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A word about your Voter’s Pamphlet

This is Volume One of your two-part San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet. There is just too much material to print in one volume; it is physically impossible for most printers to produce a single volume nearly 200 pages long. We have, therefore, selected Propositions A and N and placed them in Volume One.

Propositions A and N were selected for inclusion in the first volume because they are the two longest propositions, because they have generated the most voter interest and because, in the opinion of most political observers, they are the two most important propositions (that’s not to say that the other propositions aren’t important—they are!).

More importantly, Propositions A and N are, in a way, tied together: Part of Proposition A (the new Charter) provides that whatever method of electing Supervisors is in effect at the end of this year is the system that will be incorporated into the new Charter. Thus, if Proposition N passes, the system of District Election of Supervisors will become part of the new Charter; if Proposition N fails, the system of At-Large Election of Supervisors will become part of the new Charter. On the other hand, if Proposition A (the new Charter) loses, then either the District system (Yes on N) or the At-Large system (No on N) will become part of the present Charter.

Unlike Proposition N, the Charter Amendment propositions in Volume Two of your pamphlet (Props B, C, D, etc.), are strictly amendments to the present Charter and will not take effect if Proposition A passes.

Also in Volume Two of your pamphlet, you will be asked to choose eleven (11) candidates for at-large Supervisor. Regardless of your position on Proposition N, you should vote for up to 11 candidates for Supervisor. It should be pointed out, however, that if Proposition N passes, the office of at-large Supervisor will be abolished and the present incumbent district Supervisors will finish up the balance of their terms.

Please don’t be confused by the interrelationship between all these ballot issues. This situation is called double jointing and is a common practice in our state legislature but is new to us voters. Just remember this: Decide each issue separately. Vote “Yes” or “No” on the new Charter; vote “Yes” or “No” on district elections; vote “Yes” or “No” on each one of the other ballot propositions and choose up to eleven candidates for Supervisor. Be aware of, but don’t be overly concerned about what happens to one proposition if another one passes or fails.

If you’re still confused, call me during the day at 558-3417.

JAY PATTERSON
Acting Registrar of Voters
YOUR RIGHTS AS A VOTER
By Ballot Simplification Committee

Q—Who can vote?
A—You can vote at this election only if you registered to vote by October 6, 1980.

Q—Who can register to vote?
A—You can register to vote if you:
• are at least 18 years of age on election day
• are a citizen of the United States.
• are a resident of California, and
• are not imprisoned or on parole for the conviction of a felony.

Q—How do I register?
A—Phone the Registrar of Voters at 558-3417

Q—Do I have to belong to a political party?
A—Only if you want to. If you don’t want to tell what political party you consider yours, you can say “Independent” or “I don’t want to tell.”

Q—If I don’t tell my political party when I sign up, can I still vote in every election?
A—Yes. The only thing you cannot vote on is which candidate will be a political party’s choice in a primary election.

Example: Only people who sign up as Republicans can vote in the primary election for who will be the Republican candidate. Primary elections are held in June of even-numbered years.

Q—If I have picked a party, can I change it later?
A—Yes, but you must go and sign up again.

Q—Once I have signed up, do I have to do it again?
A—Yes, if:
• you have moved and/or
• you did not vote in the last General Election (The last General Election was November 7, 1978.).

Q—If I have been convicted of a crime, can I sign up to vote?
A—Yes, if you have served your sentence and parole.

Q—What candidates will voters be choosing at this general election?
A—All voters will choose a candidate for:
• Presidential Electors
• State Assemblyman
• State Senator—5th District
• United States Congressman
• United States Senator
• Judge of Municipal Court
• Member, Board of Education (vote for four)
• Member, Community College District (vote for four)
• Bay Area Rapid Transit Districts 7 and 9
• Member, Board of Supervisors (vote for 11)

Q—What districts are there in San Francisco?
A—San Francisco has:
• three State Assembly Districts (AD 16, 17, 18)
• two State Senate Districts (SD 5, 6)
• two United States Congressional Districts (CD 5, 6)
(See map elsewhere in this pamphlet)

Q—Do these districts belong just to San Francisco?
A—No. State Assembly District 18 is shared with San Mateo County. State Senate District 6 is shared with San Mateo County. United States Congressional District 5 is shared with Marin County.

Q—What about the United States Senator? Is there a district for this position?
A—No. California has two United States Senators. Each Senator represents the entire state.

Q—How can I tell which districts I live in?
A—See map on Page 6 of your voters pamphlet, or you can call the Registrar of Voters at 558-3417.

Q—Can I vote for someone whose name is not on the ballot?
A—Yes. This is called a “write-in.” If you want to and don’t know how, ask one of the workers to help you.

Q—Can I take time off from my job to go vote on election day?
A—Yes, if you do not have enough time outside of working hours. You must tell your employer 3 working days before election day that you need time off to vote. Your employer must give you up to two hours off either at the beginning or end of your working day.

Q—Can I take my sample ballot into the voting booth even if I’ve written on it?
A—Yes.

Q—Can I have someone help me in the voting booth if I need help?
A—Yes, if you are a handicapped person, or if you have language difficulties.

Q—Where do I go to vote?
A—Your voting place is printed next to your name and address sent with this Voters Handbook (back cover).

Q—If I don’t know what to do when I get to my voting place, is there someone there to help me?
A—Yes. The workers at voting place will help you. If they can’t help you, call 558-6161.

Q—When do I vote?
A—The election will be Tuesday, November 4, 1980. Your voting place is open from 7 A.M. to 8 P.M. that day.

Q—What do I do if my voting place is not open?
A—Call 558-6161.
Q—What do I do if I cannot work the voting machine?
A—Ask the workers and they will help you.

Q—Can a worker at the voting place ask me to take any test?
A—No.

Q—Can I vote if I know I will be away from San Francisco on election day?
A—Yes. You can vote early by:
• going to the Registrar of Voters office in City Hall and voting there or
• mailing in the application sent with this voters’ handbook (see inside back cover)

Q—What can I do if I do not have an application form?
A—You can send a letter or postcard asking for an absentee ballot. This letter or postcard should be sent to the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, San Francisco 94102.

Q—What do I say when I ask for an absentee ballot?

A—You must write:
• that you need to vote early
• your address when you signed up to vote
• the address where the ballot mailed
• then sign your name, and also print your name underneath.

Q—When do I mail my absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters?
A—You can mail your absentee ballot back to the Registrar of Voters as soon as you want. You must be sure your absentee ballot gets to the Registrar of Voters by 8 P.M. on election day, November 4, 1980.

Q—What do I do if I am sick on election day?
A—Call 558-6161 for information.

IF YOU HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS ON VOTING CALL THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS AT 558-3417.

---

RIGHTS OF THE PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED VOTER
(Election Code, Section 14234)

14234. Assistance to voter.

When a voter declares under oath, administered by any member of the precinct board at the time the voter appears at the polling place to vote, that the voter is then unable to mark a ballot, the voter shall receive the assistance of not more than two persons selected by the voter.

No person assisting a voter shall divulge any information regarding the marking of the ballot.

In those polling places which do not meet the requirements specified by the State Architect for accessibility by the physically handicapped, a physically handicapped person may appear outside the polling place and vote a regular ballot. Such person may vote the ballot in a place which is as near as possible to the polling place and which is accessible to the physically handicapped. A precinct board member shall take a regular ballot to such person, qualify such person to vote, and return the voted ballot to the polling place. In those precincts in which it is impractical to vote a regular ballot outside the polling place, absentee ballots shall be provided in sufficient numbers to accommodate physically handicapped persons who present themselves on election day. The absentee ballot shall be presented to and voted by a physically handicapped person in the same manner as a regular ballot may be voted by such person outside the polling place.
WORDS YOU NEED TO KNOW
By Ballot Simplification Committee

Here are a few of the words that you will need to know:

BALLOT—A list of candidates and propositions.

ABSENTEE BALLOT—If you are going to be away on election day, or if you cannot get to the place where you vote because you are physically disabled, you can get a special ballot to fill out. This ballot is called an absentee ballot. You get this ballot from the Registrar of Voters at City Hall. See page 71.

POLLING PLACE—The place where you go to vote.

CHALLENGE—Any citizen can ask an officer at the polling place to challenge any voter if the citizen thinks the voter does not live at the address given on the registration form.

PROPOSITION—This means anything that you vote on, except candidates. If it deals with the state government, then it will have a number—such as Proposition 1. If it deals with the city government, it will have a letter—such as Proposition A.

CHARTER—The Charter is the basic set of laws for the city government.

CHARTER AMENDMENT—A charter amendment changes one of the basic laws contained in the Charter. It takes a vote of the people to change the charter. It cannot be changed again without another vote of the people.

ORDINANCE—A law of the city and county, which is passed by the Board of Supervisors or approved by the voters.

DECLARATION OF POLICY—A declaration of policy asks a question: Do you agree or disagree with a certain idea? If a majority of the voters approve of a declaration of policy, the supervisors must carry out the policy.

INITIATIVE—This is a way for voters to put a proposition on the ballot for people to vote on. An initiative is put on the ballot by getting a certain number of voters to sign a petition.

PETITION—A list of signatures of voters who agree that a certain idea or question should be on the ballot.

SUPERVISORS—The Board of Supervisors makes the laws for San Francisco, and approves all money spent by the city government. The Board of Supervisors adopts the city budget but does not control the budgets of the Community College or the School District. The Supervisors can put propositions on the ballot for people to vote on. Supervisors are paid $9,600 per year.

OOPS!
Sometimes we make mistakes but when we do, we admit it:

With all the items that go into this pamphlet, it's possible we may have missed something or even made a mistake. If we did, we will publish a correction notice in the three local papers just before election day. Watch for our ad:

NOVEMBER 2, 3 & 4
S.F. Chronicle, Examiner & Progress
(Look under “Official Advertising”
or “Legal Notices”)
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THE PROPOSED NEW CHARTER

PROPOSITION A
Shall a Charter as proposed by the San Francisco Charter Commission be adopted?

Analysis
By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The city and county of San Francisco is governed by a charter that was adopted in 1932 and later amended. It sets forth the rules and regulations for the city and county government. In 1978 the voters elected a 15-member commission to write a new or revised city charter.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition A would replace the old charter with a new one. Space does not permit a list of all the changes that the new charter would bring about. However, there are some major areas of change that will be described here. The complete charter proposal is printed in this voters' handbook.

EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Mayor appoints a Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) with the approval of the Board of Supervisors. The CAO's job includes supervision of the Department of Public Works, the Department of Public Health and the administration of other city services. The CAO is appointed for a ten-year term and may be removed from office by a 2/3 vote of the Board of Supervisors.

The Mayor appoints a Controller with the approval of the Board of Supervisors. The Controller is responsible for putting together the budget items from city departments and supervises how the money is spent. The Controller is appointed for life, and may be removed from office by a 2/3 vote of the Board of Supervisors.

THE PROPOSAL: The Mayor would appoint an Administrative Officer with the approval of the Board of Supervisors. This officer would administer city contracts, purchasing, permits and inspections and handle other administrative duties assigned by the Mayor. The Administrative Officer would be appointed for four years and could be removed from office by the Mayor.

The Mayor would appoint a Deputy Mayor for Management and Budget who would prepare the annual budget and do other financial planning for the city. This officer could be removed from office by the Mayor.

The Mayor would appoint a Controller with the approval of the Board of Supervisors. The Controller would account for and pay out city monies. The Controller would be appointed for a six-year term and could be removed from office by the Mayor with the approval of the Board of Supervisors.

BUDGET

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Controller puts together the budget items from city departments and presents these to the Mayor. The Controller determines proper spending and budget balance. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors hold public hearings and may only decrease items in the annual budget before it is approved by the Board.

THE PROPOSAL: The Mayor will submit a budget proposal, prepared by the Deputy Mayor for Management and Budget, to the Board of Supervisors for its approval. The Mayor may increase or decrease items in the proposed budget as long as the total budget is balanced with the city's total income. The Board may amend, increase or decrease items in the budget as long as those changes stay within the total budget proposed by the Mayor. The budget must be open to public hearings at all levels before it is adopted.

(continued)
CITY PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION:

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Civil Service Commission, which is appointed by the Mayor, is responsible for overseeing the city's civil service employees. The Commission sets rules on job classifications, hears appeals, gives exams and conducts salary surveys. The Commission appoints a General Manager who is in charge of the day-to-day operations of the department.

THE PROPOSAL: The Mayor would appoint a Personnel Director who would be in charge of the city's Civil Service Personnel Department. The Department would recruit employees, give tests, run training programs, set up job classifications and conduct salary surveys among other administrative duties.

The Civil Service Commission would adopt or reject civil service rules suggested by the Personnel Department. It would also hear appeals on civil service rules and exam fraud.

WAGES AND BENEFITS

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The salaries and benefits for city employees are set by pay formulas. The Civil Service Commission determines those formulas by taking averages of wages paid to employees in similar jobs in other California cities.

THE PROPOSAL: The charter would allow collective bargaining between unions representing city employees and the city, provided that both parties agreed. A settlement reached in collective bargaining would take precedence over pay formulas set by the Civil Service Commission. If both parties did not agree, salaries and benefits would still be set by formulas in the charter.

TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES

THE WAY IT IS NOW: About 6000 city employees are temporary and not part of the civil service system.

THE PROPOSAL: The charter would set a limit of one year for temporary appointments. Temporary employees now working for the city would be phased into the civil service system within five years or let go.

TRANSITION PROCEDURE

The new charter includes ways for phasing out the old charter and phasing in the new. Certain sections of the old charter are not carried over into the new one but will remain city laws for three years. The Board of Supervisors may continue, amend or cancel these sections from city law.

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to adopt this new charter for the city and county of San Francisco.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to adopt this new charter.
CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT ON
"A"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition A:

Should the proposed charter be approved, in my opinion, in and of itself, it would not increase or decrease the cost of government. However, since the following major items are not specifically set forth in detail in the proposed charter but require action by the Mayor and/or Board of Supervisors I am unable to determine with certainty the financial impact that any of these changes will have.

