity to undertake a great project symbolizing our faith in ourselves is the creation of a truly great thoroughfare along the length of Market Street. In eight years, San Francisco will mark its two hundred year anniversary. Market Street reconstruction is a fitting beginning to the next hundred years. The future is now! Now is the time for greatness.

Vote Yes on A!

Proposition "A" has been endorsed by the following:

Alamo Square Association
Apartment House Associations Consolidated
Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco
California Northern Hotel Association
California Society of Professional Engineers
Chinese American Citizens Alliance
City Planning Commission of San Francisco
Down Town Association of San Francisco
Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
Market Street Development Project
Northern California Chapter-American Institute of Architects
San Francisco Beautiful
San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council
San Francisco Joint ILWU Legislative Committee
San Francisco Labor Council AFL-CIO
San Francisco Street Planting Advisory Committee

Honorable Joseph L. Alioto,
Mayor of San Francisco
Frank N. Alioto
Edward A. Barry
Richard A. Bancroft
Reverend George L. Bedford
S. Clark Beise
Peter Bereut
A. Brooks Berlin
Morris Bernstein
James B. Black, Jr.
Ben Blumenthal
John S. Bolles
Reverend Hamilton T. Boswell
Matthew J. Boxer
Harry Bridges
Henry J. Budde
F. Campagnoli
Caroline Charles
William H. Chester
George T. Choppelas
Honorable George Christopher
William P. Cleek
Wm. Hunt Conrad
Ransom M. Cook
Sheldon G. Cooper
Charles Crocker, III
John F. Crowley

Margaret Cruz
Madelyn Day
Daniel F. DeCarlo
Charles de Limur
Adolfo de Urioste
John Walton Dinkelspiel
Joseph J. Diviny
Don Fazackerley
Thomas E. Feeney
Dianne Feinstei
Elmo E. Ferrari
John P. Figone, Jr.
Mortimer Fleishhacker, Jr.
Herman E. Gallegos
Louis Garcia
Robert H. Gerdes
Richard N. Goldman
Robert E. Gonzales
Lucretia del Valle Grady
Walter A. Haas, Jr.
Gregory A. Harrison
Marco F. Hellman
Paul E. Hoover
David Jenkins
George W. Johns
Vernon Kaufman
Roger Kent
William Kent III
On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
•PROPOSITION “A”

Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1967-1968 assessment roll as the basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Redemption</td>
<td>$24,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Requirement</td>
<td>$8,820,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Debt Service Requirement</strong></td>
<td><strong>$33,320,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average annual debt service requirement for fifteen years ........................................... $ 2,221,333

Based on a report submitted by the Transit Task Force, the annual increase in maintenance cost is estimated to be ......................................................... 90,000

Net annual recurring costs which are equivalent to ten and sixty six hundredths (10.66) cents in the tax rate ................................................................. $ 2,311,333

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco
PROPOSITION B

Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,885,000.00 for additions to and improvement of the recreation and park system of the City and County of San Francisco.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "B"
San Francisco Recreation and Park Bonds

"B" Is For Everyone

Proposition “B” will provide funds for urgently needed revitalization of our City’s parks and critically needed recreational facilities in our community.

Protective lighting for park and recreation areas is needed to allow the public to walk safely on paths in neighborhood parks at night, as well as to attend functions at recreation buildings safely after dark.

Golden Gate Park

Proposition “B” will complete the entire irrigation system of Golden Gate Park; will restore the Strawberry Hill and Stow Lake complex, including roads and paths; will include the rehabilitation of the entire Chain of Lakes area; will provide a satisfactory drainage system; will complete the western section (Pinetum) of Strybing Arboretum; and will also rehabilitate the world famous Windmills and Wilhelmina Gardens.

Proposition “B” will provide improvements to the San Francisco Zoo, the Maritime Museum and Aquatic Park. These are important facilities to San Francisco and must be kept competitive with other family recreational facilities in the Bay Area.

City-Wide

As part of the City-wide commitment to rebuild Hunters Point, a comprehensive recreation and park program will be provided for the Hunters Point-Bayview District, including construction of an enclosed swimming pool, baseball field, and a neighborhood center.

Vote Yes on “B”, for every San Franciscan will gain by the passage of this important proposition. A brief listing of additional important projects includes:

Folsom Playground—provides a modern recreation center in the Mission District. It is presently overcrowded, and by expanding this Recreation Area a needed community building and small children’s section can be provided.

Crocker Amazon—This is one of the largest and most used recreation areas in the city and it needs a community recreation building. Proposition “B” will provide this new facility which will also serve the Excelsior and Visitacion Valley neighborhoods.

Douglas Playground—a new clubhouse to replace the old, dilapidated clubhouse built in the early 1920’s.

New Facilities

Buena Vista Park: Development of two new children’s areas; the restoration of service roads and walks; provides a needed drainage system. Alice Chalmers, Miraloma and Merced Heights Playgrounds: enlargement of these three small overcrowded recreation buildings to accommodate the large numbers of children and adults of the neighborhoods.
Duboce Park: modernization of this very old park and providing full time children's activities and other improvements. Chinese Playground: replacement of this obsolete structure with a two-story recreation center to meet the needs of densely populated Chinatown.

Soccer

Sunset District: development of athletic fields containing three soccer fields, a running track and fieldhouse. Balboa Stadium: flood lighting to permit attendance at league games and use of the popular field on week nights, as well as Saturdays and Sundays.

Night lighting will greatly increase the use of Jackson, Upper Noe, Eureka Valley, and Portola Playgrounds. Lights at the 21 tennis courts in Golden Gate Park and other recreation areas will provide greater utilization of these courts.

AAU Competition

San Francisco has been losing nationwide competition to outside areas because we lack a fifty meter pool.

Fleishhacker Pool will be converted into a modern 50 meter enclosed pool. This will be used by City high school, City College and university teams for competitions and for year round recreational swimming by the general public. Equipped for spectator events, the new Fleishhacker Pool will enable San Francisco to host great national and international competition.

Repaid From Sales Tax

Proposition "B" will be repaid from sales tax revenues.

A Yes vote for Proposition "B" is an investment in maintaining the City's beauty and recreational opportunities for the benefit of all San Franciscans. Proposition "B" has wide support from labor, business, sportsmen, conservationists, garden clubs, neighborhood beautification groups, senior citizens, and community leaders:

Hon. Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor
The Recreation and Park Commission:
  Mr. Walter H. Shorenstein, President
  Mr. George P. Thomas, Vice President
  Mr. F. Everett Cahill
  Mr. George T. Choppelas
  Mrs. Carmen J. Dominguez
  Mrs. Keene O. Haldeman
  Mr. Elvin C. Stendell
Associated Sportsmen of California
California Anti-Litter League
California Northern Hotel Association
California Soccer-Football Association
California Wildlife Federation, Inc.
City Planning Commission of San Francisco
Down Town Association
El Camino Rod and Gun Club
Golden Gate Park Tennis Club
Hamilton Senior Center
Laborers' International Union of North America, AFL-CIO, Local No. 201
Lake Merced Sailing Club
Northern California Baseball Managers' Association, Inc.
Pacific Association of the Amateur Athletic Union
Palace of Fine Arts League, Inc.
Portola Senior Citizens Club
Recreation Center for the Handicapped, Inc.
San Francisco Aid Retarded Children
San Francisco Beautiful
San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council
On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION “B”

Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,885,000 for additions to and improvement of the recreation and park system of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1967-1968 assessment roll as the basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Redemption</td>
<td>$14,885,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Requirement</td>
<td>5,358,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Debt Service Requirement</strong></td>
<td>$20,243,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on a 5 year construction program, average annual debt service</td>
<td>$ 1,065,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requirement for 19 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on a report submitted by the Recreation-Park Department, the annual</td>
<td>$ 987,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>increase in maintenance and operation costs is estimated to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net annual recurring costs which are equivalent to nine and forty seven</td>
<td>$ 2,052,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hundredths (9.47) cents in the tax rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

**PROPOSITION C**

Cliff House – Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $5,700,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

**ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “C”**

Vote Yes on “C” — Preserve Our Heritage

Proposition “C” provides San Francisco with an opportunity to protect and preserve a vital part of its colorful heritage. The Sutro Baths-Cliff House area has possessed a strong attraction for generations of San Franciscans. Nowhere does the Pacific more dramatically confront the City than at Point Lobos. Here are sweeping views of the Great Ocean Beach, Seal Rocks, Mount Tamalpais and the Golden Gate Strait; here is experienced the restless power of nature in a setting of unsurpassed beauty. The Cliff House-Sutro Baths area has always been open for public enjoyment. Now we must guarantee that its pleasures remain available for future generations.

Vote Yes on “C” — Save Needed Open Space

Point Lobos is the only piece of commercially-zoned private property between the San Mateo County line and Aquatic Park. Private developers now propose to construct view blocking apartment houses on the site. Such construction would permanently alter the public’s use and enjoyment of this vital area. Access to a strip of ocean beach over 1,000 feet long would be restricted; 2,100 feet of ocean frontage would be lost. Views from the existing public observation area immediately to the east would be impaired. But most importantly the character of the entire San Francisco Headlands would be changed. The Cliff House-Sutro Baths area is an integral part of a much larger natural parkland fronting the Pacific Ocean and the Golden Gate. In an era when public open space is increasingly necessary and precious, it is our duty to save outstanding parcels of that open space for continued public enjoyment.
Vote Yes on "C"—The Price Is Right

Proposition "C" will forever keep in public ownership 12.5 acres of scenic ocean frontage. An application for a Federal Open Space Grant has been prepared. If forthcoming, the Federal Government could provide up to 50% of the $5.5 million estimated land acquisition costs. Any Federal funds received would be used in lieu of selling authorized bonds or for bond interest and redemption. This is the same approach used in the successful 1965 Medical Center Bond Issue. In addition, the comparatively small loss of existing tax revenues to the City would be substantially offset by the possessor interest taxes and lease fees on the Cliff House.

Vote Yes on "C"—A Gift to the Future

Many times in the past, San Franciscans have taken the necessary bold steps to achieve the finest for our City. Golden Gate Park, Civic Center with its magnificent City Hall, and the Hetch Hetchy System are examples. These decisions have never been regretted. A Yes vote on Proposition "C" will be another forward move by San Franciscans. Preservation of the Cliff House-Sutro Baths area will be a worthy gift to ourselves and to future generations. Pass on to the future what others have given us!

Vote Yes on "C"—It's in Your Interest

Proposition "C" is a simple matter. Do the people of San Francisco want to preserve as a public park an area that is now and traditionally has been an important recreation area? The answer is obvious. Vote Yes on "C" to protect our heritage. Vote Yes on "C" to preserve unsurpassed views. Vote Yes on "C", a recreation bargain. Vote Yes on "C" and guarantee the continued beauty of San Francisco's ocean front. Vote Yes on "C" to preserve needed open space. Vote Yes on "C"—it's your own best interest.

See the Sea. Vote Yes on "C"

The following individuals and organizations have endorsed Proposition "C" and urge you to vote Yes on "C"—

Proposition "C" has been endorsed by the following:

- Alamo Square Association
- Chinese American Citizens Alliance
- Citizens for Regional Recreation and Parks
- Citizens' Planning Committee, Inc.
- City Planning Commission of San Francisco
- Great Highway Club
- Haight-Ashbury Neighborhood Council
- League of Women Voters of San Francisco
- The John McLaren Society
- Northern California Chapter-American Institute of Architects
- Northern California Chapter-American Society of Landscape Architects
- Potrero Hill Residents and Homeowners Council
- San Francisco Beautiful
- San Francisco Joint ILWU Legislative Committee
- San Francisco Labor Council AFL-CIO
- Honorable Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor of San Francisco
- Adrien J. Falk
- Caroline H. Hume
- George W. Julius
- Thomas J. Mellon, Chief Administrative Officer and Chairman, Capital Improvement Advisory Committee
- Helen B. Reynolds
- Stanley Sinton, Jr.

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing
argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION "C"

To incur a bonded indebtedness of $5,700,000 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1967-1968 assessment roll as the basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Redeployment</th>
<th>$5,700,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Requirement</td>
<td>2,052,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Debt Service Requirement</td>
<td>$7,752,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimated average amount required to pay the interest thereon and the redemption thereof, would be approximately $516,800 annually for fifteen years, which amount is equivalent to two and four tenths (2.4) cents in the tax rate.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION D

Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000.00 for improvement of the Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "D"
Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bond Issue
Vote Yes on Proposition "D"

Proposition "D" authorizes the issuance of bonds in the amount of $17,500,000 for expansion and certain necessary replacements of San Francisco’s sewerage and sewage treatment plant system to safeguard the health and welfare of its citizens, and to initiate a new phase in the City’s pollution control program relating to the treatment of storm water overflows from the City’s sewer system into the bay and ocean waters.

It is necessary to continue the City’s program of replacing old, deteriorated brick and pipe sewers dating back to long before the 1906 dis-
aster; enlarging and extending the sewer system to accommodate residential, commercial and industrial growth; and improving sewage pumping and sewage treatment facilities for operational safety and in order to meet pollution control and public health objectives of local, State and Federal agencies.

Develop Unimproved Land

San Franciscans have good reason to be proud of the service, convenience and health protection afforded by their sewer system and sewage treatment plants. Nevertheless, capital costs must be incurred from time to time to keep up the present system and to provide well-planned enlargements and extensions to keep pace with the development of the City which is not possible without adequate sewer service. These developments would broaden the tax base and lighten the property owners' tax burden.

Reduce Street Flooding

During periods of heavy rain some areas of the City experience flooding of streets, garages and basement areas and there occurs backup of sewage into homes due to the inadequate size of old main sewers which cannot carry away the storm waters. Proposition “D” will continue the City's program of providing large size sewers to avoid the possibility of flooding in these areas.

Protect Our Bay

It is necessary that we preserve and enhance our beautiful Bay. Increasing recreational demands for use of Bay waters for swimming, boating, water skiing, skin diving, and fishing must be met with civic action. A major remaining problem of Bay pollution by San Francisco's sewage occurs during heavy rains, because the flow in our single sewer system combining storm water runoff and sanitary wastes greatly exceeds practical treatment plant capacity. Proposition “D” provides for an initial project which, if successful, will avoid the necessity for spending hundreds of millions of dollars for traditional methods of correction. Discharges from existing sewage treatment plants will also be upgraded by replacement of worn and obsolete equipment and by modernization of the treatment processes. San Francisco cannot and should not tolerate pollution of its Bay and ocean waters.

Vote Yes on Proposition “D”

Your Yes vote on Proposition “D” will provide the funds necessary to carry out a vital service that will be of benefit to every citizen of our City, our neighbors in the Bay Area and to the countless visitors to our world-famous City. It will be an investment in the health and welfare of us all, with the cost spread out over a period of years.

Proposition “D” has been endorsed by the following:

- Apartment House Associations Consolidated
- Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco
- California Northern Hotel Association
- City Planning Commission of San Francisco
- Down Town Association
- Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
- League of Women Voters of San Francisco
- Northern and Central California Chapter of Associated General Contractors
- Parkside District Improvement Club, Inc.
- Plumbing, Heating & Cooling Contractors of San Francisco, Inc.
- San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council
- San Francisco Joint ILWU Legislative Committee
On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION "D"

Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1967-1968 assessment roll as the basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Redemption</td>
<td>$17,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Requirement</td>
<td>6,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Debt Service Requirement</td>
<td>$23,800,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on a 5 year construction program, average annual debt service requirement for 19 years: $1,252,632

Based on a report submitted by the Department of Public Works, the annual increase in maintenance and operation costs is estimated to be: 36,200

Net annual recurring costs which are equivalent to five and ninety five hundredths (5.95) cents in the tax rate: $1,288,832

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco
PROPOSITION E

Amends Section 24: Suspends, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of the said city and county by amending Section 24 thereof relating to permits and inspections.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 24 thereof to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type.

Permits and Inspections

Section 24. The board of supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance, the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this charter; and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, firefighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the city and county, and for such other matters as the board of supervisors may deem advisable.

Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged, which shall not be less than the cost to the city and county of regulation and inspection; provided, that in so far as the regulation and inspection of foodstuffs or articles of food for human consumption are concerned, the fees or licenses to be charged for such regulation and inspection shall be as determined by the board of supervisors, but the same shall not exceed the cost of said regulation and inspection. Said ordinance shall also specify which department shall make the necessary investigations and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor. The chief of police in the performance of police duties shall have power to examine at any time the books and the premises of pawnbrokers, peddlers, junk and second-hand dealers, auctioneers and other businesses designated by the board of supervisors, and the tax collector shall have power to examine the books of any business for which a license is issued and a fee charged on the basis of the receipt of such business, and for these purposes such officials shall have the power of inquiry, investigation and subpoena, as provided by this charter.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the departments as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license. No such permit or license that is dependent on or affected by the zoning, set-back or other ordinances of the city and county administered by the city planning commission shall be issued except on the prior approval of the city planning commission. If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same, the applicant may appeal to the board of permit appeals.
No license tax shall be imposed after June 30, 1973 on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco; Apr. 1, 1968.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “E”
Vote “Yes” On Proposition “E”

Proposition “E” will permit a broadening of the local tax base so as to afford an urgently needed measure of relief for the property tax payer. The period of time within which the licensing for revenue provisions of Proposition “E” will be in effect is limited to June 30, 1973, at which time hopefully there will have been devised on a relatively permanent basis an adequate, stable and equitable local revenue tax structure.

