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POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: ___ / ___ / ___

Print your FIRST NAME

MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: (___ ___ ___) ___ ___ ___ - ___ ___ ___

EVE Phone: (___ ___ ___) ___ ___ ___ - ___ ___ ___

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: YES NO
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Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE. When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

TDD (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
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Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.
Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q. Wong, Registrar of Voters.
Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties, labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); ..................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ................................................................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ......................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; .................................................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; ................................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; .................. 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller’s Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ................................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and .................................................. 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. .......................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar's Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don't know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only "qualified" write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don't know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   • Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
   OR
   • Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don't use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

- 12th Assembly District
- 8th State Senate District
- 13th Assembly District
- 3rd State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

- 12th Congressional District
- 8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

STEP 1

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手持票向自動機將整張選票插入。

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
請確認選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.
Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfora con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把帶銘之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監票員。

STEP 4

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votación.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court, and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person’s name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.
If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

無黨派人士選票

選民須知

此次初選，無黨派人士選民（亦稱獨立選民）可以選舉地方法院法官，高等法院法官和州、市提案。初選的無黨派人士選民不選舉總統，州參議員和州衆議員或縣中央委員會委員。無黨派人士選民可以在1996年11月5日普通選舉總統及州和聯邦議員。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名競選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過選舉的候選人的數目。

投票選舉有格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票每項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的“YES”(贊成)或“NO”(反對)打孔。

如果選票有明顯污損或撕掉痕跡，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕爛了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior, y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SÍ" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anulará la balota.
Si usted se equivoca al votar, o al rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。

PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING, GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

The Nonpartisan portion of the Sample Ballot begins on Page 19.

BALOTA APRIDARIA

En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
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In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

The Nonpartisan portion of the Sample Ballot begins on Page 19.

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
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NONPARTISAN BALLOT

In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

The Nonpartisan portion of the Sample Ballot begins on Page 19.

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committée members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

The Nonpartisan portion of the Sample Ballot begins on Page 19.

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE</th>
<th>CANDIDATE NAME</th>
<th>PARTY</th>
<th>VOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#11</td>
<td>Kevin McCarthy</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Ron Albers</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SAMPLE BALLOT**

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192
SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

YES 159
NO 161

193
PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

YES 168
NO 170

194
PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.

YES 177
NO 179
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisismica de los puentes y las autopistas y pasos a desnivel, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisismica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

1996年抗震翻新改建債務法案。本法案規定發行一億二百億的債券。為本州的橋梁、公路高架橋和公路交叉樞紐的抗震翻新改建項目提供資金。規定這些資金僅用於抗震翻新改建項目。本法案規定州審計長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已批准的項目，並且規定將審計結果予以公開。

TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudación de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

地產估價，豁免。祖父與孫子間轉移。憲法修正案。憲法修正案規定，在符合某些條件的前提下，祖孫之間購買或轉移產權時，無須對其重新估價。財政影響：學校、縣、郡，以及特殊區每年損失約$100萬的財產稅收入。學校收入的損失將由州政府一般基金彌補。

PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halla preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo a salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

囚犯、合夥項目。失業福利。假釋。立法會初選決議案。規定囚犯在監禁期間在合夥項目中工作，囚犯在出獄後無資格享受失業福利的權利。財政影響：總體財政影響可能很有限。
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## NONPARTISAN BALLOT

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

CASTIGO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulta de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPOSIETZA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información pública sobre los pumas.

Propuestas de la ciudad de San Francisco:

F7 無黨派選票 一九九六年三月二十六日 提交選民投票表決的州提案

185 SI 贊成
187 NO 反對
195 無黨派選票 一九九六年三月二十六日 提交選民投票表決的州提案
194 SI 贊成
196 NO 反對
196 無黨派選票 一九九六年三月二十六日 提交選民投票表決的州提案
202 SI 贊成
204 NO 反對

ALL-O-F7

23
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198
ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211
NO 213

199
LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218
NO 220

200
NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226
NO 228
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
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BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Propone un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

經過機動車輛責任險，動議落選。規定在大量機動車輛事故中，無論誰方均有一個過錯。確保人均支付賠償責任金。規定有具體賠償責任項目以及賠償金的機動車輛責任險。規定賠償責任金必須及時支付，或對索賠進行仲裁。財政影響：州及地方政府健康護理費用及其他開支的節省可能每年超過$1億。州及地方政府的機動車輛登記以及保險稅收入損失每年數千萬元，有可能每年超過$1億。
SAMPLE BALLOT
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NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 237

NO 239

202 ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 246

NO 248

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

YES 253

NO 255
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

201

202

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios a 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas con equipos de tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente a la demanda en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

1996年公立教育設施債券法案。這三十億元（$3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改革和建設加州公立學校。社區學院和州立大學中的教室將得以擴建，其他必備的設施，將可滿足現有的教室在未來的擴張中更為安全，為教室設備二十一世紀的電腦技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及滿足學員人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  

YES 263  

NO 265  

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  

YES 268  

NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對
市政府應否使用租用信貸款來擴建馬斯康尼會議中心，如果租金信貸款總額本息不超過$157.5百萬元？

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de beisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對
應否修改一些市法例以便在中國盆地（China Basin）興建一個球場？

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco's first judicial forum "Access To Justice" attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the "Outstanding Jurist" award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the "Living Treasure" Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.
The choice is clear.
I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.
I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp

Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russioniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
    Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTHOUSE EXPERIENCE:
    In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
    I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation's first battered women's shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
    I hold the nation's highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tsenin

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers
MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:
CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlotta delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbrun,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper
  - 辦公室及其他用紙
  - Papel de Oficina
- Newspapers
  - 報紙
  - Periódicos
- Magazines & Catalogs
  - 雜誌及目錄冊
  - Revistas y Catálogos
- Junk Mail
  - 廣告郵件
  - Correspondencia Publicitaria
- Paper Bags & Packaging
  - 紙袋及包裝紙
  - Bolas de Papel y Papel de Empaquetar
- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes
  - 麥片及其他乾食品盒
  - Cajas de Cereal y Otros Cereales Secos
- Telephone Books
  - 電話簿
  - Directórios Telefónicos
- Flattened Cardboard
  - 壓扁的紙皮盒
  - Cartón Aplanado

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
  - 鋁箔紙及盒
  - Botellas de Acero/Estano
- Plastic Bottles
  - 及塑膠瓶
  - Botellas de Plástico
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
  - 鋁 / 鋁箔
  - Papel de Aluminio y Botas
- Glass Jars & Bottles
  - 玻璃瓶、樽
  - Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”
1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”
1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt Payments: Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow
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OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

• immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today, the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center stil have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TOUCO
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Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City's convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City's debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City's favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Officer estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gillaver
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzaola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher
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Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
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CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995
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Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City's general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco's economic opportunities. Let's move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party

Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Cotthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/ Lesbians/ Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and convention goers contribute to our City's economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City's annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City's General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union

Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes
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JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City's general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotel rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center
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Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano
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PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghe, President, Residential Builders Association
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONC EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pastual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance
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Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
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Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse
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Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company
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TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONIE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwirti, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolton, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco
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Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

- First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes financial sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A
The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.
Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
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Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A
San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce
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VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A
The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.
Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone
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RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A
Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center. Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture
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**SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONIE EXPANSION**

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn't cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That's good for local jobs — that's good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

*Allessandro Barbaci,* Executive Secretary, Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association

*Mark Leno,* Small Business Owner

*Wayne Hue,* Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce

*Elaine Sosa,* Owner, Java Walk

*Rita Barela,* Owner, Rita Barela Productions

*Barbara Cappa,* President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.

*Katherine J. Krebs,* President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International

*Joan Sills,* President, Pacific Marine Yachts

*Iris J. Fluellen,* Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events

*Trevor Hailey,* Cruisin' the Castro, Historical Walking Tour
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**No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A**

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means *no new taxes for San Francisco's property owners.* The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City's economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City's restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

*Arthur Bruzzone,* Chairman, S.F. Republican Party

*Vera Karamardian,* Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party
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---

**The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A**

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans ... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

*Robert Begley,* Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

---
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS
Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out-of-town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCONE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS
The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new Jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington  Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio  Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909—enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

**Fritz Arko**, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

**John A. Marks**, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONE CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

**Fritz Arko**, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

**John A. Marks**, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONC CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
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TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCON EXPANSION BONDS] SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32c stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City's Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that "the ballpark be developed privately", it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston's Fenway Park and Chicago's Wrigley Field. It'll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City's economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let's do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS. "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
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OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick's 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won't work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.
- Vote no because:
  - NO financial plans have been shown.
  - NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
  - NO public hearings have been held.
  - There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
  - There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this "private" stadium.
  This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don't give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces, Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it's time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco's financial burden, making a contribution to the City's general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still "the City that knows how."

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks. It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambition and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazolla
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.
A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come. Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.
This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.
You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.
Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Chen, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Balleserato, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessy, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harrriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeWischer, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public.

Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark. San Franciscans can take great pride in Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Jerry Nelson, Dennis Herrera, Dennis Edelman, Kathleen E. McCawley, Suzanne B. Dingmar, Tina Carroll, Daniel B. Pleasant, Matthew Hartman, Eugene L. Cook, David Rich, Roger L. Hubbard, Scott Petersen, Susan Zeire, Aaron Darsky

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nassar, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
Anna Shimko, Cherie James, Roberta Boomer, Sharon Eberhardt, Sharon Bretz, Eva Royale, Elmy Bermejo, Linda Post, Evelyn White, Alison Louie,

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn't publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City's general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dizzingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my Cassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who's always tried to protect the taxpayer's pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City, we love, vote "yes" on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world-class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrum, Kimberly Smith, Mark Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gietow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Kails, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piediscalzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City, Central Park at Eighth & Market, Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
Supports A New Ballpark

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noheeny Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
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WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Hoy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City's many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longe, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges A YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it... we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithope, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Buer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?
- Why don't citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
- When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
- PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco's waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don't bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younhee Paik
John Berry  Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costelloe  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costelloe  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz  Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE

PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;

That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;

That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.[14] to read as follows:

"Section 249.[14] NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes.

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and 2-80 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years,

with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls.

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code.

Section 4. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(h) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use District to be Superseded

Use District Hereby Approved

M-2

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7. (a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"...within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.[14] of the Planning Code."

Section 8. It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9. Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals as my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today:
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It’s as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card
To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Superior Court Judge - Name #
Seat 7
Seat 11
Municipal Court Judge
Seat 1

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

________________________
________________________
________________________

9601-9602

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail
without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters  
City and County of San Francisco  
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type  
9601

Non Partisan  
12th Assembly District  
8th Senate District  
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable  
2000's, 2100's, 2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

-us- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.  
-us- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

-us- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below  
-us- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is ____________________________ San Francisco, CA 941________

Check One:  
☐ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.  
☐ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address ____________________________

City ____________________________ State ________ Zip Code ________

☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here: ____________________________  
Date Signed _________ / _______ 96  
Day Time Phone _______ - _______ - _______

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address

San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Print your FIRST NAME MI Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: ( )

EVE Phone: ( )

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
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Si desea recibir una copia de este libro en español, sírvase llamar al 554-4377

如欲索取選民手冊中文本請電：554-4377

Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

**IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS**

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters’ basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail) .............................................. 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; .............................................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; .............................................. back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ................................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; .................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; .......... 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller’s Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .............................................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ................................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
- Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

OR
- Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

- **12th Assembly District**
- **8th State Senate District**
- **13th Assembly District**
- **3rd State Senate District**

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

- **8th Congressional District**
- **12th Congressional District**
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de voto y obtenga otra.

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de voto completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手将选票插入自动机投票器。插入票全部。

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切记将选票插入时，票尾之二孔，符合于二红点之上。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perforé con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma al lápiz.

第三步
请把带针之选票，由小孔内垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

第四步
投票之后，把选票取出，沿虚线抬起选票交给选区 surveyed。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court, and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

非黨派人士選舉

選民須知

此次初選，非黨派人士選民（亦稱獨立選民）可以選擇地方法院法官，高等法院法官和州、市議員。初選的非黨派人士選民不選舉總統，州參議員和州眾議員或縣中央委員會委員。非黨派人士選民可以在1996年11月5日初選中選舉總統及州和聯邦議員。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過两名候選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過要選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。

如果你投票錯誤，或者選票損壞，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES

En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apártidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior, y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apártidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apártidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SÍ" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anularía la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。

PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PÁGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

The Nonpartisan portion of the Sample Ballot begins on Page 19.

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
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En estas elecciones primarias, los electores partidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores partidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores partidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
# SAMPLE BALLOT

## CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## NONPARTISAN BALLOT

### BALOTA APARTIDARIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juez del Tribunal Superior, Oficina Numero 7</strong></td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juez del Tribunal Superior, Oficina Numero 11</strong></td>
<td>Kevin McCarthy</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Oficina Numero 1</strong></td>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Oficina Numero 1</strong></td>
<td>Ron Albers</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Oficina Numero 1</strong></td>
<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Oficina Numero 1</strong></td>
<td>Matthew Rothschild</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

YES 159
NO 161

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

YES 168
NO 170

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM: UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.

YES 177
NO 179
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISÍSMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puertos y las puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

1996年抗震倒橋改資債券法案。本法案規定發行二十億美元的債券，為本州的橋梁、公路高架橋和公路交叉樞紐的抗震翻新改建項目提供資金，規定這些資金僅用於抗震翻新改建項目。本法案規定州審計部長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已確定的項目，並且規定將審計結果予以公開。

TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

地產估價，贈與、祖—孫地產轉讓。立法院的憲法修正案，修正州憲法，規定在符合某些條件的前提下，祖孫之間購買或轉移地產時，無須對其重新估價。財政影響：學校、縣、市、以及特定每年收入大約$100萬的財產稅收入。學校收入的損失將由州政府一般基金彌補。

PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIO DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté en prisión, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo a salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

囚犯合資企業計劃。失業福利、假釋。立法會的初選修正案。規定囚犯在監獄期間在合資企業中工作，不應在出獄後獲失業福利的權利。財政影響：可能對財政無大影響。

ALL-O-F6
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yes %</th>
<th>No %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>Punishment. Special Circumstances. Carjacking. Murder of Juror. Legislative Initiative Amendment. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>Punishment for Murder. Special Circumstances. Drive-by Shootings. Legislative Initiative Amendment. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>Amendment of the California Wildlife Protection Act of 1990 (Proposition 117). Mountain Lions. Legislative Initiative Amendment. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSIÇÕES ESTATAIS

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el robo de un vehículo, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del robo de un vehículo y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias excepcionales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 198 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el robo de vehículos y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias excepcionales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias excepcionales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículo en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del robo de vehículos y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias excepcionales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反對

202 SI 贊成
ENMIENDE DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 - 97 hasta 1998 - 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

204 NO 反對

195 196 197

信金市、縣 聯合初選 一九九六年三月二十六日
提交選民投票表決的州提案
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

8E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211 →

NO 213 →

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218 →

NO 220 →

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226 →

NO 228 →

8E-0-ALL

24
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

← 211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

← 213 NO 反對
無黨派選票 F8
無黨派選票

← 218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

← 220 NO 反對
財政影響: 對州政府無直接財政影響，對全州各縣而言，有數額不詳、但可能不多的開支節省。

← 226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitrio de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

← 228 NO 反對
無開支節省，財政影響。規定在大多數機動車輛事故中，無論誰一方有過錯，承保人均支付保險賠償金。規定有具體保險賠償項目以及賠償受傷的機動車輛的責任。規定事故賠償金必須及時支付，或對索償進行仲裁，財政影響：州及地方政府社會保障費用和其他開支的節省可能每年超過$1億。州及地方政府的機動車輛登記以及保險賠償金損失每年數千萬，有可能每年超過$1億。

ALL-0-F8
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

202 ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permite respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

1996年公立教育设施债券法案。这项十亿美元（$3,000,000,000）的学校建设债券将有助于建设和发展加州公立学校、社区学院和州立大学中的教室、图书馆和其他必需的设施。该资金用于使现有的教室在未来地震中更加安全，为教室装备21世纪的电脑技术，缩小每个班级的人数以及满足入学人数的增长。该债券只能用于经批准的学校建设项目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  
YES 263  
NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  
YES 268  
NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for ANIMALS SHOULD BE HUMAN NATURE.

{ DON'T YOU THINK? }

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp

Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russioniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice, I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shortcut

Ron Albers

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
  • Honors — Georgetown University
  • Hastings Law School
  • Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
  • Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
  • Former Social Services Commissioner
  • Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
  • Past President, Alice B. Toklas
  • Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
  • Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein
FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello
FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith
POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng
SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee
COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong
FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach
Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblenz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shoreinstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Paper</strong> • 紙張 • Papel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>新聞報紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>办公室及其他用紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papel de Oficina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>報紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazines &amp; Catalogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>雜誌及目錄冊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revistas y Catálogos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>廣告郵件</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Bags &amp; Packaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>紙袋及包裝紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaquear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>厚紙及其他乾食品盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Books</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>電話薄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directories Telefónicas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cajas de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Secos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¡Recuerde Reciclar Este Folleto!

Después de que haya terminado con este folleto, recicloelo con su otro papel. Y recuerde que hay doce artículos que pueden ser reciclados en los programas a domicilio y apartamentos en San Francisco:

| **Containers** • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes |
|----------------|------------------------------|
| Tin/Steel Cans |
| 鋁箔紙及盒     |
| Botes de Acero/Estable |
| 塑料瓶         |
| Plastic Bottles   |
| Aluminum Cans & Foil |
| 鋁/鋼罐         |
| Papel de Aluminio y Botes |
| 玻璃瓶及樽     |
| Glass Jars & Bottles |

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”
1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or
   the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was
   submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”
1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of
   Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest. The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion was expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSITION A

Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES

NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

"Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions."

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft.. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and

- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE “YES” FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guh
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Aher, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City's general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco's economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/ Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel
Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballestros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pasqual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Allocco, President, Allocco’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONC EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan DWiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hind, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

**Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans.** The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

_Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council_  
_Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce_

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

_Gina Moscone_

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center. Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

_Russ Campbell_, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center  
_Jennifer Pitts_, Wound About, Pier 39  
_Lee Ann Baldwin_, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music  
_Louis Meunier_, Executive Vice President, Mechandising, Macys California  
_Mark Brasheara_, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco  
_Walter Fong_, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote YES on Prop A.

Arthur Brazzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco. Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCON EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 Painters District Council #8
Gunmar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beene, Teamsters Local 85
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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LABOR FOR MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about Jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930’s and 1940’s. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it’s mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510’s Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A.

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
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The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regrettably urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

MOSCONI EXPANSION BONDS
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32c stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES
NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot
On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
Ballpark

PROPOSER’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on roller blades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4x current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electorate."

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this "private" stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don't give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still "the City that knows how."

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Robert Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gillieron
Manuel A. Roxales

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed—public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the hurried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor

Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambbeei H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can't forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don't need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city's general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Drwir, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fioruccii,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacchian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B,

Mike Hennessy, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK
All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team's dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City's young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sallly DeVischer, Tomo Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its own dad. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, II Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Naserah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Soisa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B. Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, ("Until There's a Cure Day"). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Martha Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Giewon,
Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbrun,
Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin,
Ted Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piediscalzi

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
Supports a New Ballpark

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noemiy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Iaarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zwanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanne Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handi-
cap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons
with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAluliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area
with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The
new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors
in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for
baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrich-
ment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusias-
tically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it...we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine Lachapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinethorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors— including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes.

Doug Constock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

 Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz
Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller
Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and
County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new
ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at
China Basin consistent with the following principles:

(1) The ballpark be developed privately;
(2) That the financing of the ballpark’s construction
not leave the City and County with any
general obligation bond debt;
(3) That the construction and development of the
ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of
the area generally bounded by King Street, Sec-
ond Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin
Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof,
or the developer of the ballpark enter into
a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for
the China Basin site that provides that the Port of
San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership
of the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that develop-
ment of the ballpark meets and satisfies the
public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable
to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by
adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA
BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the ‘Northeast
China Basin Special Use District,’ the bound-
aries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is
hereby established for the purposes set forth be-
low. The following provisions shall apply within
the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the de-
velopment of an open-air ballpark for major
league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats
with related commercial uses, including, but not
limited to, general office, shops and other retail,
restaurants, live music performances and other
forms of live entertainment, in a setting of water-
front public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San
Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all
ages to a place of public assembly and recreation
adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to
downtown and within walking distance of many
thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and resi-
dents; (C) will be conveniently served by public
transit, including an extension of Muni Metro
service from Market Street to the ballpark and
beyond, with convenient transfer from and to
BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain
service from the Peninsula to a station near the
site, and potential ferry service from various north
and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be
conveniently served by the broad South of Market
street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street
boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access
to the 101 and 1-280 freeways; (E) will have
access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking
spaces near the ballpark during the first five years,
with possible renewal options; (F) will have con-
venient access to a sizable pool of existing and
proposed on and off-street parking which can be
made available in the evening and on weekends;
and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is
attractively designed and will be a visual asset to
the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin
Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use
district established by Section 201 of this Code
shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2)
through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark
with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000,
assembly and entertainment uses under Section
221 of this Code, with associated parking, and
various uses accessory to or related to ballpark
assembly and entertainment uses, including
sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall
all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit
anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in
the proposed location, in recognition of the large
supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which
can be made available for ballpark use in the
evening and on weekends, and in recognition of
the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site
parking spaces near the ballpark during the first
five years of the ballpark’s operation, there shall
be no minimum requirement for off-street parking
spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast
China Basin Special Use District. This provision
supersedes the parking requirements set forth in
Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permi-
ted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the
prominence of the location and vital importance
of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above,
such uses shall be subject to conditional use
review and approval by the City Planning Com-
mision. A conditional use may be authorized by
the City Planning Commission if the facts pre-
ented are such to establish that the architectural
design of the structure is appropriate for its in-
tended use, location and civic purpose. This cri-
terion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in
Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code."

Section 4. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by
adding the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk clas-
sification:

Section 5. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by
adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to

enact the following exemption from height limits
otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use
District, light standards for the purpose of light-
ing the ballpark."

Section 6. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by
amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the follow-
ing special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by
King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right
of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street,
as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use
District to be
Superseded
District Hereby
Approved
M-2
The Northeast
China Basin
Special Use
District

Section 7. (a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-
Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by add-
ing the following sentence as the last sentence
thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any
new development within the Northeast China
Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Water-
front Land Use is hereby amended by adding the
following subparagraph thereto:

"h. within the Northeast China Basin Special
Use District, any use that is permitted as a con-
ditional use under Section 249.14 of the Plan-
ing Code."

Section 8. It shall be the policy of the People that
promptly following the effective date of this
ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco,
through the Board of Supervisors, Planning
Commission and other appropriate officials,
boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant
plans and codes in a manner consistent with this
ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amend-
ments to all applicable state and regional plans
and regulations.

Section 9. Any provision of this ordinance may be
amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall
not require the vote of the electors of the City and
County of San Francisco.

Section 10. If any provision of this ordinance, or any
application thereof to any person or circumstance,
is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any
provision or application of this ordinance that
can be given effect without the invalid provision
or application. To this end, the provisions of this
ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee. Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.
Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
# Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote.
Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Municipal Court Judge       |   |
| Seat 1                      |   |

---

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

__________________________

__________________________

__________________________

9601-9602

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

---

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9602

Non Partisan
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
12th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2300's, 2400's,
2500's, 2600's, 2800's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.

Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below

Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar’s Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is ____________________________ San Francisco, CA 941

Check One: ☐ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.  ☐ Send my ballot to the address I’ve filled in below.

P. O. Box or Street Address

City State Zip Code

☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here
Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address

/96 Date Signed Day Time Phone Night Time Phone
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLs ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of BIRTH:</th>
<th>/   /</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MONTH) (DAY) (YEAR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Print your FIRST NAME

MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

ZIP CODE

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

ZIP CODE

DAY Phone: (   )   -   

EVE Phone: (   )   -   

What language do you speak in addition to English:  

I have a car: YES  NO
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Si desea recibir una copia de este libro en español, sírvase llamar al 554-4377
如欲索取選民手冊中文本請電: 554-4377

Information about this election, including election night results,
may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

**IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS**

For registered voters who’ve moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that’s about a third of you – federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven’t re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the **wrong polling place** - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
City and County of San Francisco

If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
Nicholas DeLuca, Committee Chair
National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Britton
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences.
Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, Ex officio
Deputy City Attorney

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS

Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.

Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q. Wong, Registrar of Voters.

Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties, labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ................................................................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ....................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; .................................................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; ................................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ......................... 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ................................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and .............................................. 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. .......................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:

• Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

OR

• Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

- 13th Assembly District
- 3rd State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

- 8th Congressional District
- 12th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

**SPECIAL NOTE:**
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

**STEP 1**

Notas: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

**USING BOTH HANDS**

INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步

請雙手持票向自動機將整張選票插入。

**STEP 2**

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步

請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

**STEP 3**

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfora con el la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步

請把帶鍼之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

**STEP 4**

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entregúela en el lugar oficial de votación.

第四步

投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線指定選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court, and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

無黨派人士選票
選民須知
此次初選，無黨派人士選民（亦稱獨立選民）可以選舉地方法院法官，高級法院法官和州、市提案。初選的無黨派人士選民不選舉總統、州參議員和州衆議員或縣中央委員會委員。無黨派人士選民可以在1996年11月5日普通選舉總統及州和聯邦議員。
投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔鈿在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名或連同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔鈿在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過初選的候選人的數目。
投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。
投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔鈿在提案旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。
如果選票有明顯污迹或擦掉痕跡，選票即作廢。
如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕破了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apártidos (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apártidos no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apártidos pueden votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.
Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegir dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.
Para votar por un candidato calificable que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.
Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.
Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borradura; esto anulaba la balota.
Si usted se equivoca al votar, o al rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

The Nonpartisan portion of the Sample Ballot begins on Page 19.

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
SPECIAL ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Vacancy in Current Term)
ELECCION ESPECIAL
DISTRITO 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (ACTUALMENTE VACANTE)
特別選挙
第13 衆議区（現任有空職）

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州衆議員 — 第13 區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

CAROLE MIGDEN
San Francisco County Supervisor
Superintendente del Condado de San Francisco 三藩市郡参議員

DÉMOCRATAS
民主黨

Vote for One
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

The Nonpartisan portion of the Sample Ballot begins on Page 19.

無黨派人士選票

此次初選，無黨派人士選民（亦稱獨立選民）可以選舉地方法院法官，高等法院法官和州、市提案。初選的無黨派人士選民不選總統、州參議員和州衆議員或縣中央委員會委員。無黨派人士選民可以在1996年11月5日普選中選舉總統及州和聯邦議員。

無黨派人士的選票樣本部分在第19頁開始。

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

The Nonpartisan portion of the Sample Ballot begins on Page 19.

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senador Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## NONPARTISAN BALLOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BALOTA APARTIDARIA 無黨派選票</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>高級法院法官, 第七庭</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge, Municipal Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez, Tribunal Municipal 地方法庭法官</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>請選一名</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voto por Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 →</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 高級法院法官, 第十一庭 |
| JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 11 |
| Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11 |
| KEVIN McCARTHY |
| Attorney/Law Professor |
| Abogado/Profesor de Derecho 律師/法律教授 |
| DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. |
| Incumbent |
| Titular 現任者 |
| 請選一名 |
| Voto por Uno |
| Vote for One |
| 143 → |

| 地方法院法官, 第一庭 |
| JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1 |
| Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1 |
| RON ALBERS |
| Trial Attorney |
| Abogado 律師 |
| KAY TSENIN |
| Attorney Arbitrator Mediator |
| Abogada Arbitro Mediadora 律師/仲裁人/調停人 |
| MATTHEW ROTHSCILD |
| Deputy City Attorney |
| Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado 副市律師 |
| 請選一名 |
| Voto por Uno |
| Vote for One |
| 153 → |
## SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

### 6E

**NONPARTISAN BALLOT**

**CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS**

### 192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996

This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT

Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

192
1996年抗震翻新改建債券法案。本法案規定發行一筆二十億元的債券，為本州的橋梁、公路高架橋和公路交叉樞紐的抗震翻新改建項目提供資金，規定這些資金僅用於抗震翻新改建項目。本法案規定州審計長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已確定的項目，並且規定將審計結果予以公報。

161 NO 反對

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES, EXENCION, TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudación tributaria sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudación de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反對

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA, BENEFICIOS DE DESempleo. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté en la cárcel, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反對

193

194

PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté en la cárcel, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

7E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS ESTATALES

---

 № 185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIROTEO DE AUTOMóVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el piroteo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del piroteo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el piroteo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

---

№ 187 NO 反对
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparar desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del piroteo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

---

№ 195 SI 贊成
CASTIGO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIROTEO DE AUTOMóVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el piroteo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del piroteo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el piroteo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

---

№ 196 NO 反对
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparar desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del piroteo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

---

№ 202 SI 贊成
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPIUESTA 117). Pumas. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reajudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

---

№ 204 NO 反对
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPIUESTA 117). Pumas. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reajudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

---

ALL-O-F7
ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 賛成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan
votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la
afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola
balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos
los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre
el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, para probablemente
menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 賛成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS
RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA
PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de
alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de
alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes.
Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para
los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto
fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en
total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 賛成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS
MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién
es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos
motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con
beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones.
Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones.
Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales
en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de
$100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y
locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la
matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Vote Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.</td>
<td>YES 237 NO 239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.</td>
<td>YES 246 NO 248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.</td>
<td>YES 253 NO 255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Medidas Sometidas al Voto de los Electores — Propuestas Estatales

#### 237 Sí 赞成

**Honorarios de Abogados, Procesos Judiciales de Accionistas. Procesos Judiciales de Grupos Perjudicados. Ley de Iniciativa.** Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes suntuarias. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

#### 239 No 反对

**Honorarios Condicionales de Abogados. Limitaciones. Ley de Iniciativa.** Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agraviio. Requiere que se eleve la demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

#### 246 Sí 赞成

**LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996.** Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarán a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

#### 248 No 反对

**LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996.** Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarán a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

#### 253 Sí 赞成

**LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996.** Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarán a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

#### 255 No 反对

**LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996.** Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarán a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES 263

NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES 268

NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPUESTAS DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

F10

263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agradar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反对

268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反对

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕

F10-0-F10
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. **YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.**

**AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.**

**AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.**

**CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,**

**or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.**

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

**DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.**
Respect for Animals Should Be Human Nature.

Don't you think?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco's first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Kevin M. McCarthy

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation's first battered women's shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation's highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tsenin

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtnay, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you’ve finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco’s curbside and apartment recycling programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>紙張</th>
<th>Papel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Paper</td>
<td>留信紙及其它用紙</td>
<td>Papel de Oficina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazines &amp; Catalogs</td>
<td>雜誌及目錄冊</td>
<td>Revistas y Catálogos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Bags &amp; Packaging</td>
<td>紙袋及包裝紙</td>
<td>Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaquetar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Books</td>
<td>電話簿</td>
<td>Directorios Telefónicos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspapers</td>
<td>報紙</td>
<td>Periódicos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junk Mail</td>
<td>廣告郵件</td>
<td>Correspondencia Publicitaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal &amp; Other Dry Food Boxes</td>
<td>穀類及其他乾食品盒</td>
<td>Cajas de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Secos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattened Cardboard</td>
<td>壓扁的紙皮盒</td>
<td>Cartón Aplanado</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¡Recuerde Reciclar Este Folleto!

Después de que haya terminado con este folleto, recicléelo con su otro papel. Y recuerde que hay doce artículos que pueden ser reciclados en los programas a domicilio y apartamentos en San Francisco.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Containers</th>
<th>鋁箔紙及盒</th>
<th>Recipientes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin/Steel Cans</td>
<td>鋁箔紙及盒</td>
<td>Botes de Acero/Estaño</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Cans &amp; Foil</td>
<td>鋁箔紙及盒</td>
<td>Papel de Aluminio y Botes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Bottles</td>
<td>及塑膠瓶</td>
<td>Botellas de Plástico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Jars &amp; Bottles</td>
<td>玻璃瓶、樽</td>
<td>Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB. For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”
1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”
1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
**WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW**

*by the Ballot Simplification Committee*

**GENERAL FUND** — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

**LEASE FINANCING** — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

**ORDINANCE** — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City's cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today's dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY'S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond "debt service" during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. ("Debt Service" is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City's outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children's fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City's financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City's tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City's share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have "moderate" debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City's prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
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Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSITION A

Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  

YES  
NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on “A”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.
The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft.. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:
San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:
• immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.
Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:

• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.
After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifi
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Jim Rivaldo
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Robert Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seta, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City's economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world's favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco's economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City's hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City's General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donaghe, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelia Pascale, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Numzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCON EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janet New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related businesses: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Retailees Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the forefront ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Mennier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allessandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Halley, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS
Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco's largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City's restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, and we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

MOSCON EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS
The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardenman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trugueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Jean-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
 Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONET CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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LABOR FOR MOSCONIC CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1939's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
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TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot.

You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE Fooled. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS. "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That's needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It's a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America's finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That's a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzaola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutschfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Coriez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varano, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymour, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquariathan Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnnie Georje, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Damarrio Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCaffrey, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Johnes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randell Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Anibee H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodol Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huiie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

References

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Seirirsky,
Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shaikhet, Elizabeth Goldstein,
Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yasim

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association

Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terrence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salarno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE’S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Getinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLISCHIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONSA FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or, near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS
SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, J&B’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.
That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES
PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.
No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my latitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen,
Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow,
Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno,
Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Kats, Dean Goodwin,
Ted Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Chen, Kevin Piediscalzi
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world- renown architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK
The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraha, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luznila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Ruben Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

VOTE YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzaresci, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hurley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bello, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinshorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derek Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.
STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9 of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz
Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garret Mitchell
Thor Muller
Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita
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TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.
A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

1. Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(a) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (b) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (c) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (d) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and 1-280 freeways; (e) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (f) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

2. Controls.
(a) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(b) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(c) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

4. Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-(4) of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

- The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

- The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."
I love animals are my friends.

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find
information about our election at the address above.

• Finding out what's on the ballot.
• Looking up the polling place for your address.
• Campaign contributions
• Campaign expenditures
• Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote.
Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly Special</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Seat 7      |     |    |
| Seat 11     |     |    |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:


Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters  
City and County of San Francisco  
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type</th>
<th>Non Partisan</th>
<th>Precincts Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>9603</strong></td>
<td>13th Assembly District</td>
<td>3000's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Senate District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8th Congressional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POLLING PLACE INFORMATION**
- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

**ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION**
- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below.
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

---

**This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996**

My residence address is ________________________________ San Francisco, CA 941____

Check One:  
- [ ] Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.  
- [ ] Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City                   State    Zip Code

[ ] I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

[ ] I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

[ ] All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in:  [ ] Spanish,  [ ] Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

**Sign Here**

Do Not Print

/96

Date Signed

Day Time Phone

Night Time Phone

---

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

[ ]

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.

BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: _______ / _______ / _______

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: (______) _______ - _______

EVE Phone: (______) _______ - _______

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: □ YES □ NO
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24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the *wrong polling place* - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. **For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.**

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong  
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter, from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a "Permanent Absentee Voter" you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says "I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER" and sign your name where it says "Sign Here."

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a "P" then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
Nicholas DeLuca, Committee Chair
National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Brinton
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences,
Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, Ex officio
Deputy City Attorney

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS
Mayoral appointees: Ed Camprey, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall,
Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen,
George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.

Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q
Wong, Registrar of Voters.

Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties,
labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations
and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is
scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote
before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet
by March 1.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the
officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration,
elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters.
It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and
local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes
relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen
participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all
election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7,
your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion,
please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The
pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; 9
5. information for disabled voters; 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the
Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   • Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

   OR

   • Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

- 12th Assembly District
- 8th State Senate District
- 13th Assembly District
- 3rd State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

- 12th Congressional District
- 8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC PARTY

The San Francisco Democratic Party works to insure the election of Democratic candidates by increasing voter registration, promoting voter turnout and educating voters on issues of importance to our community. Our top priority for 1996 is to re-elect President Bill Clinton. Please take the time to fill out and return the volunteer/contributor information attached below. Thank you.

Natalie Berg, Chair
San Francisco Democratic Party

I WILL HELP RE-ELECT PRESIDENT CLINTON!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Day Phone: | Eve Phone: |

I WILL VOLUNTEER:

☐ Staff an Office ☐ Phone Bank ☐ Register Voters ☐ Walk a Precinct

ENCLOSED IS MY SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC PARTY MEMBERSHIP CONTRIBUTION:

(All contributions will be placed in a special fund to support voter registration.)

☐ $10.00 Student/Fixed Income Membership
☐ $35.00 Individual Membership
☐ $50.00 Family Membership
☐ $100.00 Supporting Membership
☐ _______ Other

Mail this form to: San Francisco Democratic Party
100 McAllister Street, Suite 350
San Francisco, CA 94102
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

STEP 1

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

Using Both Hands
Insert the Ballot Card All the Way Into the Votomatic.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手將選票向自動機將選票插入。

STEP 2

Be Sure the Two Slots in the Stub of Your Card Fit Down Over the Two Red Pins.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3

Hold Punch Vertical (Straight Up). Punch Straight Down Through the Ballot Card to Indicate Your Choice. Do Not Use Pen or Pencil.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perforé con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把選票之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 4

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entréguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEMOCRATIC PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the presidential candidate preferred. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the chairman of the group.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

民主黨選票
選民須知

投票選舉一组侯選人中若表明要選擇選票上某一個候選人，請用藍色打孔針在所選擇的總統姓名旁邊打孔；投票選舉一组候選人中，若不特別表明選舉哪一個候選人，請用藍色打孔針在該組組長的姓名旁邊打孔。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名候選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過要選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明白之處，請向選民求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的 "YES" (贊成) 或 "NO" (反對) 打孔。

如果選票有明顯污損或污掉痕跡，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票損壞了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO DEMOCRATA

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para votar por el grupo de candidatos que prefieres una persona cuya nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato presidencial elegido. Para votar por un grupo de candidatos sin elegir un candidato en particular, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del representante del grupo.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borradura; esto anulará la balota.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

1

選挙権
PREFERENCE PRESIDENCIAL
Presidential Preference

LYNDON H. LA ROUCHE, JR. 29

BILL CLINTON 31

民主黨
PARTIDO DEMOCRATA

ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

アメリカ合衆国 - 第8区
REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS, DISTRITO 8
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE — 8th District

NANCY PELOSI
U.S. Congresswoman
Congresista de los EE.UU. 美国女众议员

51
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

2

STATE SENATOR
SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8
STATE SENATOR — 8th District

THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998
No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998
這一職位要到1998年才選出

PARTIDO DEMOCRATA

ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY

THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT
No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea
第12議區沒有特別選舉

DEMOCRATIC PARTY

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District

KEVIN SHELLEY
President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Presidente, Consejo de Supervisores de San Francisco

75
CANDIDATES ARE LISTED ON 2 PAGES
There are 28 candidates listed on pages 3 and 4.
You may vote for no more than 12 of the candidates listed on the two pages.

CANDIDATOS ESTAN ENUMERADOS EN DOS PAGINAS
Hay 28 candidatos enumerados en las páginas 3 y 4.
Puede votar por no más de 12 de los candidatos enumerados en estas páginas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>候選人列在2頁上</th>
<th>第3和第4頁限選12名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28名候選人列在第3和第4頁</td>
<td>Vote for no more than 12 on pages 3 &amp; 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>候選人(姓名)</th>
<th>党派</th>
<th>位置</th>
<th>编号</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOB GEARY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVEN FEINSTEIN</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attorney / Abogado</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDIE Y. CHIN</td>
<td></td>
<td>D.A. Investigator / Investigador del Fiscal</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT PENDER</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tenant Representative / Representante de Inquilinos</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID PILPEL</td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Transit Analyst / Analista del Transporte Público</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEE ANN HANNA PRIFTI</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEANNA M. DAWYDIK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Police Officer / Attorney / Oficial de Policía/Abogada</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAIRE ZVANSKI</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TJ ANTHONY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Neighborhood Liaison / Representante del Vecindario / 住戶聯絡員</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALEXA SMITH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARLO SMITH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID SMITH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Youth Director / Director de la Juventud / 青年主任</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOSE E. MEDINA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Field Representative / Representante de Campo / 外勤代表</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELAINE COLLINS McBRIE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTEST CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE
LA CONTIENDA CONTINUA EN LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE
### SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Committee — 12th District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BYRON MCQUARTERS</strong>&lt;br&gt;Banker / Banquero /銀行家</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KENNETH J. MILLER</strong>&lt;br&gt;Crime Prevention Specialist / Especialista de Prevención del Crimen / 刑事顧問</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ERIC MAR</strong>&lt;br&gt;College Dean / Attorney / Decano Universitario / Abogado / 大学校長 / 顧問</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALICIA LARA</strong>&lt;br&gt;Community Health Analyst / Analista de Salud Comunitaria / 社區衛生分析員</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ILEANA HERNANDEZ</strong>&lt;br&gt;Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RICK HILLS</strong>&lt;br&gt;Labor Attorney / Abogado Laboral / 劳工律师</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JEANNA T. HANEY</strong>&lt;br&gt;Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DAVID A. HOAG</strong>&lt;br&gt;State Senate Aide / Ayudante del Senado Estatal / 省参議院助理</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TONY KILROY</strong>&lt;br&gt;Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIANNE M. TUBRIDY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONNIE O'CONNOR</strong>&lt;br&gt;Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMY V. QUirk</strong>&lt;br&gt;Attorney / Abogada / 律師</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JOHN RIORDAN</strong>&lt;br&gt;Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALEXANDER J. WONG</strong>&lt;br&gt;Attorney / Abogado / 律師</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**CONTEST CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE**

There are 28 candidates listed on pages 3 and 4.
You may vote for no more than 12 of the candidates listed on the two pages.

---

**LA CONTIENDA CONTINUA DE LA PAGINA ANTERIOR**

Hay 28 candidatos enumerados en las páginas 3 y 4.
Puede votar por no más de 12 de los candidatos enumerados en estas páginas.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
BALOTA APARTIDARIA 無黨派選票

高级法院法官，第七庭
JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7
Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7
LILLIAN K. SING
Judge, Municipal Court
Juez, Tribunal Municipal 地方法庭法官

高級法院法官，第十一庭
JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 11
Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11
KEVIN McCARTHY
Attorney/Law Professor
Abogado/Profesor de Derecho 律師／法律教授
DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.
Incumbent
Titular 现任者

地方法院法官，第一庭
JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1
Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1
RON ALBERS
Trial Attorney
Abogado 市議律師
KAY TSENNIN
Attorney Arbitrator Mediator
Abogada Arbitro Mediadora 律師／仲裁人／調停人
MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD
Deputy City Attorney
Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado 副市律師

请選一名
Vote por Uno
Vote for One

135 ➡
141 ➡
143 ➡
149 ➡
151 ➡
153 ➡
SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

1996年抗震轉型債券法案。本法案規定發行一億二十億元的債券，為本市的橋樑、公路高架橋和公路交叉軸線的抗震轉型項目提供資金。規定這些資金僅用於抗震轉型項目。本法案規定州審計長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已確定的項目，並且規定該審計結果予以公開。

TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDE CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

地產估價。祖父與孫子繼承，憲法修正案。修正州憲法，規定在符合某些條件的前提下，祖孫之間購買或轉移地產時，無須對其重新估價。財政影響：學校、縣、市，以及特定每年損失約$100萬的地產稅收入。學校收入的損失將由州政府一般基金彌補。

PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

囚犯、合資項目、失業補償、假釋。立法法案的修正案。規定囚犯在監獄期間在合資項目中工作，該囚犯在出獄後無資格享受失業補償的權利。財政影響：總體財政影響可能很微小。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDONDO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

---

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. AESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el piratao de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del piratao de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el piratao de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

---

195
CASTIGO POR AESINATÓ. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 están aprobadas, se disparar desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del piratao de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasarán a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

---

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. AESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el piratao de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del piratao de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el piratao de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

196 NO 反對

---

202 SI 贊成
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). Pumas. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reducida anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

204 NO 反對

---

205 SI 贊成

---

ALL-0-F7
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CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

8E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211
NO 213

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218
NO 220

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226
NO 228

8E-0-ALL
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CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS ESTATUALES

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados del todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE AQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Proporciona un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

2198 責

1999 責

2000 責
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
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NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201  ATTORNEYS’ FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments. YES 237

NO 239

202  ATTORNEYS’ CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments. YES 246

NO 248

203  PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects. YES 253

NO 255
SAMPLE BALLOT
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BALOTA APARTIDARIA
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237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS, PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por los procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PÚBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarán a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

201

202

203

1996年公立教育设施债券法案。这项债券总计三十七亿美元（$3,000,000,000）的学校建设债券将有助于改进和建设加州公立学校、社区学院和州立大学中的教室、图书馆和其他必要设施。该资金将用于现有的教室在未来的地震中更安全，为教室装备21世纪的电脑技术，缩小每个班级的人数以及满足入学人数的增长。该债券只能用于在州批准的学校建设项目。
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CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  
   YES 263     NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  
   YES 268     NO 270

END OF BALLOT
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263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Respect for animals should be human nature.