- Salaries and wages may be adjusted above or below formula levels by negotiation and/or binding arbitration.
- Employee fringe benefits may be adjusted by negotiation above or below charter specified levels.
- Approximately 6,000 temporary employees must be made permanent or laid off within 5 years.
- Retirement funding policies may be adjusted without charter amendment.
- Transfers of all budgeted funds may be accomplished without public hearings and/or specific approvals by the Board of Supervisors.
- Specific fiscal controls have been liberalized or eliminated.
- Contract and procurement procedures may be changed.
- All board and commission members must be paid identical compensation per meeting. Currently most members serve without pay.
- Boards and commissions may employ independent counsel with the approval of the mayor.
- Limit on city's general obligation bonded indebtedness is removed from the charter.
THE PROPOSED NEW CHARTER

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The Charter is San Francisco's constitution—the basic principles and laws of our City. The people of San Francisco voted twice to review and revise the Charter in June and November, 1978. At the November election, you chose Commissioners to do this.

In the past two years, the Charter Commission has:

- Conducted over 70 hearings, listening to the testimony of more than 1,000 people to find out what the public wanted.
- Held 50 decision-making meetings.
- Distributed two drafts and a completed version of the new Charter to you through the Chronicle, Examiner and Progress.
- Appeared on numerous radio and TV shows to discuss the issues involved.
- Published newsletters and brochures as the work progressed.
- Prepared an informational slide show presented to over 50 groups.

The new Charter has been designed to help our City run more smoothly. Unnecessary restrictions found in the old Charter, such as purchasing procedures which a former director of San Francisco General described as costing the City several million dollars yearly in his hospital alone, have been eliminated. IN THIS POST PROP. 13 ERA OF TIGHT BUDGETS, THE NEW CHARTER ALLOWS MONEY-SAVING EFFICIENCY THROUGH MODERN MANAGEMENT.

The new Charter takes governmental decisions out of the hands of bureaucrats and gives them to officials who are accountable to us through election.

The new Charter is shorter, easier for the average person to understand, and flexible. It replaces a Charter written in 1932 during the Depression and amended over 500 times. The 48 lawyers in the City Attorney's office spend about half their time interpreting this outmoded document which is a patchwork of conflicting opinions. WITH YOUR HELP WE HAVE WRITTEN A CHARTER TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEMS OF TODAY'S CITY GOVERNMENT. We urge you to vote YES ON A.

Charter Commissioners: Wilson Chang, Chair; Jack Morrison, Vice Chair; Rodney Johnson, Secretary; Frank Fitch, Treasurer; Thomas Cahill, Eulalio Frausto, James Haas, Pat Jackson, Leo Jed, Pat Schultz, Steven A. Waldhorn, Samuel W. Walker, Jack Webb

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

The new modern charter gives San Franciscans better government!

IT SAVES MONEY through:

- Independent audits
- An end to "hidden" budget items

Give the charter back to the people!

- No more charter language written only for lawyers
- No more struggling with 100,000 words

VOTE YES ON "A" FOR BETTER GOVERNMENT!

Submitted by: League of Women Voters of San Francisco
Presiding officer — Roberta F. Borgonovo, President

Apply for Your Absentee Ballot Early

Your application must be received at least one week before election day.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
THE PROPOSED NEW CHARTER

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

As people who have devoted our professional lives to public administration and finance, we long ago concluded that San Francisco could possibly have the worst organized city government in the nation. We have reached this conclusion for the following reasons:

• The Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer and several quasi-independent commissions all share executive authority. As a result no one is in charge of the government.
• The budgetary system is woefully archaic and cumbersome. Controls are so narrowly concerned with process that no one considers the efficiency, economy, effectiveness or equity of services.
• The accounting system is weak and slow. The city still has not yet completed its financial statements for the fiscal year ended in June, 1979. Its bond rating has been suspended increasing interest costs to the taxpayers.
• These and similar problems arise from the fact that the city is governed under an antiquated, patchwork, 50-year-old charter amended 600 times.

As voters, you have the power to improve city government by approving the new charter. It clearly puts the Mayor in charge of city government while providing built-in protections against abuse. It provides for a modern budgetary process which enables the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to manage and monitor the effectiveness and efficiency of department programs and activities. It establishes accounting and auditing process that insure modern, sound fiscal procedures.

In overview, while no charter is ever “perfect,” it gives the city the opportunity to move toward the goal of efficient and responsive government.

Randy H. Hamilton, Dean
Graduate School of Public Administration
Golden Gate University
Allen K. Browne
Financial Consultant
Charles Stamm, CPA

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION A

VOTE YES ON A — CHARTER REVISION

• Because we need to get our money’s worth from scarce tax dollars.
• Because the 1980’s demand greater efficiency and accountability.
• Because we all have a role in making government work better.
• Because we need a Charter we can understand.


Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

- The existing City Charter is our municipal “Constitution”!
- Millions of dollars have already been spent by the City for litigation to determine the precise meaning of every word and sentence it contains. It has been modified hundreds of times BY THE VOTERS to refine its language, and achieve the precise results desired.
- The Charter Commission was charged with the responsibility of “cleaning up” the existing Charter, to remove obsolete language, and make it easily understandable for the average citizen.
- Instead, we are presented with a total “REVOLUTION”!
  a basic restructuring of our entire City government! The whole balance of power at City Hall has been changed drastically!
This proposed Charter completely “guts” all the existing “checks and balances” designed to block favoritism, patronage, and outright graft. In short, it is a municipal license to steal!
- The Controller, who is now the taxpayer’s only unbiased source of accurate data on the City’s financial condition, the realistic “non-political” anticipated costs of proposed ballot measures, and the actual enforcement of the Charter itself, would become a political appointee of the Mayor!
- The currently politically independent “Chief Administrative Officer” is replaced by a “Deputy Mayor” serving “at the pleasure” of the Mayor.
- Binding arbitration of labor disputes bankrupted Detroit!
- It gives the Human Rights Commission CITYWIDE powers to issue subpoenas, require witnesses to appear, require the production of evidence, (books and records!), administer oaths, take testimony, and issue appropriate orders and petitions for Court Orders. This applies to every person and business in the City! Incredibly, they are also charged to eliminate the results of past discrimination! One wonders how? With a staff of two hundred attorneys?
- There are dozens of equally impractical, dangerous provisions far too numerous to describe in this ballot argument.
- In summary, this document is a blueprint for municipal chaos! It is a compendium of “special interest” legislation orchestrated by a majority of political activists and Union Officials on the Commission. Note that some of the more moderate Commissioners refused to endorse many of its provisions!
- Vote NO on Proposition A.

NO ON A COMMITTEE.
Bill O’Keefe, Sr., Chairman.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

After attending 85 meetings of the Charter Commission over 20 months I am convinced that the proposed charter means overthrow of our present form of government and placing its control in the hands of a vested few in City Hall. All of the checks and balances in our present charter have been killed, turning over free rein to politicians and bureaucrats.

Over 118 sections of the present charter have been relegated to a so-called “Transition Provision” which provides that each section must be reenacted by the Supervisors or they are terminated in three years. You should know what protections they are throwing out but you won’t unless you read the present charter against the proposed charter. And that would take a Philadelphia lawyer!

Despite their claim that the new charter will strengthen fiscal management, the fact is that the proposal would accomplish the exact opposite. The proposed charter allows the mayor, other officials or boards or commissions to transfer previously appropriated amounts within the same fund within the same government unit without approval of the Board of Supervisors.

This means financial chaos. Where is the City Controller—the people’s fiscal watchdog—on this? Instead they simply let the bureaucrats run loose with the money.

There are so many wrongs in the proposed charter it is impossible to elaborate on all of them in this space. But principal among them is the fact that there has never been a cost analysis of the proposal at any public hearing. Ask yourself what the Charter Commission was trying to hide.

Changes in the present charter are warranted but the radical surgery proposed here means a radical government which will leave San Francisco naked and at the mercy of City Hall.

Vote NO on Proposition A.

Marguerite A. Warren
ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Since no publication details how, which or why Sections are changed or eliminated, and 120 pages concerning employee rights are not republished, although being over 50% of the New Charter, it is impossible intelligently for voters to realize what they are relinquishing of their direct powers to control their Government. Drastic changes in Permit Appeals Board jurisdiction (Section 4.105) and in Vetrans' preferences (Section 15.115(6)) are random instances that have nowhere been explained. Also, by dropping ¾ths of present Charter provisions, and adopting State General Laws (Section 101), we are asked blindly to surrender most of our Home Rule prerogatives. Thus, a "No" vote must be recommended.

Kamini K. Gupta
1969-70 Charter Committee Member

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Although San Francisco's current Charter has sometimes been cumbersome, it has provided the City with safeguards that assure fiscal stability and a high degree of political independence for its key appointed officials. The proposed new Charter will dramatically change this by concentrating power in the hands of a very few elected officials. It could also open many important public decisions to undue political influences.

Currently, the Chief Administrative Officer is an independent officer free to exercise his management expertise in the day-to-day operation of the City. This independence is also provided for the City Controller. This means proper checks and balances. This independence will be taken away in the new Charter.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The Downtown Association opposes the adoption of the proposed new Charter principally because of the inclusion of two basic changes in the structure of our Municipal Government. These would eliminate the political independence of the offices of the Chief Administrative Officer and the Controller.

The structure of the present Charter, which grew out of the ashes of the graft scandals of the 1920's, was to remove from political influence all City functions which dealt with the awarding of contracts and the disbursal of public monies. It created the office of Chief Administrative Officer, free from political influence, and placed under his jurisdiction all departments whose functions are susceptible to political pressure at the expense of the citizenry. This governmental structure has served our City well for almost fifty years. We have successfully avoided problems of political corruption which have afflicted many other Municipalities.

Similarly, the independence of the Controller, free from political influence, is essential. The audit and fiscal watch dog function of that office requires complete independence.

For these main reasons we urge you to VOTE NO on PROPOSITION A.

Milton M. Gilmore
President

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

During my thirteen years on the Board of Supervisors (and particularly while on the Finance Committee) I sometimes felt frustrated by the total political independence of the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller. However, I realized that the charter thereby provides a valuable protection to the taxpayers.

Preserve that protection. Vote "No" on Proposition A.

Terry A. Francois

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
THE PROPOSED NEW CHARTER

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

1932 was a year of revolution in San Francisco. The people said NO to corrupt politics and approved a Charter that imposed strict checks and balances and shielded personnel and operations from politics.

Under the proposed Charter, the controller will be responsible only for accounting and disbursement of monies and can be removed by a mere majority of the Board of Supervisors, rather than the current two-thirds. The proposed Charter also ties the Administrative Officer—and his or her responsibility for contracts, operations and purchasing—to whomever is Mayor: the Mayor may remove this Administrative Officer at any time.

Given the unstable and changing nature of our political process in San Francisco, it would be folly to weaken the positions of the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller by having their decisions subject to the whim of political expediency. Vote NO on Proposition A.

Kevin W. Wadsworth
Candidate for Supervisor

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam

In the twenties my elders laughed at the outrageous City Hall scandals but when the Depression came they didn’t laugh anymore.

Since the 1932 Charter there has not been a major scandal.

At present the politically immune Controller has the authority to reject an illegal Purchase Order, Contract or Pay Roll before they are issued. This is different than auditing five or more years later and finding irregularities.

The proposed charter creates a Personnel Director serving at the Mayor’s pleasure. What a sweet patronage set-up for “suede-shoe” boys, carpet-baggers and con-men. Particularly, when a weak, venal or lazy Mayor takes over.

Paul Joseph Langdon

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A

The Charter of the City and County of San Francisco was prepared by a group of outstanding citizens and residents and adopted by the voters in 1932. The Office of the Chief Administrative Officer is the second most important position in the Executive branch of the City government. The C.A.O. is responsible to the Mayor and to the Board of Supervisors for the administration for all affairs of the City and County that are placed in his charge by the provisions of the Charter and by Ordinance, and to that end he shall have power and it shall be his duty to exercise supervision and control over all administrative departments which are under his jurisdiction, (see Art. III, Chap. 2, Sec. 3.201 of the Charter), to include the following: Purchasing, Real Estate, Public Works, (includes Waste Water project); Public Health; Finance & Records (Hotel Tax), Electricity; Contract Services; Coroner, and the California Academy of Sciences.

If the proposed revised Charter made no other changes than to eliminate the C.A.O. in favor of a Deputy Mayor, serving at the pleasure of the Mayor, it should insure its defeat at the polls on November 4th.

For the City, a billion dollar corporation, to function with a degree of continuity, it is mandatory that the office of C.A.O. be retained for the specified period of ten years, as it is now provided for in this Charter.

It is in the best interest of every registered voter in San Francisco to VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION A.
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PREAMBLE

In order to obtain the full benefit of home rule granted by the Constitution of the State of California; to improve the quality of urban life; to encourage the participation of all citizens and all sectors in the affairs of the City and County; to enable municipal government to meet the needs of the people effectively and efficiently; and to assure equality of opportunity to every resident;

We, the people of the City and County of San Francisco, ordain and establish this Charter as the fundamental law of the City and County.

ARTICLE I
EXISTENCE AND POWERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY

1.100 Name and Boundaries
The City and County of San Francisco shall continue as a municipal corporation and as a county with such boundaries as are prescribed by law.

1.101 Rights and Powers
The City and County of San Francisco may make and enforce all ordinances and regulations in respect to municipal affairs, subject only to the restrictions and limitations provided in this Charter, and in respect to other matters shall be subject to general laws.

All rights and powers of a City and County which are not specified in this Charter may be exercised by the board of supervisors.

1.102 Construction
The rights and powers of the City and County under this Charter shall be construed liberally in favor of the City and County.