Now more than ever, San Francisco along with other major cities of the State and Nation is faced with a revenue problem which has steadily grown more serious. We have been forced to live in the financial straight-jacket of the ad valorem property tax, laced into it by the Charter limitation presently existing in Section 24. No modern American city can hope to serve the needs and welfare of its people without broadening in scope and equity the effect of its taxing structure.

The business license tax as a substantial source of municipal revenue, and as an expedient of equitable relief for the property tax payer, is eminently adapted to meet the requirements and the special circumstances of any city, including San Francisco. A proper business license tax offers probably the most important and most appropriate single device to broaden the base of local revenues and to begin to relate the local revenue structure to the dual concept of (1) ability to pay and (2) payment in some relation to benefit from the services of local government.

With the ever increasing demands on San Francisco and other local communities for services in the field of health, welfare, social science, recreation, transportation, traffic, etc., a broader tax base is needed, and homeowners and renters of households are entitled to tax relief.

As a forward step in the evolution of a better, over-all tax structure for San Francisco, every voter in the interest of the entire community is urged to vote “Yes” on Proposition “E” and permit the imposition of license taxes for revenue purposes during the restricted period of time specified.

Vote “Yes” on Proposition “E”

Sponsored by: Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco Labor Council
George W. Johns
On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "E"
Vote "Yes" on Proposition "E"

The Board of Directors of the Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce endorses the five-year suspension of the provisions of Section 24 in the City Charter.

Our position is based, first, on the recognition that this option to diversify the tax base within the City and County of San Francisco may have advantages and, second, that any diversification of the tax base should not be punitive against business in general or any form of business in particular. This is especially vital in view of the increasing need for additional job opportunities for all of the citizens of San Francisco. Our endorsement of the suspension of Section 24 does not imply that the Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce is in favor of a license tax measured solely by gross receipts; any tax that may be imposed should bear a reasonable relation to similar taxes imposed by other California cities, be tailored to any particular need of San Francisco business, and under no circumstances penalize business by reason of its location in San Francisco by attempting to tax business such firms do outside of San Francisco. Recognizing, however, the need to empower the Board of Supervisors to impose for not more than five years a fair and equitable business license tax, we support this proposition.

Sponsored by: Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION "E"

Amends Section 24: Suspends, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

Should the subject charter amendment be adopted, it is my opinion that it would not, of itself, affect the cost of government but as a product of its future application, the annual requirement for taxes may be decreased which is not determinable at this time.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco
PROPOSITION F

Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of board of supervisors in retirement system; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 158.2 thereof, relating to membership in the Retirement System by members of the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 158.2 thereof, so that the same shall reads as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Retirement of Elective Officers (Continued)

Section 158.2. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 158.1 of this charter, elective officers, except members of ((boards and)) commissions and boards other than the board of supervisors, shall be members of the San Francisco City and County Employees’ Retirement System under section 165.2 instead of section 158.1; and, notwithstanding the provisions of subdivision (B) of section 165.2, elective officers who are members of the retirement system under section 165.2 shall be retired on the day following the end of the term of office in which the age of seventy years is attained. Contributions, with credited interest, standing to the credit of such individual officers shall be adjusted, as of the effective date hereof, to the amount which they would have been if the contributions had been made in accordance with section 165 prior to July 1, 1947 and section 165.2 after June 30, 1947. Time during which said members have rendered service as elective officers shall be included under subsection (G) of section 165.2, in addition to other time now so included. Contributions required to provide benefits based on service rendered as an elective officer prior to the effective date of membership in the retirement system, shall be paid to the retirement system in the manner provided in section 165.2 for contributions for service rendered prior to the date upon which the member’s rate of contribution is based. For elective officers who are members under this section, the amount of credited service required by subsection (F) of section 165.2 as a condition for making the election provided therein, shall be five years. Elective officers in office on the effective date of this section who are members of the retirement system under section 158.1 at such time, shall have the option to continue as members of the retirement system under section 158.1 instead of this section, to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof.

Noes: Supervisors Boas, Mailliard, Pelosi.

I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "F"

Vote "Yes" On Proposition "F"

Proposition "F" will bring members of the Board of Supervisors into membership in the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, following which they will be required to make member contributions toward their retirement credit.

A "Yes" vote on Proposition "F" will extend to members of the Board of Supervisors the same retirement benefits already in effect for all other elective officers of the City and County. When the Charter went into effect over 36 years ago, all elective officers were excluded from the retirement system, as a carry-over from the law in effect prior to that time. Since then, the people of San Francisco have acknowledged the enlightened example set by other governmental jurisdictions, and have voted approval of retirement benefits for all elective officers other than members of the legislative body.

The Federal Government and the State of California, together with most of the counties in the State, provide retirement benefits for members of their legislative bodies, and Proposition "F", recognizing the quantum of public service involved, will bring San Francisco's practice into conformity with the Federal, State and county plans, and into consistency with the retirement program now applicable to all other elected officers in the San Francisco City and County government.

Proposition "F" has been formally approved in principle by official vote of the Retirement Board, policy making-body of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "F"

Endorsed by:

Civil Service Association of San Francisco
San Francisco Labor Council
George W. Johns

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Ertola, Francois, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

Noes: Supervisors Boas, Mailliard, Pelosi.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION "F"

Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in retirement system; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, based on an actuarial report submitted by the Employees' Retirement System, it is estimated that the annual increase in the cost of government would be
approximately $8,047.00. Based on the 1967-68 assessment roll, this estimated annual increase is equivalent to four hundredths (4/100) of one cent in the tax rate.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION G

Adds Section 69.2: Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $6,000,000.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 69.2 thereto relating to the incurring of bonded indebtedness for capital improvement projects.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 69.2 thereto reading as follows:

Capital Improvement Projects—Bond Issues

Section 69.2. Whenever the capital improvement program recommended by the city planning commission pursuant to Section 69.1 contains a number of capital improvement projects with estimated costs of less than $2,000,000 each and the board of supervisors by resolution adopted by two-thirds vote of all its members determines that public interest and necessity require the acquisition, construction or completion of more than one of such capital improvement projects to be specified in said resolution, but that the total estimated cost of said improvements will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county, and will require an expenditure greater than the amount allowed therefor by the annual tax levy and will require the incurring of a bonded debt, the board at any subsequent meeting may by a two-thirds vote of all its members pass an ordinance calling an election and ordering submission to the qualified voters of the city and county the single proposition of incurring a bonded indebtedness for the group of public improvements specified in said resolution. Such election shall be called and held in the same manner as other bond elections of the city and county. If the proposition receives the assent of two-thirds of the qualified electors voting in favor thereof, the bonded indebtedness may then be incurred for said group of public improvements. No proposition or propositions for incurring a bonded indebtedness shall be submitted to the voters at any one election pursuant to the provisions of this section where the total estimated cost of the group or groups of public improvements involved exceeds the sum of $6,000,000.

The proceeds of the sale of bonds authorized at any such election (except premium and accrued interest received on the sale thereof) shall be applied exclusively for said group of public improvements, but in such amounts applicable to each thereof as the board of supervisors may from time to time determine, provided that as nearly as practicable each capital improvement project comprising a part of said group of public improvements shall be ac-
ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “G”

Vote Yes on Proposition “G”

Municipal Improvement Bonds will provide San Francisco with a modern means of providing services that you, the voters, desire. Present law requires single purpose bond issues. Municipal Improvement Bonds will permit several necessary projects whose cost is too small for individual bond issues to be grouped into a package that you, the voters, can approve or reject. As with any bond issue, a two-thirds vote of the electorate will be required for passage of Municipal Improvement Bonds.

Vote Yes on Proposition “G”

Municipal Improvement Bonds will be limited in size. This Charter Amendment provides that the total cost of all projects at any one election shall not exceed $6,000,000. No single project in the bond package may exceed $2,000,000. Municipal Improvement Bonds will provide necessary services without using the limited property tax funds available in the annual budget for capital improvements.

Vote Yes on Proposition “G”

Municipal Improvement Bonds will protect the City’s huge investment in buildings, parks, libraries, health services, police and fire protection and public utilities. A bond issue having small projects for several departments will enable necessary rehabilitation and additions to be made when needed. You, the taxpayer, will save by limiting the soaring costs of deferred maintenance. Under the present method of submitting bond issues, many individual public facilities become neglected until a large sized bond proposal for an entire department is required.

Vote Yes on Proposition “G”

Individual projects to be included in any Municipal Improvement Bond issue will be carefully reviewed and analyzed by the City Planning Commission, the Capital Improvement Advisory Committee, which includes the Chief Administrative Officer and the Controller, the Mayor’s Bond Screening Committee, composed of prominent businessmen, and the Board of Supervisors. Municipal Improvement Bonds will be used to implement the annual city-wide Capital Improvement Program adopted after a year-long cycle of project review.

Vote Yes on Proposition “G”

Other large cities use multi-purpose Municipal Improvement Bonds. Philadelphia’s famed capital improvement program is the most effective
in-the-nation. Philadelphia finances its capital improvements through annual multi-purpose bonds. Elimination of the out-moded requirement that bonds be for a single purpose only will give San Francisco a flexible, modern, safe way of programming capital improvements.

Vote Yes on Proposition "G"

All projects to be financed through Municipal Improvement Bonds must be clearly itemized for you, the voter. Municipal Improvement Bonds will be for specific projects having specific costs. Municipal Improvement Bonds merely allow projects for more than one department to be incorporated into a single proposal.

This Charter Amendment was initiated by the Capital Improvement Advisory Committee of the City and County of San Francisco.

The following, among many others, urge you to vote Yes on Proposition "G".

Endorsed by:
Thomas J. Mellon, Chief Administrative Officer and
Chairman, Capital Improvement Advisory Committee
San Francisco Labor Council
George W. Johns
City Planning Commission

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

PROPOSITION H

Amends Section 13: Authorizes board of supervisors to designate substitute newspaper whenever official newspaper unable to publish or circulate.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 13 thereof relating to the official newspaper.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 13 thereof to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Action By Resolution Or Ordinance

Section 13. Action by the board of supervisors shall be by ordinance or resolution in writing introduced by a member or by a committee of said board and passed or adopted by a majority of all the members of the board at each reading. Every legislative act shall be by ordinance. The enacting clause of all ordinances shall be, "Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco." Every ordinance and resolution, except or-
ordinances making appropriations, shall be confined to one subject which shall be clearly expressed in the title, and ordinances making annual or supplemental appropriations shall be confined to the subject of appropriations.

If any subject is embraced in an ordinance and is not expressed in the title thereof, the ordinance shall be void only as to so much thereof as is not expressed in the title. Any ordinance may be amended by an ordinance amending or repealing the particular sections thereof or adding sections thereto.

An ordinance shall be passed by the board of supervisors only after reference to and report thereon from committee, unless it be an ordinance prepared and reported out by committee, and after two readings and votes at separate meetings of the board, which meetings shall be at least five days apart; provided, however, that as to an emergency measure as defined in section 16, reference to committee or the readings and votes at separate meetings may be waived by a three-fourths vote of all members of the board. The existing or impending emergency as defined in such ordinance shall be declared by specific section in such emergency ordinance. The annual appropriation ordinance shall be passed only after two readings, not less than five days apart, and the second or final passage shall be not less than fifteen days after the introduction of each ordinance.

No ordinance granting a franchise shall be finally passed within ninety days of its introduction.

No resolution shall be adopted by the board of supervisors on the date of its introduction and without reference to committee, except by the unanimous consent of the supervisors present.

Except as otherwise provided in this charter, or by ordinance, notice of the title or the purport and subject matter of each proposed ordinance which is introduced and referred to committee shall be published within three days after its presentation to the board and a copy of such proposed ordinance shall be kept available for inspection in the office of the clerk of the board. All ordinances shall be published upon passage for second reading. Emergency ordinances shall be published immediately on passage. The term "published" as used in this charter shall mean publication in the official newspaper as required by charter. The official newspaper is hereby defined to be a daily newspaper of general circulation, published in the city and county and which has a bona fide daily circulation of at least 8,000 copies. Whenever the official newspaper is not able to publish or circulate for any reason, the board of supervisors shall designate by resolution a substitute newspaper or newspapers, until such time as the official newspaper resumes publication or circulation.

The vote on all ordinances and resolutions upon each reading shall be by ayes and noes. The vote by ayes and noes on all measures shall be recorded in the journal of the proceedings of the board.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Malilliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.
I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "H"
Vote "Yes" on Proposition "H"

A "Yes" vote on Proposition "H" will make it possible for the various departments of the City and County government to publish their official
advertising, in times of emergency, in any newspaper or newspapers, until such time as the official newspaper resumes publication or circulation.

Official advertising is required for the purpose of acquainting the general public with a wide variety of official actions taken by their representatives and employees in local government—inquiries for bids, awards of contracts, intentions to make street improvements, notices of introduction or passage of legislation, and other matters of interest to members of the public.

In periods of emergency, such as those generated by natural disasters, military alerts, strikes, and other occurrences which would halt publication of the formally designated official newspaper, the Board of Supervisors in the interests of public welfare and efficient perpetuation of governmental affairs should have the power to designate a substitute newspaper or newspapers within which official advertising may be published until such time as the official newspaper resumes publication or circulation.

Proposition "H" has been drafted by the City Attorney, will not affect the cost of government or the tax rate, and has the unanimous approval of the members of the Board of Supervisors.

Vote "Yes on Proposition "H"

Endorsed by: Civil Service Association of San Francisco, San Francisco Labor Council, George W. Johns.

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

PROPOSITION 1

Adds Section 164.1: Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 164.1 thereto relating to and increasing retirement and death allowances which are not subject to change when the salary rate of any member is changed, payable to or on account of any member of the retirement system who retired or died prior to July 1, 1967, except such allowances payable to or on account of persons who retired or died prior to July 1, 1947 as members under Section 165; and providing for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement and death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977, which are not subject to change when the salary rate of any member is changed, and which shall have been in effect at least one year on the effective date of such increase or decrease.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the
Charter of said City and County by adding thereto Section 164.1 to read as follows:

Section 164.1 (A) Each retirement or death allowance which is not subject to change when the salary rate of any member is changed and which is payable to or on account of any member who has retired or died prior to July 1, 1967, except such allowances payable to or on account of persons who retired or died prior to July 1, 1947 as members under Section 165, but including death allowances payable under Section 168.3 which are not subject to change when the salary rate of any member is changed, shall be increased for time on and after the effective date of this section, hereby designated as the first day of the month next following its ratification by the State legislature, by the percentage set forth in the following table opposite the fiscal year in which said allowance became effective, said percentage to be applied to the allowance payable to the individual who was receiving the allowance on the effective date of this section (a) exclusive of the annuity provided by additional contributions and (b) prior to reduction pursuant to subsection (A) of Section 165.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year in which Allowance Became Effective</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All years prior to July 1, 1959</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1959 to June 30, 1960</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1960 to June 30, 1961</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1961 to June 30, 1962</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1962 to June 30, 1963</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1963 to June 30, 1964</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1964 to June 30, 1965</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1965 to June 30, 1966</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1966 to June 30, 1967</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Funds necessary for the payment of such increases in allowances payable to or on account of members who retired or died as members under Charter Sections 165 or 165.2 shall be provided from the City’s accumulated contributions held by the system on account of miscellaneous members under Section 165.2.

(2) Funds necessary for the payment of such increases in allowances to or on account of members who retired or died as members under Charter Sections 168 or 168.1 shall be provided from the City’s accumulated contributions held by the system on account of police members under Section 168.1.

(3) Funds necessary for the payment of such increases in allowances to or on account of members who retired or died as members under Charter Sections 171 or 171.1 shall be provided from the City’s accumulated contributions held by the system on account of fire members under Section 171.1.

The necessary funds shall be transferred on the effective date of this section from said accumulated contributions to the accumulated contributions held by the system to meet the obligations of the City and County on account of benefits that have been granted and which are based on service rendered as members. The contribution being required of the City and County currently, as percentages of salaries of persons who are members under Sections 165.2, 168.1 and 171.1 shall be increased to percentages determined by the actuary as necessary to replace the accumulated contributions so transferred.

(B) (1) The retirement board shall determine, prior to April 1 of each year, the percentage of increase or decrease in the cost of living during the preceding calendar year or years, as shown by the then current Consumer Price Index, All Items, San Francisco (1957-59=100), issued by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and published in the Monthly Labor Review or a successor.
(2) Notwithstanding any other Charter or ordinance provision governing the retirement system, every retirement or death allowance payable to or on account of any member who retires or dies as a member of the system or who has retired or died as such a member, except allowances subject to change when the salary rate of any member is changed, shall be increased or decreased as of July 1, 1969, and on July 1 of each succeeding year, subject to the provisions of this subsection (B), by a percentage of the allowance established on the effective date of this section after any increase under subsection (A) of this section or on the effective date of such allowance, whichever is later, as payable to the individual who is receiving the allowance on the date of any such adjustment (a) exclusive of the annuity provided by additional contributions; and (b) prior to reduction pursuant to subsection (A) of Section 165.6, prior to modification pursuant to subsection (G) of Section 165.6; provided, however, that the increases and decreases in allowances provided herein shall apply only to retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977, and shall not apply to retirement or death allowances which are first effective on or after July 2, 1977. On July 1, 1969 the percentage of increase in each such allowance shall be the percentage which is determined by the retirement board to approximate to the nearest one per cent, the percentage of increase in the cost of living during the preceding calendar year. On July 1, 1970 and on July 1 of each succeeding year, the percentage of increase or decrease in each such allowance shall be the percentage which is determined by the retirement board to approximate to the nearest one per cent of increase or decrease in the cost of living in the calendar year or years since January 1, 1969 or since January 1 of the year in which the last such cost of living adjustment in allowances was made, whichever is later. Any such allowance shall be so adjusted only if it was in effect for at least one year prior to the date of such adjustment. Such adjustment in any year shall not exceed two percent of such allowance; provided however that no allowance shall be reduced below the amount being received by the member or his beneficiary on the effective date of this section or on the effective date he began to receive the allowance, whichever is later.