{Don't you think?}

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee. Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
Candidate for Superior Court Judge, Office #7

LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court. I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco's first judicial forum "Access To Justice" attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the "Outstanding Jurist" award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the "Living Treasure" Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.
The choice is clear.
I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over
seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending
Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life
in court confronting the real problems which judges are called
upon to resolve.
I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent
appointees.
My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph
Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline,
Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton,
Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.
Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the
courts.
First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of
the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs.
Every court should be in session all day long.
With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals
should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will
not be dragged endlessly through the system.
On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offend-
ers should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by
judges with experience in criminal law.

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San
Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is
an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting vic-
tims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all
who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of
  Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of
31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets,
neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp
Appellate Justices: Carl Anderson, Robert Dossee, Paul Haerle,
Daniel Hanlon, Zerne Haning, Donald King, Robert Merrill,
William Newsom, Joanne Parrilli, Michael Phelan,
Timothy Reardon, William Stein, Gary Strankman,
Douglas Swagger.
Superior Court Judges: Paul Alvarado, Raymond Arata, Jr.,
Lee Baxter, Carlos Bea, William Cahill, Alfred Chiantelli,
John Dearman, Robert Dondero, Richard Figone, Ina Gyemant,
Barbara Jones, Laurence Kay, Douglas Munson, John Munter,
A. James Robertson, James Warren, Raymond Williamson,
Charlotte Woolard.
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy,
Joseph Russoniello

Kevin M. McCarthy
Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tsenin

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Krouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:
CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward
JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein
FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoienello
FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith
POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng
SCHOOL BOARD: Carlotta delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee
COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong
FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Snegal, robert wallach
Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper
  辦公室及其他用紙
  Papel de Oficina

- Magazines & Catalogs
  雜誌及目錄冊
  Revistas y Catálogos

- Paper Bags & Packaging
  紙袋及包裝紙
  Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaquetar

- Telephone Books
  電話簿
  Directorios Teléfonicos

- Newspapers
  報紙
  Periódicos

- Junk Mail
  廢棄郵件
  Correspondencia Publicitaria

- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes
  殼類及其他乾食品盒
  Cajas de Cereal y Otras Comestibles Secos

- Flattened Cardboard
  壓扁的紙皮盒
  Cartón Aplanado

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
  鋁罐紙及盒
  Botellas de Acero/Estaño

- Aluminum Cans & Foil
  鋁箔紙及盒
  Papel de Aluminio y Botes

- Plastic Bottles
  及塑膠瓶
  Botellas de Plástico

- Glass Jars & Bottles
  玻璃瓶、樽
  Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent’s" and "Opponent's" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent’s Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent’s Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent’s Argument"
1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent’s Argument"
1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent’s Argument" and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
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GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOSTER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSTER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A. San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city *must* develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals. VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

---

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City's convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City's debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City's favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco's economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City's Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City's economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Chewng
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gillian
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of the City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is "Good for San Francisco"

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
- It will create badly needed construction jobs;
- It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
- It will boost the City's economy and related industries;
- It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion Is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco's economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that Moscone Center is well within the City's current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco's economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City's budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco's public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Sculdt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City's general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco's economic opportunities. Let's move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zwanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City's economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City's annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City's General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Jim Rivaldo
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City's general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City's economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world's favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 500,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco's economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

 Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City's hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City's General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascal, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City's Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City's budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City's General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Marge F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s taxpayers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONEx EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

**Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans.** The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with **no increase in taxes.**

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

**Supervisor Barbara Kaufman**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

**Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council**

**Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

**Gina Moscone**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

**Russ Campbell**, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center

**Jennifer Pitts**, Wound About, Pier 39

**Lee Ann Baldwin**, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music

**Louis Meunier**, Executive Vice President, Mechandising, Macys California

**Mark Brashear**, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco

**Walter Fong**, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco. Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans ... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington, Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio, Helen Hobbs

MOSCONENE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunmar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Ari Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco's largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City's economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry's critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation's largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city's convention facility. Presently, San Francisco's Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City's general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco's economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It's an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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LABOR FOR MOSCONER CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION
Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.
Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930’s and 1940’s. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.
Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it’s mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.
Sign Display Local Union 510’s Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.
San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.
An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.
Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.
Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It will sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

“Privately Built”? DON’T BE FOOL ED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

“Architectural Wonder”? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

“Economic Boost”? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

“Accessible and Convenient”? Proposition B states, “. . . there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schlackman,
Barbara Koelsar, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rears, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Woltin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economies of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38 President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Sairarisky, Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shoikhet, Elizabeth Goldstein, Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yusim

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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**BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK**

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

*Charles Schwab*, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
*Richard Rosenberg*, President, Bank of America
*Harmon Burns*, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
*Larry Nibbi*, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
*Allan Byer*, President, Byer California Properties
*Nathan Dwiri*, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
*Robert Jacobs*, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association

Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocent, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

**TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK**

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

*Mike Bernick*, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
*James Fang*, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
*Jon Ballesstrera*, Member, Public Transportation Commission
*Vitor Makris*, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

**PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK**

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

*Mike Hennessey*, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
*Terence Hallinan*, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
*Al Nelder*, Former Chief of Police
*Al Triguero*, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
*Pat Norman*, Police Commissioner
*Wayne Friday*, Police Commissioner
*Rich Perino*, Probation Officer
*Harriet Salarino*, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team's dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gellins, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City's young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeviSch, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, II Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, JB's Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sense, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyner-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donoghue, President, Residential Builder's Association
Lev Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
Anna Shimko, Cherie James, Roberta Boomer,
Sharon Eberhardt, Sharon Bretz, Eva Royale, Elmy Bermejo,
Linda Post, Evelyn White, Alison Louie,
Amanda Nowinski-Gould, Deana Welker, Rebecca Ames,
Marina Boris, Shannon Wilson, Juliette Lopez, Shelah Moody,
Dana Soares, Toni Gill, Johnnie L. Rollins, Joyce Aldana,
Rita H. Myers, Vera Vinson, Janett McCoy, Marcia Brown,
Stephanie D. Feiring, Diana Flores, Alice Fialkin,
Marie Hollis, Karen Huggins, Beverly F. Stanberry,
Mary Hall Terry, Mary Y. Jung, Patricia Probasco,
Kathryn Gordois, Lisa Ann Washington, Delores Banks,
Julie Anderson, Markita D. Cooper, Vida Edwards, Ann Kelley,
Karen Lewis, Alice Drake, Denise J. Muntz, Lynette Wells,
Vinita Trice, Brenda Sapp Megginson, Catherine A. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my laudituous toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, ("Until There’s a Cure Day"). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Reoea, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrnann, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen,
Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow,
Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno,
Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin,
Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Chen, Kevin Piedscazi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarraga, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fax Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifiti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handi-cap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was the Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn't cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bello, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?
- Why don't citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
- When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
- PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs. For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz
Cathy Guthens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Westley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller
Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita
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TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark’s construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

“Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the ‘Northeast China Basin Special Use District,’ the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and 1-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark’s operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code.”

Section 4. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height and Bulk</th>
<th>District to be Superseded</th>
<th>Height and Bulk</th>
<th>District Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-X</td>
<td></td>
<td>150-X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 5. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

“(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark.”

Section 6. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use District to be Superseded</th>
<th>Use District Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-2</td>
<td>The Northeast China Basin Special Use District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 7. (a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

“This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District.”

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

“h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code.”

Section 8. It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(i) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(ii) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9. Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the elected Board of Directors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

I love animals that are our fres

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today:
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.
Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
**Voter's Quick Reference Card**

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

__________________________

__________________________

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9611

Democratic
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2000's, 2100's,
2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

_FLAGS_ 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.

_FLAGS_ Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

_FLAGS_ Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below

_FLAGS_ Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

---

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is __________________________ San Francisco, CA 941______

Check One:  [ ] Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.  [ ] Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address __________________________

City __________________________ State ______ Zip Code ______

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 28, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

[ ] I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

[ ] All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in:  [ ] Spanish,  [ ] Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here ________________

Do Not Print

Date Signed ___________________ Day Time Phone __________ Night Time Phone __________

Your Polling Place Location

Poling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address __________________________
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLs ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH:    /    /  
(MONTH)   (DAY)   (YEAR)

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone:    

EVE Phone:    

What language do you speak in addition to English: ____________________________

I have a car:    YES    NO
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24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

**CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY**

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. **For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.**

**IT'S NOT A MISTAKE**

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.

6
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if you are different from your residence address, your daytime and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
Balloons Simplification Committee
Nicholas De Luca, Committee Chair
National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Brinton
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John O’Dell
National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences,
Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, ex officio
Deputy City Attorney

Citizens Advisory Committee on Elections
Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall,
Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen,
George Mix, Jr., Gail Morhole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.

Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q
Wong, Registrar of Voters.

Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties,
labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations
and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

Mail Delivery of Voter Pamphlets
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is
scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote
before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet
by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7,
your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion,
please notify your local Post Office.

Purpose of the Voter Information Pamphlet
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); ........................................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ..................................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ........................................... backcover
4. Your rights as a voter; ........................................................................... 9
5. information for disabled voters; ............................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; .................. 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the
   Controller’s Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ........................................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ......................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:

- Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

OR

- Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District
3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

12th Congressional District

8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC PARTY

The San Francisco Democratic Party works to insure the election of Democratic candidates by increasing voter registration, promoting voter turnout and educating voters on issues of importance to our community. Our top priority for 1996 is to re-elect President Bill Clinton. Please take the time to fill out and return the volunteer/contributor information attached below. Thank you.

Natalie Berg
Natalie Berg, Chair
San Francisco Democratic Party

I WILL HELP RE-ELECT PRESIDENT CLINTON!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Phone:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I WILL VOLUNTEER:

☐ Staff an Office
☐ Phone Bank
☐ Register Voters
☐ Walk a Precinct

ENCLOSED IS MY SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC PARTY MEMBERSHIP CONTRIBUTION:

(All contributions will be placed in a special fund to support voter registration.)

☐ $10.00 Student/Fixed Income Membership
☐ $35.00 Individual Membership
☐ $50.00 Family Membership
☐ $100.00 Supporting Membership
☐ Other

Mail this form to:
San Francisco Democratic Party
100 McAllister Street, Suite 350
San Francisco, CA 94102
SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

STEP 1

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手持票向自动机将整张选票插入。

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE Stub OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切记将选票插入时，票尾之二孔，接合于二红点之上。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfure con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把带针之选举针，由小孔亦须垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 4

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entréguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEMOCRATIC PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the presidential candidate preferred. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the chairman of the group.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

民主黨選票

選民須知

投票選擇一组候選人中若要表明要選擇選票上某一個候選人，請用藍色打孔針塗選候選人姓名旁邊打孔；投票選擇一组候選人中，若不特別表明選擇哪一個候選人，請用藍色打孔針在該組組長的姓名旁邊打孔。

投票選舉選票上列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名候選人時，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過要選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上寫上候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。

如果選票有明顯污穢或摺損破損，選票作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕破了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO DEMOCRATA

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES

Para votar por el grupo de candidatos que prefiere una persona cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato presidencial elegido. Para votar por un grupo de candidatos sin elegir un candidato en particular, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del representante del grupo.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales le desee votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SÍ" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anularía la balota.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTY</th>
<th>PRIMARY ELECTION NOVEMBER 9, 1993</th>
<th>REPUBLICAN PRIMARY ELECTION NOVEMBER 9, 1993</th>
<th>DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY ELECTION MARCH 26, 1996</th>
<th>CONSERVATIVE PRIMARY ELECTION NOVEMBER 9, 1993</th>
<th>LIBERTARIAN PRIMARY ELECTION NOVEMBER 9, 1993</th>
<th>OTHER PRIMARY ELECTION NOVEMBER 9, 1993</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARTY</td>
<td>PRIMARY ELECTION</td>
<td>PRIMARY ELECTION DATE</td>
<td>PRIMARY ELECTION RESULT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEMOCRATIC PARTY</td>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KEVIN SHELLEY</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presidente, Consejo de Supervisores de San Francisco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>12th District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## CANDIDATES ARE LISTED ON 2 PAGES
There are 28 candidates listed on pages 3 and 4.
You may vote for no more than 12 of the candidates listed on the two pages.

## CANDIDATOS ESTAN ENUMERADOS EN DOS PAGINAS
Hay 28 candidatos enumerados en las páginas 3 y 4.
Puede votar por no más de 12 de los candidatos enumerados en estas páginas.

### 候選人列在2頁上
28候選人列在第3和第4頁
列在兩頁上的候選人限選12名

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>候選人名稱</th>
<th>得票數</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bob Geary</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Feinstein</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddie Y. Chin</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Pender</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Pilpel</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Ann Hanna Prifti</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leanna M. Dawdyak</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claire Zvanski</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TJ Anthony</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Smith</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlo Smith</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sid Smith</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose E. Medina</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine Collins McBride</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTEST CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE
LA CONTIENDA CONTINUA EN LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Committee — 12th District</th>
<th>Vote por no más de 12 en las páginas 3 y 4</th>
<th>Vote for no more than 12 on pages 3 &amp; 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BYRON McQUARTERS</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banker / Banquero / 銀行家</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KENNETH J. MILLER</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention Specialist / Especialista de Prevención del Crimen / 刑事專家</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERIC MAR</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Dean / Attorney / Decano Universitario / Abogado / 大学校長 / 律師</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALICIA LARA</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Analyst / Analista de Salud Comunitaria / 社區衛生分析員</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILEANA HERNANDEZ</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICK HILLS</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Attorney / Abogado Laboral / 工傷律師</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEANNA T. HANEY</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID A. HOAG</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senate Aide / Ayudante del Senado Estatal / 國會秘書助理</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TONY KILROY</td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIANNE M. TUBRIDGE</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONNIE O’CONNOR</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMY V. QUIRK</td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney / Abogado / 律師</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN RIORDON</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALEXANDER J. WONG</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney / Abogado / 律師</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTEST CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE
There are 28 candidates listed on pages 3 and 4.
You may vote for no more than 12 of the candidates listed on the two pages.

LA CONTIENDA CONTINUA DE LA PAGINA ANTERIOR
Hay 28 candidatos enumerados en las páginas 3 y 4.
Puede votar por no más de 12 de los candidatos enumerados en estas páginas.

競選續前頁
28名候選人列在第3和第4頁
列在兩頁上的候選人限選12名
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NONPARTISAN BALLOT</th>
<th>BOLOTA APARTIDARIA</th>
<th>無黨派選票</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>高級法院法官，第七庭</td>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7</td>
<td>请选一名</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING</td>
<td>Judge, Municipal Court</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez, Tribunal Municipal</td>
<td>地方法庭法官</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 高級法院法官，第十一庭 | JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 11 | 请选一名 |
| Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11 | | Vote for One |
| KEVIN McCARTHY | Attorney/Law Professor | 141 |
| Abogado/Profesor de Derecho | 律师／法律教授 |
| DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. | Incumbent | 143 |
| Titular | 现任者 |

| | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 地方法院法官，第一庭 | JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1 | 请选一名 |
| Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1 | | Vote for One |
| RON ALBERS | Trial Attorney | 149 |
| Abogado | 律师 |
| KAY TSENIN | Attorney Arbitrator Mediator | 151 |
| Abogada Arbitro Mediadora | 仲裁人／调停人 |
| MATTHEW ROTHSCILD | Deputy City Attorney | 153 |
| Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado | 副市律师 |

S-0-ALL
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emision de bonos de dos mil millones de dolarés para financiar un programa de reconversion antisismica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a nivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversion antisismica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoria independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparacion de un informe publico sobre esa auditoria.

F6

161 NO 反对
192
1996年抗震翻新改建債券法案。本法案規
定發行一筆二十億元的債券，為本州的橋
梁，公路高架橋和公路交叉樞紐的抗震翻
新改建項目提供資金。規定這些資金僅用
於抗震翻新改建項目。本法案規定州審計
長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用
於已確定的項目，並且規定將審計結果予
以公佈。

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES: EXENCION, TRANSFERENCI
ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENNA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitucion del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasacion de los bienes raices en el mo
mento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serian compesadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

193

170 NO 反对

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS, PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA, BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO, LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENNA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esta se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prision. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

194

179 NO 反对
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.

7E-0-ALL

22
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESIÑATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulta de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

185 SI：贊成
187 NO：反對

CASTIGO POR ASESIÑATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasarán a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

194 SI：贊成
196 NO: 反對

ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCIÓN DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROПUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reajudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información pública sobre los pumas.

202 SI：贊成
204 NO: 反對

---
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

第196号提案提案。則從事軍名官開、劫持車輛以及謀殺陪審員將成為特殊情況。財政影響：州政府開支可能略增少許。

第197號提案提案。設立保衛墓園法，(第 117號提案)。州議會的動議修正案。成法案交由立法院審議，對芝芝及阿芝州的居民及資源，取消他山的特殊保護哺乳動物種，財政影響：從棲息環境保存基金中重新撥款，從1996-97到1998-99年每年最高拨款額為$250,000，此後每年最高撥款額為$100,000，用於山嶽管理。州政可能每年最多花費$250,000，用於與山嶽有關的生態與公共資訊項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

8E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS ESTATUALES

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJO INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicas aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito de la suma del arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

198

199

200
### SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**  
**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**  

#### NONPARTISAN BALLOT  
**CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**  
**MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITION</th>
<th>QUESTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>201</strong></td>
<td>ATTORNEYS’ FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.</td>
<td>YES 237</td>
<td>NO 239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>202</strong></td>
<td>ATTORNEYS’ CONTESTING FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.</td>
<td>YES 246</td>
<td>NO 248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>203</strong></td>
<td>PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.</td>
<td>YES 253</td>
<td>NO 255</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere que la parte perdedora pague si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se presente demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios más altos sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres mil millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

1996年公立教育設施債券法案，這三十億元（$3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改進和建設加州公立學校，社區學院和州立大學中的教室，圖書館，和其他必要設施，該資金將用於使現有的教室在未來的地震中更為安全，為教學裝備21世紀的電子技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及適應人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  YES 263  NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  YES 268  NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA
投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Respect for animals should be human nature. {Don’t you think?}

Find yourself a best friend.
We’re open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp

Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russioniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Ron Albers

KAY TSENNIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

MATTHEW ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:

- Honors — Georgetown University
- Hastings Law School
- Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
- Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
- Former Social Services Commissioner
- Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
- Past President, Alice B. Toklas
- Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
- Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russaniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, robert wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothscild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you’ve finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco’s curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office Paper</th>
<th>记得将这本小册子回收</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>紙張</td>
<td>記得將這本小冊子回收</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Magazines & Catalogs | 三藩市路邊及家屋回收 |
| 雜誌及目錄冊 | 三藩市路邊及家屋回收 |

| Recycled Paper Bags & Packaging | 回收紙袋及包裝紙 |
| Bausos de Papel y Papel de Empaquetar | 廢棄紙皮盒 |

| Telephone Books | 電話簿 |
| Directorias Teléfonicas | |

---

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

| Tin/Steel Cans | 紙張紙及盒 |
| 鋁箔紙及盒 | Botes de Aço / Latas |

| Aluminum Cans & Foil | 調料盒 |
| Papel de Aluminio y Botas | 調料盒 |

| Plastic Bottles | 塑膠瓶 |
| Botellas de Plástico | |

| Glass Jars & Bottles | 玻璃瓶、樽 |
| Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio | |

---

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB. For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.

2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.

3. The Mayor.


5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.

2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.

3. The Mayor.


5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent's and opponent's arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue Bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation Bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt Payments. Total general obligation bond "debt service" during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. ("Debt Service" is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have "moderate" debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES
NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller’s Statement on “A”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.
The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOSENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children’s programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft.. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let’s work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

---

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE “YES” FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today, the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built.

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase goes for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
- It will create badly needed construction jobs;
- It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
- It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
- It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFA Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribben, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel
Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry-level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONCE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the Sth of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
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Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revitalize our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurants industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Numzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company
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Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Jana New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.
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TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT
MOSCONEx EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A
The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our "visitor industry" related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A
San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City's diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco's business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City's business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A
The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the forefront of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George's greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center's tremendous contribution to our city's economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation's most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A
Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City's retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city's overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City's #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Mechandiseing, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOScone Expansion

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn't cost taxpayers anything!

Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That's good for local jobs — that's good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin' the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco's property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City's economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City's restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote YES on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
**PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A**

**JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS**

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

**Assessor Doris M. Ward**

**Fiona Ma**, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board

**Dr. Leland Yee**, San Francisco Board of Education

**Keith Jackson**, San Francisco Board of Education

**Jerry Nelson**

**Sabrina Saunders**

**Claudine Cheng**

**Jason Wong**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

**MOSCONE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS**

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

**Walter Johnson**, S.F. Labor Council

**Stan Smith**, S.F. Building Trades Council

**Michael Hardeman**, Sign Display Local 510

**Rod McLeod**, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613

**Robert Morales**, Teamsters Local 350

**Joseph Sharpe**, UFCW Local 648

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6

**Michael Casey**, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2

**Larry Mazzola**, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38

**Al Trigueiro**, S.F. Police Officers Association

**Lawrence Martin**, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO

**Sal Rosselli**, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU

**Jim McPartlan**, Carpenters Local Union 22

**Donald Lawson**, Teamsters Local 856

**Joan-Marie Shelley**, United Educators of San Francisco

**Robert Murray**, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8

**Gunnar Lunderberg**, Sailors' Union of the Pacific

**Art Viet**, Stationary Engineers, Local 39

**Katie Quan**, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council

**Van Beane**, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco's largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City's economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry's critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation's largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city's convention facility. Presently, San Francisco's Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City's general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco's economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It's an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regrettfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCON EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations of which are evidenced by indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at
China Basin?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPONEENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will cone on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPONEENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

“Privately Built”? DON’T BE FOODEL. Taxpayers could pay for:
Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

“Architectural Wonder”? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

“Economic Boost”? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another, Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

“Accessible and Convenient”? Proposition B states, “. . . there shall be no minimum requirement for the of-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOP-HOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That's needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It's a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America's finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That's a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.
FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.
OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote YES on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Satirisky, Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shoikhet, Elizabet Goldstein, Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yusim
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EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join with us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco
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ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti
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TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission
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PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the Chinatown area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting YES on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessy, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate
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UNTIL THERE’S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelines, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
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SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.
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PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School
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DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sallly DeVischer, Tomno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
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BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the ballpark at China Basin?
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RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant businesses in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
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SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.
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POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrallah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee
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LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizanship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
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HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lev Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
Anna Shinoka, Cherie James, Roberta Boomer,
Sharon Eberhardt, Sharon Bretz, Eva Royale, Elnye Bermejo,
Linda Post, Evelyn White, Alison Louie,
Amanda Nowinski-Gould, Deana Welker, Rebecca Ames,
Marina Boris, Shannon Wilson, Juliette Lopez, Shelah Moody,
Dana Soares, Toni Gill, Johnnie L. Rollins, Joyce Aldana,
Rita H. Myers, Verta Vinson, Janett McCoy, Marcia Brown,
Stephanie D. Feiring, Diana Flores, Alice Fialkin,
Marie Hollins, Karen Huggins, Beverly F. Stanberry,
Mary Hall Terry, Mary Y. Jung, Patricia Probasco,
Kathryn Gordoix, Lisa Ann Washington, Delores Banks,
Julie Anderson, Markita D. Cooper, Vida Edwards, Ann Kelley,
Karen Lewis, Alice Drake, Denise J. Muniz, Lynette Wells,
Vinuta Trice, Brenda Sapp Megginson, Catherine A. Yee

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure.

In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Martha Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Callun, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piediscalzi
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As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CAL/Train across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Parklands populated the center part of the City, Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue, Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticketholders, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Mifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvonski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Hanev, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Cueno, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinhorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Lawrence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?
- Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?

PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

| Alina Bednarz | Cathy Githens | Younhee Paik |
| John Berry    | Carol Granados | Ann Politzer |
| Jean Bolte    | Diane Harwood  | Sara Shoemaker |
| Aidan Castelloe | Rick Lowe   | William Shoemaker |
| Ann Castelloe | Wesley Lowe    | Robert Stone  |
| Nancy Decker  | Garrett Mitchell | Steven Volpe  |
| Lynn Getz     | Thor Muller    | Keith Yamashita |
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark’s construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.[14] to read as follows:

"Section 249.[14] NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT:

A Special Use District entitled the "Northeast China Basin Special Use District," the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below.

The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose: In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfers from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the freeway system; (E) will allow access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark’s operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-4 of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk District to be Superseded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use District</th>
<th>M-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use District Hereby Approved</td>
<td>The Northeast China Basin Special Use District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use District to be Superseded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use District Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Northeast China Basin Special Use District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.[14] of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals and my friends.

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

• Finding out what's on the ballot.
• Looking up the polling place for your address.
• Campaign contributions
• Campaign expenditures
• Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
### Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior Court Judge - Name</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

______________________________
______________________________

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type 9612
Democratic
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
12th Congressional
Precincts Applicable 2300's, 2400's,
2500's, 2600's, 2800's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below.
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is
San Francisco, CA 941

Check One: □ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. □ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City State Zip Code

□ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

□ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

□ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: □ Spanish, □ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible

Mailing Address

Date Signed
Day Time Phone
Night Time Phone

/96
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL-worker
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: __/__/__

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: (___) ___-___

EVE Phone: (___) ___-___

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: YES NO
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Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who’ve moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that’s about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven’t re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver’s license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you’ve moved and haven’t re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar’s office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don’t know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
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ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ................................................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; .......... 9
4. Your rights as a voter; ................................................................. 6
5. information for disabled voters; ...................................................... 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; .................. 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .......... 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and .................................. 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. .................. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only "qualified" write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:

• Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

OR

• Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District
3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

8th Congressional District
12th Congressional District
WHY MUST I GO TO A SPECIFIC POLLING PLACE? WHY CAN'T I GO TO ANY ONE I WANT?  UNTIL THIS YEAR, YOU HAD 3 WAYS OF VOTING:

1. AT THE POLLING PLACE FOR YOUR RESIDENCE ADDRESS - AND NO OTHER POLLING PLACE;
2. AT THE REGISTRAR'S OFFICE - STARTING 29 DAYS BEFORE THE ELECTION; OR
3. BY MAIL - IF YOU SENT IN A REQUEST AT LEAST A WEEK BEFORE THE ELECTION, AN ABSENTEE BALLOT WAS SENT TO YOU.

IF YOU TRIED TO VOTE ANY OTHER WAY, YOUR BALLOT WAS NOT COUNTED.

THAT WAS THEN, AND NOW IS NOW, AND NOW THE LAW HAS CHANGED. NOW THERE IS A 4TH WAY - YOU CAN GO TO ANY POLLING PLACE WHICH HAS THE BALLOT TYPE THAT YOU ARE ELIGIBLE TO VOTE ON. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? A BALLOT IS A BALLOT, RIGHT? ACTUALLY, NO.

SAN FRANCISCO IS DIVIDED INTO A NUMBER OF OVERLAPPING POLITICAL DISTRICTS - SEE THE MAPS ON PAGE 10. FOR THIS ELECTION, YOU ARE ELIGIBLE FOR ONE OF THESE THREE BALLOT TYPES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TO BE SURE YOUR BALLOT COUNTS, IT'S BEST TO VOTE AT THE POLLING PLACE FOR YOUR RESIDENCE ADDRESS, AT THE REGISTRAR'S OFFICE, OR BY ABSENTEE BALLOT. HOWEVER, IF YOU WANT TO VOTE AT A DIFFERENT POLLING PLACE, CALL OUR OFFICE AT 554. 4375, AND WE WILL LET YOU KNOW IF IT HAS YOUR BALLOT TYPE.
SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC PARTY

The San Francisco Democratic Party works to insure the election of Democratic candidates by increasing voter registration, promoting voter turnout and educating voters on issues of importance to our community. Our top priority for 1996 is to re-elect President Bill Clinton. Please take the time to fill out and return the volunteer/contributor information attached below. Thank you.

Natalie Berg
Natalie Berg, Chair
San Francisco Democratic Party

---

I WILL HELP RE-ELECT PRESIDENT CLINTON!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day Phone:</th>
<th>Eve Phone:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

I WILL VOLUNTEER:

☐ Staff an Office  ☐ Phone Bank  ☐ Register Voters  ☐ Walk a Precinct

ENCLOSED IS MY SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC PARTY MEMBERSHIP CONTRIBUTION:
(All contributions will be placed in a special fund to support voter registration.)

☐ $10.00 Student/Fixed Income Membership
☐ $35.00 Individual Membership
☐ $50.00 Family Membership
☐ $100.00 Supporting Membership
☐ ______ Other

Mail this form to:  San Francisco Democratic Party
                    100 McAllister Street, Suite 350
                    San Francisco, CA 94102
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de voto y obtenga otra.

STEP 1

USING BOTH HANDS INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de voto completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手持票向自動機將選票插入。

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabezitas rojas.

第二步
請確認選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.
Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perforé con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把選票之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEMOCRATIC PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To vote for the group of candidates preferring a person whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the presidential candidate preferred. To vote for a group of candidates not expressing a preference for a particular candidate, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the chairman of the group.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or delace this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO DEMOCRATA

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para votar por el grupo de candidatos que prefiera una persona cuya nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato presidencial elegido. Para votar por un grupo de candidatos sin elegir un candidato en particular, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del representante del grupo.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SÍ" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borradura; esto anulará la balota.

始投選票，請轉下頁。

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>President of the United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BILL CLINTON  29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYNDON H. LA ROUCHE, JR.  31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>United States Representative — 8th District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NANCY PELOSI  51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sample Ballot**

**Consolidated Primary Election, March 26, 1996**

**City and County of San Francisco**
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SENATOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 3
STATE SENATOR — 3rd District

ANGELA ALIOTO
San Francisco County Supervisor
Supervisora del Condado de San Francisco 三藩市議員

JOHN BURTON
Member, California State Legislature
Miembro, Legislatura del Estado de California 加州議員

SPECIAL ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Vacancy in Current Term)
ELECCION ESPECIAL
DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (ACTUALMENTE VACANTE)
特別選挙
第13議員区（現任有空職）

MEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州議員－第13區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

CAROLE MIGDEN
San Francisco County Supervisor
Supervisor del Condado de San Francisco 三藩市議員

REGULAR ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Term from 12/3/96 — 12/2/98)
ELECCION REGULAR
DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (PARA SERVIR DEL 12/3/96 — 12/2/98)
定期選挙
第13議員区（任期自1996年12月3日起至1998年12月2日止）

MEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州議員－第13區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

CAROLE MIGDEN
San Francisco County Supervisor
Supervisor del Condado de San Francisco 三藩市議員
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## COUNTY COMMITTEE — 13th District

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>省委員會 — 第13區</td>
<td>COMITÉ DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Committee — 13th District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CANDIDATES ARE LISTED ON 2 PAGES

There are 29 candidates listed on pages 3 and 4. You may vote for no more than 12 of the candidates listed on the two pages.

## CANDIDATOS ESTAN ENUMERADOS EN DOS PAGINAS

Hay 29 candidatos enumerados en las páginas 3 y 4. Puede votar por no más de 12 de los candidatos enumerados en estas páginas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>候選人列在2頁上</th>
<th>第3和第4頁限選12名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29名候選人列在第3和第4頁</td>
<td>Vote for no more than 12 on pages 3 &amp; 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>列在兩頁上的候選人限選12名</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KATHRYN E. GORDON</td>
<td>Small Business Owner / Propietario de una Pequeña Empresa</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENNIS Q. EDELMAN</td>
<td>Human Resources Administrator / Administrador de Recursos Humanos</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIAN H. CHEU</td>
<td>Human Rights Representative / Representante de Derechos Humanos</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAUDINE CHENG</td>
<td>Civil Rights Attorney / Abogada de Derechos Civiles</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOUG COMSTOCK</td>
<td>Artist / Artista</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RONALD COLTHIRST</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAYNE M. CORN</td>
<td>Small Business Person / Propietario de una Pequeña Empresa</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSS PAULSEN</td>
<td>Market Research Consultant / Asesor de Investigaciones de Mercado</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREG DAY</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATALIE BERG</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUE BIERMAN</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT J. BOILEAU</td>
<td>Union Research Director / Director de Investigaciones Sindicales</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REUBEN ARCHULETA</td>
<td>Campaign Consultant / Asesor de Campaña</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABRINA SAUNDERS</td>
<td>Teacher Aide / Ayudante de Maestros</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN SHANLEY</td>
<td>Journalist / Periodista</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTEST CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE - LA CONTIENDA CONTINÚA EN LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE
**SAMPLE BALLOT**

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>县委员会－第13区</th>
<th>县委员会－第13区</th>
<th>竞选继续前页</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 13</strong></td>
<td><strong>COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 13</strong></td>
<td>29名候选人列在第3和第4页</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Committee — 13th District</td>
<td>County Committee — 13th District</td>
<td>列在两页上的候选人限选12名</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINTON D. STABLES, III</th>
<th>BARBARA B. MEKUNAS</th>
<th>B. MELANGE MATTHEWS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARIA MARTINEZ</th>
<th>TONY LEONE</th>
<th>WARREN HINCKLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td>Registered Nurse / Enfermero Registrado / 注册护士</td>
<td>Writer &amp; Editor / Escritor y Editor / 作家／编辑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RICK HAUTMAN</th>
<th>LESLIE RACHEL KATZ</th>
<th>MARTHA L. KNUTZEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOLLI THIER</th>
<th>JON RAINWATER</th>
<th>BERNARD RUSH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Interest Attorney / Abogada de Intereses Públicos / 公共利益律师</td>
<td>Environmental Organizer / Organizador Ambiental / 环保组织者</td>
<td>Corporate President / Presidente Corporativo / 公司总裁</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CARMEN T. ROSALES</th>
<th>JIM WEST</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Manager / Administradora de Viviendas / 房屋经理</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONTEST CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE**

There are 29 candidates listed on pages 3 and 4. You may vote for no more than 12 of the candidates listed on the two pages.

**LA CONTIENDA CONTINUA DE LA PAGINA ANTERIOR**

Hay 29 candidatos enumerados en las páginas 3 y 4. Puede votar por no más de 12 de los candidatos enumerados en estas páginas.
# Nonpartisan Ballot

**Consolidated Primary Election, March 26, 1996**

**City and County of San Francisco**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>Kevin McCarthy</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Ron Albers</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Matthew Rothschild</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The ballot is in English and Chinese. The candidates are listed with their respective offices and vote totals. The ballot includes positions for Superior Court, Municipal Court, and other judicial positions.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISÍSMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudación de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras este se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

7E

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATUALES

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIRATEO DE AUTOMÍVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Anade el asesinato durante el pirataeo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirataeo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirataeo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Anade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirataeo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反對

202 SI 贊成
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCIÓN DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1980 (PROPUESTA 117). Pumas. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudicación anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1995-97 hasta 1998-99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

204 NO 反對

ALL-0-F7
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211
NO 213

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218
NO 220

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226
NO 228
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 28 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 赞成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primeras en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反对

218 SI 赞成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Proporciona un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反对

226 SI 赞成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatales locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反对
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

9E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201  ATTORNEYS’ FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

202  ATTORNEYS’ CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

203  PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes estatales. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

255 NO 反對
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES 263
NO 265

B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES 268
NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for ANIMALS SHOULD BE HUMAN NATURE.

{ DON'T YOU THINK? }

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.

 Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee  Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Candidate for Superior Court Judge, Office #7

LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.

I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco's first judicial forum "Access To Justice" attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the "Outstanding Jurist" award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the "Living Treasure" Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

  This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

  The choice is clear.

  I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

  I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

  My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

  Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

  First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

  With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

  On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

  Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

  • Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
  • 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
  • Published author in many respected national legal journals
  • Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
  • Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
  • Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
  • Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

  • Active in community, civic and church activities
  • Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:
JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tsenin

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:
Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlotta delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**
- Office Paper
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Paper Bags & Packaging
- Phone Books
- Newspapers
- Junk Mail
- Correspondencia Publicitaria
- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes
- Flattened Cardboard
- Cartón Aplanado

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**
- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Glass Jars & Bottles
- Plastic Bottles

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB. For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or
   the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor,
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond "debt service" during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. ("Debt Service" is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have "moderate" debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:

NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment. This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an "Economic Imperative"

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for world-wide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gillneran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes. We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:

• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and convention goers.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFA Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirt
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day
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Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gibbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
Jim Rivaldo
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes
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JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotel rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Helman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually.
The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.
This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelia Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance
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Our City's Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City's budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City's budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
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Health care advocates say yes on A

Proposition A's hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City's General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco's health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco's communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let's use the money generated by the Proposition A's Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco's industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City's "visitor industry." This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City's economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City's merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City's tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company
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TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONTE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Boltg, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco
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Revenue Bond for Economic Growth Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination. Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our "visitor industry" related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
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Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce
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VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities. George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone
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RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center. Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Mennier, Executive Vice President, Mechandising, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn't cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That's good for local jobs — that's good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allessandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin' the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco's property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City's economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City's restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS
Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco. Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center. Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCONE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS
The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunner Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Vlat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Melharr
Gianni Fassio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accomodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco's largest employer of residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City's economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry's critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation's largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city's convention facility. Presently, San Francisco's Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City's general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco's economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It's an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCON E CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option; it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A.

Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventesca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCON EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE
FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGA-
TIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR
THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION
OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER

FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT,
FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE
SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors
acting pursuant to Charter Section 7309(a) hereby
submits to the electorate of the City and County
of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing ar-
rangements with the City and County of San
Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-
profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of
indebtedness with respect to which shall not ex-
ceed the aggregate principal amount of One hun-
dred fifty seven million five hundred thousand
Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of ac-
quiring and constructing additional convention
center facilities and related equipment, fixtures
and furnishings in the South of Market area. □

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an
Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the
back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in.
You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES

NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
PROPONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for: land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, “... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment. READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:

• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.
Vote no because:
• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.
This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.
San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.
FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.
ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That's needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It's a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America's finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That's a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schlackman,
Barbara Kolesar, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rees, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsiieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elza C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzese
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gillarbad
Manuel A. Rosales
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hare-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come. Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varriado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymour, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil L. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquathian Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Dempo Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambbeet H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul You, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Bros. Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci, Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVyschen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina, Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocent, Mona Jajen, David Sullivan, Jack Yacovian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salarino, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE’S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinus, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVisher, Tonno Orlando, Julia Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street, Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Edward Romain, Richard LaBue, Laura Vilaflore,
Susan L. Escobar, James Payton, Oscar Morales,
Marina George, Scott Gould, Michael Hall, Robert Morales,
James Thomas Talton Jr., Cliff Flanagan, Matt Mastegeorge,
Patrick O'Connell III, Roderick David, Charlotte Martin,
Anthony Bourne, Edwin A. Williams, Susan Thompson,
Michelle Kuehl, Glenn Lynch, Ron Armstrong, James P. Elrod,
Benny Allen, Kimberly Barrish, Victoria Tillman, Walter Brown,
Mark Espinoza, Anthon Carter, Perry Henderson, David Miller,
Julie Brown, Mark Johnson, Vivian Miles, Robert Mitchell

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?

Louise Bea, Jack Bair, Mike Doyle, David Swope,
Walter Johnson, Rob McLeod, Robert Morales,
Edward J. Petrillo, Barbara Kolesar, Richard Friedman,
Nelson Knoth, M. Andrew Madden, Eddie Marello,
George Abdoeely, Brad Seaman, Anthony Criscuola,
John Piotrowski, Don Fisher, Ilene Shaw, Robert J. Mulcrevy,
Joe Peck, Man Cheung, Gary Fowler, Patrick Curry,
James Powell, David M. Bertenthal, Sheryl Reuben,
Katherine Martinez, Susan D. Kendall, Robert Walker Jr.,
Zoe Walker, Ernest Lira, John Walto, Jon Yamaguchi,
Brian F. Conners, Dirk Olin, Drew Dux, Peter Belsito,
Kevin Asseo, E.S. Harlow, Maggie Muria, Lisa A. Hill,
Leonard Gross, Paul Scott, Mark Wayne, Ditas Solorio,
Lindsey A. Claussen, Clarence J. Moy, Andrew J. Juniats,
James A. Reuben

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Jerry Nelson, Dennis Herrera, Dennis Edelman, Kathleen E. McCasey, Suzanne B. Dingman, Tina Carroll, Daniel B. Pleasant, Matthew Hartman, Eugene L. Cook, David Rich, Roger L. Hubbard, Scott Petersen, Susan Zeire, Aaron Darsey

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrallah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lacy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lev Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullman, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piediscalzi

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS
San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market, Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianst, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrara, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifft, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanne Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs. For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Castelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz
Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller
Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately; That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt; That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats

with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and 1-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 202(c)(1)(4) of this Code.

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk

District to be Superseded

Height and Bulk

District Hereby Approved

40-X

150-X

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to

enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by changing the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

Use District to be Superseded

Use District Hereby Approved

M-2

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"It, within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
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THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

• Finding out what's on the ballot.
• Looking up the polling place for your address.
• Campaign contributions
• Campaign expenditures
• Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:

To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
**Voter’s Quick Reference Card**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senate</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly Special</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Props</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**County Central Committee - Name**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Superior Court Judge - Name**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

__________________________

__________________________

__________________________

---

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
Ballot Type
9613

Democratic
13th Assembly District
3rd Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
3000's

200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABELSENTE VOTER INFORMATION
Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is __________________________ San Francisco, CA 941

Check One: □ I send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. □ I send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City

State

Zip Code

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Date Signed
Day Time Phone
Night Time Phone

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped
Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER N Honor Roll

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: [ ] / [ ] / [ ]

Print your FIRST NAME [ ]
MI [ ]
Print your LAST NAME [ ]

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS [ ]

Print your MAILING ADDRESS [ ]

DAY Phone: [ ] [ ] [ ] - [ ] [ ] [ ]
EVE Phone: [ ] [ ] [ ] - [ ] [ ] [ ]

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
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Dear Voter:

**IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS**

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

- Lost use of one or more limbs;
- Lost use of both hands;
- Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
- Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
- Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
- Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a postcard with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
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The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS

Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.
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MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ......................................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; .... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; .............................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; .................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; .................. 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and .................................. 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ....................... inside back cover

Page 8
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
• Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

OR
• Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

- **12th Assembly District**
- **8th State Senate District**
- **13th Assembly District**
- **3rd State Senate District**

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

- **12th Congressional District**
- **8th Congressional District**
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1 is for voters within these political districts:</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2 is for voters within these political districts:</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3 is for voters within these political districts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!

Call 330-CURB
SAN FRANCISCO CURBSIDE RECYCLING
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

**SPECIAL NOTE:**
**IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.**

Nota: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

**USING BOTH HANDS INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.**

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手持票向自動機將整張選票插入。

**STEP 2**

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos artículos que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con los dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

**STEP 3**

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL [STRAIGHT UP]. PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perforé con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把選票之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

**STEP 4**

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguella en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

REPUBLICAN PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.
To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person’s name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.
To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.
All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.
If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO REPUBLICANO

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.
Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.
Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "Sí" o "No" para dicha medida.
Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borradora; esto anulará la balota.
Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO REPUBLICANO</th>
<th>PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Richard Lugar</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar Alexander</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Buchanan</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert K. Dornan</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Dole</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPUBLICAN PARTY</th>
<th>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Justin Raimondo</td>
<td>Policy Analyst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1-2-1
## SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

### STATE SENATOR — 8th District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATE SENATOR — 8th District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

**Miembro de la Asamblea Estatal, Distrito 12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPUBLICAN PARTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO REPUBLICANO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>26, 1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TERENCE FAULKNER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Businessman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hombre de Negocios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOWARD EPSTEIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administrador de Empresas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>77</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Puedes votar por uno</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
**SAMPLE BALLOT**

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>縣委員會 — 第12區</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County Committee — 12th District</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>縣委員會</th>
<th>請選不超過十三人</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>JIM GILLERAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TERENCE FAULKNER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businessman / Hombre de Negocios / 商人</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELSA C. CHEUNG</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALBERT C. CHANG</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LES PAYNE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointed Incumbent / Titular Nombrado / 委任現任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NICK VAN-BEEK</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Business Owner / Propietario de una Pequeña Empresa / 小商業業主</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOM SPINOZA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROBERT SILVESTRI</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businessman/Historian / Hombre de Negocios/Historiador / 商人／歷史專家</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MICHAEL S. SALARNO</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MARK D. MANBER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance/Stock Broker / Agente de Seguros/Bolsa de Valores / 保險／股票經紀</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DENNIS J. MARK</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountant / Contador / 會計師</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FREDRIC HAMBER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writer / Escritor / 作家</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JUN RETSU HATOYAMA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect / Arquitecto / 建築師</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAROLD M. HOOGASIAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Owner/Florist / Propietario de una Empresa/Florista / 商人／花店</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BARBARA B. KILEY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Officer / Funcionario Corporativo / 公司職員</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JACK KILEY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset Manager / Administrador de Bienes / 資產經理</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DANA WALSH</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Business Owner / Propietario de una Pequeña Empresa / 小商業業主</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EUGENE C. WONG</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney / Abogado / 律師</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHARLES J. WONG</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 現任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Rothchild</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Izenin</td>
<td>Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Albers</td>
<td>Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin McCarthy</td>
<td>Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Instructions
- **Vote for One**
- **Vote for One**
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

YES 159
NO 161

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

YES 168
NO 170

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.

