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CANDIDATES
FOR
SUPERVISORS
For Supervisor

JAMES B. McSHEEHY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office;

That my name is James B. McSheehy; my residence address is at No. 137 Clifford Terrace, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Contractor and Builder; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

As candidate for reelection I feel citizens are entitled to an accounting of my stewardship. Born in San Francisco, graduate of old Lincoln School, contractor-builder for 35 years. I have my city’s welfare at heart. Have consistently opposed taxes for unnecessary things. Have not stinted time and effort for what I felt the people needed. Chairman of Supervisors’ Finance Committee that reduced $4.13 tax-rate to $3.66. Have endeavored, and shall continue to endeavor, to secure drastic reduction in water rates, removal of objectionable meters so our citizens can buy their own water at the much lower rates offered to outside communities.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation “Incumbent” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) J. B. McSHEEHY

Sponsors for JAMES B. McSHEEHY are as follows:

Virginia Elizabeth McSheehy, 137 Clifford Terrace, Stenographer.

Thos. R. O’Day, 894 - 25th Avenue, Coal Dealer.

Milton Chynski, 404 Ashbury Street, Attorney.

Maurice E. Harrison, 2800 Scott Street, Lawyer.

Mrs. George J. Knox, 1755 Jackson Street, none.

Agnes McSherry, 33 Persia Street, Housewife.

T. A. Reardon, 195 Upper Terrace, Commissioner.

Frank T. McSheehy, 1775 Church Street, Builder.

Hannah MacDonald, 329 Webster Street, Fraternal and Club Woman.


Charles Stockwitz, 2340 Pacific Avenue, Business Executive.

Mary E. Evans, 31 Wawona Street, Housewife.

George Doherty, 281 Urbano Drive, Automobile Dealer.

Chas. J. Cullen, 315 Foerster Street, Office Executive.

Silvio H. Zambelli, 245 Sanches Street, Tavern & Restaurant Owner.

William M. Malone, 3722 - 20th Street, Attorney at Law.

Alexander S. Keenan, M. D., Clift Hotel, Physician.

Joseph Ahlbach, 78 Buchanan Street, Master Plumber.

W. J. Varley, 133 Rae Avenue, Sales and Organization.

Timothy E. Treacy, 39 Buena Vista Terrace, Contractor.
For Supervisor

JOHN M. RATTO

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is John M. Ratto: my residence address is at No. 82 Jersey Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Merchant: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

I have consistently applied sound business and humane principles to governmental affairs, and pledge continued support to all prudent measures of economy. I will work for greater employment of our citizens; retention of the 5-cent car fare; relief of taxes, particularly on home owners and small business; maintenance of our school system without political interference; additional playgrounds and recreation areas for children; strengthening of civil service. As chairman of supervisors' streets committee for last four years, have procured federal and state funds for extension of our streets, boulevards and sewer system. On my record as supervisor, I respectfully ask your support.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Supervisor" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate: (Signed) JOHN M. RATTO

Sponsors for JOHN M. RATTO are as follows:

Thos. R. O'Day, 894 - 25th Avenue, Coal Dealer.
George J. Hatfield, 1905 Gough Street, Farmer and Attorney.
Natale Cereghino, 2596 Chestnut Street, Butcher.
T. A. Reardon, 195 Upper Terrace, Commissioner.
Frank J. Hennessy, 1360 Jones Street, Attorney at Law.
A. A. Bertrand, 2708 Fulton Street, Merchant.
Ella Kelly, 3010 Army Street, Housewife.
A. A. Berti, 38 Atalaya Terrace, Produce Merchant.
Timothy E. Treacy, 39 Buena Vista Terrace, Contractor.
Ward C. Brown, 195 Duncan Street, Builder.
James P. Skelly, 1258 - 3rd Avenue, Secretary.
Leslie J. Hopkins, 230 San Anselmo Avenue, Wholesale Produce.
Adolphus A. Berger, M. D., 204 Clement Street, Physician & Surgeon.
William B. Acton, 850 - 25th Avenue, Lawyer.
Louis Depaoli, 145 Santa Rosa Ave., Real Estate & Insurance Broker.
John A. Traverso, 3350 Broderick Street, Wholesale Grocer.
Mrs. Louise Miller, 260 San Jose Avenue, Housewife.
Geo. H. Sandy, 100 San Felipe Avenue, Merchant.
Mrs. Emma Herzog, 356 Van Ness Avenue South, Housewife.
For Supervisor

GEORGE R. REILLY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is George R. Reilly; my residence address is at No. 51 Nantucket Street, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Executive Sec'y. and Gen'l. Manager, S. F. Laundry Industry; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

I was born in San Francisco; educated in San Francisco schools, and have lived here all my life. During the past ten years I have been successively a member of the Board of Election Commissioners, member of the Board of Permit Appeals and member of the Board of Supervisors. I am thoroughly familiar with the problems of the City and if it is the desire of the people of San Francisco to continue me as a member of the Board of Supervisors I shall face these problems fairly and squarely and do my utmost to justify their confidence.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Supervisor" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) GEORGE R. REILLY.

Sponsors for GEORGE R. REILLY are as follows:

Kathryn Reilly, 51 Nantucket Avenue, Housewife.
Fletcher S. Maddux, 2636 Vallejo Street, Pres. The San Francisco Bank.
E. M. Golden, 1000 Mason Street, Attorney at Law.
Ley. Leo Halley, 706 - 33rd Avenue, Executive.
James W. Richet, 1340 Cole Street, Carpenter.
Hugh K. McKevitt, 510 Cole Street, Attorney at Law.
F. J. Huebner, 133 Fernwood Drive, Laundry Owner.
Charles P. Cain, 165 - 25th Avenue, Manager.
Paul T. O'Dowd, 636 Bush Street, Realtor.
Thos. R. O'Day, 804 - 25th Avenue, Coal Dealer.
Lottie Huenengardt, 120 Montalvo Street, Homemaker and Pres. Safety League of Northern California.
Stephen Malatesta, 2042 Leavenworth Street, Insurance Broker.
R. H. McCullum, 12 Liberty Street, Lumber Merchant.
Ernest Lotti, 65 Henry Street, President, Chauffeurs' Union No. 245.
Mrs. Ada D. Morris, 65 Dorantes Avenue, Homemaker.
Marlena Bertola, M. D., 650 Mason Street, Physician.
Emilie Gillette Donaldson, 1146 Lake Street, Housewife.
Mrs. Frances Fuerer, 1150 Union Street, Housewife.
John F. McGowan, 1222 - 59th Avenue, Secretary S. F. Aries No. 3, F. O. M.
Louis Felder, 251 Duboce Avenue, Mortician.
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For Supervisor

ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Adolph E. Schmidt: my residence address is at No. 1803 Divisadero Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Surgeon: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

In tendering my candidacy, I might state that I was born and raised and educated in San Francisco. Graduate of Cooper Medical College, Stanford University Hospital: Surgeon, United States Navy, during world war; past president, Divisadero Merchants' Association. I offer as my qualifications my twenty-five years' professional service to the people; my many years of civic activity and four years on the Board of Supervisors. I will continue, as in the past, to represent all classes of our citizens and only sponsor sound and constructive legislation.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT

Sponsors for ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT are as follows:

Nancy G. Schmidt, 1803 Divisadero Street, Housewife.
A. W. Broillet, 160 - 32nd Avenue, Attorney at Law.
Charles Stockwitz, 2340 Pacific Avenue, Business Executive.
Clarence A. Son, 1578 Chestnut Street, Merchant (Son's Sport Shop).
Antonio Cogliandro, 2058 Union Street, Notary Public.
Pete Connoy, 330 - 28th Street, Secretary.
William F. Schofield, 1746 Divisadero Street, Funeral Director.
William M. Conway, 1506A Golden Gate Avenue, Printer.
S. Joseph Theisen, 826 - 25th Avenue, Attorney at Law.
Emery M. Seeburt, M. D., 1711 Leavenworth Street, Physician & Surgeon.
Morris Levy, 300 - 16th Avenue, Secretary, Congregation Beth Israel.
J. V. Solomonson, 407 - 17th Avenue, Merchant.
Louis Felder, 291 Duboce Avenue, Mortician.
Niels H. Tved, 1698 Plymouth Street, Butcher.
Dr. Reuben L. Blake, 165 Manor Drive, Dentist.
Karl Offer, 1683 - 8th Avenue, Manufacturer.
J. J. Sanguinetti, 170 - 27th Avenue, Retired.
Harry B. Hambly Jr., D. D. S., 1561 Beach Street, Dentist.
For Supervisor

ADOLPH UHL

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Adolph Uhl; my residence address is at No. 2099 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Merchant; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Born in San Francisco. Educated in San Francisco. Newsboy, businessman, civic worker. My endeavor at all times has been to promote the welfare of my fellow citizens and taxpayers. As a supervisor I have enjoyed devoting my best efforts to my official duties. Such efforts have always been for one purpose—the best interests of my native city, San Francisco. I shall continue to follow that course.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) ADOLPH UHL

Sponsors for ADOLPH UHL are as follows:

Jessie M. Crowley, 150 Urbano Drive, Housewife.
Mrs. George J. Knox, 1755 Jackson Street, None.
Stephen Malatesta, 2042 Leavenworth Street, Insurance Broker.
Milton K. Lepetich, 730 O’Farrell Street, Merchant.
Thomas Gosland Sr., 548 Elizabeth Street, Retired.
G. Kuimelis, 765 Mason Street, Grocer.
Irving C. Ackerman, 2900 Broadway Street, Theatrical Investments.
Mrs. Florence F. Allen, 187 Cora Street, Housewife.
Mrs. H. G. Douglas, 800 Plymouth Avenue, Stenographer.
R. H. Norton, 559 - 28th Avenue, Printer & Publisher.
F. V. Keesling, 20 Presidio Terrace, Attorney at Law.
Ralph J. Wakefield, 1231 Market Street, Supervisor Labor Relations.
John S. Drew, 200 Pacheco Street, Teacher.
Marshal Hale, Cliff Hotel, Merchant.
Adam Schaefer, 1555 Chestnut Street, Retired.
Isabel Janssen, 455 Marina Boulevard, Housewife.
Mrs. Frances Panter, 1150 Union Street, Housewife.
Edward J. Willig, 57 Hernandez Avenue, Trucking.
Sam McKee, 370 Colon Avenue, Insurance & Real Estate.
For Supervisor

GEORGE R. ANDERSEN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is George R. Andersen; my residence address is at No. 69 Piedmont Street, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Lawyer; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

As a candidate for the office of Supervisor, endorsed by the Honest Government Committee, I pledge myself to work for: an honest and efficient government for San Francisco; the preservation of our civil liberties and labor’s constitutional rights; including the right to organize, strike and picket; a square deal for the unemployed; better and cheaper housing for all working people; more public parks and more and better playgrounds; and opportunities in our schools where all children shall study the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation “Lawyer” by placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) GEORGE R. ANDERSEN

Sponsors for GEORGE R. ANDERSEN are as follows:

Mrs. Hilma Gustafson, 354 Crescent Avenue, Housewife.
Clarence A. Cameron, 1661 Grove Street, Fireman.
Florence G. Bradley, 1501 Powell Street, Writer.
Laura E. Reilly, 1501 Powell Street, Practical Nurse.
Juanita Turner, 2833 Sacramento Street, Writer.
Harold R. Gustafson, 354 Crescent Avenue, Painting Contractor.
Ernst R. Johansson, 823 Shrader Street, Seaman.
Frank Blass Salazar, 4279 - 22nd Street, Longshoreman.
Herman Edebohls, 634 - 5th Avenue, Longshoreman.
John J. Ergut, 230 Lee Avenue, Longshoreman.
W. E. Butler, 1826 Broderick Street, Moving Picture Projectionist.
James Berutto, 396 Oak Street, Longshoreman.
William Niels Ellingsen, 50 Arago St., Commercial Artist.
Raymond M. Korpa, 467 - 5th Avenue, Commercial Artist.
Bella Zilberman, 1717 Bush Street, Homemaker and Writer.
Alfred W. Joice, 1250 O'Farrell Street, Marine Engineer.
James J. Martinez, 73 Elgin Park, Stevedore.
Olin Wells, 335 Noe Street, Secretary.
Chas. F. Rader, 1259 Octavia Street, Carpenter.
For Supervisor

LOYD H. BERENDSEN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Lloyd H. Berendsen; my residence address is at No. 801 Rockdale Drive, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Real Estate Broker; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

I am a nominee of the San Francisco Order of Cincinnatus together with Joseph Sharp and John A. Foley. Born in San Francisco, educated in its public schools, I have always maintained an active and informed interest in its affairs. As representatives of the younger business men of San Francisco, I promise to serve honestly, efficiently, and with regard only to the welfare of all our citizens. We are confronted with new problems. When San Francisco in 1939 again acts as host to the world, they must have been met and solved. To this end I give my pledge.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation “Real Estate Broker” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) LLOYD H. BERENDSEN

Sponsors for LLOYD H. BERENDSEN are as follows:

Grove J. Fink 11, 1455 - 45th Avenue, Labor Representatives.
Alfonso J. Zirpoli, 215 Avila Street, Attorney-at-Law.
Leland J. Lazarus, 1327 Cabrillo Street, Attorney.
George J. McLaughlin, 2188A Sutter Street, Printer.
Henry E. Sloss, 876 Francisco Street, Hardware Merchant.
Daniel R. Shoemaker, 185 San Rafael Way, Attorney.
George H. Hauerken, 1160 Filbert Street, Lawyer.
Ottorino Ronchi, 2621 Divisadero Street, Editor.
Violet Neuenburg, 100 Parker Avenue, Notary Public.
J. Emmet Chapman, 150 Forest Side Avenue, Attorney.
Margaret Torreyson, 737 Pine Street, Attorney-at-Law.
J. C. Berendsen, 2509 Broadway Street, Merchant.
Herbert C. Schoning, 92 Sotelo Avenue, Lithographer.
Emil Hoggberg, 249 Edgewood Avenue, Contractor.
Waldo F. Postel, 256 Santa Clara Avenue, Attorney at Law.
Homer K. Pitman, 22 Hill Street, Minister of the Gospel.
Mrs. Ada D. Morris, 65 Dorantes Avenue, Homemaker.
Arthur B. Dunne, 3864 Jackson Street, Attorney at Law.
J. H. Threlkeld, 611 Camino del Mar, Commissary Contractor.
Kennett B. Dawson, 1124 Leavenworth Street, Atty. & Member Calif. Bar.
For Supervisor

EDWARD F. BRAUNSCHEIGER

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A.D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elected thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Edward F. Braunschweiger: my residence address is at No. 437 Fourth Avenue, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Insurance Counselor: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Born in San Francisco, I received my education at Stanford University and Hastings College of Law. I feel that through my experience as an officer and member of many Civic clubs, I know the needs of our people and am well qualified to serve them. I am opposed to the $49,250,000 Subway Bond Issue and if elected will propose that a Citizens' Transit Commission, elected by the people, be given power to study and arrive at a solution of our traffic needs. I am pledged to unselfishly dedicate myself to the people of San Francisco, for progress and efficient city government.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Insurance Counselor" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) Edward F. Braunschweiger

Sponsors for Edward F. Braunschweiger are as follows:

Mrs. Louise R. Braunschweiger, 437 - 4th Avenue, Homemaker.
J. C. McIntrye, 524 Post Street, Lawyer.
Isadore Zellerbach, 3624 Jackson Street, President, Crown-Zellerbach.
Adeline Cereghino Williams, M. D., 2350 San Bruno Avenue, Physician & Surgeon and President Portola Women's Improvement Club.
Chas. W. Godsil, 2929 Harrison Street, Real Estate and former State Senator.
J. M. McCarthy, 4241 - 21st St., Banker, Asst V. P. Anglo California National Bank.
A. S. Musante, M. D., 1321 Jones Street, Physician & Surgeon.
G. A. Shaffer, 680 Sutter Street, Realtor, Lecturer, Writer.
Charles Stockwitz, 2340 Pacific Avenue, Business Executive, Secretary Fillmore Street Merchants & Improvement Ass'n.
William F. Schofield, 1746 Divisadero Street, Funeral Director, Pres. Divisadero Street Merchants & Improvement Ass'n.
Dr. Harry B. Hambly, 1561 Beach Street, Dentist, Past President Marina Merchants Ass'n.
Alfred M. Meyer, 147 - 26th Avenue, Investigator.
Madeline Shortall, 825 - 43rd Avenue, Office Mgr. General Distillers, Ltd.
Phillip R. Thompson, 1016 Pine Street, Salesman—Real Estate.
Bruno Kauffer, 1531 Willard Street, Salesman, Hazel Atlas Glass Co.
Chas. H. Spengemann, 827 - 27th Avenue, Merchant.
Mrs. Frances Panter, 1150 Union Street, Housewife.
For Supervisor
DONALD J. BRUCE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Donald J. Bruce; my residence address is at No. 482 17th Avenue, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Printer; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

The expression of confidence given to me by 94,284 citizens who voted for me for Secretary of State, has caused me again to submit my name for public office. I advocated at the Legislature ½ cent of gas tax for street work, Bail Bond Act, Yacht Harbor for City, and good schools. Was History Clerk of Senate. The conservation of public funds and the elimination of extravagant expenditures will be my aim. My best efforts will be toward attracting industries and payrolls to our city. San Francisco born. Business twenty years. Taxpayer. For the educational program, sponsored by Parent-Teachers Association.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Printer" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) DONALD J. BRUCE.