(3) Any such increases in allowances shall be paid from funds which shall be allocated for that purpose by the retirement board from such earnings on investments not otherwise allocated and after crediting of regular interest to accumulated contributions as are in excess of two per cent of the assets of the retirement system at the close of each fiscal year.

(4) Any such increases in allowances which are not funded by such allocation of such earnings, shall be funded by contributions of members under Sections 165, 165.2, 108.1 and 171.1 and by contributions of the City, which shall be at rates which are in addition to the rates of contribution otherwise provided by Charter or ordinance, provided that a member’s rate of contribution shall not exceed one-half of one per cent of his monthly compensation. The contributions made under this section by any member shall be credited together with regular interest thereon to his individual account and shall be subject to the same Charter and ordinance provisions relating to accumulated contributions of the member, including withdrawal and death benefits other than death allowances; provided, however, that upon his retirement or death, such accumulated contributions and interest shall not be applied to provide a part of the retirement benefits payable to him or the death allowance benefits payable on account of his death otherwise provided by Charter or ordinance, but instead shall be held, together with the accumulated contributions made by the City pursuant to this subsection (B), with interest thereon, to
provide the benefits under this subsection (B). Whenever such accumulated contributions of a member with interest have been paid to him on account of his termination of service or to his beneficiary or estate as a part of his death benefits, as provided by Charter or ordinance, an amount equal to the amount of contributions and interest so paid shall be applied to reduce the contributions by the City then currently payable under this section. If a member, upon his reentry into membership after the withdrawal of his accumulated contributions, shall redeposit the accumulated contributions withdrawn with interest, as otherwise provided by the Charter or ordinance, he shall redeposit the accumulated contributions made under this section with interest in the same manner and under the same conditions as the redeposit of his other accumulated contributions, and an amount equal to the amount of such redeposit of accumulated contributions made under this section with interest, shall become payable forthwith by the City to be included in the City's contributions under this section.

(5) The rates of contribution of members and the City, as provided herein, shall be fixed by the retirement board from time to time as it determines necessary.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 1, 1968.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francols, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "I"

Proposed ... Written ... and Submitted to the Voters by the Retirement Board of the City and County of San Francisco

The Retirement Board, sponsors of this Amendment, is composed of the City Attorney, the President of the Board of Supervisors, a banker, an insurance official and City employee members.

The members of the Retirement Board recognize that pensions have not kept pace with the increase in the cost-of-living, and we are committed to support an improvement that will provide for adjustments in retirement benefits along with the cost-of-living increases; and further we also recognize that presently retired city employees are receiving inadequate retirement allowances, and we believe that it is time to end a tragic injustice that the City has long perpetuated against its own public servants.

Under existing retirement laws, city employees pay an average of 8.5% of their monthly salary into a fund from which they may draw a retirement allowance after many years of service. These contributions go on throughout their entire employment. Yet while prices keep rising, their retirement incomes remain the same. In these days of record inflation many retired city employees are forced to live on less than $100 a month.

Cost of Living Increases

Most of our larger governmental jurisdictions have provided cost-of-living increases for their retired employees.

Federal Government: Provides cost-of-living increases when increases exceed three per cent equal to the percentage rise in cost-of-living.

Counties: The larger counties of Los Angeles — Alameda — Contra Costa and Sacramento, now provide as much as a 2% increase based on the consumer price index.

Cities: Oakland and Los Angeles now provide 2% annual cost-of-living increases based on the consumer price index.

Social Security: Has provided 8 cost-of-living increases since 1940, with a 13% increase this year.

State Old Age Assistance: Provides yearly review to reflect cost-of-living increases with present Minimum Need established from $119.50 to $184.50 per month.

The passage of this Charter amendment will provide an increase in retirement allowances of up to 2% per year based on the cost-of-living.

Cost Paid Jointly by Employees and City

This amendment will allow the employees to buy a form of insurance that will automatically increase or decrease their retirement benefits depending on whether or not the cost-of-living increases or decreases in the future.

The employees themselves must jointly pay the City the cost of these increased benefits. There can be no large increase at any time. Moreover, no change in benefits can take place unless inflation makes change necessary.

Proposition "I" will give the Fund a flexibility that will make it unnecessary for retired city employees to beg for increased retirement allowances during each year of rising costs. In effect, Proposition "I" represents a solution to a problem of retired employees that has plagued San Francisco year in and year out.

Thus the Retirement Board in all fairness to the citizens of San Francisco wholeheartedly endorses this equitable and just proposition. Our own Board of Supervisors by unanimous vote approved this legislation.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "I"

Submitted by the Retirement Board of the City and County of San Francisco.

Endorsed by:
- Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor of San Francisco
- Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
- Downtown Association
- Municipal Improvement League
- San Francisco Labor Council
- George W. Johns

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "I"

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "I"

Protect Retired Employees Against Inflation

Everyone knows what the runaway inflation of recent years has done to persons on fixed incomes. Proposition "I" will provide pension increases based on actual increases in the cost-of-living for City employees.
Many City employees are living today on bare subsistence retirement allowances based on living costs far lower than today’s. Today nearly everything—taxes, food, and rent—costs much more than only a few years ago. But retired City employees are still living on small retirement allowances that have not increased with living costs.

Most modern pension plans now have features that provide for increases to restore what runaway inflation has taken away. The business community of San Francisco supports fair treatment for retired City employees. Vote “yes” on Proposition “I” to provide fair treatment and reasonable retirement allowance improvements.

Sponsored by: Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION “I”

Adds Section 164.1: Provides for Cost of Living Increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, based on an actuarial report submitted by the Employees’ Retirement System, it is estimated that the annual increase in the cost of government would be approximately $2,229,139.

Based on the 1967-68 assessment roll, this estimated annual increase is equivalent to ten and three tenths (10.3) cents in the tax rate.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION J

Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118: Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department with reference to mandatory referrals to said department for report thereon.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118 thereof relating to referrals to and reports by the City Planning Commission on proposed projects.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118 thereof to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).
Capital Improvement (Projects Budget) Program
SECTION 69.1. Each officer, board and commission shall annually, on or before the ((30th day of November)) first day of October, file with the department of city planning a schedule describing all capital improvement projects which are proposed for inclusion in the budget for the ensuing fiscal year, together with a schedule of all capital improvement projects which in the opinion of such officer, board or commission should be undertaken in the five succeeding years.

The department of city planning shall prepare and submit to the mayor, the board of supervisors, the controller, and each officer, board, or commission concerned, on or before the 20th day of January, a report recommending a program of capital improvements based on the projects submitted ((for inclusion in the budget for the ensuing year, segregating in such reports those projects which do not affect the master plan)).

The report shall state whether each of the proposed capital improvement projects conforms to the master plan, and if ((conformity does not exist)) conflict exists, the report shall give the particulars of the differences between the proposed capital improvement projects and the master plan; provided, however, that if any such capital improvement project does ((not conform)) so conflict, it shall be the duty of the department of city planning, prior to the submission of its related report, to confer with the officer, board, or commission concerned for the purpose of modifying either the project plan or the master plan in an endeavor to eliminate conflict as far as may be possible.

The report shall also include the recommendations of the department of city planning for additional capital improvement projects and for the advance planning and acquisition of land necessary for the development of all capital improvement projects.

Requests for supplemental appropriations for capital improvement projects, which projects have not been previously submitted to the department of city planning, shall be subject to all of the provisions herein contained except time, and the department of city planning shall report on each such proposal within thirty days from the date that each such proposal is filed with it.

The board of supervisors shall not appropriate any money for any capital improvement project which has not been referred to and reported on by the department of city planning in accordance with the provisions of this section. ((Failure of the department of city planning to report within the time limits herein established shall constitute its concurrence.))

The department of city planning shall report to the board of supervisors within the time limits herein established.

((Legal Effect—Referral)) Mandatory Referrals
SECTION 116.1. No ordinance or resolution which deals with the acquisition, extension, widening, narrowing, removal, relocation, vacation, abandonment, sale or change in the use of any public way, transportation route, ground, open space, building, or structure, the subject matter of which has not been previously reported on by the department of city planning in accordance with the provisions of Sections 69, 69.1, 72 or 116.1 of this charter, shall be adopted by the board of supervisors unless and until such ordinance or resolution shall have first been referred to the department of city planning and a report rendered thereon regarding conformity of the matter involved to the master plan. If ((conformity does not exist)) conflict exists, the report shall give the particulars of the differences between the proposal and the master plan.

It shall be the duty of the department of city planning to render its report in writing upon any ordinance or resolution to the board of supervisors and
to the controller within thirty days after the date of such referral unless a longer period is granted by the board of supervisors. (Failure of the department of city planning to render any such report in such time shall be deemed equivalent to a report.) The department of city planning shall report to the board of supervisors within the time limits herein established.

Subdivisions and Projects

SECTION 118. All plats (or replats) of new subdivisions of land, or replats of subdivisions laid out in building lots after December 26, 1946, (and the project plans for public and private housing, slum clearance and the rehabilitation and redevelopment of blighted areas, including the streets, alleys or other land intended to be dedicated to public use or for the use of lessees, purchasers, or owners of lots fronting thereon or adjacent thereto.) and located within the city and county limits, shall be submitted in tentative form to the department of city planning and the city planning commission shall report its recommendations thereon in writing to the agency responsible therefor, as provided by ordinance. Should major changes occur after acceptance of the tentative map, the final plat shall be submitted for further report thereon to the department of city planning.

All project plans for public and private housing and publicly-assisted private housing, and for the clearance, rehabilitation and redevelopment of blighted areas, located within the city and county limits, shall be submitted to the department of city planning and the city planning commission shall report its recommendations thereon in writing to the agency responsible therefor. Should major changes thereafter be proposed, those changes shall be submitted to the department of city planning for further report thereon.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 1 1968.
Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “J”

Proposition “J” is a procedural measure, with no cost factor involved, and is non-controversial in nature. It is intended solely to improve the effectiveness of city planning in San Francisco, by making it easier for the Department of City Planning to review and coordinate various development projects and proposals. This will bring about a more meaningful long-range capital improvements program, resulting in greater efficiency in city spending and consequent savings to the taxpayer, as well as a better-planned city. The most important specific amendment would advance by two months the deadline for submission of capital improvement project schedules by various City Departments to the Department of City Planning; this additional time is sorely needed for adequate consideration of these significant projects. The other changes proposed are of a procedural nature or serve to clarify existing provisions.

Sponsored by: City Planning Commission.

Endorsed by: City Planning Commission.

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:
Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk
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PROPOSITION K

Adds Section 156.2: Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disability transferees and method of fixing salary of said transferees promoted hereunder.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 156.2 thereto, relating to promotional examinations for disability transfers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 156.2 thereto to read as follows:

Section 156.2. Notwithstanding any of the provisions of Section 156 or any other provisions of this Charter, whenever any employee is transferred under the provisions of Section 156 of this Charter and has held such position for ten (10) years, he shall be eligible to participate in any promotional examination in which his classification is designated as the next lower rank from which promotion will be made; provided that the disability of said employee is not of such nature as to interfere with the performance of the duties required in the promotive classification. The civil service commission shall make such determination after examination of the employee by a civil service examining physician.

The salary of an employee who is promoted as the result of participation in a promotional examination under the provisions of this section shall be fixed in accordance with the salary standardization provisions of this charter.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 1, 1968.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “K”

Vote “Yes” on Proposition “K”

Proposition “K” will amend the Charter to permit employees with disabilities to take promotional examinations. Under the present provisions of the Charter, employees transferred to new positions because of some disability may not be promoted even though their disability would not handicap them in performing the duties of a higher position.

Proposition “K” would remove this inequity. It would permit such an employee who has been in a position for ten years to take a promotional examination for which he is otherwise eligible. Before being permitted to take a promotive examination, a Civil Service physician must certify that the employee's disability would not interfere with the performance of the duties required in the promotive position.
This amendment would not affect the tax rate. Remove this unfair restriction.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "K"

Endorsed by: San Francisco Labor Council, George W. Johns, San Francisco City and County Employees Union, Local 400; Civil Service Association of San Francisco.

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

PROPOSITION L

Amends Section 145: Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 19 years and age range for appointment thereto between 20-32 years.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 145 thereof, relative to age of applicants and appointees to entrance positions in the uniformed force of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 145 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Qualifications and Tests

Section 145. All applicants for places in the classified service shall submit to tests which shall be competitive, provided, however, that no test in either entrance or promotional examinations shall be deemed to be competitive unless two or more persons shall participate, except that any such examination may be held for one qualified applicant on recommendation of the civil service commission and approval by resolution of the board of supervisors, after a finding by the board that reasonable publicity of the proposed examination has been given by the civil service commission. Such tests shall be without charge to the applicants. The commission shall control all examinations and may employ suitable persons in or out of the public service to act as examiners. The tests may be written, oral, mechanical or physical, or any combination of them, practical in character and related to matters fairly to test the relative capacity of the applicants for the positions to be filled. The commission shall be the sole judge of the adequacy of the tests to rate the capacity of the applicants to perform service for the city and county. The commission may, for each examination, establish a passing mark or may determine the total number of persons who shall constitute the list of eligibles. The commission shall prepare from the returns of the examiners the list
of eligibles, arranged in order of relative excellence. No question submitted to applicants shall refer to political or religious opinions or fraternal affiliations.

Applicants for entrance positions in the uniformed force of the fire department shall ((be not less than twenty-one)) not be less than nineteen years of age ((, nor)) at the time of taking the examination, nor less than twenty years of age or more than ((thirty-five)) thirty-two years of age at the time of appointment and shall have the physical qualifications required for enlistment in the United States Army, Navy and Marine Corps.

Applicants for entrance positions in the uniformed force of the police department shall not be less than twenty years of age at the time of taking the examination, nor less than twenty-one years of age or more than thirty-five years of age at the time of appointment and shall have the physical qualifications required for enlistment in the United States Army, Navy and Marine Corps.

Applicants for positions in the mechanical trades and occupations may, in the discretion of the commission, be rated solely on experience and physical qualifications which may be demonstrated by such evidence and in such manner as the commission may direct, and such applicants may be submitted to such further tests as the commission may require. Examination of laborers shall relate only to physical qualifications and experience, and laborers establishing their fitness shall rank upon the register in order of priority application.

The commission may remove all names from the list of eligibles after they have remained thereon for more than two years and all names thereon shall be removed at the expiration of four years. The commission may, however, provide in the scope-circular of any examination that the list of eligibles secured thereby shall automatically expire at a date not less than two or more than four years after the adoption of such list.

Veterans with thirty days or more actual service, and widows of such veterans, who become eligible for appointment by attaining the passing mark in any entrance examination, shall be allowed an additional credit of five per cent in making up the list of eligibles secured by such examination. The term "veteran" as used in this section shall be taken to mean any person who has been mustered into, or served in, the Army, or enlisted in, or served in, the Navy or Marine Corps, of the United States, in time of war and received an honorable discharge or certificate of honorable active service. In the case of promotive examinations, when the passing mark has been attained, a credit of three per cent shall be allowed to veterans or to widows of such veterans, when requested by such veterans or widows. When an eligible has secured a permanent appointment from a list of eligibles derived from an entrance examination in which he has been allowed additional credits of five per cent as herein provided, and has served the full probationary period therein as provided in this charter, such other additional credits of five per cent that have been allowed him on lists of eligibles derived from other entrance examinations shall be automatically cancelled, and his rank on such other list or lists revised to accord with his relative standing before such additional credits were added, and he shall not be allowed such additional credits in any other entrance examinations. If he has received a permanent appointment from a list of eligibles derived from a promotive examination in which he has requested and been allowed the additional credits of three per cent as herein provided, and has served the full probationary period therein as provided in this charter, such additional credits of three per cent that have been allowed
him on lists of eligibles derived from other promotive examinations shall be automatically cancelled, and his rank on such other list or lists revised to accord with his relative standing before such additional credits were added, and he shall not be allowed such additional credits in any other promotive examinations. The civil service commission may, for services or employment specified by the commission, allow general or individual preference, but not less than ten per cent, for entrance appointment of veterans who have suffered permanent disability in line of duty, provided that such disability would not prevent the proper performance of the duties required under such service, or employment, and provided that such disability is of record in the United States Veterans' Bureau.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 1, 1968.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “L”

Vote “Yes” on Proposition “L”

Passage of this amendment will assist the Fire Department’s recruitment program. Veterans of the armed forces and junior college graduates will be able to make the transition from military service and campus to rewarding careers with the San Francisco Fire Department with minimal loss of time. The new age requirements will produce a better selection of men because the fire service will no longer lose desirable men to other occupations before they reach the usual acceptance age of 21 years.

A “Yes” vote on Proposition “L” will assist the Fire Department in the recruitment of firemen.

Sponsored by: San Francisco Fire Commission.

Endorsed by: Chief William F. Murray, San Francisco Fire Department; League of Women Voters of San Francisco, San Francisco Labor Council, George W. Johns.