1.103 Amendment
This Charter may be amended in accordance with state law.
ARTICLE II
LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

2.100 Composition
In order to provide in this Charter for the method of election, numbers of supervisors, dates of elections, and all other provisions included in Article XVII at Sections 17.119 and 17.120, and in accordance with the method of election most recently approved by the voters of San Francisco, the drafters of this Charter specify the following:

The city attorney shall obtain a certification from the secretary of state of the State of California regarding the controlling provision in the San Francisco Charter governing the method of elections of members of the board of supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in effect at 12:00 noon December 31, 1980; and if the method of election of members of the board of supervisors as established in the 1932 Charter as amended is solely by supervisorial districts established by geographic boundaries then the provisions contained in Article XVII Section 17.11 shall govern and the provisions of 17.120 shall be of no force and effect and shall not be part of the revised Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as approved at the general election on November 4, 1980; and if the method of election of members of the board of supervisors as established in the 1932 Charter as amended is by any other method of election then the provisions contained in Article XVII Section 17.120 shall govern and the provisions of 17.119 shall be of no force and effect and shall not be a part of the revised Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as approved at the general election held on November 4, 1980.

The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected in the manner that is in effect on December 31, 1980 in accordance with the provisions of Sections 17.119 or 17.120.

2.101 Districts
[To be inserted from either 17.119 or 17.120 depending upon the method of election of supervisors in effect on 12/31/80]

2.102 Term of Office
[To be inserted from either 17.119 or 17.120 depending upon the method of election of supervisors in effect on 12/31/80]

2.103 Vacancies
If a vacancy shall exist on the board of supervisors because of the death, resignation, permanent disability or the inability of a member to otherwise carry out the responsibilities of the office, the mayor shall appoint a qualified successor. Such appointee shall serve until the next general municipal or statewide election, at which time an election shall be held to fill the unexpired term.

2.104 Meetings
[First paragraph to be inserted from either 17.119 or 17.120 depending upon the method of election of supervisors on 12/31/80]

Notice of any meeting at a place other than the legislative chamber in city hall shall be published at least 24 hours in advance of such meeting. In case of an emergency affecting the safety of any meeting place, the president of the board of supervisors may designate an appropriate location as the board's temporary meeting place.

2.105 Quorum
The presence of a majority of the members of the board of supervisors at a regular or special meeting shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. In the absence of a quorum, a smaller number of members may compel the attendance of absent members in the manner and under the penalties established by the board of supervisors.

2.106 Calendar of Agenda Items
A written agenda clearly and concisely describing each item of business to be considered at a regular or special meeting of the board of supervisors or any of its committees shall be made available to the public prior to the commencement of such meeting. In the case of the board of supervisors, such agenda, together with a statement of the time and place at which copies of proposed ordinances and resolutions may be obtained, shall be published at least one business day before each regular meeting and each special meeting of the board of supervisors.

2.107 Ordinances and Resolutions
The board of supervisors shall meet and transact its business according to rules which it shall adopt.

The board of supervisors shall act only by written ordinance or resolution, except that it may act by motion on matters over which the board of supervisors has exclusive jurisdiction. An ordinance or resolution may be introduced before the board of supervisors by a member of the board, a committee of the board or the mayor, and shall be referred to and reported upon by an appropriate committee of the board. An ordinance or resolution may be prepared in committee and reported out to the full board for action. Except as otherwise provided in this Charter, passage of an ordinance or a resolution shall require the affirmative vote of a majority of the members of the board at each required reading.

- An ordinance shall deal with only one subject matter, except that appropriations ordinances may cover appropriations with respect to any number of subjects. The title of each ordinance shall clearly reflect the content of the ordinance.
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Except as otherwise provided in Sections 2.109 and 2.110, passage of an ordinance shall require two readings at separate meetings of the board of supervisors, which shall be held at least five days apart. If an ordinance is amended at its second reading, the ordinance shall require a further reading prior to final passage. Resolutions shall require only one reading and may be adopted upon introduction without reference to committee by unanimous affirmative vote of the members of the board of supervisors who are present, but in no event less than a quorum.

All ordinances, other than emergency and urgency ordinances, shall take effect on the specified date, but not earlier than 30 days following the date of passage. Resolutions may take effect immediately upon passage, or at such other time as shall be specified in the resolutions. No ordinance granting a franchise may be passed within 90 days of its introduction.

2.108 Veto Override
The board of supervisors may enact an ordinance which has been vetoed by the mayor pursuant to Section 3.103 if, within 30 days after such veto, not less than two-thirds of the board of supervisors shall vote in favor of such ordinance, except as provided in Section 9.104.

2.109 Emergency Ordinances
An emergency ordinance may be passed in cases of public emergency affecting life, health or property. Emergency ordinances shall require only one reading, and the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the board of supervisors shall be required for the passage of an emergency ordinance. The form and manner of introduction of an emergency ordinance shall be as required for ordinances generally, and in addition an emergency ordinance shall contain: 1) a declaration setting forth the existence of the emergency; 2) a clear and concise description thereof; and 3) an explanation of how the measures in the ordinance will address the emergency.

An emergency ordinance shall be effective upon passage and shall automatically terminate on the 61st day following passage. An emergency ordinance may be rescinded upon the same terms and conditions applicable to its initial enactment. Any appropriation contained in an emergency ordinance shall be deemed to be an amendment to the final appropriations ordinance.

An emergency ordinance may suspend specific sections of this Charter, but may not levy taxes, grant, renew or extend a franchise, regulate the rate charged by any public utility for its services, set salaries, issue bonds, or buy, sell or lease land.

2.110 Urgency Ordinances
When expeditious legislative action is required in order to respond to an unanticipated event that is not a public emergency affecting life, health, or property, as provided in Section 2.109 of this Charter, the board of supervisors, after a public hearing, may adopt an urgency ordinance by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of its members after only one reading. An urgency ordinance shall be effective upon passage.

The form and manner of introduction of an urgency ordinance shall be as required for ordinances generally, and in addition, an urgency ordinance shall contain: 1) a declaration setting forth the extent of the urgency; 2) the reasons the urgency ordinance is necessary; and 3) the time during which the urgency ordinance shall be in effect. An urgency ordinance may include any necessary appropriations.

2.111 Records of Proceedings
The clerk of the board of supervisors shall keep a permanent public record of the proceedings of the board showing all action considered and taken, the text of ordinances and resolutions voted upon and the vote of each member of the board regarding any matter before the board. The clerk of the board shall cause the text of all ordinances or resolutions passed by the board to be readily available to the public.

2.112 Rates, Fees and Similar Charges
The board of supervisors may review and reject any rate, fee, or similar charge established by any department, official, or board or commission pursuant to Section 4.102 (3) of this Charter except those established by the port commission, or under the Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance of November 8, 1932 as amended. The board of supervisors shall determine by ordinance the rates, fees, and similar charges subject to its review.

No rate, fee, or similar charge determined by the board of supervisors to be subject to its review shall take effect for 30 days following adoption by the department, board, commission or official having responsibility for such rate, fee, or similar charge. Unless rejected by the board of supervisors within 30 days following its adoption, such rate, fee or similar charge shall be deemed approved; provided however, that it shall require a two-thirds vote of the board of supervisors to reject any schedule of rates, fees or charges adopted by the airport commission.

2.113 Sale or Lease of Real Property
Any lease of real property for a period of ten or more years under which the City and County is a lessee, and any sale of real property owned by the City and County, shall be approved by resolution of the board of supervisors, prior to such lease or sale. Leases of property under the jurisdiction of the port commission for maritime use shall be except from the requirements of this Section 2.113.

2.114 Abandonment of Transit Routes
Any abandonment of a transit route by any department of the City and County shall be reviewed for approval or rejection by the board of supervisors in a manner prescribed by ordinance.
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2.115 Fidelity Bonds
The board of supervisors shall determine which officials of the City and County shall be required to post fidelity bonds and the respective amounts of any such bonds. An annual review of bonding requirements shall be conducted by the board of supervisors.

2.116 Hearings, Inquiries and Investigations
The board of supervisors may require periodic or special reports of departmental costs, operation and expenditures, examine the books, papers, records and accounts of, and inquire into matters affecting the conduct of any department or office of the City and County, and for that purpose may hold hearings, administer oaths, and subpoena witnesses and books, papers, and other evidence. It shall be the duty of the chief of police to designate a police officer to serve subpoenas. Any person refusing to comply with actions of the board of supervisors taken pursuant to this Section 2.116 shall be deemed in contempt and subject to proceedings and penalties as provided by law.

The board of supervisors may delegate its powers under this Section 2.116 to a duly constituted committee of the board of supervisors or to a board or commission of the City and County.

2.117 Declaration of Policy
By the affirmative vote of one-third of the board of supervisors a declaration of policy may be submitted to the voters. Upon approval by the voters, the board of supervisors shall within 90 days of such approval take such actions within their powers as shall be necessary to carry such declarations of policy into effect.
A special municipal election shall not be called with respect to a declaration of policy.

2.118 Legislative Referendum
By the affirmative vote of one-third of its members, the board of supervisors may submit to the voters any matter on which the board of supervisors is empowered to pass.

2.119 Non-Interference in Administration
Except for purposes of hearings, inquiries and investigations, the board of supervisors, its committees and members shall deal with administrative matters of the City and County for which elective officials are responsible, solely through the official concerned. Neither the board of supervisors, its committees nor any of its members shall have any power or authority with respect to any appointment, promotion, compensation, disciplinary action, contract, requisition for purchase, or other administrative recommendation or action of department heads or other appointed officers under elective officials. The board of supervisors shall deal with administrative matters of the City and County only in the manner prescribed by this Charter, and any violation of this prohibition shall constitute official misconduct. Notwithstanding this Section 2.119, a member of the board of supervisors may comment on administrative matters at a public meeting or through a letter, with a copy of such letter filed with the clerk of the board of supervisors, and made available for public inspection.

2.120 Financial Audit
The board of supervisors shall select a firm or firms of independent accountants to audit and report upon the annual financial statements of the City and County.

2.121 Legislative Analyst
The board of supervisors shall appoint and may remove a legislative analyst who shall be responsible for such matters as the board may prescribe, including a regular review of the operations, management and expenditures of the City and County.

2.122 President of the Board of Supervisors
[To be inserted from either 17.119 or 17.120 depending upon the method of election of supervisors in effect on 12/31/80]

2.123 Staff to the Board of Supervisors
The board of supervisors shall have the power to appoint a staff adequate to enable the board, its committees and members to perform their duties and carry out their responsibilities under this Charter.

The board of supervisors shall appoint and may remove a clerk of the board who shall have charge of the office and records of the board and its committees and its classified staff. The clerk of the board shall keep a public record of the proceedings of the board as provided by Section 2.111 of this Charter and shall keep properly indexed files of all ordinances and resolutions. The clerk of the board shall be responsible for the publication, as required by law, of ordinances, resolutions and other matters acted on by the board for which publication is specified. The clerk of the board shall have such other duties and responsibilities as the board of supervisors may prescribe.

2.124 Compensation
Within a balanced budget, the board of supervisors shall establish the salaries of all elected officials of the City and County after reviewing salary surveys provided by the personnel department. The salary of each such elected official shall be consistent with the responsibilities of the office. Salaries established by the board of supervisors for its members shall not take effect until approved by a majority of the voters at the next succeeding general municipal or statewide election.

The board of supervisors shall establish the compensation of members of boards, commissions and other units of government of the executive branch of the City and County. All such members shall receive equal compensation per meeting for their services.
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ARTICLE III
EXECUTIVE BRANCH—OFFICE OF MAYOR

3.100 Powers and Responsibilities
The mayor shall be the chief executive officer and the official representative of the City and County, and shall serve full time in that capacity. The mayor shall enforce all laws relating to the City and County.

The mayor shall have responsibility for:
1. Coordination of administration of all departments and governmental units in the executive branch of the City and County;
2. Coordination of all intergovernmental activities of the City and County, and nomination and, upon confirmation by the board of supervisors, appointment of representatives of the City and County to intergovernmental bodies;
3. Receipt and examination of complaints relating to the administration of the affairs of the City and County, and immediate delivery of notice to the complainant of findings and actions taken;
4. Assurance that appointees to various governmental positions with the City and County are qualified and are representative of the communities of interest and diverse population of the City and County as is reasonably practicable, and are representative of both sexes;
5. Submission of ordinances and resolutions by the executive branch for consideration by the board of supervisors;
6. Presentation before the board of supervisors of a policies and priorities statement setting forth the mayor’s policies and budget priorities for the City and County for the ensuing fiscal year; and
7. Introduction before the board of supervisors of the annual proposed budget for the City and County.

The mayor shall have the power to:
1. Speak and be heard with respect to any matter at any meeting of the board of supervisors or any of its committees;
2. As provided in Section 3.103 of this Charter, veto any ordinance passed by the board of supervisors;
3. Appoint one or more deputy mayors and such other staff as may be needed to perform the duties and carry out the responsibilities of the mayor’s office;
4. Designate a member of the board of supervisors to act as mayor in the mayor’s absence;
5. In the event of a public emergency affecting life, health or property, marshal all the forces of the City and County for the maintenance of life, health or property; provided, however, that no action taken under this provision shall: a) remain in effect for more than five days unless authorized as an emergency ordinance in accordance with Section 2.109 of this Charter or (b) be among those prohibited in Section

2.109;
6. Make an appointment to fill any vacancy in an elective office of the City and County until a successor shall have been elected;
7. Submit to the voters a declaration of policy or referendum on any matter on which the board of supervisors is empowered to pass; and
8. Have and exercise such other powers as are provided by this Charter or by law for the chief executive officer of a City and County.

3.101 Term of Office
The mayor shall serve a four-year term. No person shall serve as mayor for more than two successive terms. A part of a term that exceeds two years shall count as a full term. There shall be no limit on the non-successive terms that a person may serve.

3.102 Vacancies
If the mayor should be temporarily disabled, the president of the board of supervisors shall act as mayor until such time as the mayor shall return to office.

If the office of mayor should become vacant because of death, resignation, permanent disability or the inability to carry out the responsibilities of the office, a mayoral election shall be held at the next general municipal or statewide election to fill the balance of the mayor’s unexpired term. Upon the occurrence of such a vacancy in the office of the mayor, the president of the board of supervisors shall become mayor and cease to be a member of the board of supervisors.

