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POLLs ARE OPEN FROM 7 AM TO 8 PM
PLEASE SEE THE LABEL ON THE BACK COVER FOR THE LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE.
POLLING PLACE/POLL WORKER
HONOR ROLL

This year’s HONOR ROLL is being dedicated to all of you that participated in the December 12, 1995
Mayoral Run-Off election. We want to thank you for braving the storm, working without electricity, enduring
flooded garages and a host of other problems. You pulled together as a team to come up with innovative
ideas for getting through the day and helping to make the San Francisco voting process work.

3,000 POLL WORKERS NEEDED FOR THE 1996 ELECTIONS

For the Presidential Primary and General Elections in March and November, we will need 3,000 poll
workers. Our core group of volunteer poll workers is slowly dwindling and now is under 1,000. In order to
staff 650 polling places, we need more voters to volunteer a day to be a poll worker. If you are able to set
aside March 26 and November 5, we need you.

As a volunteer Poll Worker you need to attend a training session the weekend before the election. On
election day you start at 6:30 a.m. and finish at approximately 9:00 p.m. Poll Workers who pick up and
deliver ballot boxes as well as act as coordinators are reimbursed $79 for the day. Poll Workers with lesser
responsibilities are reimbursed $62 for the day. Volunteer one or two days each year to work at a polling
place on election day.

We are also looking for recreation rooms, lobbies, or garages to serve as polling places.

Get involved; be a part of the process!

EQUAL CIVIC DUTY OPPORTUNITY - SIGN UP TODAY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS - POLL WORKER APPLICATION

I am a Resident of San Francisco and a REGISTERED VOTER of San Francisco. If I am not currently registered to vote, my registration form is attached.
BRING THIS FORM IN PERSON to: Registrar of Voters, Room 107, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107.

Date of BIRTH: [ ] / [ ] / [ ]

Print your FIRST NAME [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] MI [ ] Print your LAST NAME [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

Print your RESIDENCE ADDRESS [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] ZIP CODE [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

Print your MAILING ADDRESS [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] ZIP CODE [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

DAY Phone: ( [ ] [ ] [ ] ) [ ] [ ] [ ] - [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

EVE Phone: ( [ ] [ ] [ ] ) [ ] [ ] [ ] - [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

What language do you speak in addition to English: ____________________________

I have a car: [ ] YES [ ] NO
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Dear Voter:

**IMPORTANT CHANGES IN LAW FOR VOTERS**

For registered voters who've moved within San Francisco to a new residence - and in San Francisco that's about a third of you - federal and state laws have changed which will allow you to vote - even if you haven't re-registered to vote! However, you must vote at your new polling place or at our office. Call our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place for your new address. **DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.** When you vote, you will need to show proof of your current residence.

Acceptable proof are: your driver's license, or your California identification card, or 2 of the following documents, which have your current name and residence address printed on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Statement</th>
<th>Utility bill (electric, gas, phone)</th>
<th>College or university fee card or student identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease agreement</td>
<td>Vehicle registration</td>
<td>Preprinted check or bank deposit slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax return</td>
<td>Property tax statement</td>
<td>Mail addressed to the voter at current address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage statement</td>
<td>Military identification</td>
<td>Sworn statement from a voter in the precinct that s/he knows and can identify you as the person who is attempting to vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you've moved and haven't re-registered, but you want to vote by mail, you will need to send in your absentee ballot request before February 26, 1996. If you miss this deadline, you may not vote by mail. However, you will still be able to vote at the Registrar's office during regular business hours between February 26 and March 26, or you can go to the polling place for your new residence address on election day.

For voters who go to the wrong polling place - your ballot will now be counted if you are eligible to vote for the candidates on the ballot you receive. For example, you don't know where your polling place is, but you see one near where you live or work. As long as the ballot at that polling place is only for candidates running for congress, the state senate and assembly in your districts, your ballot will be counted.

Example: You live in the Western Addition and decide to vote near your workplace downtown. Your votes will be counted, because both areas are in the same Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.

Example: You live in the Sunset District and decide to vote at a polling place near where you work along the Embarcadero, your ballot will not be counted, because the Sunset District and the Embarcadero are in different Congressional, State Senate, and Assembly Districts.
If you don't know where to go vote, you can always vote at the Registrar's Office, or you can call our office at 554. 4375 for the address of the polling place for your new residence.

CANDIDATES FOR YOUR PARTY ONLY

March 26 is a primary election, so you will be voting for your political party's candidates for the November 1996 general election. If you're a Democrat - almost 62% of San Francisco voters are registered Democrats - you will not see Lamar Alexander, Steve Forbes, Bob Dole, Phil Gramm, or Ralph Nader on your ballot. If you registered as "decline to state," you will not be voting for President, Congressional Representative, or State Senate. If you thought you registered as an "independent," meaning that you didn't want to register with any political party, but you checked "American Independent," you will be getting a ballot with American Independent Party candidates. For voters who wish to vote for specific candidates, you need to belong to their political party. If you want to vote for candidates who belong to different political parties, you will not be able to do so until November. For the March primary, you have until February 26 to change your political party registration.

IT'S NOT A MISTAKE

For those of you living in the 13th Assembly District, you will see the race for that seat on the ballot twice - one right after the other. This is due to the fact that the Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr. resigned his 13th Assembly District seat when he was elected Mayor of San Francisco. To fill the remainder of his Assembly term ending December 2, 1996, a special election will be held simultaneously with the March 26 primary election. Thus, voters in the 13th Assembly District will see that title twice on their ballot - once for the special election, and once for the regular primary election. And, the special election is not by political party, so every voter in the 13th Assembly District will be able to vote for the candidate running. For the regular primary election, you will be able to vote only for candidates of your party who are running for the 13th Assembly District. This year, there is only one Democrat running. There are no candidates from the other political parties for this opening.

Voters in the 12th Assembly District do not have a special election, just the regular primary election.

If you have any questions regarding your polling place, your political party, your eligibility to vote, or this election, please call our office at 554. 4375.

Mark your calendar and remember to vote on March 26.

Democracy depends on you - VOTE!

Germaine Q Wong
Registrar of Voters
ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

ABSENTEE VOTING — All voters may request that an absentee ballot be mailed to them, or they may vote in person at Room 109, 633 Folsom Street from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are:
- 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24;
- 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

In addition, voters with specified disabilities listed below may apply to become Permanent Absentee Voters. Ballots for all future elections will automatically be mailed to Permanent Absentee Voters.

TAPE RECORDINGS — The San Francisco Public Library for the Blind and Print Handicapped, 3150 Sacramento Street, produces and distributes tape-recorded copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet for use by visually impaired voters.

T.D.D. (TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR THE DEAF) — Hearing-impaired or speech-impaired voters who have a TDD may communicate with the San Francisco Registrar of Voters' office by calling 554-4386.

ON ELECTION DAY:

ASSISTANCE — Persons unable to complete their ballot may bring one or two persons with them into the voting booth to assist them, or they may ask poll workers to provide assistance.

CURBSIDE VOTING — If architectural barriers prevent an elderly or disabled voter from entering the polling place, poll workers will bring the necessary voting materials to the voter in front of the polling place.

PARKING — If their polling place is in a residential garage, elderly and handicapped voters may park in the driveway while voting, provided they do not block traffic.

READING TOOLS — Every polling place has large-print instructions on how to vote and special sheets to magnify the type on the ballot.

SEATED VOTING — Every polling place has at least one voting booth which allows voters to vote while sitting in a chair or a wheelchair.

VOTING TOOLS — Every precinct has an easy-grip pen for signing the roster and an easy-grip tool for punching the ballot.

permanent absentee voter
(permanent vote-by-mail) qualifications

If you are physically disabled, you may apply to be a permanent absentee voter. Once you are on our permanent absentee voter mailing list, we will automatically mail an absentee ballot to you for every election until you move, re-register, or do not vote. If you do not vote in a statewide election, you will no longer be a permanent absentee voter; however, you will remain on the voter roll, unless this office has been informed that you no longer live at the address at which you are registered.

To be a "Permanent Absentee Voter" you must have at least one of the following conditions:

___ Lost use of one or more limbs;
___ Lost use of both hands;
___ Unable to move about without the aid of an assistance device (e.g., cane, crutches, walker, wheelchair);
___ Suffering from lung disease, blindness or cardiovascular disease;
___ Significant limitation in the use of the lower extremities; or
___ Suffering from a diagnosed disease or disorder which substantially impairs or interferes with mobility.

To become a permanent absentee voter, complete the Absentee Ballot Application form on the back cover and return it to the Registrar of Voters, Room 109, 633 Folsom Street, San Francisco, CA 94107. Check the box that says "I apply to become a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER" and sign your name where it says "Sign Here."

If you move, re-register, or do not vote, you will need to apply again to be a Permanent Absentee Voter. In all other cases, you do not need to re-apply.

important notice to permanent absentee voters

If you have already registered as a permanent absentee voter, your ballot will be mailed by the end of the last week in February. To find out if you are registered as a permanent absentee voter, please look at the eight digit number printed above the barcode above your address. If the number starts with a "P" then you are a permanent absentee voter. If you have not received your absentee ballot by March 11, please call 554-4375.
Any voter may receive an absentee ballot. You no longer need a reason (e.g. illness, travel). Any registered voter may request one.

Permanent Absentee Voters. The disabled may apply to become permanent absentee voters. A permanent absentee voter will automatically receive a ballot each election without having to apply each time. However, when a permanent absentee voter moves or re-registers, s/he must re-apply for permanent status. Frequent travellers are not eligible for permanent absentee voter status. They must apply for an absentee ballot for each election. An application to be a permanent absentee voter is on the back cover of this pamphlet.

Third Party Delivery of Absentee Ballot Applications. Unless you know and trust the person delivering your application for an absentee ballot, you should deliver or mail it directly to the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Political campaigns often ask voters to mail their applications to their campaign headquarters, and the campaigns then add the information you provide to their files and mailing lists. This may delay your application by as much as three weeks, causing you to miss the application deadline. If you receive an absentee ballot application from a campaign, we recommend that you mail it directly to the San Francisco Registrar of Voters.

Applications. We strongly recommend that voters use the application provided on the back cover of this voter information pamphlet and include the mailing label with the bar code. This form with the bar code on the label allows us to process your request more rapidly.

If you do not have that application form, you may send us another application form or a post card with your request for an absentee ballot. Please print your name, date of birth and address, the address where you want the ballot sent if it is different from your residency address, your day and night telephone numbers, your signature and the date you are making your request. You may “fax” your request to this office at (415) 554-4372.

RETURNING YOUR ABSENTEE BALLOT
To be counted, your ballot must arrive in the Office of the Registrar of Voters or any San Francisco polling place by 8 p.m. on Election Day. If your ballot arrives after that time, it will not be counted. A postmark on your absentee ballot return envelope before or on Election Day is not acceptable if the ballot arrives after 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Never make any identifying marks on your ballot card. Do not sign or initial your ballot card. Your ballot is no longer considered secret if there is such a mark, and thus it cannot be counted. This is also true for the write-in stub if you vote for a write-in candidate.

“Cleaning” your ballot card. After punching out the holes corresponding to your choices, you will notice that there are many little paper chips hanging from the back of your card. These hanging paper chips must be removed from the back of the card, or they will fall back into their holes as if you had never punched them, and thus those votes will not be counted.

You must sign your name on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. You must personally sign the envelope in the space provided. No one else, including individuals with the power of attorney, is permitted to sign for you. If your signature is not on the envelope, it will not be opened and the ballot will not be counted. Also, be sure not to damage the Bar Code that is printed on your Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. It helps us to process your ballot faster.

Third party delivery of ballots. If you do not mail your absentee ballot and are unable to deliver your ballot to the Registrar of Voters or a polling place, only your spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sister or brother can return your absentee ballot for you. However, when you have your ballot returned by a third party, you and that person must complete the appropriate sections on the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope. Your ballot will not be counted unless those sections have been completed properly.

EMERGENCY VOTING
If you become ill or disabled within seven days of an election and are unable to go to your polling place, you may request in a written statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a ballot can be delivered by your authorized representative. She will receive your ballot after presenting the statement at the Office of the Registrar of Voters. Most hospitals and many nursing homes provide assistance for their patients.

You or your authorized representative may return the ballot to the Registrar of Voters or to a polling place. If your authorized representative returns the ballot, the appropriate sections of the Absentee Ballot Return Envelope must be completed. THESE BALLOTS MAY NOT BE MAILED.
The Ballot Simplification Committee prepares summaries ("The Way It Is Now," "the Proposal," "A 'Yes' Vote Means," and "A 'No' Vote Means") of measures placed on the ballot each election. The Committee also prepares: a table of contents, an index of candidates and measures, a brief explanation of the ballot pamphlet, definitions of terms in the pamphlet, a summary of voters' basic rights, and a statement as to the term, compensation and duties of each local elective office.

The Committee studies and makes advisory recommendations to the officers of the City and County on all matters relating to voter registration, elections and the administration of the Office of the Registrar of Voters. It investigates compliance with the requirements of Federal, State and local election and campaign reporting, disclosure laws and other statutes relating to the conduct of elections in San Francisco, promotes citizen participation in the electoral process, and studies and reports on all election matters referred to it by various officers of the City and County.

The San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and Sample Ballot is scheduled to be mailed the middle of February. If you registered to vote before January 27, you should receive your Voter Information Pamphlet by March 1.

If you registered to vote or changed your registration after February 7, your Voter Information Pamphlet will be mailed beginning March 10.

If you do not receive your Voter Information Pamphlet in a timely fashion, please notify your local Post Office.

This Voter Information Pamphlet provides voters with information about the March 26, 1996 Consolidated Primary Election. The pamphlet includes:

1. a Sample Ballot (a copy of the ballot you will see at your polling place or when you vote by mail); 14-30
2. the location of your polling place; (see the label on the back cover) 33-36
3. an application for an Absentee (Vote-By-Mail) Ballot and for permanent absentee voter status; back cover
4. Your rights as a voter; 9
5. information for disabled voters; 6
6. statements from candidates who are running for local office; 33-36
7. Information about each local ballot measure, including a summary, how it got on the ballot, the Controller's Statement, arguments for and against the measure, and the legal text; 41-76
8. definitions of words you need to know; and 39
9. a Polling Place Card to mark your choices before voting; inside back cover
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
by the Ballot Simplification Committee

Q — Who can vote?
A — U.S. citizens, 18 years or older, and who are registered to vote in San Francisco on or before February 26, 1996.

Q — My 18th birthday is after February 26, but on or before March 26. May I vote in the March 26 election?
A — Yes, but you must register by February 26.

Q — If I was arrested or convicted of a crime can I still vote?
A — You can vote as long as you are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.

Q — I have just become a U.S. citizen. Can I vote in the March 26 election?
A — If you become a U.S. citizen before March 26, you may vote in that election, but you must register to vote by February 26.

Q — I have moved within the county but have not re-registered. Can I vote in this election?
A — Yes, but you must go to your new polling place and show proof of current residence. See page 4 for details.

Q — When do I vote?
A — Election Day is Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

Q — Where do I go to vote?
A — Go to your polling place. The address is on your mailing label on the back cover of this book.

Q — What do I do if my polling place is not open?
A — Check the label on the back of this book to make sure you have gone to the right place. Polling places often change. If you are at the right place, call the Registrar’s Office at 554-4375 to let them know the polling place is not open.

Q — If I don’t know what to do when I get to my polling place, is there someone there to help me?
A — Yes, the poll workers at the polling place will help you.

Q — Can I take my sample ballot or my own written list into the voting booth?
A — Yes. Deciding your votes before you go to the polls will help. You may wish to use the Polling Place Card which is on the inside back cover of this pamphlet.

Q — Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A — Yes, if the person is a qualified write-in candidate. Only “qualified” write-in candidates will be counted. You may ask your poll worker for a list of these candidates. You may vote for these candidates by writing their names on the long stub of the ballot provided for write-in votes. If you don’t know how to do this, you may ask your poll worker for help.

Q — Can a worker at the polling place ask me to take any tests?
A — No.

Q — Is there any way to vote instead of going to the polling place on election day?
A — Yes, you can vote before March 26 if you:
   - Fill out and mail the Absentee Ballot application printed on the back cover of this book. Within three days after we receive your request, a vote-by-mail ballot will be sent to you. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996;
   OR
   - Go to the Office of the Registrar of Voters at 633 Folsom Street — Room 109 from February 26 through March 26. The office hours are: from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday; from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the weekend before the election; and from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, March 26.

Q — If I don’t use an application form, can I get an absentee ballot some other way?
A — You can send a note, preferably on a postcard, to the Registrar of Voters asking for a ballot. This note must include: your home address, the address where you want the ballot mailed, your birth date, your printed name and your signature. Your request must be received by the Registrar of Voters no later than March 20, 1996.
These maps have been provided to assist you in locating your Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts.

**San Francisco Assembly and State Senate Districts**

12th Assembly District

8th State Senate District

13th Assembly District

3rd State Senate District

**San Francisco Congressional Districts**

12th Congressional District

8th Congressional District

PACIFIC OCEAN

GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE

ALCATRAZ

SAN FRANCISCO BAY
WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

Why must I go to a specific polling place? Why can't I go to any one I want? Until this year, you had 3 ways of voting:

1. at the polling place for your residence address - and no other polling place;
2. at the Registrar's office - starting 29 days before the election; or
3. by mail - if you sent in a request at least a week before the election, an absentee ballot was sent to you.

If you tried to vote any other way, your ballot was not counted.

That was then, and now is now, and now the law has changed. Now there is a 4th way - you can go to any polling place which has the ballot type that you are eligible to vote on. What does that mean? A ballot is a ballot, right? Actually, No.

San Francisco is divided into a number of overlapping political districts - see the maps on page 10. For this election, you are eligible for one of these three ballot types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Type 1</th>
<th>Ballot Type 2</th>
<th>Ballot Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
<td>is for voters within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
<td>these political districts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
<td>12th Congressional District</td>
<td>8th Congressional District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>8th State Senate District</td>
<td>3rd State Senate District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>12th State Assembly District</td>
<td>13th State Assembly District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be sure your ballot counts, it's best to vote at the polling place for your residence address, at the Registrar's Office, or by absentee ballot. HOWEVER, if you want to vote at a different polling place, call our office at 554. 4375, and we will let you know if it has your ballot type.
DON'T LET THE WIND BLOW YOUR RECYCLABLE PAPER AWAY!

Put paper in paper bags or tie it with string.
Help keep our streets clean while you recycle!
HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:  
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

STEP 1

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

USING BOTH HANDS

INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando las dos manos, meta la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

第一步
請雙手持票向自動機將整張選票插入。

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

第二步
請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL [STRAIGHT UP]. PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perfora con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

第三步
請把帶錘之選舉針，由小孔內垂直插入打孔投票。

After voting, remove the ballot from the Votomatic, fold the ballot at the perforation and return it to the precinct official.