YES 177
NO 179

6E-S-ALL
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISÍSMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y las puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

TASACION DE PROPIEDADES, EXENCION, TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerirla nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA, BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO, LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté en la cárcel, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion-public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 28 DE MARZO DE 1996

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS ESTATUALES

185 SI 195
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE
AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA
DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el
pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestr
que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato
intencional de miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias
especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin
posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados
culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y
la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos
en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de
miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias
especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales
menores al Estado.

187 NO 195
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE
AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA
DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el
pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestr
que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato
intencional de miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias
especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin
posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados
culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y
la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos
en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de
miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias
especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales
menores al Estado.

194 SI 196
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO.
ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 196
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO.
ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

202 SI 197
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Realicudaualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

204 NO 197
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Realicudaualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

ALL-O-F7
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td><strong>ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE.</strong> Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td><strong>LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.</strong> Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td><strong>NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.</strong> Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 賛成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la
afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola
balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos
los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre
el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero proba-
ablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 賛成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS
RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA
PERSONAS DE BAJO INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de
alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de
alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes.
Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para
los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto
fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en
total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 賛成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MO-
TORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las com-
pañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién
es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos
motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con
beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones.
Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones.
Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en
atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de
$100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y
locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la ma-
trícula de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencial-
mente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

218 SI 賛成
199
220 NO 反對
198
226 SI 賛成
228 NO 反對
200
ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS, PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdadera pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACIÓN PÚBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equilibrar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la Inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

1996年公立教育金利債券法案。這三十億美元(£3,000,000,000)的學校建設債券將有助於改造和建立公立學校，社區學院和州立大學中的教室，圖書館，和其他必需的設施。該資金將用於現有的教室在未來的環境中更安全，為教室增添了21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每班級的人數以及满足入學人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  YES 263  
NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  YES 268  
NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares a intereses?

265 NO 反对 市政府應否使用租用信貸來擴建馬斯庫尼會展中心，如果租用信虧負債總額本息不超過$157.5百萬元?

268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizada en China Basin?

270 NO 反对 應否修改一些市法例以便在中國盆地(China Basin)興建一個球場?

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address, or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for ANIMALS SHOULD BE HUMAN NATURE.

{ DON'T YOU THINK? }

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco's first judicial forum "Access To Justice" attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the "Outstanding Jurist" award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the "Living Treasure" Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor

My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56

My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS
My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:
JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.
TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.
TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation's first battered women's shelter.
TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation's highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.
Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:

Ron Albert

KAY TSENIN
My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:
Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.
There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.
Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kohn
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:

• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russioniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith
POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng
SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Hellbrun,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper • 紙張 • Papel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>辦公室及其他用紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papel de Oficina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazines &amp; Catalogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>雜誌及目錄冊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revistas y Catálogos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Bags &amp; Packaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>紙袋及包裝紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolas de Papel y Papel de Empaquear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Books</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>電話簿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directorios Teléfonicos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspapers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>報紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodicos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junk Mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>廣告郵件</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correspondencia Publicitaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal &amp; Other Dry Food Boxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>殺類及其他乾食品盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cajas de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Socos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattened Cardboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>壓扁的紙皮盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartón Aplanado</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin/Steel Cans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>鋁箔紙及盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botellas de Acero/Aluminio/Aluminio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Cans &amp; Foil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>鋁/鋁箔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papel de Aluminio y Botes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>及塑膠瓶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botellas de Plástico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Jars &amp; Bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>玻璃瓶、瓶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

San Francisco RECYCLING PROGRAM
A Program of the City and County of San Francisco

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”
1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”
1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example, police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City's cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today's dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY'S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond "debt service" during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. ("Debt Service" is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City's outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children's fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City's financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City's tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City's share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have "moderate" debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City's prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller’s Statement on “A”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.
The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.
PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children’s programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft.. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one. Let’s work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow.
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CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:
San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit
if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those
under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the deYoung
Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail.
In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit
rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will
significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital im-
provement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infra-
structure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through
general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must
carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expan-
sion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighbor-
hood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans
have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been
studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review
before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate
Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main
Library, and triple the amount that the deYoung is anticipating for
its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little
information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the
surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an "Economic
Imperative"

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth,
it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest
capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone
Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It
has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhib-
its. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American
cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for world-
wide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to
occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an
economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great.
Specifically:
- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200
  million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tour-
ists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and
Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on
Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City's convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco's economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City's Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City's economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gillian
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is "Good for San Francisco"

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Pritfi
Greg Day
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Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Peciscale, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribben, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel
Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Jim Rivaldo
John L. Ballesterros
Robert W. Barnes
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JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hallman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City's economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world's favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco's economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City's hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City's General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance
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Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
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Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “Visitor Industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company
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TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONTE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A
The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related businesses; hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A
San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce
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VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone
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RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Mennier, Executive Vice President, Mechanising, Macy’s California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONTE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco. Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcarli, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, JavaWade
Rita Barello, Owner, Rita Barello Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Stills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour
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No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Briczzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sarabina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazareschci, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was
The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

MOSCONIE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Triguito, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Roselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lundberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beurer, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONET CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONC CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930’s and 1940’s. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it’s mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510’s Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display
Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Conventional Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A.

Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCON EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES ⇡

NO ⇡

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot
On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOणEΝT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Francisco For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Robert Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPO�EΝT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for:
Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, “...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.
READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:
- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’ s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:
- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank $ check. Vote no on Proposition B.
San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.
San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gillin
Manuel A. Rosales

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!.

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world-class status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the hurried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world-class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymore, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquartian Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Domenario Reed,
Gwenada Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambbeei H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can't forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don't need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city's general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheng, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.
No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.
While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.
This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merki, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DevIschen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.
Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.
We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fong, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.
Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.
Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.
A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!
Please join us in voting YES on Proposition B.

Mike Hennesssey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE’S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK
All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVisher, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public.

Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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**POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B**

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

**LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK**

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

**POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK**

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrath, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

**HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM**

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS, IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
Anna Shinko, Cherie James, Roberta Boomer,
Sharol Eberhardt, Sharon Bretz, Eva Royale, Elmy Bermejo,
Linda Post, Evelyn White, Alison Louie,
Amanda Nowinski-Gould, Deana Welker, Rebecca Ames,
Marina Boris, Shannon Wilson, Juliette Lopez, Sheila Moody,
Dana Soares, Tony Gill, Johnnie L. Rollins, Joyce Aldana,
Rita H. Myers, Verna Vinson, Janet McCoy, Marcia Brown,
Stephanie D. Feiring, Diana Flores, Alice Fialkikin,
Marie Hollins, Karen Huggins, Beverly F. Stanberry,
Mary Hall Terry, Mary Y. Jung, Patricia Probasco,
Kathryn Gordoix, Lisa Ann Washington, Delores Banks,
Julie Anderson, Markita D. Cooper, Vida Edwards, Ann Kelley,
Karen Lewis, Alice Drake, Denise J. Muniz, Lynette Wells,
Vinita Trice, Brenda Sapp Megginson, Catherine A. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeco, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrunn, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Ripsim, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kinney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Kats, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piediscalzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds. The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixel, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmilta Izarrara, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
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WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearne, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
F. C. Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting YES on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Ruben Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifki, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicapped-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Passio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald,
Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle,
Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel,
John Ellinhorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry,
Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So,
Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer,
Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker,
Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overtaken by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:

• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,

• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,

• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,

• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,

• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz

Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller

Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public use guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249,[14] to read as follows:

“Section 249.[14] NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the "Northeast China Basin Special Use District," the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purpose.

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street Boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls.

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code."  

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk District to be

District Hereby

Superseded

Approved

40-X

150-X

Section 5

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code: "(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

District to be

Superceded

M-2

Use

District Hereby

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Approved
NORTH EAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT

PACIFIC OCEAN

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

AREA OF DETAIL
I love animals and my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

• Finding out what’s on the ballot.
• Looking up the polling place for your address.
• Campaign contributions
• Campaign expenditures
• Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

**March 20, 21, and 22**

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
## Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote.
Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

9621-9622

---

Germaine Q Wong  
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS  
633 Folsom St., Room 109  
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
Ballot Type
9621

Republican
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2000's, 2100's,
2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is
San Francisco, CA 941

Check One: [ ] Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. [ ] Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City
State
Zip Code

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Mailing Address

Polling Place
Handicapped Accessible

Date Signed
Day Time Phone
Night Time Phone

/96

All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: [ ] Spanish, [ ] Chinese.
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.

BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: [ ] / [ ] / [ ]

Print your FIRST NAME

MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: ( )

EVE Phone: ( )

What language do you speak in addition to English: ______________________

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Voter Information Pamphlet
Consolidated Primary Election, March 26, 1996

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENERAL INFORMATION</th>
<th>CANDIDATE FOR SUPERIOR COURT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poll Worker Application</td>
<td>JUDGE, OFFICE #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access for the Disabled Voter</td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Absentee Voter (Permanent Vote-by-Mail)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualifications</td>
<td>CANDIDATES FOR SUPERIOR COURT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important Facts About Absentee Voting</td>
<td>JUDGE, OFFICE #11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose of the Voter Information Pamphlet</td>
<td>Kevin M. McCarthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your Rights as a Voter</td>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly, Senate and Congressional Maps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to Use Poll Star Vote Recorder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Ballot</td>
<td>CANDIDATES FOR MUNICIPAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures</td>
<td>COURT JUDGE, OFFICE #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words You Need to Know</td>
<td>Ron Albers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An Overview of San Francisco's Debt</td>
<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and County of San Francisco Ballot Measures</td>
<td>Matthew Rothschild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephoning the Registrar of Voters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>PROPOSITIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polling Place Card</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absentee Ballot Application</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of Your Polling Place</td>
<td>Back Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Absentee Voter Application</td>
<td>Back Cover</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Si desea recibir una copia de este libro en español, sírvase llamar al 554-4377

如欲索取選民手冊中文本請電: 554-4377

Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE. When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a "Permanent Absentee Voter" you must have at least one of the following conditions:

-____ Lost use of one or more limbs;
-____ Lost use of both hands;
-____ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
-____ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
-____ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
-____ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says "I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER" and sign your name where it says "Sign Here."

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a "P" then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a postcard with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may "fax" your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

"Cleaning" your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
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The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.
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MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ......................................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; .......................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ................................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; .......................................................... 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; .......................................................... 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .......................... 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and .......................................................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. .......................................................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar's Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don't know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only "qualified" write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don't know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
- Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

  OR

- Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

12th Congressional District
8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

*Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.*
Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de voto y obtenga otra.

STEP 1
USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de voto completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手將選票插入，將選票插入自動機內。

STEP 2
BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
請切記得將選票插入時，選票之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3
HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.
Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de voto y perforé con él la tarjeta de voto en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把選鈕之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入，打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉監察員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

REPUBLICAN PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.
To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.
To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.
All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.
If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.

BALOTÁ DEL PARTIDO REPUBLICANO

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.
Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.
Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SÍ" o "NO" para dicha medida.
Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anularía la balota.
Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## 共和黨

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRECINT</th>
<th>候選人</th>
<th>計票數</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOB DOLE</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROBERT K. DORNAN</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAT BUCHANAN</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAMAR ALEXANDER</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RICHARD LUGAR</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALAN KEYES</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MORRY TAYLOR</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHIL GRAMM</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STEVE FORBES</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 共和黨

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>候選人</th>
<th>計票數</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STORM JENKINS</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Businessman
Hombre de Negocios 商人
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2

州参議員，第八區
SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8
STATE SENATOR — 8th District

THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998
No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998
這一職位要到1998年才選出

THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT
No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea
第12議區沒有特別選舉

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12 加州衆議員－第12區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District

TERENCE FAULKNER
Businessman
Hombre de Negocios 商人

HOWARD EPSTEIN
Business Manager
Administrador de Empresas 商業經理

75  
77
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>Position/Title</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JIM GILLERAN</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERENCE FAULKNER</td>
<td>Businessman / Hombre de Negocios / 现任者</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELSA C. CHEUNG</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALBERT C. CHANG</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LES PAYNE</td>
<td>Appointed Incumbent / Titular Nombrado / 委任现任者</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICK VAN-BEEK</td>
<td>Small Business Owner / Propietario de una Pequeña Empresa / 小型企业主</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOM SPINOZA</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT SILVESTRI</td>
<td>Businessman/Historian / Hombre de Negocios/Historiador / 现任者 / 历史学家</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHAEL S. SALARNO</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK D. MANBER</td>
<td>Insurance/Stock Broker / Agente de Seguros/Bolsa de Valores / 保险 / 股票经纪</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENNIS J. MARK</td>
<td>Accountant / Contador / 会計師</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREDRIC HAMBER</td>
<td>Writer / Escritor / 作家</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUN RETSU HATOWAMA</td>
<td>Architect / Arquitecto / 建筑师</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAROLD M. HOOGASIAN</td>
<td>Business Owner/Florist / Propietario de una Empresa/Florista / 商人 / 花商</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARBARA B. KILEY</td>
<td>Corporate Officer / Funcionario Corporativo / 公司职能</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JACK KILEY</td>
<td>Asset Manager / Administrador de Bienes / 资产管理</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANA WALSH</td>
<td>Small Business Owner / Propietario de una Pequeña Empresa / 小型企业主</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUGENE C. WONG</td>
<td>Attorney / Abogado / 律师</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHARLES J. WONG</td>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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# Sample Ballot

**Consolidated Primary Election, March 26, 1996**

**City and County of San Francisco**

## Nonpartisan Ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</strong></td>
<td><strong>Lillian K. Sing</strong></td>
<td><strong>135</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judge, Municipal Court</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judge, Tribunal Municipal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</strong></td>
<td><strong>Kevin McCarthy</strong></td>
<td><strong>141</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attorney/Law Professor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abogado/Profesor de Derecho</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</strong></td>
<td><strong>143</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incumbent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Titular</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ron Albers</strong></td>
<td><strong>149</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trial Attorney</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abogado</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Kay Tsenin</strong></td>
<td><strong>151</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abogada Arbitro Mediadora</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Matthew Rothschild</strong></td>
<td><strong>153</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deputy City Attorney</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192  SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

YES 159
NO 161

193  PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

YES 168
NO 170

194  PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.

YES 177
NO 179
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BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antasismica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antasismica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoria independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoria.

192 NO 反對
1996年抗震整合改建債券法令。本法律規定發行二百億日元的債券,用於川島橋梁、公園高架橋和高速公路交叉樑橋的抗震整合改建項目。本法律規定州審計部長進行獨立審計,以確保這些資金僅用於已確定的項目,並且規定審計結果予以公報。

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反對
地產估價,豁免,祖孫間業權轉移,立憲法案的憲法修正案。修正州憲法,規定在符合某些條件的情況下,祖孫之間購買或轉移地產時,無須對其重新估價。財政影響: 學校,縣,市,以及特別每年損失大約$100萬的土地和財產稅收入。學校收入的損失將由州政府一般基金彌補。

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté en prisión, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反對
囚犯,合資項目,失業福利,假釋,立法院的憲法修正案。規定囚犯在監獄期間在合資項目中工作,囚犯出獄後不資格享受失業福利。財政影響: 基本財政影響可能很小。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.

YES 195
NO 187
YES 194
NO 196
YES 202
NO 204
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185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILS. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 186 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

195

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 185 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反對
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPISTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mammíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1990 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e Información públicas sobre los pumas.

197 贊成
修正1990年加州野生動物保護法案，《第117號提案》。銀貓，立法院的動議修正案。該法案授權立法會對山獵進行管理以保護加州的居民與資源。取消山獵的特殊保護哺乳動物資格。財政影響：從棲息環境保育基金中重新撥款。從1995-96到1998-99年每年最高撥款額為$250,000，此後每年最高撥款額為$100,000，用於山獵管理。加州政府可能每年最多花費$250,000，用於山獵有關的安全與公共資訊項目。
198  ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211  
NO 213  

199  LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218  
NO 220  

200  NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226  
NO 228  
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211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

198

213 NO 反对

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE AQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJO INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

199

220 NO 反对

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

200

228 NO 反对

25
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CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS’ FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

202 ATTORNEYS’ CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
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237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúa demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equilibrar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

F9

201

202

203

1996年公立教育设施建设债券提案。这三亿美元（$3,000,000,000）的学校建设债券将有助于改进和建设加州公立学校、社区学院和州立大学中的教室、图书馆和其他必需的设施。该资金将用于使现有的教室在未来地震中更安全，为教室装备21世纪的电脑技术，缩小每个班级的人数以及满足入学人数的增长。该债券只能用于经批准的学校建设项目。
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

F10 無黨派選票

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,
or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Respect for Animals Should Be Human Nature.

{Don't you think?}
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor

My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

 Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56

My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp

Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:
JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
   Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for
   judge you have a choice between judicial experience and
   politics.
TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
   In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought
   for justice in San Francisco.
TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
   I am an author and legal educator, past President of the
   Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the
   Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former
   counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.
TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
   I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
   I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal
   Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not
politics:
JUSTICES AND JUDGES: David Ballati, Gordon Baranco,
Anne Bouliane, William Cahill, Alfred Chiantelli, Ming Chin,
Carol Corrigan, Wallace Douglass, Daniel Hanlon,
James McBride, Phillip Moscone, Douglas Munson,
Claude Perasso, Charlotte Wollard.
COMMUNITY LEADERS: Roberta Achtenberg, Art Agnos,
Tom Ammiano, Jeff Brown, Annemarie Conroy, Henry Der,
Lefty Gordon, Clothilde Hewlett, Peter Keane, Ephraim Margolin,
John L. Molinari, Sululagi Palega, Eva Paterson, Rosi Rivera,
Manny Rosales, SEIU Joint Council 2, Art Tapia, Yori Wada,
Harold Yee.

KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:
   Most judges come from big corporations or the government
   sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much
   it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the
   court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute
   to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those
   burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.
   There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before
   costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and
   neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these
   methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem
   in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and
   Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for
   community organizations. I am the only candidate with this expe-
   rience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know
   the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
* Honors — Georgetown University
* Hastings Law School
* Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
* Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
* Former Social Services Commissioner
* Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
* Past President, Alice B. Toklas
* Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
* Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russonioiilo

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynn, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodia, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

Paper • 紙張 • Papel

Office Paper
辦公室及其他用紙
Papel de Oficina

Magazines & Catalogs
雜誌及目錄冊
Revistas y Catálogos

Paper Bags & Packaging
紙袋及包裝紙
Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaquetar

Telephone Books
電話簿
Directorios Teléfonos

Newspapers
報紙
Periódicos

Junk Mail
廣告郵件
Correspondencia Publicitaria

Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes
穀類及其他乾食品盒
Cajas de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Secos

Flattened Cardboard
壓扁的紙皮盒
Cartón Aplanado

Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes

Tin/Steel Cans
鋁箔紙及盒
Botellas de Acero/Estañe

Plastic Bottles
及塑膠瓶
Botellas de Plástico

Aluminum Cans & Foil
鋁／鋁箔
Papel de Aluminio y Botellas

Glass Jars & Bottles
玻璃瓶／樽
Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio

San Francisco Recycling Program
A Program of the City and County of San Francisco

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For Information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent's Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent's Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent's Argument" and the "Opponent's Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent's Argument"

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or
   the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four
   members of the Board, if the measure was
   submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or
   members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
4. Any bona fide association of citizens, or combina-
   tion of voters and association of citizens.
5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent's Argument"

1. For a referendum, the person who files the
   referendum petition with the Board of
   Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or
   members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
4. Any bona fide association of citizens, or combi-
   nation of voters and association of citizens.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent's Argument" or an "Opponent's Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent's Arguments" and "Opponent's Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent's and opponent's arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City's cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today's dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY'S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond "debt service" during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. ("Debt Service" is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City's outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children's fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City's financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City's tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City's share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate" debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City's prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES

NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
Convention Center Bonds

PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children’s programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let’s work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPOENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion Is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the
convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two
decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its
construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's
top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North.
Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built,
hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and
thousands of jobs have been created. Today, as the City
prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet
the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San
Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth
of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently
have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave
San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco
cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of
room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total
economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation
bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion,
is a prudent investment in San Francisco's economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded
that the Moscone Center is well within the City's current pru-
dent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this
self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding addi-
tional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic develop-
ment. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions,
tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco's econ-
omic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Prop-
osition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for publication fee of this argument was San
Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services
for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that
increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our
city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax
revenues for our City's budget. An expanded general fund will help
pay for San Francisco's public safety. We need to ensure that San
Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers,
travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San
Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is "Good for San Francisco"
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects
proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for
San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City's economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you
to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in
their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San
Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zwanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifiti
Greg Day

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
Jim Rivaldo
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballestreros
Robert W. Barnes
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JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotel rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

_Amelita Pascual_, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
_Anita Hill_, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

_Supervisor Tom Hsieh_
_Supervisor Barbara Kaufman_

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff. Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

_Tony Leone_, Registered Nurse
_Margel F. Kaufman_, Former Health Commissioner
_Catherine Dodd_, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s taxpayers.

_Supervisor Mabel Teng_

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City's restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City's report, direct spending on our City's restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco's restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto's Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don't support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco's economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.
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TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco's taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwirt, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers' Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination. Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our "visitor industry" related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council

Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Vote Yes on Proposition A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Retailers Agree: Yes on Proposition A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center

Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39

Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music

Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macys California

Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco

Walter Fong, Owner, Couture
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allessandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association

Mark Leno, Small Business Owner

Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade,
S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce

Elaine Sosa, Owner, Java Walk

Rita Barelle, Owner, Rita Barelle Productions

Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.

Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter,
Meeting Professionals International

Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts

Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events

Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour
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No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party
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The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS
Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Caudine Cheng
Jason Wong
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The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio Helen Hobbs
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MOSCON EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS
The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.
Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayor Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accomodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco's largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City's economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry's critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOS Cone CENTER

Leaders of the nation's largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city's convention facility. Presently, San Francisco's Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City's general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco's economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It's an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONC CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about Jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display
Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioners and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioners and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
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TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONA EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE
FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR
THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER
FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE
SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7 309(a) hereby
submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing ar-
rangements with the City and County of San
Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-
profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of
indebtedness with respect to which shall not ex-
ceed the aggregate principal amount of One hun-
dred fifty seven million five hundred thousand
Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of ac-
quiring and constructing additional convention
center facilities and related equipment, fixtures
and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an
Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the
back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in.
You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin. Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
• A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
• A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
• A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
• A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
• The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
• The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot
On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOSENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Robert Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, “...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.” This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:

• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:
• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank $ check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without increasing taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for on an existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap all economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote YES on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffrey W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflect in and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazza
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come. Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Hui, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayaki, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwirl, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merki, Ill, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. Devischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Pang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessy, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK
All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Swisshelm, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinac, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONs FOR A NEW BALLPARK
The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally Devischer, Tomo Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentinso, John Lyman, John Bacon, Phillip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentinso, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons who fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrallah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin. No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silber, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty ersatz Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my position toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B. Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Lena, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Callum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Pidscarlt

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City, Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixeler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Ruben Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeannette Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Terry Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it...we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fussio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinhorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don't citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco's waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
VOTE NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco."

Don't bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including "private funding". Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.
For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younhee Paik
John Berry    Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte     Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costelloe  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costelloe  Wesley Lowe   Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz    Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends, and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking uses for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(e)(1)-4 of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the following clause to the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto,

Height and Bulk District to be Superseded

District Hereby Approved

M-2

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(h) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

District to be Superseded

Use District Hereby Approved

M-2

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District." (b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following paragraph thereto:

"h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any particular circumstances, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
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I love animals are my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today. 1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It’s as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

__________________________
__________________________
__________________________

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
Office of the Registrar of Voters  
City and County of San Francisco  
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type</th>
<th>Republican</th>
<th>Precincts Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9622</td>
<td>12th Assembly District</td>
<td>2300's, 2400's, 2500's, 2600's, 2800's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8th Senate District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12th Congressional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

### ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below.
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

---

**This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996**

My residence address is ___________________________ San Francisco, CA 94101

Check One:  
- [ ] Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.  
- [ ] Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City _______________ State _______________ Zip Code _______________

- [ ] I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.
- [ ] I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.
- [ ] All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: [ ] Spanish, [ ] Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here  

Do Not Print

Date Signed /96

Day Time Phone _______ Night Time Phone _______

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995
Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring
flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative
ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll
workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to
staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set
aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On
election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and
deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser
responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling
place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.

BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: [ ] [ ] / [ ] [ ] / [ ] [ ]

Print your FIRST NAME

MI Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: ( [ ] [ ] ) [ ] [ ] - [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

EVE Phone: ( [ ] [ ] ) [ ] [ ] - [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
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Si desea recibir una copia de este libro en español, sírvase llamar al 554-4377
如欲索取選民手冊中文本請電: 554-4377

Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in
San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow
you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new
polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new
address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need
to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2
of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on
them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will
need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this
deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's
office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the
polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you
are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know
where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot
at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in
your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace
downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State
Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where
you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District
and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may "fax" your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

"Cleaning" your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
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The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.
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MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ........................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ......................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; .......................................................... 9
5. information for disabled voters; .............................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ................................................................. 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the
   Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ............................... 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ........................................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ................................................................. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:

• Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

OR

• Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

- 12th Assembly District
- 8th State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

- 12th Congressional District
- 8th Congressional District
**WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?**

*Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want?* Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

*Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.*

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Nota: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手持票向自动机将整张选票插入。

STEP 1

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coincidencen con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切记将选票插入时，票尾之二孔，接合於二红点之上。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把带针之选票由小孔内垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 4

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之后，把选票取出，沿虚线摺起选票交给选举站监票员。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

REPUBLICAN PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.
All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

共和黨選票

選民須知

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名競選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過所選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的 "YES"（贊成）或 "NO"（反對）打孔。

如果選票有明顯污損或撤掉瑕疵，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕破了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO REPUBLICANO

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificativo que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borradora; esto anularía la balota.
Si usted se equivoca al votar, o el rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>共和黨</th>
<th>聯合初選</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>總統優先選舉權</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREFERENCIA PRESIDENCIAL</td>
<td>Vote por Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRESIDENTIAL PREFERENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT K. DORNAN</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAT BUCHANAN</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMAR ALEXANDER</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHARD LUGAR</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAN KEYES</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORRY TAYLOR</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHIL GRAMM</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVE FORBES</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOB DOLE</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>共和黨</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>美國眾議員－第8區</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS, DISTRITO 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE — 8th District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUSTIN RAIMONDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Analyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analista de Políticas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

2

共和黨

州參議員，第三區
SENADOR ESTERAL, DISTRITO 3
STATE SENATOR — 3rd District

CURTIS RAU
Businessman
Hombre de Negocios 商人

55

SPECIAL ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Vacancy in Current Term)
ELECCION ESPECIAL
DISTRITO 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (ACTUALMENTE VACANTE)
特別選舉
第13衆議區 (現任有空職)

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州衆議員 — 第13區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

CAROLE MIGDEN
San Francisco County Supervisor
Supervisora del Condado de San Francisco 三藩市縣參議員

66

REGULAR ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Term from 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)
ELECCION REGULAR
DISTRITO 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (PARA SERVIR DEL 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)
定期選舉
第13衆議區 (任期自1996年12月3日起至1998年12月2日止)

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州衆議員 — 第13區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO 没有候選人登記

2-2-3

16
## COUNTY COMMITTEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMITÉ DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 13</th>
<th>Vote por no más de 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMITÉ DEL CONDADO — 13th District</strong></td>
<td><strong>Vote for no more than 12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. MICHAEL GERMAN</td>
<td><strong>80</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Rights Lawyer / Abogado de Derechos Civiles / 民权律师</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNA M. GUTH</td>
<td><strong>81</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHARD E. GONZALEZ</td>
<td><strong>82</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investor / Inversionista / 投资者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTT FURMAN</td>
<td><strong>83</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVE FONG</td>
<td><strong>84</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications Agent / Agente de Comunicaciones / 通信代理</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONALD A. CASPER</td>
<td><strong>85</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEE S. DOLSON</td>
<td><strong>86</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Professor / Profesor Universitario / 大学教授</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTHUR BRUZZONE</td>
<td><strong>87</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN ZOGLIN</td>
<td><strong>88</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Company Executive / Ejecutivo de una Compañía del Internet / 网络公司主管</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOANNE &quot;JODY&quot; STEVENS</td>
<td><strong>89</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALLIE MATSON</td>
<td><strong>90</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralegal / Asistente Legal / 法务助理</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODWARD KINGMAN</td>
<td><strong>91</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRACE NORTON-FITZGERALD</td>
<td><strong>92</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent / Titular / 现任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDWIN &quot;TED&quot; TURRELL</td>
<td><strong>93</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Interior Designer / Diseñador de Interiores Certificado / 室内设计师</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEFFERY WIEGAND</td>
<td><strong>94</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Manager / Administrador de Inversiones / 投资经理</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

4

共和黨

聯合初選

一九九六年三月二十六日

PARTIDO REPUBLICANO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

REPUBLICAN PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez del Tribunal Superior, Oficina Numero 7</td>
<td>KEVIN McCarthy</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Oficina Numero 1</td>
<td>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Oficina Numero 1</td>
<td>RON ALBERS</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Oficina Numero 1</td>
<td>KAY TSENIN</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Oficina Numero 1</td>
<td>MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

← 159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puertos y los puntos sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

→ 192

← 161 NO 反對

← 168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDE CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

→ 193

← 170 NO 反對

← 177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté en prisión, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

→ 194

← 179 NO 反對

F6
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 贷成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESSINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反对

195

194 SI 贷成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反对

202 SI 贷成
ENMIENDE DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPOSTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 - 97 hasta 1998 - 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

204 NO 反对

197

修改1992年加州野生動物保護法案（第117號提案），山獅。立法院的動議修正案。此法案授予立法院對山獅進行管理以保護加州的居民與資源，取締山獅的特殊保護和狩獵許可資格。財政影響：根據現存基金轉移基金會年額999,999年，每年最高額額為$250,000，此後每年最高額額為$1,000,000，用於山獅管理。政府可能每年支付$250,000，用於與山獅有關的安全與公共資訊項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

8E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

NO 213

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218
NO 220

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226
NO 228
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que hay una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocido, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

198

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE AGUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

199

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudación impositiva provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

200

228 NO 反對

無黨派選票 F8

旧金山市、縣 聯合初選 一九九六年三月二十六日 提交選民投票表決的州提案

選民、開放選票、立法法規，規定所有有選舉權的人，有權投任何政黨的候選人一票。規定實行單一預選選票，在該選票上列出所有候選人的姓名。財政影響：對州政府沒有直接財政影響，對全州各縣而言，有數額不詳，但可能不多的開支節省。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

201 ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

202 ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BALOTA APARTIDARIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NÚMERO</th>
<th>TEXTO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>237 SI</td>
<td>HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar al la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239 NO</td>
<td>HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar al la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246 SI</td>
<td>HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248 NO</td>
<td>HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253 SI</td>
<td>LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equilibrar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255 NO</td>
<td>LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equilibrar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1996年公立教育设施债券法案，這三十億元（$3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改進行和建設加州公立學校、社區學院和州立大學中的教室、圖書館和其它必需的設施，該資金將用於使現有的教室在未來的地震中更為安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每班級的人數以及滿足入學人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES 263 →
NO 265 →

B Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin? YES 268 →
NO 270 →

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPORCIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

→ 263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses? 市政府應否使用租用信貸來擴建馬斯庫尼會展中心，如果租用信貸負債總額本息不超過$157.5百萬元?

→ 265 NO 反對

→ 268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de beisbol localizado en China Basin? 應否修改一些市法例以便在中國盆地興建一個球場?

→ 270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,
or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for
ANIMALS
SHOULD BE
HUMAN NATURE.
{D O N ' T Y O U T H I N K ? }
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court. I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco's first judicial forum "Access To Justice" attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the "Outstanding Jurist" award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the "Living Treasure" Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club. I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor

My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56

My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS
My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:
JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.
TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.
TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.
TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.
Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:

KAY TSENIN
My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:
Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.
There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal proceedings begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.
Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Patsy Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Ron Albers
MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin, John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell, John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew, Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern, Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy, Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson, Dan Kelly, Jill Wynn, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo, Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbrun, Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz, Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue, Douglas Shorekstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch, Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper**
- Office Paper
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Paper Bags & Packaging
- Telephone Books

**Recuerde Reciclar Este Folleto!**
Después de que haya terminado con este folleto, recicléelo con su otro papel. Y recuerde que hay doce artículos que pueden ser reciclados en los programas a domicilio y apartamentos en San Francisco.

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**
- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Glass Jars & Bottles
- Plastic Bottles

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB. For Information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City's Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent's Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent's Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent's Argument" and the "Opponent's Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent's Argument"

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent's Argument"

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent's Argument" or an "Opponent's Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent's Arguments" and "Opponent's Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent's and opponent's arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City's cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today's dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY'S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond "debt service" during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. ("Debt Service" is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City's outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,300 for general City operations, schools, community college, children's fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City's financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City's tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City's share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have "moderate" debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City's prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
**Convention Center Bonds**

**PROPOSITION A**

Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

- **YES**
- **NO**

---

**Digest**
by Ballot Simplification Committee

**THE WAY IT IS NOW:** The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

**THE PROPOSAL:** Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

**A “YES” VOTE MEANS:** If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

**A “NO” VOTE MEANS:** If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

---

**Controller’s Statement on “A”**

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

---

**How Supervisors Voted on “A”**

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:

**YES:** Supervisors Alioto, Ammiano, Bierman, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kaufman, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

**NO:** None of the Supervisors present voted no.

**ABSENT:** Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:
San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:
• immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City's convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City's debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City's favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco's economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City's Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City's economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilligan
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:

- It will create badly needed construction jobs;
- It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
- It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
- It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Graham, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCaullion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanne Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbin, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Robertâ€”Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion, more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schubman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities, and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONC EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at S.F. General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONIE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bollig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries; it will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carriero, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macy’s California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONEx EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Axel Barcaro, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Brestal, Owner, Rita Brestal Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Convention Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzon, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Kamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCON Expansion means more S.F. jobs

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit all city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardenen, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Jean-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunmar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco's largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City's economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry's critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation's largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city's convention facility. Presently, San Francisco's Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City's general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco's economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It's an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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LABOR FOR MOSCONNE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONI EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE
FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR
THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER
FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE
SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7 309(a) hereby
submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San
Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of
indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hun-
dred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of ac-
quiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures
and furnishings in the South of Market area. □

out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an
Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the
back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in.
You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  

YES ➞ NO ➞

Digest

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alloto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
PROPOSED'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan. 

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land to fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni, Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSED’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLLED. Taxpayers could pay for:
Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of fillings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and disposes half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, “...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.” This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schlackman,
Barbara Kolesar, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rears, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open; parks clean and safe; recreational programs in place; police on our streets; and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for. No existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront; watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymore, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarthis Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnnie George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambhee H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Safrisky,
Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shokhet, Elizabet Goldstein,
Jossif Dubrovsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yusim

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Hui, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alston Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

- No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwirl, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkt, Ill, James T. Fiorucci
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobi, Carlo A. Cuninitti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triquero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scatchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelistus, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street, Irving Street, in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again, in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, II Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofeila Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fun and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my attitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B. Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrum, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Callum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedscatzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at 8th and Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight and Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th and Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebeka Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monica, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nonemey Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowely, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local 2-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Fox, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanne Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAviliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges A YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it... we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Mar Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinthorpe, Jack Bahr, Constance Kullberg, Derek Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.
STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.
For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younhee Paik
John Berry  Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costelloe  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costellae  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz  Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to MUNI, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-street parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-street parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 305(c)(1)-4 of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoning District to be Superseded</th>
<th>Zoning District to be Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-2</td>
<td>The Northeast China Basin Special Use District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use District to be Superseded</th>
<th>Use District Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Northeast China Basin Special Use District</td>
<td>The Northeast China Basin Special Use District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.
Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
**Voter's Quick Reference Card**

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly Special</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### County Central Committee - Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Local Props

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Superior Court Judge - Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

________________________

________________________

9623

---

**Germaine Q Wong**

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

633 Folsom St., Room 109

San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

---

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type

Republican
13th Assembly District
3rd Senate District
6th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
3000's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.

Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below

Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar’s Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is __________________________ San Francisco, CA 941________

Check One: ______ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. ______ Send my ballot to the address I’ve filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City __________________________ State __________________________ Zip Code __________

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ______ Spanish, ______ Chinese.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Date Signed ______/______/______

Day Time Phone ______-______-______

Night Time Phone ______-______-______

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.

BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Polson Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: __/__/____

Print your FIRST NAME

MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your Mailing address

DAY Phone: (___) ___-___

EVE Phone: (___) ___-___

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: YES NO
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Si desea recibir una copia de este libro en español, sírvase llamar al 554-4377
如欲索取選民手冊中文本請電: 554-4377

Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

**IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS**

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco

If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

Candidates for your party only

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

It's not a mistake

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wang
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway; voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER (PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

_____ Lost use of one or more limbs;
_____ Lost use of both hands;
_____ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
_____ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
_____ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
_____ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT Absentee Voter” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT
To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING
If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
Nicholas DeLuca, Committee Chair
  National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Brinton
  League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
  The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
  San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
  National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences,
  Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, Ex officio
  Deputy City Attorney

The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS
Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Knutzen and Albert J. Reen.
Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morholt, Peter J. Nardoza and Samson W. Wong.
Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q. Wong, Registrar of Voters.
Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties, labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.
If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......................................................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ......................................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ......................................................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ........................................................................ 9
5. information for disabled voters; ................................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; .................... 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .......................................................... 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ............................................. 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ........................ inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   • Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
   OR
   • Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

- **12th Assembly District**
- **8th State Senate District**
- **13th Assembly District**
- **3rd State Senate District**

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

- **12th Congressional District**
- **8th Congressional District**
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

*Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.*

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

STEP 1
USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手持票向自动机中整张选票插入。

STEP 2
BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切记将选票插入时，票尾之二孔，接合于二红点之上。

STEP 3
HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL [STRAIGHT UP]. PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.
Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfíre con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把带针之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 4
After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.
Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguele en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線捲起選票交給選舉站監選員。
AMERICAN INDEPENDENT PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or delace this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO INDEPENDIENTE AMERICANO

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra a lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra a lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios a lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para ese puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio a lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anularía la balota.
Si usted se equivoca al votar, o al romper y díche la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

1

PARTIDO INDEPENDIENTE AMERICANO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

AMERICAN INDEPENDENT PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

1. アメリカ合衆国大統領選挙
PRESIDENTIAL PREFERENCE
HOWARD PHILLIPS

2. 全国大会代表
DELEGADOS PARA LA CONVENCION NACIONAL
NO DELEGATION HAS FILED

3. 美国議員－第8区
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE — 8th District
NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED

Vote for One
Vote for One
Vote for One
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO INDEPENDIENTE AMERICANO</th>
<th>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</th>
<th>MEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN INDEPENDENT PARTY</td>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td>加州衆議員－第12區</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| STATE SENATOR — 8th District   |                                  | 請選一名                                  |
|                                 |                                  | Vote por Uno                               |

| SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8    |                                  | 請選一名                                  |
|                                 |                                  | Vote for One                               |

| STATE SENATOR                  |                                  | 請選一名                                  |
|                                 |                                  | Vote for One                               |

| THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998 |
| No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998 |

| THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT |
| No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea |

| MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District |
|                                            |

<p>| NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED                   | 沒有候選人登記                         |
| NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO    |                                      |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTY INDEPENDENT AMERICAN</th>
<th>COUNTY COMMITTEE</th>
<th>ELECTED PRIMARIES CONSOLIDADAS</th>
<th>MARCH 26, 1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>美国獨立黨</td>
<td>縣委員會—第12區</td>
<td>COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 12</td>
<td>1996年3月28日</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN INDEPENDENT PARTY</td>
<td>縣委員會</td>
<td>County Committee — 12th District</td>
<td>1996年3月28日</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED  
No se ha presentado ningún candidato  

没有候選人登記
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NONPARTISAN BALLOT</th>
<th>BALOTA APARTIDARIA 無黨派選票</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>高級法院法官，第七庭</td>
<td>高級法院法官，第七庭</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7</td>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge, Municipal Court</td>
<td>Judge, Tribunal Municipal 地方法庭法官</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEVIN McCARTHY</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney/Law Professor</td>
<td>Abogado/Profesor de Derecho 律師／法律教授</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Titular 現任者</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RON ALBERS</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial Attorney</td>
<td>Abogado 當事律師</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAY TSENIN</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
<td>Abogada Arbitro Mediadora 律師／仲裁人／辯護人</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado 副市律師</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
192  SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193  PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194  PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT: Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.
**SAMPLE BALLOT**
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 195
**PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT.**
Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

| YES 185 | NO 187 |

### 196
**PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT.**
Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

| YES 194 | NO 196 |

### 197
**AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT.**
This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.

| YES 202 | NO 204 |
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulta de un secuestro que sea parte del piratón de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el piratón de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del piratón de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCIÓN DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1980 (PROPUESTA 117). Pumas. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Menos de $100,000 anuales para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta $250,000 anuales para el manejo de pumas. Costos adicionales de hasta $250,000 anuales para el manejo de pumas.

ENMIENDA PARA LA REHABILITACIÓN DE LOS VIVIENDAS DEBAJAS EN LA CIUDAD DE SAN JOSE. (Propuesta 202). Viviendas. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Menos de $100,000 anuales para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta $250,000 anuales para el manejo de pumas. Costos adicionales de hasta $250,000 anuales para el manejo de pumas.

ALL-0-F7
198. ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211
NO 213

199. LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218
NO 220

200. NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226
NO 228
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 28 DE MARZO DE 1996

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

→ 211 SI 赞成
211 Si ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

→ 213 NO 反對
213 No 198

→ 218 SI 赞成
218 Si LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

→ 220 NO 反對
220 No 199

→ 226 SI 赞成
226 Si SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

→ 228 NO 反對
228 No 200
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201 ATTORNEYS' FEES, SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 237

NO 239

202 ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 246

NO 248

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

YES 253

NO 255
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátilles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

1996年公立教育設施債券法案。這三十億（$3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改進和建設加州公立學校，社區學院和州立大學中的教室，圖書館，和其他必要的設施。該資金將用於使用現有的教室在未來的環境中更為安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及滿足人數的增長。此債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES 263
NO 265

B Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin? YES 266
NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO
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---

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total deuda no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

A

265 NO 反对

---

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de beisbol localizado en China Basin?

B

270 NO 反对

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Respect for animals should be human nature. Don't you think?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee. Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.

I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco's first judicial forum "Access To Justice" attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the "Outstanding Jurist" award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the "Living Treasure" Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street  
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor  
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.  
I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.  
I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.  
My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.  
With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.  
On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street  
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco  
My age is 56  
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court  
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience  
• Published author in many respected national legal journals  
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs  
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966  
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961  
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.  
• Active in community, civic and church activities  
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp  


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46

My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTFROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


KAY TSENI

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator

My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers

Kay Tsenin
CANDIDATES FOR MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE, OFFICE #1

MATTHEW ROTHSCHLID

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:

• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John DeArman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoelio

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Helfbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach
Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silber, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you’ve finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco’s curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**
- Office Paper 辦公室及其他用紙 Papel de Oficina
- Magazines & Catalogs 雜誌及目錄冊 Revistas y Catálogos
- Paper Bags & Packaging 紙袋及包裝紙 Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaque
- Telephone Books 電話簿 Directórios Telefonéticos

**Reciclaje • 錯箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**
- Newspapers 報紙 Periódicos
- Junk Mail 廣告郵件 Correspondencia Publicitaria
- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes 麥類及其他乾食品盒 Cañas de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Secos
- Flattened Cardboard 壓扁的紙皮盒 Cartón Aplanado

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**
- Tin/Steel Cans 鋁箔紙及盒 Botellas de Acero/Estaría
- Aluminum Cans & Peel 鋁箔及膠 Papel de Aluminio y Notas

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB. For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City's Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent's Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent's Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent's Argument" and the "Opponent's Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent's Argument"

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent's Argument"

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent's Argument" or an "Opponent's Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent's Arguments" and "Opponent's Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent's and opponent's arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest. The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds: There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt Payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A

Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.
PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft.. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers. The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs? No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment. This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much? This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals. Vote NO on Proposition A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

• Immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffrey Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38 President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the local economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Cotthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Jim Rivaldo
John L. Ballestreros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schukman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City's economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world's favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco's economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City's hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City's General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donoghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONÉ EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwirt, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Mechanizing; Macy’s California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONER EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy. More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco. Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses. Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcarli, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans. Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS
Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

MOSCONIE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS
The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Giannar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Vlat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONÉ CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONIE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen, without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCON EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7 309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area. □

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
• A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
• A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
• A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
• A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
• The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
• The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.
The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOSEN'TS ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston's Fenway Park and Chicago's Wrigley Field. It'll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will cone on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let's do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSEN'TS ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? Don't be fooled. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS. "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won't work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote NO on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Robert Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

———

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT
OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

———

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A
DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schlackman,
Barbara Koester, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rears, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for, an existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap all the economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business; and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost for the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors

Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymore, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarthian Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johniean George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmett Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demoario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambbebi H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merki, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. We are building the stadium with transit in mind, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting YES on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

---

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

---

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

---

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street
Foundation
Edward Romain, Richard LaBue, Laura Vilafore,
Susan L. Escobar, James Payton, Oscar Morales,
Marina George, Scott Gould, Michael Hall, Robert Morales,
James Thomas Talton, Jr., Cliff Flanagan, Matt Mastergeorge,
Patrick O’Connell III, Roderick David, Charlotte Martin,
Anthony Bourne, Edwin A. Williams, Susan Thompson,
Michelle Kuehl, Glenn Lynch, Ron Armstrong, James P. Elrod,
Benny Allen, Kimberly Barrish, Victoria Tillman, Walter Brown,
Mark Espinoza, Anthon Carter, Perry Henderson, David Miller,
Julie Brown, Mark Johnson, Vivian Miles, Robert Mitchell

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?