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

PROPOSITION M

Amends Section 175: Increases filing fees and number of sponsors for candidates for elective office; requires and prescribes nomination paper to be filed for said candidates.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 175 thereof relating to nominations of elective officers.
The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 175 thereof to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Nomination of Elective Officers

Section 175. The name of a candidate for an elective office shall be printed upon the ballot when a declaration of candidacy, a nomination paper signed by not less than forty nominators and certificates of not less than ((ten)) twenty nor more than ((twenty)) thirty sponsors shall have been filed on his behalf, and when the nomination shall have been made in the following manner: The candidate, not more than sixty days before the municipal election in November, shall file with the registrar a declaration of his candidacy, in the form prescribed by the registrar for all candidates, including statements of his qualifications in not to exceed one hundred words, subscribed by him before the registrar. The registrar shall forthwith certify to the said subscription and its date and retain and file the declaration. The candidate shall pay to the registrar at the time of filing his declaration of candidacy ((the)) a sum ((of thirty dollars ($30)) equal to two percent (2%) of the current annual salary for the office for which he is a candidate. After said declaration shall have been signed, certified and filed, and not later than forty-five days before said election in November ((not less than ten nor more than twenty sponsors for the said candidate, who are electors of the city and county qualified to vote at the said municipal election.)) a nomination paper, in the form prescribed by the registrar for all candidates, signed by not less than forty nominators for the said candidate, who are electors of the city and county qualified to vote at the said municipal election, shall be filed with the registrar and not less than twenty nor more than thirty sponsors for the said candidate, who are electors of the city and county qualified to vote at the said municipal election shall appear before the registrar and shall certify under oath to the qualifications of the said candidate on a form of certificate prescribed by the registrar for all sponsors of all candidates. The candidate shall have the right to reject any unsolicited sponsor.

In the event the registrar shall refuse to file such declaration of candidacy, nomination paper therefor or certificate of a sponsor thereof, he shall forthwith designate in writing on the declaration, nomination paper or certificate the defect thereof, or other reason for refusing to file the same, and shall return the same to the party tendering it. No defect in any declaration, nomination paper or certificate presented to the registrar shall prevent the filing of another declaration, nomination paper or certificate within the period allowed for presenting the declaration, nomination paper or certificate. The name of every candidate who has been duly and regularly nominated shall be placed on the ballot under the title of the office for which he is a candidate, provided that a candidate whose nomination has been completed, may, not less than forty days before a municipal election, withdraw as a candidate by filing with the registrar his withdrawal, naming the office; such withdrawal must be signed and sworn to by the person withdrawing.

The name of every candidate who has been nominated for office as hereinbefore provided shall be placed on the ballot in alphabetical order in accordance with the initial letter of his surname, under the heading of the office for which said candidate has been nominated in the following manner: The name of the candidate highest on the alphabetical list of candi-
dates for any particular office shall be printed first on the ballot under the
proper heading for said office in the lowest numbered assembly district in
the city and county. Thereafter, in each succeeding assembly district, the
name of the candidate appearing first for said office in the last preceding
district shall be placed last and the order of the names of the other candi-
dates for said office shall remain unchanged.

In the event that the number of candidates in any group shall exceed
the number of assembly districts in the city and county then the total
number of candidates in such group shall be divided by the number of
assembly districts and the quotient of said division, if an integral number,
or, if it be a fractional number, then the next highest integral number, shall
be the number of candidates to be taken from the beginning of the list of
said candidates and placed at the end of said list of candidates in each suc-
ceeding assembly district.

Immediately under the name of each candidate and not separated there-
from by any line may appear, at the option of the candidate, one of the
following designations:

(a) Words designating the city, county, district or state office which the
candidate then holds.

(b) If the candidate be a candidate for the same office which he then
holds, and only in that event, the word “incumbent.”

(c) The word designating the profession, vocation or occupation of the
candidate. The profession, vocation or occupation so designated shall be the
same as appears in the affidavit of registration of the candidate.

In all cases words so used shall be printed in eight-point roman boldface
capitals and lower-case type.

No incumbent shall have any further preference in the location of his
name on said ballot unless the same is permitted by this section.

The registrar shall preserve in his office for a period of four years
all candidates' declarations, nomination papers and all sponsors' certificates
filed in accordance with this section.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 1, 1968.
Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy,
Mendelson, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered
submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “M”
Vote “Yes” on Proposition “M”

A “Yes” vote on Proposition “M” will upgrade the legal requirements
for candidates to appear on the ballot for local office. It will give better
assurance that all candidates are serious contenders for the offices they
seek. It will reduce the unwieldy number of candidates which results in
distractin and confusion of the public, and is designed to prevent unreason-
able cluttering of the local ballot such as was experienced at the November
7, 1967, election when there were eighteen candidates for Mayor and forty-
four candidates for Supervisor listed on the ballot.

The San Francisco Grand Jury, in endorsing a reform of the Charter
in establishing qualifications of candidates for local office, stressed the
higher qualifications for State Constitutional offices, the practice in other
cities and counties throughout California requiring more stringent qualifi-
cations, and the substantial effect which low filing fees and few endorsing
signatures have in making up a cluttered and expensive ballot.
The reasonable increase in the candidates’ filing fee and the number of endorsing signatures which they must have in order to qualify for the local ballot will contribute in large measure to a local ballot which is reasonable in size, less expensive to prepare, better adapted to the avoidance of errors in the election count, and designed to keep local elections from deteriorating into travesties of democracy and cheap market places for publicity.

Charter reform in this area has been formally recommended by the San Francisco County Grand Jury and will not increase the cost of government or the tax rate.

Vote “Yes” on Proposition “M”

Endorsed by: San Francisco Labor Council, George W. Johns.

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertula, Francols, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

PROPOSITION N

Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters’ pamphlet.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 176 thereof, relating to election material to be mailed to voters.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 176 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Material to be Mailed to Voters

Section 176. The registrar shall, before each municipal election, cause to be printed in pamphlet form and mailed to each registered voter with the sample ballot, a copy of all ((declarations and)) statements of qualifications of candidates received by him, to be followed by the names and addresses and occupations of all sponsors of all officers to be voted for in said city and county.

The registrar shall cause ballots to be printed identical with the ballot to be used in each assembly district at the election and shall furnish copies of the same on application to registered voters at his office at least five days before the date fixed for such election, and shall mail to each voter entitled to vote at such election a copy of the ballot to be used in his district, so that all said sample ballots shall have been mailed at least eight days before said election. The rotation of names of candidates on ballots shall be as provided by general law.
Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 1, 1968.  
Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "N"

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "N"

At the present time, the Charter requires the Registrar of Voters to reproduce in the ballot pamphlet sent to all voters a number of technical declarations made by candidates for public office. These recitations are now set forth in a lengthy, repetitious and costly manner. Instead of making the voters' job of intelligent selection easier, the existing requirements tend to obscure the important facts pertaining to the candidates' qualifications and confuse voters whose task is becoming annually more tedious and complex.

A vote "Yes" on Proposition "N" will enable the Registrar of Voters to publish only the statements of qualifications of candidates, thus saving space, time and money without sacrificing the essentials prerequisite to a reasonable choice by the voter.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "N"

Endorsed by: San Francisco Labor Council, George W. Johns.

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

PROPOSITION O

Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168-1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the police and fire departments.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Sections 168.1.1, 168.1.2, 168.1.3, 168.1.4, 168.1.5, 168.1.10 and 168.1.12 thereof and by adding Section 168.1.18 thereto, relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police Department; and by amending Sections 171.1.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, 171.1.5, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 thereof and by adding Section 171.1.15 thereto, relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 4, 1968, a proposal to amend the charter
of said city and county by amending Sections 168.1.1, 168.1.2, 168.1.3, 168.1.4, 168.1.5, 168.1.10 and 168.1.12 thereof, and by adding Section 168.1.18 thereto; and by amending Sections 171.1.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, 171.1.5, 171.1.10, 171.1.12 thereof, and by adding Section 171.1.15 thereto, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by (double parentheses).

Section 168.1.1. The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context shall have the following (meanings) meaning:

“Retirement allowance,” “death allowance,” or “allowance” shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, or upon the day following the date of death, as the case may be, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.

“Compensation,” as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen’s Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the city and county, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the police department, but excluding remuneration paid for overtime.

“Compensation earnable” shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached at that time to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the police department, he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.

“Benefit” shall include “allowance,” “retirement allowance,” “death allowance” and “death benefit”.

“Final compensation” shall mean the (average) monthly compensation earnable by a member (during the three years immediately preceding) at the time of his retirement, or death before retirement (.), as the case may be, at the rate of remuneration attached at that time to the rank or position which said member held, provided that said member has held said rank or position for at least one year immediately prior to said retirement or death; and provided, further, that if said member has not held said rank or position for at least one year immediately prior to said retirement or death, “final compensation,” as to such member, shall mean the monthly compensation earnable by such member in the rank or position next lower to the rank or position which he held at the time of retirement or death at the rate of remuneration attached at the time of said retirement or death to said next lower rank or position.

For the purpose of the retirement system and of this section, the terms “member of the police department,” “member of the department” or “member” shall mean any officer or employee of the police department whose employment therein began prior to January 1, 1900, or whose employment therein began or shall begin after that date, and was or shall be subject to the charter provisions governing entrance requirements for members of the uniformed force of said department, and said terms further shall mean, from the effective date of their employment in said department, persons employed on the effective date hereof, regardless of age, or employed after
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said date at an age not greater than the maximum age then prescribed for
entrance into employment in said uniformed force, to perform the duties
now performed under the titles of criminologist, photographer, police patrol
driver, police motor boat operator, woman protective officer, police woman
or jail matron. Any police service performed by such (a) member of the
police department outside the limits of the city and county and under orders
of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as city and
county service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered
under the provisions of the retirement system.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco City and
County Employees Retirement System as created in section 158 of the
charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board," as created in
section 159 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the City and County of San
Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and
neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural ([,]) and the
plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement
board.

Section 168.1.2. Any member of the police department who completes
at least ((thirty years of service in the aggregate, regardless of age, or at
least)) twenty-five years of service in the aggregate ([,]) and attains the age
of ((fifty-five (55))) fifty (50) years, said service to be computed under section
168.1.9, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the
first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of
((sixty-seven years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1949; the age
of sixty-six years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1950; and there-
after, following the attainment of the age of)) sixty-five years. A member re-
tired after meeting the service and age requirements in the two ([sentence])
sentences next preceding, shall receive a retirement allowance equal to
((fifty)) fifty-five per cent of the final compensation of said member, as
defined in section 168.1.1, plus an allowance at the rate of ((one and two-
thirds (1-2/3))) three per cent of said final compensation, for each year of
service rendered (prior to attaining age 60 and)) after qualifying as to age
and service for retirement; provided, however, that such retirement allow-
ance shall not exceed seventy per cent of said member's final compensation.
A member retired after attaining the age of sixty-five years, but before
completing twenty-five years of service in the aggregate computed under
section 168.1.9, shall receive a retirement allowance which bears the same
ratio to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined
in section 168.1.1 as the service with which he is entitled to be credited,
bears to twenty-five years. ((Any member may retire, regardless of age, after
rendering twenty-five years of service in the aggregate, computed under
section 168.1.9, but in such event, his retirement allowance shall be such as
can be provided at the age of retirement, by the actuarial value, at the age
of retirement, of the retirement allowance to which he would be entitled
at the date upon which he would qualify for retirement under the first sen-
tence of this paragraph, deferred to that date.)) If, at the date of retirement
for service, or retirement for disability resulting from an injury received in
performance of duty, said member has no wife, children or dependent par-
ents, who would qualify for the continuance of the allowance after the death
of said member, or with respect to the portion of the allowance which would not be continued regardless of dependents, or upon retirement for disability resulting from other causes, with respect to all of the allowance and regardless of dependents at retirement; a member retired under this section, or section 168.1.3, may elect before the first payment of the retirement allowance is made, to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance or the portion which would not be continued regardless of dependents, as the case may be, partly in a lesser allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar election by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount of such other benefits.

Section 168.1.3 Any member of the police department who becomes incapacitated for the performance of his duty by reason of bodily injury received in, or illness caused by performance of duty, shall be retired ((,)).

((and if)) If he is not qualified for service retirement, he shall receive a retirement allowance ((, equal to seventy-five per cent)) in an amount which shall be equal to the same percentage of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 168.1.1 (((,))) as his percentage of disability is determined to be. The percentage of disability shall be as determined by the Workmen's Compensation Appeals Board of the State of California upon referral from the retirement board for that purpose; provided that the retirement board may, by five (5) affirmative votes, adjust the percentage of disability as determined by said Appeals Board; and provided, further, that such retirement allowance shall be in an amount not less than fifty per cent nor more than ninety per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 168.1.1. Said allowance shall be paid to him until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at retirement, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the ((average monthly)) final compensation as defined in Section 168.1.1 he would have received ((during the three years)) immediately prior to said date had he lived and rendered service as assumed, but such allowance shall not be less than ((one-half)) fifty-five per cent of such ((average monthly)) final compensation. If at the time of retirement because of disability, he is qualified as to age and service for retirement under section 168.1.2, he shall receive an allowance equal to the retirement allowance which he would receive if retired under section 168.1.2, but not less than ((fifty)) fifty-five per cent of said final compensation. Any member of the police department who becomes incapacitated for the performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding sentences, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in section 168.1.9, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-half per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 168.1.1, for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than thirty-three and one-third per cent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this section may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of the police commission, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.
Section 168.1.4. If a member of the police department shall die before or after retirement by reason of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a death allowance, in lieu of any allowance payable under any other section of the charter or by ordinance, on account of death resulting from injury received in or illness caused by the performance of duty, shall be paid, beginning on the date next following the date of death, to his surviving wife, throughout her life or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but had not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had been retired for service on the day of death, but such allowance shall not be less than ((one half)) fifty-five percent of the ((average monthly)) final compensation earnable by said member ((during the three years)) immediately preceding death. If death occurs prior to qualification for service retirement the allowance payable shall be equal to the final compensation of said member at the date of death, until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at death, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the ((average monthly)) final compensation he would have received ((during the three years)) immediately prior to said date, had he lived and rendered service as assumed, but such allowance shall not be less than ((one-half)) fifty-five percent of such ((average monthly)) final compensation. If he had retired prior to death for service or for disability resulting from injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member, except that if he was a member under section 168.1 and retirement was for such disability, and if death occurred prior to qualification for the service retirement allowance, the allowance continued shall be reduced upon the date at which said member would have qualified for service retirement, in the same manner as it would have been reduced had the member not died. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die, or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parent or parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving widow otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife following the death of a member unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death.

Section 168.1.5. Upon the death of a member resulting from any cause, other than injury received in or illness caused by performance of duty, (a) if his death occurred after qualification for service retirement under sections 166, 168 or 168.1.2, or after retirement for service or because of disability which resulted from any cause other than an injury received in, or illness caused by performance of duty, (and if death shall result from other cause than such injury or illness, one-half of) three-fourths of his retirement allowance to which he would have been entitled if he had retired for service
at the time of his death or ((one-half of)) three-fourths of his retirement allowance as it was at his death, as the case may be, shall be continued throughout life or until remarriage, to his surviving wife ((except that if he was a member under section 168.1 and retirement was for such disability, and)) or (b) if his death occurred after retirement because of disability which resulted from injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, his retirement allowance as it was at his death shall be continued throughout life or until remarriage, to his surviving wife, except that, if death occurred prior to qualification for service retirement allowance, the allowance continued shall be ((reduced)) adjusted upon the date at which said member would have qualified for service retirement, in the same manner as it would have been ((reduced)) adjusted had the member not died. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving wife otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or the onset of the illness which results in death, ((prior to retirement,)) if he had not retired, or unless she was married to the member at least one year prior to his retirement.

As used in this section and section 168.1.4, "surviving wife" shall mean and include a surviving spouse, and shall also mean and include a spouse who has remarried since the death of the member but whose remarriage has been terminated by death, divorce or annulment within five years after the date of such remarriage and who has not thereafter again remarried.

The surviving wife, in the event of death of the member after qualification for but before service retirement, may elect before the first payment of the allowance, to receive the benefit provided in section 168.1.7 in lieu of the allowance which otherwise would be continued to her under this section. If there be no surviving wife, the guardian of the child or children under age of eighteen may make such election, and if there be no such children, the dependent parent or parents may make such election. Persons heretofore or hereafter retired under other charter sections, as members of the police department at the time of retirement, shall be subject to the provisions of this section. With respect to members under section 168.1, "Qualified for service retirement," "Qualification for service retirement" or "Qualified as to age and service for retirement," as used in this section and other sections to which persons who are members under section 168.1 are subject, shall mean completion of ((thirty years of service regardless of age, or)) twenty-five years of service and attainment of age ((fifty-five)) fifty, said service to be computed under section 168.1.9.

Section 168.1.10. All payments provided for persons who are members under section 168.1 shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

(1) The normal rate of contribution of each member shall be based on his age taken to the next lower complete quarter year, (a) at the date he
became a member under sections 165 or 168, in the case of persons who are members under these sections, or (b) at July 1, 1945, in the case of persons who are members under section 166, and his age taken to the next lower completed quarter year, when he entered the police department, or (c) on his age at the date he becomes a member under section 168.1, in the case of persons who become members on or after July 1, 1945, without credit for services counted under section 168.1.9. The age of entrance into the police department shall be determined by deducting the member's service credited under section 168.1.9 as rendered prior to the date upon which his age is based for determination of the rate of contribution according to the sentence next preceding, from said age. The normal rate of contribution of each such member, to be effective from the effective date of membership under section 168.1, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption, under section 168.1.2, one-third of that portion of the service retirement allowance to which he would be entitled, without continuance to dependents, upon first qualifying as to age and service, for retirement under that section, without discount of allowance, which is based on service rendered after the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution according to the first sentence in this paragraph, and assuming the contribution to be made from that date. The normal rate of contribution, however, shall not exceed ((ten)) six per cent.