第四步
投票之後，把選票取出，
沿虛線標起選票交給選舉站監票員。

STEP 4

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del Votomatic, doble la balota a lo largo de las perforaciones y entreguela en el lugar oficial de votación.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court, and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

To vote for a candidate whose name appears on the ballot, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the name of the candidate preferred. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite the names of all candidates for office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write the person's name and office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the long stub of the ballot card. If you do not know how to do this, ask a poll worker for help.

To vote for any measure, use the blue stylus to punch the hole opposite "YES" or "NO" for that measure.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly vote, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

無黨派人士選舉

選民須知

此次初選，無黨派人士選民（亦稱獨立選民）可參與地方法院法官、高等法院法官和州、市選舉。初選的無黨派人士選民不選舉總統、州參議員和州眾議員或縣中央委員會委員。無黨派人士選民可以在1996年11月5日普通選舉總統及州和聯邦議員。

投票選舉選票上所列的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的候選人姓名旁邊打孔。如果要選舉超過兩名競選同一官職的候選人，請用藍色打孔針在你所選擇的所有候選人姓名旁邊打孔，但請勿在選舉超過所需候選人的數目。

投票選舉合格寫入候選人，請在選舉卡的長方形票尾的空白位上寫上該候選人的姓名和官職。如有不明之處，請向助選員求助。

投票任何一項提案，請用藍色打孔針在提案旁邊的 "YES" （贊成）或 "NO" （反對）打孔。

如果選票有明顯污跡或撕破痕跡，選票即作廢。

如果你投票錯了，或者選票撕破了，應把選票交回選區的選舉委員，再拿一份新選票。

BALOTA APARTIDARIA

INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS ELECTORES
En estas elecciones primarias, los electores apartidarios (también denominados electores independientes) pueden votar por Juez del Tribunal Municipal, Juez del Tribunal Superior, y por las medidas estatales y locales. Para las primarias, los electores apartidarios no votan por los puestos de Presidente, Representante de los Estados Unidos, Senator Estatal, miembro de la Asamblea Estatal o miembros del Comité del Condado. Los electores apartidarios podrán votar por el Presidente y por los puestos legislativos estatales y federales en las Elecciones Generales del 5 de noviembre de 1996.

Para votar por un candidato cuyo nombre aparece en la balota, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio que se encuentra al lado del nombre del candidato elegido. Cuando deben elegirse dos o más candidatos para el mismo puesto, utilice el punzón azul para perforar los orificios al lado de los nombres de todos los candidatos para este puesto para los cuales usted desea votar, sin exceder la cantidad de candidatos que deben ser elegidos.

Para votar por un candidato calificado que no aparece en la lista, escriba el nombre de la persona y el puesto en el espacio en blanco provisto para este propósito en el talón largo de la tarjeta de la balota. Si no sabe cómo hacer esto, pida que un trabajador del lugar de votación le ayude.

Para votar por cualquier medida, utilice el punzón azul para perforar el orificio al lado de "Sí" o "No" para dicha medida.

Se prohíbe todo tipo de marca o borrado; esto anulará la balota.

Si usted se equivoca al votar, o al romper y dañar la balota, devuélvala al miembro del consejo del lugar de votación y obtenga otra.

開始投票，請轉下頁。

PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR, PASE
LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE

TO START VOTING,
GO ON TO NEXT PAGE.
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CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

In this primary election, nonpartisan voters (also referred to as independent voters) may vote for Judge of Municipal Court, Judge of Superior Court and on state and local ballot measures. For the primary, nonpartisan voters do not vote for President, United States Representative, State Senator, State Assembly member, or County Committee members. Nonpartisan voters will be able to vote for President and for state and federal legislative offices at the November 5, 1996 General Election.

The Nonpartisan portion of the Sample Ballot begins on Page 19.
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La porción Apartidaria de la Balota de Muestra comienza en la página 19.
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# SAMPLE BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JUDICIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## NONPARTISAN BALLOT

**BALOTA APARTIDARIA** 無黨派選票

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>高級法院法官, 第七庭</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LILLIAN K. SING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge, Municipal Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juez, Tribunal Municipal 地方法庭法官</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vote for One</strong> 135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>高級法院法官, 第十一庭</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR, OFICINA NUMERO 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Superior Court, Office #11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KEVIN McCarthy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney/Law Professor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogado/Profesor de Derecho 律師／法律教授</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vote for One</strong> 141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>地方法院法官, 第一庭</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Douglas M. Moore, Jr.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titular 現任者</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vote for One</strong> 143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>デューク郡の裁判官, 第一庭</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ron Albers</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial Attorney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogado 嘉許律師</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vote for One</strong> 149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>デューク郡の裁判官, 第一庭</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kaye Tsenin</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney Arbitrator Mediator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abogada Arbitro Mediadora 律師／仲裁人／調停人</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vote for One</strong> 151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>致丁郡的裁判官</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUEZ DEL TRIBUNAL MUNICIPAL, OFICINA NUMERO 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matthew Rothschild</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal de la Ciudad Delegado 副市律師</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vote for One</strong> 153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

6E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

192 SEISMIC RETROFIT BOND ACT OF 1996. This act provides for a bond issue of two billion dollars to finance a seismic retrofit program for state bridges and highway overpasses and interchanges and requires funds to be spent only on seismic retrofit projects. The act requires the State Auditor General to conduct an independent audit to ensure that funds are spent only on identified projects and requires a public report of that audit.

193 PROPERTY APPRAISAL, EXCEPTION, GRANDPARENT-GRANDCHILD TRANSFER. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Amends State Constitution by not requiring new appraisal of real property upon purchase or transfer between grandparents and their grandchild, subject to certain conditions. Fiscal Impact: Property tax revenue losses to schools, counties, cities and special districts of about $1 million annually. School revenue losses would be made up by the state General Fund.

194 PRISONERS, JOINT VENTURE PROGRAM, UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS, PAROLE. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Provides that prisoner’s employment in a joint venture program while in prison does not entitle the prisoner to unemployment benefits upon release from prison. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor overall fiscal effect.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES ESTATALES

159 SI 贊成
LEY DE BONOS DE RECONVERSION ANTISISMICA DE 1996. Esta ley estipula una emisión de bonos de dos mil millones de dólares para financiar un programa de reconversión antisísmica de los terrenos y los puentes sobre autopistas y los pasos a desnivel estatales, y requiere que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos de reconversión antisísmica. La ley requiere que el Auditor General del Estado realice una auditoría independiente para garantizar que los fondos se gasten solamente en proyectos identificados y requiere la preparación de un informe público sobre esa auditoría.

161 NO 反對
1996年抗震翻新改建債券法案。本法案規定發行一第一十九億元的債券，為本州的橋樑，公路高架橋和公路交叉樞紐的抗震翻新改建項目提供資金，規定這些資金僅用於抗震翻新改建項目。本法案規定州審計部長進行獨立審計，以確保這些資金僅用於已批准的項目，並且審計報告結果予以公開。

168 SI 贊成
TASACION DE PROPIEDADES. EXENCION. TRANSFERENCIA ABUELO-NIETO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL LEGISLATIVA. Enmienda la Constitución del Estado al no requerir una nueva tasación de los bienes raíces en el momento de la compra o transferencia entre abuelo y nieto, sujeta a ciertas condiciones. Impacto fiscal: Pérdidas de recaudaciones tributarias sobre la propiedad de las escuelas, condados, ciudades y distritos especiales de aproximadamente $1 millón anual. Las pérdidas de recaudaciones de las escuelas serían compensadas por el Fondo General del Estado.

170 NO 反對
地產估價，豁免。祖父-孫子間土地轉移。立法院的憲法修正案。修正州憲法，規定在符合某些條件的情況下，祖父和孫子之間購買或轉移地產時，無須對新估價征稅。財政影響：學校，縣，市，以及特區每年萬損失大約$100萬的財政收入。學校收入的損失將由州政府一般基金彌補。

177 SI 贊成
PRESOS. PROGRAMA DE EMPRESA CONJUNTA. BENEFICIOS DE DESEMPLENO. LIBERTAD CONDICIONAL. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Requiere que el trabajo de un preso en un programa de empresa conjunta, mientras esté se halla preso, no contará al preso derecho a recibir beneficios de desempleo al salir de la prisión. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un efecto fiscal general menor.

179 NO 反對
囚犯，合夥項目。失業福利。假釋，立法院的憲法修憲案。規定囚犯在服刑期間在合資項目中工作，該囚犯在出獄後無資格享受失業福利的權利。財政影響：總體財政影響可能很有限。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

7E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

195 PUNISHMENT. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. CARJACKING. MURDER OF JUROR. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds murder during carjacking, murder resulting from carjacking kidnap and intentional murder of a juror to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 196 pass, drive-by shootings, carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Probably minor additional state costs.

196 PUNISHMENT FOR MURDER. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. DRIVE-BY SHOOTINGS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. Adds drive-by shootings to the list of special circumstances for the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of parole for defendants convicted of first-degree murder. If this proposition and Proposition 195 pass, drive-by shootings, murder resulting from carjacking and juror murder become special circumstances. Fiscal Impact: Unknown state costs, potentially ranging into several millions of dollars annually in the long run.

197 AMENDMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT OF 1990 (PROPOSITION 117). MOUNTAIN LIONS. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT. This act authorizes the Legislature to manage mountain lions to protect the people and resources of California. Repeals mountain lion's status as specially protected mammal. Fiscal Impact: Reallocates annually up to $250,000 from Habitat Conservation Fund from 1996 – 97 through 1998 – 99, $100,000 thereafter, for mountain lion management. Potential annual state costs of $250,000 for mountain lion public safety, information programs.
MCLeod, 萬利特區。26日聯合初選時，九九年三月三十一日提交選民投票表決的州提案

---

185 SI 贊成
CASTIGO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, PIRATEO DE AUTOMÓVILES, ASESINATO DE UN JURADO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Anade el asesinato durante el robo de un automóvil, el asesinato que resulta de un secuestro que sea parte del robo de un automóvil y el asesinato intencional de un miembro de un jurado, a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 196 son aprobadas, los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento, el robo de automóviles y el asesinato de miembros de jurados se considerarán como circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente costos adicionales menores al Estado.

187 NO 反對

---

195
CASTIGO POR ASESINATO, CIRCUNSTANCIAS ESPECIALES, DISPAROS DESDE VEHICULOS EN MOVIMIENTO. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Anade los disparos desde vehículos en movimiento a la lista de circunstancias especiales para la pena de muerte o cadena perpetua sin posibilidad de libertad condicional para los acusados declarados culpables de asesinato de primer grado. Si esta propuesta y la Propuesta 195 son aprobadas, el disparo desde vehículo en movimiento, los asesinatos realizados por el robo de automóviles y los asesinatos de miembros de jurados pasan a ser circunstancias especiales. Impacto fiscal: Costos estatales desconocidos, con el potencial de ser de varios millones de dólares anuales a largo plazo.

194 SI 贊成

---

196 NO 反對
ENMIENDA DE LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA PARA LA PROTECCION DE LA VIDA SILVESTRE DE 1990 (PROPUESTA 117). PUMAS. ENMIENDA DE INICIATIVA LEGISLATIVA. Esta ley autoriza a la Legislatura a manejar a los pumas de manera tal que el pueblo y los recursos de California queden protegidos. Derece la condición de mamíferos especialmente protegidos de los pumas. Impacto fiscal: Razonable anualmente hasta $250,000 del Fondo de Conservación de Hábitats desde los años 1986 - 98 hasta 1998 – 99, y $100,000 a partir de entonces, para el manejo de pumas. Costos potenciales de hasta, $250,000 anuales al Estado para administrar los programas de seguridad e información pública sobre los pumas.

---

202 SI 贊成

---

204 NO 反對
## SAMPLE BALLOT

### CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996

### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

#### 8E

### NONPARTISAN BALLOT

### CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996

### MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

**198**

**ELECTIONS. OPEN PRIMARY. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Provides that all persons entitled to vote can vote for any candidate regardless of the candidate’s political affiliation. Provides for a single primary ballot on which the names of all candidates are placed. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal impact on state government and unknown, but probably minor, savings to counties statewide.

| YES 211 | NO 213 |

**199**

**LIMITS ON MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL. LOW-INCOME RENTAL ASSISTANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Phases out local rent control laws on mobilehomes. Prohibits new state and local rent control on mobilehomes. Provides limited private sector rent subsidy for low-income, mobilehome tenants. Fiscal Impact: Future savings to local agencies totaling statewide at least several million dollars annually.

| YES 218 | NO 220 |

**200**

**NO-FAULT MOTOR VEHICLE INSURANCE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Requires insurer to pay benefits regardless of fault in most motor vehicle accidents. Requires motor vehicle insurance with specified benefits and coverage for injury. Requires prompt payment or arbitration of claims. Fiscal Impact: State and local government savings in health care and other expenditures potentially over $100 million annually. State and local government loss in motor vehicle registration and insurance tax revenues potentially exceeding $100 million annually.

| YES 226 | NO 228 |
ELECCIONES. PRIMARIA ABIERTA. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Estipula que todas las personas con derecho al voto puedan votar por cualquier candidato, independientemente de la afiliación política del candidato. Estipula que haya una sola balota para primarias en la que figuren los nombres de todos los candidatos. Impacto fiscal: Sin impacto fiscal directo sobre el gobierno del Estado y ahorros desconocidos, pero probablemente menores, para los condados de todo el Estado.

LIMITES SOBRE EL CONTROL DE ALQUILERES DE CASAS RODANTES. AYUDA PARA EL PAGO DE ALQUILER PARA PERSONAS DE BAJOS INGRESOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Elimina gradualmente las leyes vigentes locales de control de alquileres de casas rodantes. Prohíbe nuevos controles de alquileres, tanto estatales como locales, de las casas rodantes. Provee un subsidio de alquiler limitado del sector privado para los inquilinos de casas rodantes de bajos ingresos. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros futuros para las dependencias locales que, en total, serían de por lo menos varios millones de dólares anuales.

SEGURO SIN RESPONSABILIDAD DE VEHICULOS MOTORIZADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que las compañías de seguros paguen beneficios sin tener en cuenta quién es responsable en la mayoría de los accidentes de vehículos motorizados. Requiere seguros de vehículos motorizados con beneficios y cobertura específicos aplicables a las lesiones. Requiere el pago expedito o el arbitraje de reclamaciones. Impacto fiscal: Ahorros para los gobiernos estatal y locales en atención de la salud y otros gastos, potencialmente de más de $100 millones anuales. Pérdidas para los gobiernos estatal y locales en recaudaciones impositivas provenientes de la matriculación de vehículos motorizados y seguros que potencialmente podrían exceder los $100 millones anuales.
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — STATE PROPOSITIONS

201 ATTORNEYS' FEES. SHAREHOLDER ACTIONS. CLASS ACTIONS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires losing party to pay winning party's attorneys' fees and expenses in shareholder actions and class action suits for violation of securities laws. Payment not required if position substantially justified and payment unjust. Losing attorney may be required to pay. Plaintiff's bond may be required. Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but probably not significant, fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 237
NO 239

202 ATTORNEYS' CONTINGENT FEES. LIMITS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Limits plaintiff's contingency fee arrangements in tort cases. Requires demand be made against defendants and permits prompt settlement offer response. If accepted, limits fees to 15% of offer. If not accepted, larger fees can be collected only on amounts in excess of prompt settlement offer. Fiscal Impact: Unknown net fiscal impact on state and local governments.

YES 246
NO 248

203 PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1996. This three billion dollar school construction bond would help upgrade and construct classrooms, libraries, and other needed facilities in California’s public schools, community colleges, and state universities. Funds will be used to make current classrooms safer in the event of earthquakes, equip classrooms for the computer technology of the 21st century, reduce class size and meet enrollment growth. These bonds may be used only for approved school construction projects.

YES 253
NO 255
HONORARIOS DE ABOGADOS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE ACCIONISTAS. PROCESOS JUDICIALES DE GRUPOS PERJUDICADOS. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Requiere que la parte perdedora pague los honorarios de abogado de la parte ganadora y los gastos ocasionados por procesos judiciales de accionistas y de grupos perjudicados debido a violaciones de leyes bursátiles. No se requiere pagar si la posición estaba sustancialmente justificada y pago sería injusto. Se podrá requerir que el abogado perdedor pague. Podrá requerirse una garantía de pago del demandante. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal desconocido, pero probablemente no significativo sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

HONORARIOS CONDICIONALES DE ABOGADOS. LIMITACIONES. LEY DE INICIATIVA. Limita los arreglos de honorarios condicionales del demandante en casos de agravio. Requiere que se efectúe demanda contra los acusados y permita respuestas a ofertas de conciliación expedita. Si es aceptada, limita los honorarios al 15% de la oferta. Si no es aceptada, sólo pueden cobrarse honorarios mayores sobre las cantidades que excedan la oferta de conciliación expedita. Impacto fiscal: Impacto fiscal neto desconocido sobre los gobiernos estatal y locales.

LEY DE BONOS PARA INSTALACIONES DE EDUCACION PUBLICA DE 1996. Estos bonos de tres milllones de dólares para la construcción de escuelas ayudarían a modernizar y construir aulas, bibliotecas y otras instalaciones necesarias en las escuelas públicas, universidades comunitarias y universidades estatales de California. Los fondos se utilizarán para hacer que las aulas actuales sean más seguras en el caso de terremotos, para equipar a las aulas para la tecnología informática del siglo XXI, para reducir el número de alumnos en los salones y para hacer frente al aumento en la inscripción escolar. Estos bonos sólo podrán utilizarse para proyectos aprobados de construcción de escuelas. 1996年公立教育施設債券法。約三十億元（53,000,000,000）的學校建設債券將有助於改進和建設加州公立學校，社區學院和州立大學中的教室，圖書館，和其他必需的設施。該資金將用於使現有的教室在未來的地震中更為安全，為教室裝備21世紀的電腦技術，減小每班級的人數以及滿足學生人數的增長。該債券只能用於經批准的學校建设项目。
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10E
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS — CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

A  Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?
   YES 263
   NO 265

B  Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?
   YES 268
   NO 270

END OF BALLOT
SAMPLE BALLOT
CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION, MARCH 26, 1996
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BALOTA APARTIDARIA
CIUDAD Y CONDADO DE SAN FRANCISCO
ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS CONSOLIDADAS, 26 DE MARZO DE 1996
MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS ELECTORES — PROPOSICIONES DE LA CIUDAD Y CONDADO

263 SI 贊成
¿Desea que la Ciudad celebre contratos de financiamiento para agrandar el Centro de Convención Moscone (Moscone Convention Center), si el total debido no excede $157.5 millones de dólares e intereses?

265 NO 反對

268 SI 贊成
¿Desea que se cambie varias ordenanzas de la Ciudad para la construcción de un estadio de beisbol localizado en China Basin?