Sponsors for DONALD J. BRUCE are as follows:

Harry Harris, 1335 Jackson Street, Clerk.
R. McColgan, 598 Bush Street, Broker.
A. H. Meyer, 529 Franklin Street, Retired.
John A. St. Peter, 2371 - 28th Avenue, Cook.
Virgil J. Garibaldi, 3432 Baker Street, Lawyer.
Daniel F. Dineen, 249 Webster Street, Hardwareman.
James A. Ryan, 1187 Ellis Street, Investigator.
Wm. V. Musante, 2415 Harrison Street, Insurance Broker.
Jas. H. Scully, 2262 - 20th Avenue, Salesman.
Mr. J. W. Smith, 252 - 6th Street, Cook.
Fred Goodcell, 2045 Van Ness Avenue, Secretary.
Timothy E. Treacy, 39 Buena Vista Terrace, Contractor.
Emil Hogberg, 249 Edgewood Avenue, Contractor.
B. Scharff, 379 - 25th Avenue, Insurance.
Wesley C. Peoples, 1916 Broderick Street, Newspaperman.
Albert J. Baccioceco, 31 Pleasant Street, Clerk.
For Supervisor

RICHARD T. CAIN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Richard T. Cain: my residence address is at No. 354 28th Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Sales Manager: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Born in San Francisco; educated in our local schools. Member of Organized Labor. Have many years of business experience in San Francisco. I know the problems we face, and the great projects ahead demand men of integrity coupled with the wisdom of experience. I feel the foregoing qualifies me for the supervisory board. My abbreviated platform is:—Rapid transit—Twin Peaks and Duboce tunnels for Auto and Busses—Appoint committees to encourage industries to San Francisco — Five day week for Municipal Employees—Schools, parks and playgrounds to be improved when needed. Lowest tax rate commensurate with actual needs.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation “Manager” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) RICHARD T. CAIN

Sponsors for RICHARD T. CAIN are as follows:

Stanley Scott, 511 Ashbury Street, Salesman—Labor Official.
Lorinda B. Hector, 1675 - 24th Avenue, Homemaker.
Alice E. Kerrigan, 321 - 28th Street, Housekeeper.
Mrs. Daniel B. O'Connell, 1726 - 22nd Avenue, Housewife.
Mrs. Ruth B. Nelson, 1627 - 24th Avenue, Housewife.
James A. Adams, 1010 Capitol Avenue, Merchant.
Doris Leahy, 552 Stanyan Street, Teacher of Dancing.
Ennie G. Leaf, 45 Hillway Avenue, Stenographer.
I. J. Ryan, 515 Elizabeth Street, Retail Store Manager.
Alfred Maggenti, 1930 Mason Street, Salesman.
G. A. Scheid, 4616 Mission Street, Wheelwright—S. F. Wheel & Body Co.
John J. Keane, 318 - 28th Street, Attorney-at-Law.
J. H. Lalor, 625 Persia Avenue, Accountant.
Clarence P. Cain, 2523 - 16th Avenue, Sales Mgr., Columbia Electric Mfg. Co.
Harry W. Davis, M. D., 185 Corona Street, Physician & Surgeon.
Irving J. Orchard, 2726 Anza Street, Buyer.
Morris R. Gordon, M. D., 179 Commonwealth Street, Physician & Surgeon.
Charles Diller, 555 Pierce Street, Merchant.
Pearl Gordon, 1590 Alemany Boulevard, Mgr. of Dry Goods Store.
M. Theodore Chernin, 59 - 6th Avenue, Merchant.
For Supervisor

JOHN A. FOLEY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is John A. Foley; my residence address is at No. 385 Magellan Avenue, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Manager Owl Drug Co.; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

The San Francisco Order of Cincinnatus believes that our city needs new leadership and new vision. We feel that young men should be encouraged to enter public service not because of personal ambitions, but for the welfare of the community. San Francisco is on the threshold of a great future in which young men must take their place. Cincinnatus has nominated Joseph C. Sharp, Lloyd H. Berendzen and me with the knowledge that we would bring enthusiasm, courage, and ideals into municipal government. I pledge myself to efficient, progressive, and humanitarian service to our community.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Pharmacist" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) JOHN A. FOLEY

Sponsors for JOHN A. FOLEY are as follows:

Grove J. Flink II, 1455 - 45th Avenue, Labor Representative.
Alfonso J. Zirpelli, 215 Avila Street, Attorney-at-Law.
Leland J. Lazarus, 1327 Cabrillo Street, Attorney.
Henry E. Sloss, 876 Francisco Street, Hardware Merchant.
Jos. Sloss Jr., 876 Francisco Street, Merchant.
George J. McLaughlin, 2183A Sutter Street, Printer.
Daniel R. Shoemaker, 155 San Rafael Way, Attorney.
Miriam A. Sharp, 2345 Pierce Street, Apt. No. 5, Housewife.
Marie Fitzgerald, 2738 Pierce Street, Real Estate.
John R. Tisworth, 1459 - 45th Avenue, Y. M. C. A. Secretary.
Thomas McCaughern, 1360 Jones Street, Insurance.
George H. Haukeren, 1160 Filbert Street, Lawyer.
Ottorino Ronchi, 2621 Divisadero Street, Editor.
J. Emmet Chapman, 150 Forest Side Avenue, Attorney.
Linnet M. Walsh, 1501 Pacheco Street, Pharmacist.
Thomas J. Lennon, 2340 Washington Street, Physician.
A. C. Watson, 2748 Franklin Street, Social Worker.
Bernice C. Foley, 385 Magellan Avenue, Housewife.
Jas. J. McNamara, 380 - 25th Avenue, Salesman.
Emil Hogberg, 249 Edgewood Avenue, Contractor.
For Supervisor

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Andrew J. Gallagher; my residence address is at No. 1485 18th Avenue, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Insurance Broker—Promotion; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

To promote in every way the best interests of the city I love, to assist in San Francisco's industrial progress; to work for industrial peace; to protect the Bay Bridges; to assist in providing the best in education, hospitalization and recreation; to keep the tax rate at the lowest possible figure.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Insurance" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) ANDREW J. GALLAGHER

Sponsors for ANDREW J. GALLAGHER are as follows:

Louis R. Lurie, St. Francis Hotel, Realty Operator.
L. M. Golden, 1000 Mason Street, Attorney at Law.
Sarah S. Hagan, 2464 - 14th Avenue, Clerical Secretary.
John Francis Cunningham, 1095 Dolores Street, Banking.
Wm. Schweitzer, 1131 Greenwich St., V. P. Bauer-Schweitzer Hop & Malt Co.
C. E. Reinhart, 80 Rivoli Street, Lumber & Planing Mill Proprietor.
Bernard Doyle, 696 Guerrero Street, Municipal Railway Conductor.
Herbert M. Brown, 421 Powell Street, Retired.
Mrs. Della E. Ward, 149 Magellan Avenue, Housewife.
Rodney A. Yoell, M. D., 14 - 7th Avenue, Surgeon.
J. J. Smith, 1258 - 23rd Avenue, Merchant.
S. J. Mooney, 104 Corona Street, Merchant.
Frank J. Ghiselli, 1454 - 24th Avenue, Wholesale Produce.
A. B. Harrison, 2882 Jackson Street, Realtor.
Geo. H. Allen, 187 Cora Street, Newspaper.
Fred Suhr, 2100 Green Street, Funeral Director.
J. W. Chapin, 2108 Washington Street, Editor—"The Argonaut."
For Supervisor

MARJORIE JANUARY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Marjorie January: my residence address is at No. 1123 Green Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Housewife: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

That women may have representation in the Board of Supervisors I have been asked to seek the office of Supervisor. Women want honest government, efficient government, administered by qualified personnel. I have spent several years in active work in women’s progressive organizations and believe I know their desires and practicable ideals. I stand wholeheartedly for the preservation of our Civil Liberties and will act always to make them a reality. I believe San Francisco must be governed in the interests of all its people and not for special groups. To that end I also commit myself.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation “Housewife” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) MARJORIE JANUARY

Sponsors for MARJORIE JANUARY are as follows:

Bernice H. Chipman, 1100 Union Street, Housewife.
Georgia F. Cutler, 169 - 25th Avenue, Homemaker.
Genevieve Allen, 965 Union Street, Publicist.
Ralph J. Wakefield, 1231 Market Street, Supervisor Labor Relations.
Alice K. Geballe, 2301 Lake Street, Housewife.
Lovell Langstroth, 3221 Washington Street, Physician.
Florence Field Wright, 2700 Lyon Street, Housewife.
Marion Prevost Hunter, 1121 Green Street, Musician.
Agnes M. Boland, 2544 Baker Street, Homemaker.
Gerrard T. January, 1123 Green Street, Master Mariner, Retired.
Don M. Chase, 1257 - 19th Avenue, Clergyman.
Henry Newburgh, 3674 Fillmore Street, Lawyer.
H. Hewlett, 61 Joost Avenue, Bricklayer.
Vivian Yarbrough, 2061 Pacific Ave., Sec'y. Owens Illinois Pacific Coast Co.
Kathleen Allison Turner, Fairmont Hotel, 950 Mason Street, None.
For Supervisor

WESLEY MCKENZIE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Wesley McKenzie; my residence address is at No. 2817 Pine Street, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Real Estate Broker; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

San Francisco needs more Scotchmen on the Board of Supervisors. The persistant annual increase in our tax rate must be stopped and a positive reductive accomplished. It will be my pledge to refuse to vote for any budget the coming fiscal year carrying increased appropriations. Lower taxes with security of our Citizens and the future progress and prosperity of our City is the issue.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Real Estate" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) WESLEY MCKENZIE

Sponsors for WESLEY MCKENZIE are as follows:

Delphine M. McKenzie, 2817 Pine Street, Housewife.
Alden Ames, 3028 Clay Street, Judge.
T. W. Steiger, 188 Judah Street, Bank Employee.
Violet Fowler, 1267 Jefferson Street, Homemaker and Club Woman.
Zora Miloradovitch, 1049 Bush Street, Social Service (Welfare Worker).
W. J. Ryan, 835 Bush Street, Salesman.
Mrs. Helen V. Lee, 2176 - 15th Street, Housewife.
Waldo F. Postel, 255 Santa Clara Avenue, Attorney at Law.
Stephen Malatesa, 2042 Leavenworth Street, Insurance Broker.
Henry Vowinkel, 231 Ivy Street, Decorator.
C. J. Randall, 1250 - 42nd Avenue, Salesman.
Russell A. Powell, 2326 - 35th Avenue; Publisher.
Mabel T. Powell, 2326 - 35th Avenue, Housewife.
Edward J. Willig, 87 Hernandez Avenue, Trucking.
Geo. H. Allen, 187 Cora Street, Newspaper.
R. H. Norton, 659 - 28th Avenue, Printer and Publisher.
For Supervisor

HERBERT NUGENT

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is George Herbert Nugent; my residence address is at No. 204 Divisadero Street, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Writer; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

As a candidate endorsed by the Honest Government Committee I am pledged to the Committee’s platform as follows: 1. For honest and efficient government in San Francisco. 2. For the protection of Labor’s constitutional rights, including the right to organize, strike and picket. 3. A square deal for unemployed; Federal or City sponsored projects at union wages; collective bargaining rights for unemployed unions. 4. Fight against the high cost of living—by promoting legislation for better and cheaper housing, lower milk prices and better medical and dental care for all the people.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation “Writer” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) GEORGE HERBERT NUGENT

Sponsors for HERBERT NUGENT are as follows:

Chas. F. Rader, 1259 Octavia Street, Carpenter.
George Rouillard, 1276 Eddy Street, Garageman.
Mrs. Hilma Gustafson, 554 Crescent Avenue, Housewife.
Raymond M. Korpa, 467 - 6th Avenue, Commercial Artist.
Alfred W. Jolce, 1250 O’Farrell Street, Marine Engineer.
Olin P. Wells, 235 Noe Street, Secretary.
Beila Zilberman, 1717 Bush Street, Homemaker and Writer.
Florence G. Bradley, 1501 Powell Street, Writer.
Laura E. Reilly, 1501 Powell Street, Practical Nurse.
Juanita Turner, 2233 Sacramento Street, Writer.
Harold R. Gustafson, 354 Crescent Avenue, Painting Contractor.
Herman Edebolz, 634 - 5th Avenue, Longshoreman.
Frank Blass Salazar, 4279 - 22nd Street, Longshoreman.
John J. Ergut, 230 Lee Avenue, Longshoreman.
James J. Martinez, 73 Elgin Park, Stevedore.
James Berutto, 996 Oak Street, Longshoreman.
William Niels Ellingsen, 50 Arago Street, Longshoreman.
James E. Anderson, 365 Park Street, Ticket Seller—S. P. G. & F. Ferree Ltd.
Ernst H. Johansson, 823 Shrader Street, Seaman.
Wack Posey, 12 Dodge Place, Carpenter.
For Supervisor

WALTER G. REMLEY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Walter G. Remley; my residence address is at No. 1065 Sutter Street, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Engineer; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

I am Democratic Chairman of Twenty-Second District and First Vice-President of Board of Directors of S. F. Democratic Clubs, Inc. I favor sane regulation of prostitution and bookies, thus providing the city with revenue and eliminating a source of graft; will support any feasible plan for decreasing taxes; will advocate free sites for industrial purposes by reclaiming tide lands; propose efficient repair and maintenance of our streets to remedy existing deplorable condition; would compel street-car companies to repair their tracks as stipulated by Franchise Law; would endeavor to reduce water and garbage rates. I am a young San Franciscan desirous of improving our city.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Engineer" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) WALTER G. REMLEY

Sponsors for WALTER G. REMLEY are as follows:

Joseph Bookbinder, 1595 - 33rd Avenue, Electrical Business.
Edward A. Anderson, 1450 Vallejo Street, Property Owner.
Gregory Smircich, 561 - 28th Street, Laundry Driver.
Allen J. Pederson, M. D., 634 Funston Street, Physician.
George B. Meyers, 1065 Sutter Street, Steam Engineer.
Della M. Marburger, 1458 Francisco Street, Purchasing Agent.
Joseph F. Costamagna, 3136 Fulton Street, Cap Maker.
Edith E. Brizzolara, 2338 Taylor Street, Secretary.
J. R. Valente, 2741 Octavia Street, Pharmacist.
Seth Gibbons, 1031 Franklin Street, Director of Schools.
Leonard A. Worthington, 518 Alvarado Street, Attorney.
Steve A. Bovo, 276 - 3rd Avenue, Salesman.
Wilbur Lee Parker D. D. S., 336 Moraga Street, Dentist.
F. J. Murphy, 151 Broderick Street, Elevator Constructor.
For Supervisor

JOSEPH C. SHARP

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office.

That my name is Joseph C. Sharp; my residence address is at No. 2845 Pierce Street, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Attorney at Law; my qualifications for said office as are follows:

As a candidate sponsored by the San Francisco Order of Cincinnatus, I am pledged to the highest ideals of public service. The other Cincinnatus candidates are John A. Foley and Lloyd Berendsen. Cincinnatus proved in the recent graft investigation that it was willing to assume public leadership in the elimination of improper influences from the police department. We shall continue to strive for high standards not only in the police department but in every branch of municipal government. We shall continue to organize and make effective the public demand for good government honestly administered in a business-like and non-political manner.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Attorney at Law" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) JOSEPH C. SHARP

Sponsors for JOSEPH C. SHARP are as follows:

Maurice E. Harrison, 2800 Scott Street, Lawyer.
Franck R. Haven, 460 Ellis Street, Representative in Congress.
Frank J. Hennessy, 1360 Jones Street, Attorney at Law.
William M. Malone, 3722 - 20th Street, Attorney at Law
Miriam A. Sharp, 2845 Pierce Street, Apt. No. 8, Housewife.
Mrs. George J. Knox, 1755 Jackson Street, None.
Marie Fitzgerald, 2738 Pierce Street, Real Estate.
Henriette Bouvier, 1626 Vallejo Street, Housewife.
Albert A. Rosenshine, 1000 Mason Street, Attorney.
J. Emmet Chapman, 150 Forest Side Avenue, Attorney.
George H. Hauerken, 1160 Filbert Street, Lawyer.
Emil Hogberg, 249 Edgewood Avenue, Contractor.
Jos. Sloss Jr., 576 Francisco Street, Merchant.
Aaron N. Cohen, 2677 Larkin Street, Lawyer.
Leland J. Lazarus, 1327 Cabrillo Street, Attorney.
Alfonso J. Zirpoli, 215 Avila Street, Attorney-at-Law.
Ottorino Ronchi, 2821 Divisadero Street, Editor.
Henry S. Foley, 383 Rolph Street, President Carmens' Union Div. No. 518.
Mrs. Frank C. Molett, 259 - 14th Avenue, Housewife.
George J. McLaughlin, 2188A Sutter Street, Printer.
For Supervisor

E. JACK SPAULDING

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is E. Jack-Spauling: my residence address is at No. 238 Mallorca Way, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Civil Engineer: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

My platform: I am heartily in favor of, and will strenuously work for, a good and efficient municipal government, and will sanction only such laws as are conducive to the welfare, happiness and prosperity of the people of San Francisco.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Civil Engineer" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) E. JACK SPAULDING

Sponsors for E. JACK SPAULDING are as follows:

Julian H. Alco, 2147 Union Street, Practitioner.
Alden Ames, 3028 Clay Street, Judge.
Walter A. Weber, 1458 - 18th Avenue, President Musicians' Union.
S. Walter Newman, 100 Spruce Street, Executive.
Edward Sharkey, 122 Hancock Street, Sales-Supervisor.
Melvin J. Hertz, 2185 Ulloa Street, Sales Manager.
Charles B. Kleupfer, 3042 Fillmore Street, Clerical.
Henry C. Hellwig, 495 Geary Street, Clift Hotel, Retired.
W. L. Hughson, 3800 Vallejo Street, Ford Dealer.
J. W. Ellery, 512 - 7th Avenue, Manager A. Nash Co.
Paul T. O'Dowd, 636 Bush Street, Realtor.
Milton K. Lepetich, 730 O'Farrell Street, Merchant.
Edw. B. Baron, 44 Casa Way, Theatre Owner.
R. A. McNeil, 2240 Hyde Street, Theatrical Manager.
T. Henshaw Kelly, 2335 Divisadero Street, Physician.
Herbert Herzenberg, 1737 Beach St., Charge of S. F. Traffic School.
W. P. Duhamel, 3260 Gough Street, Manager War Memorial Club.
Simeon E. Sheffey, 161 Edgewood Avenue, Attorney.
G. Bliss Herrmann, 73 Sea Cliff Avenue, Merchant.
For Supervisor

JOHN J. STARKE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is John Joseph Starke; my residence address is at No. 35 Casa Way, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Optician; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

I'm a family man. My education includes high school, college, technical and business training. The appreciation of my service to my fellow man in society, in organizations such as the Mantle Club and in business has actuated me to compete for this office. My opinions are respected, ability tested, found constructive, fairness shown in all transactions and judgment to be sound. With such qualifications I'm ready to serve the people of San Francisco, and make the Fair City a better place in which to live. I'm opposed to the Rapid Transit Project as being financially unsound, a tax burden and impractical.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Optician" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) JOHN J. STARKE

Sponsors for JOHN J. STARKE are as follows:

A. J. Quinn, 97 Lafayette Street, Proprietor.
Vladimir Vucinic, 557 - 15th Avenue, Attorney at Law.
Dr. Frank Wolongiewicz, Gotham Hotel, Dentist.
Charlie A. Bottarini, 783 Clayton Street, Mapper.
Elizabeth R. Delphin, 1805 Pine Street, Housewife.
Pamphil Tealuck, 2234 Geary Street, Broker.
Teofil Kot, 1721 - 10th Avenue, Insurance Broker.
Mrs. Katherine Pick, 519½ Capp Street, Housewife.
P. P. Vasilieff, 1333 McAllister Street, Social Worker.
Stanley George Walczak, 52 Prospect Avenue, Foreman.
Anka Droto, 2170 - 21st Avenue, Housewife.
Jan Kujawa, 255 Ninth Avenue, Apartment House Manager.
Milton K. Lepech, 730 O'Farrell Street, Merchant.
Lazar Luke Droto, 2170 - 21st Avenue, Club Member and Restaurateur.
For Supervisor

ANNA C. WELLBROCK

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Anna C. Wellbrock: my residence address is at No. 1476 21st Avenue, San Francisco: My business or occupation is Writer: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

San Francisco born. Mother of Charles L. Wellbrock. For 28 years have consistently worked for Public Ownership of street car service—the five cent fare. Also distribution Hetch Hetchy power direct, according to the Raker Act. Better conditions for Organized Labor and community as a whole. Two Platoon system for firemen. Widening of Geary Street. Child welfare work—better school conditions. Started fight against dead man control—one man cars. Will continue fight if elected. Will appreciate your vote, that of your family and friends on my record.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Writer" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) ANNA C. WELLBROCK

Sponsors for ANNA C. WELLBROCK are as follows:

Chas. L. Wellbrock, 1476 - 21st Avenue, Mechanic.
Jennie Scott Griffiths, 44 Majestic Avenue, Journalist.
Mrs. A. Kreuz, 1951 San Jose Avenue, Housewife.
E. Backus, 842 Fulton Street, Advertising Distributor.
Anna E. Nettelmann, 2474 - Fulton Street, Singer.
Mrs. Emily Andrews, 154 Eastwood Drive, Housewife.
Catherine Marty, 2199 Ocean Avenue, Housewife.
Evelyn A. Marshall, 1833 Kirkham Street, Stenographer.
Joseph M. F. Marty, 2199 Ocean Avenue, Grocer.
Russell A. Powell, 2326 - 38th Avenue, Publishers.
Mabel T. Powell, 2326 - 38th Avenue, Housewife.
Rosaleen Bowler, 1682 - 23rd Avenue, Housewife.
Herrick J. Lane, 1419 - 19th Street, Minister.
Lucien T. McDaniel Jr., 1833 Kirkham Street, Radio Operator.
Emma Hofman, 327 Lexington Street, Housewife.
Timothy E. Treacy, 39 Buena Vista Terrace, Contractor.
Joseph L. O’Leary, 473 - 10th Avenue, Laborer.
William F. Ehlers, 1165 Kearny Street, Clerk.
For Supervisor

ANITA WHITNEY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Anita Whitney; my residence address is at No. 74 Macdonray Street, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Housewife; my qualifications for said office as are follows:

I stand on my previous record as an advocate of civil and constitutional rights and movements in behalf of the working class. I shall continue to stand for these rights and shall work for any measures for better housing for the lower income groups; lowering the price of milk and other necessaries of life; rapid transit without additional taxation for low and middle income groups; and for honest and democratic government.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Housewife" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) ANITA WHITNEY

Sponsors for ANITA WHITNEY are as follows:

Oleta Joan O'Connor, 980 Bush Street, Writer.
Ruth Garrison, 735 Baker Street, Office Worker.
Effie D. Moses, 1738 Lake Street, Housewife.
Benjamin Isgur, 1357 Washington Street, Retail Clerk.
Katherine McKee, 74 Macdonray Lane, Office Worker.
Betty Karp, 1427A Jackson Street, Medical Rec. Librarian.
Bernard Rosenfeld, 121 Haight Street, Cloakmaker.
Clinton Duff, 388 Oak Street, Journalist.
John Joseph Marinan, 1136 Turk Street, Laborer.
Dimitri Dimakes, 68 - 6th Street, Cook.
Grace Partridge, 22 Walter Street, Housewife.
Sidney Lee Partridge, 22 Walter Street, Salesman.
For Supervisor

J. R. ZION

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is James Ralph Zion: my residence address is at No. 737 Pine Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Dentist: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Born in San Francisco, 1890: graduate of the University of California and of the College of Physicians and Surgeons. I pledge my endeavor to preparing a clean, quiet, healthy, and orderly city for our own comfort and that of thousands who will visit the city during the 1939 Exposition. Believing that the health and life in our city can be greatly improved by attention to: 1. Providing clean streets. 2. Elimination of unnecessary noises. 3. Sanitation of public thoroughfares. 4. Extensive traffic subways — rather than elevated. 5. Playground and recreational facilities. 6. Hetch Hetchy water direct to mains. I seek the voters' approval of my candidacy.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Dentist" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) JAMES RALPH ZION

Sponsors for JAMES RALPH ZION are as follows:
Bernard Gordon, 645 Leavenworth Street, Salesman.
Robert H. Chamlee, 683 - 22nd Avenue, Salesman.
Elizabeth Burns, 1401 Jones Street, Saleslady.
Mrs. Valette O. McCool, 295 - 19th Avenue, Housewife.
H. J. Fassler, 2887 - 22nd Street, Newspaper Route Man.
Isidore Elberg, 1895 Pacific Avenue, Customer's Man—Brokerage.
Jesse H. West, M. D., 60 Vicente Street, Physician and Surgeon.
Fred L. Barrett, 3611 - 24th Street, Elevator Operator.
John T. Grant 3501 Divisadero Street, Dentist.
Albert Bernhardt, 2406 - 22nd Avenue, Building Contractor.
Clarence E. Ryan, 325 Jones Street, Accountant.
R. E. Sand, 27 Loyola Terrace, Dentist.
Amelia Joy Barton, 3870 Market Street, Civil Service Clerk.
L. N. Alkaly, 2531 Anza Street, Practice of Dentistry.
G. E. Doty, 1177 Stanyan Street, Dentist.
Harvey Edward McCarthy, 1324 Galvez Avenue, Salesman.
Stanley L. Dod, 2862 Washington Street, Dentist.
Dr. E. R. Eldenmuller, 296 - 2nd Avenue, Dentist.
Melvin J. Cloney, 1339 - 16th Avenue, Salesman.
CANDIDATE FOR
CITY ATTORNEY
For City Attorney

JOHN J. O'TOOLE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of City Attorney to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is John J. O'Toole; my residence address is at No. 14 Fair Oaks, San Francisco; my business or occupation City Attorney; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

For more than thirty years I have practiced law in San Francisco and for the past twelve years have been City Attorney. During my incumbency there has been a great increase in litigation both on behalf of and against the city. At the present time many of these cases are pending, the determination of which means millions of dollars to San Francisco. I feel that my twelve years of experience qualifies me to continue to represent our city in its legal affairs. I respectfully ask the support of my fellow citizens at the coming election.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) JNO J. O'TOOLE

Sponsors for JOHN J. O'TOOLE are as follows:

William F. Traverso, 3221 Octavia Street, Attorney at Law.
Christine Regan O'Toole, 14 Fair Oaks Street, Housewife.
Angelo J. Rossi, 2466 Union Street, Mayor of San Francisco.
Jno. A. O'Connell, 3663 - 19th Street, Secretary.
Thos. K. O'Day, 894 - 25th Avenue, Coal Dealer.
Maurice E. Harrison, 2800 Scott Street, Lawyer.
John H. McCallum, 123 Liberty Street, Lumber Merchant.
L. M. Golden, 1000 Mason Street, Attorney at Law.
Mrs. George J. Knox, 1755 Jackson Street, none.
Frank Cames, 285 Bartlett Street, Retired.
Hugh K. McKevitt, 510 Cole Street, Attorney at Law.
Paul Verdier, 1733 Polk Street, Laundry Man, President Lafayette Club.
Ruby Bacigalupi, 42 Parker Avenue, Housewife.
Geo. H. Allen, 187 Cora Street, Newspaper.
H. Joseph Kertz, 1514 - 7th Avenue, Merchant.
Colbert Caldwell, 165 San Bueno Ventura, Realtor.
Ray Schiller, 2422 - 25th Avenue, Luggage Manufacturer.
Alice K. Geballe, 2801 Lake Street, Housewife.
Frank C. Sykes, 2076 Grove Street, Contractor.
CANDIDATES FOR TREASURER
For Treasurer
DUNCAN MATHESON

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Treasurer to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Duncan Matheson: my residence address is at No. 740 Fifth Avenue, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

I again submit to the electors of San Francisco my candidacy for the office of City and County Treasurer, in which office I have served for eight years. During my incumbency the office has been completely reorganized on a sound financial basis in strict accordance with the charter and state laws. The office earned, under my supervision, two million six hundred and sixty-one thousand dollars in interest, commissions and fees for the benefit of tax payers. Public funds are securely safe guarded. Patrons are accorded prompt attention and courtesy.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) DUNCAN MATHESON

Sponsors for DUNCAN MATHESON are as follows:

Theresa Melde, 661 - 16th Avenue, Judge
Frank Cames, 285 Bartlett Street, Retired.
George A. Duddy, 2369 - 18th Avenue, Printing Business.
Henrietta R. Morgen, 2001 California Street, Housewife.
Christopher T. Merchant, 579 Liberty Street, General Contractor.
J. A. L. MacKinnon, 1016 Noe Street, Carpenter.
Natale Cereghino, 2596 Chestnut Street, Butcher.
Lawrence Barrett, 2130 Golden Gate Avenue, Garage Proprietor.
Margaret Miriam Krsak, 520 Roosevelt Way, Clubwoman.
Marshall Hale, Clift Hotel, Merchant.
Alexander Dulfer, 2878 Vallejo Street, Printer.
Eric E. Wikstrom, 2362 - 29th Avenue, Accountant.
Alfred Ehrman, 3730 Washington Street, Merchant.
Frank C. Sykes, 2076 Grove Street, Contractor.
Albert A. Rosenshine, 1000 Mason Street, Attorney.
Peter Tum Suden, 1360 Jones Street, Attorney.
Lilian Griffin, 609 Sutter Street, Sec'y, Western Women's Club.
For Treasurer

M. JAS. McGRANAGHAN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Treasurer to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is M. Jas. McGranaghan; my residence address is at No. 214 Granville Way, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Attorney at Law; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Member State Bar; practicing attorney; age 49; licensed chiropractor; public lecturer; former credit manager national publisher; active many years public welfare work; 25 years civic leader in progressive politics; born and reared in San Francisco; enviable war record; conferred with medal of bravery 1906 fire; past president Eureka Valley Promotion Association; father of Divisional Highway and many other civic improvements; led long hard fight for increased transportation to West Twin Peaks, Parkside, Noe and Eureka Districts, and other outlying districts; proposes, if elected, to give public full and true statement of all hidden taxations and source of such taxation.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Attorney at Law" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) M. JAS. McGRANAGHAN

Sponsors for M. JAS. McGRANAGHAN are as follows:

John D. Hynes, 827 - 35th Avenue, Musician.
Samuel J. Jones, 18 Palm Avenue, Attorney at Law.
Dr. Lucille H. Nolan, 606 Haight Street, Chiropractor.
Dr. Charles S. Nolan, 606 Haight Street, Chiropractor.
Joe Bartolozzi, 1011 Washington Street, Hotel Keeper.
Sam R. Doshier, 2193 Mission Street, Chiropractor.
W. N. Clayton, 2335 - 32nd Avenue, Chiropractor.
Mrs. Helen V. Lee, 2176 - 15th Street, Housewife.
Mary A. Corbett, 392 Eureka Street, Housewife.
Edward Corbett, 392 Eureka Street, Retired.
F. Solomon, 565 Castro Street, Tailor.
Richard Cottrell, 177 Wawona Street, Furniture Sales
CANDIDATES FOR
JUDGES OF MUNICIPAL
COURTS
For Judge of Municipal Court No. 1

FRANK W. DUNN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court No. 1 to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Frank W. Dunn: my residence address is at No. 642 29th Avenue, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Born and reared in San Francisco, a native son educated in the public schools of San Francisco, an alumnus of Santa Clara and St. Mary's College, practicing attorney for several years prior to election of Justice of the Peace 15 years ago. Realizing the importance and seriousness of the office of a Judge, and that there is a human equality in all walks of life, it will be my aim to serve as Municipal Judge with the same dignity and consideration in the future as I have in the past, regardless of race, creed or nationality.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) F. W. DUNN

Sponsors for FRANK W. DUNN are as follows:

C. Harold Caulfield, 99 - 25th Avenue, Lawyer.
I. M. Golden, 1000 Mason Street, Attorney at Law.
Sylvester Andriano, 2674 Filbert Street, Attorney at Law.
Mrs. Minnie White, 1285 - 22nd Avenue, Housewife.
P. J. Crowley, 1460 O'Farrell Street, Apartment House Owner.
O. E. Schubert, 1515 Golden Gate Avenue, Baker.
Clarence J. Dunleavy, 1487 - 17th Ave., Sec'y. S. F. Lodge No. 26, Loyd
Order of Moose.
John F. McGowan, 1222 - 39th Avenue, Secretary.
Morris Levy, 500 - 16th Avenue, Secretary, Congregation Beth Israel.
J. V. Solmonson, 407 - 17th Avenue, Merchant.
Louis Felder, 291 Duboce Avenue, Mortician.
F. M. McAuliffe, 524 Post Street, Lawyer.
Adolph Petry, 2134 Market Street, Building & Real Estate.
John H. McCallum, 123 Liberty Street, Lumber Merchant.
Carl L. Maritzen, 2728 Irving Street, Insurance Broker.
Thomas A Maloney, 315 Missouri Street, Insurance Broker.
Dan P. Maher, 2495 - 23rd Avenue, Paint Manufacturer.
Timothy E. Treacy, 39 Buena Vista Terrace, Contractor.
Mrs. Pauline Dunn, 1445 Larkin Street, Housewife.
Rudolph A. Morris, 3135 Frederick Street, Insurance Broker.
For Judge of Municipal Court No. 1

RAINE EWELL

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court No. 1 to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Raine Ewell: my residence address it at No. 1376 Hayes Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Attorney at Law: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Born Memphis, Tenn., 1880. Democratic. Educated high-schools New York City, University, Columbia, New York Law School. Adopted San Francisco 1914. Licensed attorney San Francisco, June 1st, 1918. Thorough law student. Fearless, against corrupt favors, with more human understanding for rights of poor and oppressed. Have freed more persons by writs from false imprisonment than any or all other San Francisco attorneys. Eminently trained after 22 years practice, all courts, State, Federal, trial and appellate. If elected will concentrate all my time to you as your public servant. Not opposing Honorable Frank H. Dunne, Superior Judge, but opposing Frank W. Dunn, for Municipal Judge.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation “Attorney at Law” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) RAINE EWELL

Sponsors for RAINE EWELL are as follows:

Helen Isabelle Dahl, 1376 Hayes Street, Typist.
Charles Levi Johnson, 137 Shotwell Street, Inventor.
Harry L. Stelling, 777 Pine Street, Retired.
Chas G. Chrisman, 175 Third Street, Barber.
J. C. Snell, 173 - 6th Street, Barber.
Newton Boach Forbes, 193 - 4th Street, Barber.
Charles L. Heady, 17 Powell Street, Barber.
Harry S. Whitthorne, 620 - 2nd Avenue, Attorney-at-Law.
Morris Beckerman, 2509 San Bruno Avenue, Salesman.
John B. Hoppe, 133 Mallorca Way, Painter.
Guy V. Wright, 1238 - 17th Avenue, Supervising Auditor.
Mrs. H. G. Douglas, 800 Plymouth Avenue, Stenographer.
C. C. Chadsey, 247 Page Street, Clerk.
For Judge of Municipal Court No. 2

THOMAS M. FOLEY.

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court No. 2 to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Thomas M. Foley; my residence address is at No. 880 Darien Way, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Judge Thomas M. Foley was born in San Francisco; resided there all his life. Attended public schools; graduated from Mission High School. Attended San Francisco Law School, Hastings College of Law; took post-graduate course at Georgetown Law School; admitted to practice 1916. Is World War Veteran. Practiced law in San Francisco for twenty years; was director of Military and Veterans' Affairs in the State of California; served on year and a half on Municipal Bench. As such, has conscientiously endeavored to preside fairly and impartially with due regard to the human rights of the unfortunate.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) THOS. M. FOLEY

Sponsors for THOMAS M. FOLEY are as follows:

Ruth G. Foley, 880 Darien Way, Housewife.
By F. Budde, 45 Hartford Street, Printer and Publisher.
Dan P. Maher, 2495 - 23rd Avenue, Paint Manufacturer.
James E. Rickets, 1340 Cole Street, Carpenter.
F. M. McAluliffe, 524 Post Street, Lawyer.
Clarence J. Dunleavy, 1487-17th Ave., Secy', S. F. Lodge No. 26, Loyal Order of Moose.
Parker S. Maddux, 2868 Vallejo Street, Pres. The San Francisco Bank.
I. M. Golden, 1000 Mason Street, Attorney at Law.
Harry A. Milton, 315 Park Street, Labor Representative.
John T. Regan, 1489 Newcomb Avenue, Secretary.
Charles Albert Adams, 163 - 14th Avenue, Attorney-at-law.
John Francis Cunningham, 1093 Dolores Street, Banking.
Agnes McSherry, 33 Persia Street, Grand President C. L. A. S.
Charles J. Powers, 3879 - 26th Street, Contractor.
M. S. Maxwell, 672 Brunswick St., Sec'y - Business Mgr. Butchers Union Local No. 115.
Wm. H. Woodfield Jr., 72 Parker Avenue, Real Estate Investor.
H. W. Glessor, 769 - 17th Avenue, Lawyer.
Paul Verdier, 1733 Polk St., Laundry Owner, President Lafayette Club.
Isadore Zellerbach, 3524 Jackson Street, Manufacturer.
John H. McCallum, 123 Liberty Street, Lumber Merchant.
For Judge of Municipal Court No. 3

GEORGE W. SCHONFELD

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court No. 3 to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is George W. Schonfeld: my residence address is at No. 635 Ulloa Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Born and reared in San Francisco 45 years ago and lived here all of my life, married over 20 years. Was Assistant District Attorney in San Francisco for seven years prior to my appointment as a Judge of the Municipal Court. As Municipal Judge, presided in criminal and civil departments since July 2, 1930. Elected a Judge of the Municipal Court in 1931 and discharged my duties honestly, conscientiously and expeditiously. If re-elected, I promise to continue with courteous and faithful service and to render all decisions and judgments fairly, promptly, and fearlessly to the best of my ability.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

'Signature of Candidate (Signed) GEORGE W. SCHONFELD

Sponsors for GEORGE W. SCHONFELD are as follows:
Irene F. Schonfeld, 635 Ulloa Street, Housewife.
John T. Regan, 1499 Newcomb Avenue, Secretary.
Harry W. Gaetjen, 555 - 45th Avenue, Manager—Empire Mill.
Eugene H. O’Donnell, 1208 Portola Drive, Attorney-at-law.
D. B. Richards, 1300 - 7th Avenue, Attorney.
Clarence J. Dunleavy, 1487 - 17th Ave., Secy., S. F. Lodge No. 26, Loyal Order of Moose.
Jesse H. Miller, 170 San Anselmo Avenue, Attorney at Law.
C. A. Marekley, 710 - 27th Avenue, Diamond Setter—Jewelry Business
Edward J. Wren, 577 Dolores Street, Secretary.
Kittie S. Mullaney, 1567 - 21st Avenue, Housewife.
Frank C. Sykes, 2076 Grove Street, Contractor.
Albert Mialocq, 471 - 20th Avenue, State Secy. Improved Order of Red Men.
John F. McGowan, 1222 - 39th Avenue, Secretary.
Emma S. W. Stokes, 48 Ashbury Street, Housewife.
Fred E. Rathjens, 201 Capra Way, Merchant.
Herman T. Dratz, 39 Pinehurst Way, Treas. John P. Lynch Co. of S. F.
Abraham M. Dresow, 3451 Broderick Street, Attorney at Law.
Percy E. Towne, 1201 California Street, Attorney at Law.
John B. Molinari, 948 Union Street, Attorney-at-Law.
Walter A. Dold, 200 Santa Clara Avenue, Attorney at Law.
For Judge of Municipal Court No. 3

PETER J. MULLINS

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court No. 3 to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Peter J. Mullins: my residence address is at No. 1286 Guerrero Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Attorney at Law: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

I was born and raised in San Francisco: attended St. Joseph’s Parochial School; St. Ignatius and Mission High Schools; the University of California and Hastings’ College of the Law. After admission to the bar I engaged in private practice until 1925 and later served as Assistant District Attorney for twelve years. In this capacity I have always endeavored to extend equal justice to all, protecting the innocent as well as vigorously prosecuting the guilty. I base my qualifications on my record as evidenced in the handling of thousands of cases in every court of the state.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation “Attorney” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) PETER J. MULLINS