Louise Bea, Jack Bair, Mike Doyle, David Swope,
Walter Johnson, Rob McLeod, Robert Morales,
Edward J. Petrillo, Barbara Kolesar, Richard Friedman,
Nelson Knuth, M. Andrew Madden, Eddie Marello,
George Aboderin, Brad Seaman, Anthony Criscuda,
John Piotrowski, Don Fisher, Ilene Shaw, Robert J. Mulcrevy,
Joe Peck, Man Chung, Gary Fowler, Patrick Curry,
James Powell, David M. Bertenthal, Sheryl Reuben,
Katherine Martinez, Susan A. Kendall, Robert Walker, Jr.,
Zoe Walker, Ernest Lira, John Walko, Jon Yamaguchi,
Brian F. Connors, Dirk Olin, Drew Dix, Peter Belotto,
Kevin Acseo, E.S. Harlow, Maggie Muir, Lisa A. Hill,
Leonard Gross, Paul Scott, Mark Wayne, Ditas Solorio,
Lindsey A. Clausen, Clarence J. Moy, Andrew J. Juntius,
James A. Reuben

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, IB's Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donoghue, President, Residential Builder's Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
Anna Shinko, Cherie James, Roberta Boomer,
Sharon Eberhardt, Sharon Bretz, Eva Royale, Elmy Bermejo,
Linda Post, Evelyn White, Alison Louie,
Amanda Nowinski-Gould, Deana Welker, Rebecca Ames,
Marina Boris, Shannon Wilson, Juliette Lopez, Shelah Moody,
Dana Soares, Toni Gill, Johnnie L. Rollins, Joyce Aldana,
Rita H. Myers, Verta Vinson, Janett McCoy, Marcia Brown,
Stephanie D. Feiring, Diana Flores, Alice Filatkin,
Marie Hollins, Karen Huggins, Beverly F. Stanberry,
Mary Hall Terry, Mary Y. Jung, Patricia Probasco,
Kathryn Gordoix, Lisa Ann Washington, Delores Banks,
Julie Anderson, Markita D. Cooper, Vida Edwards, Ann Kelley,
Karen Lewis, Alice Drake, Denise J. Muniz, Lynette Wells,
Vinita Trice, Brenda Sapp Meggison, Catherine A. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership hauntitly implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There's a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gietow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Chen, Kevin Piediscalzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term "sandlot" was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPark

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prfitt, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City's many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAllister, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caeiro, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mint.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Beller, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?
- Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?

PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding’. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance in any way without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younhee Paik
John Berry  Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costelloe  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costelloe  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz  Thor Muller  Keith Yanashita
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;

That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;

That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose: In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines. Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market Street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on-site and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be a minimum requirement for off-site parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-(4) of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk

District to be Superseded

Superseded

District Hereby Approved

40-X

150-X

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

District to be

Superseded

M-2

Use

District Hereby

Approved

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554-4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

________________________
________________________
________________________

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9631

American Independent
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2000's, 2100's,
2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

📞 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
📞 Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

☒ Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
☒ Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is __________________________________________________________
San Francisco, CA 941____

Check One:
☒ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.
☒ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City

State Zip Code

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☒ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☒ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here
Do Not Print

Date Signed _____/96
Day Time Phone _____
Night Time Phone _____

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
Prepared by
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Germaine O. Wong,
Registrar of Voters

San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: _______ / _______ / _______

Print your FIRST NAME: ____________________________

Print your MI: ______

Print your LAST NAME: ___________________________

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS: ___________________________

Print your Mailing Address: ___________________________

DAY Phone: (______) _______ - _______

EVE Phone: (______) _______ - _______

What language do you speak in addition to English: __________________________

I have a car: □ YES □ NO
# TABLE OF CONTENTS
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Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

**CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY**

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. **For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.**

**IT'S NOT A MISTAKE**

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q. Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; .................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; .......... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; .................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; ...................... 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ............ 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .......... 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ............ 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. .............. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
• Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

OR
• Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District

8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District

3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

12th Congressional District

8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de voto y obtenga otra.

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de voto completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双持票向自動機將選票插入。

STEP 1

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，符合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para voto, sostenga el instrumento de voto y perfore con él la tarjeta de voto en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把握住選舉針，由小孔直插入打孔投票。

STEP 4

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votación.
AMERICAN INDEPENDENT PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO INDEPENDIENTE AMERICANO

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES

Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "Sí" o "No" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anularía la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

TO START VOTING, GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Voter Instruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presidential Preference</td>
<td>Howard Phillips</td>
<td>American Independent Party</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegates to the National Convention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Representative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Primary Election March 26, 1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** No delegation has filed for the above positions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| STATE SENATOR — 8th District | 2. 聘選一名  
SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8  
Vote por Uno  
Vote for One |                      |
|                        | 二月參議員, 第八區 |                                      |                      |
|                        | 二零九年三月二十六日 |                                      |                      |
|                        |                           | THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998 |                      |
|                        |                           | No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998 |                      |
|                        |                           | 這一職位要到1998年才選出 |                      |
|                        |                           | THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT |                      |
|                        |                           | No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea |                      |
|                        |                           | 第12眾議區沒有特別選舉 |                      |
| MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY | 1.  聘選一名  
MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12  
Vote por Uno  
Vote for One |                      |
|                        | 一月眾議員—第12區 |                                      |                      |
|                        | 二月二十六日 |                                      |                      |
|                        |                           | NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED |                      |
|                        |                           | NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO |                      |

2-3-1,2
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO INDEPENDIENTE AMERICANO</th>
<th>COUNTY COMMITTEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td>MARCH 26, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No se ha presentado ningun candidato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>没有候选人登记</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Nonpartisan Ballot

### Judicial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>Kevin McCarthy</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Ron Albers</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>Matthew Rothschild</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996

MEVIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATUALES

159 SI 赞成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emision de bonos de dos mil millones de dolares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisismica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisismica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoria independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparacion de un informe publico sobre esa auditoria.

161 NO 反对

192

168 SI 赞成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitucion del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasacion de los bienes raices en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millon anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serian compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反对

193

177 SI 赞成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras este se halle preso, no conlleva al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反对

194

因犯、合資項目，失業福利，假释、立法院
的動議修正案。規定囚犯在服刑期間在
合資項目中工作，該囚犯在出獄後無資格
享受失業福利的權利。財政影響：總體財
政影響可能很微弱。
195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIRATAS DE AUTOMÓVILES, ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENAS DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirata de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirata de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirata de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENAS DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparar desde vehículos en movimiento, los disparos resultantes del pirata de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasarán a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCIÓN DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPOSIERTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reacondiciona anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 - 97 hasta 1998 - 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

修正1990年加州野生動物保護法案。(第117号提案)。山豹。立法的修訂修正案，該法案授權立法院對山豹進行管理以保護加州的居民與資源。取消山豹的特殊保護措施。財政影響：從接種環境保存基金中重新撥款，從1996-97到1998-99每年最高撥款額為$250,000, 低於每年最 高撥款額為$100,000, 用於山豹管理。財政可能每年都多花費$250,000, 用於與山 豹有關的安全與公共資訊項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211
NO 213

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218
NO 220

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226
NO 228
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 贊成
213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成
220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
228 NO 反對

ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que hay una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS ROSANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ÁQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORENEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 237 
NO 239

202 ATTORENEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 246 
NO 248

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

YES 253 
NO 255
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

← 237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas de y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

← 239 NO 反對

← 246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permite respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedidas. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedida. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

← 248 NO 反對

← 253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PÚBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

← 255 NO 反對

1996年公立教育設置債券法案。這三十億美元($3,000,000,000)的學校建設債券將有助於改進和建設加州公立學校、社區學院和州立大學中的教室，圖書館，和其他必需的設施。該資金將用於使現有的教室在未來的地震中更為安全，爲教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及滿足人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  YES 263  NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  YES 268  NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

- 263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

- 265 NO 反对

- 268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

- 270 NO 反对

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for ANIMALS SHOULD BE HUMAN NATURE.

{ DON'T YOU THINK? }

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today. 1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge

My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.
I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.
First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


KAY TSENNIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
 Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Ron Albers

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm,
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:
CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin, John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell, John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew, Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern, Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy, Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson, Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo, Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron, Thomas Smegal, robert wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz, Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue, Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch, Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you’ve finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco’s curbside and apartment recycling programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper • 紙張 • Papel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Paper 紙箱至其他用紙 Papel de Oficina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspapers 報紙 Periódicos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazines &amp; Catalogs 雜誌及目錄冊 Revistas y Catálogos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junk Mail 廣告郵件 Correspondencia Publicitaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Bags &amp; Packaging 紙袋及包裝紙 Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaquetar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal &amp; Other Dry Food Boxes 幹麪及其他乾食品盒 Cajas de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Secos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Books 電話簿 Directorios Teléfonicos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatten Cardboard 壓扁的紙皮盒 Cartón Aplanado</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin/Steel Cans 鋁箔紙及盒 Botes de Acero/Estano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Bottles &amp; Jars 及塑膠瓶 Botellas de Plástico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Cans &amp; Jars 銅/鋼罐 Papel de Aluminio y Botellas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Jars &amp; Bottles 玻璃瓶、樽 Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB. For Information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

**“Proponent’s Argument”**

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

**“Opponent’s Argument”**

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.
PROPOSITION A

Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOsENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOsENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one. Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:
San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit
If it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those
under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung
Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county jail.
In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit
rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will
significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.
The city must develop and implement a long-term capital im-
provement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infra-
structure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through
general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must
carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expa-
asion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighbor-
hood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans
have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been
studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review
before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.
This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate
Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main
Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for
its project. Why so much?
This bond request is too large to be approved with so little
information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the
surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.
VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic
Imperative”
As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth,
it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest
capacity.
The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone
Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It
has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhi-
bits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American
cities in exhibit space size.
In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for world-
wide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to
occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an
economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great.
Specifically:
• immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200
  million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.
Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tour-
ists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and
Cultural funds and other needed services.
I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on
Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE “YES” FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrel
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax have been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher
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Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995
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Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioneers.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schults, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SPPF Local 798
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day
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Gays/ Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribben, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Jim Rivaldo
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes
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JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Soto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center
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Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano
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PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builders Association
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pasqua, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse
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Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Mabel Teng
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City's restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City's report, direct spending on our City's restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco's restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto's Fish Company
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Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don't support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco's economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Mahler, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.
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TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONCE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco's taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco
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**Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A**

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our "visitor industry" related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

**Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans.** The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

**Supervisor Barbara Kaufman**
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**Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A**

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce
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**VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A**

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center's tremendous contribution to our City's economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone
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**RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A**

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meinier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macy’s California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom-San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONCE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs - that’s good for San Francisco. Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcarci, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour
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No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party
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The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco's largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City's restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A.

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

MOSCONIE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardenman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzeo, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunmar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930’s and 1940’s. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it’s mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510’s Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioners and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioners and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regrettfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A.

Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.
RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar nonprofit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.

★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★★
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot
On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

“Privately Built”? DON’T BE FooLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

“Architectural Wonder”? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

“Economic Boost”? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

“Accessible and Convenient”? Proposition B states, “...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS. “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically; the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won't spend any money on the ballpark's construction, something that hasn't happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco's taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley  Arthur Bruzzone
Howard Epstein  Marc G. Wolin
Albert Chang  Donald A. Casper
Elsa C. Cheung  Christopher L. Bowman
Charles J. Wong  Les Payne
Jeffery W. Wiegand  James E. Gilleran
Woodward Kingman  Manuel A. Rosales

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38 President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymour, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarithian Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambee H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rajas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. Devishesen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhoods. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sallly DeVischer, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Castello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrallah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosengberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marquerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUNDDOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM
Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katsis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedscatsi

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS
San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stonian. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Botencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Ruben Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Pritz, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City's many disabled residents. The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for all San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Toni Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC Chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world-class city needs a world-class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakshima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinhorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DaAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?
- Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
- When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
- PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz
Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller
Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Yolpe
Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;

That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;

That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-4(1) of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk Superseded Approved

District to be District Hereby
M-2

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code: "(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."
I love animals are my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

I love animals are our friends.

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:

To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
**Voter's Quick Reference Card**

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

---

Germaine Q Wong  
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS  
633 Folsom St., Room 109  
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

---

9631-9632
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type 9632

American Independent
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
12th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2300's, 2400's,
2500's, 2600's, 2800's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar’s Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is ________________________________ San Francisco, CA 94141

Check One: [] Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. [] Send my ballot to the address I’ve filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City __________ State _______ Zip Code _______

[] I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

[] I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

[] All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: [] Spanish, [] Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Date Signed 96/96

Day Time Phone

Night Time Phone

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped
Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly diminishing and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.

BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: __/__/__

Print your FIRST NAME ____________________________

Print your LAST NAME ____________________________

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS ____________________________

Print your MAILING ADDRESS ____________________________

DAY Phone: (______) _______ - _______ _______

EVE Phone: (______) _______ - _______ _______

What language do you speak in addition to English: ____________________________

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
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Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the **wrong polling place** - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
City and County of San Francisco

If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

- Lost use of one or more limbs;
- Lost use of both hands;
- Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
- Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
- Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
- Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may "fax" your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.
If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; .......................................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ........................................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ........................................................................... 9
5. information for disabled voters; ......................................................... 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ................. 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .................................................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ........................................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. .......................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:

• Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

OR

• Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District

8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District

3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

12th Congressional District

8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

*Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.*

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!

Call 310-CURB
SAN FRANCISCO CURBSIDE RECYCLING
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algun error, devuelva su tarjeta de voto y obtenga otra.

STEP 1
USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de voto completamente dentro del “Votomatic.”

第一步
请双手持票向自动机将整张选票插入。

STEP 2
BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请确认选票插入时，票尾之二孔，接口於二红点之上。

STEP 3
HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.
Para voto, sostenga el instrumento de voto y perfora con él la tarjeta de voto en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把票证之选票插入，由小孔内垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

STEP 4
Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la boleta a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，
沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監票員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

AMERICAN INDEPENDENT PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

In the American independent party, vote only for the Democratic or Republican candidate in each race. Do not write in or vote for any other party candidate.

The instructions for write-in candidates apply to write-in candidates for other parties.

Balots will be counted in the order they are received. Unofficial ballots will not be counted.

Many measures are being voted upon. Read carefully. An "X" in the "YES" column means approval. An "X" in the "NO" column means disapproval.

If the office or measure is not selected, it will not be considered.

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
### SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>總統優先選舉權</td>
<td>請選一名</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREFERENCIA PRESIDENCIAL</td>
<td>Vote por Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidential Preference</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOWARD PHILLIPS</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>全國大會代表</td>
<td>請選一名</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELEGADOS PARA LA CONVENCION NACIONAL</td>
<td>Vote por Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegates to the National Convention</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO DELEGATION HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>沒有代表團登記</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>美國獨立黨</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO INDEPENDIENTE AMERICANO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRÉSIDENTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN INDEPENDENT PARTY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH 26, 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS, DISTRITO 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE — 8th District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>没有候選人登記</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SAMPLE BALLOT**

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### SPECIAL ELECTION

13th Assembly District (Vacancy in Current Term)
ELECCIÓN ESPECIAL
DISTRITO 13 DE LA ASamblea (ACTUALMENTE VACANTE)
特別選舉
第13議員區（現任有空職）

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>没有候選人登記</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAROLE MIGDEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco County Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor del Condado de San Francisco 三藩市議會議員</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VOTE FOR ONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REGULAR ELECTION

13th Assembly District (Term from 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)
ELECCIÓN REGULAR
DISTRITO 13 DE LA ASamblea (PARA SERVIR DEL 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)
定期選舉
第13議員區（任期自1996年12月3日起至1998年12月2日止）

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>没有候選人登記</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 13
County Committee — 13th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
No se ha presentado ningun candidato

没有候選人登記
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO INDEPENDIENTE AMERICANO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOMATE DEL CONDADO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMERICAN INDEPENDENT PARTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH 26, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY COMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This page is left blank
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>Kevin McCarthy</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>R. Albers</td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Matthew Rothschild</td>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996

ไร้ฝั่งเมือง ผู้ส่งเสริมการลงคะแนน จัดตั้งให้เป็น อย่างหนึ่ง瓷器 อย่างหนึ่ง瓷器 ด้วยความที่ผู้ส่งเสริมการลงคะแนน อย่างหนึ่ง瓷器 อย่างหนึ่ง瓷器 ด้วยความที่ผู้ส่งเสริมการลงคะแนน ด้วยความที่ผู้ส่งเสริมการลงคะแนน

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS ESTATUALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反對
1996年抗震翻新遗留建新法案。本法案規定動用二十億元的債券，為本州的橋梁、公路高架橋和公路交叉樑紐的抗震翻新重建項目提供資金，規定這些資金僅用於抗震翻新重建項目。本法案規定州審計部長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已確定的項目，並且規定將審計結果予以公報。

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia, si no se trata de una operación entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反對
193

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPEÑO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反對
囚犯。合資項目。失業福利。假釋。立法院的動議修正案。規定囚犯在監獄期間在合資項目中工作，該囚犯在出獄後沒有資格享受失業福利的權利。財政影響：總體財政影響可能很微小。
195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ÉLECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATUALES

185 SI 赞成
CASTIGO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES, ASESINATO DE UN JURADO, ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulta de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反对

195

194 SI 赞成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO, ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparar desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反对

ENMIENDE DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1996 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

202 SI 赞成

204 NO 反对

202 SI 赞成

197

204 NO 反对

修正1980年加州野生动物保护法案。（第117号提案）：山猫。立法院的修正案。该法案授权立法院对山猫进行管理以保护加州的居民与资源。取消山猫的特殊保护哺乳动物资格。财政影响：需将现有环境保护基金中重新拨款，从1996-97年至1998-99年每年最高拨款额为$250,000，此后每年最高拨款额为$100,000，用以山猫管理。州政府可能每年会多花费$250,000，用以与山猫有关的安全与公共资讯项目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Código</th>
<th>Descripción</th>
<th>Propósito</th>
<th>Voto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA.</td>
<td>Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran el nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: No impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.</td>
<td>SÍ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA.</td>
<td>Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran el nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: No impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE AGUINALDO PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.</td>
<td>Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para aquellos que han tomado decisiones para vivir en las casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorro futuro para las dependencias locales que, en total, se ajustarán por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.</td>
<td>SÍ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE AGUINALDO PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.</td>
<td>Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para aquellos que han tomado decisiones para vivir en las casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorro futuro para las dependencias locales que, en total, se ajustarán por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.</td>
<td>Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicas aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorro para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matrícula de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.</td>
<td>SÍ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.</td>
<td>Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicas aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorro para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matrícula de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSORTIATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## 201
**ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

| YES 237 | NO 239 |

## 202
**ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

| YES 246 | NO 248 |

## 203
**PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996.** This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

| YES 253 | NO 255 |
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTADARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATELES

237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiera pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equiptar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

201
律师事务所、律师协会、团体诉讼、勒索勒索，规定在由股东向公司提起的诉讼以及在股
东反诉的法律诉讼中，败诉方支付胜诉
方合理的律师费及开支。规定如果股东
有实据性依据，并且支付该等费用是不公
正的，则无需支付该等费用。可要求败诉
方的律师支付该等费用。可要求败诉方提
供证金。财政影响：对州及地方政府有不
同。但可能不会有很大财政影响。

202

203
1996年公立教育设施债券法案，通过30亿
美元（53,000,000,000）的学校建设债券将有
助于改进和建设加州公立学校、社区学院
和州立大学中的教室、图书馆、和其他必需
的设施。该资金将用于使现有的教室在未
来地震中更安全，为教室提供21世纪
的电脑技术，缩小每个班级的人数以及满
足入学人数的增长。该债券只能用于经批
准的学校建设项目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?
   YES 263
   NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?
   YES 268
   NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA
投票完結
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to
vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough.
We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU
NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling
place for your new residence address or at our office - 633
Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a
California driver's license or state identification card or 2
documents which have your name and new residence address
printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional
envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your
eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new
residence address,
or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109,
between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from
8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24,
between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26,
from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Respect for animals should be human nature.
{Don't you think?}

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee
Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
Candidate for Superior Court Judge, Office #7

LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court. I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russioniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:

Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:

In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:

I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:

I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tisenin

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers
MATTHEW ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alise B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith
POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng
SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynnns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shorestein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you’ve finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco’s curbside and apartment recycling programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office Paper</th>
<th>Newspaper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>管公室及其他用纸</td>
<td>報紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papel de Oficina</td>
<td>Periodicos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magazines &amp; Catalogs</th>
<th>Junk Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>雜誌及目錄冊</td>
<td>廣告郵件</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revistas y Catálogos</td>
<td>Correspondencia Publicitaria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper Bags &amp; Packaging</th>
<th>Cereal &amp; Other Dry Food Boxes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>紙袋及包裝紙</td>
<td>穀類及其他乾食物盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOLSAS DE PAPEL Y</td>
<td>CAJAS DE CEREALES Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPEL DE EMPAQUETAR</td>
<td>OTROS CONTENIDOS SECOS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telephone Books</th>
<th>Flattened Cardboard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>電話簿</td>
<td>壓扁的紙皮盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directorios Teléfonicos</td>
<td>Cartón Aplanado</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin/Steel Cans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>鋁箔紙及盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botas de Acero/Estaño</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Aluminum Cans & Foil | Glass Jars & Bottles |
| 鋁 / 鋼罐 | 玻璃瓶 – 瓶 |
| Papel de Aluminio y Botas | Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio |

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB. For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

**“Proponent’s Argument”**

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

**“Opponent’s Argument”**

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Ususally, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.
The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an "Economic Imperative"

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

• immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE “YES” FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elderling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco's economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City's current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco's economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City's budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco's public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioneers.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Aichern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gibbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City's economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world's favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco's economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City's hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City's General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONC EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotl rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revitalize our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONEx EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwir, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related businesses: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Meachandising, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONNE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allesandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Center Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCON EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco's largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City's restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul LaZareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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LABOR FOR MOSCONÉ CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it’s mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A.

Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

*Quentin L. Kopp*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

*Joel Ventresca*

Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONI EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.
RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at
China Basin?

YES  NO

DIGEST

by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium
located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball
team and the 49ers football team play their home
games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a
new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that
apply to the China Basin site, some of which were
passed by the voters and can only be changed by the
voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Water-
  front Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to
  40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least
  one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark
  seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law
so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be
built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land
  Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40
  feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be
  eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure
could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Con-
struction of the ballpark would still require design and
other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to
change City law so that a ballpark may be built at
China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want
to change City law for this purpose.

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT ON “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following
statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance
it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only
makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be
developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing
plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements
that only private funds be used to finance both the park and
related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit
and other City services. It also does not provide specific
information on any proposed lease with the Port of San
Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not
address potential financial benefits to the City from the
ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and
sales taxes.

HOW “B” GOT ON THE BALLOT

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a
proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan,
Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.
The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place
an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
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PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will cone on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, “. . . there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick's 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won't work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this "private" stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don't give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it's time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco's financial burden, making a contribution to the City's general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still "the City that knows how."

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult times coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schlackman,
Barbara Kolesar, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rears, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won't spend any money on the ballpark's construction, something that hasn't happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco's taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gillerman
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors

Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee

Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Punchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymore, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquartian Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George James,
Carl M.A. McCardan, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambreel H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Hule, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayaski,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.
No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.
While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.
This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkin, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVIschen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jafen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.
Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning reaffirmation of San Francisco's excellent transit First Policy.
We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fong, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.
Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.
Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don't cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.
A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer's Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salarino, Victims Advocate
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE’S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK
All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVisch, Tom D’Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are suffering and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrallah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Onnerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donohue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my laissez faire toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativity of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM
Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, "(Until There's a Cure Day"). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen,
Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Callin, Gary Gielow,
Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno,
Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katts, Dean Goodwin,
Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedscatzi!

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS
San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term "sandlot" was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fidler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutrionist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Lucymila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Theina Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betancourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Fry, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth - all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longa, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAnuffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco.

Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sevill, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it ... we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinthispe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don't citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?

PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco's waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don't bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:

• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz
Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller
Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE

PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistient with the following principles:

(a) That the ballpark be developed privately;

(b) That the financing of the ballpark’s construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;

(c) That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

(d) That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.114 to read as follows:

“Section 249.114 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purpose

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark’s operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code.

Section 4. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.
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Section 5. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.
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Section 7. (a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Use District is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"(b) Each property that is subject to this Ordinance shall be permitted to have a maximum of 150 feet in height along the waterfront and a maximum of 100 feet in height in the interior of the Development Area, provided that such heights do not exceed 120 feet along the waterfront and 100 feet in the interior of the Development Area in any case."

Section 8. It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9. Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof, to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision of or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
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Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today. 1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.
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THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

• Finding out what's on the ballot.
• Looking up the polling place for your address.
• Campaign contributions
• Campaign expenditures
• Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.
Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmix.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY
Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly Special</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:


Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail
without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9633

American Independent
13th Assembly District
3rd Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
3000's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

 Usa 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
 Usa Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

 Usa Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
 Usa Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar’s Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is _______________________________ San Francisco, CA 941 ______

Check One:  □  Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. □  Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City __________________ State __ Zip Code __

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: □ Spanish, □ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here □

Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Mailing Address

Polling Place
Handicapped Accessible.

Day Time Phone _______ Night Time Phone _______

/96

Date Signed
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

Polls are open from 7 AM to 8 PM
Please see the label on the back cover for the location of your polling place.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: _____ / _____ / _____

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your Mailing ADDRESS

DAY Phone: (_____ ) _____ - _____

EVE Phone: (_____ ) _____ - _____

What language do you speak in addition to English: ________________________________

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
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Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the **wrong polling place** - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don’t know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar’s Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party’s candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you’re a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT’S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a "Permanent Absentee Voter" you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says "I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER" and sign your name where it says "Sign Here."

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a "P" then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Balloch Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A ‘Yes’ Vote Means," and "A ‘No’ Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; 9
5. information for disabled voters; 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller’s Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting; inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   • Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
     OR
   • Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

12th Assembly District

8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District

3rd State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

12th Congressional District

8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1 is for voters within these political districts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 2 is for voters within these political districts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 3 is for voters within these political districts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

STEP 1
Nota: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando los dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手持票向自動機將整張選票插入。

STEP 2
BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coincidan con las dos cabechitas rojas.

第二步
請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3
HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.
Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把指針之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

STEP 4
Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

和平自由黨選票

選民須知

如要表達你對提名總統候選人的優先選擇，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投票這一部分僅屬表達意見性質。出席全國大會的代表將在選票的選舉代表部分選出。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如要選舉超過兩名競選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選擇超過兩名的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。

如選票有明顯污損或被撕破消滅，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕損了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO POR LA PAZ Y LA LIBERTAD

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES

Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación lo ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca o borrador; esto anulará la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE
TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President of the United States</td>
<td>JAN TUCKER</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegates to the National Convention</td>
<td>MONICA MOOREHEAD</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MARY CAL HOLLIS</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GERALD HORNE</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Representative</td>
<td>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO DE LA PAZ Y LA LIBERTAD</td>
<td>STATE SENATOR — 8th District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE SENATOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SAMPLE BALLOT**

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8**
STATE SENATOR — 8th District

**THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**
No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea

**MEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12**
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District

**NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED**
No has candidato presentado

**NO CANDIDATO HAS FILED**
No se ha presentado ningún candidato

**THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998**
No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998

**VOTE FOR ONE**
Vota por uno
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO DE LA PAZ Y LA LIBERTAD</th>
<th>羅委員會－第12區</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADADAS</td>
<td>羅委員會——12th District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td>請選7名</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY COMMITTEE</td>
<td>Vote por no más de 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED**

No se ha presentado ningún candidato

沒有候選人登記
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO DE LA PAZ Y LA LIBERTAD</th>
<th>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY</td>
<td>DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION</td>
<td>二月二十六日一九九六年</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH 26, 1996</td>
<td>九六年二月二十六日</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This page is left blank**

Esta página queda en blanco

在本頁留空
| NONPARTISAN BALLOT  
| BALOTA APARTIDARIA  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>無黨派選票</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT, OFFICE #7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LILLIAN K. SING</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Judge, Municipal Court  
Juez, Tribunal Municipal |
| **135** |
| **JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT, OFFICE #11** |
| **KEVIN McCARTHY** |
| Attorney/Law Professor  
Abogado/Profesor de Derecho |
| **141** |
| **JUDGE OF THE MUNICIPAL COURT, OFFICE #1** |
| **RON ALBERS** |
| Trial Attorney  
Abogado  

diplomat |
| **149** |
| **KAY TSENIN** |
| Attorney Arbitrator Mediator  
Abogada Arbitro Mediadora  
律師／仲裁人／調解人 |
| **151** |
| **MATTHEW ROTHSCHLID** |
| Deputy City Attorney  
Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado  
副市律師 |
| **153** |
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反对
1996年抗震翻新改建債券法案。本法案規定發行一定數額之債券，為本州的橋樑、道路高架橋和公路交叉樑樑的抗震翻新改建項目提供資金，規定這些資金僅用於抗震翻新改建項目。本法案規定審計部長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已確定的項目，並且規定將審計結果予以公佈。

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serán compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反对

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al prisionero derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo a salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反对

192

193

194
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

7E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

YES 185
NO 187

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

YES 194
NO 196

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.

YES 202
NO 204
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 赞成
187 NO 反对
194 SI 赞成
196 NO 反对
202 SI 赞成
204 NO 反对

CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESSINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulta de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

195

196

ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1980 (PROPOSTA 117). Pumas. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reajustable anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicos sobre los pumas.

197

PROPUESTA 195: Disparar desde vehículos en movimiento; Disparo de vehículos; Asesinato de un jurado. La legislatura de California ha realizado un balance de las circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

PROPUESTA 196: Disparo por asesinato. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

PECIAL RATING: CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. La propuesta añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

PROFESIONAL RATING: CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. La propuesta añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

PROFESIONAL RATING: CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. La propuesta añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

PROFESIONAL RATING: CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. La propuesta añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.
### SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

#### 8E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>198</strong></td>
<td>ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>199</strong></td>
<td>LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td>NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATUALES

211 SI 赞成
ELECCIONES, PRIMARIA ABIERTA, LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 赞成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJO INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 赞成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

F8
無黨派選票

198

199

200

25
201  ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

202  ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

203  PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
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CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
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237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos causados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

201

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

202

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PÚBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

1996年公立教育设施债券法案。这是十亿美元的学校建设债券将有助于改进和建设加州公立学校、社区学院和州立大学中的教室、图书馆和其他必需的设施。这些资金将用于使现有的教室在未来的地震中更安全，为教室装备21世纪的电脑技术，减少班级人数以及满足入学人数的增长。该债券只能用于经批准的学校建设项目。

203
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A Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES 263

NO 265

B Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES 268

NO 270

END OF BALLOT
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CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
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← 263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

← 265 NO 反對

← 268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

← 270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Respect for animals should be human nature.

{Don't you think?}

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today. 1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Ron Albers

KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kourb
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

35
MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin, John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell, John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew, Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern, Joseph Russolillo

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill; Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy, Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson, Dan Kelly, Jill Wynn, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo, Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron, Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz, Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue, Douglas Shorestein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch, Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper • 紙張 • Papel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>聯絡室及其他用紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papel de Oficina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Magazine & Catalogs | 三聯市路邊及樓文回收。
                   | 計劃，可以回收十二種物品。 |
| Revistas y Catálogos| ¡Recuerde Reciclar       |
| Paper Bags          | Este Folleto!              |
| & Packaging        | Después de que haya       |
| 紙袋及包裝紙        | terminado con              |
| Bolsas de Papel y   | este folleto, reciclo con  |
| Papel de Empaquetar | su otro papel. Y recuerde  |
| Telephonic Books    | que hay doce artículos que|
| 電話簿             | pueden ser                 |
| Directorios Telefónicos | reciclados en los         |
|                     | programas a               |
|                     | domicilio y apartamentos  |
|                     | en San Francisco.         |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin/Steel Cans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>鋁箔紙及盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botellas de Aco/Estaña</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics Bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botellas de Plástico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>鋁箔紙及盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>鋁箔紙及盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botellas de Aluminio y Botes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>玻璃罐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Jars &amp; Bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>玻璃罐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>及塑膠瓶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottle de Vidrio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB. For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City's Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent's Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent's Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent's Argument" and the "Opponent's Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent's Argument"

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent's Argument"

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent's Argument" or an "Opponent's Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent's Arguments" and "Opponent's Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent's and opponent's arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt Payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller’s Statement on “A”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:

NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

• immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxi, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE “YES” FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot allow to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
- It will create badly needed construction jobs;
- It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
- It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
- It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community, have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Grifffen, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel
Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Sato, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Susan Ammiano
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT
MOSCONIE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
Convention Center Bonds
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related businesses: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Meandising, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allessandro Barcar, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, JavaWalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Jean Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town convention goers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was:
San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco's largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The demand and world-class reputation of our City's restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul LaZzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington, Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio, Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was:
The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

MOSCON EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was:
Local 510.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCON CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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LABOR FOR MOSCONNE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510’s Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regrettably urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition: Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar nonprofit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City's Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
B

Ballpark

PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan. 

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston's Fenway Park and Chicago's Wrigley Field. It'll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City's economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let's do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for:
Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4x current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:
• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.
• Vote no because:
  • NO financial plans have been shown.
  • NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
  • NO public hearings have been held.
  • There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
  • There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it's time to act.
FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.
ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Acktenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost for the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors

Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a refreshingly honest and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee

Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world-class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver, and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world-class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola

Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymore, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarthish Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demoorio Reed,
Gwendra Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambree H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Kate, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city's general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Hui, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Chiu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
John-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merli, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco's excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK
All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team's dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City's young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonna Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe Mccray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Paul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, II Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Jerry Nelson, Dennis Herrera, Dennis Edelman, Kathleen E. McCray, Suzanne B. Dingmar, Tina Carroll, Daniel B. Pleasant, Matthew Hartman, Eugene L. Cook, David Rich, Roger L. Hubbard, Scott Petersen, Susan Zeire, Aaron Darsky

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, JB's Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Soutas, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marquiee Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donoghue, President, Residential Builder's Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty eraswhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn't publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City's general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my latissitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who's always tried to protect the taxpayer's pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote "yes" on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There's a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Kais, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedscultti

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term "sandlot" was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noehmy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Ruben Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new
state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and
cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the
City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax
revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support
a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from
every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, im-
proved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for
our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting
Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central
Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central
Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central
Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central
Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central
Committee
Jeanna Honey, San Francisco Democratic Party Central
Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central
Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an
urban institution important to the City's many disabled residents.
The ballpark will have superior access to a number of handi-
cap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light
Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would
provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain
would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped
ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the
facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A
new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game
and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and
entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Fran-
ciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons
with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was
San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area
with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The
new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors
in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for
baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrich-
ment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusi-
astically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauro Velasquez, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was
San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges A YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzreschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinhorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of those decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check:

"Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco."

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.
For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz
Cathy Gilhens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Westley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller
Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3:

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.[14] to read as follows:

"Section 249.[14] NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market Street grid, a new 150-foot-wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and 1-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark such uses shall be subject to such uses, including, sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-4 of this Code."  

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Heights and Bulk District to be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superseded</th>
<th>Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-X</td>
<td>150-X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to

enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District to be Superseded</th>
<th>District Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-2</td>
<td>The Northeast China Basin Special Use District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.[14] of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
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Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

• Finding out what's on the ballot.
• Looking up the polling place for your address.
• Campaign contributions
• Campaign expenditures
• Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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</table>
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Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

---

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
San Francisco,
California
Permit No. 2750

Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9641

Peace & Freedom
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2000's, 2100's,
2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar’s Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is

San Francisco, CA 941

Check One:
☐ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.
☐ Send my ballot to the address I’ve filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City
State
Zip Code

☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 28, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here:

Do Not Print

/96
Date Signed

Day Time Phone
Night Time Phone

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped
Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

Polls are open from 7 AM to 8 PM
Please see the label on the back cover for the location of your polling place.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Fill in the blanks below:

Date of BIRTH: __ / __ / __

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your Mailing ADDRESS

DAY Phone: (___) ___-____

EVE Phone: (___) ___-____

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
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24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER (PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter”, you must have at least one of the following conditions:

[ ] Lost use of one or more limbs;
[ ] Lost use of both hands;
[ ] Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
[ ] Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
[ ] Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
[ ] Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “I” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; 9
5. information for disabled voters; 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:

- Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

- Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District
3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

12th Congressional District
8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1 is for voters within these political districts:</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2 is for voters within these political districts:</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3 is for voters within these political districts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

STEP 1

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de voto y obtenga otra.

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de voto completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手持票向自动機將整張選票插入。

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coincidan con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切记選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de voto y perfora con él la tarjeta de voto en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把帶鋸之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 4

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線折起選票交給選舉站監選員。

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entregúela en el lugar oficial de votación.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

和平自由黨選票
選民須知
如要表達你對提名總統候選人的優先選擇，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投票這一部分僅屬表達意見性質。出席全國大會的代表將在選票的選舉代表部分選出。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名競選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過要選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合併候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票眼的空位上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助理選票求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在投票旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。

如果選票有污損污或描掉痕跡，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕破了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO POR LA PAZ Y LA LIBERTAD
INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida. Se prohíbe todo tipo de marcas y borrarlas; esto anularía la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o el rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING, GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
**SAMPLE BALLOT**

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>President</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</strong></td>
<td><strong>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PREFERENCIA PRESIDENCIAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>Presidential Preference</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JAN TUCKER</strong></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MONICA MOOREHEAD</strong></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MARY CAL HOLLIS</strong></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GERALD HORNE</strong></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegates</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONVENCION NACIONAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>Delegates to the National Convention</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO DELEGATION HAS FILED</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>没有代表團登記</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>United States Representative</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS, DISTRITO 12</strong></td>
<td><strong>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE — 12th District</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>没有候選人登記</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Number</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Candidate Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>STATE SENATOR — 8th District</td>
<td>請選一名</td>
<td>和平自由黨</td>
<td>サンフランシスコ平和自由党</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8</td>
<td>Vote por Uno</td>
<td>Y LA LIBERTAD</td>
<td>8th District STATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>聯合初選</td>
<td>投票</td>
<td></td>
<td>民主自由聯盟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td>28 MARCH 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STATE SENATOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>請選一名</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>加州衆議員 - 第 12 區</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mitglied der Kalifornienstaatsversammlung</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12th District STATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Membre de la Asamblea Estatal, Distrito 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kandidat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

縣委員會－第12區
COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 12
County Committee — 12th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
No se ha presentado ningun candidato

沒有候選人登記
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PARTID DE LA PAZ
Y LA LIBERTAD
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NONPARTISAN BALLOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BALOTA APARTIDARIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>KEVIN McCarthy</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>MATTHEW ROTHSCILD</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192. SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

   YES 159
   NO 161

193. PROPERTY APPRAISAL EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

   YES 168
   NO 170

194. PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.

   YES 177
   NO 179
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI - LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula la emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO - LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula la emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

168 SI - TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO - TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

177 SI - PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLOE. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO - PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLOE. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.
SAMPLE BALLOT
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CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

7E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
### SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BALOTA APARTIDARIA</th>
<th>CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO</th>
<th>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996</th>
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<td>MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 185 SI 贷成

| CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulta de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado. |
|---|---|

#### 187 NO 反对

| CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte y cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasarán a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo. |
|---|---|

#### 194 SI 贷成

| ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPIUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reduciría anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitat desde los años 1995 – 97 hasta 1996 – 98, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicos sobre los pumas. |
|---|---|

#### 196 NO 反对

| ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPIUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reduciría anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitat desde los años 1995 – 97 hasta 1996 – 98, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicos sobre los pumas. |
|---|---|

#### 202 SI 贷成

| ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPIUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reduciría anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitat desde los años 1995 – 97 hasta 1996 – 98, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicos sobre los pumas. |
|---|---|

#### 204 NO 反对

| ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPIUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reduciría anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitat desde los años 1995 – 97 hasta 1996 – 98, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicos sobre los pumas. |
|---|---|

**ALL-O-F7**

**23**
ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
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211 Si 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado. 198

213 No 反对

218 Si 贊成
LÍMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJO INGRESO. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales. 199

220 No 反对

226 Si 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expediente o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales. 200

228 No 反对

F8
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS’ FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 237
NO 239

202 ATTORNEYS’ CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 246
NO 248

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

YES 253
NO 255
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237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS, PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS, PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS, LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permite respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres mil millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

201

202

203

1996年公立教育設施増建法案。這三十億美元（$3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改進和建設加州公立學校，社區學院和州立大學中的教室，圖書館，和其他必要的設施。該資金將用於使現有的教室在未來的地震中更加安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每間教室的人數以及滿足入學人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
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NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES 263
NO 265

B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES 268
NO 270

END OF BALLOT
BALOTA A PARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses? 市政府是否使用租用信贷来扩建马斯康尼会展中心，如果租用信贷负债总额不得超过157.5百万美元？

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin? 應否修改一些市法例以便在中國盆地(China Basin)興建一個球場？

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,
or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

******************************************************************************

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

******************************************************************************
Candidate for Superior Court Judge, Office #7

LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tsenin

KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-temp in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Ron Albers

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlotta delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper**

- Office Paper
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Paper Bags & Packaging
- Telephone Books

**Recuerde Reciclar Este Folleto!**

Después de que haya terminado con este folleto, recicléelo con su otro papel. Y recuerde que hay docenas de artículos que pueden ser reciclados en los programas a domicilio y apartamentos en San Francisco.

**Containers**

- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Plastic Bottles
- Glass Jars & Bottles

**陶器及盒**

- 鋁箔紙及盒
- 玻璃瓶、樽

**Recipientes**

- Papel de Oficina
- Revistas y Catálogos
- Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaquear
- Directorios Telefónicos

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed-free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:

NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft.. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:

San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an "Economic Imperative"

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built.

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco's economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City's Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City's economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City's convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City's debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City's favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the
cettion and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two
decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its
construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's
top conventions, exhibits, and shows.
In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North.
Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built,
hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and
thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City
prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet
the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San
Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth
of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently
have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave
San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco
cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.
The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of
room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total
economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation
bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.
We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is "Good for San Francisco"
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects
proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for
San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.
Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
It will create badly needed construction jobs;
It will create 2,000 new permanent jobs;
It will boost the City's economy and related industries;
It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.
The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you
to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in
their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease
Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansi-
on, is a prudent investment in San Francisco's economic future.
After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that
the Moscone Center is well within the City's current pru-
dent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this
self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding addi-
tional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.
There is no better use for bond funds than for economic develop-
ment. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions,
tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.
The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco's eco-

ome health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Propo-

A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nottenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for publication fee of this argument was San
Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services
for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that
increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our
city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax
revenues for our City's budget. An expanded general fund will help
pay for San Francisco's public safety. We need to ensure that San
Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers,
travelers and conventioneers.
Join in us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFS Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San
Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.
The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.
Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.
Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
María Martínez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Cotthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanne Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.
First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.
Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.
Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.
Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.
We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gibbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghe, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONЕ EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will futher this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCON EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
**Conventional Center Bonds**

**PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A**

**Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A**

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination. Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

**Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans.** The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

---

**Supervisor Barbara Kaufman**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A**

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A**

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

*Gina Moscone*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A**

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

*Russ Campbell*, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
*Jennifer Pitts*, Wound About, Pier 39
*Lee Ann Baldwin*, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
*Louis Meunier*, Executive Vice President, Mechandising, Macys California
*Mark Brashear*, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
*Walter Pong*, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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**PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A**

**SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCON EX PANSION**

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco. Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses. Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

*Alessandro Barcarí, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association*

*Mark Leno, Small Business Owner*

*Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce*

*Elaine Sosa, Owner, JavaWalk*

*Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions*

*Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.*

*Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International*

*Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts*

*Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events*

*Trevor Halley, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A**

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

*Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party*

*Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A**

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

*Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco*
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCON EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shop-keepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Yat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONET CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONIE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

*Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.*
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCON EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar nonprofit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area. □

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin. Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
• A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
• A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
• A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
• A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
• The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
• The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alloto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

“Privately Built”? DON’T BE FooLED. Taxpayers could pay for:
Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).
“Architectural Wonder”? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.
“Economic Boost”? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.
China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.
“Accessible and Convenient”? Proposition B states, “... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.
BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.
Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.” This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.
READ THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:
• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick's 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won't work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:
• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this "private" stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don't give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.
San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.
San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it's time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco's financial burden, making a contribution to the City's general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still "the City that knows how."