270 NO 反對

FIN DE LA BALOTA 投票完毕
HAVE YOU MOVED?

Did you write and tell the Registrar of Voters?

or, maybe dropped a note to let her know?

If you didn't, in the old days you would have no longer been eligible to vote. Telling us over the phone or at the polling place was not enough. We needed it in writing. That was the law.

Now the law has changed. YOU CAN VOTE EVEN IF YOU NEVER TOLD US. BUT, you can only vote at the polling place for your new residence address or at our office - 633 Folsom Street.

AND, you must bring proof of your new residence: a California driver's license or state identification card or 2 documents which have your name and new residence address printed on each of them.

AND, you will need to put your ballot into a pink provisional envelope after you have voted, so that we can verify your eligibility to vote.

CALL our office at 554. 4375 for the polling place of your new residence address,

or look it up on the INTERNET at http://tmx.com/sfvote.

You may also vote at our office, 633 Folsom Street, Room 109, between February 26 and March 26, Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., on Saturday and Sunday, March 23 and 24, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., and on Election Day, March 26, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

DO NOT GO TO YOUR OLD POLLING PLACE.
RESPECT for ANIMALS SHOULD BE HUMAN NATURE.

{DON'T YOU THINK?}

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.

Created by the San Francisco Ad Club Public Service Advertising Committee. Photos and Artwork composed by MasterType Prepress Services.
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LOCAL CANDIDATES

On the following pages are statements of qualifications from local Judicial candidates. They have been printed as submitted. Spelling and grammatical errors have not been corrected.

The statements are submitted by the candidates. They have not been checked for accuracy by any City official or agency.

Remember to VOTE on Election Day, Tuesday, March 26, 1996. Your polling place is open from 7:00 in the morning to 8:00 in the evening. Check the bottom left corner of the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet for the address of your polling place.
LILLIAN K. SING

My address is 3005 Jackson Street
My occupation is Municipal Court Judge
My qualifications for office are:

My occupation is Judge, San Francisco Municipal Court.
I have been a judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court since 1981. In 1988 I was unanimously elected by the other judges to be the Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Municipal Court. As Presiding Judge, I implemented the Pro Tem Judge Program, drastically reduced the backlog in civil/criminal divisions, brought Trial Court Funding to San Francisco, spearheaded San Francisco's first judicial forum "Access To Justice" attended by 700 people. I served as a faculty member with the California Center for Judicial Education/Research which trains all judges in California. In 1988 I lectured throughout China on U.S. Legal/Judicial system. In 1988, I was named "Trial Judge of the Year" by the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association. In 1993 I received the "Outstanding Jurist" award from the San Francisco Women Lawyers Alliance. In 1995 I was bestowed the honor of receiving the "Living Treasure" Award by the Asian Pacific Democratic Club.
I am the only candidate seeking this seat. I would appreciate your vote.

Lillian Sing
KEVIN M. McCARTHY

My address is 4430 20th Street
My occupation is Attorney/Law Professor
My qualifications for office are:

This March you have the rare opportunity to vote in a judicial election.

The choice is clear.

I am a lawyer and adjunct professor of law. I have tried over seventy jury trials and lectured on such topics as “Defending Battered Women.” I have spent every day of my professional life in court confronting the real problems which judges are called upon to resolve.

I am running against one of Governor Wilson’s most recent appointees.

My endorsements include former Supreme Court Justice Joseph Grodin, Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal J. Anthony Kline, Superior Court Judge Donna Hitchens, Assemblyman John Burton, Supervisors Carole Migden, Sue Bierman, and Barbara Kaufman.

Voters are entitled to an open discussion of issues facing the courts.

First, the courts must operate more efficiently. Consolidation of the Municipal and Superior Courts will lower administrative costs. Every court should be in session all day long.

With respect to criminal law, serious and violent criminals should face immediate justice. Victims should know that they will not be dragged endlessly through the system.

On the other hand, drug-addicted, non-violent, first time offenders should be sent to tough diversion programs, administered by judges with experience in criminal law.

Kevin M. McCarthy

DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR.

My address is 500 Beale Street
My occupation is Superior Court Judge, City & County of San Francisco
My age is 56.

My qualifications for office are:

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE DOUGLAS M. MOORE, JR. is an experienced, fair and firm judge dedicated to protecting victims’ rights while providing equal access and impartiality to all who come before him.

• Judge, San Francisco Superior Court
• 29 years trial and appellate litigation experience
• Published author in many respected national legal journals
• Frequent panelist and lecturer, legal education programs
• Juris Doctorate, University of California, Hastings College of Law, 1966
• Undergraduate degree, University of California, Berkeley, 1961
• Graduate, St. Ignatius High School

A third generation San Franciscan, Judge Moore and his wife of 31 years have two daughters. He is committed to safe streets, neighborhoods and a better community.

• Active in community, civic and church activities
• Navy veteran

Endorsements:
California State Senator Quentin Kopp


Attorneys: John Henning, Jr., Raoul Kennedy, Joseph Russoanniello

Douglas M. Moore, Jr.
Candidates for Municipal Court Judge, Office #1

RON ALBERS

My address is 45 Gladys Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 46
My qualifications for office are:

JUSTICE, NOT POLITICS:
Our judiciary must not be tainted by politics. In this race for judge you have a choice between judicial experience and politics.

TWENTY YEARS OF COURTROOM EXPERIENCE:
In over 100 jury trials as a Public Defender, I have fought for justice in San Francisco.

TWENTY YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE:
I am an author and legal educator, past President of the Delinquency Prevention Commission, past Director of the Chinese American Juvenile Justice Project, and former counsel to the nation's first battered women's shelter.

TWENTY YEARS OF LEGAL SERVICE:
I hold the nation's highest rating for legal ability and ethics. I have been honored by the State Bar, the AIDS Legal Referral Panel and BALIF.

Please join legal and community leaders in choosing justice, not politics:


Kay Tsenin

My address is 35 Buena Vista Terrace
My occupation is Attorney, Arbitrator, Mediator
My qualifications for office are:

Most judges come from big corporations or the government sector. I come from 22 years of private practice. I know how much it hurts and how much it costs when ordinary citizens confront the court system. Unnecessary appearances and paperwork contribute to overcrowding and legal expenses. I want to work to reduce those burdens on the parties involved, on jurors and the courts.

There are many innovative ideas which should be tried before costly legal procedures begin, such as early mediations and neutral evaluation conferences. If elected, I will employ these methods. During the last ten years I have served as Judge pro-tem in the Municipal Court and as arbitrator in both Municipal and Superior Courts. I have also served as a volunteer mediator for community organizations. I am the only candidate with this experience. Unlike my opponents from the government sector, I know the burdens of litigation and I know there are solutions.

Endorsed by:
San Francisco NOW PAC
State Senator Quentin Kopp
Supervisor Angela Alioto
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman
Supervisor Susan Leal
Judge Julie Tang
Commissioner Barbara Meskunas
Commissioner Tom Horn
Commissioner Jon Kouba
Evelyn Wilson
Del Martin
Pat Norman
Andrea Shorter

Ron Albers

Kay Tsenin

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
MATTHEW ROTHSCILD

My address is 339 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Deputy City Attorney
My qualifications for office are:
• Honors — Georgetown University
• Hastings Law School
• Law Clerk: Justice Department, Criminal Division; San Francisco Public Defender
• Attorney, Civil Litigation Firm
• Former Social Services Commissioner
• Attorney, Fair Housing Enforcement
• Past President, Alice B. Toklas
• Former Officer, Bay Area Council for Soviet Jews
• Native San Franciscan

Judgeships are sacred trusts. I’m honored to have earned the trust of:

CONGRESSWOMAN Nancy Pelosi
CONGRESSMAN Tom Lantos
MAYOR Willie Brown
CITY ATTORNEY Louise Renne
ASSEMBLYMAN John Burton
SHERIFF Mike Hennessey
TREASURER Mary Callanan
ASSESSOR Doris Ward

JUDICIAL: Carlos Bea, Jack Berman, Ellen Chaitin,
John Dearman, Jack Ertola, Anthony Kline, Donald Mitchell,
John Molinari, John Munter, William Newsom, Charles Renfrew,
Jennie Rhine, James Robertson, Daniel Weinstein

FORMER US ATTORNEYS: William McGivern,
Joseph Russoniello

FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Arlo Smith

POLICE CHIEFS: Thomas Cahill, Al Nelder
SUPERVISORS: Sue Bierman, Tom Hsieh, Willie Kennedy,
Carole Migden, Kevin Shelley, Mabel Teng

SCHOOL BOARD: Carlota delPortillo, Keith Jackson,
Dan Kelly, Jill Wynnns, Leland Yee

COLLEGE BOARD: Robert Burton, Leslie Katz, Jim Mayo,
Maria Monet, Rodel Rodis, Robert Varni, Lawrence Wong

FORMER BAR PRESIDENTS: David Heilbron,
Thomas Smegal, Robert Wallach

Angela Bradstreet, Charles Breyer, William Coblentz,
Sylvia Courtney, Jimmy Herman, Bob Morales, Joe O’Donoghue,
Douglas Shorenstein, Mimi Silbert, Calvin Welch,
Rev. Cecil Williams

Matthew Rothschild
Remember To Recycle This Pamphlet!

After you’ve finished with this pamphlet, recycle it with your other paper. And remember that there are 12 items that can be recycled in San Francisco’s curbside and apartment recycling programs:

### Paper  •  紙張  •  Papel
- Office Paper
- Magazines & Catalogs
- Telephone Books
- Paper Bags & Packaging
- Cereal & Other Dry Food Boxes
- Flattened Cardboard

### Newspapers  •  報紙  •  Periódicos
- Junk Mail
- Correspondencia Publicitaria

### Reciclables
- Telephone Books
- Telephone Directories

### Containers  •  鋁箔紙及盒  •  Recipientes
- Tin/Steel Cans
- Aluminum Cans & Foil
- Glass Jars & Bottles

For a blue bin or curbside information, call 330-CURB.
For information about waste prevention and recycling, call the San Francisco Recycling Program’s 24-hour hotline at 554-6193.
Rules For Arguments For and Against Ballot Measures

On the following pages, you will find information about local ballot measures. For each measure, a digest has been prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee. This analysis includes a brief explanation of the way it is now, what each proposal would do, what a “Yes” vote means, and what a “No” vote means. There is a statement by the City’s Controller about the fiscal impact or cost of each measure. There is also a statement of how the measure qualified to be on the ballot.

Following the ballot digest page, you will find arguments for and against each measure. All arguments are strictly the opinions of their authors. They have not been checked for accuracy by this office or any other City official or agency. Arguments and rebuttals are reproduced as they are submitted, including typographical and grammatical errors.

“Proponent’s” and “Opponent’s” Arguments

For each measure, one argument in favor of the measure (“Proponent’s Argument”) and one argument against the measure (“Opponent’s Argument”) are printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet free of charge.

The designation, “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument” indicates only that the arguments were selected in accordance with criteria in Section 5.74.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and were printed free of charge. The Registrar does not edit the arguments, and the Registrar makes no claims as to the accuracy of statements in the arguments.

The “Proponent’s Argument” and the “Opponent’s Argument” are selected according to the following priorities:

“Proponent’s Argument”

1. The official proponent of an initiative petition; or the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or four members of the Board, if the measure was submitted by same.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

“Opponent’s Argument”

1. For a referendum, the person who files the referendum petition with the Board of Supervisors.
2. The Board of Supervisors, or any member or members designated by the Board.
3. The Mayor.
5. Any individual voter.

Rebuttal Arguments

The author of a “Proponent’s Argument” or an “Opponent’s Argument,” may also prepare and submit a rebuttal argument. Rebuttals are also the opinions of the author and are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters or any other City official or agency. Rebuttal arguments are printed below the corresponding “Proponent’s Argument” and “Opponent’s Argument.”

Paid Arguments

In addition to the “Proponent’s Arguments” and “Opponent’s Arguments” which are printed without charge, any eligible voter, group of voters, or association may submit paid arguments.

Paid arguments are printed after the proponent’s and opponent’s arguments and rebuttals. All of the arguments in favor of a measure are printed together, followed by the arguments opposed to that measure. Paid arguments for each measure are not printed in any particular order; they are arranged to make the most efficient use of the space on each page.

Arguments and rebuttals are solely the opinions of their authors. Arguments and rebuttals are not checked for accuracy by the Registrar of Voters, or by any other City official or agency.
GENERAL FUND — The General Fund is that part of the City’s budget that can be used for any purpose. Each year, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors decide how the General Fund will be used for City services such as police and fire protection services, transportation, libraries, recreation, arts, and health services. Money for the General Fund comes from property, business, sales, and other taxes and fees. Currently, the General Fund is 48.7% of the City’s budget. The other 51.3% of the budget comes from federal and state government grants, revenues generated and used by the same department, and tax money collected for a specific purpose. (Proposition A)

LEASE FINANCING — When a city or other local government wants to make improvements to buildings or land, or buy equipment, it may decide to use lease financing as a method of payment. Usually, a non-profit corporation created for this purpose will buy the building, land or equipment and borrow the money to pay for it. The city then leases it from the corporation, paying back the principal plus interest in installments until it is fully purchased. (Proposition A)

ORDINANCE — A law of the City and County, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by voters. (Proposition B)
AN OVERVIEW OF SAN FRANCISCO'S DEBT

BACKGROUND

WHAT IS BOND FINANCING? Bond financing is a type of long-term borrowing used to raise money for projects. The City receives money by selling “bonds” to investors. The City must pay back to the investors the amount borrowed along with interest.

The money raised from bond sales is used to pay for large capital projects such as fire and police stations, libraries and major earthquake repairs. The City uses bond financing mainly because these buildings will last many years and their large dollar costs are difficult to pay for all at once.

Types of Bonds. There are two major kinds of bonds — Revenue and General Obligation.

Revenue bonds are paid back from revenues generated by bond-financed projects. For example, the airport can finance a major expansion through revenue bonds, which will be paid back from landing fees charged to airlines that use the improvements.

General Obligation bonds are used to pay for projects that benefit citizens but do not raise revenue (for example: police stations and jails, libraries, major park rehabilitation or cultural facility projects). General Obligation bonds must be approved by the voters. Once they are approved and sold, they are repaid by property taxes.

WHAT IS LEASE FINANCING? The City sometimes also asks the voters for permission to enter into lease financing arrangements. These arrangements exist when the City wants to borrow money, but intends to pay it back through its regular revenues. This means the City is not asking the voters to increase their property taxes or other specific revenue like water bills to pay for this debt. For example, the City enters into lease financing arrangements to buy police cars, fire trucks and other large equipment. We borrow the money through a separate Finance Corporation, pay a lease for three or four years and then own the vehicles or equipment. This allows the City to spread out the cost of assets that will last for several years or more.

At times we also enter into lease financing arrangements for major projects and the repayment is taken into consideration when the Mayor and the Board raise certain taxes. For example, the new 911 Center lease financing was approved by the voters with an expectation that a new 911 fee on phone service would be the source of repayment. On this March, 1996 ballot, Proposition A for the lease financing of Moscone Convention Center expansion is expected to be paid for by an increase in the Hotel Tax rate.

WHAT DOES IT COST TO BORROW? The City's cost for borrowing depends on the interest rate paid on the debt and the number of years over which it is paid. Most large debt is paid off over a period of 10 to 20 years. Assuming an interest rate of 6%, the cost of paying off debt over 20 years is about $1.65 for each dollar borrowed — $1 for the dollar borrowed and 65 cents for the interest. These payments, however, are spread over the 20-year period, and so the cost after adjusting for inflation reduces the effective cost because future payments are made with cheaper dollars. Assuming a 4% future annual inflation rate, the cost of paying off debt in today’s dollars would be about $1.15 per $1 borrowed.

THE CITY’S CURRENT DEBT SITUATION

Legal Debt Limit. As of June 30, 1995, there was about $1.3 billion of general obligation debt authorized by the voters and either outstanding or unissued. Of this total, $640 million has been issued and is outstanding, leaving $630 million authorized to be issued in the future. The amount of bonds issued is less than the amount authorized since the City only issues the amount of debt that it needs at a given time.

The City Charter imposes a limit on the amount of debt the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is 3% of the assessed value of real and personal property in the City and County. The current limit is about $1.7 billion, so the City is well within the legal debt limit.

Debt payments. Total general obligation bond “debt service” during 1995-96 should be $73.6 million. (“Debt Service” is the annual repayment of a portion of monies borrowed plus the interest owed on all outstanding bonds.) This is paid by assessing 14.1 cents on every $100 of assessed property tax value. This means that a property owner with an assessed valuation of $250,000 would pay about $353 this year for debt service on the City’s outstanding general obligation bonds (and $2,500 for general City operations, schools, community college, children’s fund, library fund, open space and other government purposes — for a total tax bill of $2,853).

Prudent Debt Limit. Even though the City is well within its legal debt limit in issuing general obligation bonds, there is another “prudent” debt calculation made by bond rating agencies when they review the City’s financial health. These agencies look at both the general obligation debt and any other debt which uses the City’s tax base — including lease financing obligations and even the City’s share of debt for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Financially healthy cities with good bond ratings typically have low to moderate debt outstanding relative to their assessed property values (called the debt ratio).

The City currently has moderate debt by rating agency standards. Each time we ask the voters to approve debt, we try to forecast what that will do to our debt ratio. All bonds previously authorized by the voters plus the lease financing on this March, 1996 ballot could be issued and the City would still have “moderate” debt. However, the City is approaching a level of debt that would be considered high by rating agencies. City officials are currently discussing which priority borrowings should be done in the future and still allow the City to maintain good credit ratings.

MEASURES ON THIS BALLOT

Proposition A on this ballot would have no impact on the legal debt limit or on property taxes. As a lease financing it would be included in a calculation of the City’s prudent limit. If Proposition A is passed and implemented, the City would continue to have moderate debt.

Prepared by the Office of the Controller
PROPOSITION A
Shall the City use lease financing to expand the Moscone Convention Center, if the total owed for this lease financing does not exceed $157.5 million plus interest?  

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City's Moscone Convention Center offers 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The City believes its convention facilities need to expand in order to remain competitive with other cities.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would allow the City to borrow money using lease financing to expand the Convention Center by adding nearly 300,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space. The total owed for this lease financing would not exceed $157.5 million plus interest. This cost would be paid from the General Fund. The City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a 2% increase in the City's hotel room tax.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want the City to borrow this money to expand the Convention Center.

Controller's Statement on "A"
City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed lease financing be adopted, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by the $157.5 million loan amount plus financing costs of $159 million. Accordingly, the total cost over the next 20 years would be $316.5 million.

This borrowing would not impact property tax rates. The lease financing costs would be the obligation of the City's General Fund. However, these obligations could be offset to the extent that Hotel Taxes or other revenue sources are increased. To the extent that Hotel or other special taxes are insufficient to pay the debt service on this financing, the General Fund would be impacted, which may adversely affect other governmental functions.