Sponsors for PETER J. MULLINS are as follows:

Ida Mullins, 1286 Guerrero Street, Housewife.
Jas. Leo Halley, 766 - 33rd Avenue, Executive.
Mariana Bertola, M. D., 630 Mason Street, Physician.
Evron G. Mobbs, 1745 Ulloa Street, Banker.
Alexander S. Keenan, M. D., Clift Hotel, Physician.
Mrs. Victorine M. Klimm, 100 St. Francis Boulevard, Housewife.
Maurice Moskovitz, 2900 Lake Street, Real Estate Investments.
James J. McGinnis, M. D., 3208 - 16th Street, Physician.
Walter Gordon, 438 Castro Street, Real Estate Broker.
John P. O’Brien, 647 Hearst Avenue, Dentist.
W. A. Leonetti, 771 - 30th Avenue, Manager—Halsted & Co.
Sam M. Markowitz, 161 - 28th Avenue, Insurance Counselor.
Charles R. Collins, 642 - 38th Avenue, Attorney at Law.
Louis M. Aguirre, 798 - 26th Avenue, Wholesale Druggist.
Joseph J. Diviny, 502A Liberty St., Pres. Teamster’s Union Local No. 55.
Margaret M. Selig, 350 Willard, Housewife.
A. B. Diepenbrock, 15 Santa Ana Avenue, Physician.
Arthur Heinz, 241 - 29th Avenue, Jeweler.
Jas. J. Gartland, 1275 Guerrero Street, Property Manager.
Dr. J. L. Branick, 3328A Mission Street, Dentist.
For Judge of Municipal Court No. 4
TWAIN MICHELSN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court No. 4 to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Twain Michelsen; my residence address is No. 1130 Ulloa Street, San Francisco; my business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court; my qualifications for said office are as follows:

My candidacy to succeed myself is submitted on my record. I was admitted to the California Bar in 1910. In 1911 and 1912 I served on the staff of the Juvenile Court and Adult Probation Office under the late Judge Frank J. Murasky. In 1913 I took up the active practice of the law in San Francisco. In 1935 I was elected to a two-year term as a member of the Board of Governors of the San Francisco Bar Association. In 1935 I was appointed Judge of the Municipal Court.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) TWAIN MICHELSN

Sponsors for TWAIN MICHELSN are as follows:

Little Easton, Hotel Whitcomb, Homemaker.
Frank Cames, 255 Bartlett Street, Retired.
Byron G. Mobbs, 1745 Ulloa Street, Banker.
John H. McCallum, 123 Liberty Street, Lumber Merchant.
T. M. Golden, 1000 Mason Street, Attorney at Law.
Rudolph I. Coffee, 1945 Broadway, Minister.
F. S. Maxwell, 672 Brunswick St., Sec’y Business Mgr. Butchers’ Union Local No. 115.
P. M. McPherson, 614 - 30th Avenue, Lumberman.
John F. McGowan, 1222 - 39th Avenue, Secretary.
Thos. A. Hellwig, 550 Geary Street, Bakery and Restaurant Owner.
Eve K. Geballe, 2801 Lake Street, Housewife.
R. I. Waters, 79 Hernandez Avenue, Vice President, Morris Plan Co.
Julian H. Alco, 2147 Union Street, Practitioner.
John F. Colton, 1570 Guerrero Street, Electrical Contractor.
Albert A. Hansen, 19 - 25th Avenue, President, Ecar Photo Service.
Cora Lachman, 1895 Jackson Street, Merchant.
V. A. Sbragia, 649 Green Street, Funeral Director.
War P. McAllister, 2495 - 23rd Avenue, Paint Manufacturer.
For Judge of Municipal Court No. 4

ELIZABETH CASSIDY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court No. 4 to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Elizabeth Cassidy: my residence address is at No. 133 Porter Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Attorney: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Admitted to practice in California 1916—Graduate of Detroit College of Law, Michigan—Resident San Francisco for the past 21 years—Engaged in general practice all courts. Active in social service and welfare work and civic affairs—Organizer of Women’s Welfare League and Crescent District Improvement Club—member City and County Federation Women’s Club—Delegate to and officer of Central Council of Civic Clubs—Member Queen’s Bench and various other organizations.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation “Attorney” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) ELIZABETH CASSIDY

Sponsors for ELIZABETH CASSIDY are as follows:

Joseph Grisler, 10 Bache Street, Painter.
Mrs. Cleo Hahn, 100 Bache Street, Housewife.
Mary C. Lacey, 10 Roscoe Street, Housewife.
Harriet B. Crosson, 49 Crescent Avenue, Housewife.
Mrs. Julia Nold, 169 College Avenue, Housewife.
J. S. Griffiths, 44 Majestic Avenue, Journalist.
Mrs. Annie Coulter, 46 Bache Street, Seamstress.
Chrissie G. Calverley, 125 Arleta Avenue, Housewife.
John F. Calverley, 125 Arleta Avenue, Electrician.
John L. Gilmore, 300 Richland Avenue, Insurance Broker.
Howard Harron, 2536 - Balboa Street, Attorney.
Mary M. Sullivan, 1839 - 22nd Avenue, Housewife.
Elizabeth Fournival, 750 Central Avenue, Housewife.
Rose Driscoll, 716 Andover Street, Housewife.
Johannes Wulff, 401 Crescent Avenue, Retired.
Walter E. Gonzales, 700 Crescent Avenue, Steam Fitter.
Felix Gonzales, 700 Crescent Avenue, Nurseryman.
Rosa Gonzales, 700 Crescent Avenue, Housewife.
Edith Levey, 430 Hayes Street, Floral Shop.
M. Maud Watson, 418 Hayes Street, Beauty Parlor Operator.
For Judge of Municipal Court No. 4

FRANK B. LORIGAN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of the Municipal Court No. 4 to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second day of November, A. D. 1937, and declare the following to be true:

THAT I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office:

That my name is Frank B. Lorigan: my residence address is at No. 2250 Hyde Street, San Francisco: my business or occupation is Attorney at Law: my qualifications for said office are as follows:

Native Californian; born in San Francisco; educated in San Francisco public schools; graduate of the University of California; practiced law in San Francisco for twenty-seven years; World War Veteran member of the American Legion and many other civic and fraternal organizations; have taken an active interest in the municipal problems of San Francisco and as a candidate for the office of Supervisor received the peoples' confidence by a large vote. With a family judicial background and years of practical court experience I make this direct personal appeal to the voters of San Francisco to elect me to the office of Municipal Judge.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 1197, Subsection 5, Political Code of the State of California, I desire that the following designation "Attorney" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 2, 1937.

Signature of Candidate (Signed) FRANK B. LORIGAN

Sponsors for FRANK B. LORIGAN are as follows:

F. V. Keesling, 20 Presidio Terrace, Attorney-at-Law.
John D. McGilvray, 147 - 28th Avenue, Contractor.
John L. Dobbins, 837 Mason Street, Consulting Engineer.
Thomas P. Garrity, 754 - 39th Avenue, Bookbinder.
Jos. P. Osterloh, 1137 Diamond Street, Foreman.
Chas. J. Evans, 2636 Fulton Street, Garage.
R. H. Norton, 659 - 28th Avenue, Printer and Publisher.
Mrs. H. G. Douglas, 800 Plymouth Avenue, Stenographer.
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PROPOSITION NO. 1

RAPID TRANSIT BONDS, 1938. To Incur a Bonded Debt in the Sum of $49,250,000 for Constructing Subways and Acquiring a Rapid Transit System.

RAPID TRANSIT BONDS, 1938
(Code No. 15.094)

Bill No. 1423, Ordinance No. 15.0941, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF $49,250,000.00 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT CONSISTING OF SUBWAYS AND SURFACE RAPID TRANSIT LINES TO CONNECT WITH EXISTING MUNICIPAL AND OTHER RAILWAY LINES AND ESTABLISHMENT OF BUS LINES AND THE ACQUISITION OF THE NECESSARY CARS, BUSES AND EQUIPMENT TO BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH SAID SUBWAYS AND SURFACE RAPID TRANSIT AND BUS LINES, TOGETHER WITH THE REQUIRED CAR AND BUS STORAGE FACILITIES, SHOPS AND BUILDINGS AND THE LAND NECESSARY THEREFOR, AND ALL OTHER THINGS NECESSARY, CONVENIENT OR INCIDENTAL TO THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF SAID RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM WITHIN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, INCLUDING THE NECESSARY EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS OF WAY, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DENOMINATION AND RATE OF INTEREST, FIXING PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS, AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 2nd day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of $49,250,000.00 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a subway in Market street from Fremont street westerly to the vicinity of Church street with a subway in Fremont street from Market street to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge terminal in the vicinity of Howard and Fremont streets; a subway through the Mission District commencing at a point in the vicinity of Market street and Van Ness avenue and extending to Mission street over the most advisable route and continuing southerly in Mission street to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company at a point in the vicinity of Mission and Twenty-fifth streets; thence under the said right of way to a point in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets; a subway in Montgomery street southerly from Columbus avenue to Market street, thence in Market street to Geary street, and thence in Geary street to a point in the vicinity of Pierce street; a surface street railway commencing at the end of the subway in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets over and along or near the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company to its intersection with Ocean avenue; and to establish and maintain bus lines to be operated in connection with and as feeders to said subways and surface railway lines existing or to be hereafter acquired, all to constitute a rapid transit system and to be operated in connection with the present municipal railway system to relieve traffic congestion in the city and county of San Francisco and to accelerate the transportation of passengers between the business and residential sections of the city and county. Together with the acquisition of all lands, easements and rights of way necessary, convenient or incident to the construction, maintenance and operation of said subways and surface lines, including buses and all facilities necessary for the housing and servicing of the cars and buses used in the operation of said subway, surface railway and bus lines including the land necessary for said purpose. Together with all equipment, devices and facilities of every kind and nature necessary or incident or convenient to the acquisition, maintenance and operation of said subways, surface or bus lines, and the necessary changes in the present street railway equipment to make the same adaptable for use in connection with said subways and surface lines.

(2)
Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3375, passed by more than two-thirds of said board and approved by the Mayor in the sum of $49,250,000.00, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxation for that purpose. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held, shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the state of California providing for and governing elections in the city and county of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for the said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at such special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear the following:

"Rapid Transit Bonds 1938 to incur a bonded debt in the sum of $49,250,000.00 for constructing subways and acquiring a rapid transit system."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the construction and acquisition of lands as described in Section One hereof. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States, either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Rapid Transit Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 49,250, both inclusive, and shall be payable $1,370,000 thereof 5 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $1,368,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 35 years, until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner or by attorney duly
authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the interest and principal of said bonds the Board of Supervisors shall at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of the city and county of San Francisco set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 30, 1937.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehey, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt.
Noes: Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, September 1, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

PROPOSITION NO. 2

AIRPORT BONDS, 1938. To Incur a Bonded Debt in the Sum of $2,850,000 for the Enlargement and Improvement of San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County.

AIRPORT BONDS, 1938
(Code No. 15.051)

Bill No. 1426, Ordinance No. 15.0512, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF $2,850,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT CONSISTING OF THE ENLARGEMENT OF THE SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT IN SAN MATEO COUNTY AND THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONS THERETO, SO AS TO PROVIDE THE NECESSARY FACILITIES FOR THE LANDING AND TAKING OFF AND THE HOUSING AND HARBORING OF LAND PLANES ENGAGED IN TRANSCONTINENTAL TRAFFIC AND SEAPLANES ENGAGED IN TRANS-OCEANIC TRAFFIC, TOGETHER WITH ALL OTHER PLANES ENGAGED IN AIR COMMERCE GENERALLY, AND SO AS TO PROVIDE A SUITABLE BASE FOR A DETACHMENT OF THE UNITED STATES COAST GUARD TO AID IN THE OPERATION OF SAID AIRPLANES, THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID AIRPORT TO CONSIST IN PROVIDING ADDITIONAL OPERATING AREAS FOR BOTH SAID LAND AND SEA PLANES, HANGARS, SHOPS AND OTHER STRUCTURES INCLUDING HARBORS, CHANNELS, WALLS, JETTIES, PIERS, RAMPS, BREAKWATERS, LIGHTING FACILITIES, DRAINAGE AND SEWER FACILITIES, RADIO AND METEOROLOGICAL FACILITIES, BUILDINGS AND EQUIPMENT FOR UTILITY SERVICE, BUILDINGS FOR THE ACCOMMODATION OF PASSENGERS AND PATRONS, HOUSES AND HOMES FOR LABORERS AND EMPLOYEES, TOGETHER WITH THE NECESSARY ADDITIONAL LAND FOR PROVIDING FOR ALL AND SINGULAR THE ABOVE MENTIONED, AND THE GRADING, FILLING, DRAINING AND PAVING SAID ADDI-
TIONAL LAND AND LANDS NOW UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF SAID AIRPORT, TOGETHER WITH THE DOING AND ACQUISITION OF ALL OTHER THINGS AND MATTERS WHICH WILL ENABLE SAID SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS AND REGULATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES, AS WELL AS OF AIR TRANSPORT COMPANIES RELATIVE TO THE OPERATION, LANDING, TAKING OFF, HOUSING AND HARBORING OF AIRCRAFT, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM AND DENOMINATION AND RATE OF INTEREST, FIXING THE PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS, AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday, the second day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of $2,850,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the enlargement of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo county, and the acquisition and construction of additions thereto so as to provide the necessary facilities for the landing and taking off, and the housing and harboring of land planes engaged in transcontinental traffic and seaplanes engaged in transoceanic traffic, together with all other planes engaged in air commerce generally, and so as to provide a suitable base for a detachment of the United States Coast Guard to aid in the operation of said airplanes. The improvement of said airport to consist in providing additional operating areas for both said land and sea planes, hangars, shops and other structures including harbors, channels, wharves, jetties, piers, ramps, breakwaters, lighting facilities, drainage and sewer facilities, radio and meteorological facilities, buildings and equipment for utility service, buildings for the accommodation of passengers and patrons, houses and homes for laborers and employees, together with the necessary additional land for providing for all and singular the above mentioned, and the grading, filling, draining and paving said additional land and lands now under the jurisdiction of said airport, together with the doing and acquisition of all other things and matters which will enable said San Francisco Airport to meet the requirements and regulations of the Department of Commerce of the United States, as well as of air transport companies, relative to the operation, landing, taking off, housing and harboring of air craft.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3459, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of $2,850,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county of San Francisco in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the city and county of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Airport Bonds, 1938, to incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $2,850,000 for the enlargement and improvement of San Francisco Airport in San Mateo county."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebted-
ness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Airport Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2,850, both inclusive, and shall be payable $285,000 thereof 2 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $285,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same date in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax-levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 30, 1937.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.
PROPOSITION NO. 3

HOSPITAL BONDS, 1938. To Incur a Bonded Debt in the Sum of $1,600,000 for Improving the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital and Increasing the X-Ray Facilities to Aid in the Care of Their Inmates.

HOSPITAL BONDS, 1938

(Code No. 12.123)

Bill No. 1424, Ordinance No. 12.1232, as follows:


Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday, the second day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county the proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of $1,600,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of enlarging and remodeling the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home and the San Francisco Hospital, and increasing the quarters for the use of X-ray, all designed to aid and facilitate the taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hospitals conducted by San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3463, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of $1,600,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the city and county of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

(7)
"Hospital Bonds, 1938, to incur a bonded debt in the sum of $1,600,000 for improving the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital and increasing the X-ray facilities to aid in the care of their inmates."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machine shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States, either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Hospital Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 1,600, both inclusive, and shall be payable $160,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and $160,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for nine (9) years, until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 30, 1937.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieli, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.
PROPOSITION NO. 4

SEWER BONDS, 1938. To Incur a Bonded Debt in the Sum of $5,000,000 for Constructing New and Reconstructing Old Sewers Within the City and County.

SEWER BONDS, 1938
(Code No. 12.122)

Bill No. 1425, Ordinance No. 12.1224, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO THE AMOUNT OF $5,000,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS CONSISTING OF RECONDITIONING AND REBUILDING EXISTING SEWERS, THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW SEWERS, RECONSTRUCTION AND EXTENSION OF MAIN SEWERS AND SEWAGE PLANTS WITHIN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, TOGETHER WITH ALL APPURTEYNCES AND LANDS THAT MAY BE NECESSARY FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION AND EXTENSION OF SEWERS IN THE EAST OF VAN NESS AVENUE DISTRICT, WEST OF VAN NESS AVENUE DISTRICT, SOUTH OF MARKET AND MISSION STREETS DISTRICTS, SUNSET-PARKSIDE AND WEST OF TWIN PEAKS DISTRICTS, AND PARK-PRESIDIO DISTRICT, FIXING PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday the 2nd day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of $5,000,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers; the construction of new sewers, reconstruction and extension of main sewers and sewage plants within the city and county of San Francisco, together with all appurtenances and lands that may be necessary for the reconstruction and extension of sewers in the east of Van Ness avenue district, west of Van Ness avenue district, south of Market and Mission streets districts, Sunset-Parkside and west of Twin Peaks districts, and Park-Presidio district.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3456, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of $5,000,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such elections shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.
Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting
machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to
be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Sewer Bonds, 1938, to incur a bonded debt in the sum of $5,000,000 for constructing
new and reconstructing old sewers within the city and county."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebted-
ness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank
space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse
to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose
stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines
shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling
down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition
appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and
said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over
the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on
cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute
a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same
are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923,
and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes
cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the
purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by
the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements,
other properties and structures described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and
character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed
6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of $1,000
each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United
States either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco or
at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said
bonds shall be designated as "Sewer Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 5,000,
both inclusive, and shall be payable $125,000 thereof one year from date of said bonds,
beginning with the lowest number, and $125,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on
the same date in each succeeding year for 9 years, and $375,000 thereof in each succeeding
year for 10 years, until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon
presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event
such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a state-
ment stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the
bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal
of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the
bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly author-
ized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as
before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the
Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner
for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds
are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that
purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax
sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof
as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days
in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San
Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August
30, 1937.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of
Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Approved, San Francisco, September 1, 1937.
PROPOSITION NO. 5

REFERENDUM—Do You Favor Ordinance No. 17.194 Enacted by the Board of Supervisors Providing for the Removal of Laurel Hill Cemetery?

RESOLUTION NO. 3337
(Code No. 17.19)

REFERRING ORDINANCE NO. 17.194 TO THE ELECTORS AT THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON THE SECOND DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1937.