(2) The dependent rate of contribution of each member which shall be required of each member throughout his membership in addition to the normal contributions, and in the same manner as normal contributions, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption under section 168.1.2, and upon his first qualifying as to age and service for retirement under that section, one-third of the portion of his allowance, which is to be continued under section 168.1.5, after his death and throughout the life of a surviving wife whose age at said death is three years less than the age of said member. If, at the date of retirement for service or retirement for disability resulting from injury received in performance of duty, said member has no wife who would qualify for the continuance of the allowance to her after the death of said member, or upon retirement from disability resulting from other causes, regardless of his marital condition, the dependent contributions with accumulated interest thereon, shall be paid to him forthwith. The dependent rate of contribution, however, shall not exceed the difference between ((ten)) six per cent and the member's normal rate of contribution, and said dependent rate may be taken as a flat percentage of the member's normal rate, regardless of the age of qualification for service retirement.

(3) There shall be deducted from each payment of compensation made to a member under section 168.1, a sum determined by applying the member's rates of contribution to such compensation payment. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in sections 168.1.7, 168.1.8, and 168.1.9.

(4) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1), (2), (3) and
(4) of section 168.1.9, and deducted prior to July 1, 1945, from compensation of persons who become members under section 168.1, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.

(5) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(6) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this section 168.1.10, to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution in paragraph (1), section 168.1.10, shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total compensation paid during said year, to persons who are members under section 168.1, said percentage to be the ratio of the value on July 1, 1945, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system as provided by the board of supervisors, of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the city and county, less the amount of such contributions, and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said system to provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective members after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the value at said respective dates of salaries thereafter payable to said members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system.

(7) To promote the stability of the retirement system through a joint participation in the result of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the city and county held by the system to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said system are included. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligation of the city and county to pay to the retirement system any amounts which may or shall become due under the provisions of the charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the city and county.

Section 168.1.12. No person retired as a member under section 168.1 after June 30, 1945, for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership
on boards and commissions, nor shall such person receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

(Notwithstanding any provision in this charter to the contrary, should any such retired person, except persons retired prior to July 1, 1949, because of disability which resulted from injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the amount of the compensation earnable at the time he engages in the gainful occupation, by the member if he then held the position which he held at the time of his retirement, or, if that position has been abolished, the compensation earnable by the member if he held the position from which he was retired, immediately prior to its abolition.)

(The effective date of this amendment shall be the first day of the month following ratification by the State Legislature.)

Section 168.1.18. Amended sections 168.1.1, 168.1.2, 168.1.3, 168.1.4, 168.1.5, 168.1.10 and 168.1.12 contained in the proposition therefor submitted to the electorate on June 4, 1968, shall take effect on the first day of the month following their ratification by the State Legislature.

The amendments of sections 168.1.1, 168.1.2, 168.1.3, 168.1.4, 168.1.5, 168.1.10 and 168.1.12 do not and shall not increase any allowance first in effect prior to the effective date of said amendments.

Section 171.1.1. The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:

“Retirement allowance,” “death allowance” or “allowance,” shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, or upon the day following the date of death, as the case may be, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.

“Compensation,” as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen’s Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the city and county, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the fire department, but excluding remuneration paid for overtime.

“Compensation earnable” shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached at that time to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the fire department he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.

“Benefit” shall include “allowance,” “retirement allowance,” “death allowance” and “death benefit”.

“Final compensation” shall mean the (average) monthly compensation earnable by a member ((during the three years immediately preceding)) at the time of his retirement, or death before retirement ((.)), as the case may be, at the rate of remuneration attached at that time to the rank or
position which said member held, provided that said member has held said rank or position for at least one year immediately prior to said retirement or death; and provided, further, that if said member has not held said rank or position for at least one year immediately prior to said retirement or death, "final compensation," as to such member shall mean the monthly compensation earnable by such member in the rank or position next lower to the rank or position which he held at the time of retirement or death at the rate of remuneration attached at the time of said retirement or death to said next lower rank or position.

For the purpose of the retirement system and of this section, the terms "member of the fire department," "member of the department," or "member," shall mean any officer or employee of the fire department, excluding such officers and employees as are members of the retirement system under section 169 of the charter, who was or shall be subject to the charter provisions governing entrance requirements of members of the uniformed force of said department, and said terms further shall mean, from the effective date of their employment in said department, persons employed on the effective date hereof, regardless of age, or employed after said date at an age not greater than the maximum age then prescribed for entrance into employment in said uniformed force, to perform the duties now performed by members of the salvage corps in the fire department, or duties now performed under the titles of pilot of fireboats, marine engineer of fireboats, marine fireman of fireboats, or hydrant-gatemen. Any fire service performed by such member of the fire department outside the limits of the city and county and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as city and county service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the retirement system.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in section 158 of the charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board" as created in section 169 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.

Section 171.1.2. Any member of the fire department who completes at least ((thirty years of service in the aggregate, regardless of age, or at least)) twenty-five years of service in the aggregate and attains the age of ((fifty-five)) fifty (50) years, said service to be computed under section 171.1.9, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of ((sixty-seven years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1949; the age of sixty-six years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1950; and thereafter, following the attainment of the age of)) sixty-five years. A member retired after meeting the service and age requirements in the two sentences next preceding, shall receive a retirement allowance equal to ((fifty)) fifty-five per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 171.1.1, plus an allowance at the rate of ((one and two thirds)) three per cent of said final compensation, for each year of service rendered ((prior to at-
taining age sixty and) after qualifying as to age and service for retirement; provided, however, that such retirement allowance shall not exceed seventy per cent of said member's final compensation. A member retired after attaining the age of sixty-five years, but before completing twenty-five years of service in the aggregate computed under section 171.1.9, shall receive a retirement allowance which bears the same ratio to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 171.1.1, as the service with which he is entitled to be credited, bears to twenty-five years. (Any member may retire, regardless of age, after rendering twenty-five years of service in the aggregate, computed under section 171.1.9, but in such event, his retirement allowance shall be such as can be provided at the age of retirement by the actuarial value, at the age of retirement, of the retirement allowance to which he would be entitled at the date upon which he would qualify for retirement under the first sentence of this paragraph, deferred to that date.) If, at the date of retirement for service, or for disability resulting from an injury received in performance of duty, said member has no wife, children or dependent parents, who would qualify for the continuance of the allowance after the death of said member, or with respect to the portion of the allowance which would not be continued regardless of dependents, or upon retirement for disability resulting from other causes, with respect to all of the allowance and regardless of dependents at retirement, a member retired under this section, or section 171.1.3, may elect before the first payment of the retirement allowance is made, to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance or the portion which would not be continued regardless of dependents, as the case may be, partly in a lesser allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar election by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount of such other benefits.

Section 171.1.3. Any member of the fire department who becomes incapacitated for the performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by performance of his duty, shall be retired (,,) (and if) If he is not qualified for service retirement, he shall receive a retirement allowance (equal to seventy-five per cent) in an amount which shall be equal to the same percentage of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 171.1.1 (,,), as his percentage of disability is determined to be. The percentage of disability shall be as determined by the Workmen's Compensation Appeals Board of the State of California upon referral from the retirement board for that purpose; provided that the retirement board may, by five (5) affirmative votes, adjust the percentage of disability as determined by said Appeals Board; and provided, further, that such retirement allowance shall be in an amount not less than fifty per cent nor more than ninety per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 171.1.1. Said allowance shall be paid to him until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at retirement, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the (average monthly) final compensation, as defined in section 171.1.1, he would have received (during the three years) immediately prior to said date, had he lived and rendered service as assumed, but such allowance shall not be less than (one-half) fifty-five per cent of such (average monthly) final compensation.
If at the time of retirement because of disability, he is qualified as to age and service for retirement under section 171.1.2, he shall receive an allowance equal to the retirement allowance which he would receive if retired under section 171.1.2, but not less than ((fifty)) fifty-five per cent of said final compensation. Any member of the fire department who becomes incapacitated for performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding sentences, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in section 171.1.9, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-half per cent of the final compensation of said member as defined in section 171.1.1 for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than thirty-three and one-third per cent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this section may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of the fire commission, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.

Section 171.1.4. If a member of the fire department shall die before or after retirement by reason of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a death allowance, in lieu of any allowance payable under any other section of the charter or by ordinance, on account of death resulting from injury received in or illness caused by the performance of duty, shall be paid, beginning on the date next following the date of death, to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but had not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had been retired for service on the day of death, but such allowances shall not be less than ((one-half)) fifty-five per cent of the ((average monthly)) final compensation earnable by said member ((during the three years)) immediately preceding death. If death occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance payable shall be equal to the final compensation of said member at the date of death, until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at death, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the ((average monthly)) final compensation he would have received ((during the three years)) immediately prior to said date, had he lived and rendered service as assumed, but such allowance shall not be less than ((one-half)) fifty-five per cent of such ((average)) monthly final compensation. If he had retired prior to death, for service or for disability resulting from injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member, except that if he was a member under section 171.1 and retirement was for such disability, and if death occurred prior to qualification for the service retirement allowance, the allowance continued shall be reduced upon the date at which said member would have qualified for service retirement, in the same manner as it would have been reduced had the member not died. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or
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attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving widow otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife following the death of a member unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death.

Section 171.1.5. Upon the death of a member resulting from any cause, other than an injury received in or illness caused by performance of duty, (a) if his death occurred after qualification for service retirement, under section 171.1.2, or after retirement for service or because of disability which resulted from any cause other than an injury received in, or illness caused by performance of duty, ((one-half of)) three-fourths of his retirement allowance to which the member would have been entitled if he had retired for service at the time of death or ((one-half of)) three-fourths of the retirement allowance as it was at his death, as the case may be, shall be continued throughout life or until remarriage, to his surviving wife, or (b) if his death occurred((() after retirement for disability by reason of injury received in or illness caused by performance of duty, his retirement allowance as it was at his death shall be continued throughout life or until remarriage, to his surviving wife, except that, if death occurred prior to qualification for service retirement allowance, the allowance continued shall be ((reduced)) adjusted upon the date at which said member would have qualified for service retirement, in the same manner as it would have been ((reduced)) adjusted had the member not died. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving wife otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death if he had not retired, or unless she was married to the member at least one year prior to his retirement.

As used in this section and section 171.1.4, "surviving wife" shall mean and include a surviving spouse, and shall also mean and include a spouse who has remarried since the death of the member but whose remarriage has been terminated by death, divorce or annulment within five years after the date of such remarriage and who has not thereafter again remarried.

The surviving wife, in the event of death of the member after qualification for but before service retirement, may elect before the first payment of the allowance, to receive the benefit provided in section 171.1.7, in lieu of the allowance which otherwise would be continued to her under this section. If there be no surviving wife, the guardian of the child or children under age eighteen, may make such election, and if there be no such children, the dependent parent or parents may make such election. Persons herefore retired under charter section 171, as members of the fire department
at the time of retirement, shall be subject to the provisions of this section. “Qualified for service retirement,” “Qualification for service retirement” or “Qualified as to age and service for retirement,” as used in this section and other sections to which persons who are members under section 171.1 are subject, shall mean completion of ((thirty years of service regardless of age, or)) twenty-five years of service and attainment of age ((fifty-five)) fifty, said service to be computed under section 171.1.9.

(The effective date of this amendment shall be the first day of the month following approval by the State Legislature.)

Section 171.1.10. All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

(1) The normal rate of contribution of each member under this section shall be based on his age taken to the next lower complete quarter year, (a) at the earlier of the dates he became a member under section 165, 165.2 or 171, in the case of persons who are members under these sections, or (b) on his age at the date he becomes a member under section 171.1 in the case of persons who become members on or after the effective date of this amendment, without credit for service counted under section 171.1.9. The age of entrance into the fire department shall be determined by deducting the member’s service credited under section 171.1.9 as rendered prior to the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution according to the sentence next preceding, from said age. The normal rate of contribution of each such member, to be effective from the effective date of membership under section 171.1, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption, under section 171.1.2, one-third of that portion of the service retirement allowance to which he would be entitled, without continuance to dependents, upon first qualifying as to age and service, for retirement under that section, which is based on service rendered after the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution according to the first sentence in this paragraph, and assuming the contribution to be made from that date. The normal rate of contribution, however, shall not exceed ((ten)) six per cent.

(2) The dependent contributions of each member under this section which shall be required of each member throughout his membership in addition to the normal contributions, and in the same manner as normal contributions, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption under section 171.1.2, and upon his first qualifying as to age and service for retirement under that section, one-third of the portion of his allowance, which is to be continued under section 171.1.5 after his death and throughout the life of a surviving wife whose age at said death is three years less than the age of said member. If, at the date of retirement for service or retirement for disability resulting from injury received in performance of duty, said member has no wife who would qualify for the continuance of the allowance to her after the death of said member, or upon retirement for disability resulting from other causes, regardless of his marital condition, the dependent contributions with accumulated interest thereon, shall be paid to him forthwith. The dependent rate of contribution, however, shall not exceed the difference between ((ten)) six per cent and the member’s normal rate of contribution, and said dependent rate may be taken as a flat percentage of the member’s normal rate, regardless of the age of qualification for service retirement.
(3) There shall be deducted from each payment of compensation made to a member under this section, a sum determined by applying the member's rates of contribution to such compensation payment. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in sections 171.1.7, 171.1.8 and 171.1.9.

(4) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1), (2) and (3) of section 171.1.9, and deducted prior to the effective date thereof, from compensation of persons who become members under section 171.1, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.

(5) The total contributions, with interest thereon; made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(6) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this section 171.1.10, to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution in paragraph (1) section 171.1.10, shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total compensation paid during said year, to persons who are members under section 171.1, said percentage to be the ratio of the value on the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system as provided by the board of supervisors, of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the city and county, less the amount of such contributions, and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said systems to provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective members after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the value at said respective dates of salaries thereafter payable to said members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system.

(7) To promote the stability of the retirement system through a joint participation in the result of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies the contributions of both members and
the city and county held by the system to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said system are included. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligations of the city and county to pay to the retirement system any amounts which may or shall become due under the provisions of the charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the city and county.

Section 171.1.12. No person retired as a member under section 171.1 for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such person receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

((Notwithstanding any provision in this charter to the contrary, should any such retired person engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the amount of the compensation earnable at the time he engages in the gainful occupation, by the member if he then held the position which he held at the time of his retirement, or, if that position has been abolished, the compensation earnable by the member if he held the position from which he was retired, immediately prior to its abolition.))

Section 171.1.15. Amended section 171.1.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, 171.1.5, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 contained in the proposition therefor submitted to the electorate on June 4, 1968, shall take effect on the first day of the month next following their ratification by the State Legislature.

The amendments of sections 171.1.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, 171.1.5, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 do not and shall not increase any allowance first in effect prior to the effective date of said amendments.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 1, 1968.
Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.
I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “O”
Vote “Yes” on Proposition “O”

Restore Fair Treatment for Police and Firemen

The business community of San Francisco fully supports fair treatment for police and firemen.
In spite of drastically changed conditions today and greatly increased living costs, there have been no significant improvements in the retirement system for police and firemen since 1932 when the present Charter was adopted.

Vote “Yes” on Proposition “O”—Retain Good Men

At the present time San Francisco has real difficulty in recruiting and retaining able men in the Police and Fire Departments. The work hazardous, the hours are long, and the rewards are low. Other cities—which are in direct competition with San Francisco for good men—have improved their retirement systems to make the jobs more attractive. In order to keep pace and recruit and hold good men—and to keep San Francisco as
a safe place to live and work—improvements must be made in the retire-
ment system for police and firemen.

Vote “Yes” on Proposition “O”—Protect Your Dollars

Under San Francisco's outmoded retirement system there have been
occasional abuses. Pensions for doubtful disabilities have given a bad image
to the vast majority of honest police and firemen. Proposition “O” will
reform the procedure for determining disability pensions. This reform will
protect the dollars invested by the taxpayers and police and firemen in
the retirement system. Businessmen participated in the preparation of
this amendment to provide assurances that sound, businesslike procedures
will be followed in the future.

Sponsored by: Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.
On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing
argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the
following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy,
Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION “O”

Vote “YES” on Proposition “O”

You Deserve The Best Police & Fire Protection

For Your Safety, Vote “Yes” on “O”

San Francisco's police and firemen are the City's front line of defense.
Yet these men have been woefully treated whenever improvements in their
retirement system have been proposed. There have been no major improve-
ments in the San Francisco police and fire retirement system since the
present Charter was adopted in 1932.

(“Vote Yes Proposition “O” Create a Business-like System”)

Proposition “O” will provide a badly needed overhaul for the police-
fire retirement system. This measure will restore a business-like operation
to the administration of the retirement system and will provide fair treat-
ment for the police and firemen of San Francisco.

(Vote Yes Proposition “O” “Aid Recruitment”)

Proposition “O” has widespread support. Business, labor, civic groups,
veterans and citizens from all walks of life in San Francisco have recognized
the need for reforms and improvements in the police and fire retirement
system.

(“Vote Yes Proposition “O” “Retain Your Policemen and Firemen”)

Among the problems which Proposition “O” will correct are these:

An end to pension abuses

Proposition “O” will place all disability retirement hearings in the
hands of an independent agency of the State of California which will con-
duct fair and impartial hearings, eliminating doubtful disability cases.