How Supervisors Voted on "A"
On December 11, 1995 the Board of Supervisors voted 10-0 to place Proposition A on the ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:

NO: None of the Supervisors present voted no.

ABSENT: Supervisor Kennedy.
PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Moscone Center is the economic engine which powers the City's hospitality industry. The hospitality industry means thousands of jobs and hundreds of millions in annual tax revenues. Hotel tax collections are directly affected by Moscone Center, the success of Moscone Center means more funding for recreational facilities, museums, parks, affordable housing, children's programs, and the General Fund.

The Moscone Center is operating at full capacity and is booked beyond the year 2000. The Moscone Center generates millions of dollars for funding for the arts, neighborhood cultural facilities, low income housing, the Recreation and Park Department, and the city's General Fund.

The Moscone Center was an immediate success when it opened in 1981 as a 300,000 sq. ft. facility. The first Moscone Center expansion approved by the voters in November 1986 increased the size of Moscone Center to 600,000 sq. ft. The expanded facilities opened in 1992.

Proposition A authorizes the city to issue up to $157.5 million of lease revenue bonds to expand the Moscone Center to a total of 900,000 sq. ft. This expansion would create 2000 new permanent jobs and provide a $200 million annual increase in San Francisco's economy. The cost of expanding Moscone Center will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax. Therefore, there will be no increase in property taxes, tourists not San Franciscans pay this bill.

Expanding Moscone Center insures that San Francisco retains its preeminence as a major convention city. If the city does not expand Moscone Center, we risk losing major conventions because of lack of capacity. Our convention business is a major source of employment and taxes for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center at no cost to local tax payers will help keep San Francisco Number One in the convention business.

The Board of Supervisors

REBUTTAL TO PROPOSEN'T'S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Once again we are being asked for piecemeal approval for development in downtown San Francisco. Instead of assessing the future needs of our entire city and planning for them, our City is simply responding to the loudest voices and deepest pockets.

This project should be assessed as part of an overall development plan for the neighborhood and for the city. Voters should be informed of the impacts of the development on the environment, on traffic and on other projects. This has not been done.

These bonds will be assessed against our prudent debt limit. This will have a negative affect on our credit rating. This will affect our ability to raise funds through bonds for capital improvements throughout the City.

There are many ways to improve the tourist industry in San Francisco, and many ways to fund improvements to the tourist industry. This is the most expensive alternative in more ways than one.

Let's work together to benefit the entire City, not just one part of it. Vote NO on Proposition A!

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Tomorrow has several concerns about Proposition A: San Francisco must raise the ceiling on its current prudent debt limit if it wishes to pass this and future proposed bond issues, such as those under consideration for Laguna Honda Hospital, the DeYoung Museum, construction of affordable housing, and a new county jail. In all likelihood, raising the debt limit will lower the City’s credit rating, already reduced from AAA to AA. A lower credit rating will significantly increase the cost of these bonds to taxpayers.

The city must develop and implement a long-term capital improvement plan to replace our aging and increasingly frail infrastructure. Such capital improvements are typically funded through general obligation bonds. With our limited bond capacity, we must carefully weigh the projects that we choose to fund. Is the expansion of Moscone Center our only priority? What about neighborhood needs?

No site has been selected for the proposed expansion. No plans have been drawn up. No traffic or environmental impacts have been studied. The voters should be given a specific proposal to review before they are asked to make such a large financial commitment.

This bond is double the amount approved by voters to rehabilitate Golden Gate Park, 50% more than requested for the new Main Library, and triple the amount that the DeYoung is anticipating for its project. Why so much?

This bond request is too large to be approved with so little information about the plan itself and its impact, both on the surrounding neighborhood and on future bond proposals.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A!

SAN FRANCISCO TOMORROW

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT’S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Mayor Brown Says Moscone Expansion is an “Economic Imperative”

As San Francisco starts a new era of renewed economic growth, it is important that all of its resources are used to their fullest capacity.

The Moscone Center has served our City well. The Moscone Center is the foundation of our convention and tourist business. It has serviced most major national conventions, meetings and exhibits. However, San Francisco ranks only 19th of 20 North American cities in exhibit space size.

In order to reclaim our status as a premier destination for worldwide tourism, we must ensure that the infrastructure for that to occur is in place. Therefore, the passage of Proposition A is an economic imperative.

The economic benefits to an expanded Moscone Center are great. Specifically:

• Immediate construction jobs;
• 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs;
• $3 million dollar annual increase in hotel tax;
• Expansion of the San Francisco economy of $150 to $200 million annually; and
• A boost for restaurants, taxis, retail, other visitor industries.

Finally, the hotel room tax money generated by additional tourists will go to the General Fund, youth programs, the Arts and Cultural funds and other needed services.

I ask for your vote to move San Francisco forward. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

THE NEIGHBORHOOD SPEAKS: VOTE "YES" FOR ACTION, NOT PROMISES
Moscone Center has become a strong economic base for our South of Market Neighborhood, and is managed capably by professionals who respond quickly to local impacts.

But while more than 1,000 workers are there daily now to set up conventions and exhibits, none of these jobs have been targeted to Neighborhood residents and low-income San Franciscans!

And while 1,800 senior citizens live in Yerba Buena today the pedestrian safety improvements promised in 1986 for Moscone Center still have not been built!

We are writing this in December. If by Election Day the long-overdue Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are finally and really underway, we urge you to vote YES on the Moscone Bonds. Expanding Moscone will then be good for our Neighborhood and the whole City.

But if the Job Program and Pedestrian Safety Program are still just promises, then Proposition A should wait.

Yerba Buena Consortium
South of Market Problem Solving Council
San Francisco Employment Consortium
John Elberling, TDCCO

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Tenants and Owners Development Corporation.

Your YES vote on Proposition A will allow the City’s convention space to expand, increasing the amount of convention business that the City attracts. The result will be new jobs, a healthier City economy, and additional sales and hotel tax revenues.

Each year, the hotel tax funds hundreds of arts and cultural activities. The expansion of Moscone Center means additional tax revenues for these important programs.

Proposition A raises the hotel tax that is paid by out-of-town visitors. This increase pays for operating the new convention space, and covers all bond debt. While the bond debt might be charged against the City’s General Fund if the hotel tax revenues fall short, this has never happened in similar situations over the past twenty years.

While Proposition A increases the City’s debt, SPUR believes that increased convention business also will raise City revenues. That should maintain the City’s favorable borrowing rates for future worthy projects.

Vote YES on Proposition A.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Republican Party believes that the Moscone Center has been a boon to San Francisco’s economy, and that the Center must be expanded so San Francisco can keep its share of the convention and tradeshow market in the future.

The City’s Chief Administrative Office estimates that passage of Proposition A will generate up to 2,000 new jobs and will pump an additional $150,000,000 to $200,000,000 into the City’s economy and millions of dollars annually into our tax coffers. We agree.

Vote Yes on Proposition A.

San Francisco Republican Party
Howard Epstein
Anna M. Guth
Edwin “Ted” Turrell
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffrey Wiegand
Woodward Kingman
Les Payne
Grace Norton-Fitzpatrick
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition A. The Moscone Convention Center has been a major factor in enhancing the economy of this City; the conventions held at the Center have brought jobs, trade and tourists to San Francisco. The Convention Center’s 600,000 square feet of meeting and exhibition space needs, however, to be expanded in order for San Francisco to remain competitive with other cities. While the cost of this addition of approximately 300,000 square feet would be paid from the General Fund, the City proposes to offset the cost to the General Fund with a two percent increase in the City’s hotel room tax. This expenditure of funds would be made several fold by the economic benefits to the businesses in the area and the increased employment for working men and working women of this City.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38
President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Mayors Endorse the Moscone Expansion

The Moscone Center has been an important component of the convention and visitor industry in San Francisco for the past two decades. In 1976, the first Moscone Center was built. Since its construction, the Moscone Center has been the host for the nation's top conventions, exhibits, and shows.

In 1986, San Francisco voted to expand by adding Moscone North. Since the 1986 Moscone expansion, more hotel rooms have been built, hundreds of millions of dollars in hotel tax has been collected, and thousands of jobs have been created. Today, in 1996, as the City prepares for the next Century, the Moscone center must expand to meet the growing demands of the convention and visitor business. San Francisco now ranks 19th in convention space in the country, eighth of leading convention cities. Seven of those eleven cities currently have plans to expand existing convention space which would leave San Francisco last in total meeting and exhibit space. San Francisco cannot afford to fall behind as other cities move forward.

The new Moscone Expansion will add 300,000 square feet of room. It will create 2,000 jobs and positively impact the total economy. Proposition A is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. Prop A will not increase property taxes.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Frank Jordan
Dianne Feinstein
George Christopher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

CAO’s Agree the Moscone Expansion is A Prudent Lease Revenue Bond for the City

Proposition A, authorizing bond financing of the Moscone Expansion, is a prudent investment in San Francisco’s economic future. After carefully reviewing this Proposition, we have concluded that the Moscone Center is well within the City’s current prudent debt limit. Passage of Proposition A will not exceed this self-imposed limit, nor will it prevent the City from funding additional bond proposals as it needs to over the next several decades.

There is no better use for bond funds than for economic development. It will enhance our ability to compete for conventions, tourism and create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs.

The Moscone Expansion is good for San Francisco’s economic health and long term bond capacity. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Bill Lee, Chief Administrative Officer 1995 – to present
Rudy Nothenburg, Chief Administrative Officer 1987 – 1995

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Proposition A Will Help Make Our City Safer

More revenue means more police, fire and emergency services for San Francisco. Expanding Moscone Center will generate that increased revenue by bringing more conventions and visitors to our city. Increased tourism and economic activity will increase the tax revenues for our City's budget. An expanded general fund will help pay for San Francisco’s public safety. We need to ensure that San Francisco is a safe and enjoyable city for residents, workers, travelers and conventioners.

Join us in saying Yes to a safer San Francisco. Vote Yes on Prop A.

Alfred J. Nelder, Former Police Chief
Terence Hallinan, S.F. District Attorney
Arlo Smith, Former S.F. District Attorney
Bill Fazio, Former S.F. Assistant District Attorney
Wayne Friday, Former Police Commissioner
Russ McCallion, Vice President, S.F. Paramedic Association
Glenn E. Ortiz-Schuldt, Treasurer, S.F. Paramedic Association
James Ahern, President, SFF Local 798

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The SF Democratic Party Says Yes to Prop A
The San Francisco Democratic Party supports proposals that will create more, good paying jobs, generate more city revenue and create additional economic opportunities for all San Franciscans. Proposition A accomplishes all of these goals.

The Moscone Convention Center Expansion will create construction jobs as well as up to 2,000 permanent jobs in the service industry. Prop A will provide more meeting space for larger conventions that will draw more tourists to our city. More tourists will mean more hotel room tax revenue for our City’s general fund. Additional general fund dollars will go to support our vital social welfare and health infrastructure needs.

Small businesses, restaurants and neighborhood stores will benefit from the increased tourism generated by Prop A.

Join Democrats in expanding San Francisco’s economic opportunities. Let’s move our City forward with Proposition A.

Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi
State Senator Milton Marks
Supervisor Kevin Shelley, President, S.F. Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Carole Migden
Walter L. Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Natalie Berg, Chair S.F. Democratic Party
Jim West
Claudine Cheng
Maria Martinez
Leslie Katz
Ronald Colthirst
Eddie Chin
Claire Zvanski
Jeanna Haney
Lee Ann Prifti
Greg Day

Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals Agree — Yes on Prop A
The San Francisco Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community strongly supports expanding the Moscone Center. Our community benefits directly from increased tourism and the increased revenue that tourism generates.

First, as a community heavily involved in the hotel, restaurant and travel industries, we will benefit directly from the 2,000 new, permanent jobs that the Moscone expansion will create.

Second, Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual travelers and conventioneers contribute to our City’s economy through sales and hotel room taxes.

Third, the hotel room tax funds the art and cultural institutions we enjoy and participate in, including the de Young Museum, the Opera, the Symphony and Ballet and the City’s annual Lesbian and Gay Freedom Day Parade.

Fourth, the hotel room tax contributes to the City’s General Fund, the primary source of funding for the health care infrastructure our community depends on.

We, the Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual community have a clear stake in supporting Proposition A. Please join us in voting Yes on A.

Alice B. Toklas Lesbian and Gay Democratic Club
Supervisor Carole Migden
Lawrence Wong, S.F. Community College Board Trustee
Kevin Piediscalzi, Chair Alice B. Toklas
Jack Gribbon, Political Director, Western Region, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
Sharon Bretz Jim Rivaldo
T.J. Anthony John L. Ballesteros
Robert W. Barnes

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

JOIN ROBERTA ACHTENBERG IN SUPPORTING
PROPOSITION A

I support Proposition A because it will benefit all San Franciscans. Expanding the Moscone Convention Center will make San Francisco competitive with other major cities. I am happy to say that the increased revenue from the hotel room tax will benefit arts and culture and important social programs supported by the City’s general fund. I urge all San Franciscans to join me in support of Proposition A.

Roberta Achtenberg
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS SUPPORT PROPOSITION A

We support the Moscone Expansion because it will help the Arts and Cultural Facilities maintain their funding. One funding component of our budget is the hotel tax. Many hotel customers are in San Francisco because of a convention or trade show at the Moscone Center. With the Moscone Center expansion more tourists will visit the City, more hotels rooms will be booked, and more revenue will be generated from the hotel room tax.

In 1995, the hotel room tax generated over $22 million for Arts and Cultural Facilities and Organizations. As Arts, Educational, and Cultural funding have been under siege in Washington, D.C., San Francisco has been able to maintain its economic support of the Arts and Cultural Facilities. The hotel tax has played an important role in helping to maintain that funding. The Moscone Expansion will mean continued support for institutions we as a city care about and as a society need.

Protect funding for the arts. Vote Yes on A

Harry S. Parker, Director of Museums, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Thelma Shelley, Managing Director, S.F. War Memorial and Performing Arts Center
Chris Hellman, Chair, Board of Trustees, S.F. Ballet
Peter Pastreich, Executive Director, S.F. Symphony
Kary Schulman, Director, S.F. Grants for the Arts
Leslie Miko, Managing Director, The Center for Arts, Yerba Buena Gardens
Susan E. Hoffman, Executive Director, California Federation of the Arts
Alma Robinson, Executive Director, California Lawyers for the Arts
John Seto, Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center

Supervisor Tom Ammiano Says Vote Yes on Prop A

Progressive San Franciscans have a major stake in taking a leadership role in building a sustainable and thriving economic future for the City. One of the most important economic sectors in our City's economy is our hospitality industry. Thousands of people representing the entire diversity of the community in San Francisco work in hundreds of large and small businesses in our visitor industry. Their talent and hard work have made San Francisco the world's favorite travel destination.

We now have an opportunity to add thousands more new jobs and help new businesses grow by again expanding our very popular Moscone Center. The proposed expansion will increase Moscone Center to 900,000 square feet. 200 new permanent jobs will be created and San Francisco's economy will be boosted by at least $200 million annually. All of the cost will be covered by a 2% increase in the hotel room tax, so visitors will pay the entire cost.

The convention business is a major source of employment at all skill levels. It provides a major source of entry level positions and many opportunities for individual and business opportunity. Visitor amenities enhance rather than degrade our environment. It provides additional taxes for San Francisco. Adopting Proposition A is a winning proposition for San Francisco.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

PROPOSITION A MEANS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The passage of Prop. A will create more money for affordable housing.

Vote YES on Proposition A!

The hotel tax presently generates almost $100 million annually. The City's hotel room tax will be increased from 12 to 14 percent.

This money is spent throughout San Francisco on specific programs as well as through general fund distribution. Specifically, tax revenues are spent on low income housing, recreational facilities, arts and cultural organizations, convention facilities and visitor promotion. In addition, the single biggest recipient of hotel tax dollars is the City's General Fund. More money in the General Fund means more city services, including affordable housing.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O'Donaghy, President, Residential Builders Association

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

S.O.M.A. GROUPS SUPPORT THE MOSCONE EXPANSION

Since 1978 when it was first built, the Moscone Center has played a key role in the South of Market neighborhood recovery. The convention and trade show business that takes place at Moscone Center has been the centerpiece of this economic revival. Last year alone, thousands of visitors walked to and from hotel rooms, ate in local restaurants, purchased items from retailers and generally enjoyed and contributed to our neighborhoods.

The proposed expansion will further this growth and provide our neighborhood with increased economic vitality.

Amelita Pascual, Executive Director, The Community Economic Action Plan Task Force for the South of Market Foundation
Anita Hill, Executive Director, Yerba Buena Marketing Alliance

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Our City’s Budget Needs an Expanded Moscone Center

As the members of the Budget Committee, we face the task of balancing our City’s budget. As Washington, D.C. and Sacramento threaten our local funding, our City faces a potential budget shortfall.

The hotel tax provides money for the general fund. Over 27% of the hotel room tax, more than $25 million dollars, currently goes to the general fund. This money is used for all of the services San Francisco residents depend on: Muni, Libraries, public safety, health services and more.

The expansion of the Moscone Center will provide an increase in the total dollars brought in by the hotel room tax. The expansion will be a stable and increasing revenue source for our City’s budget.

We urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Tom Hsieh
Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Health Care Advocates Say Yes on A

Proposition A’s hotel room tax will provide more revenue for our City’s General Fund. That means more money for San Francisco’s health care programs, more beds at SF General and more needed staff.

Proposition A can benefit all of San Francisco’s communities, not just those directly connected to the convention and tourism industries. Let’s use the money generated by the Proposition A’s Moscone Expansion to aid all of San Francisco’s industries.

Health care advocates urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Tony Leone, Registered Nurse
Margel F. Kaufman, Former Health Commissioner
Catherine Dodd, Registered Nurse

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Mabel Teng Endorses Proposition A

San Francisco is in need of jobs. Proposition A, the Moscone Center West Expansion will provide hundreds of badly needed construction jobs. Over 1,650 jobs will be created throughout our City’s “visitor industry.” This includes hotels, restaurants, retail stores, taxis and much more.

An expanded Moscone Center will help revive our City’s economy. Expanded convention facilities will bring more tourists to shop in local stores and help our City’s merchant corridors in Chinatown, North Beach, and other neighborhoods.

One of the most important benefits of Proposition A is that it will not increase our property taxes. It is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That is good news for our City’s tax payers.

Supervisor Mabel Teng

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

San Francisco Restaurant Industry Agrees
YES on Proposition A

As one of the premier culinary cities in the world, San Francisco depends on the tourism and convention business. The tourism and convention industry enables a wide variety of restaurants in our City to flourish. The Moscone Expansion will help foster that industry and help San Francisco retain its well-deserved reputation.

Proposition A, the Expansion of the Moscone Center, will help our City’s restaurants by increasing the visitors to our City. According to the City’s report, direct spending on our City’s restaurants would increase by $20 to $35 million per year. This increase would generate between $250,000 and $400,000 in sales tax revenue for the City. It will also help create permanent, good paying jobs and help provide for the many other services the restaurant industry uses.