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors did, on the 19th day of April, 1937, enact Ordinance No. 17.194 entitled as follows: "Declaring that the Further Maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery Threatens and Endangers the Health, Safety, Comfort and Welfare of the Public; Ordering and Demanding the Disinterring and Removal of Human Bodies therefrom and fixing a Time within which such Disinterring and Removal Must be Performed; Declaring Certain Conditions Under Which the City and County will itself Disinter and Remove Said Bodies; Requiring and Empowering the Director of Public Health to Adopt and Promulgate Rules and Regulations for such Disinterring and Removal; Providing for the Reservation of Lands for Memorial Mausoleums or Columbariums, Grounds, Vaults and Monuments," which said Ordinance was, on the 26th day of April, 1937, duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, before the time when said Ordinance became effective there was filed with this Board of Supervisors a petition signed by the qualified electors of the City and County equal in number to at least ten percentum of the entire vote cast for all candidates for mayor at the last preceding general municipal election at which a mayor was elected, protesting against the passage of such Ordinance; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, in conformity with the provisions of Section 179 of the Charter, has re-considered such Ordinance and upon said re-consideration of said Ordinance, the said Ordinance was not repealed;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the said Ordinance, numbered and entitled as aforesaid, is hereby submitted to the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the next general election to be held in said City and County, to-wit, the general municipal election to be held on November 2, 1937, and the Registrar of Voters is directed to take all steps necessary to submit said Ordinance to the electors as provided by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 15, 1937.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisor Reilly.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, June 17, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

DECLARING THAT THE FURTHER MAINTENANCE OF LAUREL HILL CEMETERY THREATENS AND ENDANGERS THE HEALTH, SAFETY, COMFORT AND WELFARE OF THE PUBLIC: ORDERING AND DEMANDING THE DISINTERRING AND REMOVAL OF HUMAN BODIES THEREFROM AND FIXING A TIME WITHIN WHICH SUCH DISINTERRING AND REMOVAL MUST BE PERFORMED; DECLARING CERTAIN CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE CITY AND COUNTY WILL ITSELF DISINTER AND REMOVE SAID BODIES; REQUIRING AND EMPOWERING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH TO ADOPT AND PROMULGATE RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR SUCH DISINTERRING AND REMOVAL; PROVIDING FOR THE RESERVATION OF LANDS FOR MEMORIAL MAUSOLEUMS OR COLUMBARIUMS, GROUNDS, VAULTS AND MONUMENTS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of
more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio Avenue, California Street, Parker Avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Presidio Avenue and the west line of California Avenue, to a point on the west line of Parker Avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid Avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker Avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled “An Act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, or by the association or corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed.” Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, bodies or bodies politic, shall be entitled to the proceeds in the event of the disinterment, and shall have the general possession of the remains of those whose bodies are disinterred in said cemetery remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said City and County.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery exceed in area ten per cent of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Super-
visors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 19, 1937.
Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.
Absent: Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

ANGelo J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

PROPOSITION NO. 6

DECLARATION OF POLICY—Shall the Board of Supervisors Be Directed to Submit to the Electors of the City and County of San Francisco a Proposition to Issue Bonds in an Amount Not to Exceed $2,000,000 for the Extension of Fifth Street Diagonally Across Market Street to the Intersection of Mason and Eddy Streets?

DECLARATION OF POLICY FIFTH STREET EXTENSION
(Code No. 12.121)

Resolution No. 3492, as follows:

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco submit to the electors of the said City and County, at the general municipal election to be held on the 2nd day of November, 1937, the following declaration of policy:

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco should pass the necessary Resolution and should enact the necessary Ordinances and should take all necessary proceedings to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the first special or general election to be held in said City and County after the approval of this resolution by the electors, a proposition to issue bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in an amount not to exceed Two Million ($2,000,000.00) Dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion by the said City and County of a municipal improvement consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering the streets and public ways and the establishing, modifying and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way or other property necessary for such purposes, including the construction of an extension of Fifth Street diagonally across Market Street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy Streets, all designed for the purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco;

Be It Further Resolved, that the Registrar of the City and County of San Francisco take all necessary proceedings for the purpose of submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the aforesaid declaration of policy at the general municipal election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 2nd day of November, 1937.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 23, 1937.
Ayes: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon.
No: Supervisor Uhl.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, Mead.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

ANGelo J. ROSSI,
Mayor.
DECLARATION OF POLICY GRANT AVENUE EXTENSION  
(Code No. 12.121)

Resolution No. 3491, as follows:

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco submit to the electors of the said City and County, at the general municipal election to be held on the 2nd day of November, 1937, the following declaration of policy:

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall pass the necessary Resolution and should enact the necessary Ordinances and should take all necessary proceedings to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the first special or general election to be held in said City and County after the approval of this resolution by the electors, a proposition to issue bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in an amount not to exceed Four Million Seven Hundred Thousand ($4,700,000.00) Dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion by the said City and County of a municipal improvement consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering the streets and public ways and the establishing, modifying and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way or other property necessary for such purposes, including the projection of Grant Avenue across Market Street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth Streets from Market to Brannan Street, all designed for the purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco;

Be It Further Resolved, that the Registrar of the City and County of San Francisco take all necessary proceedings for the purpose of submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the aforesaid declaration of policy at the general municipal election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 2nd day of November, 1937.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 23, 1937.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon.

No: Supervisor Uhl.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Mead.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

PROPOSITION NO. 8

INITIATIVE—Shall an Initiative Ordinance Declaring Picketing Unlawful, and Providing a Penalty for Violation Thereof, Be Adopted?

AN ORDINANCE PROHIBITING INTIMIDATION, THREATS, FORCE, COERCION, LOITERING, PICKETING, CARRYING OR DISPLAYING BANNERS, BADGES, SIGNS, OR TRANSPARENCIES, OR MAKING LOUD OR UNUSUAL NOISES, IN STREETS, SIDEWALKS, ALLEYS OR OTHER PUBLIC PLACES FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES THEREIN NAMED AND PROVIDING A PENALTY FOR ANY VIOLATION THEREOF.

INITIATIVE MEASURE

To the Registrar of Voters,
City and County of San Francisco.

The undersigned registered voters of the City and County of San Francisco hereby propose and petition that the measure which is hereinafter set forth in full be submitted
forthwith to a vote of the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco for adoption or rejection at the polls at the general municipal election to be held November 2, 1937, to-wit:

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person or persons, in or upon any public street, sidewalk, alley or public place in the City and County of San Francisco, to make any loud or unusual noise, or to speak in a loud or unusual tone, or to cry out or proclaim, for the purpose of coercing, inducing or influencing or attempting to coerce, induce or influence, any person to refrain from entering any building, hotel, apartment house, restaurant, works, factory, store, place of amusement, place of employment or place of business, or for the purpose of coercing, inducing or influencing, or attempting to coerce, induce or influence any person to refrain from purchasing or negotiating for the purchase of any goods, wares, merchandise or other article or articles, or transacting business therein, or renting or using or showing any form of housing, or for the purpose of coercing, inducing or influencing, or attempting to coerce, induce or influence any person to refrain from doing or performing any service or labor in any building, hotel, apartment house, restaurant, works, factory, store, place of amusement, place of employment or place of business, or for the purpose of intimidating, threatening or coercing, or attempting to intimidate, threaten or coerce, any person who is performing, seeking or obtaining service or labor in any building, hotel, apartment house, restaurant, works, factory, store, place of amusement, place of employment or place of business.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, in or upon any public street, sidewalk, alley or other public place in the City and County of San Francisco to loiter in front of, or in the vicinity of, or to picket in front of or in the vicinity of, or to carry, show or display, or cause to be carried, shown or displayed, any banner, sign, transparency, badge, emblem, symbol, ribbon, card, paper, picture, writing or printing, newspaper, magazine, journal or other publication, in front of, or in the vicinity of any building, hotel, apartment house, restaurant, works, factory, store, place of amusement, place of employment or place of business, for the purpose of coercing or inducing or influencing, or attempting to coerce or induce or influence, any person to refrain from entering or transacting business in any such building, hotel, apartment house, restaurant, works, factory, store, place of amusement, place of employment or place of business, or for the purpose of coercing, inducing or influencing, or attempting to coerce, induce or influence, any person to refrain from purchasing or using any goods, wares, merchandise, or other articles, manufactured, made or kept for sale therein or from patronizing any business therein conducted, or renting or using or showing any form of housing, or for the purpose of coercing, inducing, or influencing or attempting to coerce, induce or influence, any person to refrain from transacting any business or doing or performing any service or labor in any building, hotel, apartment house, restaurant, works, factory, store, place of amusement, place of employment or place of business, or for the purpose of intimidating, threatening or coercing, or attempting to intimidate, threaten or coerce any person who is attempting to patronize such business or performing, seeking or obtaining service or labor in any such building, hotel, apartment house, restaurant, works, factory, store, place of amusement, place of employment or place of business.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for two or more persons to conspire to do any of the acts declared to be unlawful in Section 1 or Section 2 of this ordinance.

Section 4. That any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars ($500) nor less than fifty dollars ($50) or by imprisonment in the City and County Jail for a period of not more than fifty (50) days nor less than five (5) days or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 5. No person shall be excused from testifying or from producing any evidence of any kind concerning any of the matters above set forth, and no person shall be prosecuted or subject to any penalty or forfeiture for, or on account of, any transaction, matter or thing concerning which he is compelled, after having claimed his privilege against self-incrimination, to testify or produce evidence, except that such individual so testifying or so producing evidence shall not be exempt from prosecution and punishment for perjury committed in so testifying or so producing such evidence.

Section 6. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance be for any reason held to be unconstitutional or contrary to law, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance, it being the intent of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that each and every other section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof shall be enforced irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases of the ordinance be declared unconstitutional or contrary to law.

(15)
PROPOSITION NO. 9

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 1—Public Inspection of Civil Service Examination Papers. Adds New Section to Charter Providing That Civil Service Examination Papers, Marks and Grades Shall Be Open to Public Inspection.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 1

INSPECTION OF CIVIL SERVICE EXAMINATION PAPERS

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 147.1, relating to public inspection of civil service examination papers, questions and answers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the general election to be held on the 2nd day of November, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth in the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 147.1, relating to public inspection of civil service examination papers, questions and answers.

INSPECTION OF CIVIL SERVICE EXAMINATION PAPERS

Section 147.1. After the Civil Service Commission has prepared and published or posted a list of eligibles, arranged in order of relative excellence, as the result of any examination held by said commission, said list shall not be finally approved for two weeks after the date of the publication or posting of said list, during which time all examination papers, questions and answers, and all marks and grades given on any test given in said examination shall be open to public inspection and copying by any citizen, provided that the identity of the examiner giving any mark or grade in an oral test shall not be disclosed; and provided further that the Commission may require the payment of a fee of not more than One Dollar ($1.00) for the inspection of all of the papers relating to the examination of any one person participating in said examination; and provided further that a participant may examine his own examination papers without charge. The Civil Service Commission shall have power to correct any error which in its judgment may have occurred in the rating of any participant in said examination, and to alter said published or posted list of eligibles and to make changes accordingly therein which in the opinion of said commission may be justified by any re-examination of said papers, questions, answers, marks or grades given in said examination; provided that said changes shall be made within a period of not more than sixty (60) days after the date of the publication or posting of said list.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 13, 1937.


Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mead.

I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
VOTE
ON PROPOSAL No. 1
RAPID TRANSIT BONDS

Because:

A few miles of tunnels to be used by Municipal Railway's street cars and serving about one-third (1/3) of the people is not the solution of our transportation problem.

Taxes will be increased.

There are only three subways in the United States and none is self-supporting—proposed Subway will operate at a loss.

Proposed Subway will not effect time saving claimed for it; will not be Rapid Transit.

Would nearly exhaust San Francisco's bonding limit and leave little for an emergency such as 1906 disaster.

Would result in decrease of those now permanently employed.

Proposed Subway would serve only one-third of present street car riders.

Proposed Subway will not afford relief to present traffic congestion.

Proposed Subway will not afford comfort and convenience of present street car facilities.

Proposed Subway cannot be built for $49,250,000.00.

Proposed Subway will not eliminate outside tracks on Market street between Kearny street and the Embarcadero.

Streets will be torn up during the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939.
The following organizations urge you to vote "NO" on Rapid Transit Bonds—
Proposal No. 1:

DOWNTOWN ASSOCIATION
SAN FRANCISCO REAL ESTATE BOARD
SAN FRANCISCO WOMEN'S CIVIC CENTER
MARKET STREET ASSOCIATION
CENTRAL COUNCIL OF CIVIC CLUBS, comprising 45 district improvement associations representing a cross-section of San Francisco
CIVIC LEAGUE OF IMPROVEMENT CLUBS
BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS ASSOCIATION
APARTMENT HOUSE OWNERS & LESSEES ASSOCIATION
MISSION STREET MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION
MISSION DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT LEAGUE
FILLMORE STREET MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION
VALENCIA STREET ASSOCIATION
POLK-VAN NESS-LARKIN DISTRICT MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION
PARK-PRESIDIO IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION
HUNTERS POINT IMPROVEMENT CLUB
DIVISADERO DISTRICT MERCHANTS AND IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION
GOOD GOVERNMENT LEAGUE OF SAN FRANCISCO
THE PUBLIC OWNERSHIP ASSOCIATION

RAPID TRANSIT BONDS WILL INCREASE TAXES

The issuance of $49,250,000.00 in direct general obligation bonds maturing in 40 years will ultimately cost the citizens of San Francisco $89,262,600.00, according to Comptroller Harold J. Boyd of the City of San Francisco and confirmed by Mr. Wm. H. Nanry, Bureau of Governmental Research. Interest and redemption on these bonds and the effect upon the tax rate is shown in detail herewith:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Interest and Redemption</th>
<th>Cents In Tax Rate</th>
<th>Cents Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1937-1938</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
<td>00.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1938-1939</td>
<td>172,000.00</td>
<td>02.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939-1940</td>
<td>946,000.00</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940-1941</td>
<td>1,578,000.00</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1941-1942</td>
<td>1,850,000.00</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942-1943</td>
<td>3,312,600.00</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1943-1944</td>
<td>3,255,940.00</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1944-1945</td>
<td>3,201,120.00</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945-1946</td>
<td>3,146,400.00</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946-1947</td>
<td>3,091,680.00</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947-1948</td>
<td>3,036,960.00</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948-1949</td>
<td>2,982,240.00</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949-1950</td>
<td>2,927,520.00</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950-1951</td>
<td>2,872,800.00</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951-1952</td>
<td>2,818,080.00</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952-1953</td>
<td>2,763,360.00</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953-1954</td>
<td>2,708,640.00</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954-1955</td>
<td>2,653,920.00</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955-1956</td>
<td>2,599,200.00</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956-1957</td>
<td>2,544,480.00</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957-1958</td>
<td>2,489,760.00</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958-1959</td>
<td>2,435,040.00</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959-1960</td>
<td>2,380,320.00</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960-1961</td>
<td>2,325,600.00</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-1962</td>
<td>2,270,880.00</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We respectfully call your attention to the additional increase of 8.7 cents just added to your tax rate for 1937-38. This increase was imposed on you without any additional bonds being issued by the City. If there had been additional bonds the increase would have been larger to take care of interest and redemption.

These Subway Bonds are a mortgage on your home, your furniture, your automobile and everything else that you possess. If you do not own your home, but lease, it follows that your rents will be increased, because the owner of your building will have to pay increased taxes.
NOT A SOLUTION

There can be no solution of the transportation problem until there is devised a comprehensive plan which will give better service to all of the people by taking into consideration all street railway facilities and all the people who use them. Seven miles of tunnel to be used only by Municipal Railway's street cars and serving about one-third (1/3) of the people does not solve the transportation problem.

NO SUBWAY IN THE UNITED STATES IS SELF-SUPPORTING

There are only three subways in the United States. Those cities that do have them suffer losses each year due to their operation. These losses are staggering—$31,000,000.00 last year in New York City—$6,000,000.00 in Philadelphia—almost $2,000,000.00 in Boston. The Public Utilities Commission doubts that a Subway in San Francisco could even pay operating expenses and admits it will not pay bond interest and redemption.

PROPOSED PLAN IS NOT RAPID TRANSIT

The proposed Subway Plan will not effect a material time saving in that the Subway will only be two-track street car tunnels in which only the existing Municipal Railway cars will be operated. Because of having only two tracks, the spacing between the cars must be very small and operate practically end to end during the rush hours. This would make fast operation impossible and unsafe.

Subway stations will be about one-third of a mile apart, which will necessitate a time-consuming walk for most patrons. The Subway platforms will be 300 feet long and it is only natural that congestion will take place on those platforms, resulting in confusion when patrons move up and down the platform trying to board their particular car.

With fast operation out of the question for safety and operating reasons, coupled together with the time lost in walking to Subway stations, the proposed plan will not save time and will not result in rapid transit.

STATUS OF CITY'S BONDED INDEBTEDNESS

The total bonded debt of San Francisco on March 1, 1937, was $165,583,800.00. The bond limit of San Francisco on April 10, 1937, was $228,932,783.00. Rapid transit bonds, together with other bonds, to be voted on, total $58,700,000.00. This will leave only $4,648,983.00 for future bond issues. In other words, the bonding limit of San Francisco will be 98 per cent exhausted, if the Subway and other bonds are voted. The original estimated cost of Subway was $52,700,000.00; since then labor and material advanced about 15 per cent, or about $8,000,000.00, which amount, if added to original estimate, would total about $60,000,000.00. To stay within the limit the estimate was cut to $49,250,000.00.

EFFECT ON LABOR

We estimate that not over 2000 persons would be employed during the construction of the proposed Subway. Of this number, a large majority would undoubtedly be brought in from other cities due to the necessity of employing specialized labor. During the construction period, many hundreds of employees would be laid off by stores, offices and other places of business along Market, Geary, Montgomery and Mission streets due to diminished business on these streets, and many of these workers would never get their jobs back again.

Upon completion of the Subway, curtailments which would be necessary by the private transit companies and the introduction of buses operated by one man by the Municipal Railway would cause a total decrease of permanent employees of the three companies of at least 1000 men.

All in all, labor would suffer were the Subway to be built. Industrial and labor experts estimate that more men and women will lose their jobs during the construction of the Subway and following its completion than would be employed over a temporary space of time during its construction.

BENEFITS OF SUBWAY NOT CITY-WIDE

The Subway, as proposed, will not benefit the City in its entirety in that the street cars of the privately owned railways will not operate in the Subway. We estimate that only one-third of the present street car riders would be served by the Subway, although all of the citizens of San Francisco would pay for it. The following districts would not be benefited by the Subway:

MARINA DISTRICT
NORTH BEACH
SUNSET DISTRICT
PARK-PRESIDIO DISTRICT

POTRERO DISTRICT
HUNTERS POINT DISTRICT
APARTMENT HOUSE DISTRICT
MISSION DISTRICT
SUBWAY WILL NOT RELIEVE TRAFFIC CONGESTION

Every foot of track now installed in the streets of San Francisco will remain with the exception of the two outer tracks on Market street west of Kearny and will be used by the street cars of Municipal Railway, Market Street Railway Company and California Street Cable Car Company. It is evident, therefore, that the proposed Subway Plan will not relieve traffic congestion.

SUBWAY WILL NOT PROVIDE ADDED COMFORT AND CONVENIENCE

The proposed Subway contemplates the use of existing street cars of the Municipal Railway which do not even have leather seats. Operation of these cars in the Subway would not make them any more comfortable than they now are and ventilation would be poor. They will not be as convenient to use since it will be necessary to walk a considerable distance in most cases to Subway stations. Further it will be necessary to climb up and down stairs in the stations.