Improved recruiting efforts

Proposition “O” will help attract more and better recruits for the
Police and Fire Departments by providing retirement benefits comparable
to those paid in other cities. Today, the retirement benefits have lagged
far behind other cities in California.

Retain good men in San Francisco

Many firemen and police officers have left San Francisco and joined
police and fire departments in other cities where benefits have kept pace
with rising costs of living. Proposition “O” will make it possible to keep
good men in San Francisco, saving money for the taxpayers.
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Restoration of public confidence

Proposition "O" will once again restore public confidence in the retirement system by making the first major overhaul since 1932. These improvements are badly needed and long overdue. Vote Yes on Proposition "O". Restore equity in the treatment of San Francisco's police and firemen.

End doubtful pensions and disability cases

All San Franciscans will benefit through improvement of conditions for police and firemen.

Sponsored by:
Police-Fire Coordinating Committee
Frank Minahan, Co-Chairman (Fire)
E. N. Marcelli, Co-Chairman (Police)

Endorsed by:
Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor of San Francisco
Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
Down Town Association
William F. Murray, Chief of Dept., San Francisco Fire Department

Fire Commission
Municipal Improvement League, Component organizations:
American Federation of Technical Engineers Local 11
Automotive Machinists Lodge No. 1305
Civil Service Association
Civil Service Building Maintenance Union Local 66A
Civil Service Per Diem Men's Association of San Francisco
Cooks, Pastry Cooks & Assistants Local 44
Deputy Sheriffs' Association Inc. of San Francisco
Federation of Public Employees
Hospital and Institutional Workers' Union Local 250
International Union of Operating Engineers Stationary Local 39
Retired Employees of the City and County of San Francisco
San Francisco City & County Employees Union Local 400
San Francisco County Nurses' Association Inc.
San Francisco Classroom Teachers Association
San Francisco Federation of Teachers Local 61
San Francisco City and County Employees Local 747
San Francisco Fire Fighters Local 798
San Francisco Police Officers' Association
San Francisco Veteran Police Officers Association Inc.
Staff Council Bureau of Public Health Nursing
Transport Workers Union Local 250A

San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council
Brotherhood of Teamsters and Auto Truck Drivers, Local 85
Apartment House Associations Consolidated
California Northern Hotel Association
San Francisco Real Estate Board
San Francisco Labor Council
George W. Johns
San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce
San Francisco Municipal Conference
J. W. Mailliard III, President, The Police Commission
Dr. Washington E. Garner, Police Commissioner
Elmo E. Ferrari, Police Commissioner
Thomas J. Cahill, Chief of Police
Retail Dry Goods Association of San Francisco
Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco

On April 15, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk
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ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "O"
Vote Yes on Proposition "O"

The San Francisco Municipal Conference recommends a Yes vote on Proposition "O". Proposition "O" is the result of discussions between The San Francisco Municipal Conference and the Fire and Police Departments extending over more than a year.

Special committees of The Conference and the two Departments worked diligently to negotiate a package of improved retirement benefits. It was our common objective to arrive at a proposition which will be fair to our security forces and fair to the taxpayer.

Proposition "O" should produce younger more vigorous police and firefighting forces, improve morale, ease recruiting problems and reduce or eliminate abuse of disability retirement benefits.

The San Francisco Municipal Conference has a long tradition of guarding the taxpayer's interests. We believe Proposition "O" is a good buy at a fair price.

We Recommend Your "Yes" Vote on Proposition "O"

This argument is sponsored by The San Francisco Municipal Conference.

Lloyd E. Graybiel, Chairman

The constituent organizations of The San Francisco Municipal Conference are:
Apartment House Associations Consolidated, Inc.
Building Owners and Managers Assn.
California Northern Hotel Assn.
Down Town Association
Retail Dry Goods Association
San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce
San Francisco Real Estate Board

On April 22, 1968, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet of June 4, 1968, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Mailliard, McCarthy, Mendelsohn, Morrison, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

PROPOSITION "O"

Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, based on an actuarial report submitted by the Employees' Retirement System, it is estimated that the annual increase in the cost of government would be approximately $3,001,304.

Based on the 1967-68 assessment roll, this estimated annual increase is equivalent to thirteen and eight tenths (13.8) cents in the tax rate.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco
Vote Early

Polls Open
From 7 A. M.
to 8 P. M.

18-5 SAMPLE BALLOT

The Voting Machine Will
Record Votes ONLY Where
The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

1 STATE PROPOSITION
FOR
1 AGAINST
THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF
1968. (This act provides for a bond
issue of two hundred million dollars
($200,000,000) to provide farm and
home aid for California veterans.

2 STATE PROPOSITION
FOR
2 AGAINST
BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR
COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act
provides for a bond issue of sixty-five
million dollars ($65,000,000).)

3 CITY AND
COUNTY
PROPOSITION
YES
A
NO
Market Street Reconstruction and
Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur
a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,-
000.00 for the reconstruction and
improvement of Market Street, of
portions of streets intersecting Mar-
ket Street and of street areas in the
vicinity of transit stations.

4 CITY AND
COUNTY
PROPOSITION
YES
B
NO
Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968.
To incur a bonded indebtedness of
$14,685,000.00 for additions to and
improvement of the Recreation and
Park System of the City and County
of San Francisco.

FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION
(Vote for one group only)

1-B Candidates preferring Ronald Reagan

UNITED STATES SENATOR
(Vote for One)

4-B Thomas H. Kuchel
United States Senator
Republican

5-B W. C. Jones
Publisher
Republican

6-B Max Rafferty
State Superintendent of Public Instruction
Republican

7-B James A. Watt
Business Exec
Republican

DOCUMENTS
MAY 1 6 1968
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Line B
Republican Party
Ballot

B
**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION**

1. **MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.**

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such person.

3. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATE the CANDIDATES for which you wish to vote. To vote against the candidates, leave the pointer in its raised position.

4. TO VOTE for a person numbered slide at top of each column and write name of candidate.
**AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968**

1. **YES** of your choice, pull down the **POINTERS** over the names of your candidates on **OFFICE TITLE CARD** for vote. Write-in vote for Delegate to National Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any in office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

2. **TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS**, pull **DOWN** pointers over the words "FOR" or "AGAINST" or "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and **LEAVE THEM DOWN**.

3. **LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN** as you have placed them, move the **RED HAND**

---

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION**

- **H NO**
  - Adds Section 13: Authorizes Board of Supervisors to designate substitute for any official whose misconduct makes it necessary to publish or circulate.

- **I NO**
  - Adds Section 164.1: Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 1, 1977.

- **J NO**
  - Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118: Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department with reference to mandatory referrals to said Department for report thereon.

- **K NO**
  - Amends Section 156.2: Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disability transferences and method of fixing salary of said transferences promoted hereunder.

- **L NO**
  - Amends Section 145: Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 19 years and age range for appointment there to between 20-32 years.

---

**MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE**

(18th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)

(Vote for Six)

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-B</td>
<td>17-B</td>
<td>18-B</td>
<td>19-B</td>
<td>20-B</td>
<td>21-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecile Urbank</td>
<td>Emily Goodloe Pike</td>
<td>J. Max Moore</td>
<td>Lawrence D. Becker</td>
<td>Steven J. Doi Whaley</td>
<td>Beverly A. Whaley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incumbent

---

**JUDGE OF THE COURT**

(Vote for One)

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24-B</td>
<td>24-B</td>
<td>24-B</td>
<td>24-B</td>
<td>24-B</td>
<td>24-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Molkenbuhr</td>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPUBLICAN BALLOT

of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

M NO

Amends Section 175: Increases filing fee of sponsors for elective office; requires a nomination paper to aid candidates.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES N NO

Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES O NO

Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.

SUPERIOR COURT

25

Superior Court No. 2
for One)

25-B

J. Joseph Sullivan
Lawyer

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS
The Voting Machine Will
Record Votes ONLY Where
The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

STATE PROPOSITION
1 AGAINST
THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF
1966. (This act provides for a bond
issue of two hundred million dollars
($200,000,000) to provide farm and
home aid for California veterans.

STATE PROPOSITION
2 AGAINST
BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR
COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act
provides for a bond issue of sixty-five
million dollars ($65,000,000).)

CITY AND COUNTY
PROPOSITION
YES A NO
Market Street Reconstruction and
Improvement Bonds, 1966. To incur
a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,-
000.00 for the reconstruction and
improvement of Market Street, of
portions of streets intersecting Mar-
ket Street and of street areas in the
vicinity of transit stations.

CITY AND COUNTY
PROPOSITION
YES B NO
Recreation and Park Bonds, 1966
To incur a bonded indebtedness of
$14,000,000.00 for additions to an
improvement of the Recreation and
Park System of the City and County of
San Francisco.

FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION
(Vote for one group only)

1-A
Candidates preferring
Eugene J.
McCarthy
2-A
Candidates expressing
no preference
Thomas C.
Lynch
(Chairman)
3-A
Candidates preferring
Robert F.
Kennedy
4-A
Walter R.
"Buck"
Buchanan
5-A
Charles
Craith
Bankers' Computer
Counsel
6-A
Alan
Cranston
7-A
Anthony
Beilin
California
State Sen.
Democratic

UNITED STATES SENATE:
(Vote for One)

1-A
Democratic
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

1. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such

3. TO VOTE FOR CAND the CANDIDATES for w.

4. TO VOTE for a person numbered slide at top of and write name of candi

---

7

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION C NO
Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $5,700,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

---

YES D NO
Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

---

YES E NO
Amends Section 24: Suspends, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

---

YES F NO
Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

---

YES G NO
Adds Section 69.2: Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $6,000,000.00.

---

8

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS (5th DISTRICT) (Vote for One)
8-A William M. Bennett California Utilities Commissioner Democratic

9

9-A Phillip Burton Member of Congress 5th District Democratic

10

10-A Thomas P. O'Toole Accountant Democratic

11

STATE SENATOR (5th DISTRICT) (Vote for One)
11-A William A. Newsom Attorney at Law Democratic

12

12-A John A. O'Connell Attorney at Law Democratic

13

MEMBER OF THE ASSEMBLY (18th DISTRICT) (Vote for One)
13-A Willie L. Brown, Jr. Incumbent — Member of Assembly Democratic

14

14-A Francisco J. DeOsuna Teamster Democratic

15

15-A Douglas T. Corbin Incumbent
AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

1. **ATIES of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of the candidates you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.**

2. If the name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise the card and continue to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, as indicated on paper under each name. A write-in vote for Delegate to National Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any candidate.)

3. **TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull DOWN pointers over the words or "AGAINST" or "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and LEAVE THEM DOWN.**

4. **LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HAND**

---

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION H** - NO

- **YES**

  - Adds Section 13.3: Authorizes Board of Supervisors to designate substitute members whenever official becomes temporarily unavailable to publish or circulate.

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION I** - NO

- **YES**

  - Adds Section 104.1: Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION J** - NO

- **YES**

  - Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118: Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department with reference to mandatory referrals to said Department for report thereon.

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION K** - NO

- **YES**

  - Adds Section 156.2: Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disability transfees and method of fixing salary of said transfees promoted hereunder.

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION L** - NO

- **YES**

  - Amends Section 145: Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 19 years and age range for appointment thereto between 20-32 years.

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION**

- **YES**

---

**MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE**

(18th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)

(Vote for Six)

- **16-A** Frank J. Reilly

  - Incumbent

- **17-A** Ella Hill Hutch

  - Incumbent

- **18-A** Hiram E. Smith

  - Incumbent

- **19-A** Arthur Gradwohl

  - Regional Editor

- **20-A** David R. Pacheco

  - Public Relations Counsel

- **21-A** Ruth Church Gupta

  - Attorney at Law

- **22-A** Samuel Wright

  - Incumbent

- **23-A** H. L. Griffin

  - Incumbent

- **24-A** Edward Molkenbuhr

  - Judge of the Superior Court

---

**JUDGE OF THE OFFICE**

(Vote
candidate
of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
M NO YES N NO
Amends Section 176; Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES O NO
Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.10 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.

SUPERIOR COURT
No. 2 (for One)

25-A
J. Joseph Sullivan
Lawyer
**Voting Machine Instructions**

The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>For Proposition 1 Against Proposition 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>For Proposition 2 Against Proposition 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>For Proposition 3 Against Proposition 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes - City and County Proposition 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No - City and County Proposition 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes - State Proposition 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>No - State Proposition 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes - State Proposition 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>No - City and County Proposition 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>No - City and County Proposition 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proposition 1**
- **State Proposition 1**
- **For The Veterans Bond Act of 1968** (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.

**Proposition 2**
- **State Proposition 2**
- **For Bonds to Provide Junior College Facilities** (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).)

**Proposition 3**
- **State Proposition 3**
- **For Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968** To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000.00 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

**Proposition 4**
- **City and County Proposition 4**
- **For Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968** To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,883,000.00 for additions to or improvement of the Recreation Park System of the City and County of San Francisco.

**Proposition 5**
- **City and County Proposition 5**
- **For Yes on Proposition 5**
- **For No on Proposition 5**

**Proposition 6**
- **State Proposition 6**
- **For Yes on Proposition 6**
- **For No on Proposition 6**

**Proposition 7**
- **State Proposition 7**
- **For Yes on Proposition 7**
- **For No on Proposition 7**

**Proposition 8**
- **State Proposition 8**
- **For Yes on Proposition 8**
- **For No on Proposition 8**

**Proposition 9**
- **City and County Proposition 9**
- **For Yes on Proposition 9**
- **For No on Proposition 9**

**Proposition 10**
- **City and County Proposition 10**
- **For Yes on Proposition 10**
- **For No on Proposition 10**

**Candidates for Delegate to National Convention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-B</td>
<td>Ronald Reagan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Candidates for US Senate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-B</td>
<td>Thomas H. Kuchel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-B</td>
<td>W. C. Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-B</td>
<td>Max Rafferty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-B</td>
<td>James W. Business Economics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Republican Party Ballot**

- **Line B**
- **Candidates preferring Ronald Reagan**
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

VOTING

1. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such

3. TO VOTE for the CANDIDATES for wi

4. TO VOTE for a person numbered slide at top of end write name of cand

---

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES C NO
Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $5,700,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES D NO
Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES E NO
Amends Section 24: Suspends, until June 30, 1975, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES F NO
Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES G NO
Adds Section 69.2: Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $5,000,000.00.

---

8  11  13  15
8-B  8-B  11-B  15-B
Phil Cammack William S. Mailliard Milton Marks James L. Walker John M. Maguire
Engineer U.S. Congressman Incumbent State Senator Businessman Certified Public Accountant
Republican Republican Republican Republican Republican

9  10  12  14
Representative in Congress (6th District) (Vote for One) State Senator (9th District) (Vote for One) Member of the Assembly (18th District) (Vote for One)

---

11-B
Milton Marks
Incumbent State Senator
Republican
AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

person.

ATES of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of om you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise machine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, date on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National

Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of in office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

5. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull DOWN pointers over the wo or "AGAINST" or "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and LEAVE THE

6. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 13: Authorizes Board</td>
<td>Add Section 164: Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.</td>
<td>Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118: Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department with reference to mandatory referrals to said Department for report thereon.</td>
<td>Amends Section 156: Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disability transfeerees and method of fixing salary of said transfeerees promoted hereunder.</td>
<td>Amends Section 145: Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 19 years and age range for appointment thereto between 20-32 years.</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Vote for Six)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16-B</th>
<th>17-B</th>
<th>18-B</th>
<th>19-B</th>
<th>20-B</th>
<th>21-B</th>
<th>24-B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeanne Cecile Burbank</td>
<td>Emily Goodloe Pike</td>
<td>J. Max Moore</td>
<td>Lawrence D. Becker</td>
<td>Steven J. Doi</td>
<td>Beverly A. Whaley</td>
<td>Edward Molkenbuh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPUBLICAN BALLOT

of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
M NO
Amends Section 175: Increases filing fee of sponsors for candidates for elective office; requires delivery of nomination paper to said candidates.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES N NO
Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES O NO
Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.19; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

E SUPERIOR COURT
for One)

25-B
J. Joseph Sullivan
Lawyer

DOCUMENTS
MAY 16 1968
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
The Voting Machine Will
Record Votes ONLY Where
The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATE PROPOSITION</td>
<td>STATE PROPOSITION</td>
<td>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</td>
<td>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 AGAINST</td>
<td>2 AGAINST</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF 1968. (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.</td>
<td>BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).)</td>
<td>MARKET STREET RECONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1968. TO INCUR A BONDED INDEBTEDNESS OF $24,500,000.00 FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENT OF MARKET STREET, OF PORTIONS OF STREETS INTERSECTING MARKET STREET AND OF STREET AREAS IN THE VICINITY OF TRANSIT STATIONS.</td>
<td>RECREATION AND PARK BONDS, 1968. TO INCUR A BONDED INDEBTEDNESS OF $14,883,000.00 FOR ADDITIONS TO AND IMPROVEMENTS OF THE RECREATION PARK SYSTEM OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION (Vote for one group only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-A</td>
<td>3-A</td>
<td>4-A</td>
<td>5-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANIDATES EXPRESSING NO PREFERENCE</td>
<td>CANDIDATES EXPRESSING NO PREFERENCE</td>
<td>CANDIDATES EXPRESSING NO PREFERENCE</td>
<td>CANDIDATES EXPRESSING NO PREFERENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOMAS C. MCCARTHY</td>
<td>CHARLES CRAIL</td>
<td>ALAN CRANSTON</td>
<td>ANTHONY C. BEILENSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Chairman)</td>
<td>BANKERS' COMPUTER COUNSEL</td>
<td>DEMOCRATIC</td>
<td>CALIFORNIA STATE SENATOR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

United States Senator
(Vote for One)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WILLIAM BENNETT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such candidates.

3. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES for w/

4. TO VOTE for a person numbered slide at top of each slide and write name of candidate.

---

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES NO

Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $5,700,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES D NO

Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES E NO

Amends Section 24: Suspends, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES F NO

Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES G NO

Adds Section 69.2: Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $6,000,000.00.

---

8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

8-A 9-A 10-A 11-A 12-A 13-A 14-A 15-A


'Buck' Educator Accountant Attorney at Law, Legislative Accountant Representative, Board of Supervisors, Businessman Democratic Democratic Democratic Democratic Democratic Democratic Democratic Democratic

---

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS (5th DISTRICT) (Vote for One)

STATE SENATOR (9th DISTRICT) (Vote for One)

MEMBER OF THE ASSEMBLY 19th DISTRICT (Vote for One)
AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

...person.

...ates of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of
...m you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

...ose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise
...achine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD,
...e on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National

1. CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

S

H

NO

YES

1

NO

Amends Section 13: Authorizes Board
...erators to designate substitute
...ever official news-
...unable to publish or circulate.

2. CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES

2

NO

Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and
118: Fixes responsibility of City
Planning Department with refer-
ence to mandatory referrals to said
Department for report thereon.

3. CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES

3

NO

Amends Section 156.2: Prescribes eligi-
...ility requirements for participation in
promotional examinations. Exempts dis-
ability transfers and method of
fixing salary of displaced transfers pro-
...promoted hereunder.

4. CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES

4

NO

Amends Section 145: Sets age of ap-
plicant for entrance position in Fire
...artment at 19 years and age
range for appointment thereto be-
...een 20-32 years.

5. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull down pointers over the word
"FOR" or "AGAINST" or "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and LEAVE THE

6. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED MA-

MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMM
(19th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)
(Vote for Six)

16-A
17-A
18-A
19-A
20-A
21-A
22-A
23-A
24-A

Robert E.
Burton
Incumbent

Terry A.
Francois
Incumbent

Cal
Kurzman
College Library Director

John J.
Casey
Insurance Broker

Richard
Klein
Businessman

Raymond L.
Weisberg
Incumbent

Gerald R.
Brady
Student

Charles
Shafran
Operating Engineer

Joseph A.
Murray
Attorney at Law
democratic ballot

of the voting machine to the left as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

state propositions and city and county propositions

amends sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.12; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the police and fire departments.

judge of the superior court office no. 2
(vote for one)

25-a
pat kurzman
office manager

26-a
margaret l. brady
incumbent

27-a
cecil l. mcgriff
attorney at law

28-a
j. robert visnick
legislative consultant

29-a
emmett patrick o'boyle
incumbent

30-a
clifton r. jeffers
incumbent

31-a
edward molkenbuhr
judge of the superior court

32-a
j. joseph sullivan
lawyer
The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

1. STATE PROPOSITION
   FOR 1 AGAINST
   THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF 1968. (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.

2. STATE PROPOSITION
   FOR 2 AGAINST
   BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).)

3. CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
   YES A NO
   Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000.00 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

4. CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
   YES B NO
   Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,985,000.00 for additions to and improvement of the Recreation and Park System of the City and County of San Francisco.

5. FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION
   (Vote for one group only)

6. UNITED STATES SENATOR
   (Vote for One)

1-B
   Candidates preferring Ronald Reagan

4-B
   Thomas H. Kuchel
   United States Senator
   Republican

5-B
   Max Rafferty
   State Superintendent of Public Instruction
   Republican

6-B
   James A. Ware
   Business Executive
   Republican

7-B
   Phil Cavanaugh
   Engineer
   Republican
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

1. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such p

3. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES for who

4. TO VOTE for a person numbered slide at top of r

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES C NO
Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1938. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $3,000,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly border of the San Francisco headlands for its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES D NO
Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $3,000,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES E NO
Amends Section 24: Suspends, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES F NO
Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to reduce contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES G NO
Y-
Add Section 69:2: Authorizes incurring of a single bond indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $2,000,000.00.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES H NO
Amen of S Newsp:

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS (5th DISTRICT)

W. C. Jones
Publisher
Republican

9-B
Waldo Velasquez
Businessman
Republican

11-B
Milton Marks
Incumbent State Senator
Republican

13-B
Robert A. Champlain
Attorney
Republican

14-B
Marguerite Warren
Labor/Public Relations
Republican

STATE SENATOR (9th DISTRICT)

10

MEMBER OF THE ASSEMBLY

19th DISTRICT

8-B

9-B

11-B

13-B

14-B

(Vote for One)

(Vote for One)

(Vote for One)
ND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

If your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of the persons you wish to VOTE for and LEAVE THEM DOWN. If the name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise the pointer corresponding to the number of the OFFICE TITLE CARD, slide on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any person in office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

5. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull DOWN pointers over the word "FOR" or "AGAINST" or "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

6. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HANDLE and pull it down.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

H NO

Yes Section 13: Authorizes Board of Supervisors to designate substitute to fill whenever official news becomes unable to publish or circulate.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

I NO

Adds Section 164.1: Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

J NO

Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118: Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department with reference to mandatory referrals to said Department for report thereon.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

K NO

Adds Section 156.2: Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disability transferees and method of fixing salary of said transferees promoted hereunder.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

L NO

Amends Section 145: Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 19 years and age range for appointment thereto between 20-32 years.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES

Member County Central Comm.

(19th Assembly District)

(Vote for Six)

16-B

Frank J. "Brien

Incumbent

17-B

William J.

Ebert

Business Executive

18-B

Harlan L.

Smith

Real Estate Broker

19-B

Robert C.

Elkus

Attorney

20-B

John O.

Mack

Incumbent

21-B

Jane

Zimmerman

Incumbent

22-B

Edward J.

Meehan

Deputy Clerk

23-B

Michael S.

Salerno

Incumbent

24-B

Thomas R.

Dolan

Incumbent
REPUBLICAN BALLOT

"FOR" DOWN.

LEVER

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

25 26 27 28 29 30

25-B Robert G. Nelson Incumbent

31-B Edward Molkenbuhr Judge of the Superior Court

32-B J. Joseph Sullivan Lawyer

Amends Section 175: Increases filing number of sponsors for can-
derative office; requires a nomination paper to id candidates.

Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.

Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.15 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.16 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.
The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

### STATE PROPOSITION
**FOR 1 AGAINST**

1. The Veterans Bond Act of 1968. (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.

2. State Proposition 2 Against

   **FOR 2 AGAINST**

   2. Bonds to provide junior college facilities. (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).)

### CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
**YES A NO**

1. Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000.00 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

2. City and County Proposition B No

   Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,085,000.00 for additions to improvement of the Recreation Park System of the City and County of San Francisco.

### FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION
(Vote for one group only)

1. A

   Candidates preferring

   Eugene J. McCarthy
   Thomas C. Lynch (Chairman)

2. A

   Candidates expressing no preference

   Robert F. Kennedy

### UNITED STATES SENATE
(Vote for One)

1. A

   Charles Crail
   Bankers' Computer Counsel
   Democratic

2. A

   Alan Cranston
   Democratic

3. A

   Anthony C. Beilenson
   California State Senator
   Democratic

4. A

   William Benn
   California U. Commissioner
   Democratic
## CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

### VOTING

1. **Move Red Handle Lever** of Voting Machine to the **Right** as far as it will go and **Leave It There**.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for **Presidential Nominee** whose name appears on the **Ballot Label Card**, pull down the **Pointer** over the name of such person and **Leave It Down**. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and **Leave It Down**. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such.

3. **To Vote for CAND** the **Candidates for** ...

4. **To Vote** for a person numbered slide at top of and write name of candi

### CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

**YES** C **NO**

Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $3,700,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

**YES** D **NO**

Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

**YES** E **NO**

Amends Section 24: Suspends, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

**YES** F **NO**

Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

**YES** G **NO**

Adds Section 69.2: Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $6,000,000.00.

### REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-A</td>
<td>Representative in Congress (6th District) (Vote for One)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter R. Buchanan</td>
<td>Educator</td>
<td>12 - 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Buck&quot; Buchanan</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>State Senator (9th District) (Vote for One)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educator</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>13 - 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>11-A</td>
<td>Member of the Assembly (19th District) (Vote for One)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-A</td>
<td>William W. Turner</td>
<td>13-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Turner</td>
<td>Writer</td>
<td>Leo T. McCarthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Businessman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-A</td>
<td>William A. Newsom</td>
<td>13-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney at Law</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-A</td>
<td>John A. O'Connell</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney at Law</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>14-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Legislative Representative</td>
<td>15-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Businessman</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-A</td>
<td>Bernard R. Brady</td>
<td>15-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Representative</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-A</td>
<td>Leo T. McCarthy</td>
<td>15-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>Businessman</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

6. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HAND

5. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull DOWN pointers over the words "FOR" or "AGAINST" or "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of in office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

votes of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of om you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise machine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, te on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

S H NO

YES I NO

Amends Section 145: Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 19 years and age range for appointment thereto between 20-32 years.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

21 22 23 24

MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMM
(19th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)
(Vote for Six)

Robert E. Burton
Incumbent

Terry A. Francois
Incumbent

Cal Kurzman
College Library Director

John J. Casey
Insurance Broker

Richard Klein
Businessman

Raymond L. Weisberg
Incumbent

Gerald R. Brady
Student

Charles Shafran
Operating Engineer

Joseph A. Murray
Attorney at Law

16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

16-A
17-A
18-A
19-A
20-A
21-A
22-A
23-A
24-A

Andes Section 13: Authorizes Board

Section 14: Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.

Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118: Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department with reference to mandatory referrals to said Department for report thereon.

Amends Section 156.2: Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disability transferees and method of fixing salary of said transferees promoted hereunder.
DETERMINED BALLOT

of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

M NO on 175: Increases filing fee of sponsors for candidate for elective office; requires nomination paper to aid candidates.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES N NO Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES O NO Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND
CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Office No. 2
(Vote for One)

25-A
Pat Kurzeman
Office Manager

26-A
Margaret L.
Brady
Incumbent

27-A
Cecil L.
McGriff
Attorney at Law

28-A
J. Robert
Visnick
Legislative
Consultant

29-A
Emmett Patrick
O'Boyle
Incumbent

30-A
Clifton R.
Jeffers
Incumbent

31-A
Edward
Molkenbuhr
Judge of the
Superior Court

32-A
J. Joseph
Sullivan
Lawyer
20-5 SAMPLE BALLOT

The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

1: STATE PROPOSITION FOR 1 AGAINST
The Veterans Bond Act of 1968. (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.

2: STATE PROPOSITION FOR 2 AGAINST
Bonds to Provide Junior College Facilities. (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).)

3: CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES NO
Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

1: FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION (Vote for one group only)

1-A candidates preferring Eugene J.
   McCarthy
   Thomas C. Lynch (Chairman)
2-A candidates expressing no preference
3-A candidates preferring Robert F. Kennedy

4: UNITED STATES SENATE

4-A Alan Cranston
   Democratic

5: CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION B

5-A Anthony C. Beilenson
   California State Senator
   Democratic

6: CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

6-A William M. Bennett
   California Utilities Commissioner
   Democratic

7: A Walter "Buck" Bucher
   Democrat
# CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

## VOTING

1. **Move red handle lever of voting machine to the right** as far as it will go and **leave it there.**

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the Ballot Label Card, pull down **the pointer over the name of such person** and **leave it down.** To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and **leave it down.** By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such candidate.

3. **To vote for cand.** the candidates for...

4. **To vote** for a person numbered slide at top of... and write name of cand. as fol.

---

### CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $5,700,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

### CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000,000 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

### CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
<td><strong>E</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amends Section 24; Suspends, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

### CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
<td><strong>F</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amends Section 158.2; Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

### CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
<td><strong>G</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adds Section 69.2; Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $6,000,000.00.

---

### REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8-A</th>
<th>9-A</th>
<th>10-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>8-A</strong></td>
<td><strong>9-A</strong></td>
<td><strong>10-A</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Charles Crail**
Bankers' Computer Counsel
Democratic

**Phillip Burton**
Member of Congress 9th District
Democratic

**Thomas P. O'Toole**
Accountant
Democratic

**William A. Newsom**
Attorney at Law
Democratic

**John A. O'Connell**
Attorney at Law
Democratic

**John L. Burton**
Assemblyman, 20th District
Democratic

**Julia D. Vasquez**
Incumbent

**James M. Krotosyner**
Incumbent

### STATE SENATOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11-A</th>
<th>12-A</th>
<th>13-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>11-A</strong></td>
<td><strong>12-A</strong></td>
<td><strong>13-A</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Incumbent**

### MEMBER OF THE ASSEMBLY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14-A</th>
<th>15-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>14-A</strong></td>
<td><strong>15-A</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Incumbent**
AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

1. YES or NO of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of the candidates you wish to VOTE for and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

2. whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise the machine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, blank on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of a candidate.)

3. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull DOWN pointers over the words "AGAINST" or "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

4. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HAND...

---

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

- Section 13: Authorizes Board of Supervisors to designate substitute or whenever official newsstands unable to publish or circulate.

- Section 14: Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.

- Section 15: Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118: Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department with reference to mandatory referrals to said Department for report thereon.

- Section 16: Adds Section 156.2: Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disabilities transfers and method of fixing salary of said transfers to promote hereunder.

- Section 17: Amends Section 145: Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 19 years and age range for appointment thereof between 20-32 years.

---

MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE
(20th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)
(Vote for Six)

16-A
Catherine R. Lee
Incumbent

17-A
George H. Cerasi
Incumbent

18-A
Kenneth John Azbill
Incumbent

19-A
Frank DiMarco, Jr.
Engineer

20-A
Joe Beeman
Incumbent

21-A
George Medina
Advertising Salesman

22-A
Edward Mokkenbuhr
Judge of the Superior Court

23-A
J. Joseph Sullivan
Lawyer

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Office No. 2
(Vote for One)
DEMOCRATIC BALLOT

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION M NO

175: Increases filing fee of sponsors for candidacy; requires nomination paper to include 3 candidates.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION YES N NO

Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidacy for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION YES O NO

Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.11 to 171.1.15 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS
### 20-5 SAMPLE BALLOT

**The Voting Machine Will**
**Record Votes ONLY Where**
**The Pointers Are Left DOWN.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### DOCUMENTS
MAY 1 6 1968
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

#### Line B
Republic
Party Ballot

####共和党

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1-B</th>
<th>4-B</th>
<th>5-B</th>
<th>6-B</th>
<th>7-B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-B</td>
<td>4-B</td>
<td>5-B</td>
<td>6-B</td>
<td>7-B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **1-B**
  - Thomas H. Kuchel
  - United States Senator
  - Republican
- **4-B**
  - James A. Ware
  - Business Executive
  - Republican
- **5-B**
  - Phil Cammack
  - Engineer
  - Republican
- **6-B**
  - W. C. Jones
  - Public
  - Republican

#### STATE PROPOSITION
FOR 1 AGAINST
THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF 1968. (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.)

#### STATE PROPOSITION
FOR 2 AGAINST
BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).)

#### CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES A NO
Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,300,000 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

#### CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES B NO
Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,885,000 for additions to and improvements of the Recreation and Park System of the City and County of San Francisco.

#### FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION (Vote for one group only)

1-B
- Candidates preferring Ronald Reagan

#### UNITED STATES SENATORS (Vote for One)

- 4-B
  - Thomas H. Kuchel
  - United States Senator
  - Republican
- 5-B
  - James A. Ware
  - Business Executive
  - Republican
- 6-B
  - Phil Cammack
  - Engineer
  - Republican
- 7-B
  - W. C. Jones
  - Public
  - Republican
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

1. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such person.

3. TO VOTE for CAN Didates for wh

4. TO VOTE for a person numbered slide at top of and write name of candi

---

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

8-B
Max Rafferty
State Superintendent of Public Instruction
Republican

9-B
Waldo Velasquez
Businessman
Republican

11-B
Milton Marks
Incumbent State Senator
Republican

14-B
Peter Kirby
Incumbent

15-B
Agnes I. Chan
Incumbent

---

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES C NO
Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $5,700,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES D NO
Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES E NO
Amends Section 24: Suspends, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES F NO
Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES G NO Y
Adds Section 69.2: Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $6,000,000.00.
AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

1. **YES** of your choice, pull down the **POINTERS** over the names of candidates you wish to **VOTE** and **LEAVE THEM DOWN**.

Those names do not appear on the **BALLOT LABEL CARD**, raise the pointer corresponding to number of office on **OFFICE TITLE CARD** and write on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any candidate.)

5. **TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS**, pull **DOWN** pointers over the words **FOR** or **AGAINST** or **YES** or **NO** as you may desire to vote and **LEAVE THE POINTERS DOWN** as you have placed them, move the **RED HAND**

---

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION H**

**YES**

**NO**

- Section 13: Authorizes Board of Supervisors to designate substitute newspaper when official newspaper is unable to publish or circulate.

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION I**

**YES**

**NO**

- Adds Section 164.1: Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION J**

**YES**

**NO**

- Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118: Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department with reference to mandatory referrals to said Department for report thereon.

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION K**

**YES**

**NO**

- Adds Section 156.2: Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disability transferes and method of fixing salary of said transferes promoted hereunder.

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION L**

**YES**

**NO**

- Amends Section 145: Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 19 years and age range for appointment thereto between 20-32 years.