Vote Yes on Proposition A — A good deal for San Francisco’s restaurants.

Kathleen K. Harrington, Owner, bar and grill
Angelo Quaranta, Owner, Allegro Restaurant
George M. Ong, Director of Sales and Marketing, Yank Sing Restaurants
Nunzio Alioto, President, Alioto’s Fish Company

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

TAXI DRIVERS AND OWNERS SUPPORT MOSCONNE EXPANSION

The proposed Moscone Expansion will be good for San Francisco’s taxi industry. As an industry that relies heavily on visitors to our city, the trade show and convention business plays an important role in our economic livelihood. An expanded Moscone Center will increase economic development in all segments of the hospitality industry. The additional meeting and exhibit facilities will increase hotel room usage by over 180,000 nights annually. Potentially, these visitors are our new customers.

Cab drivers and owners agree — Yes on Proposition A

Bob Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Taxi Association
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab
Dan Hinds, President, DeSoto Cab
Jim Bolig, Cab Drivers’ Association of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Revenue Bond for Economic Growth
Makes Sense for Our Economy

As a rule, we don’t support many bonds measures. But, Proposition A, the Moscone Center Expansion is different from most bonds. Here is why:

First, Proposition A, is a Lease Revenue Bond, not a General Obligation Bond. That means that it will not increase property taxes. Revenue bonds, unlike general obligation bonds, are paid back from money generated by the funded project. The Moscone Center will pay for itself through the hotel room tax. Tourists and those visitors attending conventions in San Francisco will stay in our hotels. An increase of 2% in the hotel tax will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion bond.

Second, the passage of Proposition A will immediately create economic growth in San Francisco. This means an immediate generation of construction jobs and nearly 2,000 permanent service and visitor industry jobs. The Moscone expansion will result in more business for the restaurant, retail, hotel, taxi, and the hospitality industries. It will expand San Francisco’s economy by $200 million annually.

Vote yes on Proposition A. The Moscone Expansion revenue bond makes fiscal sense for our City.

Janan New, Executive Director, S.F. Apartment Association
Tom Carrico, Immediate Past President, S.F. Apartment Association
Bill Maher, Former Supervisor
Haig Mardikian, President, Downtown Association of S.F.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

Supervisor Kaufman Says Yes on A

The Moscone Center Expansion represents an important opportunity for our City to expand its economic base, create jobs and retain its status as a premier visitor destination.

Proposition A will add over $200 million dollars a year to our economy. This will help all of our “visitor industry” related business: hotels, restaurants, taxis, retail and related hospitality businesses. Proposition A will create an immediate need for construction jobs. Once opened, the new Moscone expansion will create over 1,650 permanent positions.

Proposition A is a good deal for San Franciscans. The Moscone Center West expansion is a revenue bond not a general obligation bond, and therefore will not increase property taxes. The bond will be paid for by money dedicated from the hotel room tax. That means we, as a City, receive the benefit of economic development bonds with no increase in taxes.

Proposition A makes sense for San Francisco. Vote Yes on Proposition A.

Supervisor Barbara Kaufman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

Business and Labor Agree — Yes on Prop A

San Francisco has reached a pivotal moment in its history. We have elected a Mayor that is committed to joining our City’s diverse communities and institutions. In this newfound spirit of cooperation and progress, San Francisco’s business and labor communities urge you to vote Yes on Proposition A.

Prop A, the Moscone Center Expansion, will coalesce the City’s business and labor groups as it draws more conventions, trade shows and visitors to our great City. Prop A will create new jobs and increase revenue for the programs and services San Franciscans need. Everybody wins with Prop A!

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Rhea Serpan, S.F. Chamber of Commerce

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

The convention center named in honor of my husband, Mayor George Moscone, firmly established San Francisco in the front ranks of the nation’s convention and trade show cities.

George’s greatest source of pride in getting the long-delayed project underway was the convention center’s tremendous contribution to our city’s economy and the livelihood it provides for thousands of San Franciscans.

Proposition A ensures that San Franciscans will continue to enjoy the benefits of a thriving convention industry, and that the Moscone Center will continue to accommodate the nation’s most prestigious conventions and trade shows.

Please join me in voting YES on Proposition A.

Gina Moscone

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.

RETAILERS AGREE: YES ON PROPOSITION A

Proposition A will grow the local economy and benefit the City’s retailers.

The convention element of the visitor industry represents nearly 1/3 of the dollars spent by our city’s overnight visitors. These dollars create jobs, generate money for the city coffers and support businesses throughout San Francisco.

Unless we expand Moscone Center, San Francisco could lose business due to the existing space constraints of the Moscone Center. Tourism is now the City’s #1 industry. The ripple effect of these tourists and convention delegates in our retail stores cannot be underestimated. Expanding the Moscone Center will increase the number of visitors to San Francisco, increase the amount in the city treasury available for city services and increase the dollars spent in retail stores of all sizes throughout San Francisco.

Proposition A is the deal of the decade. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Russ Campbell, Director, Retail Properties, Embarcadero Center
Jennifer Pitts, Wound About, Pier 39
Lee Ann Baldwin, Operation Manager, Borders Books and Music
Louis Meunier, Executive Vice President, Merchandising, Macys California
Mark Brashear, Manager, Nordstrom San Francisco
Walter Fong, Owner, Couture

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for Proposition A.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTS MOSCONЕ EXPANSION

Proposition A is a wise investment in San Francisco’s future. And it doesn’t cost taxpayers anything!

Proposition A will create $200,000,000 annually in additional dollars in the local economy.

More tourists mean more retail, service and restaurant business. That’s good for local jobs — that’s good for San Francisco.

Many of the companies that provide local services for conventions are small businesses.

Support small business. Vote YES on Proposition A.

Allessandro Barcarì, Executive Secretary, Fisherman’s Wharf Merchants Association
Mark Leno, Small Business Owner
Wayne Hue, Parade Co-Chair, S.F. Chinese New Year Parade, S.F. Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Elaine Sosa, Owner, Javawalk
Rita Barela, Owner, Rita Barela Productions
Barbara Cappa, President and Owner, Cappa and Graham Inc.
Katherine J. Krebs, President, KREBS Convention Management Services and President of Northern California Chapter, Meeting Professionals International
Joan Sills, President, Pacific Marine Yachts
Iris J. Fluellen, Owner, Iris J. Fluellen Events
Trevor Hailey, Cruisin’ the Castro, Historical Walking Tour
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No New Taxes, Yes on Prop A

The Moscone Expansion bond is a revenue bond, not a general obligation bond. That means no new taxes for San Francisco’s property owners. The hotel room tax, a tax paid by visitors not residents of San Francisco, will pay for the Moscone Center Expansion.

The economic impact the Moscone Expansion will have on San Francisco cannot be underestimated. It will help expand our City’s economy by $150 to $200 million a year. It will enable San Francisco to compete for more conventions in the future, attracting more tourists to the City. Proposition A means more hotel rooms filled, more retail business, and more spending in our City’s restaurants.

Economic development, jobs, and more tourism, without increased property taxes, makes good fiscal sense for San Francisco. We urge you to vote Yes on Prop A.

Arthur Bruzone, Chairman, S.F. Republican Party
Vera Karamardian, Executive Director, S.F. Republican Party
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The Hotel Industry Says Yes To Prop A

San Francisco is not realizing its full potential as a convention city.

We are turning away business that would come here if we had available dates and space in Moscone Center. There are also some conventions that the City welcomes on a regular basis that are beginning to outgrow the current facilities.

Prop A will expand Moscone Center and allow us to attract up to $200 million a year in new convention business. This additional business will be an economic boost to all segments of the economy — hotels, restaurants, retail, entertainment and a wide variety of convention services.

In addition to temporary construction jobs, Prop A will create numerous new permanent service industry jobs for San Franciscans.

Using the increase in the hotel room tax to finance the expansion is a prudent investment that will provide an economic benefit for all San Franciscans ... and with no cost to the local taxpayer.

Robert Begley, Executive Director, Hotel Council of San Francisco

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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JOBS, JOBS AND MORE JOBS

Prop A will directly benefit San Francisco's minority communities. Prop A, the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, will create nearly 2,000 new jobs in San Francisco.

Construction jobs will be needed to build the newest addition to our City’s existing Convention Center.

Permanent service industry jobs will be created due to increased convention and trade show business. San Francisco’s restaurants will be busier, hotel rooms will be filled and more money will be spent in local stores and businesses.

Small business employment opportunities will be generated in the South of Market area due to the increase in out of town conventioneers and visitors.

More jobs will mean more employment opportunities for people of color who live and work in San Francisco. Please join community and neighborhood leaders in voting YES on Proposition A.

Assessor Doris M. Ward
Fiona Ma, S.F. Assessment Appeals Board
Dr. Leland Yee, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, San Francisco Board of Education
Jerry Nelson
Sabrina Saunders
Claudine Cheng
Jason Wong
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MOSCONIE EXPANSION MEANS MORE S.F. JOBS

The convention, trade show and tourism industry sustains more than 60,000 jobs in San Francisco, the vast majority of which are held by city residents.

These jobs include a wide spectrum of professions and trades: electricians and carpenters; restaurant and hotel workers; shopkeepers and retail clerks; stage hands and trade show installers; sign painters and many more.

With voter approval of Proposition A, the expansion of the Moscone Center will create hundreds of jobs for the construction and trade industries. Due to the increased number of conventions, trade shows and exhibits, the Moscone Expansion will boost the City’s economy by over $200 million a year. This means more jobs and more money for the general fund.

Proposition A will create nearly 2,000 permanent jobs for local residents.

Proposition A will benefit ALL city residents and the local economy.

Vote to save and create new jobs in San Francisco. Vote YES on Prop. A.

Walter Johnson, S.F. Labor Council
Stan Smith, S.F. Building Trades Council
Michael Hardeman, Sign Display Local 510
Rod McLeod, Theatrical Stage Employees Local 613
Robert Morales, Teamsters Local 350
Joseph Sharpe, UFCW Local 648
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6
Michael Casey, President, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Local 2
Larry Mazzola, Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 38
Al Trigueiro, S.F. Police Officers Association
Lawrence Martin, Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
Sal Rosselli, Healthcare Workers Union Local 250, SEIU
Jim McPartlan, Carpenters Local Union 22
Donald Lawson, Teamsters Local 856
Joan-Marie Shelley, United Educators of San Francisco
Robert Murray, Local 4 and Painters District Council #8
Gunnar Lunderberg, Sailors’ Union of the Pacific
Art Viat, Stationary Engineers, Local 39
Katie Quan, Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, Pacific Northwest District Council
Van Beane, Teamsters Local 85

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Local 510.

The Hospitality Industry is San Francisco’s largest employer. While other industries have left town, the hospitality industry continues to offer job opportunities and generate revenues to San Franciscans. The diversity and world-class reputation of our City’s restaurants depend on the downtown and tourism businesses, but we need facilities to meet growing convention demands. Without the Moscone Center expansion, millions of dollars of convention business will go to cities like Las Vegas. Keeping convention dollars in San Francisco means more jobs for San Franciscans.

The Golden Gate Restaurant Association urges a YES vote on Proposition A!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington Colleen Meharry
Gianni Fassio Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.
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SAN FRANCISCO CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU SUPPORTS PROP A

The mission of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau has been the same since its inception as a non-profit marketing organization in 1909 — enhance the City’s economy by promoting San Francisco as a destination for convention and pleasure travelers.

To meet our commitment to the City to sustain the employment and tax base supported by visitors, the Bureau worked closely with Mayors Alioto and Moscone to make Moscone Center a reality in order for San Francisco to take its rightful place in the modern day convention and trade show market.

The original size of Moscone Center was limited by the desire to include other community facilities in the Yerba Buena Project. The Center became too small very early and had to be expanded in 1992 in order to retain convention groups who quickly outgrew it.

The success of the current Moscone Center has exceeded the most optimistic expectations. We are now turning away business for lack of available space and dates. The Center is almost totally booked beyond the year 2000.

With a Yes vote on Proposition A, our organization can effectively meet the competition from other cities into the next century. San Francisco will be able to accommodate its share of the valuable convention market.

The hospitality industry is San Francisco’s largest employer of City residents and visitors are the largest source of new dollars for the City’s economy. Tourism makes it possible for San Francisco to have a much greater variety of dining, shopping, cultural and entertainment opportunities. Proposition A will ensure that the industry’s critical convention segment will continue to provide returns to all San Franciscans.

INVEST IN THE FUTURE — EXPAND MOSCON CENTE

Leaders of the nation’s largest trade groups chose San Francisco as the most desirable city in the country for their national conventions. The most important factor in deciding where to hold a national convention is the size of the city’s convention facility. Presently, San Francisco’s Moscone Center is too small. If it is not expanded San Francisco will lose a key share of major conventions.

Proposition A, the Moscone Center expansion bond will cost $157.5 million. But, the money will not come from taxpayers. Instead, the expansion will be financed by an increase in the hotel room tax — a tax paid by tourists and convention delegates.

That hotel room tax money will add to the City’s general fund. This added revenue to the general fund will benefit all San Franciscans. Prop A will mean more money for the City services San Franciscans need and deserve.

Prop A will provide innumerable economic benefits to our City. Expanding the Moscone Center will mean an immediate need for construction jobs and the addition of nearly 2,000 permanent service and related industry jobs. Expanding Moscone Center will mean expanding San Francisco’s economy $150 to $200 million annually.

Expand Moscone Center through the passage of Prop. A. It’s an important investment in the future of the City.

Fritz Arko, Chairman, Board of Directors, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
John A. Marks, President, San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

LABOR FOR MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Proposition A is about jobs! Visitor industry jobs are the #1 source of employment in San Francisco today. Conventions contribute hundreds of millions of dollars per year to our local economy.

Visitor industry jobs are as important in this decade as maritime jobs were in the 1930's and 1940's. Our present convention facilities are simply not adequate to serve the growing needs of our convention customers.

Expanding Moscone Convention Center is not really an option, it's mandatory. If we fail to expand Moscone Convention Center now, our city will lose millions of dollars in future convention revenues.

Sign Display Local Union 510's Trade Show Installers, Exhibit Builders, Graphic Artists, and Part-time Workers look forward to sharing new job opportunities tomorrow with other San Franciscans.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION A

Michael E. Hardeman, Business Manager For Sign Display Local Union #510

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Labor for Proposition A Local 510.

WANTED: More jobs, a healthier City economy, more funding for the arts. A YES vote on Proposition A will make these happen without increasing property taxes.

San Francisco continues to outpoll other cities as the favorite destination for conventioneers and visitors. Proposition A will expand the Moscone facility's meeting and exhibition space, which will enable the City to host more meetings and visitors. And this expansion will be paid for by an increase in the hotel tax, which is paid by those same visiting conventioneers and tourists. This expansion will also provide new jobs, increase tourist spending into our economy and increase funding through the hotel tax for arts and cultural activities.

An expanded new Moscone facility will also help to increase property values and revenues from sales and hotel taxes, which will reinforce the City's ability to repay outstanding public debt. This in turn will allow the City to keep its favorable bond rating and expand debt capacity for other necessary capital needs.

Proposition A is an intelligent investment in the City's economic future.

Support our City and vote YES on A.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAID ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The hospitality industry is a leading San Francisco economic segment. As such, I’ve twice supported bond issues to provide convention facilities in Yerba Buena. Now, however, I must regretfully urge a “no” vote on Proposition A.

It’s a $157,425,000 bond issue which, peculiarly, is presented to taxpayers instead of bonds for our tragically-deficient Youth Guidance Center, the replacement of a constitutionally-violative county jail and rehabilitation of a beloved, but bleak, home of last resort for our senior citizens, namely, Laguna Honda Hospital. Those are the priorities for borrowing and repaying debt from tax collections. Until those facilities are secured, it’s hardly justifiable to authorize $157,425,000 of indebtedness to expand convention facilities that have twice in 15 years been built with borrowed money.

Moreover, assuring taxpayers the bonds will be repaid by increased hotel taxation (from 12% to 14%) isn’t the whole story. If an earthquake, recession or some other occurrence causes a reduction in hotel patronage, you can bet that the $157,425,000 debt plus approximately $130,000,000 for interest and other financing costs will be extracted from the general fund. If you don’t object to the potential lessening of money for hospital care, neighborhood clinics, park maintenance, library, police and fire departmental expenses, then you should vote for Proposition A. If, however, the potential loss of money to pay the cost of such services concern you, then you’ve every reason to vote against Proposition A. Personally, I don’t think I’m alone in believing that a rehabilitated Laguna Honda Hospital, a new and humane Juvenile Hall and a constitutionally-sufficient county jail are the first calls on our municipal debt capacity, before we add debt for another convention facility. I love and respect the hospitality industry, but I’d be untrue to my principles if I didn’t oppose Proposition A.

Joel Ventresca
Past President, Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

This is downtown corporate welfare at the expense of the neighborhoods.

Quentin L. Kopp
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.
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[MOSCON EXPANSION BONDS]
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO LEASE FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS OBLIGATIONS NOT TO EXCEED $157,500,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CONVENTION CENTER FACILITIES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT, FIXTURES AND FURNISHINGS IN THE SOUTH OF MARKET AREA.
RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors acting pursuant to Charter Section 7309(a) hereby submits to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition:
Shall the city enter into lease financing arrangements with the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, or a similar nonprofit corporation, the obligations or evidence of indebtedness with respect to which shall not exceed the aggregate principal amount of One hundred fifty seven million five hundred thousand Dollars ($157,500,000), for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional convention center facilities and related equipment, fixtures and furnishings in the South of Market area.

Out of town on March 26, 1996? Apply for an Absentee Ballot. Just complete the form on the back cover, put a 32¢ stamp where indicated and mail it in. You will be sent absentee voting materials, including a ballot.
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PROPOSITION B

Shall various City ordinances be changed so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin?

YES  NO

Digest
by Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City owns a sports stadium located at Candlestick Point. The Giants baseball team and the 49ers football team play their home games at that stadium. The Giants want to build a new baseball park on the waterfront at China Basin.

Currently, there are restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site, some of which were passed by the voters and can only be changed by the voters.

The restrictions include:

- A ban on non-maritime construction until a "Waterfront Land Use Plan" is completed.
- A zoning law limiting the height of new buildings to 40 feet.
- A requirement that any ballpark built have at least one off-street parking space for every 15 ballpark seats.

so that a ballpark with up to 45,000 seats could be built at China Basin.

- A ballpark could be built even if the "Waterfront Land Use Plan" has not been completed.
- The building height limit would be increased from 40 feet to 150 feet for this ballpark.
- The on-site parking space requirements would be eliminated for this ballpark.

The above points and other parts of this measure could be changed by the Board of Supervisors. Construction of the ballpark would still require design and other approvals by the City and by State agencies.