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for on an existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won't spend any money on the ballpark's construction, something that hasn't happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco's taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

61
PAYED ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors

Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee

Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Millton Funches, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askitah Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymore, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarianth Robinson, Jr.
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmett Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demoario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambbiee H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikstern, Emil Sairarisky,
Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shokhlet, Elizabet Goldstein,
Josef Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmilla Yusin

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join with us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leilie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burnis, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Florucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rossellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco's excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don't cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessy, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallman, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguedo, President, San Francisco Police Officer's Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE’S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappello, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally Devisher, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B
We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrul, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM
Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.
No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
Anna Shimo, Cherie James, Roberta Boomer, Sharon Eberhardt, Sharon Bretz, Eva Royale, Elmy Bermejo, Linda Post, Evelyn White, Alison Louie,
Amanda Nowinski-Gould, Deana Welker, Rebecca Ames,
Marina Boris, Shannon Wilson, Juliette Lopez, Shelah Moody, Dana Soares, Toni Gill, Johnnie L. Rollins, Joyce Aldana,
Rita H. Myers, Verna Vinson, Janet McCoy, Marcia Brown,
Stephanie D. Feiring, Diana Flores, Alice Fialkin,
Marie Hollins, Karen Huggins, Beverly F. Stanberry,
Mary Hall Terry, Mary Y. Jung, Patricia Probasco,
Kathryn Gordoix, Lisa Ann Washington, Delores Banks,
Julie Anderson, Markita D. Cooper, Vida Edwards, Ann Kelley,
Karen Lewis, Alice Drake, Denise J. Muniz, Lynette Wells,
Vinita Trice, Brenda Sapp Megginson, Catherine A. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn't publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City's general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lattice toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who's always tried to protect the taxpayer's pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote "yes" on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM
Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Amburn, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piediscalzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architect who created neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS
San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricionist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Candlestick Employees United for a New Ballpark

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Pritti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco.
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges A YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was the Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:
- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?
- Why don't citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
- When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
- PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco's waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.
- STOP exploiting our waterfront!
- Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco."

Don't bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors — including 'private funding'. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younthee Paik
John Berry  Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costelloe  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costelloe  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz  Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE

PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and
County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new
ballpark be constructed, developed and operated
at China Basin consistent with the following
principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construc-
tion not leave the City and County with any
general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the
ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of
the area generally bounded by King Street, Sec-
ond Street (inclusive of the right of way), China
Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate
thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into
a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for
the China Basin site that provides that the Port of
San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership
of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that develop-
ment of the ballpark meets and satisfies the
public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable
to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended
by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA
BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast
China Basin Special Use District,' the bounda-
ries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is
hereby established for the purposes set forth be-
low. The following provisions shall apply within
the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the
development of an open-air ballpark for major
league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats
with related commercial uses, including, but not
limited to, general office, shops and other retail,
restaurants, live music performances and other
forms of live entertainment, in a setting of water-
front public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San
Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all
ages to a place of public assembly and recreation
adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to
downtown and within walking distance of many
thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and resi-
dents; (C) will be conveniently served by public
transportation, including an extension of Muni Metro
service from Market Street to the ballpark and
beyond, with convenient transfer from and to
BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain serv-
ice from the Peninsula to a station near the site,
and potential ferry service from various north and
east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be
conveniently served by the broad South of Market
street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street
boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access
to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have
access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking
spaces near the ballpark during the first five years,
with possible renewal options; (F) will have con-
venient access to a sizable pool of existing and
proposed on and off-street parking which can be
made available in the evening and on weekends;
and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is
attractively designed and will be a visual asset to
the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin
Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use
district established by Section 201 of this Code
shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2)
through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark
with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000,
assembly and entertainment uses under Section
221 of this Code, with associated parking, and
various uses accessory to or related to ballpark
and assembly and entertainment uses, including
sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall
all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit
anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in
the proposed location, in recognition of the large
supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which
may be made available for ballpark use in the
evening and on weekends, and in recognition of
the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site
parking spaces near the ballpark during the first
five years of the ballpark's operations, there shall
be no minimum requirement for off-street parking
spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast
China Basin Special Use District. This provision
supersedes the parking requirements set forth in
Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permit-
ted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the
prominence of the location and vital importance
of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above,
such uses shall be subject to conditional use
review and approval by the City Planning Com-
misions. A conditional use may be authorized by
the City Planning Commission if the facts pre-
sented are such to establish that the architectural
design of the structure is appropriate for its in-
tended use, location and civic purpose. This cri-
teron shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in
Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby
amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact
the following change in the height and bulk clas-
sification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by
King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right
of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street,
as shown on the map attached hereto.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height and Bulk Distric</th>
<th>Height and Bulk Distric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-X</td>
<td>130-X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended
by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to

enact the following exemption from height limits
otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

“(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use
District, light standards for the purpose of light-
ing the ballpark.”

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby
amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt
the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by
King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right
of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street,
as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

District to be
Superseded

Use

District Herby
Approved

The Northeast
China Basin
Special Use
District

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-
Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding
the following sentence as the last sentence thereto:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any
new development within the Northeast China
Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Water-
front Land Use is hereby amended by adding the
following subparagraph thereto:

"...within the Northeast China Basin Special
Use District, any use that is permitted as a condi-
tional use under Section 249.14 of the Plan-
ning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that
promptly following the effective date of this
ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco,
through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning
Commission and other appropriate officials,
boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant
plans and codes in a manner consistent with this
ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for confirming amend-
ments to all applicable state and regional plans
and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be
amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall
not require the vote of the electors of the City and
County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any ap-
lication thereof to any person or circumstance,
is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any
provision or application of this ordinance that
can be given effect without the invalid provision
or application. To this end, the provisions of this
ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior Court Judge - Name</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Props | YES | NO |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

________________________

________________________

________________________

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9642

Peace & Freedom
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
12th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2300's, 2400's,
2500's, 2600's, 2800's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is ________________________________ San Francisco, CA 941________

Check One: [ ] Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. [ ] Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City State Zip Code

[ ] I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

[ ] I apply to be a PERMANENT-absentee voter; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: [ ] Spanish, [ ] Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Date Signed
Day Time Phone
Night Time Phone

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

PULLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: □ □ / □ □ / □ □
(MONTH) (DAY) (YEAR)

Print your FIRST NAME

MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

ZIP CODE

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

ZIP CODE

DAY Phone: ( □ □ □ □ □ □ ) □ □ □ □ - □ □ □ □ □ □

EVE Phone: ( □ □ □ □ □ □ ) □ □ □ □ - □ □ □ □ □ □

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: □ YES □ NO
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Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

____ Lost use of one or more limbs;
____ Lost use of both hands;
____ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
____ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
____ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
____ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
Nicholas DeLuca, Committee Chair
National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Brinton
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences,
Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, Ex officio
Deputy City Attorney

The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS
Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.
Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.
Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q Wong, Registrar of Voters.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail) .......................................................... 14-50
2. the location of your polling place; .......................................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mall) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; .......................................................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ........................................................................... 9
5. information for disabled voters; .......................................................... 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; .............. 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller’s Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .......................................................... 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ........................................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. .................. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only "qualified" write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   • Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
     OR
   • Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District
3rd State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

8th Congressional District
12th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.
   
   If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

*Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.*

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!

Call 730-CURB
SAN FRANCISCO CURBSIDE RECYCLING
**HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER**

**SPECIAL NOTE:**
**IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.**

**Note:** Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

**STEP 1**

**USING BOTH HANDS**
**INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.**

**Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del “Votomatic.”**

**第一步**
请双手将选票向自动机内完整选票插入。

**STEP 2**

**BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.**

**Posos 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coincidan con las dos cabecitas rojas.**

**第二步**
请确保将选票插入时，票尾之二孔，契合於二红点之上。

**STEP 3**

**HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.**

**Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.**

**第三步**
请把票孔之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

**第四步**
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線折起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

和平自由黨選票

選民須知

如要表達你對提名總理候選人的優先選擇，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投票選一部分僅屬表達意見性質。出席全國大會的代表將在選票的選舉代表部分選出。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名候選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形選票的空白上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向選舉委員求教。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。

如果選票有明顯污痕或擦掉痕迹，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕破了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO POR LA PAZ Y LA LIBERTAD

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES

Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para ese propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación lo ayuda.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "Sí" o "No" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anulará la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o al romper y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE
LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
### SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>總統優先選舉權</th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRESIDENTIAL PREFERENCE</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONICA MOOREHEAD</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARY CAL HOLLIS</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERALD HORNE</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAN TUCKER</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DELEGATES TO THE NATIONAL CONVENTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>全國大會代表</th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DELEGADOS PARA LA CONVENCION NACIONAL</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO DELEGATION HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>美國眾議員 - 第8區</th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS, DISTRITO 8</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

STATE SENATOR — 3rd District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO

STATE SENATOR — 3rd District

2

SPECIAL ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Vacancy in Current Term)
ELECCION ESPECIAL
DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (ACTUALMENTE VACANTE)
特別選挙
第13衆議区（現任有空職）

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13
加州衆議員－第13區

CAROLE MIGDEN
San Francisco County Supervisor
Supervisor del Condado de San Francisco 三藩市縣參議員

REGULAR ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Term from 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)
ELECCION REGULAR
DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (PARA SERVIR DEL 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)
定期選挙
第13衆議区（任期自1996年12月3日起至1998年12月2日止）

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO

2-43
## SAMPLE BALLOT
### CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 13</th>
<th>County Committee — 13th District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **DAVID CAMPBELL**<br>Office Worker / Trabajador de Oficina / 辦公室職員 | 80 | **Vote for no more than 13**<br><br>**RICHARD BECKER**<br>Political Organizer / Organizador Político / 政治活動組織者 | 81 | **Vote for no more than 13**<br><br>**BRUCE BAGINSKI**<br>Registered Nurse / Enfermero Registrado / 醫護士 | 82 | **Vote for no more than 13**<br><br>**BRENDA SANDBURG**<br>Writer / Editor / Escritora / Editora / 作家 / 編輯 | 83 | **Vote for no more than 13**<br><br>**MICHAEL McCULLOUGH**<br>Student / Estudiante / 學生 | 84 | **Vote for no more than 13**<br><br>**JUDITH McCULLOUGH**<br>Editor / Editora / 編輯 | 85 | **Vote for no more than 13**<br><br>**THOMAS LACEY**<br>Temporary Worker / Trabajador Temporal / 臨時職工 | 86 | **Vote for no more than 13**<br><br>**GLORIA ESTELLA LA RIVA**<br>Union Printer / Impresor Sindical / 工會印刷師 | 87 | **Vote for no more than 13**<br><br>**RON HOLLADAY**<br>Homeless Organizer / Organizador de los Desamparados / 無家者組織人 | 88 | **Vote for no more than 13**<br><br>**RICHARD BECKER**<br>Political Organizer / Organizador Político / 政治活動組織者 | 89 | **Vote for no more than 13**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTY</th>
<th>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION</th>
<th>MARCH 26, 1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO DE LA PAZ Y LA LIBERTAD</td>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY</td>
<td>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION</td>
<td>MARCH 26, 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
## NONPARTISAN BALLOT
### BALOTA APARTIDARIA 無黨派選票

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Vote #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING (Judge, Municipal Court / Juez, Tribunal Municipal)</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>KEVIN McCARthy (Attorney/Law Professor / Abogado/Profesor de Derecho)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. (Incumbent / Titular)</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>RON ALBERS (Trial Attorney / Abogado)</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KAY TSENIN (Attorney Arbitrator Mediator / Abogada Arbitro Mediadora)</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD (Deputy City Attorney / Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado)</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192  SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193  PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194  PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 192
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISÍMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

159 NO

161 SI
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir la nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales del $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

161 NO

168 SI 193
PRESOS, PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA, BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO, LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

168 NO

170 SI

177 SI

179 NO
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

7E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APRATIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 賛成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES, ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un acusado que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

194 SI 賛成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasarán a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反對

192 SI 賛成
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1986 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reajudicarán anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

197 NO 反對

無黨派選票 F7

195

196

202 SI 賛成

204 NO 反對
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198
ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211
NO 213

199
LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218
NO 220

200
NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226
NO 228

BE-0-ALL
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES, PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de arrendadores de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos contratos de arrendamiento, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedido o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

F8 無黨派選票
198
199
200

ALL-O-F8
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

9E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS’ FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

202 ATTORNEYS’ CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agraviio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permite respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden obrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PÚBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  
YES 263  →  NO 265  →

B Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  
YES 268  →  NO 270  →

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

---

263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

---

268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de beisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

---

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for ANIMALS SHOULD BE HUMAN NATURE.

{DON'T YOU THINK?}

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today. 1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street.
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.
The choice is clear.
I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.
I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.
My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.
- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.
- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Kevin M. McCarthy

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS
My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:
JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.
TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.
TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.
TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:

Ron Albers

KAY TSENIN
My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:
Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce these burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

35
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

MATTHEW ROTHCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:
CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward
JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin, John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell, John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew, Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein
FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William Mcgovern, Joseph Russoniello
FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith
POLICE CHIEF: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Berman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy, Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng
SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson, Dan Kelly, Jill Wynn, Leland Yee
COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo, Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong
FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron, Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach
Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz, Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue, Douglas Shorestein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch, Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper
  - 辦公室及其他用紙
  - Papel de Oficina
- Magazines & Catalogs
  - 雜誌及目錄冊
  - Revistas y Catálogos
- Paper Bags & Packaging
  - 紙袋及包裝紙
  - Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaque
- Telephone Books
  - 電話簿
  - Directorios Teléfonicos

- Newspapers
  - 報紙
  - Periódicos
- Junk Mail
  - 廣告郵件
  - Correspondencia Publicitaria
- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes
  - 輕類及其他乾食品盒
  - Cajones de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Secos
- Flattened Cardboard
  - 壓扁的紙皮盒
  - Cartón Aplanado

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
  - 鋁箔紙及盒
  - Botes de Acero/Estaño
- Plastic Bottles
  - 及塑膠瓶
  - Botellas de Plástico
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
  - 玻璃瓶、樽
  - Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio
  - Papel de Aluminio
  - y Botes

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City's Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent's Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent's Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent's Argument" and the "Opponent's Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent's Argument"

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.

2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.

3. The Mayor.


5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent's Argument"

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.

2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.

3. The Mayor.


5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent's Argument" or an "Opponent's Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent's Arguments" and "Opponent's Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent's and opponent's arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 5%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  

YES  ➡

NO  ➡

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller’s Statement on “A”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.
PROponent’s argument in favor of proposition a

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children’s programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

Rebuttal to Proponent’s Argument in favor of Proposition A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let’s work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment. This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals. VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an "Economic Imperative"

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE “YES” FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES
Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!
And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!
We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.
But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.
The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.
Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gillilan
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows. In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax have been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.
Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City's economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City's current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City's budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco's public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schulte, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City's general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco's economic opportunities. Let's move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zwanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City's economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City's General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribben, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
Jim Rivaldo
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballestreros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hallman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schultman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Sato, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City's economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world's favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco's economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City's hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City's General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONC EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s taxpayers.

 Supervisor Mabel Teng
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwirl, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxicabs, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Speran, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the City coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center. Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention deleges in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Mechandising, Macky’s California
Mark Brashears, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONIE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allesandro Barcaro, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Java Walk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Crusing’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS
Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCONIE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS
The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Triguito, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunvar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCON CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONEN Convention Center Expansion

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display
Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioners and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioners and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A.

Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca

Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[ MOSCONE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar nonprofit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin? YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
• A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
• A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
• A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
• A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
• The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
• The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller's Statement on "B"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City's Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that "the ballpark be developed privately", it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How "B" Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alicto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
PROPOSENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Robert Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, “... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick's 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won't work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this "private" stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don't give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

---

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it's time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco's financial burden, making a contribution to the City's general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still "the City that knows how."

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schlackman,
Barbara Kolesar, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rears, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leaf, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefitted tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won't spend any money on the ballpark's construction, something that hasn't happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco's taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed—public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers' Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing—not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists—if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B—vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymour, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarthish Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambee H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rofas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco
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ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Hui, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVieschen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti
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TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballestreros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessy, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perina, Probation Officer
Harriet Salarno, Victims Advocate
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UNTIL THERE’S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Ohrensmy, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Geilinax, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
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SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONs FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tom Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McGrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedett, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschall, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public.

Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
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BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasralla, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee
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LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
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HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Leo Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Tolaks Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Brit, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Sue Ann Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambursen, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivalta, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Calbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Chen, Kevin Piedadcali
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As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist
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DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City, Central Park at Eight & Market, Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan, Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia, Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue, Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fitzer, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noemily Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmilma Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as a important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Workr's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed,
Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado,
Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland,
Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City's many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it...we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bello, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinhorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kulberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

PROPOSITION B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superceding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younhee Paik
John Berry  Carol Gradas  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costelloe  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costelloe  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz  Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.
It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:
That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.
It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.
A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purpose
In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that
(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market Street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on- and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls
(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.
(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proper location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-4 of this Code."

Section 4.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding to Section 260 the following new Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property
The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use
District to be
Superseeded
Approved
M-2
District District Hereby
Northeast
China Basin
SPECIAL USE
DISTRICT

Section 7.
(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code."

Section 8.
It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance,

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.
Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.
If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
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I love animals they are our friends.

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee. Text and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It’s as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.
Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly Special</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### County Central Committee - Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Superior Court Judge - Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Seat 7</th>
<th>Seat 11</th>
<th>Municipal Court Judge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

____________________________
____________________________
____________________________

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9643

Peace & Freedom
13th Assembly District
3rd Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
3000's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is ___________________________ San Francisco, CA 941 __________

Check One: ☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Date Signed 96 - - - - - - - -
Day Time Phone - - - - - - - -
Night Time Phone - - - - - - - -

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Prepared by:
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Germaine Q. Wong,
Registrar of Voters

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.

BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: □ □ / □ □ / □ □

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: ( □ □ □ □ □ □ ) □ □ □ □ - □ □ □ □ □ □

EVE Phone: ( □ □ □ □ □ □ ) □ □ □ □ - □ □ □ □ □ □

What language do you speak in addition to English:_______________________________

I have a car: □ YES □ NO
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24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who’ve moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the **wrong polling place** - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

---

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthday and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURiNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

Mail Delivery of Voter Pamphlets

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

Purpose of the Voter Information Pamphlet

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); ................. 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; .............................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ................. back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; .................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; ................................... 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ................................................................. 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ......................... 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ....................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ................................................................. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
- Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
  
  OR

- Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District

8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District

3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

12th Congressional District

8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

**SPECIAL NOTE:**

IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

**Note:** Si hace algun error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

**STEP 1**

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手持票向自動機將整張選票插入。

**STEP 2**

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

**STEP 3**

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perforé con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把票針之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

**STEP 4**

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entregúela en el lugar oficial de votación.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線提起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

LIBERTARIAN PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

自由黨選票
選民須知
如要表達你對提名總統候選人的優先選擇，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投票這一部分役是表達意見性質。出席全國大會的代表將在選票的選舉代表部分選出。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉兩名或兩名以上候選人的，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過要選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格候選人候選人，請在選票卡的長方彎形票尾的空位上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。

如果選票有明顯污損或損壞痕跡，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票損壞了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO LIBERAL
INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco previsto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrado; esto anulará la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT VOTE.
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSORTED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## Libertarían Party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>President</th>
<th>Presidential Preference</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOUGLASS J. OHRMEN</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARRY BROWNE</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRWIN SCHIFF</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICK TOMPKINS</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Delegates to the National Convention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delegation</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO DELEGATION HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## United States Representative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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PARTIDO LIBERAL
ELLECONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY

LIBERTARIAN PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8
STATE SENATOR — 8th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO

THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT
No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12 加州衆議員－第12區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District

THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998
No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998

請選一名
Vote por Uno
Vote for One

這一職位要到1998年才選出

請選一名
Vote por Uno
Vote for One

第12衆議區沒有特別選舉

請選一名
Vote por Uno
Vote for One

没有候選人登記
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

3

自由黨
PARTID LIBERAL

聯合參選
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

民主黨
LIBERTARIAN PARTY

3-6-1,2

縣委員會－第12區
COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 12
County Committee — 12th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
No se ha presentado ningún candidato
沒有候選人登記

請選5名
Vote por no más de 5
Vote for no more than 5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>Kevin McCarthy</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Ron Albers</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Matthew Rothschild</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit. YES 159 → NO 161 →

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund. YES 168 → NO 170 →

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect. YES 177 → NO 179 →
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISÍSMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiera la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反對
1996年抗震重建改建债券法案。本法案规定发行二亿四十亿元的债券，为本州的桥梁、公路高架桥和公路交叉枢纽的抗震翻新改建项目提供资金，规定这些资金仅用于抗震翻新改建项目。本法案规定州审计部须进行独立审计，以确保这些资金仅用於已确定的项目，并且规定将审计结果予以公布。

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDE CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de reacuñaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de reacuñaciones de las escuelas serán compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反對
地產估價。豁免。祖孫間資產轉移。立法院的憲法修正案。修正州憲法，規定在符合某些條件的情況下，祖孫之間購買或轉移地產時，無須對其重新估價。財政影響：學校、縣，市，以及特區每年損失大約$100萬的地產稅收入，學校收入的損失將由州政府一般基金彌補。

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esto se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反對
囚犯，合資項目，失業福利，假釋，立法院的動議修正案。規定囚犯在服刑期間在合資項目中工作，該囚犯在出獄後無資格享受失業福利的權利。財政影響：總體財政影響可能很輕微。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.

7E-0-ALL

22
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMOVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulta de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparar desde vehículos en movimiento los asesinatos resultantes de los pirateos de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

195

196 NO 反對

197

202 SI 贊成
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1986 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condicin de maníferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

204 NO 反對

修正1990年加州野生動物保護法案（第117號提案）。山獅。立法院的動議修正案。此法案授權立法院對山獅進行管理以保護加州的居民與資源。取消山獅的特殊受保護哺乳動物資格。財政影響：將從私人環境保護基金中重新撥款，從1996-97至1998-99每年最高撥款額為$250,000，此後每年最高撥款額為$100,000，用於山獅管理。州政府可能每年最多花費$250,000，用於與山獅有關的局安全與公共資訊項目。
### SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

#### 8E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 赞成
ELECCIONES, PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反对

218 SI 赞成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反对

226 SI 赞成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反对

200

200

25
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CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS’ FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 237
NO 239

202 ATTORNEYS’ CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 246
NO 248

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

YES 253
NO 255
SAMPLE BALLOT
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BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反对

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permite respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反对

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equiparlas con reformas y tecnologías de la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反对

201

202

203
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CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?</td>
<td>YES 263</td>
<td>NO 265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?</td>
<td>YES 268</td>
<td>NO 270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

END OF BALLOT
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BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

- 263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agradar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

- 265 NO 反對

- 268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

- 270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. **YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT**, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

**AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.**

**AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.**

**CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,**

or look it up on the **INTERNET at** http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

**DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.**
Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

____________________________________________________________________________________

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

____________________________________________________________________________________
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first-time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Ron Albers

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:

• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeschips are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russioniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, robert wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you’ve finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco’s curbside and apartment recycling programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper • 紙張 • Papel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Office Paper 礙
| Newspaper 報紙 |
| Magazines & Catalogs 雜誌及目錄册 |
| Newspapers 報紙 |
| Paper Bags & Packaging 紙袋及包裝紙 |
| Junk Mail 廣告郵件 |
| Magazines & Catalogs 雜誌及目錄册 |
| Correspondencia Publicitaria 廣告郵件 |
| Telephone Books 電話簿 |
| Cajas de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Cajas |
| Directories Telefonicas Directories Telefonicas |
| Flattened Cardboard 壓扁的紙皮盒 |
| 含 |
| Cartón Aplanado |

¡Recuerde Reciclar Este Folleto!

Después de que haya terminado con este folleto, reciclolo con su otro papel. Y recuerde que hay doce artículos que pueden ser reciclados en los programas a domicilio y apartamentos en San Francisco.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Containers • 紙盒及盒 • Recipientes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin/Steel Cans 鋁箔紙及盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botes de Acero/Estano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Bottles 及塑膠瓶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botes de Plástico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Cans &amp; Pot 銅 / 鍋蓋</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papel de Aluminio y Botes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Jars &amp; Bottles 玻璃瓶、樽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City's Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent's Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent's Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent's Argument" and the "Opponent's Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent's Argument"

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent's Argument"

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent's Argument" or an "Opponent's Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent's Arguments" and "Opponent's Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent's and opponent's arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES    NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.
San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center; the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the Board in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

---

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:
San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit
if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those
under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung
Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail.
In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit
rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will
significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.
The city must develop and implement a long-term capital im-
provement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infra-
structure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through
general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must
carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expan-
sion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighbor-
hood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans
have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been
studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review
before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.
This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate
Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main
Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for
its project. Why so much?
This bond request is too large to be approved with so little
information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the
surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.
VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic
Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth,
it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest
capacity.
The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone
Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It
has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhib-
its. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American
cities in exhibit space size.
In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for world-
wide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to
occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an
economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great.
Specifically:
• immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200
  million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tour-
ists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and
Cultural funds and other needed services.
I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on
Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs; trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzaola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibitors, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion Is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco's economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City's current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco's economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City's economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City's budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco's public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioneers.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piedisalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribben, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONES EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelia Pascale, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City's Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City's budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City's budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A's hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City's General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco's health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco's communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let's use the money generated by the Proposition A's Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco's industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margie F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City's "visitor industry." This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City's economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City's merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City's tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A
As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City's restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City's report, direct spending on our City's restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco's restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Numzio Alioto, President, Alioto's Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don't support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco's economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONIE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco's taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers' Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Mechandising, Macy’s California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONIC EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn't cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That's good for local jobs — that's good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcarci, Executive Secretary, Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Jawalk
Rita Barel, Owner, Rita Barel Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin' the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco's property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City's economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City's restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans ... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS
Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco. Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong
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MOSCONIE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS
The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Vian, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accomodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONICENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide inumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONIC CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display
Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
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TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONIE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7 309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area. □

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVately BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will cone on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for:
Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank $ check. Vote no on Proposition B.
San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it's time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.
A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.
The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.
It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.
I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.
Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.
We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schlackman,
Barbara Kolesar, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rears, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Argumente printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gillilan
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!.

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods - particularly minority communities -where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikahtser, Emil Sairarisky, Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shoikhet, Elizabeth Goldstein, Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yasim
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound - our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick - with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can't forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.
You don't need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join with us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city's general fund dollars.
Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting YES on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayaski, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Larry Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwir, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merki, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco's excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballestero, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don't cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer's Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE’S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappieoe, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obramsy, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBEL PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McGrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Maria Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin: It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, JB's Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donoghue, President, Residential Builder's Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg; President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
Anna Shimko, Cherie James, Roberta Boomer, Sharon Eberhardt, Sharon Bretz, Eva Royale, Elmy Bermejo, Linda Post, Evelyn White, Alison Louie,
Amanda Nowinski-Gould, Deana Welker, Rebecca Ames, Marina Boris, Shannon Wilson, Juliette Lopez, Shelah Moody, Dana Soares, Toni Gill, Johnnie L. Rollins, Joyce Aldana, Rita H. Myers, Veria Vinson, Janett McCoy, Marcia Brown, Stephanie D. Feiring, Diana Flores, Alice Fialkin,
Marie Hollins, Karen Huggins, Beverly F. Stanberry,
Mary Hall Terry, Mary Y. Jung, Patricia Probasco,
Kathryn Gordoix, Lisa Ann Washington, Deores Banks,
Julie Anderson, Markita D. Cooper, Vida Edwards, Ann Kelley, Karen Lewis, Alice Drake, Denise J. Muntz, Lynette Wells, Vinita Trice, Brenda Sapp Megginson, Catherine A. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dizzingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roena, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrunn, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Callum, Gary Gielow,
Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kunev, Michael Colbruno,
Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Kaitis, Dean Goodwin,
Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedscalzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new baywalk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luiznita Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Ruben Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifi, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City's many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAllister, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caelo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world-class city needs a world-class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it...we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President; Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fasso
Colleen Mehrany
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn't cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yasuo Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorp, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempodian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Argumenta printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?

Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?

PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!

Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9 of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:

- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

| Alina Bednarz | Cathy Githens | Younhee Paik |
| John Berry    | Carol Granados | Ann Politzer |
| Jean Bolte    | Diane Harwood  | Sara Shoemaker |
| Aidan Costello | Rick Lowe      | William Shoemaker |
| Ann Costello  | Wesley Lowe    | Robert Stone  |
| Nancy Decker  | Garrett Mitchell | Steven Volpe |
| Lynn Getz     | Thor Muller    | Keith Yamashita |

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construction not be paid for by the City and County with any general obligation bonds or debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.[14] to read as follows:

"Section 249.[14] NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the I-101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-street parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such as to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(e)(1)-(4) of this Code.

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classifications:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk

District to be

Superseded

Height and Bulk

District Hereby

Approved

M-2

40-X

150-X

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

District to be

Superseded

Use

District Hereby

Approved

M-2

The Northeast

China Basin

Special Use

District

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph therein:

"(h) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.[14] of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed as follows:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance;
(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

I love animals are our friends.

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today:
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.
Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

- Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Props

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

__________________________________________________
__________________________________________________

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

9651
Ballot Type 9651

Libertarian
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2000's, 2100's,
2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is
San Francisco, CA 941

Check One: Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City State Zip Code

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLs ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: __/__/____

Print your FIRST NAME
Print your MI
Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: (______) _______ - _________

EVE Phone: (______) _______ - _________

What language do you speak in addition to English: 

I have a car: YES  NO
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Si desea recibir una copia de este libro en español, sírvase llamar al 554-4377

如欲索取選民手冊中文本請電: 554-4377

Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

**IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS**

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSOLUTE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER (PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

- Lost use of one or more limbs;
- Lost use of both hands;
- Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
- Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
- Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
- Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
Nicholas DeLuca, Committee Chair
National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Britton
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences,
Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, Ex officio
Deputy City Attorney

The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS
Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Narodeza and Samson W. Wong.

Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q Wong, Registrar of Voters.

Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties, labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); 14-50
2. the location of your polling place; (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; 9
5. information for disabled voters; 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
• Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

OR
• Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

- 12th Assembly District
- 8th State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

- 12th Congressional District
- 8th Congressional District

SAN FRANCISCO BAY

PACIFIC OCEAN

GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE

ALCATRAZ
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Nota: Si hace algun error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

STEPS

STEP 1
USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手持票向自動機将整張選票插入。

STEP 2
BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请确保将选票插入时，票孔之二孔，对应於二紅點之上。

STEP 3
HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con el la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把鮮鋸之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 4
After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entréguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監選員。
LIBERTARIAN PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.
All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

自由黨選票
選民須知

如欲表達你對提名總統候選人的優先選擇，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投票這一部分僅屬表達意見性質，出席全國大會的代表將在選票的選舉代表部分選出。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如要選舉超過兩名競選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過要選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上書上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的 "YES" (贊成) 或 "NO" (反對) 打孔。

如果選票有污染痕或擦掉痕跡，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票損壞了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO LIBERAL

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anularía la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o el rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING, GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>President of the United States</th>
<th>Presidential Preference</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOUGLASS J. OHMEN</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARRY BROWNE</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRWIN SCHIFF</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICK TOMPKINS</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delegates to the National Convention</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO DELEGATION HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>United States Representative</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTOPHER V. A. SCHMIDT</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Programmer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programador de Computadoras</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>電腦程序員</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>自由聯盟</th>
<th>PARTIDO LIBERAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>省議員, 第八選區</td>
<td>SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STATE SENATOR — 8th District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>一九九六年三月二十六日</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998**

No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998

這一職位要到1998年才選出

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>成員, 第12選區</th>
<th>MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>加州議員—第12選區</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>一九九六年三月二十六日</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED**

NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO

沒有候選人登記
### SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO LIBERAL</th>
<th>COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td>County Committee — 12th District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIBERTARIAN PARTY</th>
<th>COMITE DEL CONDADO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY COMMITTEE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>縣委員會—第12區</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Committee — 12th District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>請選5名</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote por no más de 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for no more than 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED**

No se ha presentado ningun candidato

沒有候選人登記
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
BALOTA APARTIDARIA

高級法院法官，第七庭
JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7
Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7

LILLIAN K. SING
Judge, Municipal Court
Juez, Tribunal Municipal

135

高級法院法官，第十一庭
JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 11
Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11

KEVIN McCARTHY
Attorney/Law Professor
Abogado/Profesor de Derecho

141

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.
Incumbent
Titular

143

地方法院法官，第一庭
JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1
Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1

RON ALBERS
Trial Attorney
Abogado

149

KAY TSENIN
Attorney Arbitrator Mediator
Abogada Arbitro Mediadora

151

MATTHEW ROTHCHILD
Deputy City Attorney
Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado

153
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emision de bonos de dos mil millones de dolares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反对

192
193

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos escolares de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反对

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS, PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反对

194
195  PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196  PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197  AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES, ASESINATO DE UN JURADO, ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO, ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反對

202 SI 贊成
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117), Pumas, ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y el recurso de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitat desde los años 1996 - 97 hasta 1998 - 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

204 NO 反對

195 無黨派選票
CASTIGO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES, ASESINATO DE UN JURADO, ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

196 無黨派選票
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO, ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

197 無黨派選票
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117), Pumas, ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y el recurso de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitat desde los años 1996 - 97 hasta 1998 - 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

修正1990年加州野生動物保護法案。(第117號提案)，山獅，立法院的動議修正案。該法案授權立法院對山獅進行管理以保護加州的居民與資源。取消山獅的特殊保護哺乳動物資格。財政影響：從信託基金中重新撥款，從1996-97到1998-99每年最高撥款額為$250,000，此后每年最高撥款額為$100,000，用於山獅管理。州政府可能每年最多花費$250,000，用於與山獅有關的安全與公共資訊項目。
### SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

---

#### 198

**ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

- **YES 211**
- **NO 213**

---

#### 199

**LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

- **YES 218**
- **NO 220**

---

#### 200

**NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

- **YES 226**
- **NO 228**
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

F8
無黨派選票
198

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos, impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

199

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajo ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

200

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

ALL-6-F8
ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

PUBLIC EDUCACIÓN FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS.ley DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. ley DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan de la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1995. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología Informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

1996年公立教育設施債券法案。這三十億美元（$3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改進和建設加州公立學校、社區學院和州立大學中的教室、圖書館、和其它必需的設施。該資金將用於使現在的教室在未來的地震中更為安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及滿足入學人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。

ALL-D-F9

27
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996 •
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  YES 263 — NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  YES 268 — NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA
投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. **YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT**, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

**DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.**
RESPECT for ANIMALS SHOULD BE HUMAN NATURE.

{DON'T YOU THINK?}
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
Candidate for Superior Court Judge, Office #7

LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russioniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Koubal
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Ron Albers

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCILHD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein
FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith
POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng
SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong
FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach
Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorestein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

Paper • 紙張 • Papel

Office Paper
新聞紙
Papel de Oficina

Newsletters & Catalogs
科學期刊及目錄
Revistas y Catálogos

Telephone Books
電話簿
Directorios Teléfonos

Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes

Tin/Steel Cans
鋁箔紙及盒
Botes de Acero/Estaño

Aluminum Cans & Foil
鋁箔紙及盒
Papel de Aluminio y Botes

Plastic Bottles
塑膠瓶
Botellas de Plástico

Glass Jars & Bottles
玻璃瓶、樽
Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent’s" and "Opponent’s" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent’s Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent’s Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent’s Argument" and "Opponent’s Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent’s Argument" and the "Opponent’s Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

**"Proponent’s Argument"**

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

**"Opponent’s Argument"**

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent’s Argument" or an "Opponent’s Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent’s Argument" and "Opponent’s Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent’s Arguments" and "Opponent’s Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller’s Statement on “A”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.
The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOSENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children’s programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one. Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:
San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an "Economic Imperative"

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshows market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Officer estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nottenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schulder, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Cotthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifi
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
Jim Rivaldo
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Sato, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City's economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world's favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco's economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City's hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City's General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation

Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City's Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City's budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City's budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A's hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City's General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco's health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco's communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let's use the money generated by the Proposition A's Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco's industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City's "visitor industry." This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City's economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City's merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City's tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Hag Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT
MOSCONIE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the forefront of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Mechanising, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONIE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn't cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy. More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That's good for local jobs — that's good for San Francisco. Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcarla, Executive Secretary, Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Stills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Flüellen, Owner, Iris J. Flüellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin' the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco's property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City's economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City's restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCON EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shop-keepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beene, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONET CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about Jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930’s and 1940’s. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it’s mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510’s Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display
Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without Increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
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TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCON EXPANSION BONDS] SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover; put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES
NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller's Statement on "B"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City's Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that "the ballpark be developed privately", it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How "B" Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
**PROPOSIION'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B**

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

**PRIVATELY BUILT.** San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

**AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER.** The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston's Fenway Park and Chicago's Wrigley Field. It'll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

**AN ECONOMIC BOOST.** The ballpark will improve the City's economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

**ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT.** China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

**IT'S TIME.** It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let's do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark

Senator Quentin L. Kopp

Robert Achtenberg

Rev. Cecil Williams

---

**REBUTTAL TO PROPONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B**

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOLLED. Taxpayers could pay for:

- Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).
- "Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.
- "Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick's 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won't work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:
- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this "private" stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don't give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it's time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco's financial burden, making a contribution to the City's general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still "the City that knows how."

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT
OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A
DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schrackman,
Barbara Kolesar, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rears, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark; removed from the hurried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazolla
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods—particularly minority communities—where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Saifarisky, Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shoikhet, Elizabet Goldstein, Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmilla Yusin

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join with us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting YES on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposal B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwir, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesels, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposal B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco's excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposal B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer's Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the past two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team's dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gellinas, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City's young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonna Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrorey, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Fiadra, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Phillip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SOUTHBAY BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A
CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street: Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Castro. But not every neighborhood has a baseball field. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RETAIL OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the retail business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Jerry Nelson, Dennis Herrera, Dennis Edelman, Kathleen E. McCrady, Suzanne B. Dingmar, Tina Carroll, Daniel B. Pleasant, Mathew Hartman, Eugene L. Cook, David Rich, Roger L. Hubbard, Scott Petersen, Susan Zeire, Aaron Darsky

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enswor, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn't publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City's general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my latitudine toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who's always tried to protect the taxpayer's pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can always watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world-class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world-class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, ("Until There's a Cure Day"). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrunm, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piescakzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term "sandlot" was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City, Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligita Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noehemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as a important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
F. C. Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City's many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.
Let's play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianiti Fasso
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mat.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Beller, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Ma Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinthorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derek Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadi, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?
- Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?

PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40 ft. height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including ‘private funding’. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz
Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller
Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita
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TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE

PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;

That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;

That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitles the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 43,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such that to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(14) of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk

District to be

District Hereby Approved

Height and Bulk

40-X

150-X

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

District to be

Use

District Hereby Approved

M-2

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"It, within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance;

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today. 1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

◆ Finding out what's on the ballot.
◆ Looking up the polling place for your address.
◆ Campaign contributions
◆ Campaign expenditures
◆ Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
**Voter's Quick Reference Card**

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.**

Your return address:

______________________________________________

______________________________________________

9652

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
My residence address is __________________________________________ San Francisco, CA 941__

Check One: ☐ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. ☐ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLs ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.
BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: ___ / ___ / ___

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

AZ ZIP CODE

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

AZ ZIP CODE

DAY Phone: ( ___ ) ___ ___ - ___ ___ ___ ___

EVE Phone: ( ___ ) ___ ___ - ___ ___ ___ ___

What language do you speak in addition to English: _____________________________

I have a car: ☐ YES ☐ NO
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Information about this election, including election night results,  
may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the **wrong polling place** - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER (PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may "fax" your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

"Cleaning" your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
Nicholas DeLuca, Committee Chair
National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Brinton
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences,
Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, Ex officio
Deputy City Attorney

The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS

Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.

Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q Wong, Registrar of Voters.

Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties, labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; .................................................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ......................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ................................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; .............................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ................................................................. 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the
   Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ........................................ 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ................................. 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ......... inside back cover
Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
  • Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
    OR
      • Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

12th Assembly District

8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District

3rd State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

12th Congressional District

8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!

Call 310-CURB
SAN FRANCISCO CURBSIDE RECYCLING
**SPECIAL NOTE:**
If you make a mistake, return your card and get another.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

**STEP 1**
**USING BOTH HANDS**
Insert the ballot card all the way into the Votomatic.

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手持票向自动機将整張選票插入。

**STEP 2**
Be sure the two slots in the stub of your card fit down over the two red pins.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，應合於二紅點之上。

**STEP 3**
Hold punch vertical (straight up). Punch straight down through the ballot card to indicate your choice. Do not use pen or pencil.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把帶釘之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

**STEP 4**
After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, dobla la boleta a lo largo de las perforaciones y entréguela en el lugar oficial de votación.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出後，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

LIBERTARIAN PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

自由党選票

選民須知

如表示你對提名總統候選人的優先選擇，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投
票選舉候選人上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果選舉超過
兩名候選人一職職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但不超過選舉
選舉的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空位上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明
之處，請向監票員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。

如果你投票處於，或者選票撕破，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO LIBERAL

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES

Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "Sí" o "No" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca o borrador; esto anularía la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o el rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE.