SUBWAY CANNOT BE BUILT FOR $49,250,000.00

The estimate on the cost of the proposed Subway was made by the Public Utilities Commission in 1935. Since that time the cost of labor and material has increased about 15 per cent. Based on the original estimate of $52,700,000.00 and these increases the Subway would cost about $60,000,000.00. Mr. Joseph B. Strauss, Chief Engineer, Golden Gate Bridge, stated publicly that he would not accept the contract to build the proposed Subway in San Francisco for one hundred million dollars.

TORN-UP STREETS DURING EXPOSITION

It is impossible to build a Subway without tearing up the streets. Sewers, water mains, electric cables, gas pipes and manholes must be moved. This will not only seriously interfere with street car, automobile and truck movement, but it will also detract from the appearance of our City during a time when twenty million visitors will be in the city.

SUMMARY

Proposal No. 1 should be opposed because:
The proposed Subway is not the solution of San Francisco's traffic and transit problem.
The proposed Subway will be of no benefit to two-thirds (%) of the people and of doubtful benefit to the remainder.
The proposed Subway will practically exhaust the City's bonding limit and more likely will exceed it.
The proposed Subway bonds will cause a substantial increase in taxes and rents.
The proposed Subway will not provide a material time saving and will not result in Rapid Transit.
The opposition to these bonds is a broad cross section of the citizenship of San Francisco consisting of men and women representing business, labor, manufacturing, realtors, clergy, doctors, lawyers, engineers, civic leaders, teachers, office workers and other groups.

Self interest and civic duty should urge you to Vote NO ON PROPOSAL No. 1 the so-called Rapid Transit Plan

Citizens Committee Against Subway Bonds
John J. Barrett, General Chairman
Labor Loses - -
If the subway bonds are passed!
Vote NO On Proposition Number 1

Job Promises Will Not Blind Labor to Real Issues

Promises of jobs for 4000 men on subway construction have been discounted by San Francisco's working people as political figures that will not stand up against the real facts.

Building statistics show that construction jobs of the proposed subway type are divided into 50 per cent labor cost and 50 per cent material cost.

If the proposed subway is to cost $49,250,000 there cannot be jobs for even one-half of the promised 4000 men. It is absurd to suppose that labor costs of the subway would be 68 per cent of the project—as the fulfillment of job promises for 4000 men would mean.

According to the American Transit Association, a business organization dealing in such statistics, the Eighth Avenue subway in New York City, a four-track real RAPID transit job, 12 1/2 miles long, cost $138,000,000 AND THE TOTAL NUMBER OF MEN EMPLOYED WAS ONLY 2794.

Labor Faces Loss of Jobs—Not Increased Employment

Of the 2000 men which subway construction could possibly employ on the usual basis of a 50-50 balance between labor costs and material costs, only about 400 of these men would be local labor.

Subway work requires skilled labor—men who have worked on the costly rapid transit failures in New York and other eastern cities would be imported for the job.

Labor Loses Jobs—Pays Increased Taxes For a System That Is Not Rapid Transit

The tearing up of Market street will mean a slump in business and layoffs for thousands now employed in department stores and other Market street business establishments.

LABOR takes this risk for increased taxes—taxes would skyrocket from the very moment construction was started. San Francisco's working people are the small homeowners who would be the hardest hit by this drastic tax increase.

San Francisco working people are the community's street-car riders. The daily trip to and from work would not be substantially reduced because the proposed system calls only for a two-track underground tunnel with no chances for an express system.

Labor in San Francisco will vote NO on Number 1

CARMEN'S UNION, DIVISION 1004
COMMITTEE AGAINST SUBWAY BONDS

ROBERT SCOTT, Chairman       S. W. DOUGLAS, Secretary
Clear the Way for Real Rapid Transit

VOTE

NO

ON

PROPOSITION No. 1

The $49,250,000 Subway Bond Issue

BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS
ASSOCIATION

DOWN TOWN ASSOCIATION OF
SAN FRANCISCO

MARKET STREET ASSOCIATION

NORTH CENTRAL IMPROVEMENT
ASSOCIATION

SAN FRANCISCO REAL ESTATE BOARD

These organizations ask you to Vote "NO" on Proposition No. 1 (Subway Bonds) because:

(1) the plan is unsound
(2) it will fail to solve the city's traffic problem
(3) it will pile up a huge debt that will mean increased taxes, higher rentals and higher cost of living

Don't be deceived by the term "Rapid Transit Subway", applied to the $49,250,000 bond issue. It proposes a maximum speed of seventeen miles an hour through a short underground route, continuing on the surface with the same street cars and over the same routes and tracks as the present system.

By removing only part of the existing tracks on Market street, the present intolerable congestion in the downtown area will continue.

THE WHOLE CITY PAYS, BUT ONLY ONE-THIRD OF THE STREET CAR RIDERS WILL USE THE TUNNEL.

At its best, the proposed so-called subway system would serve only a portion of the city's population, but at the same time it would involve San Francisco in so much debt as to make rapid city-wide transportation impossible of accomplishment for many years.

Conceding that any transportation system that provides a maximum speed of only seventeen miles an hour and serving only a portion of the city's population is not "rapid transit", let us consider the cost:

There is every indication that the proposed bond issue of $49,250,000 would
only be the initial outlay for this plan. This is supported by the fact that the
costs of this project are based on estimates prepared in 1935. Since that time
there has been an increase of 15 per cent in the cost of labor and 10 per cent
increase in the cost of materials. One internationally renowned engineer is
quoted as saying that he would not undertake the job for less than $100,000,000.

Even if the so-called subway can be built for the amount of this first bond
issue, the city comptroller and the Bureau of Governmental Research point
out that during the forty-year life of the bonds the total cost to the public
in the matter of interest and principal will total $89,000,000.

There are no estimates in this proposal of operating costs or revenues. There is no clear-cut plan for the location of downtown stations, no definite
routes for the proposed fourteen bus feeder lines.

Add to this incomplete plan the unfortunate history of other cities that have
become involved in subway projects. The New York subway, which Mayor
La Guardia on his recent visit here termed “a real problem to every mayor
of New York City”, lost $31,000,000 last year—recovered through taxes.

Boston has a subway and, notwithstanding a general ten-cent fare, has had
a serious deficit for the last six years to pay out of taxes.

Philadelphia issued $65,000,000 in bonds for the Broad street subway and
later issued an additional $37,000,000 in bonds. The taxpayers are now paying
a loss of $6,000,000 annually out of taxes on a total investment of $102,000,000.

Everyone recognizes the need for rapid transit. Defeat of Proposition No. 1
will open the way to a careful study and the development of a plan that will
give rapid transit at a reasonable cost to all San Francisco.

CURTIS D. O’SULLIVAN, President
Building Owners and Managers
Association

W. L. HUGHSON, President
Down Town Association of
San Francisco

ALBERT S. SAMUELS, President
Market Street Association

ALFRED W. PYE, President
North Central Improvement
Association

W. O. LANG, President
San Francisco Real Estate Board

Clear the Way for Real Rapid Transit

V O T E

NO

ON

PROPOSITION No.1

The $49,250,000 Subway Bond Issue
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
$49,250,000 SUBWAY BONDS
By ADOLPH UHL

Q. Why the proposal to build a subway?
A. To provide at peak hours between 8 and 9 A. M. and at 5 and 5:30 P. M. rapid transportation; on off peak hours transportation is satisfactory.

Q. Is it possible to speed up transportation between 5 and 5:30 P. M., if so how?
A. Yes, by switching back every other “C” and “D” car at Kearny Street, and by switching back every other 1, 2 and 3 car at Sansome Street; will relieve congestion on outer tracks where 9 minutes is now lost by the Municipal Line between the Ferry and Kearny Street. Too many cars on inner tracks, number should be reduced at least 20%. Supplemental express bus service should be in operation at peak hours, more time can be saved by express bus transportation serving all parts of San Francisco with an investment of only $5,000,000 in buses than can be saved via subway costing over $49,250,000 and serving only a portion of San Francisco. Express bus service should be given a trial on three or four routes before assuming a bonded indebtedness that will exceed $50,000,000.

Q. What is the estimated cost of the subway and when was estimate made?
A. $49,250,000, and estimate was made in 1934-35.
Q. Has a guarantee been given that the subway can now be built for $49,250,00?
A. No.

Q. Has Mr. Cahill furnished information regarding revenues, operating costs, service, etc., as required in Section 121 of the charter?
A. No. Mr. Cahill has merely stated he believes the subway will pay. Subways in the United States sustain a tremendous loss covering operating costs.

Q. What sum of money must the taxpayers provide during the five year construction period?
A. Mr. Cahill states $6,000,000 must be paid for interest, an average of $1,200,000 a year, equal to 15 cents in the tax rate.

Q. UPON COMPLETION OF THE SUBWAY WHAT WILL BE THE TAXPAYERS’ BURDEN?
A. Taxpayers must provide $3,300,000 to pay interest and bond redemption equal to 45 cents in the tax rate.

Q. How much time does Mr. Cahill say will be saved via subway to outlying districts, and how is the saving made?
A. 5 minutes to 10 minutes. Saving made mainly by means of SKIP-STOP operation. Stations in the subway will be one-third of a mile apart. Stations will be at every other street on Market Street. If stations are at Fourth and at Sixth, and a woman desiring to go to Hale Brothers at Fifth, leaves the subway at Sixth Street station, must then climb 40 steps to get out of the subway; then walk a long block to Fifth Street. Forty steps is comparable to three flights of stairs in the average building.

Q. Can transportation be speeded up by supplementing buses for the trolley line?
A. Yes. Positively.
Motorization of Madison Avenue in New York, the first step in motorizing Manhattan constitutes one of the most triumphal chapters in transportation.

On February 1, 1935, 120 busses were placed in operation, 11 miles of tracks removed. In New York City, since 1935 a total of 140 miles of tracks have been removed, 575 street cars junked, 675 busses substituted.

Q. Did Mr. Cahill make a study of bus transportation to the outlying districts?
A. No. On May 4, 1937, he stated "No funds available for study of buses." Nevertheless on May 11, 1937, one week later he proposed 13 bus feeder routes. On September 3, 1937, I requested Mr. Cahill to advise me the total length of the bus feeder routes and the number of buses available. One month elapsed and no reply received. The information could have been furnished within a few hours. I maintain Mr. Cahill has not positively committed himself that the 13 bus feeders will be in operation the day the cars are operating in the subway.

Q. How many years does Mr. Cahill say will be required to build the subway?
A. Five years.
In the first year’s operation of busses on Madison Avenue here is the record: Revenues increased 87%. Monthly revenues jumped from about $60,000 with street cars to $110,000 a month by busses. Rate of fare (unchanged) 5 cents.

Mayor La Guardia says: "MADISON AVENUE SINCE THE SUBSTITUTION OF BUSSES FOR TROLLEYS, HAS BECOME ONE OF THE 'SHOW BOULEVARDS OF THE WORLD.'"

Q. MUST THE PRESENT CROWDING AND SLOW MOVEMENT OF STREET CARS BE CONTINUED FOR FIVE YEARS UNDER SUBWAY PLAN?
A. YES.
Q. Does Mr. Cahill's plan for bus feeders require transferring from bus to subway?
A. Yes, and most objectionable bus operating on Diamond Street, transfers passengers to tunnel at peak hours, difficult to get on crowded cars. This bus should be routed through to a downtown terminal. No transferring in bus plan submitted by Mr. Cahill. For example: A bus from California Street and 32nd Avenue to Bush and Market Streets via California, Presidio Avenue and Bush Street. At peak hour 5 to 5:30 P. M., passengers will be picked up on Bush St. at Sansome, Montgomery and
Kearny; no further pick-up until reaching California and Arguello Boulevard.

Q. Can buses equal the running time of the Municipal "C" car from Market and Montgomery Streets to California Street and 32nd Avenue?

A. Faster time can be made by the bus. It would be express service. Following are several of the 14 bus routes I proposed to Mr. Cahill and which he turned down:

CABRILLO: 48th Avenue on the west to Eddy and Market on the east;
LINCOLN WAY: 48th Avenue on the west to Third and Townsend Depot on the east;
SLOAT BLVD.: 48th Avenue on the west via Portola, Market to Embarcadero on the east;
SLOAT BLVD.: 19th Avenue on the west via Santa Clara, Monterey, Valencia, Market to Second Street on the east;
SLOAT BLVD.: 19th Avenue on the west via Ocean Avenue, Mission Street, Arroyo Street, Howard Street to Embarcadero;
SLOAT BLVD. AND 19TH AVENUE would be an important transfer junction;

THIRD STREET: From County Line via Third, Market to Second;
CHESTNUT AND DIVISADERO Via Bush, Columbus Avenue to Interurban Terminal at Mission and First;
ALEMANY BLVD. AND SAN JOSE AVENUE: At County Line, to Fifth and Market via Bayshore, Potrero, to Fifth Street Terminal at Mint Avenue.

Q. How soon could transportation by means of buses be inaugurated?

A. Buses could be placed in operation within six months after the necessary bonds are voted.

Q. Is it contemplated buses would displace street cars on Market Street?

A. No. It is more than likely the aforesaid bus routes would relieve congestion on Market Street to the extent that trackless trolleys can be substituted for Municipal cars and outer tracks removed.

Q. Can buses be operated without congesting traffic?

A. Yes. Large Greyhound buses are operating on Van Ness Avenue, on Fifth, on Mission, the buses go in and out of the Greyhound Terminal at Fifth and Mission Streets on an average of a bus a minute between 4:30 and 6 P. M. Frequently at 5:15 P. M. and at 5:30 P. M. four Greyhound buses leave the terminal within one minute, two of the buses bound for San Jose via Mission Street, and two for San Jose via Bayshore Boulevard. Recently 93 buses were checked in and out of the Fifth and Mission Terminal between the hours of 4:30 and 6 P. M.—93. Certainly this indicates that buses can be operated in the congested areas.

COMPARE THE FOLLOWING: FROM FIFTH AND MISSION GREYHOUND BUSES AT PEAK HOUR REACH THE COUNTY LINE VIA MISSION STREET IN FROM 25 TO 29 MINUTES. COMPARED TO MARKET STREET RAILWAY CARS REACHING THE COUNTY LINE AT PEAK HOUR IN FROM 41 TO 49 MINUTES, A DIFFERENCE OF 20 MINUTES. THERE IS YOUR EXAMPLE OF HOW BUSES CAN SPEED UP TRANSPORTATION!!

In conclusion, interurban transit will be in operation 14 months hence; subway operations, if subway built, 60 months hence. Bus transportation can be provided within 12 months.

I submit the foregoing dependable facts so that the citizens can draw their own conclusions as to how to cast their vote on this important bond issue.

ADOLPH UHL
Vote "YES"
Number 1
Rapid Transit

Endorsed By

SAN FRANCISCO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
SAN FRANCISCO CENTRAL LABOR COUNCIL
BUILDING TRADES COUNCIL
JUNIOR CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
CITIZENS' RAPID TRANSIT COMMITTEE

and

many other organizations interested in the progress of San Francisco
Vote YES - Number 1
Subway Rapid Transit Bonds

A vote "Yes" on Proposition Number 1, Subway Rapid Transit Bonds, will provide fast, comfortable transportation service to every district of San Francisco.

It will solve our distressing traffic problem.
It will open new and retain old home and business districts.
It will discourage from 50,000 to 100,000 residents from moving out of the city and thereby protect the value of every piece of real estate in San Francisco.
It will keep San Francisco from "going to seed."
It will bring new residents and industries.

TRAFFIC CONDITIONS ALARM

In the peak hours of travel, street cars crawl end to end along Market street. Longer time is required to reach our outlying residential districts than suburban areas.
Unless we improve our own service, the bridges will act as drains on our population when fast bridge trains start operating.

SUBWAYS ONLY SOLUTION

Subway rapid transit is the solution. It will reduce travel time about 50 per cent to every part of the city.
Rapid transit will insure a 5-cent fare and free transfers.
Rapid transit will enable San Francisco to hold its position as the business, banking and commercial center of the West.
This rapid transit plan comes after ten years’ intensive engineering study. It was checked and approved by engineers who have constructed more than one billion dollars’ worth of rapid transit systems in the world’s progressive cities.
Elevated lines were definitely rejected as noisy, unsightly and destructive to property values.
Bus lines proposals are absurd, since a bus carries only half as many passengers as a street car.
Imagine our downtown streets cluttered up with twice as many busses as there are now street cars.

PROPERTY VALUES GAIN

Other cities which have adopted subways report amazing increases in property values along the subway routes.
We have an example of these benefits in San Francisco in the Twin Peaks and Sunset tunnels.
These tunnels, costing approximately $8,000,000, produced an increase of $157,000,000 in property values in the district served by the tunnel street-car lines.

SERVE ENTIRE CITY

Almost every householder will find a rapid transit or connecting line within five minutes’ walk of his home or place of his employment.
The plan provides for three subway routes with surface extension and fourteen new feeder bus and other street-car and bus lines to provide service
with 5-cent fare and free transfers to every section of the city.

THE SUBWAY ROUTES

(1) Market street, (2) Montgomery-Geary streets, and (3) outer Mission street.

JOBS FOR 8000

Four thousand persons will be directly employed for five years in building the transit system.
Another 4000 will be employed in local plants and offices in furnishing materials, equipment and various services.
Practically all of the money for subway transit construction will be expended locally.

COST OF PROJECT

Cost of the rapid transit project has been set at a maximum of $49,250,000. This figure has been checked against rising labor and material costs.
The project will be financed by a $49,250,000 bond issue.

SLIGHT TAX INCREASE

Approval of the transit plan will result in relatively little change in your tax rate.
The increase in taxes will be an average of 7 cents per one hundred dollars assessed valuation for a period not longer than five years, or less than $2 per year additional for the average home owner.
Undoubtedly, the increase in assessed valuations resulting from rapid transit will far more than compensate for cost of the system and actual tax reduction will result.

WITHIN BOND LIMITATIONS

Transit bonds will not endanger the limitation of the bonded debt of the city. This issue would leave a $35,666,912 leeway for the issuance of additional bond.

WHO OPPOSES?

Opposition to rapid transit comes almost entirely from the Market Street Railway, a concern that imposes an extra 2 cents for transfers, places one-man street cars on busy thoroughfares and maintains a wage scale below that paid to the employees of the Municipal system.
It is opposition from a privately owned company that has made only one major improvement in its street-car system for the benefit of its patrons in twenty-five years.

VOTE YES, NUMBER 1

In voting “Yes” on Proposition Number 1, you provide fast, comfortable service for San Francisco.
You assure increases in property values, prevent thousands of residents from moving out of the city, and bring new citizens and payrolls to San Francisco.

Let’s Build for a Greater San Francisco
Rapid Transit Will Build a Greater San Francisco

Vote YES-Number 1
What Subway Rapid Transit Means to You

(1) It means a saving from 20 to 30 minutes daily between the downtown and outlying residential districts.

(2) It means continuation of 5-cent fare and free transfers.

(3) It means 8000 jobs for San Franciscans on project and in related industries and means better business.

(4) It means fast, comfortable and convenient travel.

(5) It means service throughout the entire city with 14 feeder bus lines intersecting rapid transit routes.

(6) It means preventing 50,000 to 100,000 moving to East-bay to take advantage of fast transit service over bridge beginning next year.

(7) It means three subway routes: Market street, Montgomery-Geary street and outer Mission street.