A "YES" VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to change City law so that a ballpark may be built at China Basin.

A "NO" VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change City law for this purpose.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B would change City law

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller Edward Harrington has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

In my opinion, if the voters approve the proposed Ordinance it would not directly affect the cost of government since it only makes policy statements and revises the City’s Planning Code.

While the Ordinance states the policy that “the ballpark be developed privately”, it does not provide a specific financing plan. Therefore the Ordinance does not include requirements that only private funds be used to finance both the park and related costs, such as toxic clean-up and expanded transit and other City services. It also does not provide specific information on any proposed lease with the Port of San Francisco. On the other hand, the Ordinance also does not address potential financial benefits to the City from the ballpark such as additional property, hotel, parking and sales taxes.

How “B” Got on the Ballot

On December 19, 1995 the Registrar of Voters received a proposed ordinance signed by Supervisors Alioto, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy, Leal, Migden, Shelley, and Teng.

The City Charter allows four or more Supervisors to place an ordinance on the ballot in this manner.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS MEASURE AND ITS FULL TEXT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THIS PAGE.
PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Three years ago, a group of civic leaders saved the Giants. In order to keep the team in San Francisco, the new owners had to promise league officials that they would do whatever possible to build a suitable new ballpark. The Giants have responded with a spectacular, creative plan.

PRIVATELY BUILT. San Franciscans have narrowly rejected two ballpark proposals. Both measures required substantial public funds. This proposal is different. The Giants want to build the first privately-funded ballpark in over 30 years with their money, not ours. No new taxes. No increase in existing taxes. No public subsidies. No hidden costs. The Giants will lease the land for fair market value.

AN ARCHITECTURAL WONDER. The ballpark will be small and modeled after delightful new downtown ballparks in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver and classic ballparks like Boston’s Fenway Park and Chicago’s Wrigley Field. It’ll sit beautifully at China Basin, one of the warmest, sunniest spots in the City. The ballpark will allow people to enjoy a portion of the waterfront now unavailable to the public.

AN ECONOMIC BOOST. The ballpark will improve the City’s economy and create thousands of well-paying jobs. Over $100,000,000 in economic benefits will flow to the City each year.

ACCESSIBLE & CONVENIENT. China Basin is an easy walk from Market Street. Public transit will be excellent. Muni Metro, BART, Caltrain and ferries will serve the ballpark. Adequate parking will be available. Unlike Candlestick, people will come on foot, by train, by boat and even on rollerblades.

IT’S TIME. It is time to build the ballpark, a place where people of all ages, races and economic status can be together. This is the right plan. Let’s do it!

Co-Chairs, San Franciscans For a Downtown Ballpark
Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams

REBUTTAL TO PROONENT’S ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

“Privately Built”? DON’T BE FOOLED. Taxpayers could pay for:
Land acquisition, toxic cleanup, tenant relocation, building demolition, infrastructure (13 acres of pilings on landfill, sewers), increased City services (Muni, police, parking, fire).

“Architectural Wonder”? 150 foot high wall, 4X current limits, with NO HEIGHT LIMITS on lighting structures. The stadium is an inappropriate use of waterfront property, and displaces half of a public park.

“Economic Boost”? This stadium moves jobs from one part of the City to another. Robert Baade, expert sports economist, states SPORTS STADIUMS AND TEAMS HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON AN AREA’S ECONOMIC GROWTH. Sports do not expand spending, they reallocate it.

China Basin, fastest growing area of the City, center of U.S. multimedia, with 250 firms, including six of the ten largest, creates jobs and tax revenues. A stadium CAUSES CONGESTION AND DRIVES THESE JOBS AND TAX REVENUES AWAY.

“Accessible and Convenient”? Proposition B states, “... there shall be no minimum requirement for the off-street parking” for the stadium. Giants acknowledge 75% of fans will arrive by car. 15,000 additional cars will CREATE GRIDLOCK OVER 200 DAYS a year.

BART is ten blocks away; Caltrain is moving away; there is no ferry service.

Proposition B TAKES AWAY VOTERS’ RIGHTS, “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors.”

This stadium isn’t affordable entertainment.

READING THIS ORDINANCE REVEALS ITS LOOPHOLES. Don’t sign this blank check. VOTE NO ON B.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities ’96
OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96 opposes Proposition B because:

• It will cost San Francisco taxpayers tens of millions of dollars.
• The stadium will bring millions of additional cars into downtown San Francisco and our residential neighborhoods. This ordinance exempts the Giants from providing any parking.
• Proposition B allows the Board of Supervisors to arbitrarily change this ordinance without voter approval. The Supervisors could impose taxes to pay for the stadium or waive environmental impact issues.
• China Basin is the burgeoning center of U.S. multimedia firms. A stadium in China Basin will drive these jobs, businesses and tax revenues away.
• The China Basin landfill site is vulnerable to earthquake damage. Candlestick, located on bedrock, withstood the 1989 earthquake.
• Candlestick’s 180 acres has 28,300 parking spaces. China Basin is a 13 acre site with no parking. It won’t work!
• This ordinance will permit a 150 foot high concrete and brick wall blocking our waterfront.
• An open-air China Basin stadium has essentially the same weather as Candlestick.
• Stadium proposals have been defeated by voters four times in the last decade. Voters do not believe stadiums pay for themselves.

Vote no because:

• NO financial plans have been shown.
• NO Environmental Impact Report has been prepared.
• NO public hearings have been held.
• There is NO guarantee the Giants will stay in San Francisco.
• There is NO guarantee taxpayers will not subsidize this “private” stadium.

This is a worse deal than the one rejected in 1989. Don’t give the Giants a blank check. Vote no on Proposition B.

San Franciscans for Planning and Priorities '96 is made up of neighbors, neighborhood groups, environmental groups and business people united in opposition to the proposed stadium.

San Franciscans for Planning Priorities '96

REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B

IT'S TIME TO ACT.
San Francisco has struggled with the ballpark issue for decades. Dozens of task forces. Countless public hearings. While San Francisco has debated, almost every other major league city has built a new ballpark. Now, after thirty years of debate, it's time to act.
FINANCIALLY SOUND.
The new ballpark will be built privately, at no cost or risk to the taxpayers. The Giants will even lease the land for fair market value. The ballpark will create thousands of jobs and generate millions of dollars for the City. In fact, it will ease San Francisco's financial burden, making a contribution to the City's general fund and helping to sustain crucial programs.
ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE.
Much of the proposed ballpark site is now off-limits, protected by barbed-wire. The ballpark will open up the waterfront. China Basin is the warmest, sunniest part of the City. Unlike Candlestick, the new ballpark is designed to block prevailing winds, making it comfortable for fans. Access by public transportation is outstanding. Sufficient parking is available nearby. Like buildings downtown, the ballpark will be designed to withstand major earthquakes. As in Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, the ballpark will improve the surrounding area and help local businesses.
IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
In San Francisco, even the best proposal will draw opposition. Please join us in proving that San Francisco is still "the City that knows how."

Senator Quentin L. Kopp
Roberta Achtenberg
Rev. Cecil Williams
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

We must help keep the San Francisco Giants here and that means support for Proposition B.

A few years back, a group of San Franciscans stepped-up to the plate to buy our franchise, keeping a hundred year tradition of professional baseball alive. Let me congratulate Peter Magowan and the Giants for creating an outstanding plan that includes no general fund money and no new taxes. As your Mayor, I intend to hold the Giants to this commitment.

The new ballpark will be built at China Basin by the same architects who built Camden Yards in Baltimore, Jacobs Field in Cleveland and Coors Field in Denver. All of these cities have prospered from the benefits arrived from their respective parks and unlike the Giants, they used public money to build those parks.

It is estimated that this privately-financed ballpark will generate about $125,000,000 annually for San Francisco. That’s needed money to help us through the difficult cuts coming from Washington and Sacramento. That money and those jobs will help us preserve services.

I urge you to join me in voting Yes on Proposition B — build the privately-financed ballpark. It’s a good deal for San Francisco.

Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

It has been a difficult year for those of us in Washington trying to preserve needed funding for cities. The competition for shrinking public dollars is fierce. That is why we are particularly pleased with the ballpark plan submitted to the voters by the Giants. The Giants are seeking to be the first baseball team in over thirty years to privately build a ballpark. A new ballpark without taxpayer subsidy, will contribute millions of dollars to the local economy and help San Francisco face the fiscal challenges that lie ahead. Please join with us in supporting the ballpark.

Senator Dianne Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

This is a world-class proposal worthy of our world-class city. Not only will Proposition B allow the creation of America’s finest baseball park right here in San Francisco, it will do so without any new cost to taxpayers.

Proposition B proves that this is a city that still knows how to do things right — a privately-financed new park with no new taxes and no general fund revenue. That’s a proposal the whole city can support.

We ask you to join us in strong support of Proposition B.

FORMER MAYORS UNITED IN SUPPORT OF A NEW BALLPARK

The Honorable Joseph L. Alioto
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
The Honorable Art Agnos
The Honorable Frank M. Jordan

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

In 1989, we voted against the ballpark. We voted against the ballpark because it was to be partially paid for with public funds. The current proposal is different. It will contribute revenue to the City, not take revenue away. In 1989, we were apprehensive about the traffic and parking impacts of locating a ballpark near downtown. With the recent examples of Baltimore, Cleveland and Denver, we are now convinced that these problems can be managed successfully. For these and other reasons, we urge all of those who voted against the ballpark in 1989 to take a new look at the issue and join us in voting for the ballpark this time around.

OLD FOES, NEW SUPPORTERS OF A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

SUPERVISORS URGE VOTERS TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

In these tough fiscal times we need creative ways to fund vital services, without raising taxes.

Proposition B will do just that. Construction of a new, privately-financed, ballpark in China Basin will create millions of dollars in new revenue each year for San Franciscans. That will help keep libraries open, parks clean and safe, recreational programs in place, police on our streets, and AIDS treatment and prevention and other health services well-funded.

The new park will be privately-financed so no new taxes will be called for an no existing city revenue will be used to construct it. As a result the city will reap the full economic benefits of the new construction, as well as the long-term economic benefits generated by fans spending millions of dollars in the city.

The park itself will be architecturally significant, transit-accessible, and neighborhood friendly. Proposition B will bring all of this, plus millions of dollars in new revenues we need to keep paying for services, without new taxes.

Whether or not you go to baseball games, this is a park that will benefit you. We ask all San Franciscans to join with us on March 26th in support of Proposition B.

Denver, Cleveland, and Baltimore have all benefited tremendously from new downtown ballparks. Proposition B builds on the successes of those cities.

The Giants represent a $100 million a year boost to the San Francisco economy through tax revenue, increased commercial business, and employment of hundreds of people. A downtown location can only increase the financial rewards for the City.

China Basin is an excellent location for this ballpark and, realistically, the only downtown location available for the balance of this century. Its proximity to BART, MUNI, ferry service, and CalTrain will encourage transit use. Its downtown location will stimulate commercial business.

After Proposition B passes, the ballpark plans will still go through the entire planning process, including an environmental impact report. At that time, detailed planning concerns can be addressed. By law, impacts such as traffic and parking must be resolved before the ballpark can be built.

Finally, the ballpark is privately funded. The City won’t spend any money on the ballpark’s construction, something that hasn’t happened anywhere in thirty years.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)

The San Francisco Giants are a valuable civic asset providing affordable entertainment to hundreds of thousands of sports fans and visitors to our City and providing entry level jobs for hundreds of youth from San Francisco’s neighborhoods.

Construction of a new stadium in the China Basin area is long overdue, and will create thousands of jobs for San Franciscans. We applaud the Giants for proposing to develop the ballpark privately without relying on general obligation bonds or creating a burden for San Francisco’s taxpayers.

The San Francisco Republican Party supports Proposition B and urges you to vote Yes on B on March 26th.

San Francisco Republican Party

Barbara Kiley
Howard Epstein
Albert Chang
Elsa C. Cheung
Charles J. Wong
Jeffery W. Wiegand
Woodward Kingman

Arthur Bruzzone
Marc G. Wolin
Donald A. Casper
Christopher L. Bowman
Les Payne
James E. Gilleran
Manuel A. Rosales
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote YES on Proposition B. Unlike the ballpark proposals of 1987 and 1989, the new plan requires no public funds from the City and County of San Francisco! Proposition B is privately financed — public monies will not be used for private gain! Not one red cent of San Francisco’s precious General Fund money will be spent for the ballpark. For taxpayers and all residents, Proposition B is a bonafide home run!!!

Proposition B is a simple, straightforward vote. It simply seeks approval for an exemption to waterfront height and development regulations. The Giants will lease the property from the Port at fair market value and 40% of the property tax increment will be paid the general fund. Finally, San Franciscans will have a privately financed ballpark and not a burden on our tax dollars. Proposition B is a boosts the local economy and generates tax dollars. That’s why the only genuine taxpayers’ association in San Francisco unequivocally supports this ballpark proposal from the enlightened new owners of the Giants.

San Francisco Taxpayers Association Directors
Kenneth B. Cera
Cheryl Arenson
Quentin L. Kopp

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was SF Taxpayers Assoc.

As long-time citizen watchdogs, we’ve had our share of VOTE NO arguments published over the years on hair-brained ideas. Proposition B, however, represents a refreshing change.

Fortunately, the new owners of the Giants are dedicated to the betterment of San Francisco. Proposition B is a reflects and demonstrates graphically that pledge. That’s why we support Proposition B. Over the years, various schemes have been proposed, using hard-earned taxpayer dollars to pay for private ventures. This plan is DIFFERENT! It doesn’t utilize the services of any city services and the Giants Stadium will be a revenue and job generator, setting an example as a proud symbol of private financing — not some devious public financing scheme sponsored by the usual suspects. Finally, a private business gets it!!!

Vote YES and support the Giants’ nonreliance on government. Vote YES for a ballpark which will provide jobs and evoke a delightful old-time baseball atmosphere. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B!!

Kopp’s Good Government Committee
Quentin L. Kopp
Cheryl Arenson
Tom Hayes

Proposition B is a linchpin in the future development of San Francisco, constituting a rare opportunity to implement a successful, architecturally stunning ballpark which will maintain San Francisco’s world class city status.

Imagine a sunny San Francisco day in a ballpark nestled against the waterfront, watching a homer splash into the bay. San Franciscans should resoundingly approve Proposition B or forever be labeled as a parochial backwater! If other American cities like Baltimore, Denver and even Cleveland recognize the value of an old-time ballpark, removed from the harried urban existence, so, too, should San Franciscans claim that ambiance and vision. Privately financed, Proposition B will produce for extensive use of public transportation, even water transit. It benefits San Francisco directly by placing a world class stadium on our waterfront. Ignore the cacophony of chronic naysayers and obstructionists — if they want isolation they surely can move to Idaho!

Vote YES on Proposition B — vote yes for the future of San Francisco.

Senator Quentin L. Kopp — 8th District
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Kopp’s Good Government Committee.

As a union official and resident of San Francisco, I urge you to vote “YES” on Proposition B. This Proposition would change City law to amend certain restrictions on land use that apply to the China Basin site so that a ballpark, with up to 45,000 seats, may be built at this site. The present owners of the San Francisco Giants have satisfactorily addressed all the objections raised to the earlier propositions for a new stadium, including traffic and financing. The stadium will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents. It will be conveniently served by public transit, including Muni Metro, Bart, Caltrain and Ferry Service. There will be ample on and off street parking. Most significantly, it will be privately financed. A modern ballpark at China Basin will enhance the prestige and economics of our City with increased business to the restaurants and retail shops in the area and additional jobs for the working men and working women of San Francisco.

Larry Mazzola
Business Manager of Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 38 President, San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

AFRICAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
For over 35 years, the Giants have been one of the City’s most prominent institutions. They represent a tradition of not only good baseball, but of providing decent jobs. The Giants are also prominent in all of our neighborhoods — particularly minority communities — where they are major supporters of youth programs in both our schools and our parks.
A new ballpark will lead to more jobs and will ensure that the Giants traditions will continue in San Francisco for years to come. Vote Yes on Proposition B.

Doris M. Ward, Assessor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Baseball has long been the symbol of American culture. As Americans of Russian heritage, we have embraced the game of baseball as our favorite pastime. At Giants games we feel at home and accepted as part of the community. We are excited about being a part of building a new ballpark in San Francisco. Please join us and other Russian Americans in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

RUSSIAN-AMERICANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Vladimir Novitsky, Anastasia Glikshtern, Emil Sairaritsky, Ilya Gorodetsky, Edward Shoikhet, Elizabeth Goldstein, Josef Dubrowsky, Alla Osherov, Ludmila Yustin

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

EDUCATORS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
There is no doubt Proposition B makes sound fiscal sense, with no new taxes, no new city revenues and private financing of the new park. But as educators and parents, we find an additional reason for supporting Proposition B just as sound — our kids.
This new park will give our kids new recreation opportunities. It will be far more accessible than Candlestick — with a new MUNI Metro Stop right outside the gates. And as adults, we can’t forget that affordable community activities like Major League baseball bring joy and community spirit to our youth.
You don’t need to care about baseball to support Proposition B. If you care about kids, join with us in voting YES on B.

Waldemar Rojas, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Dr. Dan Kelly, President, San Francisco Board of Education
Robert Burton, President, Community College Board
Dr. Leland Yee, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Keith Jackson, Member, San Francisco Board of Education
Leslie Katz, Member, Community College Board
Lawrence Wong, Member, Community College Board
Rodel Rodis, Member, Community College Board
Joan Marie Shelley, President, United Educators of San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

ASIAN-AMERICANS FOR A DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The improvement of the China Basin area with a new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by Asian-Americans. More small business opportunities with increased tourism and greater recreational opportunities for our families and children are worthy of our support. Most important, it will be done with no new taxes and none of the city’s general fund dollars.
Asian-Americans from every neighborhood and community support the cultural enhancement a new ballpark brings to our city.
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Dr. Leland Yee, Bruce Quan, Claudine Cheng, Fiona Ma, Alan Huie, Ron Jin, George Ong, Brian Chen, Jason Wong, Alison Louie, Man Cheung, Kenny Lee, Debi Kobayashi, Jone-Paul Yan, John F. Yee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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BUSINESS LEADERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B is a privately-financed plan that will bring enormous benefit to the entire public.

No general tax fund dollars will be spent on the park, but millions of dollars of new city revenue will be generated for the new jobs and new economic opportunities created. This is exactly the kind of sound private investment San Francisco needs to maintain its status as a world-class city.

While other ballpark proposals called for new taxes or had hidden costs, no new taxes will be required to build this project. The entire ballpark itself will be required to build this project.

This is a proposal that was worth the wait. Business leaders urge San Franciscans to vote YES on Proposition B.