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
# SAMPLE BALLOT
## CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### LIBERTARIAN PARTY
#### UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE
##### MARCH 26, 1996

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>總統優先選舉權</th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PREFERENCIA PRESIDENCIAL</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidential Preference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARRY BROWNE</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRWIN SCHIFF</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICK TOMPKINS</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOUGLASS J. OHMEN</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NO DELEGATION HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION

### 各國大會代表
DELEGADOS PARA LA CONVENCION NACIONAL

### NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO
### SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

| 2 | 自由黨
自由黨

| 26 DE MARZO DE 1996 | ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS |

| PARTIDO LIBERAL | ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS |

| 26 DE MARZO DE 1996 | ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS |

| LIBERTARIAN PARTY | CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION MARCH 26, 1996 |

---

**STATE SENATOR**

| 州參議員，第三區 |

| SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 3 |

| STATE SENATOR — 3rd District |

| DONALD E. HARTE |

| Doctor Of Chiropractic |

| Doctor de Quiropráctica 按摩脊柱醫師 |

| 55 |

---

**SPECIAL ELECTION**

**13th Assembly District (Vacancy in Current Term)**

**ELECCION ESPECIAL**

**DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (ACTUALMENTE VACANTE)**

| 特別選舉 |

| 加州眾議員－第13區 |

| MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州眾議員－第13區 |

| MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District |

| CAROLE MIGDEN |

| San Francisco County Supervisor |

| Supervisor del Condado de San Francisco 三藩市縣參議員 |

| DEMOCRATIC |

| 民主黨 |

| DEMOCRATA 66 |

---

**REGULAR ELECTION**

**13th Assembly District (Term from 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)**

**ELECCION REGULAR**

**DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (PARA SERVIR DEL 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)**

| 定期選舉 |

| 加州眾議員－第13區 |

| MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州眾議員－第13區 |

| MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District |

| NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED |

| NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO 沒有候選人登記 |

| 16 |
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

县委员会 — 第13区
COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 13
County Committee — 13th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
No se ha presentado ningun candidato

没有候选人登记
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO LIBERAL</th>
<th>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO DE LA LIBERTAD</td>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO REVOLUCIONARIO DE ELECTOR</td>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK**

Esta página queda en blanco

在本頁留空
## SAMPLE BALLOT
### CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### NONPARTISAN BALLOT
#### BALOTA APARTIDARIA  無黨派選票

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5 | JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFicina Numero 7  
Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7 | LILLIAN K. SING  
Judge, Municipal Court  
Juez, Tribunal Municipal  
地方法庭法官 | 135 |
| 5 | JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFicina Numero 11  
Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11 | KEVIN McCARTHY  
Attorney/Law Professor  
Abogado/Profesor de Derecho  
律師／法律教授 | 141 |
| 5 | JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFicina Numero 1  
Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1 | DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.  
Incumbent  
Titular  
現任者 | 143 |
| 5 | JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFicina Numero 1  
Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1 | RON ALBERS  
Trial Attorney  
Abogado  
審計律師 | 149 |
| 5 |  | KAY TSENIN  
Attorney Arbitrator Mediator  
Abogada Arbitro Mediadora  
律師／仲介人／調停人 | 151 |
| 5 |  | MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD  
Deputy City Attorney  
Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado  
副市律師 | 153 |
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

192

TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

193

PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.
195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIRATEO DE AUTOMOVILES, ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasarán a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反對

197 NO 反對
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVIOSTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudicación anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats de los años 1996 - 97 hasta 1996 - 98, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

F7

無黨派選票

舊金山市、縣 聯合初選 一九九六年三月二十六日
提交選民投票表決的州提案

誤解，特殊情況，劫持車輛，謀殺陪審員。
立法府的動議修正案。對被告犯有一級謀
殺罪的被告適用於死刑或者不得假釋的無
期徒刑時所須具備之特殊情況的清單中，
增加上述各項，即在劫持車輛過程中發生
的謀殺，通過劫持車輛進行謀殺而導致的
謀殺，以及故意殺害陪審員。如果該提案與
第195號提案均被通過，則從車上向人開
槍，劫持車輛以及謀殺陪審員將成為特殊
情況。財政影響：州政府開支可能略少些。

對謀殺罪的懲罰。特殊情況，從車上向人開
槍。立法府的動議修正案。在對被告犯有一
級謀殺罪的被告適用於死刑或者不得假釋的
無期徒刑時所須具備之特殊情況的清單中，
增加從車上向人開槍一項。如果該提案與
第195號提案均被通過，則從車上向人開
槍，劫持車輛導致的謀殺以及謀殺陪審員
將成為特殊情況。財政影響：州政府負債數
額不詳的開支，就長期而言，有可能每年達
數百萬元。

197

修正1990年加州野生動物保護條例（第
117號提案）：山獅。立法府的動議修正案。
該提案授權立法府對山獅進行管理以保護
加州的居民與資源。取消山獅的特殊保護
哺乳動物資格。財政影響：從環境保護基金
中重新撥款，從1996-97到1998-99年
每年最高撥款額為$250,000，此後每年最
高撥款額為$100,000，用於山獅管理。州政
府可能每年最多花費$250,000，用於保護物種
的安全與公共資訊項目。
## SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS ESTATUALES

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahora desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado al sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

200

ALL-0-F8

25
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

202 ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

237 SI 贷成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUICIDADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar a la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反对

246 SI 贷成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反对

253 SI 贷成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarán a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反对

201

202

203

1996年公立教育设施债券法案。这三十亿美元（$3,000,000,000）的学校建设债券将有助于改善和建设加州公立学校，社区学院和州立大学中的教室、图书馆和其它必需的设施。该资金将用于使现有的教室在未来的地震中更安全，为教室装备21世纪的技术，减少每个班级的人数以及满足入学人数的增长。该债券只能用于经批准的学校建设项目。
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**End of Ballot**
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 赞成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反对

268 SI 赞成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反对

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for ANIMALS SHOULD BE HUMAN NATURE.

{DON'T YOU THINK?}

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee. Photos and Artwork composed by Masterype Repro Services.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46

My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tsenin

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator

My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
- Honors — Georgetown University
- Hastings Law School
- Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
- Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
- Former Social Services Commissioner
- Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
- Past President, Alice B. Toklas
- Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
- Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:
CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSIONAL Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns; Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblenz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorensten, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Newspapers
- Paper Bags & Packaging
- Telephone Books
- Containers

**¡Recuerde Reciclar Este Folleto!**

Después de que haya terminado con este folleto, reciclelo con su otro papel. Y recuerde que hay doce artículos que pueden ser reciclados en los programas a domicilio y apartamentos en San Francisco.

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Plastic Bottles
- Glass Jars & Bottles

San Francisco Recycling Program
A Program of the City and County of San Francisco

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent’s" and "Opponent’s" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent’s Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent’s Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent’s Argument" and "Opponent’s Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent’s Argument" and the "Opponent’s Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent’s Argument"
1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent’s Argument"
1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent’s Argument" or an "Opponent’s Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent’s Argument" and "Opponent’s Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent’s Arguments" and "Opponent’s Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. This March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES ➡️
NO ➡️

DIGEST
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT ON “A”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

HOW SUPERVISORS VOTED ON “A”
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PROPOSEN'TS ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSEN'TS ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City's convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City's debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City's favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
- It will create badly needed construction jobs;
- It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
- It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
- It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCaieon, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schulz, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFB Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanne Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the arts and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Sympony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediacolzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbin, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Jim Rivaldo
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City's general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

 Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONÉ EXPANSION
Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pasqual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center
As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

 Supervisor Tom Hsieh
 Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A
Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.
Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.
Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Marge F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A
San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revivé our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT
MOSCONE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our "visitor industry" related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Mechanisng, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Small Business Community Supports Moscone Expansion

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allesandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans...and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCONE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Roselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunner Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONIE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930’s and 1940’s. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it’s mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510’s Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display
Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regrettably urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[moscone expansion bonds]
submitting to the qualified elec-
tors of the city and county of san
francisco a proposition authoriz-
ing the city to enter into lease
financing arrangements obligations not to exceed $157,500,000 for
the acquisition and construction of additional convention center
facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the south of market area.
resolved, that the board of supervisors
acting pursuant to charter section 7.309(a) hereby
submits to the electorate of the city and county
of san francisco the following proposition:
shall the city enter into lease financing ar-
rangements with the city and county of san
francisco finance corporation, or a similar non-
profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of
indebtedness with respect to which shall not ex-
ceed the aggregate principal amount of one hun-
dred fifty seven million five hundred thousand
dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of ac-
quiring and constructing additional convention
center facilities and related equipment, fixtures
and furnishings in the south of market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an
absentee ballot. Just complete the form on the
back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in.
You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller's Statement on "B"
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City's Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that "the ballpark be developed privately", it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How "B" Got on the Ballot
On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPPONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston's Fenway Park and Chicago's Wrigley Field. It'll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City's economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan: Let's do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Robert Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPPONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service. Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

Sun Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ‘96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ‘96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
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SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
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San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change. Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders, Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith, Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymore, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarrishan Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnnie George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd, Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCorden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambree H. Hall
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EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B.
If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco
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ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Transportation Experts Support the New Ballpark

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesres, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor-Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission
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Public Safety Officials for a New Ballpark

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK
All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
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SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.
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PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School
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DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sallly DeVischer, Tonna Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Phillip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
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RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
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BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?
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SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS
SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.
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LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
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POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, JB's Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fioretti, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee
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HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES
PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.
No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donoghue, President, Residential Builder's Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
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Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn't publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City's general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who's always tried to protect the taxpayer's pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote "yes" on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our City increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrunn, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Callum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Kats, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piediscalzi

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Robin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvonski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanne Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it ... we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn't cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinotherpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kulberg, Derek Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadin, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:
Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.
STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”
Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superceding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.
For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younhee Paik
John Berry  Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costelloe  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costelloe  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz  Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and
County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new
ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at
China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construction
not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the
ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the
area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into
a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the
China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of
the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the
public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by
adding Section 249.14[14] to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN
SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast
China Basin Special Use District,' the boundary
lines of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is
hereby established for the purposes set forth below.

The following provisions shall apply within the
Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the
development of an open-air ballpark for major
league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats
with related commercial uses, including, but not
limited to, general office, shops and other retail,
restaurants, live music performances and other
forms of live entertainment, in a setting of water-
front public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San
Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages
to a place of public assembly and recreation
adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to
downtown and within walking distance of many
thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and resi-
dents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit,
including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfers from and to
BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service
from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and
potential ferry service from various north and
east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be
conveniently served by the broad South of Market
street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street
boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access
to the 101 and 1-280 freeways; (E) will have
access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking
spaces near the ballpark during the first five years,
with possible renewal options; (F) will have con-
venient access to a sizable pool of existing and
proposed on and off-street parking which can be
made available in the evening and on weekends;
and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is
attractively designed and will be a visual asset to
the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin
Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use
district established by Section 201 of this Code
shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2)
through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark
with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000,
assembly and entertainment uses under Section
221 of this Code, with associated parking, and
various uses accessory to or related to ballpark
and assembly and entertainment uses, including
sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall
all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit
anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in
the proposed location, in recognition of the large
supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which
are made available for ballpark use in the
evening and on weekends, and in recognition of
the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site
parking spaces near the ballpark during the first
five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall
be no minimum requirement for off-street parking
spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast
China Basin Special Use District. This provision
supersedes the parking requirements set forth in
Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted
uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the
prominence of the location and vital importance
of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above,
such uses shall be subject to conditional use
review and approval by the City Planning Com-
misson. A conditional use may be authorized by
the Planning Commission if the facts pre-
sent are such to establish that the architectural
design of the structure is appropriate for its in-
tended use, location and civic purpose. This cri-
terion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in
Section 303(c)(1)-(4) of this Code.

Section 4. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by
amending the Zoning Map to enact the
following change in the height and bulk class-
ification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by
King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of
way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as
shown on the map attached hereto.

District to be
Height and Bulk

District Hereby

Superseded

40-X

Approved

150-X

Section 5. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by
adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to
enact the following exemption from height limits
otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use
District, light standards for the purpose of light-
ing the ballpark."

Section 6. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Munici-
pal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by
amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the
following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by
King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right
of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street,
as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

Superseded

District to be
District Hereby

Approved

Use

M-2

District

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7. (a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-
Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by add-
ing the following sentence as the last sentence
thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any
new development within the Northeast China
Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront
Land Use is hereby amended by adding the
following subparagraph thereto:

"n. within the Northeast China Basin Special
Use District, any use that is permitted as a con-
ditional use under Section 249.14 of the Plan-
ing Code."

Section 8. It shall be the policy of the People that
promptly following the effective date of this
ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco,
through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning
Commission and other appropriate officials,
boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant
plans and codes in a manner consistent with this
ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amend-
ments to all applicable state and regional plans
and regulations.

Section 9. Any provision of this ordinance may be
amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not
require the vote of the electors of the City and
County of San Francisco.

Section 10. If any provision of this ordinance, or any ap-
plication thereof to any person or circumstance,
is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any
provision or application of this ordinance that
can be given effect without the invalid provision or
application. To this end, the provisions of this
ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
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THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG-LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly Special</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

____________________

____________________

____________________

9653

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type</th>
<th>Libertarian</th>
<th>Precincts Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9653</td>
<td>13th Assembly District 3rd Senate District 8th Congressional</td>
<td>3000's</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POLLING PLACE INFORMATION**

- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

**ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION**

- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

---

**This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996**

My residence address is: San Francisco, CA 941

Check One:  
- [ ] Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.  
- [ ] Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City  
State  
Zip Code

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

[Signature]

Do Not Print

Date Signed

Day Time Phone

Night Time Phone

Your Polling Place Location

[Handicapped Accessible]

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988
3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.

BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: [ ]/ [ ]/ [ ]

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: ( [ ] ) [ ] - [ ]

EVE Phone: ( [ ] ) [ ] - [ ]

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
# TABLE OF CONTENTS
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Si desea recibir una copia de este libro en español, sírvase llamar al 554-4377
如欲索取选民手册中文版請電：554-4377

Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong  
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER (PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting  
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g., illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10. If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

**PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET**

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); ................................................................. 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ................................................................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ................................................................. back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; .................................................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; .................................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ....................... 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ................................................................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ................................................. 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ........................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar's Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don't know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   • Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

   OR

   • Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District
3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

12th Congressional District
8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
The San Francisco Green Party
- an emerging local alternative

Since organizing in 1990-1991, the San Francisco Green Party has established a solid record of educating voters and providing grass-roots support for progressive campaigns:

1991  Mobilized Greens to help stop the fundamentalist repeal of San Francisco's domestic partner's ordinance.

1992  Provided strong grassroots support for the successful rent control measure Prop H, which eliminated mandatory minimum rent increases and tied rent hikes to the consumer price index.

1992  Worked for the successful campaigns of Board of Education candidates Angie Fa and Steve Phillips.

1993  Spearheaded the effort to qualify Prop X, to eliminate the slush-funds of incumbent politicians, for the ballot; then ran a successful campaign for the initiative and passed this important campaign reform measure.

1995  Green activists have played a major role in the efforts of the Elections Task Force to make important changes to the way we elect the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, including proposals for District Elections and Proportional Representation.

The San Francisco Green Party is a true grass-roots organization: ordinary citizens, not professional politicians are the backbone of the party. Unlike other political parties, we have no paid staff; all of our work is done by volunteers. Nor do we receive corporate contributions. But most important, the Green Party represents an attempt by ordinary people to build a true progressive alternative to the seemingly never-ending cycle of "lesser of two evil" choices presented to us by the two major parties year after year.

The San Francisco Green Party. For more information call 905-4212

Did we mention that we don't receive corporate contributions? That's true, but it doesn't mean we won't accept something from you! Checks should be made out to San Francisco Green Party, and sent to:

P. O. Box 641844
San Francisco, CA 94164-1844

If you would like to receive our newsletter and keep up-to-date with the activities of the San Francisco Green Party, send your check for $10 or more (payable to the SF Green Party Newsletter) to:

P.O. Box 401054
San Francisco, CA 94110
**HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER**

**SPECIAL NOTE:**
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

**STEP 1**

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请将手握票向自动机将整张选票插入。

**STEP 2**

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请确认选票插入时，票尾之二孔，接合於二红点之上。

**STEP 3**

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP), PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfora con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把带针之选票，由小孔内垂直插入打孔投票。

**STEP 4**

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguella en el lugar oficial de votación.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，
沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉監察員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

GREEN PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

绿色选票

選民須知
如要表達你對提名總統候選人的優先選擇，請用工筆打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投票這一部分僅供表達意見性質。出席全國大會的代表將在選票的選舉代表部分選出。

投票選舉選票上列的候選人，請用工筆打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉兩名候選人時，請用工筆打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過要選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空位上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用工筆打孔針在提案旁邊的 "YES"（贊成）或 "NO"（反對）打孔。

如果選票有明顯污損或撕掉痕跡，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票損壞了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO VERDE

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida a un trabajador del lugar de votación la ayuda.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca o borrador; esto anulará la balota.
Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvála al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTY</th>
<th>OFFICE</th>
<th>CANDIDATE</th>
<th>VOTE CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO VERDE</td>
<td>PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES</td>
<td>RALPH NADER</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO VERDE</td>
<td>DELEGATES TO THE NATIONAL CONVENTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO VERDE</td>
<td>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GREEN PARTY</th>
<th>CONDONATED PRIMARY ELECTION</th>
<th>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO VERDE</td>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td>MEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTERAL, DISTRITO 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td>加州議員ー第12區</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8TH DISTRICT</th>
<th>STATE SENATOR</th>
<th>SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998
No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998
這一職位要到1998年才選出

THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT
No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea
第12衆議區沒有特別選舉

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO
沒有候選人登記
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CONSEJO DEL CONDADO
County Council

DAVID FAIRLEY
Statistician / Perito en Estadística / 统计员
80

BARBARA BLONG
Educator / Educadora / 教育工作者
81

MICHAEL MONNOT
Graphics Assistant / Asistente Gráfico / 图形助理
82

BETTY L. TRAYNOR
Editor / Editora / 编辑
83
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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PARTIDO VERDE
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996
—一九九六年三月二十六日

GREEN PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
## SAMPLE BALLOT
### CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### NONPARTISAN BALLOT
### BALOTA APARTIDARIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge, Municipal Court</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge, Tribunal Municipal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>KEVIN McCARTHY</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney/Law Professor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogado/Profesor de Derecho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>RON ALBERS</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial Attorney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogado</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
<td>KAY TSENIN</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogada Arbitro Mediadora</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

PROPERTY APPRAISAL EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antiesísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antiesísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反對

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反對

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPEÑO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反對

192
193
194

F6

ALL-Q-F6
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

7E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195  PUNISHMENT, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, CARJACKING, MURDER OF JUROR, LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196  PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS, LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197  AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117), MOUNTAIN LIONS, LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMOVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales, impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado. 

CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los disparos resultantes del pirateo de vehículos y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Propuesta</th>
<th>Descripción</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>211 SI</td>
<td>ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213 NO</td>
<td>MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218 SI</td>
<td>LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220 NO</td>
<td>MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226 SI</td>
<td>SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención a la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228 NO</td>
<td>SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención a la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F8: 無黨派選票
ALL-O-F8: 25
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201  ATTORNEYS’ FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

202  ATTORNEYS’ CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

203  PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
## SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BALOTA APARTIDARIA</th>
<th>CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO</th>
<th>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Proposition 201

**201 SI 237**

HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes corporativas. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

### Proposition 202

**202 SI 246**

HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permite respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedida. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedida. Impacto fiscal: impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

### Proposition 203

**203 SI 253**

LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

**203 NO 255**

LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

1996年公立教育设施债券案正式公布，该三十亿美元（$3,000,000,000）的学校建设债券将有助于改进和建设加州公立学校，社区学院和州立大学中的教室，图书馆，和其他必需的设施。该资金将用于使现有的教室在未来地震中更安全，使教室装裱21世纪的电脑技术，缩小班级规模的人数以及满足增长的入学人数的增加。该债券只能用于经批准的学校建设项目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES 263
NO 265

B Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES 268
NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Respect for Animals should be Human Nature.

{Don't you think?}

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY
My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:
This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.
The choice is clear.
I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.
I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.
My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.
Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.
First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.
With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.
On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.
My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp
Appellate Justices: Carl Anderson, Robert Dossell, Paul Haerle, Daniel Hanton, Zerne Haning, Donald King, Robert Merrill, William Newsom, Joanne Parrilli, Michael Phelan, Timothy Reardon, William Stein, Gary Strankman, Douglas Swager.
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Kevin M. McCarthy

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46

My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator

My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-temp in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Ron Albers
MATTHEW ROTHSCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
  • Honors — Georgetown University
  • Hastings Law School
  • Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
  • Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
  • Former Social Services Commissioner
  • Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
  • Past President, Alice B. Toklas
  • Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
  • Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph RussoIello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith
POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng
SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota dePortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, robert wallach
Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorestein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Paper Bags & Packaging
- Telephone Books

**Newspapers • 報紙 • Periódicos**
- Junk Mail
- Correspondencia Publicitaria
- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes
- Flattened Cardboard

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Bordeaux & Bottles

**Plastic Bottles • 塑膠瓶 • Botellas de Plástico**

- Glass Jars & Bottles
- Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”
1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”
1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children’s programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 200 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one. Let’s work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow
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OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:

San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

• Immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE “YES” FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.
After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.
There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
Jim Rivaldo
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes
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JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Heilman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghiue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
**PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A**

**S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONC EXPANSION**

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

*Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation*  
*Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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**Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center**

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall. The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center **will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax**. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

**We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.**

*Supervisor Tom Hsieh*  
*Supervisor Barbara Kaufman*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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**Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A**

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff. Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

**Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.**

*Tony Leone, Registered Nurse*  
*Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner*  
*Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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**Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A**

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. **Over 1,650 Jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.”** This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will **not increase our property taxes.** It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

*Supervisor Mabel Teng*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City's restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City's report, direct spending on our City's restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco's restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto's Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don't support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco's economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Jannan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.
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TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONEx EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco's taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers' Association of San Francisco
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related businesses: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
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Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce
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VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone
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RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city’s coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Maey’s California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONIE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco. Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allessandro Barcarli, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Crusing’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour
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No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans ... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco. Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center. Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses. Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors. More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCON EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry supports more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents. These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunner Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1969 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accomodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCON CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONTE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  YES  NO

Diggest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.
The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).
"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.
"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.
China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.
"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.
BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:

• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank $ check. Vote no on Proposition B.
San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.
A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.
Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.
FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schlackman,
Barbara Kolesar, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rees, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won't spend any money on the ballpark's construction, something that hasn't happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco's taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Eliza C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Brzuczone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and a resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Sairariskiy, Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shoikhet, Elizabeth Goldstein, Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yusin

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rajas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Hui, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jon-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax funds will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America. Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety. Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team's dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappello, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obransky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESS & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City's young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRON FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonna Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Mapowaran, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset; 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Edward Romain, Richard LaBue, Laura Vilafore,
Susan L. Escobar, James Payton, Oscar Morales,
Marina George, Scott Gould, Michael Hall, Robert Morales,
James Thomas Talton, Jr., Cliff Flanagan, Matt Mastergeorge,
Patrick O'Connell III, Roderick David, Charlotte Martin,
Anthony Bourne, Edwin A. Williams, Susan Thompson,
Michelle Kuehl, Glenn Lynch, Ron Armstrong, James P. Elrod,
Benny Allen, Kimberly Barrish, Victoria Tillman, Walter Brown,
Mark Espinoza, Anthon Carter, Perry Henderson, David Miller,
Julie Brown, Mark Johnson, Vivian Miles, Robert Mitchell

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?

Louise Bea, Jack Bair, Mike Doyle, David Swope,
Walter Johnson, Rob McLeod, Robert Morales,
Edward J. Petrillo, Barbara Kolesar, Richard Friedman,
Nelson Knoth, M. Andrew Madden, Eddie Marello,
George Abodeely, Brad Seaman, Anthony Criscuda,
John Piotrowski, Don Fisher, Ilene Shaw, Robert J. Mulcrevy,
Joe Peck, Man Cheung, Gary Fowler, Patrick Curry,
James Powell, David M. Bertenthal, Sheryl Reuben,
Katherine Martinez, Susan A. Kendall, Robert Walker, Jr.,
Zoe Walker, Ernest Lira, John Walko, Jon Yamaguchi,
Brian F. Conners, Dirk Olin, Drew Dix, Peter Belstmo,
Kevin Asseo, E.S. Harlow, Maggie Muir, Lisa A. Hill,
Leonard Gross, Paul Scott, Mark Wayne, Ditas Solario,
Lindsey A. Claussen, Clarence J. Moy, Andrew J. Junius,
James A. Reuben

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrallah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership hautly implied that if one didn't publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City's general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my inaudible toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who's always tried to protect the taxpayer's pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote "yes" on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen,
Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow,
Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno,
Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin,
Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedscalzi
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As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City, Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monica, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noehomy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
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WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEVELOPMENTAL CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zwanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicapped-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for all San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZEN FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Bacca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it...we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area— all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark—and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don't citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco's waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9 of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco."

Don't bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. - including "private funding". Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister - the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Castelloe
Ann Castelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz
Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller
Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark’s construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the ‘Northeast China Basin Special Use District,’ the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot-wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and 1-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark’s operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code.”

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk District to be Superseded

Superseded

M-2

District Hereby Approved

The Northeast

China Basin

Special Use

District

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(h) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

“(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark.”

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

District to be

Superseded

M-2

District Hereby

Approved

The Northeast

China Basin

Special Use

District

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

“…this provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District.”

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

“h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code.”

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals and my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Visit or call us today. 1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find
information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It’s as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

9661-9662

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Ballot Type

9661

Green
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2000's, 2100's,
2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is
San Francisco, CA 941...

Check One: □ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. □ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City State Zip Code

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLING ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: 

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: ( )

EVE Phone: ( )

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: YES NO
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24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature, and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
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The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS
Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Kutzun, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.

Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q. Wong, Registrar of Voters.

Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties, labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .................................................. 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; .................................................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ............................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ................................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; .................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ................................................................. 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ............................................................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ............................................................................................................ 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ................................................................. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar's Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don't know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only "qualified" write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don't know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   - Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
   OR
   - Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District

8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District

3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

12th Congressional District

8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
The San Francisco Green Party
- an emerging local alternative

Since organizing in 1990-1991, the San Francisco Green Party has established a solid record of educating voters and providing grass-roots support for progressive campaigns:

1991  Mobilized Greens to help stop the fundamentalist repeal of San Francisco's domestic partner's ordinance.

1992  Provided strong grassroots support for the successful rent control measure Prop H, which eliminated mandatory minimum rent increases and tied rent hikes to the consumer price index.

1992  Worked for the successful campaigns of Board of Education candidates Angie Fa and Steve Phillips.

1993  Spearheaded the effort to qualify Prop X, to eliminate the slush-funds of incumbent politicians, for the ballot; then ran a successful campaign for the initiative and passed this important campaign reform measure.

1995  Green activists have played a major role in the efforts of the Elections Task Force to make important changes to the way we elect the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, including proposals for District Elections and Proportional Representation.

The San Francisco Green Party is a true grass-roots organization: ordinary citizens, not professional politicians are the backbone of the party. Unlike other political parties, we have no paid staff; all of our work is done by volunteers. Nor do we receive corporate contributions. But most important, the Green Party represents an attempt by ordinary people to build a true progressive alternative to the seemingly never-ending cycle of "lesser of two evil" choices presented to us by the two major parties year after year.

The San Francisco Green Party. For more information call 905-4212

Did we mention that we don't receive corporate contributions? That's true, but it doesn't mean we won't accept something from you! Checks should be made out to San Francisco Green Party, and sent to:

P. O. Box 641844
San Francisco, CA 94164-1844

If you would like to receive our newsletter and keep up-to-date with the activities of the San Francisco Green Party, send your check for $10 or more (payable to the SF Green Party Newsletter) to:

P.O. Box 401054
San Francisco, CA 94110
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手持票向自動機將整張選票插入。

STEP 1

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coincidan con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 2

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfura con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把帶鉤之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 3

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線捲起選票交給選舉站監票員。

STEP 4
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person’s name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite “YES” or “NO” for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

GREEN PARTY BALLOT

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO VERDE

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pídale a un trabajador del lugar de votación lo ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de “SÍ” o “NO” para dicha medida. Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca o borrado; esto anularía la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o al romper y dañar la balota, devuévala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RALPH NADER</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Delegates to the National Convention**

NO DELEGATION HAS FILED

NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION 没有代表團登記

**United States Representative — 12th District**

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED

NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO 没有候選人登記
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

2

SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8
STATE SENATOR — 8th District

THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998
No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998
這一職位要到1998年才選出

THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT
No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea
第12衆議區沒有特別選舉

MEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12 加州衆議員—第12區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO 没有候選人登記
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSORTIATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

3-6-ALL

CONSEJO DEL CONDADO
County Council

Vote for no more than 11

DAVID FAIRLEY
Statistician / Perito en Estadistica / 统计员
80

BARBARA BLONG
Educator / Educadora / 教育工作者
81

MICHAEL MONNOT
Graphics Assistant / Asistente Gráfico / 设计助理
82

BETTY L. TRAYNOR
Editor / Editora / 编辑
83

GREEN PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996
COUNTY COUNCIL

PARTIDO VERDE
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996
CONSEJO DEL CONDADO
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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PARTIDO VERDE
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

GREEN PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## NONPARTISAN BALLOT

### BALOTA APARTIDARIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>Vote #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>KEVIN McCARTHY</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent Judge, Municipal Court</td>
<td>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>RON ALBERS</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
<td>KAY TSENIN</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT.Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS ESTATUALES

192

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten sólo en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

193

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES, EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudación de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反對

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA, BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO, LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反對
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMOVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se consideran como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1980 (PROPUESTA 117), Pumas. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Daroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reajudicia anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1986 - 97 hasta 1990 - 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.
ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BÁLOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención a la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Perdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

200

F8

198

199

200

ALL-0-F8

25
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CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 237 →
NO 239 →

202 ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 246 →
NO 248 →

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

YES 253 →
NO 255 →
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

201
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdida pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

202
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si se aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no se aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios menores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

203
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarán a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

1996年公立教育設施債券法。這三十億元（$3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改革和建設加州公立學校，社區學院和州立大學中的教室，圖書館，和其它必要的設施。該資金將用於現有的教室在未來的地震中更安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電競技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及滿足入學人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A
Shall the City use lease-financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES 263 ➡️
NO 265 ➡️

B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES 268 ➡️
NO 270 ➡️

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 赞成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses? 市政府應否使用租用借貸來擴建馬斯康尼會議中心，如果租用借貸負債總額不超過$157.5百萬元？ A

265 NO 反對

268 SI 赞成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de beisbol localizado en China Basin? 應否修改一些市法例以便在中國盆地（China Basin）興建一個球場？ B

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since
1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to
be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As
Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program,
dramatically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought
Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Fran-
isco's first judicial forum "Access To Justice" attended by 700
people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center
for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in Califor-
nia. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial
system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San
Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the
"Outstanding Jurist" award from the San Francisco Women Law-
yers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the
"Living Treasure" Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate
your vote.

Lillian Sing
KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election. The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

**RON ALBERS**

My address is 45 Gladys Street  
My occupation is Trial Attorney  
My age is 46  
**My qualifications for office are:**  
JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:  
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for  
judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.  
TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:  
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought  
for justice in San Francisco.  
TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:  
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the  
Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the  
Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former  
counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.  
TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:  
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.  
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal  
Referral Panel and BALIF.  

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not  
politics:  
JUSTICES AND JUDGES: David Ballati, Gordon Baranco,  
Anne Bouliane, William Cahill, Alfred Chiantelli, Ming Chin,  
Carol Corrigan, Wallace Douglass, Daniel Hanlon,  
James McBride, Phillip Moscone, Douglas Munson,  
Claude Perasso, Charlotte Wollar.  
COMMUNITY LEADERS: Roberta Achtenberg, Art Agnos,  
Tom Ammiano, Jeff Brown, Annmarie Conroy, Henry Der,  
Lefty Gordon, Clothilde Hewlett, Peter Keane, Ephraim Margolin,  
John L. Molinari, Sulufagi Palega, Eva Paterson, Rosa Rivera,  
Manny Rosales, SEIU Joint Council 2, Art Tapia, Yori Wada,  
Harold Yee.

**KAY TSENIK**

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace  
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator  
**My qualifications for office are:**  
Most judges come from big corporations or the government  
sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much  
it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the  
court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute  
to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those  
burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.  

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before  
costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and  
neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these  
methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem  
in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and  
Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for  
community organizations. I am the only candidate with this expe-

rience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know  
the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.  

Endorsed by:  
San Francisco NOW PAC  
State Senator Quentin Kopp  
Supervisor Angela Alioto  
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman  
Supervisor Susan Leal  
Judge Julie Tang  
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas  
Commissioner Tom Horn  
Commissioner Jon Kouba  
Evelyn Wilson  
Del Martin  
Pat Norman  
Andrea Shorter

*Ron Albers*

*Kay Tsenik*
CANDIDATES FOR MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE, OFFICE #1

MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
- Honors — Georgetown University
- Hastings Law School
- Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
- Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
- Former Social Services Commissioner
- Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
- Past President, Alice B. Toklas
- Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
- Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin, John Loomis, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell, John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew, Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern, Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy, Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlotta delPortillo, Keith Jackson, Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo, Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron, Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz, Sylvia Courtenay, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue, Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch, Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Newspapers
- Junk Mail
- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes
- Flatten Cardboard

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foll
- Glass Jars & Bottles
- Plastic Bottles

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For Information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City's Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent's Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent's Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent's Argument" and the "Opponent's Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent's Argument"

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent's Argument"

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent's Argument" or an "Opponent's Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent's Arguments" and "Opponent’s Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent's and opponent's arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES ➡️
NO ➡️

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller’s Statement on “A”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.
The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft.. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment. This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans.

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODECO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzaola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAYED ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO's Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco's economic future. After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City's current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco's economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nohenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City's budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco's public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schultz, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project. Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:

• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City's economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Priiti
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscazi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Jim Rivaldo
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a community care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION
Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center
As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall. The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A
Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A
San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONEx EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartments Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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**Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A**

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

**Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans.** The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

---

**VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A**

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

**Gina Moscone**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A**

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

**Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council**

**Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A**

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

**Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center**

**Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39**

**Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music**

**Louis Mennier, Executive Vice President, Mechandising, Macy’s California**

**Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco**

**Walter Fong, Owner, Couture**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONC EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy. More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco. Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses. Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcarl, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Lena, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Stills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin' the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruszone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans. . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco's largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City's restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzaretti, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Melarkey
Gianni Fazio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

MOSCONES EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
 Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco's largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City's economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry's critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation's largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city's convention facility. Presently, San Francisco's Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegaes.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City's general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco's economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It's an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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LABOR FOR MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONIE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller's Statement on "B"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City's Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that "the ballpark be developed privately", it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How "B" Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
PROPOSEN'T S'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their own money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Robert Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSEN'T S'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOL ED. Taxpayers could pay for:
Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS. "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOP-HOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B. A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That's needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to joined me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It's a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America's finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That's a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilligan
Manuel A. Rosales

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors

Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee

Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world-class status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world-class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come. Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Astia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymour, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarthish Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demoario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambbee H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Sairarisky,
Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shokhet, Elizabith Goldstein,
Josi Dubrovsky, Alfa Osherov, Ludmila Yusim

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rajas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Chu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BallsPark

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Cuminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco's excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Trigiero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salaro, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappio, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obramsky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinax, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City's young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally Devischer, Tom Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, II Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrallah, JB's Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donoghue, President, Residential Builder's Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission

Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn't publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City's general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who's always tried to protect the taxpayer's pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote "yes" on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piediscalzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City, Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Lugia Hernandez, Nutriicianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Montico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardenman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local ’87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Fay, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Pritfi, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zwanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Terry Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAliff, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC Chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Passio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn't cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellintheorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadin, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors— including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.
For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz

Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller

Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita
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TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;

That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;

That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Sections 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purpose.

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a piece of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and 280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years,

with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls.

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-4 of this Code.

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classifications:

"The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk Uses

Superseded

District to be

M-2

District Hereby

Approved

40-X

150-X"

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property.

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

District to be

M-2

Use

District Hereby

Approved

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District"
I love animals are my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.
Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY
Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996
Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
# Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior Court Judge - Name</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Props | YES | NO
A
B

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:


Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type

9662

Green
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
12th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2300's, 2400's,
2500's, 2600's, 2800's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

Flag
200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.

Flag
Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

Envelope
Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below

Envelope
Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is ________________________ San Francisco, CA 941 ______

Check One: ☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Date Signed _______ Day Time Phone _______ Night Time Phone _______

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: [ ] / [ ] / [ ]

Print your FIRST NAME [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] MI Print your LAST NAME [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] ZIP CODE [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

Print your MAILING ADDRESS [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] ZIP CODE [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

DAY Phone: ( [ ] [ ] [ ] ) [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

EVE Phone: ( [ ] [ ] [ ] ) [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
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Si desea recibir una copia de este libro en español, sírvase llamar al 554-4377

如欲索取选民手册中文本請電: 554-4377

Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

**CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY**

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. **For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.**

**IT'S NOT A MISTAKE**

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - **VOTE!**

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER (PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Application for Absentee Ballot

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a postcard with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and resident address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

Returning Your Absentee Ballot

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

Cleaning your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

Emergency Voting

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. These ballots may not be mailed.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
Nicholas DeLuca, Committee Chair
National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Britton
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences,
Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, *Ex officio*
Deputy City Attorney

The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A ‘Yes’ Vote Means," and "A ‘No’ Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters’ basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS

Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.

*Ex officio* members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q Wong, Registrar of Voters.

Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties, labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a *Sample Ballot* (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .......................... 14-30
2. the location of your *polling place*; .......................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an *Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot* and for *permanent absentee voter status*; .......................... back cover
4. *Your rights as a voter*; .......................... 6
5. *information for disabled voters*; .......................... 6
6. *statements from candidates* who are running for local office; .......................... 33-36
7. *information about each local ballot measure*, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the
   Controller’s Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .......................... 41-76
8. *definitions of words you need to know*; .......................... 39
9. a *Polling Place Card* to mark your choices before voting. .......................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar's Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don't know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only "qualified" write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don't know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
- Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
- Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don't use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

12th Congressional District
8th Congressional District

13th Assembly District
3rd State Senate District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
The San Francisco Green Party
- an emerging local alternative

Since organizing in 1990-1991, the San Francisco Green Party has established a solid record of educating voters and providing grassroots support for progressive campaigns:

1991  Mobilized Greens to help stop the fundamentalist repeal of San Francisco's domestic partner's ordinance.

1992  Provided strong grassroots support for the successful rent control measure Prop H, which eliminated mandatory minimum rent increases and tied rent hikes to the consumer price index.

1992  Worked for the successful campaigns of Board of Education candidates Angie Fa and Steve Phillips.

1993  Spearheaded the effort to qualify Prop X, to eliminate the slush-funds of incumbent politicians, for the ballot; then ran a successful campaign for the initiative and passed this important campaign reform measure.

1995  Green activists have played a major role in the efforts of the Elections Task Force to make important changes to the way we elect the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, including proposals for District Elections and Proportional Representation.

The San Francisco Green Party is a true grass-roots organization: ordinary citizens, not professional politicians are the backbone of the party. Unlike other political parties, we have no paid staff; all of our work is done by volunteers. Nor do we receive corporate contributions. But most important, the Green Party represents an attempt by ordinary people to build a true progressive alternative to the seemingly never-ending cycle of "lesser of two evil" choices presented to us by the two major parties year after year.

The San Francisco Green Party. For more information call 905-4212

Did we mention that we don't receive corporate contributions? That's true, but it doesn't mean we won't accept something from you! Checks should be made out to San Francisco Green Party, and sent to:
P. O. Box 641844
San Francisco, CA 94164-1844

If you would like to receive our newsletter and keep up-to-date with the activities of the San Francisco Green Party, send your check for $10 or more (payable to the SF Green Party Newsletter) to:
P. O. Box 401054
San Francisco, CA 94110
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

**SPECIAL NOTE:**
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

**STEP 1**
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando los dos manos, mete la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手持票向自动機將整張選票插入。

**STEP 2**
BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切记將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

**STEP 3**
HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfora con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把帶針之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

**STEP 4**
After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entráguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

GREEN PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person’s name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite “YES” or “NO” for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO VERDE

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de “SI” o “NO” para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anularía la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o al rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE
TO START VOTING, GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Candidate/Option</th>
<th>Vote Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presidential Preference</td>
<td>RALPH NADER</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegates to the National Convention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO DELEGATION HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Representative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE SENATOR — 3rd District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>請選一名</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECIAL ELECTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13th Assembly District (Vacancy in Current Term)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELECCION ESPECIAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (ACTUALMENTE VACANTE)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>特別選舉</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>第13衆議員（現任有空職）</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTrito 13 加州衆議員－第13區</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAROLE MIGDEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco County Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor del Condado de San Francisco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>三藩市縣參議員</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REGULAR ELECTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13th Assembly District (Term from 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELECCION REGULAR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (PARA SERVIR DEL 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>定期選舉</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>第13衆議員（任期自1996年12月3日起至1998年12月2日止）</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTrito 13 加州衆議員－第13區</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>請選一名</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY COUNCIL</td>
<td>Vote for no more than 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID FAIRLEY</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistician / Perito en Estadística / 统计员</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARBARA BLONG</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educator / Educadora / 教育工作者</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHAEL MONNOT</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphics Assistant / Asistente Gráfico / 境界助理</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BETTY L. TRAYNOR</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor / Editora / 编辑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Votes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>Kevin McCarthy</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Ron Albers</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Matthew Rothschild</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nonpartisan Ballot**

**Consolidated Primary Election, March 26, 1996**

**City and County of San Francisco**
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

YES 159
NO 161

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCÉPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

YES 168
NO 170

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.

YES 177
NO 179
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS ESTATUALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECÓNVERSION ANTISÍMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反對

192

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES, EXENCION, TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反對

193

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS, PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA, BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO, LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté en la prisión, no confiera a él el derecho a recibir los beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反對

194
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MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES: DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 198 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparar desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPISTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Res judicata anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1986 - 97 hasta 1998 - 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

修正1989年加州野生動物保護法案。（第117號提案）。山野。立法院的動議修正案。該法案授權立法對山野進行管理以保護加州的居民與資源。取消山野的特殊保護哺乳動物資格。財政影響：從原有環境保存基金會重新撥款，從1996-97的$250,000，此後每年最高撥款額為$100,000，用於山野管理。州政府可能每年最多花費$250,000，用於與山野有關的安全與公共資訊項目。
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MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211
NO 213

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218
NO 220

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226
NO 228
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BALOTA APARTARIA</th>
<th>CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO</th>
<th>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>211 SI 贊成</td>
<td>ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213 NO 反对</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218 SI 贊成</td>
<td>LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220 NO 反对</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226 SI 贊成</td>
<td>SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228 NO 反对</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALL-0-F8
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201 ATTNREYS' FEES, SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS, CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

202 ATTNREYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE-O-ALL
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes tributarias. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios conditionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

1996年公立教育债券提案法案。这三十亿美元（$3,000,000,000）的学校建设债券将有助于改善和建设加州公立学校，社区学院和州立大学的教室，图书馆和其他必要的设施。该资金将用于使现有的教室在未来不会在地震中更安全，为教室准备21世纪的电脑技术，缩小每个班级的人数以及满足入学人数的增长。债券只能用于经批准的学校建设项目。
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CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  YES 263  NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  YES 268  NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反对

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反对

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
Candidate for Superior Court Judge, Office #7

LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco's first judicial forum "Access To Justice" attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the "Outstanding Jurist" award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the "Living Treasure" Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. MCCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street.
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.
The choice is clear.
I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.
I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.
My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.
Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.
First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.
With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.
On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first-time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street.
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.
• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School
A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.
• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERNS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tsenin

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers
Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaithin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russioniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Hellbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblenz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shoreinstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Paper Bags & Packaging
- Telephone Books

**Newspapers**
- 報紙
- Periódicos

**Junk Mail**
- 廣告郵件
- Correspondencia Publicitaria

**Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes**
- 數種及其他乾食品盒
- Cajas de Cereal y Otros Contenedores Secos

**Flattened Cardboard**
- 壓扁的紙皮盒
- Cartón Aplanado

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
- 鋁箔紙及盒
- Botes de Acrílico/Bote

- Plastic Bottles & Jars
- 玻璃瓶、罐
- Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for at all once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  

YES  ➡

NO ➡

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.
PROPOSÉNT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children’s programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2,000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSÉNT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let’s work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:
San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.
This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?
This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.
VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an "Economic Imperative"

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:
- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.
Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Officer estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent deficit limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelson, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schulth, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFP Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthiirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanne Haney
Lee Ann Pritzi
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscazi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribben, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACKTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that It will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our city to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONIE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Mechandising, Macy’s California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONIE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allesandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Huie, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Baleza, Owner, Rita Baleza Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Braitsman, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCONE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Vivat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930’s and 1940’s. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it’s mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510’s Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility’s meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City’s ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City’s economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond-issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.
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TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES
NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City's Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that "the ballpark be developed privately", it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
Ballpark

PROPONEENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPONEENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON’T BE FOOLLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displace half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS. "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick's 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won't work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this "private" stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don't give the Giants a blank check! Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it's time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco's financial burden, making a contribution to the City's general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes.

As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still "the City that knows how."

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds.

The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won't spend any money on the ballpark's construction, something that hasn't happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco's taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job, generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazza
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymore, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarathian Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambbee H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Sairarisky,
Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shaikhet, Elizabeth Goldstein,
Jostif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yasin

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids real recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.
No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.
While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.
This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.
Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.
We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballestreros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.
Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.
Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.
A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguerio, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salaris, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team's dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scotchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Vivian Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonna Orlando, Julian Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public.

Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco.

Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, JB's Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donoghue, President, Residential Builder's Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn't publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City's general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who's always tried to protect the taxpayer's pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote "yes" on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, ("Until There's a Cure Day"). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Pledscotz

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term "sandlot" was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Montejo, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noehemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans. Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents. The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 17 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mint.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinitchorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?

Why don't citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?

PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco's waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:

• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,

• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance in any way without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,

• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,

• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superceding current codes,

• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githees  Younhee Paik
John Berry  Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costeloe  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costeloe  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Gelt  Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

(a) That the ballpark be developed privately;
(b) That the financing of the ballpark’s construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
(c) That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
(d) That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.[14] to read as follows:

"Section 249.[14] NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance to many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site; and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide, King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark’s operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-(4) of this Code."

Section 4. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height and Bulk District to be</th>
<th>Height and Bulk District Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superseded</td>
<td>40-X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150-X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 5. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (k) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use District to be Superseded M-2

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Use District Hereby Approved

Section 7. (a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"Any provision of this ordinance, any other provision of any ordinance or any amendment thereof to any person, or any person elsewhere, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable."
I love animals and my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It’s as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
**Voter's Quick Reference Card**

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly Special</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

_________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________

9663

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
Office of the Registrar of Voters  
City and County of San Francisco 
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street 
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type: 9663  
Green  
13th Assembly District  
3rd Senate District  
8th Congressional 
Precincts Applicable: 3000's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

Flags: 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below. 
Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is: San Francisco, CA 941__

Check One:  
☐ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.  
☐ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address:

City: ___________ State: ___________ Zip Code: ___________

☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct. 