(8) It means substantial increase in property values benefiting every home owner.

RAPID TRANSIT COMMITTEE

935 MARKET STREET
Save Our Airport---A Civic Duty

Vote YES -- Number 2 -- Vote YES

A small bond issue for a great and vital civic improvement, the enlargement and development of San Francisco Airport that will make it the finest seaplane and landplane terminal in the United States.

“A city that economizes on its municipal airport is cutting its own throat. San Francisco has a chance to become one of the most important air commerce terminals in existence.”—Col. J. M. Johnson, chief of U. S. Bureau of Air Commerce.

Failure to pass the Airport bond issue will mean:

Loss of the Pan-American Airways trans-Pacific Clipper service.
Loss of much transcontinental air commerce, passenger, mail and freight.
Loss of United States Coast Guard station for which Congress has appropriated $650,000.

San Francisco must expand its Municipal Airport to meet the demands of present and future air commerce and the phenomenal increase in the size and speed of modern air transports. Airplanes with double the carrying capacity of the largest planes now in use will be in operation within a year.

The San Francisco Airport with its present facilities is totally inadequate for the accommodation of the modern super airliners.

YES—On the Airport Bonds—YES


CITIZENS AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
935 Market Street, San Francisco
FOR HEALTH AND SANITATION
VOTE

‘YES’ Proposition 4

SEWER BONDS

It is of extreme importance, from the standpoint of sanitation and health, that the sewer system of San Francisco be improved by reconstruction and extension, together with the expansion of sewage disposal plants.

The work involved in bringing the systems up to the proper standard is of such magnitude that it can best be accomplished by a bond issue for $5,000,000 at this time.

It is planned to spread this expenditure over a period of five years: $1,500,000 is to be used in the extension of existing mains into new areas, making possible the construction of homes and factories; $2,000,000 for new work in extending sewage disposal plants; and $1,850,000 for the replacement of existing sewers that are beyond repair.

Many of our existing sewers are very old and are practically worn out. The records of the majority of them were destroyed during the catastrophe of 1906. We know, however, that many of them are over 60 years old, dating back to the pioneer days. To this day there are some wood boxes serving as sewers.

A large part of the City of San Francisco bordering on the waterfront is subject to settling ground, and over a certain period of time this settling action produces sumps, thus preventing the sewers from functioning and also creating insanitary conditions.

On account of the lack of main sewers, new industrial and residential development is retarded, as a result of which a considerable amount of tax money is lost to the city.

No portion of the funds from this bond issue will be used for maintenance work or repairs.

SPONSORS:

Civic League of Improvement Clubs
Women’s Civic Club
Central Council of Civic Clubs
Southern Council of Civic Clubs
San Francisco Labor Council
San Francisco Building Trades Council
Market Street Association
Taxpayers Defense League, Inc.
Marshal Hale
Albert Samuels
John J. Casey, City Engineer
William H. Worden, Director, Department Public Works
Dr. Alexander S. Keenan
Dr. Robert Grosso, Edward B. Baron
Nat Chereghino, L. H. Nishkian
Leland W Cutler, P. A. Bergerot
Sam M. Markowitz, President Geary Boulevard Merchants’ Association

Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director Public Health
Dr. Adolphus A. Berger, President Clement Street Merchants’ Association
John F. Shelley, President San Francisco Labor Council
John A. O’Connell, Secretary San Francisco Labor Council
Wm. J. Varley, President Southern Civic Clubs
George F. Allen, President Central Council of Civic Clubs
James E. Rickets, San Francisco Building Trades Council
Adolfo de Urioste, President Taxpayers Defense League
S. J. Mooney, President Sunset Merchants’ Association
Royal C. Eastman, President Marina Merchants’ Association

‘YES’ Proposition 4

Sewers Are As Important As Water!

Authorized by the Board of Supervisors.
ARGUMENT AGAINST THE ORDINANCE
FOR THE
REMOVAL OF LAUREL HILL CEMETERY
Proposition No. 5

On the election ballot of November 2, 1937, will appear a referendum for
the people to decide whether or not the action of the Board of Supervisors for
the removal of all the bodies interred in Laurel Hill Cemetery shall be
approved or disapproved. This will be Number Five on the ballot.

1. Three times, namely in 1914, 1924 and 1925, have the voters rebuked
efforts to remove Laurel Hill Cemetery where lie those who laid the founda-
tion of this city. This is the fourth effort to drag these honored dead from
their graves.

2. Gratitude and common decency should permit these dead to rest in
honored peace.

3. To prevent this effort of greed to destroy the resting place of San
Francisco’s founders the Trustees offer to give to the city, absolutely free,
these lands to be maintained by it perpetually with their monuments as a
Memorial Park.

4. There are 54 acres in the Cemetery which those who wish to cut
it up for building lots say is worth $2,000,000.00. This the Trustees offer
to the city free for a Memorial Park forever.

5. Euclid Avenue the only street running to the Cemetery from the
west may be extended through it and a north and south avenue built.

6. Early senators, editors of our first newspapers, lawyers who made
our laws, doctors whose gifts helped to establish the medical departments
of our two great universities, mayors of our city, inventors, our first educa-
tors, those who built our first wharf and filled in the bay east of Mont-
gomery Street, bankers, printers, vigilantes, poets, actors, merchants, fire
chiefs, men for whom our streets are named, and an honored host of others
whose initiative, ability, courage and character lived in the pioneer days,
are lying at Laurel Hill.

7. It is one of the most valuable places historically in the whole
United States, a place of reverent and enduring interest and should be
preserved, not turned over to real estate speculators. Cemeteries of like
historical interest are preserved in many cities.

8. Dr. Geiger has told the Supervisors that this Cemetery does not
menace the health of this city.

9. These open spaces are a protection against fire.

10. No extra tax. The Charter says the Park Commission shall get
not less than 10c on each $100 of assessed value for park purposes. In 1936
(over)
it received $939,467. This year it will get $1,031,567, an increase of $92,100. It is out of a part of this annual increase, as the assessment roll grows, that the memorial park can be taken care of without any added tax burden.

Help preserve these acres for a Memorial Park and vote "NO" on Proposition No. 5.

SPONSORS:

Miss Lucy F. Adams  
Dr. Thomas Addis  
Mrs. Carroll Alexander  
George R. Andersen  
John D. Barry  
Floyd M. Billingsley  
George C. Boardman  
Mrs. Samuel H. Boardman  
Mrs. Freda Bridgewater  
George M. Broemmle  
Rev. Avalon Brown  
Twenty-first Avenue  
Baptist Church  
Dr. Paul H. Buchholz  
California Church Council  
Rev. John L. Burcham  
Superintendent  
San Francisco District  
Methodist Episcopal Church  
Lewis F. Byington  
Mrs. George L. Cadwaleder  
William W. Chapin  
Allen L. Chickering  
Mrs. William F. Chipman  
Mrs. Elizabeth Collins  
Rev. John A. Collins  
St. Peter's Episcopal Church  
Miss Ella Collins  
Mrs. William Craig  
Templeton Crocker  
Miss Margaret B. Curry  
Miss Gladys Deal  
John J. Deane  
Frank P. Deering  
Francis H. Deuprey  
Mrs. Alan Dimond  
William J. Fitzgerald  
A. F. Gaynor  
John W. Geary  
D. L. Ghirardelli  
Max Goldberg  
Miss Lutie Goldstein  
Mrs. Edgar M. Grant  
J. D. Grant  
Dean J. Wilmer Gresham  
Grace Cathedral  
Mrs. W. H. Grosjean  
Aubrey Grossman  
Dudley Gunn  
William Alston B. Hayne  
Gerald F. Herrmann  
Archdeacon W. R. H. Hodgkin  
Diocesan House  
W. H. T. Huie  
Dr. S. J. Hurwitt  
James S. Hutchinson  
Captain G. T. January  
Rev. W. W. Jennings  
St. Luke's Episcopal Church  
Rev. Lewis J. Julianel  
First Baptist Church  
Walter Y. Kellogg  
Tim Kelly  
Frank B. Kent  
Beatrice Kinkead  
Rev. G. E. Kirchner  
Lutheran St. Paulus Church  
C. E. Kruger  
Rev. Paul Little  
All Saints Episcopal Church  
E. B. Love  
Dr. Annie G. Lyle  
Elliott McAllister Jr.  
Alex. J. McDonald  
John D. McKee  
Rev. Julian C. McPeeters  
Glide Memorial Methodist Episcopal Church South  
C. O. G. Miller  
Harry F. Morrison  
Joseph Murphy  
Edwin W. Newhall Jr.  
Brig. Gen. Robert H. Noble  
Anthony L. Noriega  
Jasper O'Farrell  
Rev. Charles P. Otis  
Church of The Advent  
J. W. Owensby  
Rev. Theodore H. Palmquist  
Trinity Methodist Episcopal Church  
I. M. Peckham  
Mrs. William Perry  
John W. Powell  
John B. Pugh  
Mrs. Ruth Benjamin Reed  
W. B. Reis  
Mrs. Lawrence Reisz  
Miss Helen Reynolds  
Harriette Roter  
Mrs. Philip Rowe  
Bliss Rucker  
Paul Stinchfield  
Christian Science Practitioner  
Donzel Stoney  
Rev. James H. Strayer  
Epworth Methodist Church  
Miss Roberta Thompson  
Harry T. Thompson  
Arthur W. Towne  
Percy E. Towne  
Mrs. James Ellis Tucker  
Peter tum Suden  
Rabbi Jacob Weinstein  
School for Jewish Studies  
Randolph V. Whiting  
H. R. Williar  
George Woolf  
Harold L. Wright  
Rev. D. H. Youngdahl  
Temple Swedish Baptist Church

LAUREL HILL CEMETERY ASSOCIATION.  
By W. P. REIS, President.

Vote "NO"

(over)
LAUREL HILL CEMETERY REMOVAL

VOTE "YES"

ON ORDINANCE NO. 17.194

PROPOSITION NO. 5

REFERENDUM—Do you favor Ordinance No. 17.194 enacted by the Board of Supervisors providing for the Removal of Laurel Hill Cemetery?

IS THIS "RESPECT FOR OUR DEAD?"

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on April 19, 1937, passed an ordinance ordering the abandonment of LAUREL HILL CEMETERY within three years, which Cemetery has been closed by law for further burials for over thirty-five years.

Following the passage of this ordinance, a petition was presented by sufficient signatures of voters to consider a repeal of such ordinance and which repeal was unanimously denied by the Board of Supervisors and thereupon ordered submitted as a referendum at a general election November 2, 1937.
A similar ordinance was also passed by the Board of Supervisors, about the same time, ordering the abandonment of CALVARY CEMETERY, owned and controlled by the Roman Catholic Archbishop, which ordinance is now in full force and effect.

In the year 1928, the Board of Supervisors passed an ordinance for the abandonment of the old Odd Fellows Cemetery and the Masonic Cemetery, both of which Cemeteries have been abandoned and the property of which has been improved by the establishment of new residential districts.

The attached pictures of LAUREL HILL CEMETERY show a shameful neglect which is a blot upon the City and County of San Francisco. The only part of LAUREL HILL CEMETERY in good condition today is the frontage on Presidio Avenue which acts as a screen to hide the shamefully neglected conditions behind it.

Under the ordinance based upon the State law, all removals must be made into new cemeteries in the adjoining San Mateo County WITHOUT any cost to the lot owners. All moneys for such removals to be derived from the sale of the old property, which represents a market value of over two million dollars, and, under the State law, all surplus money must be invested in acquisition of new grounds in the adjoining county, embellishments, perpetual care and improvements.

This ordinance was passed upon the demand of all civic organizations functioning in the public interest.
THE "CARE" THAT OUR GRAVES HAVE RECEIVED

The abandonment of the two cemeteries ordered in 1928 and the establishment of new residential districts has proven the wisdom of the policy of ordering the abandonment of old neglected cemeteries closed by law for further burials for a period of over thirty years. The removal of the remains of the pioneers from the other cemeteries into the new beautiful cemeteries in San Mateo County, where each lot and plot is under perpetual care, shows the respect to which these pioneers are entitled.

The Directors of LAUREL HILL CEMETERY, after doing nothing for over a quarter of a century, thus allowing the Cemetery to become a state of wilderness and neglect, have stated that they are now willing to turn the Cemetery over to the City for a Memorial Park. This means that the City would have to spend HALF A MILLION DOLLARS or more of the taxpayers' money as capital expenditure and then forever maintain it at an expense of some FORTY TO FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS PER YEAR, with over forty thousand bodies remaining in this so-called Memorial Park. The people of San Francisco have again and again demanded that the dead be respectfully and decently removed into the beautiful Memorial Parks of San Mateo County. The State law provides now that 10% of the area of LAUREL HILL CEMETERY can be reserved for memorial purposes.
The pictures showing the neglected and hideous condition of the lots and graves as they are today tell the story of LAUREL HILL CEMETERY.

The voters by voting “YES” on this referendum will thus not alone honor the dead by removing them into beautiful cemeteries under perpetual care, but will also restore to the City of San Francisco an area of approximately 60 acres of land geographically in the heart of the City for residential establishment, thus lessening the burden of the taxpayers by making it possible to derive a revenue from these lands, which as a cemetery, under the law, is exempt from taxation as long as it remains a cemetery.

The voters in favor of the removal and abandonment of LAUREL HILL CEMETERY must vote “YES” on Ordinance No. 17.194 (referendum) on the ballot.

CIVIC LEAGUE OF IMPROVEMENT CLUBS
AND ASSOCIATIONS.

VOTE “YES”

Approved by all civic organizations and the
S. F. Building Trades Council.

NEGLECT ON EVERY HAND
VOTE NO on Propositions 6 and 7

BECAUSE...

1 These propositions seek to compel the Board of Supervisors to submit bond issues, totaling $6,700,000, for the needless extension of Fifth street and Grant avenue.

2 THESE BOND ISSUES MEAN HIGHER RENTS AND HIGHER TAXES.

3 There is no public demand for these projects, which are not in the best interests of San Francisco.

4 There is no reason why the people of San Francisco, particularly in the outlying districts, should be forced to pay for bonds for these street extensions.

5 These street extensions would create dangerous traffic hazards and menace the lives of our people.

6 They would seriously interfere with the movement of pedestrian traffic at the busiest crossings in San Francisco.

7 They would slow down street traffic, delaying our people in getting to work and reaching their homes again at night.

Vote **NO** on Propositions 6 and 7

BRIDGE TRAFFIC COMMITTEE

Marshal Hale, Chairman

THESE PROPOSITIONS ARE OPPOSED BY THE CIVIC LEAGUE OF IMPROVEMENT CLUBS, SOUTHERN COUNCIL OF CIVIC CLUBS, CENTRAL COUNCIL OF CIVIC CLUBS AND BY LEADING CIVIC, IMPROVEMENT, AND LABOR ORGANIZATIONS IN SAN FRANCISCO.
GET THE FACTS AT NO EXPENSE

Vote "YES" ON PROPOSITIONS 6 & 7

(Declarations of Policy—Not Bond Issues)

C. H. Purcell, builder of the Bay Bridge; Chief Administrative Officer A. J. Cleary; Dr. Miller McClintock, the City’s traffic consultant; and the City Planning Commission KNOW San Francisco’s traffic problems. They have UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDED additional Market Street crossings—the extensions of Fifth Street and Grant Avenue—as urgent and necessary.

Ten out of eleven Supervisors voted to construct one or both new crossings NOW, but no eight could agree on which one or on both. A “YES” vote gives OUR CITIZENS the right to consider each crossing separately on its merits at a LATER ELECTION and WITHOUT SPENDING or promising to spend ONE CENT.

The Bay Bridge alone will send ONE-HALF of 10,000,000 cars this year, of 10,630,000 next year and of 12,750,000 in 1939—Exposition year, when we are host to the world—through present traffic-choked Market Street crossings. 270,000 automobiles enter and leave the central district in 16 hours by official count... they move at speeds as low as 5 miles per hour and are delayed 40 per cent of traffic time. Can your City grow under such conditions?

Nos. 6 and 7 are officially endorsed by:

San Francisco Labor Council
San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce
Downtown Association
San Francisco Building Trades Council
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce (No. 6)
Southern Promotion Association
Triangle Shopping District Association
South of Market Street Merchants and Property Owners Association

Vote “YES” on 6 and 7—GET THE FACTS AT NO EXPENSE—THEN DECIDE

Triangle Shopping District Association

R. D. Carpenter, President  D. V. Nicholson, Secretary

(over)
Why You Should Vote to Get the Facts --

"Maximum benefit to San Francisco and the bridge cannot, in my opinion, be obtained unless the City and County of San Francisco makes proper provision for the handling of existing and anticipated travel over the Bay Bridge. . . . Both improvements are necessary and urgent if San Francisco is to realize a maximum return from the investment in the Bay Bridge."—C. H. PURCELL, State Highway Engineer and builder of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

"A clockwise movement of traffic to and from the Bay Bridge is of great importance in solving downtown traffic congestion. Construction of both the Fifth Street and Grant Avenue extensions are necessary and will permit San Francisco to take full advantage of the opportunities placed at its door by our two great bridges."—ALFRED J. CLEARY, Chief Administrative Officer.

"The value of the bridge might readily be destroyed through inconvenience and congestion in the street system of the City."  
Fifth Street—"the only logical and direct route for vehicular traffic to follow in entering the central retail area."

"The most natural and direct return route to the bridge is by way of the Grant Avenue crossing . . ."—DR. MILLER McCLINTOCK, City Traffic Consultant.

"We are convinced that present assessed valuations cannot be maintained; that retail trade cannot reach its maximum growth; and that neither bridge nor local traffic can be adequately or satisfactorily served unless this investment is made at once."—BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (Finance Committee).

". . . there are only three or four satisfactory crossings on Market Street. Crosstown traffic is concentrated at these crossings creating long delays in the movement of all traffic on both Market Street and cross streets."—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION report on "Rapid Transit for San Francisco."

"The opening of additional crossings on Market Street would all be for the benefit of surface traffic. The subway beneath the surface would not be affected in any way by the opening of one or several cross streets."—E. G. CAHILL, Manager of Utilities, in a letter to the Board of Supervisors.

". . . the Commission hereby recommends the proposed projection of Fifth Street across Market Street" . . . and "also believes that a new street should be opened as an extension of Grant Avenue across Market Street . . ."—CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.

Vote **"YES"** ON **PROPOSITIONS 6 & 7**

*(Declarations of Policy—Not Bond Issues)*

(over)
WHY YOU SHOULD VOTE “NO” ON PROPOSITION NO. 8
THE SO-CALLED ANTI-PICKETING ORDINANCE

THIS PROPOSED ORDINANCE is contrary to the Constitution, which says: “Congress shall make no law abridging the freedom of speech or of the press or the right of the people peacefully to assemble.”

PROPOSITION NO. 8 WOULD THROTTLE FREE SPEECH, free press and the right of peaceful assemblage. It provides that no one shall “use a loud tone” in asking anyone not to patronize a place of business; it provides that you cannot speak in any public place, park or street about a strike or an unfair place of business; it makes it unlawful to sell or carry any newspaper, bulletin, or publication which might influence people not to buy in any place of business.

WHO ARE THE PEOPLE BEHIND PROPOSITION NO. 8? They refuse to reveal their identity? Why do they hide? What is their real purpose in advocating such a measure? And what else do they propose in their so-called anti-picketing ordinance?