Don Fisher, Chairman & Founder, The Gap, Inc.
Charles Schwab, Chairman, Charles Schwab & Company
Richard Rosenberg, President, Bank of America
Harmon Burns, Executive Vice President, Franklin Resources
Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Allan Byer, President, Byer California Properties
Nathan Dwiri, President, Yellow Cab Cooperative
Robert Jacobs, Executive Director, San Francisco Hotel Association

Donald Friend, Pierre P. Merk, III, James T. Fiorucci, Gary A. Wesela, Larry V. De Vischen, Larry Willeit, Joe Pavina, Burt Banks, Tony A. Rosellini, Harry Innocenti, Mona Jajen, David Sullivan, Jack Yacobian, Carlo A. Caminiti

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

TRANSPORTATION EXPERTS SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
Proposition B will allow the creation of the most transit-friendly ballpark in America.

Fans from all over the region will be able to come to the new park, and leave their cars at home. If we build it, they will come by streetcar, by train, by bus, by BART, and by foot. This will be a stunning re-affirmation of San Francisco’s excellent Transit First Policy.

We have closely studied the proposal and find that it makes transit sense. We urge all San Franciscans to vote YES on B.

Mike Bernick, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
James Fang, Member, Bay Area Rapid Transit Board of Directors
Jon Ballesteros, Member, Public Transportation Commission
Victor Makris, Former Member, Public Utilities Commission

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICIALS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
A new downtown ballpark is strongly supported by those of us responsible for public safety.

Proposition B will revitalize and bring new tourism, small businesses and enthusiasm to the China Basin area — which will increase public safety while creating new viable recreational opportunities for our urban youth.

Those of us involved in the safety of the public are always looking for creative solutions — that don’t cost the taxpayers extra dollars — to improve the safety and quality of life all San Franciscans deserve. A new, privately-financed ballpark will do just that!

Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mike Hennessy, Sheriff, City & County of San Francisco
Terence Hallinan, District Attorney, City & County of San Francisco
Al Nelder, Former Chief of Police
Al Triguero, President, San Francisco Police Officer’s Association
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Wayne Friday, Police Commissioner
Rich Perino, Probation Officer
Harriet Salaro, Victims Advocate

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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UNTIL THERE'S A CURE FOUNDATION SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK
All of us at the Until There’s A Cure Foundation urge you to vote Yes on Proposition B this March 26th. For the last two years we have worked with the San Francisco Giants to raise more than $200,000 in the fight against AIDS. The Giants were the first-ever professional sports team to host a benefit game to raise money for AIDS research and education. The team’s dedication to the effort was remarkable. The Giants are a community-minded organization worthy of our support and admiration. We urge you to join us in supporting the Giants in their effort to build a new ballpark, a magnificent new gathering place for people to enjoy baseball and celebrate life.

Dana Cappielo, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Kathleen Scutchfield, Co-Founder, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Stasia Obremsky, Executive Director, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mary Beth Gelinas, Event’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Linda Vogel, Operation’s Manager, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Evelyn Forrest, Controller, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Mildred Kent, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
Paul Eshoo, Until There’s A Cure Foundation
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SOUTH OF MARKET BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
We are excited about the prospects of having a new ballpark in San Francisco. The ballpark will create new jobs and jump-start new businesses in our neighborhood. It will also provide a boost to those businesses already existing South of Market. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL COACHES SUPPORT THE NEW BALLPARK
The Giants have been invaluable partners in our effort to maintain after-school sports programs in San Francisco’s public middle schools and high schools. Each year, the Giants fund more than half of the public school athletic budget of $1,200,000. Over the years, thousands of our City’s young men and women have enjoyed sports and learned the positive lessons of teamwork due to the contributions of the Giants. The Giants have pledged to continue this program at the new ballpark. Since the annual contribution is tied to attendance, the schools stand to benefit significantly from the construction of a new ballpark. Please join us in voting for the new ballpark on March 26th.

Marc Christensen, Teacher/Coach & President, San Francisco Coaches Association
Albert J. Vidal, Assistant to Commissioner of Athletics & Former Principal, George Washington High School
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DOUBLE PLAY PATRONS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
The Double Play sits across the street from where Seals Stadium used to stand. Players, fans and residents frequented the Double Play before and after games. It was a wonderful time when baseball was played in an urban setting, when people could walk and take the streetcar to the game. The China Basin ballpark proposed by the Giants reminds us of Seals Stadium. People will be able to walk and take the streetcar to the games again. It will bring back a sense of community and excitement to baseball that existed at Seals Stadium and all the other in-town ballparks in San Francisco that came before it. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

George Brayer, Norma Alvarado, Gerardo Torres, Don Russo, Sally DeVischer, Tonno Orlando, Julius Kahn III, Robert Costello, Michael Baglin, Debby Magowan, Peter Magowan, Dan Dillon, Marcus McCrory, Adam Willett, Jeffrey R. Walsh, John Filardo, Joe McCray, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon, Philip Moore, George Lange, Liz Susman, Michael DiBenedetti, Sarah Morse, Harold Paschal, Vincent Raul Rodriguez, Kelly Calentino, John Lyman, John Bacon
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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SOUTH BEACH BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK
San Francisco is a city of neighborhoods. Each neighborhood has its street. Irving Street in the Inner Sunset, 24th Street in Noe Valley, Union Street in Cow Hollow, Clement Street in the Richmond, Chestnut Street in the Marina and Fillmore Street in Pacific Heights and the Western Addition. The South Beach neighborhood has grown over the last several years, but is still seeking its identity. Many of our businesses are struggling and some have failed. The ballpark will bring life and excitement to our neighborhood. Patrons will fill our businesses and residents will be able to walk to the game. The ballpark will sit beautifully at China Basin and open up the waterfront in an area currently off-limits to the public. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

RESTAURANT OWNERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
Each day, approximately 400,000 people work in downtown San Francisco. Instead of commuting home, thousands of workers will stay downtown to go to night games at China Basin. Many of them will meet their friends for a pre-game dinner downtown, along the Embarcadero or near the ballpark. After games, many fans will meet-up again in bars and restaurants. We are excited about the jobs and revenue that the ballpark will bring to the restaurant business in San Francisco. Please join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

David Fera, Il Fornaio Restaurant
Mimi Silbert, Delancey Street Restaurant
James Fiorucci, Double Play Restaurant

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

BASEBALL FANS FOR A NEW BALLPARK
San Francisco has been home to professional baseball for over one hundred years and the city has given rise to some of the nation’s most storied ballplayers. A new China Basin ballpark will usher in a new era of baseball tradition in San Francisco and will be a landmark for San Franciscans can take great pride in. Joe DiMaggio began his career in a beautiful new San Francisco ballpark called Seals Stadium. Who will be the first great star to rise from the Ballpark at China Basin?


The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

SAN FRANCISCO FORTY-NINERS URGE YES VOTE ON DOWNTOWN BALLPARK
The 49ers and Giants have been partners in the San Francisco sports marketplace for over thirty-five years and we have shared Candlestick Park since 1971. We have watched the Giants patiently make plans for a new ballpark and admire the considerable care that they have taken to ensure that their plan is as good for San Francisco as it is for the Giants. We ourselves are keenly aware of the economic realities which make a new facility so important to the Giants. We are one hundred percent behind them in their plans for a new home. Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

Carmen A. Policy, President, San Francisco Forty-Niners

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

POTRERO HILL RESIDENTS SUPPORT PROPOSITION B

We live on Potrero Hill and want it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Jerry Nelson, Dennis Herrera, Dennis Edelman, Kathleen E. McCaey, Suzanne B. Dingmar, Tina Carroll, Daniel B. Pleasant, Matthew Harrisman, Eugene L. Cook, David Rich, Roger L. Hubbard, Scott Petersen, Susan Zere, Aaron Darsky

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

POTRERO HILL BUSINESSES & RESIDENTS FOR A CHINA BASIN BALLPARK

We live on Potrero Hill and want it clear that we support the ballpark at China Basin. It is over a mile away and separated from our neighborhood by a freeway. Some people in our community pretend to speak for us in opposition to the ballpark. We are confident, however, that the majority of the residents of Potrero Hill will carefully consider the plan as we have and vote to support the ballpark. It will bring jobs to our people and revenues to the City. It will provide a source of fun and entertainment for our children and families. The ballpark will make San Francisco and Potrero Hill a better place to live. Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

Larry Nibbi, President, Nibbi Brothers Construction
Nasser Nasrallah, JB’s Place
Mike McClure, California Caster
Elizabeth Keith, Flynn & Enslow, Inc.
Gary Fowler, Nibbi Brothers Construction
James Fornucci, Double Play Restaurant
Michael Sousa, Connecticut Yankee

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

LATINOS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment.

That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Ernest C. Ayala, Chief Executive Officer, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nelly Reyes-Rosenberg, Citizenship Project Mgr., La Raza Centro Legal
Victor M. Marquez, Executive Director, La Raza Centro Legal
Daniel Hernandez, Executive Director, Mission Housing Dev. Corporation
Mario Salgado, Executive Director, Centro del Pueblo
Ana Torres, Secretary, Mission Housing Development Corporation
O'felia Ramos, Office Manager, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Lucy Pineda, Finance Officer, Mission Housing Development Corporation
Marguerite Gee, Executive Director, Mission Reading Clinic
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

HOUSING AND TENANT ADVOCATES PART OF THE TEAM

Housing and tenant advocates support a new downtown ballpark at China Basin.
No general fund dollars will be spent while public transit, small businesses, the environment and public safety will be enhanced for the growing residential neighborhoods in the area.
Please join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mitchell Omerberg, Director, Affordable Housing Alliance
Joe O’Donoghue, President, Residential Builder’s Association
Lew Lillian, Former Chair, San Francisco Housing Authority
Robert Pender, Tenant Activist
Polly Marshall, Rent Board Commissioner

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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PAID ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

WOMEN SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

San Francisco women support Proposition B because the outstanding design and new location will make this ballpark fan and family friendly.

Unlike Candlestick, where public transportation is limited, this new park will be the most accessible ballpark in the country with BART, MUNI, CALTrain, and even a ferry stop.

Designed by the same team of architects that created the acclaimed new parks in Baltimore and Denver, this will be a new park, not an unsightly new stadium. It is scaled to the neighborhood like the great ballparks of yesterday and designed with the comfort of fans and the needs of families in mind.

BEST OF ALL, THE NEW PARK WILL BE BUILT WITHOUT ANY NEW TAXES AND WITH NO GENERAL TAX FUND DOLLARS. IT WILL BE PRIVATELY FINANCED BY THE GIANTS.

This beautiful new public space will be a welcome addition to San Francisco. Women from every community and neighborhood in San Francisco strongly urge you to join us in voting Yes on Proposition B.

Mimi Silbert, Chief Executive Officer, Delancey Street Foundation
Susan Lowenberg, President, San Francisco Planning Commission
Wendy Nelder, Former Supervisor

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Voters ought to know personally my reasons for urging a “yes” vote on Proposition B, particularly because of misinformation purveyed constantly by the media and former Giants ownership and its lackeys. Contrary to a common impression created by careless or malicious reporters, I have never opposed any ballpark measure. In 1987 and in 1989, I advocated neither the defeat nor passage of ballpark measures. The petty erstwhile Giants ownership haughtily implied that if one didn’t publicly support the taxpayer-financed prior measures, one opposed them. Both measures involved strong doses of taxpayer money from the City’s general fund, which is chiefly derived from property and sales taxes paid by San Francisco homeowners and renters. Proposition B, is, however, a horse of an entirely different color. Enlightened new Giants owners, led by Peter Magowan and Larry Baer, a San Francisco native, devoted over 24 months to formulating a plan to build privately a new ballpark. It will be centrally located and dazzlingly designed for spectator comfort. For the record, and to forestall historical error, my laissez faire toward prior proposals was based on the fact that a private facility shouldn’t be subsidized by taxpayers. The Giants recognized that fundamental principle and presented to us a project consistent with that enduring principle. I applaud the Giants; I deplore the negativism of opponents who sound more like 18th century Luddites than San Franciscans. As a public servant who’s always tried to protect the taxpayer’s pocket, I entreat fellow homeowners, renters and taxpayers to approve Proposition B, so that we can all watch major league baseball in compelling and benign surroundings. For the good of the City, we love, vote “yes” on Proposition B.

State Senator Quentin L. Kopp

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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LESBIANS & GAYS PART OF THE TEAM

Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual San Franciscans, like all residents of the City, want the best deal for our community and our neighborhoods. That's why we can enthusiastically support Proposition B.

Proposition B will allow our world class city to build a new state-of-the-art, world class park, used for baseball, concerts and cultural enrichments, downtown in the China Basin area. With excellent access by public transportation and a panoramic bay view, unlike previous proposals, Proposition B will not cost the City one cent in general fund dollars and will not in any way jeopardize programs vital to our community. Instead, it will help our city increase funding for vital health and human services by generating new revenue.

The San Francisco Giants have become active supporters of many organizations in our community and they were the first professional sports team to sponsor a benefit game to increase awareness and raise money for the fight against AIDS, ("Until There's a Cure Day"). This is a team that we can be proud to join.

A world class city that thrives on cultural diversity deserves this new ballpark. Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual San Franciscans say vote YES on Proposition B.

Alice B. Toklas
Lesbian/Gay Democratic Club

Harry Britt, Former Supervisor
Carol Migden, Supervisor
Susan Leal, Supervisor
Leslie Katz, Community College Board
Pat Norman, Police Commissioner
Russ Roeca, Fire Commissioner
Mark Leno, Bill Ambrum, Kimberly Smith, Marth Knudsen, Fran Kipnis, Jim Rivaldo, Carole Callum, Gary Gielow, Mike Brockman, Dan Bartley, Jo Kuney, Michael Colbruno, Jim Prevo, Robert Barnes, Christopher Katis, Dean Goodwin, Tod Hill, Tom Pasco, Brian Cheu, Kevin Piediscalzi

As environmentalists, many of us have opposed previous ballpark proposals. However, we are prepared to endorse Proposition B as a sound and environmentally-sensitive project. A YES vote on Proposition B will create a beautiful and intimate new park for the whole city to enjoy.

The new park will be a national model for a transit-friendly project. With a new MUNI Metro line, CALTrain across the street, and BART just a few-minute walk away, the new ballpark will reduce automobile traffic and prove the wisdom of San Francisco's TRANSIT FIRST policy.

Designed with environmental and neighborhood concerns in mind, the new park will be insulated to reduce noise pollution, will use state-of-the-art lighting to minimize an unwanted halo and will be designed to fit the character of the neighborhood by the same world-renowned architects who create neighborhood-friendly parks in Baltimore and Denver. It will also provide year-round and free bay access with a beautiful new bay walk.

For years, we've been telling the Giants that they should design an environmentally-sound park and pay for it themselves. They are finally proposing to do just that.

We strongly urge all San Franciscans to join environmentalists in voting YES on Proposition B.

Andy Nash
Roger Saunders, Community Activist

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

DUSTY'S FIELD OF DREAMS

San Francisco has a great baseball tradition. The term "sandlot" was first used in San Francisco to refer to the baseball fields that were located in the spot where City Hall stands today. Ballparks populated the center part of the City. Central Park at Eighth & Market. Haight Street Grounds at Haight & Stanyan. Recreation Park at 15th & Valencia. Ewing Field on Masonic Avenue. Seals Stadium at 24th & Bryant. San Francisco was home to the Seals and the Mission Reds.

The Giants came to town in 1958. It was a great time. The Mayor helped find Willie Mays a place to live. Over forty-five million people have seen the Giants play in San Francisco. Please join me in keeping the tradition alive so that millions more can enjoy the fun and excitement of baseball in the years to come.

Dusty Baker, Manager, San Francisco Giants

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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CENTRO LATINO DE SAN FRANCISCO
SUPPORTS A NEW BALLPARK

The Giants have been strong supporters of Centro Latino de San Francisco. They have made financial contributions to our organization and provided us with the inspiration and support of Orlando Cepeda and other Giants players and personnel. Baseball is an important tradition in the Latino community. We stand with the Giants in their efforts to build a new ballpark. It will ensure that baseball will remain a part of San Francisco for many years to come. Join us in voting for the ballpark on March 26th.

Ernest “Chuck” Ayala, President, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Gloria Bonilla, Executive Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rebekah Fitzer, Youth Activities Director, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rocio R. Miller, Social Worker, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Martha Calderon, Transportation Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Ligia Hernandez, Nutricianist, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Arturo Irahata, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Salvador Monico, Senior Participant, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Pedro E. Mendez, Intake Coordinator, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Rudy Jimenez, Driver, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Nohemy Crespin, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Luzmilia Izarrada, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Thelma Barquero, Cook, Centro Latino de San Francisco

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

WORKERS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

A China Basin ballpark will serve as an important new economic and employment engine for the City. It will provide thousands of construction-related and permanent jobs in the China Basin area. We can't afford not to build this ballpark. Vote YES on Proposition B.

Jim Ahearn, President, San Francisco Firefighter’s Association
Robert Morales, Secretary-Treasurer, Sanitary Truck Drivers, Local 350
Mike Hardeman, Business Manager, Sign Display Workers, Local 510
Larry Martin, International Vice President, Transport Worker’s Union
Jim Salinas, Union Representative, Carpenter’s Union
Rod McLeod, Business Manager, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16
Robert McDonnell, Recording Secretary, Laborers, Local 261
Fx Crowley, Union Representative, Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

We urge you to join us in voting for the new ballpark. We work at Candlestick Park as parking cashiers, parking directors, ticket-takers, ticket sellers, ushers, janitors, food service workers and vendors in the stands. We are members of Local 2, Local B-18, Local 87, Local 468 and Local 665. For many of us, working at Candlestick is an important source of income. A new ballpark will preserve our jobs and create new ones. Please join us in supporting the ballpark by voting Yes on Proposition B on March 26th.

CANDLESTICK EMPLOYEES UNITED
FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Valerie Wilson, Tanya Johnson, Michael Brown, Robert Reed, Jesus Betencourt, Terry Bradley, Ismael Parra Maldonado, Erica Pollard, Rubin Alvarado, Steve Loy, Karen Holland, Bob Rosenthal

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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DEMOCRATS PITCH YES ON B

The San Francisco Democratic Party strongly believes that a new state-of-the-art ballpark will greatly enhance the quality of life and cultural opportunities for all San Franciscans.

Unlike the previous proposals, the new stadium will not cost the City any general fund dollars and, in fact, could generate new tax revenues from a revitalized China Basin area which would support a variety of social programs important to San Franciscans from every neighborhood.

Democrats particularly support the creation of new jobs, improved public transit and increased recreational opportunities for our youth — all of which a new ballpark will make possible!

Join the Democratic Party in moving our city forward by voting Yes on Proposition B.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Natalie Berg, Chair, San Francisco Democratic Party
Jim West, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
LeeAnn Prifti, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Connie O’Connor, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Rev. Arnold Townsend, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Eddie Chin, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claire Zvanski, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Ronald Colthirst, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Jeanna Haney, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Greg Day, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Claudine Cheng, San Francisco Democratic Party Central Committee
Milton Marks, California State Senator
John Burton, California State Assemblyman

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

A new China Basin ballpark would greatly improve access to an urban institution important to the City’s many disabled residents.