3.103 Veto Power
Any ordinance or resolution passed by the board of supervisors shall be promptly delivered to the mayor for consideration. If the mayor approves the ordinance or resolution, the mayor shall sign it and it shall become effective as provided in Section 2.107 of this Charter. If the mayor disapproves the ordinance or resolution, the mayor shall promptly return it to the board of supervisors without the mayor’s signature, accompanied by a statement indicating the reasons for disapproval and any recommendations which the mayor may have. Any ordinance or resolution so disapproved by the mayor shall become effective only if, subsequent to its return, it shall be passed by a vote of the board of supervisors required by Section 2.108 of this Charter. Any ordinance or resolution shall become effective, with or without the mayor’s signature, unless it is disapproved by the mayor and returned to the board of supervisors not more than ten days after the date the ordinance or resolution was delivered to the mayor’s office for consideration.
3.104 Administrative Officer

The mayor shall appoint an administrative officer, subject to confirmation by the board of supervisors. The administrative officer may be removed by the mayor. The term of office of the administrative officer shall be concurrent with that of the mayor, and the officer may be reappointed by the mayor.

The administrative officer shall be responsible for administrative services within the executive branch as assigned by the mayor. The administrative officer shall have specific responsibility for administering policies and procedures regarding procurement, contracts, and permits, and for assuring that all contracts and permits are issued in a fair and impartial manner and that any inspections involved with the issuance of permits shall be carried out in a like manner. The administrative officer shall propose rules governing procurement and contracts to the board of supervisors for consideration.

3.105 Deputy Mayor for Management and Budget

The mayor shall appoint and may remove a deputy mayor for management and budget, who shall be responsible for the preparation of the annual budget; financial and operational planning; organization, program, and management analysis; and review and coordination of planning of the executive departments of the City and County.

3.106 Controller

The mayor shall appoint a controller for a six-year term, subject to confirmation by the board of supervisors. The controller may be removed by the mayor, with the concurrence of a majority of the board of supervisors.

The controller shall be responsible for the timely accounting, disbursement or other disposition of monies of the City and County in accordance with sound financial practices applicable to municipalities and counties.

Should the controller determine at any time during the fiscal year that the revenues of the general fund, or any special, sequestered or other fund are insufficient or appear to be insufficient to support the remaining anticipated expenditure from that fund for the fiscal year for any department, function or program, the controller shall reduce or reserve all or a portion of the expenditure appropriation until such time as the controller determines that the anticipated revenues for the remainder of that fiscal year are sufficient to support the level of expenditure anticipated for the remainder of the fiscal year. Whenever the controller makes a reduction or reservation, the controller shall so inform the mayor and board of supervisors within 24 hours.

The controller shall establish accounting records, procedures and internal controls with respect to all financial transactions of the City and County. Such records, procedures, and controls shall permit the financial statements of the City and County to be prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applicable to municipalities and counties.

The controller shall within 120 days of the end of each fiscal year prepare an annual report of the financial condition of the City and County. Such annual report shall be prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The annual report shall contain such information and disclosures as shall be necessary to present to the public a full and understandable report of all City and County financial activity.

The controller shall prepare an impartial financial analysis of each City and County ballot measure which shall include the amount of any increase or decrease in the cost of government of the City and County and its effect upon the tax rate. Such analysis shall be issued in sufficient time to permit inclusion in the voters' pamphlet.

The controller shall issue from time to time such periodic or special financial reports as may be requested by the mayor or board of supervisors.
ARTICLE IV
EXECUTIVE BRANCH—BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND DEPARTMENTS

4.100 General
In addition to the office of the mayor, the executive branch of the City and County shall be composed of departments, other units of government and appointive boards, commissions, and other units of government. To the extent law permits, each appointive board, commission, or other unit of government of the City and County of San Francisco established by state or Federal law shall be subject to the provisions of this Article and this Charter.

4.101 Boards and Commissions—Composition
Unless otherwise provided in this Charter, the composition of each appointive board, commission or other unit of government of the executive branch of the City and County shall:

1) be representative of the communities of interest and diverse population in the City and County and have representation of both sexes;
2) consist of electors at all times during the term of their respective offices.

No person shall serve as a member of an appointive board, commission or other unit of government for more than two successive terms. Any member of an appointive board, commission or other unit of government whose term has expired may serve until a successor is appointed, but may not serve more than two successive terms.

Vacancies on appointive boards, commissions or other units of government shall be filled for the balance of the unexpired term in the manner prescribed by this Charter or ordinance for initial appointments.

In cases where this Article provides for the appointment of members of a board or commission for staggered terms, such board or commission shall be divided into categories I through IV, respectively. In cases of a board or commission with (i) five members, category I shall have two members, (ii) seven members, categories I, II, and III shall have two members and (iii) 15 members, categories I, II and III shall have four members and category IV shall have three members. Otherwise, each category shall have only one member.

The terms of members in categories I, II, III or IV shall end on the Sunday preceding the second Monday in January of 1984, 1985, 1982 and 1983, respectively, and every four years thereafter.

4.102 Boards and Commissions—Powers and duties
Unless otherwise provided in this Charter, each appointive board, commission or other unit of government of the executive branch of the City and County shall:

1) Formulate, evaluate and approve goals, objectives, plans and programs and set policies consistent with the overall objectives of the City and County as determined by the mayor and the board of supervisors;
2) Review and approve the applicable departmental budgets prior to submission to the mayor, and any budget modifications or fund transfers requiring the approval of the board of supervisors;
3) Establish rates, fees and similar charges with respect to appropriate items coming within their respective jurisdictions, subject to the discretionary review by the board of supervisors as provided in Section 2.112 of this Charter;
4) Conduct investigations into any aspect of governmental operations within its jurisdiction through the power of inquiry, and make recommendations to the mayor or the board of supervisors; and
5) Exercise such other powers and duties as shall be prescribed by the board of supervisors.

In furtherance of the discharge of its responsibilities, an appointive board, commission or other unit of government may:

1) hold hearings and take testimony;
2) retain temporary counsel for specific purposes, subject to the consent of the mayor after consultation with the city attorney.

No appointive board, commission or other unit of government shall involve itself in the administration of any department within its jurisdiction, except through the individual having administrative responsibility for such department.

4.103 Boards and Commissions—Rules and Regulations
Unless otherwise provided in this Charter, each appointive board, commission or other unit of government of the executive branch of the City and County shall:

1. Adopt rules and regulations consistent with this Charter and ordinances of the City and County. No rule or regulation shall be adopted, amended or repealed, without a public hearing. At least two weeks' public notice shall be given for such public hearing. All such rules and regulations shall be filed with the clerk of the board of supervisors.
2. Hold meetings open to the public and encourage the participation of interested citizens. Except for the actions taken at executive sessions, any action taken at other than a public meeting shall be void. Executive sessions closed to the public may be held:
(a) to consider the appointment, employment or dismissal
of a public officer or employee or to hear complaints or charges brought against such officer or employee, except when a public officer or employee being considered for dismissal requests a public hearing;

(b) to confer with legal counsel under circumstances in which the lawyer-client privilege may be invoked in conformance with state law; and

(c) to confer with the attorney general, district attorney, sheriff or chief of police, or their respective deputies, on matters posing a threat to the security of public buildings or a threat to the public’s right of access to public services or public facilities.

3) Keep a record of the proceedings of each regular or special meeting. Such record shall indicate how each member voted on each question. These records shall be available for public inspection.

The presence of a majority of the members of an appointive board, commission or other unit of government shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business by such body. Unless otherwise required by this Charter, the affirmative vote of a majority of the members shall be required for the approval of any matter, except that the rules and regulations of the body may provide that, with respect to matters of procedure the body may act by the affirmative vote of a majority of the members present, so long as the members present constitute a quorum. Each member present at a regular or special meeting shall vote yes or no when a question is put, unless excused from voting by a motion adopted by a majority of the members present.

4.104 Planning Commission

General

The planning commission shall consist of seven members appointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms. Members may be removed by the mayor for cause.

The planning commission shall nominate a director of planning. The mayor shall appoint or reject the planning commission’s nominee. The director of planning may be removed by the planning commission. The director of planning shall be chosen on the basis of administrative and technical qualifications, with special regard for experience, training and knowledge in the field of city planning.

General Plan

The planning commission shall adopt and periodically revise, after public hearing, a proposed general plan consisting of goals, policies and programs for the future social, economic, and physical development of the City and County. Before the proposed general plan or any revisions thereto shall become effective they shall be submitted to the board of supervisors for its approval or rejection. If the board of supervisors shall fail to act within 90 days, the proposed general plan or amendments shall be deemed approved. The planning department shall periodically prepare special area, neighborhood and capital improvement plans designed to carry out the general plan, and periodically prepare implementation programs and schedules which link the general plan to the allocation of local, state and Federal resources. The planning department may make such other reports and recommendations to the mayor, board of supervisors and other offices and governmental units as it may deem necessary to secure understanding and a systematic effectuation of the general plan.

In preparing any plans the planning department may include plans for systems and areas within the Bay Region which have a planning relationship with the City and County of San Francisco.

Referral of Certain Matters

The following matters shall, prior to passage by the board of supervisors, be submitted for written report by the planning commission regarding conformity with the general plan:

1. Proposed ordinances and resolutions concerning the acquisition of property by, or a change in the use or title of property owned by, the City and County;
2. Subdivisions of land within the City and County;
3. Projects for the construction or improvement of public buildings or structures within the City and County;
4. Project plans for public and private housing, or publicly assisted private housing in the City and County;
5. Redevelopment project plans within the City and County; and
6. Such other matters as may be prescribed by ordinance.

The planning commission shall disapprove any proposed action referred to it upon a finding that such action does not conform to the general plan. Such a finding may be reversed by a vote of two-thirds of the board of supervisors.

All such reports and recommendations shall be issued in a manner and within a time period to be determined by ordinance.

Permits and Licenses

All permits and licenses dependent on, or affected by, the zoning, or other ordinances administered by the planning department shall be approved by the planning commission prior to issuance. The planning commission may delegate this approval function to the planning department.

Enforcement

The planning department shall administer and enforce the zoning and set-back ordinances.

Zoning Amendments

The planning commission may propose for consideration by the board of supervisors ordinances regulating or controlling the height, area, bulk, set-back, location, use or related aspects of any building, structure or land. An ordinance proposed by the board of supervisors concerning zoning shall be reviewed by the planning commission. Applications for the
reclassification of property may be made by interested parties and must be reviewed by the planning commission.

Notwithstanding the planning commission's disapproval of a proposal from the board of supervisors or the application of interested parties, the board of supervisors may adopt the proposed ordinance; however, in the case of any proposal made by the application of interested parties any such adoption shall be by a vote of not less than two-thirds of the board of supervisors.

No application of interested parties proposing the same or substantially the same ordinance as that disapproved by the planning commission or by the board of supervisors shall be resubmitted to or reconsidered by the planning commission within a period of one year from the effective date of final action upon the earlier application.

Zoning Variances

The director of planning shall be responsible for the determination of all zoning variances. The director shall have the power to grant only those variances that are consistent with the general purpose and the intent of the zoning ordinance. The power to grant variances shall be applied only when the strict and literal interpretation and enforcement of the zoning ordinance would result in practical difficulties, unnecessary hardships or where the results would be inconsistent with the general purpose of the zoning ordinance. Decisions of the director of planning regarding zoning variances may be appealed to the board of permit appeals.

4.105 Board of Permit Appeals

General

The board of permit appeals shall consist of five members appointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms. Members may be removed by the mayor for cause.

The board of permit appeals shall appoint and may remove an executive secretary.

The board of permit appeals shall hear and determine appeals with respect to any person who has been denied a permit or license, or whose permit or license has been suspended, revoked or withdrawn, or who believes that his or her interest or the public interest will be adversely affected by the grant, denial, suspension or revocation of a license or permit.

After a hearing and any necessary investigation, the board of permit appeals may concur in the action of the department involved, or by the affirmative vote of four members (or if a vacancy exists, by a vote of three members) overrule the action of the department upon a determination that:
1. The action was based upon an erroneous conclusion of law or understanding of a material fact;
2. The action was based upon an administrative rule or regulation that is clearly unreasonable as applied to the matter under consideration;
3. The departmental action was an abuse of discretion in applying relevant legal standards;
4. No standards to guide administrative action were provided;
5. The applicant has detrimentally relied upon a permit improperly issued by the department involved; or
6. The applicant has substantially complied with all legal requirements and has otherwise satisfied the purpose and intent of rules, regulations and ordinances and this Charter.

Where the board of permit appeals exercises its authority to modify or overrule the action of a department, it shall state in summary its reasons in writing.

Any variance from a strict application of the terms of an ordinance may be granted only to the extent and under the circumstances expressly permitted by this Charter and the relevant ordinance.

Decisions of the board of permit appeals may be appealed to appropriate courts of law. Unless notice of an intent to appeal is filed with the board of permit appeals within thirty days of such decision and notice of appeal is filed with the court within the period of time established by law, such decisions shall conclusively determine the rights of the applicant and the City and County.

4.106 Human Rights Commission

General

The human rights commission shall consist of 15 members appointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms. Members may be removed by the mayor for cause.

The human rights commission shall appoint and may remove a director.

The human rights commission shall:
1. Investigate complaints of unlawful discrimination against any person because of race, color, creed, religion, national origin, age, disability, sex, or sexual orientation. The board of supervisors may, by ordinance, designate additional categories of individuals to be covered by this Section 4.106(1);
2. Ensure the civil rights of all citizens;
3. Ensure that the affirmative action plans of each department of the City and County are current and are being properly implemented; and report on the implementation of such affirmative action plans to the mayor and board of supervisors;
4. Promote understanding among the residents of the City and County and work cooperatively with governmental agencies, community groups and others to eliminate discrimination and the results of past discrimination by furnishing information, guidance and technical assistance;
5. Study, investigate, mediate and make recommendations with respect to the solving of community-wide problems resulting in intergroup tensions and discrimination;
6. Implement the provisions of ordinances prohibiting discrimination in all contracts and subsequent subcontracts,
Members may be removed by the mayor.

The social services commission shall nominate the executive of the department. The mayor shall appoint or reject the commission's nominee. The executive of the social services department may be removed by the social services commission.