Sign Here

Date Signed: ________________ Day Time Phone: ________________ Night Time Phone: ________________

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH:

Print your FIRST NAME

MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: ( )

EVE Phone: ( )

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car:

YES  NO
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## CANDIDATE FOR SUPERIOR COURT
### JUDGE, OFFICE #7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CANDIDATES FOR SUPERIOR COURT
### JUDGE, OFFICE #11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kevin M. McCarthy</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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</tr>
</thead>
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<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
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<td>Matthew Rothschild</td>
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</table>

## PROPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convention Center Bonds</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballpark</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
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Si desea recibir una copia de este libro en español, sirvase llamar al 554-4377

如欲索取选民手册中文本请电: 554-4377

Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

**CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY**

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. **For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.**

**IT'S NOT A MISTAKE**

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
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George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
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The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A "Yes" Vote Means," and "A "No" Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.
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Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.

Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q Wong, Registrar of Voters.

Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties, labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .................................................. 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ........................................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; .................................................. back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ........................................................................... 9
5. information for disabled voters; .......................................................... 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; .................. 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .................................................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ........................................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ......................... inside back cover
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YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:

- Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

  OR

- Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District
3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

8th Congressional District
12th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!

Call 310-CURB
SAN FRANCISCO CURBSIDE RECYCLING
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

STEP 1

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双持票向自动机将整张选票插入。

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切记将选票插入时，票尾之二孔，接合于二红色点之上。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP). PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.
Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把带针之选票插入，由小孔垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 4

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

第四步
投票之后，把选票取出，沿虚线将选票交给选站监察员。

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votación.
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NATURAL LAW PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person’s name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite “YES” or “NO” for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

自然法黨選票

[Translation of instructions for voters in Natural Law Party ballot]

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO DE LA LEY NATURAL

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES

Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de “SÍ” o “NO” para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anulará la balota.
Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

开始投票，请转下一页。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING, GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO DE LA LEY NATURAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NATURAL LAW PARTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH 26, 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>總統優先選舉權</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PREFERENCIA PRESIDENCIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidential Preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN HAGELIN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>全國大會代表</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DELEGADOS PARA LA CONVENCION NACIONAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegates to the National Convention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO DELEGATION HAS FILED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>美國眾議員－第8區</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS, DISTRITO 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE — 8th District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID SMITHSTEIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss Prevention Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingeniero de Prevención de Pérdidas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 請選一名 |
| Vote por Uno |
| Vote for One |

| 29 |
| 51 |
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

STATE SENATOR — 8th District
SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8

THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998
No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998
這一職位要到1998年才選出

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY
MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12

THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT
No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea
第12衆議區沒有特別選舉

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO
没有候選人登記
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>縣委員會 — 第12區</th>
<th>縣委員會 — 12th District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comite del Condado, Distrito 12</td>
<td>County Committee — 12th District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
No se ha presentado ningun candidato

没有候选入登记
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTIDO DE LA LEY NATURAL</th>
<th>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NATURAL LAW PARTY</td>
<td>MARCH 26, 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SAMPLE BALLOT**
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK**
Esta página queda en blanco

在本頁留空
## NONPARTISAN BALLOT

### BALOTA APARTIDARIA 無黨派選票

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>KEVIN McCARTHY</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>RON ALBERS</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KAY TSENIN</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The ballot includes positions for the Superior Court and the Municipal Court, with candidates for each. The number of votes for each candidate is indicated next to their name.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría. 1996年抗震翻新建項修繕法案。本法案規定發行一筆二十億元的債券，為本州的橋梁、公路高架橋和公路交叉路口的抗震翻 新改項提供資金。規定這些資金僅限於抗震翻新建項。本法案規定州府行政 部長應成立審查組，以確保這些資金僅限 於已確定的項目，並且規定審計結果予以 公報。  192

TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSF- ENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEISITATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el mo- mento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudacio- nes tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudación de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado. 地産估價、豁免親屬財產轉移。立法院 的憲法修正案，修正州憲法，規定在符合 某些條件的前提下，祖孫之間購買或轉移 地產時，無須對其重新估價。財政影響：學校，縣、市，以及特區每年損失大約$100萬的資產報失收入。學校收入的損失將由州政 府一般基金彌補。  193

PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPEÑO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor. 因犯，合資項目，失業福利。假釋，立法院 的動議修正案，規定囚犯在獄期期間在 合資項目中工作，於其在獄後仍可享受失業福利的權利。財政影響：總體財 政影響可能很微。  194
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

7E

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES, ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que se da en el secuestro o en el robado de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

195

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196

192 SI 贊成
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). Pumas. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reduciría anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

201 NO 反對

202 SI 贊成

204 NO 反對

197
ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDONDO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 贅成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado. 198

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贅成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado al sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales. 199

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贅成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatales y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales. 200

228 NO 反對
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201  ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

202  ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

203  PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BALOTA APARTIDARIA</th>
<th>237 SI</th>
<th>239 NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS, LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>246 SI</th>
<th>248 NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y local.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>253 SI</th>
<th>255 NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PÚBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equilibrar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en las salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1996年公立教育設施整備債券法案，這三十億美元（3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改進和建設加州公立學校，社區學院和州立大學中的教室、圖書館和其它必需的設施。該資金將用於現有的教室在未來的課程中更為安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及滿足人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
### SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

#### 10E

**NONPARTISAN BALLOT**

**CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>A</strong></th>
<th>Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>B</strong></th>
<th>Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**END OF BALLOT**
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

A

263 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que la Ciudadcelebre contratos de financiamiento para agradar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no exceda $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

F10

265 NO 反对

B

268 SI 贊成 ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反对

FIN DE LA BALOTA
投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,
or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for

ANIMALS

SHOULD BE

HUMAN NATURE.

{DON'T YOU THINK?}

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.
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STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
Candidate for Superior Court Judge, Office #7

LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court. I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.
The choice is clear.
I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.
I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

 Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran
Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:
JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:

KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Ron Albers

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:

- Honors — Georgetown University
- Hastings Law School
- Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
- Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
- Former Social Services Commissioner
- Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
- Past President, Alice B. Toklas
- Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
- Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitlin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGovern,
Joseph Russioniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota del Portillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, robert wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shorestein, Mimi Silber, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper
- Magazine & Catalogs
- Newspaper & Packaging
- Telephone Books

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Glass Jars & Bottles

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.

For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for at all once.

- Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.
  - Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.
  - General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City's cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today's dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY'S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

- The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

- Debt payments. Total general obligation bond "debt service" during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. ("Debt Service" is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City's outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children's fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City's financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City's tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City's share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have "moderate" debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City's prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES ➡ NO ➡

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller’s Statement on “A”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $318.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.
The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
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**CONVENTION CENTER BONDS**

**PROPOSER’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A**

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children’s programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

**REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A**

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let’s work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

---

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

• Immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• Expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• A boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today, the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gillinan
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the
crovention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two
decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its
construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's
top conventions, exhibits, and shows.
In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North.
Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built,
hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and
thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City
prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet
the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San
Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth
of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently
have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave
San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco
cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.
The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of
room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total
economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation
bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.
We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was
San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects
proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for
San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.
Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2,000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.
The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you
to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in
their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was
San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion Is A Prudent Lease
Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion,
is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.
After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded
that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current
prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this
self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional
bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.
There is no better use for bond funds than for economic develop-
ment. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions,
tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.
The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s eco-
nomic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Propo-
sition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for publication fee of this argument was San
Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services
for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that
increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our
city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax
revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help
pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San
Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers,
travelers and conventioners.
Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San
Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanne Haney
Lee Ann Prifit
Greg Day
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Robert Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City's economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world's favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco's economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City's hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City's General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.  

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONC EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

*Amelita Pascual*, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
*Anita Hill*, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

*Tony Leone*, Registered Nurse
*Margel F. Kaufman*, Former Health Commissioner
*Catherine Dodd*, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revitalize our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

*Supervisor Mabel Teng*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alloito, President, Alloito’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Taxi Drivers and Owners Support Moscone Expansion

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bond measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

**Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A**

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

**Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans.** The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

**Supervisor Barbara Kaufman**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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**Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A**

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

**Walter Johnson**, S.F. Labor Council  
**Rhea Serpan**, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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**VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A**

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

**Gina Moscone**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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**RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A**

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

**Russ Campbell**, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center  
**Jennifer Pitts**, Wound About, Pier 39  
**Lee Ann Baldwin**, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music  
**Louis Meunier**, Executive Vice President, Mechanishing, Macy’s California  
**Mark Brashear**, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco  
**Walter Fong**, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONEX EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn't cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That's good for local jobs - that's good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allessandro Barcarri, Executive Secretary, Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, JavaWalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin' the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco's property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City's economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City's restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy - hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans ... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier; hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting YES on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCONE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Passio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONNE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Speran, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regrettably urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONIE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE
FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR
THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER

FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE
SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7 309(a) hereby
submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San
Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of
indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hun-
dred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of ac-
quiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures
and furnishings in the South of Market area. ☐

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an
Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the
back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in.
You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES
NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migdan, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
**PROPONETh’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B**

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

**PRIVATEly BUILT.** San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

**AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER.** The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

**AN ECONOMIC BOOST.** The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

**ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT.** China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

**IT’S TIME.** It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark

*Senator Quentin L. Kopp*
*Roberta Achtenberg*
*Rev. Cecil Williams*

---

**REBUTTAL TO PROPONETh’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B**

“Privately Built”? DON’T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

“Architectural Wonder”? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

“Economic Boost”? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

“Accessible and Convenient”? Proposition B states, “. . . there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

**READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOP-HOLES.** Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
Oponent’s Argument Against Proposition B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

Rebuttal to Opponent’s Argument Against Proposition B

It’s Time to Act.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

Financially Sound.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

Environmentally Sensitive.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

In the Public Interest.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred-year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That's needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting YES on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America's finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for and no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won't spend any money on the ballpark's construction, something that hasn't happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco's taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Chetung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid to the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflection and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks. A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come. Vote Yes on Proposition B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doris M. Ward, Assessor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Sairarsky, Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shoikhet, Elisabeth Goldstein, Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yusim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids. This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth. You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Burton, President, Community College Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodol Rodis, Member, Community College Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars. Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Hui, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Chen, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association

Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merki, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobi, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning reaffirmation of San Francisco's excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Pang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don't cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer's Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE’S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLET PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sallly DeVischer, Tonto Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street, Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrallah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Maria Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALtrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
Anna Shimko, Cherie James, Roberta Boomer,
Sharon Eberhardt, Sharon Bretz, Eva Royale, Elmy Bermejo,
Lisa Post, Evelyn White, Alison Louie,
Amanda Nowinski-Gould, Deana Welker, Rebecca Ames,
Marina Boris, Shannon Wilson, Juliette Lopez, Shela Mood,
Dana Soares, Toni Gill, Johnnie L. Rollins, Joyce Aldana,
Rita H. Myers, Verta Vinson, Janett McCoy, Marcia Brown,
Stephanie D. Feiring, Diana Flores, Alice Fialkin,
Marie Hollins, Karen Huggins, Beverly F. Stanberry,
Mary Hall Terry, Mary Y. Jung, Patricia Probasco,
Kathrynn Gordon, Lisa Ann Washington, Delores Banks,
Julie Anderson, Marita D. Cooper, Vida Edwards, Ann Kelley,
Karen Lewis, Alice Drake, Denise J. Muniz, Lynette Wells,
Vinita Trice, Brenda Sapp Megginson, Catherine A. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrum, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Calbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Chen, Kevin Piedscalzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fisler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianst, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed,
Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado,
Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland,
Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Priiti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Francisco can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever-demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn't cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?
- Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
- When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
- PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding’. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

| Alina Bednarz | Cathy Githens | Younhee Paik |
| John Berry | Carol Granados | Ann Politzer |
| Jean Bolte | Diane Harwood | Sara Shoemaker |
| Aidan Castelloe | Rick Lowe | William Shoemaker |
| Ann Castelloe | Wesley Lowe | Robert Stone |
| Nancy Decker | Garrett Mitchell | Steven Volpe |
| Lynn Getz | Thor Muller | Keith Yamashita |

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.[14] NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that
(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.
(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code.

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk District to be Superseded Height and Bulk District Hereby Approved

| 40-X | 150-X |

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark.

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use District to be Superseded Use District Hereby Approved

| M-2 | The Northeast China Basin Special Use District |

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence at the end thereof:

"b. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.[14] of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals and my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today:
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It’s as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32c stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.
Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

________________________________________
________________________________________
________________________________________

9671-9672

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type

9671

Natural Law
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2000's, 2100's,
2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

‼ 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
‼ Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

‼ Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
‼ Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

---

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is ___________________________ San Francisco, CA 941___

Check One:  ☐ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. ☐ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here:

Do Not Print

Date Signed /96

Day Time Phone: — — — — — — —
Night Time Phone: — — — — — — —

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

POLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH:  /  /  
(MONTH) (DAY) (YEAR)

Print your FIRST NAME

MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone:  -  

EVE Phone:  -  

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car:  YES  NO
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Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who’ve moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that’s about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven’t re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver’s license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don’t know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a "Permanent Absentee Voter" you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says "I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER" and sign your name where it says "Sign Here."

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a "P" then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
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MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); ........................................ 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ......................................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; .................................................. back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ............................................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; ................................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ......................... 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .................................................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ............................................. 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting; .......................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
  - Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
  - Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District
3rd State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

12th Congressional District
8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

*Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.*

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

Using Both Hands
Insert the Ballot Card All the Way Into the Votomatic.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手將選票向自動機將整張選票插入。

STEP 2

Be Sure the Two Slots in the Stub of Your Card Fit Down Over the Two Red Pins.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coincidan con las dos cabechitas rojas.

第二步
請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3

Hold Punch Vertical (Straight Up). Punch Straight Down Through the Ballot Card to Indicate Your Choice. Do Not Use Pen or Pencil.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把握選票之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 4

After Voting, Remove the Ballot from the Votomatic, Fold the Ballot at the Perforation and Return It to the Precinct Official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguеla en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NATURAL LAW PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person’s name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite “YES” or “NO” for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

自然法黨選票

選民須知
如要表達你對提名總統候選人的優先選擇，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投票這一部分僅屬表達意見性質。出席全國大會的代表將在選票的選舉代表部分選出。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名選票同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過要選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空位上填寫該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向當選舉員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的“YES”（贊成）或“NO”（反對）打孔。

如果選票有明顯污損或塗抹痕跡；選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕破了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO DE LA LEY NATURAL

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de “SI” o “NO” para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca o borrador: esto anularia la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE.

TO START VOTING, GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

1

NATURAL LAW PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

President of the United States

PRESIDENTIO DE LA UNIDAS
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

President Preferencia Presidencial
Presidential Preference

John Hagelin

29

Delegates to the National Convention
Delegados para la Convencion Nacional

NO DELEGATION HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION

Richard Borg
Senior Appraiser
Tesorador Superior

51

Vote for One
Vote por Uno
Votar por Uno
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

2

SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8
STATE SENATOR — 8th District

州参議員, 第八區

THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998
No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998

這一職位要到1998年才選出

THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT
No hay una elección especial en el distrito 12 de la Asamblea

第12衆議區沒有特別選舉

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12 加州衆議員－第12區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO

請選一名
Vote por Uno
Vote for One

NATURAL LAW PARTY
PARTIDO DE LA LEY NATURAL

ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

2-7-1, 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTY</th>
<th>COUNTY COMMITTEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PARTIDO DE LA LEY NATURAL</td>
<td>COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td>County Committee — 12th District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED

No se ha presentado ningun candidato

沒有候選人登記
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本页留空
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
<td>Lillian K. Sing</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
<td>Kevin McCarthy</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
<td>Ron Albers</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
<td>Kay Tsenin</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>Matthew Rothschild</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Seismic Retrofit Bond Act of 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>Property Appraisal Exception. Grandparent-Grandchild Transfer. Legislative Constitutional Amendment. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Prisoners. Joint Venture Program. Unemployment Benefits. Parole. Legislative Initiative Amendment. Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula un emisón de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antísmica de los puentes y las puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antísmica. La ley requiere que el auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría. 192

161 NO 反對
1996年抗震翻新改建债券法案。本法案規定發行一億二十億的債券，為本州橋梁，公路高架橋和公路交叉路口的抗震翻
新改建項目提供資金。規律這些資金僅用於抗震翻新改建項目。本法案規定州審計
部長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已經確定的項目，並且規定將審計結果予以
公報。

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFER-
ENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL
LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no
requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el mo-
mento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, suja-
ta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudacio-
nes tributarias sobre la propiedad de los escuelas, condados,
ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón
anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían
compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado. 193

170 NO 反對

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENE-
FICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. EN-
MIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el
trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta,
mientras esté se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a
recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto
fiscal: Practicable y efecto fiscal general menor. 194

179 NO 反對
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.

YES 185
NO 187

YES 194
NO 196

YES 202
NO 204
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. EMENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. EMENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículo en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCIÓN DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1980 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. EMENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reajudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1986 - 97 hasta 1998 - 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

修正1980年加州野生動物保護法案，（第117號提案），山獅。立法機關的動議修正案。法案授權立法機關就重大區域管理保護加州的居民和資源。取消山獅的特殊保護條約。財政影響：從1996-97到1998-99年每年增加撥款額為$250,000，從1999-2000每年最高撥款額為$500,000，用於山獅管理。財政衝擊每年多花費$250,000，用於與山獅有關的安全和公共資訊項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

8E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211
NO 213

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218
NO 220

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226
NO 228
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPÓSITOS ESTATALES

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan vote por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la
afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola
balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos
los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre
el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS
RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA
PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de
alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de
alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes.
Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para
los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto
fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en
total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MO-
TORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las com-
pañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién
es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos
motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con
beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones.
Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones.
Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en
atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de
$100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y
locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la ma-
trículación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencial-
mente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

200 F8

25
**SAMPLE BALLOT**
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**NONPARTISAN BALLOT**
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>201</strong></td>
<td>ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>202</strong></td>
<td>ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>203</strong></td>
<td>PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALERAS

237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que exceden la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贊成
LEY DEBonos PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

1996年公立教育设施债券法案。

201

202

203
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  YES 263 →  NO 265 →

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  YES 268 →  NO 270 →

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de beisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA
投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Respect for animals should be human nature.

{ Don't you think? }

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.
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STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

*****************************************************************************

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

*****************************************************************************
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street  
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor  
My qualifications for office are:
  
  This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.  
  The choice is clear.  
  I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.  
  I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.  
  My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.  
  Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.  
  First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.  
  With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.  
  On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.  

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street  
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco  
My age is 56  
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.  
  • Judge, San Francisco Superior Court  
  • 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience  
  • Published author in many respected national legal journals  
  • Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs  
  • Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966  
  • Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961  
  • Graduate, St. Ignatius High School  

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.  
  • Active in community, civic and church activities  
  • Navy veteran  

Endorsements:  
California State Senator Quentin Kopp  
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russonello  

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation's first battered women's shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation's highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Ron Albers

KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Koubia
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russioniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, robert wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper  •  紙張  •  Papel**

- Office Paper
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Paper Bags & Packaging
- Telephone Books

**¡Recuerde Reciclar Este Folleto!**

Después de que haya terminado con este folleto, reciclolo con su otro papel. Y recuerde que hay doce artículos que pueden ser reciclados en los programas a domicilio y apartamentos en San Francisco.

**Containers  •  鋁箔紙及盒  •  Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Glass Jars & Bottles

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made at cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond "debt service" during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. ("Debt Service" is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City's outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children's fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City's financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City's tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City's share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have "moderate" debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City's prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A

Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES  ➡
NO  ➡

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:
San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit
if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those
under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung
Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail.
In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit
rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will
significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improve-ment plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infra-
structure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through
general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must
carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expa-
sion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neigh-
borhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans
have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been
studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review
before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate
Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main
Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for
its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little
information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the
surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic
Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth,
it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest
capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone
Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It
has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibi-
tings. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American
cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for world-
wide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to
occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an
economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200
  million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tour-
ists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and
Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on
Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today, the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City's convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City's debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City's favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco's economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and trade show market in the future.

The City's Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City's economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco.

The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventionaries.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFB Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Pidiscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gibbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
Jim Rivaldo
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCON Expansion

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation

Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco's health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends in large part on tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nuntio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONET EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwirt, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related businesses: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this new found spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the forefront of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONOE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything!
Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.
More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business.
That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.
Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.
Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allessandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Broczone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS
Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCONE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS
The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzaola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Triguro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunner Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beene, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzaretchi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONEN CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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LABOR FOR MOSCONCE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option; it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE
FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR
THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER
FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE
SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot
On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alloto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston's Fenway Park and Chicago's Wrigley Field. It'll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City's economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let's do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service. Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:
• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:
• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank dollar check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won't spend any money on the ballpark's construction, something that hasn't happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elza C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffrey W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco, Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B.

Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splashed into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho! Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods—particularly minority communities—where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Sairarisky, Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shokhlet, Elizabeth Goldstein, Josef Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yustin
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound—our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick—with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Hule, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheiu, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jane-Paul Yan, John F. Yee
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Drwir, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci, Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina, Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen, David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK
All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team's dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelines, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Edward Romain, Richard Labue, Laura Vilafore,
Susan L. Escobar, James Payton, Oscar Morales,
Marina George, Scott Gould, Michael Hall, Robert Morales,
James Thomas Talton, Jr., Cliff Flanagan, Matt Mastergeorge,
Patrick O'Connell III, Roderick David, Charlotte Martin,
Anthony Bourne, Edwin A. Williams, Susan Thompson,
Michelle Kuehl, Glenn Lynch, Ron Armstrong, James P. Elrod,
Benny Allen, Kimberly Barrish, Victoria Tillman, Walter Brown,
Mark Espinoza, Anthan Carter, Perry Henderson, David Miller,
Julie Brown, Mark Johnson, Vivian Miles, Robert Mitchell

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?

Louise Bea, Jack Bair, Mike Doyle, David Swape,
Walter Johnson, Rob McLeod, Robert Morales,
Edward J. Petrillo, Barbara Kolesar, Richard Friedman,
Nelson Knoth, M. Andrew Madden, Eddie Marello,
George Abodeely, Brad Seaman, Anthony Criscuda,
John Piotkowski, Don Fisher, Ilene Shaw, Robert J. Mulcrevy,
Joe Peck, Man Cheung, Gary Fowler, Patrick Curry,
James Powell, David M. Bertenthal, Sheryl Reuben,
Katherine Martinez, Suzan A. Kendall, Robert Walker, Jr.,
Zoe Walker, Ernest Lira, John Walko, Jon Yamaguchi,
Brian F. Conner, Dirk Olin, Drew Dix, Peter Belsito,
Kevin Asseo, E.S. Harlow, Maggie Muir, Lisa A. Hill,
Leonard Gross, Paul Scott, Mark Wayne, Ditas Solorio,
Lindsey A. Clausen, Clarence J. Moy, Andrew J. Junius,
James A. Reuben

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS
SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BASEBALL FIELD

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction 
Nasser Nasrallah, JB’s Place 
Mike McClure, California Caster 
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc. 
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction 
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant 
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco 
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal 
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal 
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation 
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo 
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation 
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation 
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation 
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic 
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES
PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance 
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association 
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority 
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist 
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my latitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, ("Until There's a Cure Day"). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen,
Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow,
Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno,
Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin,
Ted Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedwater.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Sainders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term "sandlot" was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market, Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
Supports a new ballpark
The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cededa and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Iraheta, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noemoy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barguero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting YES on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Fay, Karen Holland, Bob Roseenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifi, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zwanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicapp-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it ... we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle,
Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kallberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:

• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,

• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,

• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,

• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,

• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Getz

Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Moller

Younhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Volpe
Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

(a) That the ballpark be developed privately;
(b) That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
(c) That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
(d) That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249, 14 to read as follows:

"Section 249, 14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of water-front public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 131 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 350(c)(1)(4) of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following changes in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk

District to be Superseded

District Hereby Approved

40-X

150-X

Section 5. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to

enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

District to be Superseded

Use

District Hereby Approved

M-2

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249,14 of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

What age exactly did we forget how to treat animals?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL.

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
## Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. *(See Sample Ballot)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Central Committee - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

_________________________________________________

_________________________________________________

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.

Germaine Q Wong  
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS  
633 Folsom St., Room 109  
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9672

Natural Law
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
12th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2300's, 2400's,
2500's, 2600's, 2800's

POLLLING PLACE INFORMATION
- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION
- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below.
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is __________________________ San Francisco, CA 941________________________

Check One:  ■ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below.  ■ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City __________________________ State ___________ Zip Code ___________

I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter info Pamphlet in:  ■ Spanish,  ■ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Date Signed /96

Day Time Phone __________________________ Night Time Phone __________________________

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address


San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLS ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.

BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: (MONTH) / (DAY) / (YEAR)

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: (_____ _____) _____ - _____

EVE Phone: (_____ _____) _____ - _____

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: YES NO
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24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
Nicholas DeLuca, Committee Chair
National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Britton
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences,
Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, Ex officio
Deputy City Attorney

The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It
Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote
Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee
also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a
brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the
pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the
term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS
Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall,
Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.
Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen,
George Mix, Jr., Gail Morholt, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.
Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q
Wong, Registrar of Voters.
Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties,
labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations
and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the
officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration,
elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters.
It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and
local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes
relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen
participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all
election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS
The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is
scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote
before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet
by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7,
your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.
If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion,
please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET
This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The
pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); ........................................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ................................................................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ........................................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ................................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; ................................................................. 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ................................................................. 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the
   Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ................................................................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ................................................................. 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting; ................................................................. inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   - Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
   - OR
     - Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

12th Assembly District
8th State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

12th Congressional District
8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

*Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.*

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!

[Image: San Francisco Curbside Recycling logo]
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de voto y obtenga otra.

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de voto completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

STEP 1

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT UP), PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de voto y perforè con él la tarjeta de voto en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

STEP 4

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之后，把选票取出，
沿虚线折起选票交给选举站监票员。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person’s name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite “YES” or “NO” for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

NATURAL LAW PARTY BALLOT

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO DE LA LEY NATURAL

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perfurar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perfurar el orificio al lado de “Sí” o “No” para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca o borrador; esto anularía la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

| PARTIDO DE LA LEY NATURAL  
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS  
26 DE MARZO DE 1996  
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES |
|---|---|---|
| 總統優先選舉權  
PREFERENCIA PRESIDENCIAL  
Presidential Preference |
| JOHN HAGELIN |
| 29 → |

| NATURAL LAW PARTY  
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION  
MARCH 26, 1996  
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE |
|---|---|---|
| 美國眾議員－第8區  
REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS, DISTRITO 8  
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE — 8th District |
| DAVID SMITHSTEIN  
Loss Prevention Engineer  
Ingeniero de Prevención de Pérdidas  
預防損失工程師 |
| 51 → |

| DELEGADOS PARA LA CONVENCION NACIONAL  
Delegates to the National Convention  
NO DELEGATION HAS FILED  
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION  
沒有代表團登記 |
|---|---|---|
| 請選一名  
Vote por Uno  
Vote for One |
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SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

STATE SENATOR — 3rd District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO

SPECIAL ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Vacancy in Current Term)
ELECCIÓN ESPECIAL
DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (ACTUALMENTE VACANTE)

MEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州衆議員－第13區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

CAROLE MIGDEN
San Francisco County Supervisor
Supervisor del Condado de San Francisco

DEMONCRATA

REGULAR ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Term from 12/3/96 — 12/2/98)
ELECCIÓN REGULAR
DISTrito 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (PARA SERVIR DEL 12/3/96 — 12/2/98)

MEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州衆議員－第13區
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO

66
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

County Committee — 13th District
COMITE DEL CONDADO, DISTRITO 13

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
No se ha presentado ningún candidato

没有候選人登記
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTID0 DE LA LEY NATURAL</th>
<th>NATURAL LAW PARTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</td>
<td>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</td>
<td>MARCH 26, 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK**

Esta página queda en blanco

在本頁留空
# SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

## NONPARTISAN BALLOT

**BALOTA APARTIDARIA 無黨派選票**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BALOTA APARTIDARIA 無黨派選票</th>
<th>JUDICIAL</th>
<th>高級法院法官, 第七庭</th>
<th>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7</th>
<th>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>高級法院法官, 第七庭</td>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7</td>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING</td>
<td>Judge, Municipal Court</td>
<td>Judge, Tribunal Municipal 地方法庭法官</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>高級法院法官, 第十一庭</td>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 11</td>
<td>KEVIN McCarthy</td>
<td>Attorney/Law Professor</td>
<td>Abogado/Profesor de Derecho 律師／法律教授</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Incumbent 現任者</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>地方法院法官, 第一庭</td>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1</td>
<td>RON ALBERS</td>
<td>Trial Attorney</td>
<td>Abogado 辦公律師</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>地方法院法官, 第一庭</td>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1</td>
<td>KAY TSENIN</td>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
<td>Abogada Arbitro Mediadora 律師／仲裁人／調停人</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>地方法院法官, 第一庭</td>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1</td>
<td>MATTHEW ROTHSCILD</td>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado 副市律師</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 高級法院法官, 第七庭 | LILLIAN K. SING | 135  |  |
| 高級法院法官, 第十一庭 | KEVIN McCarthy | 141  |  |
| DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. | DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. | 143  |  |
| 地方法院法官, 第一庭 | RON ALBERS | 149  |  |
| 地方法院法官, 第一庭 | KAY TSENIN | 151  |  |
| 地方法院法官, 第一庭 | MATTHEW ROTHSCILD | 153  |  |
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192  SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193  PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194  PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反对
1996年抗震翻新改建債券法案。本法案規定發行第一二十十於億的債券，為本州的橋梁，公路高架橋和公路交叉権的抗震翻新改建項目提供資金，規定這些資金僅用於抗震翻新改建項目。本法案規定州審計部門進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已確定的項目，並且規定將審計結果予以公開。

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. EMNIENTA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir, una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反对
193

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. EMNIENTA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que al trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halla preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反对
194
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

YES 185 →
NO 187 →

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

YES 194 →
NO 196 →

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.

YES 202 →
NO 204 →
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEJORA EN LAS LEYES DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMOVILES. ASESSINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO POR ASESSINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estadales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反對

202 SI 贊成
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA PROTEGER LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Este ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats de California a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

204 NO 反對

ALL-0-F7
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

---

→ 211 SI 贊成

ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

→ 213 NO 反對

---

→ 218 SI 贊成

LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

→ 220 NO 反對

---

→ 226 SI 贊成

SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

→ 228 NO 反對

---

→ 198

F8

---

→ 199

---

→ 200

F8

ALL-0-F8
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

9E

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201  ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 237 → NO 239 →

202  ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 246 → NO 248 →

203  PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

YES 253 → NO 255 →

9E-0-ALL
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MEJIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATUALES

237 SI 赞成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS, PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y la sentencia fue injusta. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反对

246 SI 赞成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permite respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反对

253 SI 赞成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PÚBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos solo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反对

201

202

203

1996年公立教育設施債券法案。這三十億美元（$3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改善和建設加州公立學校、社區學院和州立大學中的教室、圖書館，和他需要的設施。該資金將用於使現有的教室在未來的地溫中更安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及滿足人數增長的需求。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
## SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 10E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**END OF BALLOT**
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

→ 263 SI 贊成
Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

→ 265 NO 反對

→ 268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

→ 270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. **YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT**, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

**DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.**
Respect for Animals Should Be Human Nature. Don't you think?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee. Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street  
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor  
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.  
The choice is clear.  
I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.  
I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.  
My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.  
Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.  
First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.  
With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.  
On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street  
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco  
My age is 56  
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.  
• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court  
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience  
• Published author in many respected national legal journals  
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs  
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966  
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961  
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School  
A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.  
• Active in community, civic and church activities  
• Navy veteran  

Endorsements:  
California State Senator Quentin Kopp  
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Kevin M. McCarthy  
Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Ron Albers

KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Koubal
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin
MATTIE ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
  • Honors — Georgetown University
  • Hastings Law School
  • Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
  • Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
  • Former Social Services Commissioner
  • Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
  • Past President, Alice B. Toklas
  • Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
  • Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I'm honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
  John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
  John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
  Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
  Joseph Russioniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
  Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
  Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
  Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
  Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach
  Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblenz,
  Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O'Donoghue,
  Douglas Shorestein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
  Rev. Cecili Williams

Matthew Rothschild
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper
- Newspapers
- Magazines
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Journals
- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes
- Telephone Books
- Cartón Aplanado
- Paper Bags & Packaging
- Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaquetar
- Flattened Cardboard
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Glass Jars & Bottles
- Tin/Steel Cans
- Plastic Bottles
- Botellas de Aluminio y Botellas de Vidrio

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Glass Jars & Bottles
- Plastic Bottles
- Botellas de Aluminio y Botellas de Vidrio
- Botellas de Plástico
- Metal Cans
- Glass Jars & Bottles
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Plastic Bottles
- Botellas de Aluminio y Botellas de Vidrio

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For Information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a "Yes" vote means, and what a "No" vote means. There is a statement by the City's Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

"Proponent's" and "Opponent's" Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure ("Proponent's Argument") and one argument against the measure ("Opponent's Argument") are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument" indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The "Proponent's Argument" and the "Opponent's Argument" are selected according to the following priorities:

"Proponent's Argument"

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

"Opponent's Argument"

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a "Proponent's Argument" or an "Opponent's Argument," may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding "Proponent's Argument" and "Opponent's Argument."

Paid Arguments

In addition to the "Proponent's Arguments" and "Opponent's Arguments" which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent's and opponent's arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling "bonds" to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City's cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today's dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY'S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond "debt service" during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. ("Debt Service" is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City's outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children's fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another "prudent" debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City's financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City's tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City's share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have "moderate" debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City's prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller’s Statement on “A”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $15 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.
The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PROponent’s ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft.. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROponent’s ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:
San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.
The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.
This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?
This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.
VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an "Economic Imperative"
As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.
The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.
In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:
• immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.
Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.
I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today, the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City's convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City's debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City's favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco's economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City's Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City's economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Woltin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzaola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone Center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is "Good for San Francisco"

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
- It will create badly needed construction jobs;
- It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
- It will boost the City's economy and related industries;
- It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFD Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A

The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City's general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let's move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

Gays/Lebians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A

The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City's economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City's annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbin, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
Jim Rivaldo
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City's general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alina Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghy, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
**Convention Center Bonds**

**PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A**

**S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONOE EXPANSION**

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

*Amelita Pascual*, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation

*Anita Hill*, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center**

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

*We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.*

*Supervisor Tom Hsieh*

*Supervisor Barbara Kaufman*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A**

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

*Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.*

*Tony Leone*, Registered Nurse

*Margel F. Kaufman*, Former Health Commissioner

*Catherine Dodd*, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A**

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. *Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.”* This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

*Supervisor Mabel Teng*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzi Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Marakian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONÉ EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwirt, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Mechandising, Macys California
Mark Brashier, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCON EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alejandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Java Walk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Flueellen, Owner, Iris J. Flueellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward  
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board  
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education  
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education  
Jerry Nelson  
Sabrina Saunders  
Claudine Cheng  
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCONEXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council  
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council  
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510  
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613  
Robert Moran, Teamsters Local 350  
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648  
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6  
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2  
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38  
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association  
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO  
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU  
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22  
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856  
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco  
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8  
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific  
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39  
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council  
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONNE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[ MOSCONE EXPANSION BONDS ]

SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELEC-
TORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZ-
ING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE
FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGA-
TIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR
THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION
OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER

FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT,
FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE
SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors
acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby
submits to the electorate of the City and County
of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing ar-
rangements with the City and County of San
Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-
profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of
indebtedness with respect to which shall not ex-
ceed the aggregate principal amount of One hun-
dred fifty seven million five hundred thousand
Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of ac-
quiring and constructing additional convention
center facilities and related equipment, fixtures
and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an
Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the
back cover, put a 32c stamp where indicated and mail it in.
You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
Ballpark

PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston's Fenway Park and Chicago's Wrigley Field. It'll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City's economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let's do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOLLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:
• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.

• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:
• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.
San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
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SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!.

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
   Kenneth B. Cera
   Cheryl Arenson
   Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the hurried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymour, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarian Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnian George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Enmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demoario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCorden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jones, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambbeet H. Hall,
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Sairarisky,
Illya Gorodetsky, Edward Shoikhet, Elizabet Goldstein,
Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yusim
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can't forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don't need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting "YES" on B.

Waldemar Rajas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city's general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwirl, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVisch, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobi n, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco's excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting YES on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salerno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obrensky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eschoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public.

Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Edward Romain, Richard LaBue, Laura Vilaflore,
Susan L. Escobar, James Payton, Oscar Morales,
Marina George, Scott Gould, Michael Hall, Robert Morales,
James Thomas Talton, Jr., Cliff Flanagan, Matt Mastergeorge,
Patrick O’Connell III, Roderick David, Charlotte Martin,
Anthony Bourne, Edwin A. Williams, Susan Thompson,
Michelle Kuehl, Glenn Lynch, Ron Armstrong, James P. Elrod,
Benny Allen, Kimberly Barrish, Victoria Tillman, Walter Brown,
Mark Espinoza, Anthon Carter, Perry Henderson, David Miller,
Julie Brown, Mark Johnson, Vivian Miles, Robert Mitchell

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco.

Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?

Louise Bea, Jack Bair, Mike Doyle, David Swope,
Walter Johnson, Rob McLeod, Robert Morales,
Edward J. Petrillo, Barbara Kolesar, Richard Friedman,
Nelson Knott, M. Andrew Madden, Eddie Marello,
George Abodeely, Brad Seaman, Anthony Criscuda,
John Piotrowski, Don Fisher, Iene Shaw, Robert J. Mulcrevy,
Joe Peck, Max Cheung, Gary Fowler, Patrick Curry,
James Powell, David M. Berenthal, Sheryl Reuben,
Katherine Martinez, Suzan A. Kendall, Robert Walker, Jr.,
Zoe Walker, Ernest Lira, John Walko, Jon Yamaguchi,
Brian F. Conners, Dirk Olin, Drew Dix, Peter Belsito,
Kevin Asseo, E.S. Harlow, Maggie Muir, Lisa A. Hill,
Leonard Gross, Paul Scott, Mark Wayne, Ditas Soloria,
Lindsey A. Claussen, Clarence J. Moy, Andrew J. Junius,
James A. Reuben

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasra, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Souza, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin. No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.
Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.
Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a "yes" vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn't publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City's general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn't be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who's always tried to protect the taxpayer's pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote "yes" on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Callum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piesczalik

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noemiy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrara, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Theima Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Fox, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City's many disabled residents. The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

VOTE YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges A YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinthorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
VOTE NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9 of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco."

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors — including "private funding". Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superceding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz
John Berry
Jean Bolte
Aidan Costelloe
Ann Costelloe
Nancy Decker
Lynn Gerz
Cathy Githens
Carol Granados
Diane Harwood
Rick Lowe
Wesley Lowe
Garrett Mitchell
Thor Muller
Youmhee Paik
Ann Politzer
Sara Shoemaker
William Shoemaker
Robert Stone
Steven Valpe
Keith Yamashita
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.
That it shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

(a) That the ballpark be developed privately;
(b) That the financing of the ballpark’s construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
(c) That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
(d) That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.
It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

“Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the ‘Northeast China Basin Special Use District,’ the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below.

The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes.

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats and related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of a large number of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years,

with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls.

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark’s operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code.”

Section 4.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property.

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height and Bulk District to be Superseded</th>
<th>Height and Bulk District Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-X</td>
<td>150-X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 5.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

“(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark.”

Section 6.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property.

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use District to be Superseded</th>
<th>Use District Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-2</td>
<td>The Northeast China Basin Special Use District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 7.
(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

“This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District.”

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

“Within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code.”

Section 8.
It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for confirming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.
Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.
If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals and my friends.

AT WHAT AGE EXACTLY DID WE FORGET HOW TO TREAT ANIMALS?

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

• Finding out what's on the ballot.
• Looking up the polling place for your address.
• Campaign contributions
• Campaign expenditures
• Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Congress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly Special</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

__________________________________________

9673

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9673

Natural Law
13th Assembly District
3rd Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
3000's

POLLS PLACE INFORMATION

200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is ___________________________ San Francisco, CA 94114

Check One: ☐ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. ☐ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City

State

Zip Code

☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address

Date Signed: 1/96

Day Time Phone 

Night Time Phone
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLs ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH:   /  /  
(MONTH) (DAY) (YEAR)

Print your FIRST NAME

Print your MI

Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

ZIP CODE

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

ZIP CODE

DAY Phone:  -  
EVE Phone:  -  

What language do you speak in addition to English: 

I have a car:  YES  NO
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Information about this election, including election night results, may be found on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

**IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS**

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the **wrong polling place** - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a "Permanent Absentee Voter" you must have at least one of the following conditions:

- Lost use of one or more limbs;
- Lost use of both hands;
- Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
- Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
- Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
- Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says "I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER" and sign your name where it says "Sign Here."

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a "P" then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Balot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); ........................................... 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; ........................................... (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; ........................................... back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; .................................................. 9
5. information for disabled voters; ........................................... 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ........................................... 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; ........................................... 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and ........................................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. ........................................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
- Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

  OR

- Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts

12th Assembly District

8th State Senate District

San Francisco Congressional Districts

12th Congressional District

8th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!

Call 330-CURB
SAN FRANCISCO CURBSIDE RECYCLING
**How to Vote on the Votomatic Vote Recorder**

**Special Note**
If you make a mistake, return your card and get another.

**Step 1**
Notas: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.
Using both hands insert the ballot card all the way into the Votomatic.
Usando los dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手将选票向自动机柄插强选票插入。

**Step 2**
Be sure the two slots in the stub of your card fit down over the two red pins.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabezicas rojas.

第二步
请切记将选票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

**Step 3**
Hold punch vertical (straight up). Punch straight down through the ballot card to indicate your choice. Do not use pen or pencil.
Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfore con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把带针之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

**Step 4**
Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votación.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

REFORM PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing markings on measures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

改革黨選票

選民須知

如要表達你對提名總統候選人的優先選擇，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投票選舉部分僅屬表達意見性質，出席全國大會的代表將在選舉的選舉代表部分選出。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名競選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過要選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上寫上候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。

若選票有明顯污跡或摺痕傷損，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票損了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO DE REFORMA

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES

Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。

PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

1

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

NO PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES HAVE QUALIFIED TO BE PLACED
ON THE BALLOT FOR THE REFORM PARTY

Ningún candidato presidencial ha calificado para ser
listado en la balota para el Partido de Reforma

得革黨沒有合資格總統候選人入於選票上。

DELEGATES TO THE NATIONAL CONVENTION

NO DELEGATION HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION

得革黨沒有代表團入選

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE — 8th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO

得革黨沒有候選人入選
| **SAMPLE BALLOT** |
| **CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996** |
| **CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** |

| **PARTIDOS DE REFORMA** |
| **ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS** |
| **26 DE MARZO DE 1996** |

### STATE SENATOR — 8th District

#### NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED

**NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED**

**NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO**
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

4

PARTIDO DE REFORMA
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

REFORM PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JUDICIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NONPARTISAN BALLOT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BALOTA APARTIDARIA</strong> 無黨派選票</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LILLIAN K. SING</strong></td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge, Municipal Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez, Tribunal Municipal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>地方法庭法官</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>KEVIN McCARTHY</strong></td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney/Law Professor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogado/Profesor de Derecho</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>律師／法律教授</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.</strong></td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titular 現任者</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RON ALBERS</strong></td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial Attorney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogado 律師</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>KAY TSENIN</strong></td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogada Arbitro Mediadora</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>律師／仲裁人／調停人</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD</strong></td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>副市律師</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Seismic Retrofit Bond Act of 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>Property Appraisal Exception, Grandparent-Grandchild Transfer. Legislative Constitutional Amendment. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Prisoners, Joint Venture Program, Unemployment Benefits. Parole. Legislative Initiative Amendment. Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISÍSMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puertos y los puertos sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反對
192

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal:

170 NO 反對
193

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反對
194

F6
無黨派選票

1996年抗震翻新改建債券法案。本法案規定發行一筆二十億元的債券，為本州的橋樑、公路高架橋和公路交叉樞紐的抗震翻新改建項目提供資金，規定這些資金僅用於抗震翻新改建項目。本法案規定州審計部長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已確定的項目，並且規定將審計結果予以公告。

1996年地產估價政策法案，豁免、祖孫間的財產轉移，立法院的憲法修正案。修憲州憲法，規定在符合某些條件的前提下，祖孫之間購買或轉移地產時，無須對其重新估計。財政影響：學校、縣、市，以及特區每年損失大約$100萬的地產稅收入。學校收入的損失將由州政府一般基金彌補。

1996年囚犯勞動項目、失業補償、假釋，立法院的憲法修正案。規定囚犯在監獄期間在合資項目中工作，該囚犯在出獄後無資格享受失業補償的權利，財政影響：總體財政影響可能很輕微。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.