---

**MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE**

(20th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)

(Vote for Six)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16-B</th>
<th>17-B</th>
<th>18-B</th>
<th>19-B</th>
<th>20-B</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mary Louise K. Green</td>
<td>Edgar D. Osgood</td>
<td>Robert J. Gemignani</td>
<td>Putnam Livermore</td>
<td>John L. Molinari</td>
<td>Businessman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incumbent | Incumbent | Incumbent | Incumbent | Incumbent |

**22**

**JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT**

Office No. 2

(Vote for One)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>22-B</th>
<th>23-B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edward Molkenbuhr</td>
<td>J. Joseph Sullivan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Judge of the Superior Court | Lawyer |
## Republican Ballot

Candidate of the *Voting Machine* to the **Left** as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

**“For” Down.**

**“Against” Up.**

*If in doubt as to operating the Voting Machine, request instructions from the Inspector or Judge of the Election Board before attempting to vote.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 175: Increases filing number of sponsors for elective office; requires nomination paper to aid candidates.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State Propositions and City and County Propositions**

Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.3 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.
The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

1. STATE PROPOSITION FOR 1 AGAINST THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF 1968. (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.

2. STATE PROPOSITION FOR 2 AGAINST BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).)

3. CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION YES A NO Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,900,000.00 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

4. CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION YES B NO Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,885,000.00 for additions to and improvement of the Recreation and Park System of the City and County of San Francisco.

5. FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION (Vote for one group only)

1-B Candidates: preferring Ronald Reagan

4-B Thomas H. Kuchel United States Senator Republican

5-B James A. Ware Business Executive Republican

6-B Phil Cammack Engineer Republican

7-B W. C. Jones Publisher Republican

6. UNITED STATES SENATOR (Vote for One)

1-B

5-B

7-B
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

IONS
1. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such person.

3. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATE, the CANDIDATES for whom a person-numbered slide at top of each column will appear.

4. TO VOTE for a person numbered slide at top of each column will appear:

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES  C  NO
Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1938. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $3,750,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme western edge of the San Francisco headlands for park purposes.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES  D  NO
Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,300,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES  E  NO
Amends Section 24: Suspending, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES  F  NO
Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES  G  NO
Adds Section 69.2: Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $6,000,000.00.

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS
(6th DISTRICT)
(Vote for One)

9  Max Rafferty
State Superintendent of Public Instruction
Republican

10  William S. Mailliard
U.S. Congressman
Republican

STATE SENATOR
(9th DISTRICT)
(Vote for One)

11  Milton Marks
Incumbent
State Senator
Republican

12  Peter Kirby
Incumbent

MEMBER OF THE ASSEMBLY
(20th DISTRICT)
(Vote for One)

13  Agnes I. Chan
Incumbent

14  15
ND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

1. **YES** of your choice, pull down the **POINTERS** over the names of any candidate you wish to **VOTE** for and **LEAVE THEM DOWN**.

2. Should any candidate whose name does not appear on the **BALLOT LABEL CARD**, raise the machine corresponding to number of office on **OFFICE TITLE CARD**, that number on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any candidate for office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

3. **TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS**, pull down pointers over the words "FOR" or "AGAINST" or "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and **LEAVE THEM DOWN**.

4. The **EMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE**

   **EMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE**
   (20th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)
   (Vote for Six)

   - 16-B
   - 17-B
   - 18-B
   - 19-B
   - 20-B
   - 22-B
   - 23-B

   - y Louise K. Osgood
   - Robert J. Gemignani
   - Putnam Livermore
   - John L. Molinari
   - Edward Molkenbuhr
   - J. Joseph Sullivan

   Incumbent
   Incumbent
   Incumbent
   Businessman
   Judge of the Superior Court
   Lawyer
of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
M - NO
Amends Section 175; Increases filing of sponsors for collective office; requires nomination paper to include candidates.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES N NO
Amends Section 176; Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES O NO
Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS
The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11

STATE PROPOSITION FOR 1 AGAINST
THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF 1968. (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.

STATE PROPOSITION FOR 2 AGAINST
BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION A NO
Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION B NO
Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,885,000 for additions to improvement of the Recreation and Park System of the City and County of San Francisco.

FOR DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION (Vote for one group only)

1-A Candidates preferring
Eugene J. McCarthy

2-A Candidates expressing no preference
Thomas C. Lynch (Chairman)

3-A Candidates preferring
Robert F. Kennedy

4-A Walter R. "Buck" Buchanan
Educator

5-A Charles Crail
Bankers' Computer Counsel

6-A Alan Cranston
Democratic

7-A Anthony Beilens
Democratic State

UNITED STATES SENATOR (Vote for One)

1-A

2-A

3-A

4-A

5-A

6-A

7-A
1. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such

3. TO VOTE for CAND the CANDIDATES for w

4. TO VOTE for a person numbered slide at top o' and write name of canc

---

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION**

**YES** C NO

Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $17,500,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme westerly edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

**YES** D NO

Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $3,700,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

**YES** E NO

Amends Section 24: Suspends, until June 30, 1975, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

**YES** F NO

Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

**YES** G NO

Adds Section 69.2: Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $6,000,000.00.

---

**REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS**

(5th DISTRICT)

(Vote for One)

8  A  9  B  10  C

William M. Bennett
California Utilities Commissioner
Democratic

Phillip Burton
Member of Congress 5th District
Democratic

Thomas P. O'Toole
Accountant
Democratic

**STATE SENATOR**

(8th DISTRICT)

(Vote for One)

11  A  12  B

William A. Newsom
Attorney at Law
Democratic

John A. O'Connell
Attorney at Law
Democratic

**MEMBER OF THE ASSEMBLY**

23rd District

(Vote for One)

13  A  14  B  15  C

John F. Foran
Incumbent, Member of Assembly
Democratic

Rex D. Kennedy
Labor Union Representative
Democratic

Peter M. Finnegan
Legislative Assistant
AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

person.

ATES of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of om you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise machine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, date on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National

Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of in office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

5. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull DOWN pointers over the .. or “AGAINST” or “YES” or “NO” as you may desire to vote and LEAVE Ti.

6. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HAI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 16-A
  Gene N. Connell
  Incumbent

- 17-A
  George A. Bradley
  Incumbent

- 18-A
  Harry T. Banis
  Businessman

- 19-A
  John Vitalis
  Student

- 20-A
  C. Joseph Conroy
  Steamfitter

- 21-A
  Susan Kelly Kennedy
  Congressional Secretary

- 22-A
  George Wright Quick
  Law Student

- 23-A
  John E. Sullivan
  Attorney

- 24-A
  Curtis McClain
  Incumbent

MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE
(23rd ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)
(Vote for Six)
of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

DOCUMENTS
MAY 16 1968
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND
CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

25
26
27
28
29

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Office No. 2
(Vote for One)

25-A
26-A
27-A
28-A
29-A
30-A
31-A

Edward W. Gleason
Richard J. Dunn
Terrence Ryan
Donald B. King
Agar Jaicks
Edward Molkenbuhr
J. Joseph Sullivan
Businessman
Incumbent
Administrative Assistant
Incumbent
Incumbent
Judge of the Superior Court
Lawyer

Amends Section 175: Increases filing fee of sponsors for can.
elective office; requires nomination paper to said candidates.

Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.

Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.15 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1
171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.
23-5 SAMPLE BALLOT

The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition</th>
<th>FOR</th>
<th>AGAINST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposition FOR 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposition FOR 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposition FOR CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposition FOR CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. STATE PROPOSITION FOR THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF 1968. (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.
2. STATE PROPOSITION FOR BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).
3. CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION FOR Market Street Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000.00 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

4. UNITED STATES SENATOR (Vote for One)
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

IONS

1. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, pull down THE POINTER OVER THE NAME OF SUCH PERSON and LEAVE IT DOWN. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and LEAVE IT DOWN. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such.

3. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATE for who

4. TO VOTE for a person numbered slide at top of...


**End Special Election June 4, 1968**

- **YES** of your choice, pull down the **POINTERs** over the names of the candidates you wish to **VOTE** and **LEAVE THEM DOWN**.
- If the name does not appear on the **BALLOT LABEL CARD**, raise the pointer corresponding to number of office on **OFFICE TITLE CARD**, as on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of in office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

5. **TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS**, pull **DOWN** pointers over the words **"AGAINST"** or **"YES"** or **"NO"** as you may desire to vote and **LEAVE THEM DOWN**.

6. **LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN** as you have placed them, move the **RED HANDE**.

![Pointer Diagram]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION H</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION I</td>
<td>YES, NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION J</td>
<td>YES, NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION K</td>
<td>YES, NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION L</td>
<td>YES, NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Section 13**: Authorizes Board of Supervisors to designate substitute whenever officialnews is unable to publish or circulate.
- **Section 156.1**: Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.
- **Sections 69.1, 116.1, and 118**: Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department, with reference to mandatory referrals to said Department for report thereon.
- **Section 156.2**: Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disability transferes and method of fixing salary of said transferes promoted hereunder.
- **Section 145**: Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 19 years and age range for appointment thereto between 20-32 years.

**Member County Central Committee**

(23rd Assembly District)

**NOTE:**
No candidates filed; if desired, six may be written-in in slides at top of machine.
AMERICAN INDEPENDENT PARTY BALLOT

Candidate

of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

“FOR” DOWN.

“FOR” LEVER

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND
CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

Amends Section 175: Increases filing of sponsors for candidate for elective office; requires nomination paper to said candidates.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES NO

Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES NO

Amends Sections 168.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.3 to 171.1.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.18 and 171.1.13; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.

25 26 27 28 29

30 31

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Office No. 2
(Vote for One)

30-C
Edward Molkenbuhr
Judge of the Superior Court

31-C
J. Joseph Sullivan
Lawyer
The Voting Machine Will
Record Votes ONLY Where
The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

1
STATE PROPOSITION
FOR 1 AGAINST
THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF
1968. (This act provides for a bond
issue of two hundred million dollars
($200,000,000) to provide farm and
home aid for California veterans.)

2
STATE PROPOSITION
FOR 2 AGAINST
BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR
COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act
provides for a bond issue of sixty-five
million dollars ($65,000,000).)

3
CITY AND
COUNTY
PROPOSITION
YES A NO B
Market Street Reconstruction and
Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur
a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000
for the reconstruction and
improvement of Market Street, of
portions of streets intersecting Market
Street and of street areas in the
vicinity of transit stations.

4
5
6
7
UNITED STATES SENATOR
(Vote for One)

1-A
Candidates preferring
Eugene J.
McCarthy

2-A
Candidates expressing no preference
Thomas C.
Lynch
(Chairman)

3-A
Candidates preferring
Robert F.
Kennedy

4-A
Walter R.
"Buck"
Buchanan
Educator
Democratic

5-A
Charles
Craik
Bankers' Computer Counsel
Democratic

6-A
Alan
Cranston
Democratic

7-A
Anthony
Beilenson
California State Senator
Democrat
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

1. Move red handle lever of voting machine to the right as far as it will go and leave it there.
2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the ballot label card, pull down the pointer over the name of such person and leave it down. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and leave it down. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such candidate.
3. To vote for candidate for... (continues)
4. To vote for a person numbered slide at top of... (continues)

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES C NO
Cliff House—Sutro Baths Land Acquisition and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $8,700,000.00 for the acquisition of land, with the structures thereon, at the extreme western edge of the San Francisco headlands and its improvement for recreation and park purposes.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES D NO
Sewerage and Water Pollution Control Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $8,700,000.00 for improvement of the sewerage and water pollution control systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES E NO
Amends Section 24: Suspend, until June 30, 1973, prohibition against imposition of license tax upon certain sellers and manufacturers in San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES F NO
Amends Section 158.2: Includes members of Board of Supervisors in Retirement System; permits elective officer members to elect to leave contributions in retirement fund after five years service.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

YES G NO
Adds Section 69.2: Authorizes incurring of a single bonded indebtedness for a group of public improvements in an amount not to exceed $6,000,000.00.

8
9
10
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS
(6th DISTRICT)
(Vote for One)

8-A
William M. Bennett
California Utilities Commissioner
Democratic

9-A
Phillip Drath
General Contractor
Democratic

10-A
William W. Turner
Writer
Democratic

STATE SENATOR
(9th DISTRICT)
(Vote for One)

11-A
William A. Newsom
Attorney at Law
Democratic

12-A
John A. O'Connell
Attorney at Law
Democratic

MEMBER OF THE ASSEMBLY
23rd District
(Vote for One)

13-A
John F. Foran
Incumbent, Member of Assembly
Democratic

14-A
Rex D. Kennedy
Labor Union Representative
Democratic

15-A
Peter M. Finnegan
Legislative Assistant
Democratic
AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

1. **YES** of your choice, pull down the **POINTERS** over the names of...you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

2. If a name does not appear on the **BALLOT LABEL CARD**, raise machine corresponding to number of office on **OFFICE TITLE CARD**, write on paper under slide. A write-in vote for Delegate to National Convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of an office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

3. **YES** or "AGAINST" or "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and LEAVE THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HANDLE...

---

**CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION**

- **H** NO
- **I** NO
- **J** NO
- **K** NO
- **L** NO

- **M** YES
- **N** YES
- **O** YES
- **P** YES
- **Q** YES
- **R** YES

---

**Section 13:** Authorizes Board of supervisors to designate substitute whenever official news is unable to publish or circulate.

**Section 164.1:** Provides for cost of living increases or decreases in retirement or death allowances which are first effective prior to July 2, 1977.

**Amends Sections 69.1, 116.1 and 118:** Fixes responsibility of City Planning Department with reference to mandatory referrals to said Department for report thereon.

**Amends Section 156.2:** Prescribes eligibility requirements for participation in promotional examinations by disability transceivers and method of fixing salary of said transceivers promoted hereunder.

**Amends Section 145:** Sets age of applicant for entrance position in Fire Department at 20-32 years.

MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

(23rd ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)

(Vote for Six)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16-A</th>
<th>17-A</th>
<th>18-A</th>
<th>19-A</th>
<th>20-A</th>
<th>21-A</th>
<th>22-A</th>
<th>23-A</th>
<th>24-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Businessman</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>Steamfitter</td>
<td>Congressional Secretary</td>
<td>Law Student</td>
<td>Attorney</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
candidate of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

S "FOR" DOWN.
F LEVER

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M NO</td>
<td>YES N NO</td>
<td>YES O NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amends Section 176: Deletes requirement that copies of declarations of candidates for elective office be included in voters' pamphlet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amends Sections 168.1.1 to 168.1.5 inclusive, 168.1.10, 168.1.12, 171.1.1 to 171.1.5 inclusive, 171.1.10 and 171.1.12 and adds Sections 168.1.16 and 171.1.15; relating to retirement benefits applicable to members of the Police and Fire Departments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25-A</td>
<td>26-A</td>
<td>27-A</td>
<td>28-A</td>
<td>29-A</td>
<td>30-A</td>
<td>31-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward W. Gleason</td>
<td>Richard J. Dunn</td>
<td>Terrence Ryan</td>
<td>Donald B. King</td>
<td>Agar Jaicks</td>
<td>Edward Molkenbuhr</td>
<td>J. Joseph Sullivan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businessman</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Office No. 2
(Vote for One)
Vote Early
Polls Open From 7 A.M. to 8 P.M.

The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN.

STATE PROPOSITION 1 AGAINST
THE VETERANS BOND ACT OF 1968. (This act provides for a bond issue of two hundred million dollars ($200,000,000) to provide farm and home aid for California veterans.)

STATE PROPOSITION 2 AGAINST
BONDS TO PROVIDE JUNIOR COLLEGE FACILITIES. (This act provides for a bond issue of sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000).)

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION A NO
Market Street, Reconstruction and Improvement Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $24,500,000.00 for the reconstruction and improvement of Market Street, of portions of streets intersecting Market Street and of street areas in the vicinity of transit stations.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION B NO
Recreation and Park Bonds, 1968. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $14,885,000.00 for additions to and improvement of the Recreation and Park System of the City and County of San Francisco.

UNITED STATES SENATOR
(Vote for One)

4-D
Paul Jacobs
Writer
Peace and Freedom

Line D
Peace and Freedom Party Ballot

DOCUMENTS
MAY 29 1968
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION

1. Move red handle lever of voting machine to the right as far as it will go and leave it there.

2. To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person for Presidential Nominee whose name appears on the ballot label card, pull down the pointer over the name of such person and leave it down. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, pull down the pointer over the name of the chairman of the group and leave it down. By pulling down such pointer, a vote will be counted for each candidate of group preferring such candidates.

3. To vote for candidates for the candidates for

4. To vote for a person numbered slide at top of and write name of candidate.
AND SPECIAL ELECTION JUNE 4, 1968

1. To vote for or against propositions, pull down pointers over the word "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and leave the pointers down as you have placed them, move the red hanger over on the card to your vote and leave them down.

2. At your choice, pull down the pointers over the names of the persons you wish to vote.

3. Deny the convention is not legally permissible. (Do not pull down pointer over name of an in-office group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

4. To vote for or against propositions, pull down pointers over the word "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote and leave the pointers down as you have placed them, move the red hanger over on the card to your vote and leave them down.

CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION

H NO

I YES

J NO

K YES

L NO

M YES

N NO

O YES

P NO

Q YES

R NO

S YES

T NO

U YES

V NO

W YES

X NO

Y YES

Z NO

MEMBER COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE
(23rd ASSEMBLY DISTRICT)

NOTE:

No Candidate has filed and no petition for write-in has been submitted.

Therefore, this office is not to be voted on.