The ballpark would have superior access to a number of handicap-accessible forms of public transportation. MUNI Metro Light Rail and streetcars would stop adjacent to the ballpark and would provide a direct link via in-station transfer to BART. CalTrain would stop just a block away from the park and handicap-equipped ferries would dock alongside the ballpark. Inside the ballpark, the facility would be designed accessibility now required by law. A new ballpark would allow disabled fans to be closer to the game and more comfortable than ever before in San Francisco.

A vote for a new Giants ballpark is a vote for making sports and entertainment more accessible and convenient for ALL San Franciscans. Vote YES on Proposition B.

DISABLED CITIZENS FOR A NEW BALLPARK

Franklin Delano Roosevelt Democratic Club for Persons with Disabilities
August Longo, President, FDR Democratic Club
Teri Adams, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Brendan McAuliffe, Vice President, FDR Democratic Club
Jose Caeiro, Vice President, FDR PAC
Lorraine Roche, Cody Pelletier, Helen Horton

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Proposition B will enhance the Downtown/South of Market area with greater opportunities for small businesses and new jobs. The new park will be an affordable place for our children and seniors in San Francisco. Proposition B means a new ballpark not just for baseball but for all types of concerts, events and cultural enrichment. That’s why the San Francisco Latino community enthusiastically supports the positive benefits of Proposition B!

Jose Medina, Police Commissioner
Kathleen Baca, President, Latino Democratic Club
David Serrano Sewell, PAC chair, Latino Democratic Club
Gloria Bonilla, Centro Latino de San Francisco
Mauricio Vela, Director Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
Fred Rodriguez, Ricardo Hernandez, Rene Velasquez

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.
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There is no doubt that a major league baseball team stimulates economic activity. In 1992, no less than 13 cities competed for the two National League expansion teams in order to gain those economic benefits.

Currently, the San Francisco Giants boost the local economy by about $100 million annually in consumer spending which in turn generates jobs and taxes. In addition, current ticket sales help to fund nearly 60% of the after-school sports budget for San Francisco public schools.

Proposition B will grant specific variances to allow a waterfront ballpark at China Basin to move ahead. It does not exempt the San Francisco Giants from rigorous public scrutiny in the environmental, planning and design approval process. The proposed waterfront ballpark must still undergo full environmental review and obtain design and permit approvals.

The new ownership of the San Francisco Giants are attuned to the desires of the electorate who have denied 4 new ballpark proposals. This proposal will be funded privately. In addition, they have selected a jewel of a location which offers excellent public transportation access and proximity to other city attractions.

Proposition B will keep the Giants in San Francisco, and create a dynamic addition to the San Francisco waterfront.

Vote YES on Proposition B.

G. Rhea Serpan, President & CEO
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

San Francisco Restaurants Agree
YES on Proposition B

A world class city needs a world class ballpark. The Golden Gate Restaurant Association, an organization of 450 restaurants in San Francisco and the Bay Area, urges A YES vote on the new waterfront ballpark.

San Francisco, Bay Area residents, and tourists alike, will be drawn to the new Giants ballpark at the edge of the Bay. These fans will spend money at San Francisco businesses and add tax dollars to the City’s ever demanding coffers.

Let’s face it . . . we cannot keep raising taxes on existing businesses; that just drives jobs out of town. The ballpark will provide new jobs and new revenues to help keep San Francisco the premier city that it is.

Let’s stop bickering between neighborhoods and downtown, and move the City forward.

Let’s play ball for a change.

GGRA urges a YES vote on Proposition B!

Paul Lazzareschi, President, Authorized Signatory
Kathleen Harrington
Gianni Fassio
Colleen Meharry
Helen Hobbs

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was The Golden Gate Restaurant Assn.

At last! A fiscally responsible proposal to bring San Francisco a new ballpark. Unlike the proposals of the past, Proposition B does not saddle property owners with the cost of such a facility.

Not only will Prop. B bring us a new state of the art ballpark, it will increase property values, generate jobs and revenues, and revitalize the China Basin area — all while using no general fund monies, no increased taxes, and no publicly-financed bonds.

The S.F. Giants want a new ballpark — and they are willing to pay for it. All of it. In return for voter permission to build on the site, they will give us a facility future generations of San Franciscans can be proud of.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION B.

Brook A. Turner
Executive Director
Coalition for Better Housing

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Francisco Mart.
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CITIZENS FOR GOOD CITY PLANNING
SUPPORT THE BALLPARK

The Giants have proposed an intimate and architecturally interesting, old-style ballpark for China Basin. The design is sensitive to its surroundings and complements neighboring buildings. Generous setbacks will ensure that the ballpark is not imposing and that it doesn’t cast large shadows on adjacent streets. A beautiful waterfront promenade will welcome thousands of visitors and fans to the waterfront at the ballpark site. Downtown workers will be encouraged to walk to the ballpark along the Embarcadero. Many fans will come to the ballpark via streetcars, subways and ferries. The plan is distinctly urban in every sense and the ballpark will surely serve as a model of sound urban design practices. We urge you to support the Giants and their plans for a grand new civic space on a spectacular waterfront site.

Dean Macris, Former San Francisco Director of Planning
Toby Rosenblatt, Former President, San Francisco Planning Commission
John Sanger, Former President, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Yoiso Nakashima, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
Douglas Wright, Former San Francisco Planning Commissioner
David Hartley, Former Member, Landmarks Board

As Giants employees, we are excited about the prospects of building a new ballpark. In 1992, many of us prepared to lose our jobs as the team looked like it was going to move to Florida. Fortunately, a group of San Francisco civic leaders blocked the Florida sale and kept the team in San Francisco. We now hope that the City will see the benefits and allow the Giants to build a new ballpark. A new ballpark will insure that we will have our jobs for many years to come. The ballpark will put the franchise and our jobs on stable ground. Please help us build the ballpark! Please vote Yes on Proposition B.

GIANTS FRONT OFFICE EMPLOYEES

Evelyn White, Melissa Beller, Jason Pearl, Bill Fitzgerald, Man Cheung, Larry Dodd, Catherine LaChapelle, Jamie Gaines, Blake Rhodes, Jeffrey Tucker, Gary Frenkel, John Ellinithorpe, Jack Bair, Constance Kullberg, Derik Landry, Alison Vidal, Robert DeAntoni, Timothy So, Gregory Sempadian, Mickey Martinez, Laurence Buer, Larry Chew, Scott Edar, Donna Conforti, Dusty Baker, Miguel Murphy, Alison Louie, Patrick Gallagher

The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was San Franciscans for a Downtown Ballpark.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PAYED ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION B

Concerned voters of San Francisco after reading Proposition B should ask:

Why no financial plan?
Why no public hearings?
Why no parking?
Why only a ground lease?
Why don’t citizens get to vote on future changes to Proposition B by the Board of Supervisors?
When there are so many questions Potrero Hill League of Active Neighbors (PLAN) asks why a stadium?
PLAN opposes Proposition B.

Potrero League of Active Neighbors

In 1972, a 40’ height limit was imposed on waterfront development to prevent view and access-blocking highrise construction. In 1990, the voters approved Proposition H to prevent indiscriminate, unplanned commercial development on San Francisco’s waterfront. In 1996, voters are being asked to overturn both of these decisions to allow construction of a huge 150 foot edifice that is out of context with the neighborhood and inappropriate for our waterfront.
STOP exploiting our waterfront!
Vote NO on Proposition B!

San Francisco Tomorrow

This big business project is riddled with financial and environmental problems.

Joel Ventresca
City and County of San Francisco Environmental Commissioner

WARNING! The stadium will be built with public funds. Section 9. of the ordinance reveals and unprecedented blank check: “Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.”

Don’t bother to read the rest, any or all of it may be overturned by six supervisors. — including “private funding”. Worse, it precludes initiating a referendum, like we had to do four years ago, when the Board gave their millionaire friends who bought the Giants, the dollar a year lease. We saved the taxpayers nearly $20 million on that hoax, but this giveaway is even more sinister — the biggest swindle ever. TRUST the Supervisors with your taxes?

Doug Comstock
The true source of funds used for the publication fee of this argument was Committee to Stop the Giveaway.

Proposition B is not up front with what it claims to be. It will:
• Cost San Franciscans an untold amount to pay for environmental cleanup, administration, impact studies and transportation infrastructure,
• Allow the Board of Supervisors to change the ordinance IN ANY WAY without a taxpayer vote while giving exemption to waterfront height and development regulations,
• Disallow only the use of general obligation bonds NOT SPECIAL USE, REVENUE OR PORT AUTHORITY BONDS,
• Increase traffic congestion to an even worse level while NOT accommodating ANY parking spaces for 45,000 seats superseding current codes,
• Negatively impact the fastest growing San Francisco neighborhood with 10,000 residents and an explosion of new businesses and jobs.
For these reasons, the following residents of 355 Bryant Street oppose the construction of a ballpark at the site proposed by Proposition B.

Alina Bednarz  Cathy Githens  Younhee Paik
John Berry  Carol Granados  Ann Politzer
Jean Bolte  Diane Harwood  Sara Shoemaker
Aidan Costello  Rick Lowe  William Shoemaker
Ann Costello  Wesley Lowe  Robert Stone
Nancy Decker  Garrett Mitchell  Steven Volpe
Lynn Getz  Thor Muller  Keith Yamashita

Arguments printed on this page are the opinion of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE

PROPOSITION B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

It shall be the Policy of the People that a new ballpark be constructed, developed and operated at China Basin consistent with the following principles:

That the ballpark be developed privately;

That the financing of the ballpark's construction not leave the City and County with any general obligation bond debt;

That the construction and development of the ballpark result in the environmental cleanup of the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street; and

That the San Francisco Giants, an affiliate thereof, or the developer of the ballpark enter into a ground lease with the Port of San Francisco for the China Basin site that provides that the Port of San Francisco will retain sole title and ownership of the land at all times.

Section 2.

It shall be the policy of the People that development of the ballpark meets and satisfies the public trust guidelines and restrictions applicable to the property of the Port of San Francisco.

Section 3.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 249.14 to read as follows:

"Section 249.14 NORTHEAST CHINA BASIN SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

A Special Use District entitled the 'Northeast China Basin Special Use District,' the boundaries of which are shown on the Zoning Map, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The following provisions shall apply within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District:

(a) Purposes

(1) Purpose. In order to accommodate the development of an open-air ballpark for major league baseball with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related commercial uses, including but not limited to, general office, shops and other retail, restaurants, live music performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public spaces in an area that

(A) will enhance public enjoyment of the San Francisco Bay by bringing many people of all ages to a place of public assembly and recreation adjacent to the shoreline; (B) will be close to downtown and within walking distance of many thousands of workers, shoppers, visitors and residents; (C) will be conveniently served by public transit, including an extension of Muni Metro service from Market Street to the ballpark and beyond, with convenient transfer from and to BART, Muni bus and trolley lines, Caltrain service from the Peninsula to a station near the site, and potential ferry service from various north and east bay points to the ballpark site; (D) will be conveniently served by the broad South of Market street grid, a new 150-foot wide King Street boulevard in front of the ballpark and easy access to the 101 and I-280 freeways; (E) will have access to approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years, with possible renewal options; (F) will have convenient access to a sizable pool of existing and proposed on and off-street parking which can be made available in the evening and on weekends; and, further, in order to assure that the ballpark is attractively designed and will be a visual asset to the City, there shall be a Northeast China Basin Use District.

(b) Controls

(1) General. The provisions of the M-2 use district established by Section 201 of this Code shall prevail except as provided in paragraphs (2) through (4) below.

(2) Conditional Uses. An open air ballpark with a maximum seating capacity of 45,000, assembly and entertainment uses under Section 221 of this Code, with associated parking, and various uses accessory to or related to ballpark and assembly and entertainment uses, including sports clubs, restaurants, and retail shops, shall all be permitted as conditional uses.

(3) Parking. In recognition of the public transit anticipated to be available to serve a ballpark in the proposed location, in recognition of the large supply of parking in the vicinity, much of which can be made available for ballpark use in the evening and on weekends, and in recognition of the availability of approximately 5,000 off-site parking spaces near the ballpark during the first five years of the ballpark's operation, there shall be no minimum requirement for off-street parking spaces for the uses permitted in the Northeast China Basin Special Use District. This provision supersedes the parking requirements set forth in Section 151 of this Code applicable to the permitted uses set forth herein.

(4) Architectural Design. In recognition of the prominence of the location and vital importance of the uses described in subsection (b)(2) above, such uses shall be subject to conditional use review and approval by the City Planning Commission. A conditional use may be authorized by the City Planning Commission if the facts presented are such that the architectural design of the structure is appropriate for its intended use, location and civic purpose. This criterion shall be in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c)(1)-(4) of this Code."

Section 4.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding the Zoning Map to enact the following change in the height and bulk classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Height and Bulk

District to be

District Hereby

Superseded

Approved

40-X

150-X

Section 5.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding subsection (K) to Section 260(h) to enact the following exemption from height limits otherwise established by the City Planning Code:

"(K) In the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, light standards for the purpose of lighting the ballpark."

Section 6.

Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Maps to adopt the following special use district classification:

Description of Property

The property in the area generally bounded by King Street, Second Street (inclusive of the right of way), China Basin Channel, and Third Street, as shown on the map attached hereto.

Use

Use

District to be

District Hereby

Superseded

Approved

M-2

The Northeast China Basin Special Use District

Section 7.

(a) Section 2(d) of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following sentence as the last sentence thereof:

"This provision shall not be applicable to any new development within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District."

(b) Section 4 of Initiative Ordinance T-Waterfront Land Use is hereby amended by adding the following subparagraph thereto:

"h. within the Northeast China Basin Special Use District, any use that is permitted as a conditional use under Section 249.14 of the Planning Code."

Section 8.

It shall be the policy of the People that promptly following the effective date of this ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and other appropriate officials, boards or commissions, shall proceed to:

(a) amend its Master Plan and other relevant plans and codes in a manner consistent with this ordinance; and

(b) request and apply for conforming amendments to all applicable state and regional plans and regulations.

Section 9.

Any provision of this ordinance may be amended by the Board of Supervisors and shall not require the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10.

If any provision of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.
I love animals and my friends.

I love all animals exactly.

At what age did we forget how to treat animals?

I love all animals.

Find yourself a best friend.
We're open 7 days a week,
12:00 to 5:30.

Visit or call us today.
1200 15th Street, S.F.
(415) 554-6364.
http://tmx.com/sfvote

THE SUPER HIGHWAY

For those of you who have access to the Internet, you can find information about our election at the address above.

- Finding out what's on the ballot.
- Looking up the polling place for your address.
- Campaign contributions
- Campaign expenditures
- Election night vote count results

http://tmx.com/sfvote
OOPS!

Sometimes we make mistakes, but when we do we admit it.

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it is possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in three of the local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

March 20, 21, and 22

Look in the Public Notices section of the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner and San Francisco Independent.
Telephoning the Registrar of Voters

The Registrar now has special telephone lines for specific purposes:
To register to vote, call 554-4398;
To request an Absentee Ballot application, call 554-4399;
For information about becoming a Poll Worker, call 554-4385;
For election results on Election Night, call 554-4375; or
For all other information, call 554-4375.

For your convenience and because of the huge number of calls during the weeks leading up to the election, the Registrar uses automated information lines in addition to regular operators. If all operators are busy, callers may hear recorded messages which will direct them to leave their name, address and telephone number. Callers with touch tone phones may be asked to press numbers to direct their calls to the right desk. Callers with rotary phones may wait on the line for an operator or to leave a message.

AVOID LONG LINES — VOTE BY MAIL

It’s as easy as 1-2-3.

1. Complete the application on the back cover.
2. Put a 32¢ stamp where indicated.
3. Drop your completed application into a mailbox.

Within two weeks, you will receive your Absentee Ballot.

YOUR POLLING PLACE HAS PROBABLY CHANGED

We have increased the number of polling places for 1996. For the Mayoral elections we had 550 polling places. For the Presidential election, there will be 650 polling places. The location of your polling place is shown on the label on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet which was sent to you.

Of the 7,000+ telephone calls received by the Registrar of Voters on Election Day, almost all are from voters asking where they should go to vote.

Remember on Election Day, take the back cover of your Voter Information Pamphlet with you. The address of your polling place is in the bottom left corner on the back cover of the Voter Information Pamphlet that was sent to you. You may also wish to write down the address of your polling place in the space provided on the Polling Place Card. Also, it may take more time to vote in March, because it is a primary election, and the poll workers need to be careful to give you a ballot for your political party.

Voters who have access to the Internet, may look up their polling place location at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
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DEMOCRACY

Use it or lose it - VOTE!

Election Day is March 26, 1996

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. To avoid long lines, go to your polling place between 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Many polling places have moved this election. Check the address on the back cover of the voter information pamphlet sent to you, call our office at 554. 4375, or look up the polling place for your residence address on the Internet at http://tmx.com/sfvote.
Voter's Quick Reference Card

To save time and reduce lines at your polling place, please fill out this card before you go to vote. Write down the name and number of the candidates you want to vote for. (See Sample Ballot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Props</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Superior Court Judge - Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seat 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seat 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Municipal Court Judge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seat 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Did you remember to SIGN your application on the other side.

Your return address:

_________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________

9601-9602

Germaine Q Wong
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
633 Folsom St., Room 109
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Place 32 Cent Stamp Here
Post Office will not deliver mail without postage.
Office of the Registrar of Voters  
City and County of San Francisco  
Room 109, 633 Folsom Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107-3606

Ballot Type: 9601
Non Partisan
12th Assembly District
8th Senate District
8th Congressional

Precincts Applicable
2000's, 2100's,
2200's, 2700's, 2900's

POLLING PLACE INFORMATION

.polling places have changed. Check your polling place location which is printed below.
Take this entire back page with you to your polling place.

ABSENTEE VOTER INFORMATION

Complete all information that applies to you and tear off application below
Remember to sign absentee ballot application on bottom line.

This Absentee Ballot Application must be in the Registrar's Office by 5 PM March 19, 1996

My residence address is ___________________________ San Francisco, CA 941________

Check One: □ Send my ballot to the printed Mailing Address - in box below. □ Send my ballot to the address I've filled in below.

P.O. Box or Street Address

City
State
Zip Code

□ I apply for an Absentee Ballot for March 26, 1996; I have not and will not apply for an absentee ballot by any other means.

□ I apply to be a PERMANENT ABSENTEE VOTER; I meet the qualifications explained on page 6.

□ All voters receive the English version; I also want my Voter Info Pamphlet in: □ Spanish, □ Chinese.

I certify under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Sign Here

Do Not Print

Your Polling Place Location

Polling Place
Handicapped
Accessible.

Mailing Address

Date Signed

Day Time Phone

Night Time Phone

96