4.111 Public Utilities Commission

The public utilities commission shall consist of five members appointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms. Members may be removed by the mayor.

The public utilities commission shall nominate a general manager of public utilities. The mayor shall appoint or reject the commission's nominee. The general manager of public utilities may be removed by the public utilities commission.

4.112 Recreation and Park Commission

The recreation and park commission shall consist of seven members appointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms. Members may be removed by the mayor.

The recreation and park commission shall nominate the executive of the department. The mayor shall appoint or reject the commission's nominee. The executive of the recreation and park department may be removed by the recreation and park commission.

4.113 Port Commission

The San Francisco Port Commission shall consist of five members who shall be appointed by the mayor, their appointment being subject to confirmation by the board of supervisors. Each of the members shall serve for a term of four years. They shall be subject to recall, suspension and removal in the same manner as an elected official.

The port commission shall have the authority to nominate for appointment a port director who shall serve as the commission's chief executive. The mayor shall appoint a port director, and the director shall hold the office at the pleasure of the commission.

The port commission shall have the composition and organization, and the powers, duties, and responsibilities with respect to the port that are set forth in the Burton Act, Statutes of 1968, Chapter 1333, as amended as of July 1, 1980, and in the Agreement Relating to the Transfer of the Port of San Francisco from the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco, executed on the 24th day of January, 1969.

The port commission shall be subject to the provisions of Sections 4.101-4.103 of this Charter, so far as those powers and duties are not inconsistent with the Burton Act and the Transfer Agreement as they are referred to in the above paragraph.

4.114 Airport Commission

The airport commission shall consist of five members ap-
pointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms. Members may be removed by the mayor.

The airport commission shall nominate the airport director. The mayor shall appoint or reject the commission’s nominee. The airport director may be removed by the airport commission.

4.115 Departments—General Provisions

Except as otherwise provided by this Charter, the responsibilities of each department within the executive branch shall be prescribed by ordinance.

The administration and management of each department within the executive branch shall be the responsibility of the officials so designated by this Charter, or, where permitted, by ordinance. Such officials may: 1) appoint individuals to fill all positions within their departments which are exempt from the civil service provisions of this Charter; 2) adopt rules and regulations governing matters within the jurisdiction of their respective departments; and 3) reorganize their respective departments.

4.116 Police Department

The police department shall preserve the public peace, prevent and detect crime, and protect the rights of persons and property by enforcing the laws of the United States, the State of California and the City and County.

The chief of police may appoint, and remove at pleasure, special police officers.

The chief of police shall have all powers which are now or that may be conferred upon a sheriff by the laws of the State of California with respect to the suppression of any riot, public tumult, disturbance of the public peace or organized resistance against the laws or public authority.

Patrol Special Officers

The police commission may appoint patrol special officers who shall be subject to rules and regulations as adopted by the police commission. The police commission may by resolution provide for disciplinary action against patrol special officers.

Patrol special officers, or their legal heirs or representatives, who are designated by the police commission as owners of a certain beat or territory as may be fixed from time to time by the commission, may dispose of their interest in such beat or territory to a person approved by the police commis-

sion and eligible for appointment as a patrol special officer.

District Police Stations

The police department shall maintain and operate district police stations. The police commission, subject to the approval by resolution of the board of supervisors, may establish additional district stations, abandon or relocate any district station or consolidate any two or more district stations.

4.117 Fire Department

The fire department shall enforce all applicable laws pertaining to the prevention, protection, control and investigation of fires.

The fire chief shall cause the fire department to inspect all occupied or vacated structures to determine compliance with applicable laws relative to fire prevention, protection and control and also the protection of persons and property from fire.

The fire chief may during a conflagration cause to be removed any structure for the purpose of checking the progress of the conflagration.

4.118 Executive Branch Reorganization

Whenever the mayor determines that a reorganization is necessary in order to improve the effectiveness of the City and County’s administration, the mayor may reorganize duties and functions between departments and other units of government within the executive branch. Such reorganization shall become effective 30 days after its issuance unless disapproved by the board of supervisors within 30 days of its issuance.

A proposed reorganization shall provide for the transfer of:

1. Civil service employees who are engaged in the performance of a function or duty transferred to another office, agency or department; such transfer shall not adversely affect status, position, compensation or pension or retirement rights and privileges; and

2. Any unexpended balances of appropriations and other funds available for use in connection with any office, agency, department or function affected by the reorganization; any unexpended balance so transferred shall be used only for the purpose for which the appropriation was originally made, except as this Charter otherwise permits.
ARTICLE V
EXECUTIVE BRANCH—ARTS AND CULTURE

5.100 General

Persons appointed to the commissions named in this Article 5.100 shall be recognized for their broad knowledge, expertise and profound interest in the purposes for which the commissions to which they are appointed are established.

All vacancies on commissions named in this Article shall be filled within 90 days of their occurrence. Any member whose term has expired may serve until a successor is appointed but may not serve more than two successive terms.

In cases where this Article provides for the appointment of members of a board or commission for staggered terms, such board or commission shall be divided into categories I through IV, respectively.

In the case of the art commission, with 10 professional and 5 lay members, of the 10 members, categories I and II shall have three members, and of the 5 members, categories II, III, and IV shall have one member. In the case of the war memorial and performing arts center commission, with 11 members, categories I, II and III shall have three members. Otherwise, each category shall have only two members.

In the case of the Asian art commission, with 27 members, categories I, II and III shall have seven members, and category IV shall have six members.

The terms of members in categories I, II, III or IV shall end on the Sunday preceding the second Monday in January of 1984, 1985, 1982 and 1983, respectively, and every four years thereafter.

Each commission may accept and shall comply with the terms and conditions of any gifts, devises, trusts, bequests or other agreements granting works of art or other contributions.

5.101 Art Commission

The art commission shall consist of 15 members appointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms. Ten members shall be practicing arts professionals including two architects, a landscape architect, and representatives of the performing, visual and literary arts, and five members shall be lay members. Commission members may be removed by the mayor for cause.

The art commission shall appoint and may remove a director. The director may appoint and remove deputy directors, with the concurrence of the commission.

The art commission shall encourage artistic awareness, participation and expression; assist independent local groups with the development of their own programs; promote the employment of artists, and those skilled in crafts, in the public and private sectors; provide liaison with state and Federal agencies to ensure increased funding for the arts from these agencies as well as represent arts issues in the respective governmental bodies; promote the continued availability of living and working space for artists within the City and County; and enlist the aid of all City and County governmental units in the task of ensuring the fullest expression of artistic potential by and among the citizens of San Francisco.

In the furtherance of the above, the art commission shall:

1. Approve the designs for all public structures, any private structure which extends over or upon any public property and any yards, courts, set-backs, or usable open spaces which are an integral portion of any such structures;

2. Approve the design and location of all works of art before they are acquired or released by the City and County, or are placed upon or removed from City and County property, or are altered in any way; maintain and keep an inventory of works of art owned by the City and County; and maintain the works of art owned by the City and County. This provision shall not apply to works of art under the jurisdiction of the fine arts museums commission, the Asian art commission, or the California Academy of Sciences;

3. Promote a neighborhood arts program to encourage and support an active interest in the arts on a local and neighborhood level, establish liaison between community groups and develop support for neighborhood artists and arts organizations;

4. Supervise and control the expenditure of all appropriations made by the board of supervisors for the advancement of the visual, performing, or literary arts, except for appropriations made to the publicity and advertising fund; and

5. Review and make recommendations to the administrative officer with respect to the allocation of funds appropriated to the publicity and advertising fund.

Nothing in this Section 5.101 shall be construed to limit or abridge the legal powers or jurisdiction of the Asian art commission, fine arts museums commission, the war memorial and performing arts center commission, the California Academy of Sciences or the library commission.

5.102 Asian Art Commission

The Asian art commission shall consist of 27 trustees appointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms who shall be exempt from the requirements of Section 4.101 (2) of this Charter. Members may be removed by the mayor for cause. The commission may provide through its rules and regulations for the appointment of an executive committee, which shall have authority to act in all matters on behalf of the commission.
The commission shall appoint and may remove the director of the Asian art museum.

The Asian art commission shall:
1. Develop and administer the Asian art museum;
2. Control and manage the City and County's Asian art with the Avery Brundage collections as its nucleus;
3. Create a foundation or other legal entity for development purposes;
4. Promote, establish and develop an acquisition fund for Asian art objects; and
5. Collaborate with groups to sponsor educational programs concerning Asian art and culture.

5.103 Fine Arts Museums Commission
The fine arts museums commission shall consist of 32 members, 26 to be elected by the members of the commission, and six to be appointed, by the mayor. The six members may be removed by the mayor for cause. Members shall be exempt from the requirements of Section 4.101 (2) of this Charter. The commission may provide through its rules and regulations for the appointment of an executive committee, which shall have authority to act in all matters on behalf of the commission.

The commission shall appoint and may remove a director of the fine arts museums.

The fine arts museums commission, as trustees of the fine arts museums of the City and County, shall be responsible for the protection and conservation of the museum's assets and for setting the public course the museums will follow. The commission shall assure that the museums are open, accessible and vital contributors to the cultural life of the City and County, and that the museum's programs bring art appreciation and education to all the people of the City and County.

The fine arts museums department, subject to the policy supervision of the fine arts museums commission, shall have exclusive charge of the maintenance, construction and operation of the fine arts museums and the lands set aside therefor.

5.104 War Memorial and Performing Arts Center Commission
The war memorial and performing arts center commission shall consist of 11 trustees appointed by the mayor and confirmed by the board of supervisors for staggered four-year terms. In making appointments the mayor shall give due consideration to veterans. Members may be removed by the mayor for cause.

The commission shall appoint and may remove the director of the war memorial and performing arts center.

The war memorial and performing arts center director, subject to the policy supervision of the war memorial and performing arts center commission, shall have exclusive charge of the construction, maintenance and operation of the buildings which comprise the war memorial and the performing arts center and any additions thereto.
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ARTICLE VI
OTHER ELECTIVE OFFICERS

6.100 Designation of Other Elective Officers
In addition to the officers required to be elected under other Articles of this Charter, the following shall constitute the other elective officers of the City and County: the assessor, city attorney, district attorney, public defender, sheriff and treasurer. Each such officer shall be elected for a four-year term, and shall serve full time.

The city attorney, district attorney and public defender shall each be licensed to practice law in all courts of the State of California and shall have been so licensed for at least five years preceding election. Such officers shall not engage in the private practice of law during the period they serve as elective officers of the City and County.

Subject to the powers and duties set forth in this Charter the officers named in this Section 6.100 shall have such additional powers and duties prescribed by the laws of the State of California for their respective office.

6.101 Assessor
The assessor shall equitably and effectively administer the property assessment system of the City and County.

6.102 City Attorney
The city attorney shall:
1. Represent the City and County in legal proceedings with respect to which it has an interest;
2. Represent an officer or official of the City and County when directed to do so by the board of supervisors, unless the cause of action exists in favor of the City and County against such officer or official;
3. Whenever a cause of action exists in favor of the City and County, commence legal proceedings when such action is within the knowledge of the city attorney or when directed to do so by the board of supervisors;
4. Upon request, provide advice or written opinion to any elected officer, department head or board, commission or other unit of government or member thereof, of the City and County;
5. Make recommendations for or against the settlement or dismissal of legal proceedings for or against the City and County to the board of supervisors prior to any such settlement or dismissal. Such proceedings shall be settled or dismissed only by ordinance;
6. Approve as to form all bonds, contracts and, prior to enactment, all ordinances, and examine and approve title to all real property to be acquired by the City and County;
7. Prepare, review annually and make available to the public a codification of ordinances of the City and County then in effect; and
8. Prepare and make available to the public an annual edition of this Charter complete with all of its amendments and legal annotations.

6.103 District Attorney
The district attorney shall:
1. Investigate all allegations of violations of laws which the district attorney has the power to prosecute in court or before any other trier of fact;
2. Prosecute all criminal cases in the appropriate courts and issue warrants for the arrest of persons charged with crimes to be prosecuted in such courts;
3. Proceed in such civil cases as authorized by the law.

6.104 Public Defender
The public defender shall, upon the request of an accused who is financially unable to employ counsel or, upon order of the court, defend or give counsel or advice to any person charged with the commission of a crime or in danger of criminal prosecution.

6.105 Sheriff
The sheriff shall:
1. Keep the county jail;
2. Receive all prisoners committed to jail by competent authorities;
3. Execute the orders and legal processes issued by courts of the State of California; and
4. Upon court order detail necessary bailiffs.

6.106 Treasurer
The treasurer shall be responsible for the collection of taxes, the receipt of all monies collected by the City and County and their safeguard, deposit and investment in accordance with sound financial practices.

6.107 Vacancies
If the position of assessor, city attorney, district attorney, public defender, sheriff or treasurer shall become vacant because of death, resignation, permanent disability or the inability of the respective officer to otherwise carry out the responsibilities of the office, the mayor shall appoint an individual qualified under this Charter and the laws of the State of California. Such appointee shall serve until the next general municipal or statewide election, at which time an election shall be held to fill the unexpired term.
ARTICLE VII
JUDICIAL BRANCH

7.100 Municipal Court
The powers and duties of the municipal court are as prescribed by state law.

The judges of the municipal court shall meet at such times as the presiding judge may require, and shall prescribe such rules and regulations as are necessary and proper for the advancement of justice and prevention of delay in the business of the court.

The presiding judge, acting through the clerk of the court, shall file with the board of supervisors an annual report of the business of the court covering the preceding year.

The presiding judge of the municipal court shall supervise and direct the clerk of the municipal court.

Any fees required to be collected by the municipal court or the clerk of such court shall be paid into the treasury of the City and County.

7.101 Superior Court
The powers and duties of the superior court are as prescribed by state law. The board of supervisors shall provide for the maintenance of the superior court in accordance with the financial provisions of this Charter. The superior court shall direct the functions and personnel of the office of county clerk.