7E-0-ALL
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPORCIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 赞成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato cometido durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反对

194 SI 赞成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHÍCULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los disparos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos cometidos de miembros de jurados pasarán a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反对

197 SI 赞成
ENMIENDE DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCIÓN DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). Pumas. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudicar anualmente $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

199 SI 赞成

204 NO 反对

202 SI 赞成
ENMIENDE DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCIÓN DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). Pumas. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudicar anualmente $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

203 SI 赞成

ALL-0-F7
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198  ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

199  LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

200  NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 28 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 贛成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan
votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la
afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola
balota para primarias en las que figuren los nombres de todos
los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre
el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

198

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贛成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS
RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA
PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA.
Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de
alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de
alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes.
Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para
los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto
fiscal: Ahorrará futuros para las dependencias locales que, en
total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

199

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贛成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS
MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién
es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos
motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones.
Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones.
Impacto fiscal: Ahorrará para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

200

228 NO 反對
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SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 237
NO 239

202 ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 246
NO 248

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California's public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

YES 253
NO 255
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS, PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS, LEY DE INICIATIVA.</th>
<th>201</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS, LIMITACIONES, LEY DE INICIATIVA.</th>
<th>202</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permite respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996.</th>
<th>203</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1996年公立教育设施建设债券。這三十億美元（$3,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改革和建設加州公立學校、社區學院和州立大學的教室、圖書館和其他必需的設施。該資金將用於改善現在的教室在未來的地震中更安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及滿足人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

  YES 263
  NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

  YES 268
  NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?
市政府應否使用租用信貸來擴建馬斯康尼會議中心，如果租用信貸負債總額及息不超過$157.5百萬元？

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?
應否修改一些市法例以便在中國盆地(China Basin)興建一個球場?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完畢
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,
or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
Respect for animals should be human nature. Don't you think?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today. 1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee. Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * 

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge

My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims' rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp

Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
CANDIDATES FOR MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE, OFFICE #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


KAY TSENNIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Ron Albers

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
 Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper • 紙張 • Papel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>營業室及其他用紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papel de Oficina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspapers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>報紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodicos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazines &amp; Catalogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>雜誌及目錄冊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revistas y Catálogos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junk Mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>廣告郵件</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correspondencia Publicitaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Bags &amp; Packaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>紙袋及包裝紙</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolas de Papel y Papel de Empaquear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal &amp; Other Dry Food Boxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>穀類及其他乾食品盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cajas de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Socos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Books</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>電話簿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directorios Telefónicos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattened Cardboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>壓扁的紙皮盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartón Aplanado</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin/Steel Cans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>鋁箔紙及盒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botas de Acero/Estuche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>及塑膠瓶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botellas de Plástico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Cans &amp; Pail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>鋁 / 鋅罐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papel de Aluminio y Botas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Jars &amp; Bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>玻璃瓶、樽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”
1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”
1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City's budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City's budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the
total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest? YES
NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City’s Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City’s hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller’s Statement on “A”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City’s General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.
A
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSAL'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSAL'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote "YES" on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your "YES" vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote "YES" on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree. Vote "YES" on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:

- It will create badly needed construction jobs;
- It will create 2,000 new permanent jobs;
- It will boost the City's economy and related industries;
- It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion Is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco's economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City's current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco's economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Ario Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCollum, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.
The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.
Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.
Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.
Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanne Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.
First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.
Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.
Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.
Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.
We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbin, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
Jim Rivaldo
T.J. Anthony
John L. Ballestreros
Robert W. Barnes
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

**Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A**

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

**Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A**

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

**Supervisor Tom Ammiano**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

**PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING**

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

**Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance**

**Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association**

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelia Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCON EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco's taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwir, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related businesses: hotels, restaurants, taxi, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a Good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macy’s California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Pong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONIE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn't cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy. More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That's good for local jobs — that's good for San Francisco. Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses. Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allesandra Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Java Walk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner; Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin' the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a new bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco's property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City's economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City's restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans . . . and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City's existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco's restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioners and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

---

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco's largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City's restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

---

MOSCONEx EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City's economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFWC Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
 Gunnar Lundberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Via, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco's largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City's economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry's critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCON CENTRE

Leaders of the nation's largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city's convention facility. Presently, San Francisco's Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention deleges.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City's general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco's economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It's an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONNE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A.

Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.
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TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCON EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELEC-
TORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZ-
ING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE
FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGA-
TIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR
THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION
OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER
FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT,
FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE
SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.
RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors
acting pursuant to Charter Section 7 309(a) hereby
submits to the electorate of the City and County
of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing ar-
rangements with the City and County of San
Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-
profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of
indebtedness with respect to which shall not ex-
ceed the aggregate principal amount of One hun-
dred fifty seven million five hundred thousand
Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of ac-
quiring and constructing additional convention
center facilities and related equipment, fixtures
and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an
Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the
back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in.
You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES

NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller's Statement on "B"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City's Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that "the ballpark be developed privately", it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How "B" Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
Ballpark

PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston's Fenway Park and Chicago's Wrigley Field. It'll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City's economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let's do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOLLED. Taxpayers could pay for:
- Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (15 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).
- "Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.
- "Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPTHINHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:

• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work.
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

Jack Davis, Warren Hinkle, Ted Fang, Richard Schlackman,
Barbara Kolesar, Joyce Aldana, Vincent Anderson,
Elizabeth Rears, Amanda Nowinski-Gould

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won't spend any money on the ballpark's construction, something that hasn't happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco's taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Roxales
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors

Kenneth B. Cera  
Cheryl Arenson  
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee

Quentin L. Kopp  
Cheryl Arenson  
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola  
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38  
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Saararisky, Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shoikhet, Elizabeth Goldstein, Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yustin

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Hui, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merkl, III, James T. Fiorucci, Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina, Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen, David Sullivan, Jack Yacobi,n, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting YES on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessy, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Sarno, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelin, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City's young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONs FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town parks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public.
Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco.
Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrul, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Vicente M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.
No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my slantitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That’s why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excel-

lent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, (“Until There’s a Cure Day”). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow,
Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno,
Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katie, Dean Goodwin,
Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedscalzi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco’s TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free

bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we’ve been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY’S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term “sandlot” was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest "Chuck" Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Figer, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Noemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter's Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker's Union
Jim Sallinas, Union Representative, Carpenter's Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting YES on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Cathroth, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Joseph Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic-addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree

YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges A YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meaux
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
**PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B**

**CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK**

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

*Dean Macris*, Former San Francisco Director of Planning  
*Toby Rosenblatt*, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission  
*John Sanger*, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission  
*Yosio Nakashima*, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner  
*Douglas Wright*, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner  
*David Hartley*, Former Member, Landmarks Board

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

**GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES**

*Evelyn White, Melissa Bellero, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher*

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:
Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.
STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco."

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:  
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younhee Paik
John Berry  Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costello  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costelloe  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz  Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE

PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;

That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;

That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.[14] to read as follows:

"Section 249.[14] NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and 1-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-(4) of this Code."

Section 4. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.[14] to read as follows:

"a) Scope of Ordinance. This Ordinance shall apply to the property generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

b) Special Use District.

(1) Height and Bulk. A Special Use District shall be designated and made applicable to the property shown on the map attached hereto.

(2) Designated Height and Bulk.

(i) Height. The maximum height of the building shall be 150 feet as measured from the intended grade.

(ii) Bulk. The maximum bulk of the building shall be 120,000 cubic feet.

(iii) Setbacks. The minimum setbacks shall be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Height and Bulk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-X</td>
<td>Height and Bulk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>District be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-X</td>
<td>District be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 5. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."
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Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It’s as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.
Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 350 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
**Voter's Quick Reference Card**

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Superior Court Judge - Name**

- Seat 7
- Seat 11

**Municipal Court Judge**

- Seat 1

---

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

--------------------

9681-9682

---

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

---

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9681

Reform
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2000's, 2100's,
2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is
San Francisco, CA 941

Check One: [ ] Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. [ ] Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City [ ] State [ ] Zip Code

[ ] I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

[ ] I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

[ ] All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: [ ] Spanish, [ ] Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Date Signed /96

Day Time Phone

Night Time Phone

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address

[ ]
San Francisco
Voter Information
Pamphlet &
Sample Ballot

Consolidated
Primary Election
March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLL S ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached. BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Popsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: ______ / ______ / ______

Print your FIRST NAME
MI
Print your LAST NAME

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS

Print your MAILING ADDRESS

DAY Phone: (______) ______-______

EVE Phone: (______) ______-______

What language do you speak in addition to English:

I have a car: [ ] YES  [ ] NO
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24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.
In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters’ office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER (PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a “Permanent Absentee Voter” you must have at least one of the following conditions:

- Lost use of one or more limbs;
- Lost use of both hands;
- Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
- Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
- Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
- Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says “I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER” and sign your name where it says “Sign Here.”

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a “P” then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
BALLOT SIMPLIFICATION COMMITTEE
Nicholas DeLuca, Committee Chair
National Broadcast Editorial Association
Mary Jane Brinton
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
George Markell
The Northern California Newspaper Guild
Richard Miller
San Francisco Unified School District
John Odell
National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences,
Northern California Chapter
Julie Moll, Ex officio
Deputy City Attorney

The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS

Mayoral appointees: Ed Canapary, Kathleen Grogran, Susan Horsfall, Marcel Kapulica and Albert J. Reen.

Board of Supervisors appointees: Chris Bowman, Martha Knutzen, George Mix, Jr., Gail Morthole, Peter J. Nardozza and Samson W. Wong.

Ex officio members: Julie Moll, Deputy City Attorney and Germaine Q Wong, Registrar of Voters.

Appointed members represent political organizations, political parties, labor organizations, neighborhood organizations, business organizations and other citizens groups interested in the political process.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; 9
5. information for disabled voters; 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller’s Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. inside back cover
Q — Who can vote?  
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?  
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?  
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?  
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?  
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?  
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?  
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?  
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?  
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?  
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?  
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?  
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?  
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
- Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;

  OR

- Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?  
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

12th Assembly District

8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District

3rd State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

8th Congressional District

12th Congressional District
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN
YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva
su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

STEP 1
USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE
WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la
tarjeta de votar completamente
dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双手持票向自動機牌整張選票插入。

STEP 2
BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE
STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN
OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos
orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta
coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切記選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接
合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3
HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL (STRAIGHT
UP), PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN
THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO
INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT
USE PEN OR PENCIL.
Para votar, sostenga el instrumento
de votar y perforé con él la tarjeta de
votar en el lugar de los candidatos de
su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把選票之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入
打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at
the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

STEP 4
Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic,
doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y
entreguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，
沿虛線摺起選票交絡選舉站監選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

REFORM PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

改革黨選票

選民須知

如要表達你對提名總統候選人的優先選擇，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。你投票這一部分僅屬表達意見性質。出席全國大會的代表將在選票的選舉代表部分選出。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名競選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿選舉超過候選的候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選票卡的長方形票尾的空白上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的"YES"（贊成）或"NO"（反對）打孔。

如果選票有明顯污損或擦掉痕迹，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕壞了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA DEL PARTIDO DE REFORMA
INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificativo que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida a un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquiera medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "SI" o "NO" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y bordadura; esto anularía la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。
PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>總統優先選舉權</th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PREFERENCIA PRESIDENCIAL</td>
<td>Vote por Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidential Preference</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES HAVE QUALIFIED TO BE PLACED ON THE BALLOT FOR THE REFORM PARTY**

Ningún candidato presidencial ha calificado para ser listado en la balota para el Partido de Reforma

改革黨沒有合格總統候選人列入選票上。

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>全國大會代表</th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DELEGADOS PARA LA CONVENCION NACIONAL</td>
<td>Vote por Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegates to the National Convention</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO DELEGATION HAS FILED**

NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION  沒有代表團登記

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>美國眾議員－第12區</th>
<th>請選一名</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS, DISTRITO 12</td>
<td>Vote por Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE — 12th District</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED**

NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO  沒有候選人登記
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAMPLE BALLOT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARTID DE REFORMA</strong></td>
<td><strong>CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS</strong></td>
<td><strong>MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>26 DE MARZO DE 1996</strong></td>
<td><strong>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 12th District</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REFORM PARTY</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vote por Uno</strong></td>
<td><strong>Vote for One</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vote for One</strong></td>
<td><strong>NINGUN CANDIDATO</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>8th District</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 8</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE SENATOR — 8th District</strong></td>
<td><strong>没有候选人登记</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THIS OFFICE IS NOT UP FOR ELECTION UNTIL 1998</strong></td>
<td><strong>有特别选举的第12议会区</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No hay elección para este puesto hasta 1998</strong></td>
<td><strong>第12议区没有特别选举</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THERE IS NO SPECIAL ELECTION IN THE 12TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT</strong></td>
<td><strong>没有特别选举的第12议区</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
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PARTIDO DE REFORMA
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

REFORM PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
## SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

### NONPARTISAN BALLOT

**BALOTA APARTIDARIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>無黨派選票</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>高級法院法官，第七庭</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LILLIAN K. SING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge, Municipal Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez, Tribunal Municipal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>地方法庭法官</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>135</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 高級法院法官，第十一庭  |
| **JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 11**  |
| **Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11**  |
| **KEVIN MccARTHY** |
| Attorney/Law Professor  |
| Abogado/Profesor de Derecho  |
| 律師／法律教授  |
| **141** |

| 地方法院法官，第一庭  |
| **JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1**  |
| **Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1**  |
| **RON ALBERS** |
| Trial Attorney  |
| Abogado  |
| 審訊律師  |
| **149** |

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>KAY TSENIN</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogada Arbitro Mediadora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>律師／仲裁人／調解人</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>151</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>副市律師</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>153</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6E

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

YES 159
NO 161

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

YES 168
NO 170

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner's employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.

YES 177
NO 179
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSIÓN ANTISÍSMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puertos y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiera la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反対
1996年抗震新改建債券法案。本法案規
定發行一億二十億元的債券，為本州的橋
梁、公路高架橋和公路交叉維紐的抗震翻
新改建項目提供資金，規定這些資金僅用
於抗震新建改項目。本法案規定州審計
部長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用
於已確定的項目，並且規定將審計結果予
以公報。

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFEREN-ECIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el mo-
mento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反対
地產估價，贈與，祖傳房產轉移，立法院
的憲法修正案。修正州憲法，規定在符合
某些條件的前提下，祖孫之間購買新轉移
地產時，無須對其重新估價。財政影響：學
校，縣，市，以及帶區每年損失大約$100萬
的地產稅收入。學校收入的損失將由州政
府一般基金彌補。

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENE-
FICIOS DE DESEMPLEO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. EN-
MIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el
trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta,
mientras éste se hallé preso, no confiera al preso derecho a
recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反対
囚犯，合資項目，失業福利，假釋，立法院
的動議修正案。規定囚犯在監獄期間在
合資項目中工作，該囚犯在出獄後無資格
享受失業福利的權利。財政影響：總體財
政影響可能很輕微。

ALL-0-F6
195  PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196  PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197  AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTARDIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 赞成
CASTIGO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinato durante el pirateo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del pirateo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el pirateo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反对

194 SI 赞成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del pirateo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

196 NO 反对

202 SI 赞成
ENMIENDE DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPOSTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDE DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Readjudica anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1996 – 97 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

204 NO 反对

195

197

23
ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

211 SI 贊成
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el estado.

213 NO 反對
無黨派選票

218 SI 贊成
LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJO INGRESO. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serán de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成
SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito y el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對
無過錯機動車輛保險，即無過錯法。規範在大多數機動車輛事故中，無論哪一方有過錯，承保人均支付保險賠償金。規定有具體保險賠償項目以及賠償受傷的機動車輛保險。規定保險賠償金必須及時支付，或對保險人進行仲裁。財政影響：州及地方政府健康護理費用及其他開支的節省有可能每年超過$1億。州及地方政府的機動車輛登記以及保險稅收入損失每年數千萬元，有可能每年超過$1億。

ALL-0-F8

198
199
200
9E

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201  ATTORNEYS’ FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments. YES 237  NO 239

202  ATTORNEYS’ CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments. YES 246  NO 248

203  PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects. YES 253  NO 255
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

237 SI 贅成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos causados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes y estatutarios. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

239 NO 反對

246 SI 贅成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si se acepta, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no se acepta, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

248 NO 反對

253 SI 贅成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología Informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

255 NO 反對

1996年公立教育設施債券法案。這三十億元 (53,000,000,000) 的學校建設債券將有助於改進和建設加州公立學校。社區學院和州立大學中的教室、圖書館、和其他必需的設施。該資本將用於使現有的教室在未來的發展中更為安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，縮小每個班級的人數以及滿足人學人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建設項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES 263
NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES 268
NO 270

END OF BALLOT
| 263 | SI | ¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses? |
| 265 | NO | 市政府應否使用租用償款來擴建馬斯康尼會議中心，如果租用償款總額本息不超過$157.5百萬元? |
| 268 | SI | ¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin? |
| 270 | NO | 應否修改一些市法例以便在中國盆地 (China Basin)興建一個球場? |

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?
or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,
or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for ANIMALS SHOULD BE HUMAN NATURE.

DON'T YOU THINK?

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
KEVIN M. MCCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor

My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as "Defending Battered Women." I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson's most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56

My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:

California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
CANDIDATES FOR MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE, OFFICE #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
    Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
    In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
    I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
    I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics.
    I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


KAY TSENIN

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

    Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

    There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin

Ron Albers
MATTHEW ROTHSCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
- Honors — Georgetown University
- Hastings Law School
- Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
- Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
- Former Social Services Commissioner
- Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
- Past President, Alice B. Toklas
- Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
- Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russioniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shorestein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

### Paper • 紙張 • Papel
- **Office Paper**
- **Newspapers**
- **Magazines & Catalogs**
- **Junk Mail**
- **Paper Bags & Packaging**
- **Correspondencia Publicitaria**
- **Telephone Books**
- **Cereals & Other Dry Food Boxes**
- **Directorios Telefonícos**
- **Flat-Trimmed Cardboard**
- **塑料紙盒**
- **Reciclado**

### Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes
- **Tin/Steel Cans**
- **Plastic Bottles**
- **Aluminum Cans & Foil**
- **Glass Jars & Bottles**
- **Botes de Acrón/Estano**
- **Botellas de Plástico**
- **Papel de Aluminio y Botes**
- **Frascos y Botellas de Vidrio**

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A

Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES ➔

NO ➔

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"

On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.

The Supervisors voted as follows:


NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
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PROPONEENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City’s hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children’s programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city’s General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco’s economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPONEENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let’s work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it.

Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A:

San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

• immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City's convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City's General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City's debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City's favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco's economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshows market in the future.

The City's Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City's economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin "Ted" Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gillinan
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center's 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City's hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”

As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:

- It will create badly needed construction jobs;
- It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
- It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
- It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFFFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional-economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifi
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbin, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotel rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghlue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONEx EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees

YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposal A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City's restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing
Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONEx EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth

Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our "visitor industry" related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the forefront ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macy’s California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONC EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco's future. And it doesn't cost taxpayers anything! Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That's good for local jobs - that's good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Alessandro Barcaroli, Executive Secretary, Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, Krebs Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin' the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco's property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City's economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City's restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Buonokane, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamadian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy - hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans ... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS
Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

MOSCONE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS
The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 83

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Passio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City's economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers. To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it. The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco's largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City's economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry's critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCON CENTER

Leaders of the nation's largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city's convention facility. Presently, San Francisco's Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City's general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco's economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It's an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONNE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
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TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[ MOSCONIE EXPANSION BONDS ]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:

Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES
NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller's Statement on "B"

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng. The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.
PROPO II'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT: San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER: The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston's Fenway Park and Chicago's Wrigley Field. It'll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST: The ballpark will improve the City's economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT: China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME: It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let's do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Robert Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROPO II'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for:
- Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).
- Architectural Wonder? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.
- Economic Boost? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it. China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS' RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn't affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don't sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96 opposes Proposition B because:
• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:
• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank $ check. Vote no on Proposition B.
San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities ’96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That's needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It's a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America's finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That's a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley    Howard Epstein
Albert Chang    Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong    Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone    Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper    Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne    James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid into the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors

Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambience and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City's most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.

A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.

Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Ashia Muhammad, Amos T. White III,
Edward Seymour, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquanthian Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzine Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmitt Richardson,
Audie Aaron, Gregory Mayfield, Demaario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomez, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambbeei H. Hall
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BASEBALL has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikstern, Emil Sairarsky,
Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shokhket, Elizabeth Goldstein,
Josif Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yusin

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.

This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.

You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.

Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.

Please join us in voting YES on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Hui, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association
Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merki, III, James T. Fiorucci,
Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. DeVischen, Larry Willett, Joe Pavina,
Bart Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jayen,
David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco's excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don't cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessey, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer's Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salaro, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team's dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gellinas, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It is also will provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City's young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVisher, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street, Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street
Foundation
Edward Romain, Richard LaBue, Laura Vilafore,
Susan L. Escobar, James Payton, Oscar Morales,
Marina George, Scott Gould, Michael Hall, Robert Morales,
James Thomas Tatton, Jr., Cliff Flanagan, Matt Mastergeorge,
Patrick O’Connell III, Roderick David, Charlotte Martin,
Anthony Bourne, Edwin A. Williams, Susan Thompson,
Michelle Kuehl, Glenn Lynch, Ron Armstrong, James P. Elrod,
Benny Allen, Kimberly Barrish, Victoria Tillman, Walter Brown,
Mark Espinoza, Anthon Carter, Perry Henderson, David Miller,
Julie Brown, Mark Johnson, Vivian Miles, Robert Mitchell
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RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark. San Franciscans can take great pride in Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?

Louise Bea, Jack Bair, Mike Doyle, David Swope,
Walter Johnson, Rob McLeod, Robert Morales,
Edward J. Petrella, Barbara Kolesar, Richard Friedman,
Nelson Knoth, M. Andrew Madden, Eddie Marello,
George Abodeely, Brad Seaman, Anthony Criscuda,
John Piotrowski, Don Fisher, Ilene Shaw, Robert J. Mulcrevy,
Joe Peck, Man Cheung, Gary Fowler, Patrick Curry,
James Powell, David M. Berenthal, Sheryl Reuben,
Katherine Martinez, Susan A. Kendall, Robert Walker, Jr.,
Zoe Walker, Ernest Lira, John Walko, Jon Yamaguchi,
Brian F. Connors, Dirk Olin, Drew Dis, Peter Beltsio,
Kevin Asseo, E.S. Harlow, Maggie Muir, Lisa A. Hill,
Leonard Gross, Paul Scott, Mark Wayne, Ditas Solario,
Lindsy A. Clausen, Clarence J. Moya, Andrew J. Jurnius,
James A. Reuben
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SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK

The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrah, IB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee
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LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marquerite Gue, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
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HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
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Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my latitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B. Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, ("Until There's a Cure Day"). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Cullum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Kats, Dean Goodman, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedscalti

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term "sandlot" was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irakata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Montaño, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Norhemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmiña Izarraga, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Labors, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking parkiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O'Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City's many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAluliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That's why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sevell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges A YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City's ever demanding coffers.

Let's face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let's stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let's play ball for a change.
GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharby
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Beller, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithope, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

- Why no financial plan?
- Why no public hearings?
- Why no parking?
- Why only a ground lease?
- Why don't citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?

When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLANS opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40' height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco's waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco."

Don't bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including "private funding". Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
- Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
- Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
- Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
- Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
- Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.

For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younshee Paik
John Berry  Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costelloe  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costelloe  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz  Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE  
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

(1) The ballpark be developed privately.

(2) That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt.

(3) That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

(4) That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2. It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14[14] to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

(1) Definition. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Prohibited Uses. (a) An open-air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 25,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall be permitted as conditional uses.

(b) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of traffic in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark’s operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(3) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)(4) of this Code."

Section 4. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the following subsection (2) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code: "(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 5. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code: "(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Map to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property:
The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use District to be Superseded: M-2
Use District Hereby Approved: The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7. (a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"4. Within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code."

Section 8. It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) Amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) Request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9. Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals are my friends.

Find yourself a best friend. We’re open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today. 1200 15th Street, S.F. (415) 554-6364.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
- To register to vote, call 554-4398;
- To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
- For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
- For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
- For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.
1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sf/vote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Props

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Superior Court Judge - Name

Seat 7

Seat 11

Municipal Court Judge

Seat 1

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

__________________________

__________________________

__________________________

9681-9682

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

---

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107- 3606

Ballot Type
9682

Reform
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
12th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2300's, 2400's,
2500's, 2600's, 2800's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

- 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
- Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

- Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
- Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar’s Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is _______________________________ San Francisco, CA 941____

Check One: ☐ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. ☐ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City________________________ State________________ Zip Code________________________

☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here
Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped
Accessible.

Mailing Address

Date Signed
Day Time Phone
Night Time Phone

/96
San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet & Sample Ballot

Consolidated Primary Election March 26, 1996

New Main Library, Proposition A, November 8, 1988

POLLs ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER HONOR ROLL

This year's HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995 Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.

BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: __________ / __________ / __________

Print your FIRST NAME ____________________________ MI ____________________________ Print your LAST NAME ____________________________

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS ____________________________ ZIP CODE __________

Print your MAILING ADDRESS ____________________________ ZIP CODE __________

DAY Phone: (__________) __________ - __________

EVE Phone: (__________) __________ - __________

What language do you speak in addition to English: ____________________________

I have a car: □ YES □ NO
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24 January 1996

Dear Voter:

**IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS**

For registered voters who’ve moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554.4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your **driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents**, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you’ve moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the **wrong polling place** - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

**CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY**

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. **For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.**

**IT'S NOT A MISTAKE**

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

**Democracy depends on you - VOTE!**

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:

• 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
• 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
• 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER
(PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL) QUALIFICATIONS

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a "Permanent Absentee Voter" you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says "I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER" and sign your name where it says "Sign Here."

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTERS

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the bar code above your address. If the number starts with a "P" then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Important Facts About Absentee Voting
Also Known as Vote-By-Mail

APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT

Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel).
Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, birthdate and residence address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residence address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT

To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING

If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. S/he will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

MAIL DELIVERY OF VOTER PAMPHLETS

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

PURPOSE OF THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); .............. 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; .............................................................. (see the label on the back cover)
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; .................. back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; ............................................................... 9
5. information for disabled voters; .......................................................... 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; ................................................................. 33-36
7. information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; .................. 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and .......................................................... 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting. .......................................................... inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar's Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only "qualified" write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don't know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   • Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
   OR
   • Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

- **12th Assembly District**
- **8th State Senate District**
- **13th Assembly District**
- **3rd State Senate District**

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

- **8th Congressional District**
- **12th Congressional District**
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
<td>is for voters within these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

*Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.*

Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!

---

San Francisco Curbside Recycling

Call 310-CURB
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de voto y obtenga otra.

STEP 1
USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.
Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de voto completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
请双持票向自動機将整張選票插入。

STEP 2
BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.
Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
请切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3
HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL [STRAIGHT UP]. PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.
Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de voto y perforé con él la tarjeta de voto en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
请把带錶之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

STEP 4
Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la hoja a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votacion.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，沿虛線摺起選票交給選舉站經選員。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
To express your preference for a candidate for nomination for President, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Your vote in this portion of the ballot is advisory only. Delegates to the national convention will be elected in the delegate selection portion of the ballot.
To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.
To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.
To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.
If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

REFORM PARTY BALLOT

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
Para expresar su preferencia por un candidato que será nombrado Presidente, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Su voto en esta porción de la balota sirve sólo como guía. Los delegados a la convención nacional serán elegidos en la porción de la balota de selección de delegados.
Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.
Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.
Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "Sí" o "No" para dicha medida.
Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca y borrador; esto anularía la balota.
Si usted se equivoca al votar, o si rompe y daña la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NO PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES HAVE QUALIFIED TO BE PLACED
ON THE BALLOT FOR THE REFORM PARTY

Ningún candidato presidencial ha calificado para ser
listado en la balota para el Partido de Reforma

改革黨沒有合格總統候選人置於選票上。

全美大會代表
DELEGADOS PARA LA CONVENCION NACIONAL
Delegates to the National Convention

NO DELEGATION HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUNA DELEGACION
没有代表團登記

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO
没有候選人登記
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

2

州参议员, 第三区
SENADOR ESTATAL, DISTRITO 3
STATE SENATOR — 3rd District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO 没有候选人登记

SPECIAL ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Vacancy in Current Term)
ELECCION ESPECIAL
DISTRITO 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (ACTUALMENTE VACANTE)
特别选举
第13众议院（现任有空缺）

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州众议员－第13区
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

CAROLE MIGDEN
San Francisco County Supervisor
Supervisor del Condado de San Francisco 三藩市县参议员

REGULAR ELECTION
13th Assembly District (Term from 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)
ELECCION REGULAR
DISTRITO 13 DE LA ASAMBLEA (PARA SERVIR DEL 12/3/96 – 12/2/98)
定期选举
第13众议院（任期自1996年12月3日起至1998年12月2日止）

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 13 加州众议员－第13区
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY — 13th District

NO CANDIDATE HAS FILED
NO SE HA PRESENTADO NINGUN CANDIDATO 没有候选人登记
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

3

PARTIDOS DE REFORMA
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
26 DE MARZO DE 1996

REFORM PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

4

PARTIDO DE REFORMA
CONSOLIDADAS PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS
MARCH 26 DE MARZO DE 1996

REFORM PARTY
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK
Esta página queda en blanco
在本頁留空
# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NONPARTISAN BALLOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BALOTA APARTIDARIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>高級法院法官，第七庭</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LILLIAN K. SING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge, Municipal Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez, Tribunal Municipal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>地方法庭法官</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>請選一名</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote par Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 →</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>高級法院法官，第十一庭</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEVIN McCARTHY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney/Law Professor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogado/Profesor de Derecho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>律師／法律教授</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>現任者</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>請選一名</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote par Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143 →</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>地方法院法官，第一庭</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RON ALBERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial Attorney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>當事律師</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAY TSENIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogada Arbitro Mediadora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>律師／仲裁人／調停人</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>副市律師</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>請選一名</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote par Uno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149 →</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 151 → |
| 153 → |
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL. EXCEPTION. GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS. JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM. UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI  贊成  LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emision de bonos de dos mil millones de dolares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los puentes y las puertas sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO  反对  192  1966年抗灾翻新改建债券法案。本法案规定发行一系列二十亿元的债券，为本州的桥梁、高速公路和城市交通系统的抗灾翻新改建项目提供资金。规定这些资金仅用于抗灾翻新改建项目。本法案规定州审计长应进行独立审计，以确保这些资金仅用于已确定的项目，并且规定将审计结果予以公布。

168 SI  贊成  TASACION DE PROPIEDADES, EXENCION, TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO  反对  193  地产估价：豁免，祖—孙地产转移。立法院修正案。修正州宪法，规定在符合某些条件的前提下，祖孙之间购买或转移地产时，无须对其重新估价。财政影响：学校，县，市，以及特区每年损失大约$100万的地产税收入。学校收入的损失将由州政府一般基金弥补。

177 SI  贊成  PRESOS, PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA, BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLEO, LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL, ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras éste se halle preso, no confiera al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO  反对  因犯，合营项目，失业福利，假释。立法院的修正案。规定在囚犯在监狱期间在合营项目工作，仅因犯人在狱后无资格享受失业福利的权利。财政影响：总督财政影响可能很微。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion’s status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIROTEO DE AUTOMOVILES. ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade el asesinado durante el piroteo de un automóvil, el asesinado que resulte de un secuestro que sea parte del piroteo de un automóvil y el asesinado intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el piroteo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

194 SI 贊成
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO. CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES. DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Añade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 185 son aprobadas, el disparar desde vehículos en movimiento, los asesinatos resultantes del piroteo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

195

196 NO 反對

ENMIENZA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117), PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Deroga la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Reajuda anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitat desde los años 1996 - 97 hasta 1998 - 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información públicas sobre los pumas.

197 修訂1992年加州野生動物保護法案。第117號提案。立法院的動議修正案。該法案授權立法院對本州進行管理以保護加州的居民與資源。取締山豹的特殊保護哺乳動物資格，財政影響：從1996-97到1998-99每年估計發放金額為$250,000，此後每年最高發放額為$100,000，用於山豹管理。州政府可能每年最多支付$250,000，用於與山豹有關的安全與公共資訊項目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

8E
NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

198 ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate's political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

YES 211 —
NO 213 —

199 LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

YES 218 —
NO 220 —

200 NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

YES 226 —
NO 228 —
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDADRA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATUALES

211 SI 贊成

ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuran los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

213 NO 反對

218 SI 贊成

LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJSOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohibe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio al alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

220 NO 反對

226 SI 贊成

SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHÍCULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicas aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de las reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.

228 NO 反對

無黨派選票

198

199

200

無過錯機動車輛保障。動議法案規定在大多數機動車輛事故中，無論哪一方有過錯，承保人均支付保障責任金。規定有具體保障項目以及賠償受傷的機動車輛保障。規定保障責任金必須及時支付，或對事故進行仲裁。財政影響：州及地方政府的機動車輛登記以及保險稅收入損失每年數千萬元，有可能每年超過$1億。
## SAMPLE BALLOT

**CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

### 9E

**NONPARTISAN BALLOT**

**CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996**

**MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>201</strong></td>
<td>ATTORNEYS’ FEES, SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party’s attorneys’ fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff’s bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>202</strong></td>
<td>ATTORNEYS’ CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff’s contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>203</strong></td>
<td>PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

→ 237 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos coasionales por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes burátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

→ 239 NO 反对

→ 246 SI 贊成
HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptado, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptado, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

→ 248 NO 反对

→ 253 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres millones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarán a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología de la información del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas.

→ 255 NO 反对

1996年公立教育设施建设债券法案。这三十亿美元（$3,000,000,000）的学校建设债券将有助于改进和加深加州公立学校，社区学院和州立大学中的教室，实验室，和其他必需的设施。该资金将用于使现有的教室在未来地震中更为安全，使教室装备21世纪的电脑技术，缩小每班学生的人数以及满足入学人数的增长。该债券只能用于经批准的学校建设项目。

ALL-O-F9 27
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  
   YES 263  
   NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?  
   YES 268  
   NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

→ 263 SI 贊成 Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

→ 265 NO 反對

→ 268 SI 贊成 Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de béisbol localizado en China Basin?

→ 270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. **YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT**, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

**AND, you must bring proof of your new residence:** a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

**AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.**

**CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,**

**or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.**

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

**DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.**
Respect for Animals Should Be Human Nature. Don't you think?

Find yourself a best friend. We're open 7 days a week, 12:00 to 5:30.

Animal Care & Control
City and County of San Francisco

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F
(415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee - Photos and Artwork composed by Masterfile Prepress Services
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco’s first judicial forum “Access To Justice” attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named “Trial Judge of the Year” by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the “Outstanding Jurist” award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the “Living Treasure” Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.

I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Candidates for Superior Court Judge, Office #11

KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56
My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

- Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
- 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
- Published author in many respected national legal journals
- Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
- Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
- Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
- Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

- Active in community, civic and church activities
- Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp
Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTHOUSE EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation’s first battered women’s shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation’s highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tsenin

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-temp in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Koubra
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Kay Tsenin
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

MATTHEW ROTHSCCHILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Bermán, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder

SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbrion,
Thomas Smegal, robert wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you've finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco's curbside and apartment recycling programs:

**Paper • 紙張 • Papel**

- Office Paper 記得將這本小冊子回收
- 搭公室及其他用紙
- Papel de Oficina
- Newspapers 報紙
- Periodicos
- Magazines & Catalogs 計劃，可以回收十二種物品。
- 雜誌及目錄冊
- Revistas y Catálogos
- Junk Mail 廣告郵件
- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes 穀類及其他乾食品盒
- Cajas de Cereal y Otros Comestibles Sacos
- Paper Bags & Packaging 壓扁的紙皮盒
- Bolsas de Papel y Papel de Empaquetar
- Flattened Cardboard 信封
- Telephone Books 廣播電話簿
- Directorios Teléfonicos

**Containers • 鋁箔紙及盒 • Recipientes**

- Tin/Steel Cans 索取監箱或查詢路邊回收資料，
- Botes de Acero/Estaño 請電 330-2872。
- Aluminum Cans & Foil 索取防止浪費及回收資料，
- Papel de Aluminio y Botes 請電三藩市回收計劃二十
- & Botellas 四小時熱線 554-6193。
- 報紙
- Glass Jars & Bottles
- For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
- 錫罐
- For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the
- 玻璃瓶、樽
- San Francisco Recycling Program's 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”
1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors; or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”
1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO’S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City’s cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,300 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
Convention Center Bonds

PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?

YES ➡
NO ➡

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on “A”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on “A”
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot.
The Supervisors voted as follows:
NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.
ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
CONVENTION CENTER BONDS

PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSER'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county Jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City's credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

- immediate construction jobs;
- 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
- $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
- expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
- a boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
Convention Center Bonds

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES

Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TODCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out of town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be offset several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion
The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation’s top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Shelley Says Moscone Expansion is “Good for San Francisco”
As the President of the Board of Supervisors I see many projects proposed for our City. Few proposals have as many benefits for San Francisco as the Moscone Expansion project.

Proposition A is good for San Francisco for four main reasons:
• It will create badly needed construction jobs;
• It will create 2000 new permanent jobs;
• It will boost the City’s economy and related industries;
• It will not cost local taxpayers a dime.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco. I urge you to join me and all of my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors in their support of Proposition A.

Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City
Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future.

After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer
More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City’s budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCollion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFCCF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry.

Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanne Haney
Lee Ann Prifiti
Greg Day

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Gays/ Lesbians / Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioners contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piedadcalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz
T.J. Anthony
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING PROPOSITION A
I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City’s economy is the hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world’s favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco’s economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City’s hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent. This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City’s General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donaghue, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on; Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City's restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City's report, direct spending on our City's restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco's restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto's Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don't support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco's economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONEx EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco's taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers' Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the forefront ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center.

Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONCE EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything!
Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.
More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.
Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.
Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allessandro Barcari, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour

No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Brizzzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.
We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.
Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.
In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco’s minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting Yes on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F., Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assoc.

MOSCONE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibitions, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Jean-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lundberg, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Art Vlat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCONCE CENTER

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONCE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about Jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I've twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a "no" vote on Proposition A.

It's a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it's hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn't the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don't object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you've every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don't think I'm alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I'd be untrue to my principles if I didn't oppose Proposition A.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
TEXT OF ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING BOND ELECTION
PROPOSITION A

[MOSCONE EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.
RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7.309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar non-profit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
PROPOSITION B
Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin. Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:
- A ban on non-maritime construction until a “Waterfront Land Use Plan” is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.
- A ballpark could be built even if the “Waterfront Land Use Plan” has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A “YES” VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A “NO” VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

Controller’s Statement on “B”
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot
On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alicto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.
The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year. ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT'S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Robert Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

"Privately Built"? DON'T BE FOOLLED. Taxpayers could pay for: Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire). 

"Architectural Wonder"? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

"Economic Boost"? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

"Accessible and Convenient"? Proposition B states, "...there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking" for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, "Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors."

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

- It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
- The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
- Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
- China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
- The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
- Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
- This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
- An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
- Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

- NO financial plans have been shown.
- NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
- NO public hearings have been held.
- There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
- There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.
- This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT’S TIME TO ACT.

San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it’s time to act.

FINANCIALLY SOUND.

The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco’s financial burden, making a contribution to the City’s general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.

Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still “the City that knows how.”

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join with us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD POES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

The Honorable Kevin Shelley, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Angela Alioto, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Carole Migden, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Tom Hsieh, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Mabel Teng, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Susan Leal, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Willie B. Kennedy, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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Ballpark

PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco's precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid to the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boost to the local economy and generates tax dollars. That's why the only genuine taxpayers' association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we've had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That's why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn't utilize or compete with any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants' nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp's Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco's world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp's Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote "YES" on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.
A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come.
Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor
Joseph W. Barnes, Rev. Arnold Townsend, Sabrina Saunders,
Evelyn White, Mark Crutchfield, Omar Y. Lott,
Edward Robinson, Adam A. Banks, Samuel Smith,
Milton Funchess, Cortez Smith, George Brown, Jr.,
Gordon Gregg, Mark Anderson, David McCauley,
Michael Jon Hager, Samuel Varnado, Bobby Dawkins,
Vida Edwards, Askia Muhammad, Anous T. White III,
Edward Seymour, Larry Edmond, Mark Harris,
Virgil N. Herndon, Jr., Robin Aquarhian Robinson, Jr.,
Ronald McClure, Manzie Miller, Darrell Washington,
Johnican George, Ollie Brooks, James Norman, Shannon Boyd,
Alvin James Young, David Rush, Emmit Richardson,
Audie Brown, Gregory Mayfield, Demario Reed,
Gwenda Moore, Beatrice Richardson, George Shepherd,
Carl M.A. McCarden, Louise Gomes, Harry James,
Lydia A. Jokes, Reginald S. Burton, Jr., Randall Fragg,
Mike Davis, Louis Armstrong, Ambietet H. Hall

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.
This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.
You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B.
If you care about kids, join us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.
Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma,
Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Cheu, Jason Wong,
Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi,
Jose-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi-Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning reaffirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve.

A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessy, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salaro, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

All of us at the Until There's A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team's dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join with us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappiello, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event's Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operations Manager, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There's A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There's A Cure Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco's public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City's young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonino Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Phillip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open-up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, II Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation's most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Jerry Nelson, Dennis Herrera, Dennis Edelman, Kathleen E. McCawley, Suzanne B. Dingmar, Tina Carroll, Daniel B. Pleasants, Matthew Hartman, Eugene L. Cook, David Rich, Roger L. Hubbard, Scott Petersen, Susan Zeire, Aaron Darsky

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want to make it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrath, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Ofelia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.

No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK
San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting ‘Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor
Anna Shimko, Cherie James, Roberta Boomer,
Sharon Eberhardt, Sharon Brelitz, Eva Royale, Elmy Bermejo,
Linda Post, Evelyn White, Alison Louie,
Amanda Nowinski-Gould, Deana Welker, Rebecca Ames,
Marina Boris, Shannon Wilson, Juliette Lopez, Shelah Moody,
Dana Soares, Toni Gill, Johnnie L. Rollins, Joyce Aldana,
Rita H. Myers, Verta Vinson, Janett McCoy, Marcia Brown,
Stephanie D. Feiring, Diana Flores, Alice Fialkin,
Marie Hollins, Karen Huggins, Beverly F. Stanberry,
Mary Hall Terry, Mary Y. Jung, Patricia Probasco,
Kathryn Gordoix, Lisa Ann Washington, Delores Banks,
Julie Anderson, Marika D. Cooper, Vida Edwards, Ann Kelley,
Karen Lewis, Alice Drake, Denise J. Muniz, Lynette Wells,
Vinita Trice, Brenda Sapp Megginson, Catherine A. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

 Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my lassitude toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, "(Until There's a Cure Day"). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club
Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carole Migden, Supervisor
Susan Lefal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrun, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Callum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piedscaleti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term "sandlot" was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City, Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fixler, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monica, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Lucimila Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

· WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can’t afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Foy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifli, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicapped-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caedo, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cady Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges a YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn't cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yosio Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Bello, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinhorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derek Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Baer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.

STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedent blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.
For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz Cathy Githens Younhee Paik
John Berry Carol Granados Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte Diane Harwood Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costelloe Rick Lowe William Shoemaker
Ann Costelloe Wesley Lowe Robert Stone
Nancy Decker Garrett Mitchell Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz Thor Muller Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE
PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.
It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:
That the ballpark be developed privately;
That the financing of the ballpark’s construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;
That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and
That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.
It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.[14] to read as follows:

"Section 249.[14] NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the ‘Northeast China Basin Special Use District,’ the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes
(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including, but not limited to, general offices, shopping and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that
(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.
(b) Controls
(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.
(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.
(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark’s operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.
(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such to establish that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1-4) of this Code.”

Section 4.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Descriptive Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk Height and Bulk Distict to be District Hereby Superseded Approved
40-X 150-X

Section 5.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(b) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

“(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark.”

Section 6.
Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use District to be Superseded
M-2

Use District Hereby Approved
The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7.
(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

“This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District.”

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

“h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.[14] of the Planning Code.”

Section 8.
It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and
(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.
Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.
If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
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I love animals are my friends.

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It's as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member, Assembly Special</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superior Court Judge - Name</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Props | YES | NO |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

__________________________

__________________________

__________________________

9683

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters
City and County of San Francisco
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type
9683

Reform
13th Assembly District
3rd Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
3000's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

🇺🇸 200 polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
🇺🇸 Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

☐ Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
☐ Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar’s Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is__________________________________________________________San Francisco, CA 941

Check One: ☐ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. ☐ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City ____________________________State ____________Zip Code ____________

☐ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

☐ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

☐ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: ☐ Spanish, ☐ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here ☐

Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place Handicapped Accessible.

Mailing Address

Date Signed /96

Day Time Phone

Night Time Phone

This page must be initialed by eligible voter.