Should proposition No. 8 become a law and any one member of a labor union, civic body, church, fraternal group, cultural club, or any kind of organization, be found guilty of violating the ordinance, then the officers and all other members of that organization could be convicted of conspiracy.

CONSPIRACY UNDER EXISTING CALIFORNIA LAW IS CLASSED AS A FELONY, PUNISHABLE BY PRISON SENTENCE

THE FRAMERS OF PROPOSITION NO. 8 MAKE IT APPEAR that it is to be a law against violence, threats, coercion, intimidation and force. Plenty of laws already exist against such crimes. But the framers know many people do not know that, so they try to fool the people with legal phrases.

VOTE “NO” ON PROPOSITION NO. 8. Fight against it as you would an invading army seeking to destroy your life, liberty and property. For if it becomes a law your Constitutional rights of free speech, free press and rights of peaceful assemblage will be set aside in San Francisco County.

The San Francisco News had this to say, Saturday, October 2: “This probable unconstitutional measure should be defeated. The proponents of the ordinance refuse to reveal their identity.

VOTE “NO” — PROPOSITION NO. 8
This leaflet prepared for the information of all San Francisco voters by the HONEST GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE — Room 316 — 83 McAllister St., UNderhill 2475
Vote "NO"
On Proposition
Number 8

An Anti-Free Press,
Anti-Picketing Proposition

Masquerading as an anti-picketing ordinance and sponsored by anonymous private interests, Proposition No. 8 is a serious threat to the rights which the people of San Francisco enjoy as citizens of the United States of America.

The following dangerous consequences can be charged to this so-called anti-picketing ordinance:

A GAG ON FREE PRESS: Under the terms of this proposed ordinance it would be unlawful to sell or circulate newspapers in the vicinity of a place of business or building if such newspapers contained any criticism of conditions in said business or building. The intent of the ordinance is obviously to protect a privileged few at the expense of public welfare and constitutional rights. The San Francisco News calls this ordinance "a long step toward fascism".

MAKES INNOCENT ACTS CRIMINAL: A "conspiracy" clause in the proposed ordinance makes it a felony for "two or more persons to conspire to do any of the acts declared to be unlawful". This makes criminals of innocent parties and makes them liable to arrest and imprisonment in San Quentin. "Conspiracy" is a broad term and can be made to cover a number of unintentional violations of this drastic ordinance.

BUSINESS AND LABOR PAY: San Francisco repealed the anti-picketing ordinance last March because it was nothing more than a protection to the unfair competitor and sweatshop employer. Since the ordinance was repealed 75 per cent of the city's Labor disputes have been settled at the conference table. Unfair employers chose amicable settlement of difficulties when they were faced with exposure by pickets. Outstanding Labor disputes that were settled at the conference table were The Retail Department Stores Pact, involving 34 stores and 25,000 employees and the Build-
ing Service Employees agreement involving San Francisco’s large office buildings.

Sweatshop industries employing thousands of women and girls were cleaned up for the first time in an agreement signed with the Textile and Garment Workers Unions. If this ordinance is passed Labor and Business in San Francisco will have lost their strongest protection against chiseling and unfair trade practices.

OUTLAWS PEACEFUL PICKETING: This so-called anti-picketing ordinance does not prevent violence. Records show that there was more violence in Labor disputes before its repeal than after picketing was legalized. Police officials, judges and lawyers are unanimously of the opinion that there are already enough laws on our books to prevent violence should it arise in industrial disputes. State laws cover assault, battery and disturbance of the peace. City ordinances take care of obstruction of traffic. These laws are rigidly enforced on the picket line. An anti-picketing ordinance accomplishes nothing except to conceal unsound industrial conditions by denying Labor its constitutional right to expose unfair working conditions.

MAKES S. F. A BACK NUMBER: Picketing is recognized as Labor’s constitutional right in all large progressive cities of the United States. In New York, the world’s largest metropolis, the right of the workingman to advertise his grievances is an important factor in the city’s growth and development. San Francisco is working for that millenium in Labor relations where the meeting of Capital and Labor at the Conference Table will be a commonly accepted industrial institution. Don’t put San Francisco in the class with cities that are in the Dark Ages of industrial relations.

Proposition No. 8 proposes an ordinance which is a violation of the Constitution of the United States and its Bill of Rights.

Free speech and free press are the safeguards of American liberty. Labor and the fair-minded citizens of San Francisco ask you to

**Vote “NO” On Number 8**

Organized Labor Campaign Committee of the A. F. L. Against the Anti-Picketing Ordinance

John F. Shelley, Chairman  
Paul O. Gaffney, Secretary
Vote YES

ON BOND PROPOSITION NUMBER 8

This proposed bond issue in the sum of $24,480,000 is for the purchase, rehabilitation and modernization of 286 miles of the Market Street Railway, and its Consolidation with the 90 miles of Municipal Railway into a unified up-to-date system under one management.

P. W. A. GRANT WILL BE USED TO CUT DOWN TOTAL OF BOND ISSUE TO $19,006,000!

1. Under THE ROOSEVELT P. W. A. RECOVERY PROGRAM, the city has applied to the Federal Government for a Grant of $5,474,000. This $5,474,000 is a GIFT which will never have to be paid back to the Government. The Government's only requirement is that this money must be used to put men to work. If you, the people, approve the bond issue for $24,480,000 this sum will be reduced by the amount of the P. W. A. Grant to $19,006,000. This $5,474,000 P. W. A. Grant will be lost to San Francisco unless this bond issue is approved on September 27, 1938. The Federal Government has fixed September 30, 1938, as the dead-line for approving P. W. A. Grants. VOTE YES!

PURCHASE PRICE CANNOT EXCEED $12,500,000!

2. Negotiations for the purchase of the Market Street Railway will begin immediately after this bond issue is approved by the people. A non-political commission of five (5) members will be appointed by the Mayor to negotiate a price which shall be fair and reasonable to the city, but which must not exceed $12,500,000, the ASKING PRICE of the Market Street Railway, but not necessarily the PAYING PRICE. The price that will be recommended by this commission as fair and reasonable to the city will have to be approved, first, by the Public Utilities Commission, and, secondly, this price will have to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, and, finally, it must be approved by the Mayor. THE PURCHASE PRICE CANNOT BE MORE THAN $12,500,000. VOTE YES!
5-CENT FARE WITH UNIVERSAL TRANSFERS!

3. The consolidation of the Market Street Railway with the Municipal Railway will give San Francisco a 5-cent fare with universal transfers to any part of the city. It will eliminate the one-man car and put thousands of additional men to work constructing road-beds, tracks, and all other work necessary to interlock both systems. VOTE YES!

BUSSES!

4. This bond issue provides for the immediate purchase of 283 modern, up-to-date streamlined street cars, trolley busses and gasoline busses. The busses will be used to replace miles of antiquated Market Street Railway tracks. Additional busses will be provided as rapidly as possible. VOTE YES!

EQUALITY OF SERVICE AND FARE!

5. The Municipal Railway serves only one-third of all the traveling public, and these riders enjoy the advantage of a 5-cent fare on cars operated by two men. The Market Street Railway serves the remaining two-thirds of the car riders, and these are penalized by poor service and a higher fare. Consolidation is the only cure for this evil. Consolidation will place all patrons on equality as to service and fare. VOTE YES!

ALL OUTLYING DISTRICTS WILL BENEFIT!

6. Consolidation will greatly increase the use of the Twin Peaks and Duboce Tunnels; give maximum transportation facilities and service to the people living west of the tunnels, and advance real estate values. All outlying districts will be equally benefited. VOTE YES!
DO YOU WANT 17 YEARS MORE BAD SERVICE?

7. The Market Street Railway has remaining more than 17 years of existence on the streets of San Francisco through a *franchise* granted by a vote of the people. With the cars, the road-bed, and the service getting worse every day, the outlook is alarming unless immediate and drastic action is taken to alleviate these intolerable conditions.

**ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH YOUR PRESENT MARKET STREET RAILWAY STREET CAR SERVICE? IF SO, BEAR IN MIND THAT YOU WILL HAVE THIS KIND OF SERVICE FOR 17 MORE YEARS UNLESS THIS BOND ISSUE RECEIVES YOUR “YES” VOTE.**

DANGER TO GROWTH AND PROSPERITY OF SAN FRANCISCO!

8. The bad condition of the Market Street Railway, which is daily getting worse, is a most serious threat to the growth and prosperity of San Francisco. Bad transportation in San Francisco competing with first-class service over the East Bay Bridge will depopulate San Francisco, decrease real estate values, and adversely affect all business. **VOTE YES!**

SAN FRANCISCO CANNOT EXPAND AND PROSPER WITHOUT FIRST-CLASS TRANSPORTATION

9. A city must have transportation service adequate to its needs, just as it must have schools, streets, hospitals, recreation centers and sewers, etc. Without these services a modern city cannot exist. If San Francisco is to forge ahead, expand and prosper, first-class transportation must be provided. **VOTE YES!**
CONSOLIDATION RECOMMENDED BY EXPERTS!

10. Consolidation of the Market Street Railway with the Municipal Railway has been repeatedly recommended by all the engineers and traffic experts who have made long and expensive studies and surveys at the request of the Board of Supervisors. VOTE YES!

“GREATER SAN FRANCISCO”

11. The destiny of San Francisco and its future growth lies to the south in San Mateo County. The purchase of the Market Street Railway will give impetus to the consolidation of San Francisco and San Mateo Counties into “Greater San Francisco”. VOTE YES!

YOU, the PEOPLE, and ONLY YOU, can decide if you want—

THE BEST IN TRANSPORTATION!

THE UNIVERSAL 5-CENT FARE!

THE UNIVERSAL TRANSFER!

THE ABOLITION OF THE ONE-MAN CAR!

THE ELIMINATION OF 17 YEARS MORE OF THE PRESENT TYPE OF BAD SERVICE!

$5,474,000 FROM WASHINGTON!

WORK FOR OUR UNEMPLOYED FELLOW CITIZENS!

Recommended by the Mayor, the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors and many civic organizations.

Vote YES ON BOND PROPOSITION NUMBER 8

Recorder Press San Francisco
PREVENT PICKETING
Vote YES On Proposition 8
Anti-Picketing Ordinance

LET SAN FRANCISCO HAVE PEACE,
MAINTENANCE OF LAW AND ORDER,
SECURITY FOR ALL ITS CITIZENS
UPON ITS OWN STREETS.

Citizens of San Francisco, the time has come to decide whether our city shall regain its prosperity and resume its progress, whether its citizens shall be protected in their lawful employments, OR whether disorder upon our streets, violence and intimidation, the closing of our industries and businesses and of our port, shall be permitted to continue until San Francisco is a city shunned by visitors, avoided by shippers, boycotted by merchants tributary to our harbor, its people subjected to coercion and fear for their personal security and deprived of their rights to follow their lawful and normal pursuits of life.

The situation in San Francisco has gone far beyond any labor controversy. The fundamentals of government are involved. Government breaks down if disorder upon our streets, violence or intimidation of a part of our citizenship are permitted to go unchecked. Security for every individual citizen in life, in limb, and in his property is the very basis of the American system of government.

With no hostility to Labor the citizens of San Francisco must assert that no group or element in the community can be permitted to disregard the public peace and the security of the individual.

Last March the people of San Francisco by a small majority repealed an anti-picketing ordinance. That majority of the voters was persuaded that this law was needless, that if it was repealed there would be only “peaceful” picketing. Advocates of the repeal promised that picketing would not be abused, that no violence or disorder was to be feared.

Labor's spokesmen then gave this pledge to the people of San Francisco:
“Labor pledges itself to discharge its new responsibility with every consideration for the general public of San Francisco. Labor takes on this obligation with a high purpose and the determination to use it for the good of the city.”

WHAT HAS BEEN THE RECORD SINCE LAST MARCH?
The streets of San Francisco have seen the march of massed groups determined, even to the extent of violence and public disorder, to prevent the lawful employments, business and activities of our citizens.

Citizens have been assaulted, malmed and bones broken, property damaged and destroyed. Innocent, law-abiding proprietors of small businesses and their satisfied employees alike have been driven from their means of livelihood in disputes to which neither employer nor employee was a party. Many businesses already 100 per cent union find other groups closing their doors, preventing any transaction of business. Intimidation and fear have replaced the security and protection that law must assure to all citizens in any organized society.
Look at the roll of violence and lawlessness taken from the columns of the daily press in the months since last March, shown elsewhere in this pamphlet—only an incomplete roll.

It is such a record as must make thoughtful citizens ask themselves seriously whether government itself is breaking down,

**WHETHER SUBVERSIVE ELEMENTS ARE NOT DELIBERATELY USING THEIR NEW-FOUND FREEDOM FROM RESTRAINT OF LAW TO BREAK DOWN THE AMERICAN SYSTEM OF GOVERNMENT AND DESTROY THE AMERICAN DEMOCRACY.**

In fairness it must be stated that the responsible leadership of Labor in San Francisco is not chargeable with bad faith in giving the pledge it made. San Francisco knows its responsible labor leaders. San Francisco is not hostile to Labor. But responsible labor leadership has lost its control. It cannot restrain all the forces that have been loosed upon San Francisco.

This is proved by the record. After a disturbance in which an assistant manager of an hotel had his arm broken in two places, a union official, as quoted in the daily press, said: "There are 4000 persons on strike; we'd need 4000 policemen to hold them in line. We are powerless."

Irresponsible, subversive forces that flout all restraint, that look forward to the final destruction of the safeguards of law and the security granted to all citizens by the form of government in our American democracy, have not been willing to permit Labor to settle controversies peacefully. They have made picketing a practical school of applied disorder and fomented public turmoil.

A bulletin issued by the Communist Party Hotel and Culinary Members during the hotel strike exhorted the strikers in the following language:

"You cannot, you must not allow arbitration to be considered, no matter what source it comes from."

**DISORDER, VIOLENCE, INTIMIDATION HAVE NO PLACE IN THE SETTLEMENT OF LABOR DISPUTES.** Labor's gains have been made by negotiation and agreement.

Experience has proved again that unrestrained picketing is not peaceful. Mass picketing by the very force of numbers alone can be nothing else but intimidation and coercion and leads inevitably to disorder upon the public streets.

**THERE IS NOW NO LAW IN SAN FRANCISCO PROHIBITING PICKETING.**

**INITIATIVE ORDINANCE, PROPOSITION NO. 8 ON THE BALLOT AT THE NOVEMBER 2ND ELECTION, WILL PROHIBIT PICKETING.**

**SUCH AN ORDINANCE CAN BE ENFORCED.** The advocates of the repeal last March argued that there are other laws by which disorderly and violent conduct can be dealt with. That is equivalent to saying: Wait until violence occurs, until riot breaks out upon our streets, and then arrest the participants for assault or disturbance of peace or some other crime. In a mob gathering how is any individual who hurls a brick through a window to be singled out from a multitude?

**THE ANTI-PICKETING ORDINANCE STOPS VIOLENCE AND PUBLIC DISTURBANCE BEFORE IT STARTS, by making picketing, which provokes and encourages violence, unlawful.**

It has been argued that the ordinance is unnecessary. Let the voters, out of their own knowledge of conditions that have existed over the months past, answer that argument.

It has been said that the Anti-Picketing Ordinance is un-American and deprives citizens of the right of free speech. Is it un-American to insist upon peace and good order in our civic life and security to every citizen? Under the police power the whole people have the RIGHT to pass any law to prohibit acts or conduct that by their very nature incite violence and public disorder.

**THERE IS ONLY ONE ANSWER. VOTE YES ON THE ANTI-PICKETING ORDINANCE, PROPOSITION NO. 8 ON THE NOVEMBER BALLOT.**

It is not directed against legitimate union activities and organizations. We
repeat, the great mass of our citizens is not hostile to Labor. But the home-
owning, stable citizens in the ranks of Labor as well as the vast majority who
have no part in labor controversies, are determined that the public peace and
order must be maintained. Organized lawlessness and disorder by any group of
our citizens IN ANY CAUSE must lead in the end only to complete breakdown of
government itself.

It is not the interests of the worker and the employer that are chiefly involved
in San Francisco today. The very future, the progress and prosperity of the city
itself, are at stake.

On September 25th farmers in the San Joaquin Valley adopted resolutions
protesting against the failure of local, state and national government to enforce
the law in the preservation of peace and order in San Francisco and served notice
that they will neither buy nor sell in the San Francisco market if San Francisco
does not take immediate steps to put an end to labor strife. How much longer
will shipping make San Francisco a port of call? Already steamship lines, some engaged in world cruise trade, have cancelled this port from their itineraries.

Our harbor will become a port forgotten by ships, industries and business will continue to lose ground to other Pacific Coast cities, no new business or new industry may be expected to locate in San Francisco, unless San Francisco re-establishes maintenance of law and order and assures to all its citizens peace and security in carrying on the normal functions of organized society.

Repeal of the anti-picketing ordinance last March by a small vote, even though 128,677 voters failed to go to the polls, was regarded outside the city's borders as proof that San Francisco accepted continuous labor turmoil as a normal condition of our civic life. Defeat of Proposition No. 8 in November would be confirmation to the world that San Francisco approves and endorses the lawlessness, violence and public turmoil of the past months.

If San Francisco is to regain the respect and confidence of the outside world, its own self-respect and its standing as a law-abiding community, it must approve the Anti-Picketing Ordinance by an overwhelming majority.

The average citizen, not of the employer group, who is not a party to labor disputes but whose home and heart are in San Francisco, whose livelihood is here, who asks only that we shall have peace and security in the orderly pursuits of life, must answer for San Francisco. That answer must be

**PREVENT PICKETING**

**Vote YES**

**Proposition 8**

**Press Down This Lever**

**ANTI-PICKETING ORDINANCE**

Committee “FOR” Anti-Picketing Ordinance

PAUL BANCROFT, Chairman of General Committee
SYLVESTER J. McATEE, Chairman of Campaign Committee

Room 1821 — 111 Sutter Street
LET THE PUBLIC SEE!

Shall the Acts of Public Officials Be Open To Public Inspection?

PROPOSITION #9 (Charter Amendment), put on the ballot by the unanimous vote of the Board of Supervisors, corrects an oversight in the Charter by restoring to the citizens and taxpayers their right to inspect Civil Service examination papers after the tests have been held.

UNDER present rules the average citizen and taxpayer (who pays the bills) is denied the right to look at any of the papers. This means that the citizen and taxpayer cannot be sure that the best qualified candidate won the city job.

THIS is not the case in letting contracts for city work or purchase of materials. The Charter specifically provides that when the bids are opened, all the bidders and any citizen or taxpayer may inspect the bids. He may see for himself whether the city got the best contract.

WHY not let all competitors for a civil service position and any citizen or taxpayer see if the city got the best man or best woman for the job?

PROPOSITION #9 (Charter Amendment), provides this procedure for Civil Service Examinations.

IT also provides that this inspection shall not cost the city anything. A small fee is to be charged anyone wishing to look at the papers. This will more than pay the cost of the necessary supervision of such public inspection.

PROPOSITION #9 (Charter Amendment) merely lets the public—the taxpayers—see how their public business is conducted. Why should any public official oppose letting the public inspect his public acts?

Vote YES Proposition #9
(Charter Amendment)