7.102 Probation Officers
A majority of the superior court judges of the City and County shall select and the presiding judge shall appoint the chief adult probation officer and the chief probation officer of the juvenile court. Such officers may be removed only by a vote of a majority of the judges of the superior court. Prior to any such removal, either officer may request a hearing before a committee of five judges appointed by the presiding judge. The salaries of such officers, their assistants, deputies and employees shall be fixed by the board of supervisors.
ARTICLE VIII
EDUCATION AND LIBRARIES

8.100 Unified School District

The unified school district shall be under the control and management of a board of education composed of seven members who shall be elected by the voters of the unified school district. A student representative shall serve on the board of education in accordance with state law. No member of this board shall be eligible to serve on the governing board of the community college district. Vacancies occurring on the board of education shall be filled for the unexpired term by the mayor. The compensation for each member shall be $100 per month.

The board of education shall have the power to employ teachers and other persons as may be necessary in accordance with state law and this Charter, and shall appoint the superintendent of the unified school district. The superintendent: 1) shall also be the superintendent of the County school district; 2) shall be the executive officer of the board of education; 3) shall have the powers and duties provided by state law and this Charter; and 4) may appoint and remove a confidential secretary. During the terms of their respective offices, the superintendent and the associate and the assistant superintendents shall reside in the City and County.

All positions, except positions to be filled by certificated and paraprofessional employees, shall be subject to the personnel provisions of this Charter. The compensation of these persons shall be fixed in accordance with this Charter.

8.101 Unified School District, Administrators

All heads of departments, principals, assistant principals, supervisors and directors shall be employed pursuant to four-year contracts, which contracts shall be subject to renewal based upon achieving and maintaining standards of performance as determined by the board of education.

8.102 Governing Board of the Community College District

The community college district shall be under the control and management of a governing board composed of seven members who shall be elected by the voters of the community college district. A student representative shall serve on the governing board in accordance with state law. No member of this board shall be eligible to serve on the board of education. Vacancies occurring on the governing board shall be filled for the unexpired term by the mayor. The compensation for each member shall be $100 per month.

The governing board shall have the power to employ teachers and other persons as may be necessary in accordance with state law and this Charter, and shall appoint the chancellor of the community college district. The chancellor: 1) shall be the executive of the governing board; 2) shall have the powers and duties provided by state law and this Charter; and 3) may appoint and remove a confidential secretary. During the terms of their respective offices, the chancellor and presidents of the community college district shall reside in the City and County.

All positions, except positions to be filled by certificated and paraprofessional employees, shall be subject to the personnel provisions of this Charter. The compensation of these persons shall be fixed in accordance with this Charter.

8.103 Libraries

Libraries including the library commission and the library department shall be a part of the executive branch.

The library commission shall consist of seven members appointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms. Members may be removed by the mayor for cause.

The commission shall appoint the head of the library department who shall serve at its pleasure.

The library department shall maintain and operate the library system of the City and County subject to the policy supervision of the library commission.

Workers are needed at the polls in many San Francisco neighborhoods. Apply now in room 115, City Hall
ARTICLE IX
FINANCIAL PROVISIONS

9.100 Budget Process Ordinances
The mayor shall submit and the board of supervisors shall act on ordinances with respect to the following:

1. The establishment of the City and County's fiscal year;
2. A schedule and procedures for the orderly preparation and submission of the annual proposed budget and for the review and adoption of the necessary interim and final appropriations ordinances;
3. A description of the form of the annual proposed budget and appropriation ordinance consistent with the financial records required by Section 3.106 of this Charter and containing information relating the type and extent of services to be delivered or revenues to be generated to proposed expenditures in a manner which, to the extent feasible, allows comparison of expected performance and expenditures between various fiscal years; and
4. A procedure to include public participation in the budgetary process which shall include public hearings conducted by the commissions, mayor and the board of supervisors.

9.101 Annual Proposed Budget
The mayor shall submit to the board of supervisors each year an annual proposed budget and related appropriation ordinances. The annual proposed budget shall include:

1. Estimated revenues and surpluses from whatever sources, to the extent feasible, for the forthcoming fiscal year and the allocation of such revenues and surpluses to various departments, functions and programs to support expenditures. Proposed expenditures shall include such necessary and prudent reserves as determined by the controller; and
2. A summary of the annual proposed budget with a narrative description of priorities, services to be provided, and economic assumptions used in preparing the revenue estimates. The summary shall also contain a discussion of trends and projections of revenues and expenditures of the City and County for the subsequent four years.

The annual proposed budget and appropriation ordinances shall be balanced so that the proposed expenditures of each fund do not exceed the estimated revenues and surpluses of that fund.

Until the appropriation ordinances are adopted by the board of supervisors, the mayor may submit to the board of supervisors revisions to the annual proposed budget and appropriation ordinances.

The mayor may instruct the controller to prepare the draft appropriation ordinances.

The mayor shall file a copy of the annual proposed budget at the main library and shall make copies of the budget summary available to the public.

9.102 Certification of Revenues Estimates
The mayor shall submit to the controller for review the estimated revenues contained in the annual proposed budget and any subsequent revisions. The controller shall then provide the board of supervisors with a certification indicating the accuracy, economic assumptions underlying the revenue estimates and the reasonableness of such estimates and revisions.

9.103 Adoption of Appropriation Ordinances and Tax Levy
The board of supervisors may amend the annual proposed budget and appropriation ordinances as follows:

1. After review of the controller’s analysis of the mayor’s revenue estimates, the board of supervisors may reduce proposed revenues; and
2. The board of supervisors may increase or decrease any proposed expenditure in the general fund or any special, sequestered or other fund so long as the aggregate changes do not cause the expenditures from each fund to exceed the amount proposed for expenditures by the mayor from any such fund.

9.104 Veto of Appropriations
The mayor may reduce or reject any amendment to any expenditure made by the board of supervisors within ten days after the adoption of the final appropriations ordinance. Within ten days of receipt of the mayor's veto message, the board of supervisors may reinstate, in whole or in part, any expenditure reduced or rejected by the mayor by a vote of two-thirds of its members. In overriding any mayoral veto, the board of supervisors shall not cause the aggregate expenditures for the general fund or any special, sequestered, or other fund in the appropriation ordinances to exceed the mayor's revenue estimate as allocated to such funds.

9.105 Modifications
The board of supervisors may authorize the mayor, other officials, or boards or commissions of the City and County to transfer previously appropriated amounts within the same fund within the same governmental unit without approval of the board of supervisors.

Amendments to the appropriations ordinance, as finally adopted, may be adopted in a manner to be determined by the board of supervisors.

Any appropriation contained in an emergency ordinance
or an urgency ordinance shall be deemed to be an amendment to the final appropriations ordinance.

9.106 Capital Improvements Program

Not later than 90 days prior to the commencement of each fiscal year, the mayor shall be responsible for the preparation and submission to the board of supervisors of a proposed capital improvements program covering the next six years.

The capital improvements program shall describe:

1. All capital improvement projects planned;
2. The source and amount of planned annual capital improvements expenditures;
3. The estimated annual cost of operating and maintaining the facilities to be constructed or acquired and the assumptions underlying such estimates;
4. The estimated annual revenues, if any, to be generated and the assumptions underlying such estimates;
5. Project schedules providing for reconstruction, replacement or improvement of public buildings and structures; and
6. Other information pertinent to the evaluation of the capital improvements program.

All capital improvement projects must be reviewed by the planning department of the City and County for conformity with the general plan. The information in the capital improvements program may be modified or extended each year for capital improvements pending or in process of acquisition or construction. The board of supervisors may delete projects from the capital improvements program as submitted to it, but may not otherwise amend the program until it has requested and considered the recommendations of the planning department. However, the board of supervisors may act without such recommendations if they are not received within 30 days from the date requested.

The board of supervisors shall adopt a capital improvements program as proposed or amended within 60 days after submission. The adopted capital improvement program shall serve solely as an advisory program for use during the budget process.

9.107 Facilities Maintenance Program

The annual proposed budget shall contain the facilities maintenance program for the City and County. This program shall describe to the extent possible:

1. Project schedules for the continuing maintenance and repair of public buildings and structures;
2. A priority listing of the necessary maintenance, including the repair of all capital equipment, structures, and grounds of departments or units of government of the City and County which are contained within the general fund;
3. The amount of annual expenditures necessary for such maintenance; and
4. Other information pertinent to the evaluation of the facilities maintenance program.

9.108 General Obligation Bonds

When permitted by the Constitution of the State of California, whenever the board of supervisors shall determine that the public interest or necessity requires the construction, acquisition, completion, remodeling or repair of any public improvement or work of the City and County, the cost of which will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County, the board of supervisors may, by ordinance, order the submission of the proposition of incurring bonded indebtedness, for the purpose set forth in such ordinance, to the voters of the City and County, at an election held for that purpose. Approval of such bonded indebtedness shall require the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the votes cast with respect to the proposition at the election.

9.109 Revenue Bonds

Revenue bonds may be issued only with the consent of a majority of the voters voting upon any proposition for the issuance of revenue bonds, except that no voter approval shall be required with respect to revenue bonds:

1. Approved by nine members of the board of supervisors if the bonds are to finance buildings, fixtures or equipment which are deemed necessary by the board of supervisors to comply with an order of a duly constituted state or Federal authority having jurisdiction over the subject matter;
2. Approved by the board of supervisors prior to January 1, 1977;
3. For the purposes set forth in and pursuant to the provisions of the Marks-Foran Residential Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended;
4. Approved by the board of supervisors if the bonds are to establish a fund for the purpose of providing mortgage financing for the acquisition, construction, or rehabilitation of housing in the City and County; or
5. For the purpose of acquiring, constructing, improving or developing grounds or facilities under the jurisdiction of the port commission.

In connection with the issuance and sale of revenue bonds pursuant to this Charter, the board of supervisors may use any of the provisions of the Revenue Bond Law of 1941 as amended.

9.110 Lease Financing

The City and County may enter into public leaseback only with the consent of the majority of the voters voting upon any proposition for the entrance into public leaseback. As used in this Section 9.110, public leaseback shall mean any lease, sublease, contract or other agreement made directly or indirectly between the City and County and any public agency.
or authority, a non-profit corporation or a retirement system ("leaseback corporation") under which payments provided by the City and County will be used, in whole or in part, by the leaseback corporation for payment of principal of or interest on its bonds, notes or other evidence of indebtedness.

9.111 Refunding Bonds
The board of supervisors is hereby authorized to provide for the issuance of bonds of the City and County for the purpose of refunding any general obligation or revenue bonds or any series or issue of bonds of the City and County then outstanding. In connection with the issuance and sale of refunding bonds the board of supervisors may use any applicable provisions of state law.

9.112 Bond Election by Initiative
Proceedings for the authorization and issuance of bonds for the acquisition, construction or completion of any public utility or utilities may be initiated by electors in the following manner: Whenever a petition, signed by qualified electors of the City and County equal in number to at least 15 percent of the votes cast for all candidates for mayor at the last preceding general municipal election for mayor, requesting the board of supervisors to submit to the voters of the City and County a proposition or propositions for incurring bonded indebtedness for the acquisition, construction or completion of any public utility or utilities shall be filed with the registrar of voters, the board of supervisors shall submit to the voters the proposition or propositions for incurring bonded indebtedness of the City and County for the purpose or purposes set forth in that petition at the next general municipal, statewide or special municipal election.

9.113 Sale of Bonds
Bonds may be sold at public sale upon such terms and conditions as the board of supervisors deems to be in the public interest. Bonds may be sold at a price below their par value; provided, however, that the discount on any bonds so sold shall not exceed six percent of their par value.

Is your voting place at the top of a hill?
Do you have problems getting around?
Do you work long hours?

YOU CAN VOTE BY THE ABSENTEE BALLOT.
APPLICATION FORMS ARE ON THE INSIDE BACK COVER
ARTICLE X
PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION

10.100 Purpose
The purpose of the personnel system of the City and County shall be:

1. To recruit, select, and advance employees on the basis of their relative ability, knowledge and skill;
2. To ensure fair treatment of employees and applicants in all aspects of personnel administration without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, sexual orientation, political affiliation, age, disability or other nonmerit factors and with proper regard for their privacy and constitutional rights as citizens;
3. To train employees as needed to assure quality performance; and
4. To provide methods for encouraging and evaluating management and employee performance.

10.101 Civil Service Commission
The civil service commission shall consist of five members appointed by the mayor for staggered four-year terms. The civil service commission shall be divided into categories I through IV, respectively. Category IV shall have two members. Each other category shall have one member. The terms of members in categories I, II, III or IV shall end on the Sunday preceding the second Monday in January of 1982, 1983, 1984 and 1985, respectively, and every four years thereafter. Members may be removed by the mayor for cause for conduct in office which would tend to undermine the integrity of the merit principle in recruitment, selection and appointment, with the consent of the board of supervisors.

The civil service commission shall appoint and may remove an executive secretary.

The civil service commission shall have the power to conduct investigations into any aspect of the operation of the personnel system of the City and County and to make recommendations to the mayor and the board of supervisors. The civil service commission may require periodic reports from the personnel director in a manner and form which it shall prescribe.

Appeals
The civil service commission shall hear appeals with respect to:
1. Alleged improper action under, or denial of rights provided by, this Charter, ordinances and rules and regulations with respect to personnel practices of the City and County;
2. Disputes concerning classification decisions of the personnel department; and
3. Alleged violation of civil service rules and regulations, or fraud concerning examinations; except that technical matters concerning the content of examinations shall be determined by the personnel director without any right of appeal to the civil service commission. During the time an examination is under protest the personnel director may continue to certify eligibles from a list based on the protested examination.

Upon formal determination of an appeal the civil service commission may order the reinstatement, suspension, or dismissal of an employee, or order the personnel department or an appointing officer to take corrective action.

Notwithstanding the subsection on appeals of Section 10.101, matters covered by labor-management agreements to which the City and County is a party shall be subject to appeal in the manner provided in such agreements.

10.102 Personnel Department
The personnel department shall provide a means to recruit, select, appoint, train and maintain an effective and responsive workforce through procedures for employee hiring and advancement, training and career development, job classification, salary administration, discipline, discharge and other related activities.

The personnel department shall establish a system of job classification. The department shall create new classifications and shall as necessary reclassify existing positions, after required notice to employee organizations and departments affected.

Any classification or reclassification shall be appealable to the civil service commission by affected parties including employees, recognized employee organizations and departments of the City and County.

10.103 Personnel Director
A personnel director shall be appointed and may be removed by the mayor. The personnel director shall be responsible for the administration of the personnel department.

The personnel director shall establish regulations with respect to administrative matters within the jurisdiction of the personnel department. No regulations shall be adopted, amended or repealed without a prior public hearing for which at least two weeks notice shall have been given.

The personnel director shall submit to the civil service commission rules with respect to recruitment, selection and appointment of employees. After a public hearing, the civil service commission shall approve or reject such rules.

The personnel director shall conduct appropriate exami-
nations for employment on an entrance, promotive or combination entrance and promotive basis, and shall establish list of eligibles based on their results. Eligibles for positions designated by the personnel director as senior management classifications, the sum of which shall not exceed two percent of the number of permanent civil service positions, may be certified from the full list of eligibles. The personnel director, after consultation with appointing officers, shall establish probationary periods not to exceed actual service of twenty-four months for executive and management classifications, twelve months for sworn, uniformed classifications, and six months for all other classifications.

The personnel director shall conduct the annual salary surveys described in Appendix A of this Charter.

10.04 Exclusions From Civil Service Appointment

All positions where compensation is paid by the City and County shall be either "classified" or "unclassified." Unclassified positions shall be exempt from competitive civil service selection and appointment procedures and from the disciplinary procedures in Section 10.05 of this Charter. Unclassified positions shall include:

1. All supervisory and policy-level positions within the office of the mayor;
2. All elected officers of the City and County and their chief deputies or chief assistants;
3. All members of commissions, boards and advisory committees;
4. Not more than one commission secretary for each commission or board;
5. All heads of agencies and departments;
6. All non-uniformed deputy heads of departments;
7. All uniformed deputy heads of departments, police commanders, police inspectors and fire chief's aides;
8. Not more than one confidential secretary in each department and agency; and
9. The clerk of the board of supervisors, legislative analyst, senior staff in the office of the legislative analyst, and administrative assistants to the board of supervisors.

The proportion of full-time employees in the above unclassified categories to the total number of civil service employees of the City and County shall not be greater than such proportion as existed on July 1, 1980.

In addition, unclassified employees shall include:

1. All certificated teachers and certificated administrative officers of the unified school district and the community college district;
2. All paraprofessional aides of the unified school district and teaching instructional aides of the community college district;
3. Construction workers working outside of City and County;
4. Temporary and seasonal appointments not to exceed the equivalent of half-time during any fiscal year; except that such positions may be filled through regular civil service procedures;
5. Appointments, which shall not exceed two years and shall not be renewable, as substitutes for civil service employees on leave; except that such positions may be filled through regular civil service procedures;
6. Appointments, which shall not exceed three years and shall not be renewable, for special projects with limited term funding; except that such positions may be filled through regular civil service procedures;
7. Provisional appointments for classified positions, which shall not exceed one year and shall not be renewable, for positions for which no eligible list exists;
8. All nonclerical employees of the superior and municipal courts;
9. All attorneys, district attorney's investigators, physicians and dentists serving in their professional capacity, except those physicians and dentists whose duties are significantly administrative or supervisory, and hospital chief administrators;
10. The law librarian, assistant law librarians, bookbinders of the law library, purchaser, curators, deputy port director, chief of the bureau of maritime affairs, director of administration and finance of the port, port sales manager, port traffic manager, chief wharfinger, port commercial property manager, actuary of the employees' retirement board, director of the zoo and director of the arboretum and botanical garden; and
11. Positions determined by the controller and approved annually by the board of supervisors to be positions where the work or services can be practically performed under private contract at a lower cost to the city and county than similar work or services performed by employees of the City and County; provided that no work or services shall be contracted where such work or services are required to be performed by officers or employees of the City and County under the provisions of this Charter or other applicable law.

10.05 Discipline

Permanent employees in classified positions shall have the right to due process in all disciplinary matters. For disciplinary matters in which a suspension is imposed, an employee shall be granted a hearing and the right to appear before the appointing officer or designee in a manner to be prescribed by ordinance, consistent with this Section 10.05. For disciplinary matters involving demotion or dismissal, an employee shall have the right, after exhausting the process above, to an evidentiary hearing before the civil service commission or a hearing officer, or to binding arbitration as determined by ordinance, except as otherwise specified in this Section 10.05.
Sworn, Uniformed Members of the Police and Fire Departments

Sworn, uniformed members of the fire or the police department guilty of any offense or violation of the rules and regulations of their respective departments shall be liable to be punished for any offense by reprimand, by fine not exceeding one month's salary, by suspension for not to exceed three months, or by dismissal, after hearing by the commissioners of their respective departments. However, the chief of each respective department for disciplinary purposes may suspend a member for a period not to exceed 10 days for violation of the rules and regulations of the department. Any member so suspended shall have the right to appeal such suspension to the appropriate commission and have a hearing on such suspension. Written notice of appeal must be filed within 10 days after such suspension and the hearing of the appeal must be held within 30 days after the filing of the notice of appeal. If the commission shall reverse or alter the finding of the chief, it shall in the case of a reversal and may in other cases order that the member affected be paid salary for the time of suspension. In the event the chief should exercise such power of suspension, the member involved shall not be subject to any further disciplinary action for the same offense. Subject to the foregoing, members of either department shall not be subject to discipline, except for cause, and after an evidentiary hearing before the appropriate commission, upon written charges filed with such commission setting forth specifically the acts complained of, and after such reasonable notice to them as to time and place of hearings as such commission may, by rule, prescribe. The accused shall be entitled, upon hearing, to appear personally and by counsel and to secure and enforce, free of expense, the attendance of all witnesses necessary for the accused's defense.

All Other Employees

No person employed under the civil service provisions of this Charter as a permanent employee, exclusive of members of the police and fire departments, shall be demoted, removed, or discharged except for cause, upon written charges, and after an opportunity to be heard. Pending such hearing, the appointing officer may suspend the person so accused if the accusation against the accused person involves misappropriation, misuse, or destruction of public funds or property, or acts which present an immediate danger to the public health and safety. Such suspension shall not be valid for more than 30 days, unless a hearing upon the charges shall be delayed beyond such time by the act of the accused person. When charges are made, the appointing officer shall, in writing, notify the accused of the time and place where the charges will be heard, by mailing such statement to the accused's last known address. The hearing shall be conducted immediately by the civil service commission or a qualified neutral employed by the City and County and selected by procedure established by ordinance. The civil service commission or the qualified neutral shall publicly hear and determine charges and may exonerate, suspend, demote, or dismiss the accused. In the event the charges brought are not fully sustained the civil service commission or the qualified neutral may fashion appropriate relief including but not limited to reinstatement and full back pay. The personnel department shall immediately be notified of the charges when made, of the hearing, and of the finding thereon. The finding of the civil service commission or the qualified neutral shall be final and binding upon all parties to the hearing and shall be immediately enforced by the appointing officer.

The civil service commission may hear and determine any charge filed by a citizen when the appointing officer neglects or refuses to act.

Nothing in this Section 10.105 shall limit or restrict procedures governing lay-offs or reduction in force.

Notwithstanding the above Section 10.105, procedures governing disciplinary matters may be established through labor-management agreement.
ARTICLE XI
EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE RELATIONS SYSTEM

11.100 General
The purpose of this Article shall be to establish a mechanism to facilitate negotiations between the City and County and recognized employee organizations with respect to wages, hours and other terms and conditions of employment.

Employee Relations Office
An employee relations office shall be established within the office of the mayor, and shall:

1. Evaluate the likely cost of various labor and management proposals under negotiation; and
2. Be responsible for negotiation and administration of memoranda of understanding;
The mayor shall appoint a director of employee relations, subject to confirmation of the board of supervisors.

In addition, a chief labor negotiator for the City and County shall be jointly designated by the mayor and the board of supervisors in a manner provided by ordinance. The chief labor negotiator may be the director of employee relations, any other officer of the City and County or any other person. The chief labor negotiator shall negotiate on behalf of the City and County with recognized employee organizations.

11.101 Employer-Employee Negotiations
Wages, hours and other terms and conditions of employment for employees of the City and County shall be established by either:

1. The City and County acting through the chief labor negotiator shall meet and confer in good faith with recognized employee organizations and shall attempt to reach agreement on all matters within the scope of negotiation. Such agreement shall supersede any other terms and conditions of employment for covered employees established by Appendix A in Sections A8.402—A8.432 of this Charter, ordinances, resolutions, or departmental rules or regulations which are inconsistent with such agreements. Agreements may provide a procedure for final and binding arbitration of grievances which may arise between representatives of the City and County and an employee or recognized employee organization arising under an agreement, or of an impasse in negotiations. On the expiration of an agreement, terms and conditions of employment contained in the agreement shall terminate, and no employee benefit contained therein shall continue after the expiration date of the agreement, except as may be specifically provided in the agreement, while good faith negotiations remain in progress. Every agreement shall include specific language recognizing this limitation of terms and conditions of employment; or
2. In the absence of a negotiated agreement with any recognized employee organization, wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment shall be as provided in Appendix A of this Charter.

However, the City and County may continue to provide certain benefits of employment in areas included by Appendix A, including retirement and health benefits, vacations, and hours and tours of duty, which are greater in value than the benefits provided by Appendix A, only if gross wages are reduced by an amount equivalent to their excess value.
Conditions of employment not provided by Appendix A, including but not limited to such items as uniform allowances and shift differential pay, contained in prior agreements, shall be continued without reduction in total compensation; or
3. For officers and employees not members of the board of supervisors and not represented by a recognized employee organization for purposes of negotiating with the City and County, wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment shall be determined by the board of supervisors in accordance with a method adopted through ordinance, upon the recommendation of the personnel director.
Confidential employees in classes for which recognition has been granted shall be compensated in the same manner as other employees in that class.

11.102 Memoranda of Understanding
Memoranda of Understanding which incorporate labor-management agreements shall be adopted by the board of supervisors.

11.103 Strike Prohibition
The people of the City and County find that the instigation of, or participation in, strikes against the City and County by any officer or employee of the City and County constitutes a serious threat to the lives, property and welfare of its citizens and hereby declare as follows:

1. No person holding a position by appointment or employment under the civil service provisions of this Charter shall strike, or cause, instigate or afford leadership to a strike, or honor a strike against the City and County by willfully abstaining from the full, faithful and proper performance of the duties of employment; and
2. In the event of a strike by members of an employee organization recognized by the City and County, the City and County shall deem good faith negotiations to have ended. For a period of one year subsequent to such a strike, compensation for members of such an employee organization shall be determined by the provisions of Appendix A of this Charter. At the end of one year, the City and County may negotiate a new agreement, as provided in Section 11.101 of this Charter.
ARTICLE XII
EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT AND
HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEMS

12.100 Retirement Board
There shall be a retirement board of the City and County which shall consist of seven members as follows: the president of the board of supervisors, three public members to be appointed by the mayor, and three members elected from the active membership of the retirement system. The public members appointed by the mayor shall hold either a degree of doctor of medicine or shall be experienced in life insurance, actuarial science, employee pension planning or investment portfolio management, and shall be appointed by the mayor; provided, however, that there shall be one appointive member who holds a degree of doctor of medicine. The term of the six members, other than the president of the board of supervisors, shall be five years, one term expiring on February 20th of each year. The three elected members need not be residents of the city and county. Vacancies on the board shall be filled by the mayor for the remainder of the unexpired term, except that in the case of elected employee members, a vacancy shall be filled by a special election within 120 days after the vacancy occurs unless the next regularly scheduled employee member election is to be held within six months after such vacancy shall have occurred. Elections shall be conducted by the registrar of voters in a manner prescribed by ordinance. The retirement board shall appoint and may remove an executive director and an actuary, who shall hold office at its pleasure, and shall employ a consulting actuary.

The retirement board shall be the sole authority and judge, consistent with this Charter and ordinances, as to the conditions under which members of the retirement system may receive and may continue to receive benefits under the retirement system, and shall have exclusive control of the administration and investment of such funds as may be established, provided that all investments shall be of the character legal for insurance companies within the State of California, and that funding policies shall be consistent with the principles and practices recognized by the American Academy of Actuaries.

12.101 Executive Director and Actuary
The executive director shall administer the retirement system in accordance with the provisions of this Charter and the policies and regulations of the retirement board. The executive director or the executive director's designee, shall have the power to administer oaths and affirmations in all matters pertaining to the business of the retirement system.

12.102 Hearing Officer
Any application for disability leave, disability retirement, or death allowance made pursuant to this Charter shall be heard by a hearing officer employed under contract by the retirement board and selected by procedures set forth in its rules, which shall include rules setting forth the qualifications and selection procedure necessary to appoint a qualified and unbiased hearing officer. Following public hearing, the hearing officer shall determine whether such application shall be granted or denied. All expenses related to processing and adjudicating such applications shall be paid from the compensation fund.

At any time within thirty days after the service of the hearing officer's decision, the applicant or any other affected party, including the retirement system, may petition the hearing officer for a rehearing upon one or more of the following grounds and no other:

1. That the hearing officer acted without or in excess of the hearing officer's powers;
2. That the decision was procured by fraud;
3. That the evidence does not justify the decision; or
4. That the petitioner has discovered new material evidence which could not, with reasonable diligence, have been discovered and produced at the hearing.

The decision of the hearing officer shall be final upon the expiration of thirty days after the petition for rehearing is denied, or if the hearing is granted, upon the expiration of thirty days after the rendition of the decision. Such final decision shall not be subject to amendment, modification, or rescission by the retirement board, but shall be subject to review by the retirement board only for the purpose of determining whether to seek judicial review.

12.103 Trust Fund
The retirement fund shall be a trust fund to be administered by the retirement board in accordance with the provisions of this Charter solely for the benefit of the active members and retired members of the retirement system and their survivors and beneficiaries. Administrative costs of the retirement system, as adopted by the board of supervisors in the annual budget, excluding administration of workers compensation, shall be paid from the accumulated contributions of the City and County.

Part Two: Health Service System

12.200 Health Service Board
There shall be a health service board of the City and County which shall consist of seven members as follows: the chairman of the finance committee of the board of supervisors, the city attorney, or designated assistant city attorney, two members appointed by the mayor, one of whom shall be
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A resident official of an insurance company and the other a
doctor of medicine, and three members elected by the mem-
ers of the system from among their number. Elections shall
be conducted by the registrar of voters in a manner pre-
scribed by ordinance. Elected members need not reside with-
in the City and County. The terms of members, other than
the two ex-officio members, shall be five years, one term ex-
piring on May 15 of each year.

A vacancy on the health service board appointive by the
mayor shall be filled by the mayor. A vacancy in an elective
office on the health service board shall be filled by a special
election within 90 days after the vacancy occurs unless a reg-
ular election is to be held and within six months after such
vacancy shall have occurred.

The health service board shall:

1. Establish and maintain detailed historical costs for
medical and hospital care and conduct an annual review of
such costs;

2. Apply benefits without special favor or privilege;

3. Put such plans into effect and through its staff, conduct
and administer the same and, contract therefor and use the
funds of the system; and

4. Make rules and regulations for the transaction of its
business, the granting of exemptions and the admission to
the system of members.

12.201 Medical Director or Executive Director

The health service board shall appoint and may remove a
full-time medical director who shall be a doctor of medicine
with experience in administering health plans or in compara-
ble work, or an executive officer with experience in adminis-
tering health plans or in comparable work and a part-time
medical adviser who shall be a doctor of medicine with such
experience. The health service board and each committee of
the board shall confine its activities to policy matters and to
matters coming before it as an appeal board.

12.202 Membership in Health Service System

The members of the system shall consist of all permanent
employees of the City and County, which shall include offi-
cers of the City and County, of the unified school district and
of the parking authority of the City and County.

12.203 Health Service System Fund

The health service system fund shall be a trust fund to be
administered by the health service system board in accor-
dance with the provisions of this Charter solely for the bene-
fit of the active members and retired members of the health
service system. Administrative costs of the health service
system, as adopted by the board of supervisors in the annual
budget, shall be funded by the trust fund.

Next Time You Move
Phone Us

We'll mail you the forms to register
ARTICLE XIII
ELECTIONS

13.100 City and County Elections
All laws of the State of California shall apply to elections in the City and County, except as otherwise provided by this Charter or ordinance not inconsistent with state law.

13.101 Terms of Elective Office
[To be inserted from either 17.119 or 17.120 depending upon the method of election of supervisors in effect on 12/31/80.]

13.102 Municipal Runoff Elections
[To be inserted from either 17.119 or 17.120 depending upon the method of election in effect on 12/31/80.]

13.103 Special Municipal Elections
The board of supervisors may call a special municipal election for initiatives, referenda, amendments to this Charter or as otherwise provided in this Charter. The date of any special municipal election shall be fixed by the board of supervisors not less than 60 nor more than 75 days from the date of calling such election; however, no special municipal election shall be held within 60 days of any general municipal or statewide election. The board of supervisors may consolidate a special municipal election with a general municipal or statewide election.

The board of supervisors shall maintain a fund sufficient to pay all costs and expenses of the City and County with respect to a special municipal election, and such fund shall be used solely to pay the costs of such an election. Upon payment of any such costs or expenses, an appropriation shall be made in the next succeeding appropriations ordinance sufficient to reimburse the fund.

13.104 Registrar of Voters
The registrar of voters shall register electors as voters, conduct elections, establish precincts, certify petitions, appoint election officers, and be responsible for all other matters pertaining to elections in the City and County.

The registrar of voters may withhold the pay of any election officer who neglects, disregards, or violates the laws.

13.105 Nomination
Not less than 60 days prior to a general municipal, special municipal, or statewide election each person wishing to be a candidate for an elective office shall file with the registrar of voters:

1. A declaration of candidacy in such form as shall be prescribed by the registrar of voters;
2. A filing fee of two percent of the current annual salary for the office sought or the signatures of such number of electors as is required by law; and
3. [To be inserted from either 17.119 or 17.120 depending upon the method of election of supervisors in effect on 12/31/80.]

Upon fulfillment of these requirements, the registrar of voters shall certify the candidate as nominated and place the candidate's name on the ballot. A candidate may withdraw such candidacy at any time up to 50 days prior to the election by filing with the registrar of voters a statement of withdrawal signed by such candidate.

The registrar of voters shall preserve for a period of four years, in a manner accessible to the public, all declarations, signatures, and lists of sponsors filed pursuant to this Section 13.105.

13.106 Qualification
[To be inserted from either 17.119 or 17.120 depending upon the method of election of supervisors in effect on 12/31/80.]

13.107 Election Material Mailed to Voters
A voter's pamphlet including a sample ballot, candidates' statements, lists of sponsors, arguments for and against each ballot measure, any financial impact statements prepared by the controller, and arguments for and against the recall of any officers shall be mailed to each elector so as to be received at least ten days prior to each general, runoff, or special municipal election.

13.108 Determination of Election Results
The canvass of voters, canvass of returns, declaration of elections and certification of elections shall be made as prescribed by law. If a person elected fails to qualify, the office shall be filled in the manner prescribed by this Charter for the filling of a vacancy in such office.

13.109 Reporting of Campaign Financing
The board of supervisors shall, by ordinance, prescribe requirements for campaign contributions and expenditures and any limitations thereon with respect to candidates for elective office and ballot measures in the City and County.
ARTICLE XIV
INITIATIVE, REFERENDUM AND
RECALL

14.100 General
Except as otherwise provided in this Article, the voters of the City and County shall have the power to enact initiatives and the power to nullify acts or measures involving legislative matters by referendum.

14.101 Initiatives
An initiative may be proposed by presenting to the registrar of voters a petition containing the initiative and signed by voters in a number equal to at least five percent of the votes cast for all candidates for mayor in the last preceding general municipal election for mayor. Such initiative shall be submitted to the voters by the registrar of voters upon certification of the sufficiency of the petition’s signatures.

A vote on such initiative shall occur at the next general municipal or statewide election occurring at any time after 90 days from the date of the certificate of sufficiency executed by the registrar of voters, unless the board of supervisors directs that the initiative be voted upon at a special municipal election.

If the petition containing the initiative is signed by voters in a number equal to at least ten percent of the votes cast for all candidates for mayor in the last preceding general municipal election for mayor, and contains a request that the initiative be submitted forthwith to voters at a special municipal election, the registrar of voters shall promptly call such a special municipal election on the initiative. Such election shall be held not less than 60 nor more than 75 days from the date of its calling unless it is within 60 days of a general municipal or statewide election, in which event the initiative shall be submitted at such general municipal or statewide election.

No initiative or declaration of policy approved by the voters shall be subject to veto, or to amendment or repeal except by the voters, unless such initiative or declaration of policy shall otherwise provide.

14.102 Legislative Referendum
Prior to the effective date of an ordinance, a referendum on that ordinance may be proposed by filing with the board of supervisors a petition protesting the passage of that ordinance. Such petition shall be signed by voters in a number equal to at least ten percent, or in the case of an ordinance granting any public utility franchise, at least five percent, of the votes cast for all candidates for mayor in the last preceding general municipal election for mayor.

Such ordinance shall then be suspended from becoming effective. The board of supervisors shall reconsider the ordinance. If it is not entirely repealed, the board of supervisors shall submit the ordinance to the voters at the next general municipal or statewide election or at a special municipal election. Such ordinance shall not become effective until approved by voters at such an election.

14.103 Recall
An elected official of the City and County may be recalled by the voters as provided by this Charter and by the laws of the State of California, except that no recall petitions shall be initiated with respect to any officer who has held office for less than six months.

[Second paragraph to be inserted from either 17.119 or 17.120 depending upon the method of election of supervisors in effect on 12/31/80.]

Upon certifying the sufficiency of the recall petition’s signatures, the registrar of voters shall forthwith call a special municipal election on the recall, to be held not less than 60 nor more than 75 days from the date of its calling unless it is within 60 days of a general municipal or statewide election, in which event the recall shall be submitted at such general municipal or statewide election.

14.104 Petitions—Withdrawal of Signatures
A person signing a petition for initiative, referendum, or recall may withdraw his or her name from such petition by filing with the registrar of voters a verified revocation of that signature prior to the filing of such petition itself.

14.105 Elections Code
The board of supervisors shall adopt an elections code which is not inconsistent with this Charter.
ARTICLE XV
MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

15.100 Cable Cars
In the conduct of the municipal railway there shall be maintained and operated cable car lines as follows:

1. A line commencing at Powell and Market Streets; thence along Powell Street to Jackson Street; thence along Jackson Street to Mason Street; thence along Mason Street to Columbus Avenue; thence along Columbus Avenue to Taylor Street; thence along Taylor Street to a terminal at Bay Street; returning from Bay and Taylor Streets along Taylor Street to Columbus Avenue; thence along Columbus Avenue to Mason Street; thence along Mason Street to Washington Street; thence along Washington Street to Powell Street; and thence along Powell Street to Market Street, the point of commencement.

2. A line commencing at Powell and Market Streets; thence along Powell Street to Jackson Street; thence along Jackson Street to Hyde Street; thence along Hyde Street to a terminal at Beach, returning from Beach and Hyde Streets along Hyde Street to Washington Street; thence along Washington Street to Powell street; thence along Powell Street to Market Street, the point of commencement.

3. A line commencing at Market and California; thence along California Street to a terminal at Van Ness Avenue; returning from Van Ness Avenue along California Street to Market Street, the point of commencement.

To fully effectuate the intent of this Section 15.100, these lines shall be maintained and operated at the normal levels of scheduling and service in effect on July 1, 1971; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the increasing of the levels of scheduling and service.

The fare on any cable car line shall not exceed the local fare established under the provisions of this Charter for other types of carrier equipment employed in the operation of the San Francisco Municipal Railway.

15.101 Acquisition of Public Utilities
It is the declared purpose and intention of the people of the City and County, when public interest and necessity demand, that public utilities shall be gradually acquired and ultimately owned by the City and County. Whenever the board of supervisors shall determine that the public interest or necessity demands the acquisition, construction or completion of any public utility or utilities by the City and County, or whenever the electors shall petition the board of supervisors, as provided in Section 9.112 and Article XIV of this Charter, for the acquisition of any public utility or utilities, the supervisors must procure a report from the public utilities commission thereon.

15.102 Law Library
The San Francisco Law Library, established under an act of the Legislature approved March 9, 1870, shall be under the management and control of the board of trustees, which shall consist of seven appointive members of the San Francisco bar, and the mayor, the presiding judge and the three judges of the appellate department of the superior court, ex officio. All vacancies on the board shall be filled by the board.

The board of trustees shall appoint and at its pleasure may remove a librarian, who shall be its executive officer, and such assistants as are necessary for the proper conduct and operation of the library. The salaries of the librarian and the assistants and employees shall be fixed by the board of supervisors as provided by this Charter for other City and County employees.

The supervisors shall provide suitable and sufficient quarters for the law library, fix up and furnish the same and provide for the supply of necessary light, heat, stationery and other conveniences. The library shall be so located as to be readily accessible to the judges and the officers of the court.

The county clerk and the clerk of the municipal court shall collect the fees provided for law libraries by law and the fees so collected by such officers or by any officers under any other provisions of the law shall be paid to the treasurer of the law library monthly, and shall constitute a law library fund to be expended by the law library trustees in the purchase of books and periodicals, and in the establishment and maintenance of the law library.

The judiciary, city, county and state officials, members of the bar and all inhabitants of the City and County shall have free access, use and enjoyment of the law library, subject to rules and regulations of the board of trustees.

15.103 Airport Revenue Fund
(a) Subject to the budget and fiscal provisions of this Charter: (1) The entire gross revenue of the airport commission shall be set aside and deposited into a fund in the City and County treasury to be known as the "Airport Revenue Fund." All amounts paid into the fund shall be maintained by the treasurer separate and apart from all other City and County funds and shall be secured by the treasurer's official bond or bonds. The fund shall be exempt from Section 17.103 of this Charter (specifically, Section 6.407 of the 1932 Charter). (2) Separate accounts shall be kept with respect to receipts and disbursements of each airport under the jurisdiction of the commission.

(b) Moneys in the Airport Revenue Fund including earn-
ings thereon shall be appropriated, transferred, expended or used for the following purposes pertaining to the financing, maintenance and operation of airports and related facilities owned, operated or controlled by the commission and only in accordance with the following priority: (1) the payment of operation and maintenance expenses for such airports or related facilities; (2) the payment of pension charges and proportionate payments to such compensation and other insurance or outside reserve funds as the commission may establish or the board of supervisors may require with respect to employees of the commission; (3) the payment of principal, interest, reserve, sinking fund, and other mandatory funds created to secure revenue bonds hereafter issued by the commission for the acquisition, construction or extension of airports or related facilities owned, operated or controlled by the commission; (4) the payment of principal and interest on general obligation bonds hereetofore or hereafter issued by the City and County for airport purposes; (5) reconstruction and replacement as determined by the commission or as required by any airport revenue bond ordinance duly adopted and approved; (6) the acquisition of land, real property or interest in real property for, and the acquisition, construction, enlargement and improvement of new and existing buildings, structures, facilities, utilities, equipment, appliances and other property necessary or convenient for the development or improvement of any airports and heliports owned, controlled or operated by the commission in the promotion and accommodation of air commerce or navigation and matters incidental thereto; (7) the return and repayment into the general fund of the City and County of any sums paid by the City and County from funds raised by taxation for the payment of interest on and principal of any general obligation bonds previously issued by the City and County for the acquisition, construction and improvement of the San Francisco International Airport; (8) for any other lawful purpose of the commission including, but not limited to, the transfer to the general fund during each fiscal year of twenty-five (25%) percent, or such lesser percentage as the board of supervisors shall establish, of the non-airline revenues as a return upon the City and County's investment in the airport. "Non-airline" revenues means all airport revenues from whatever source less revenues from airline rentals and charges to airlines for use of airport facilities.

15.104 California Academy of Sciences

All buildings and improvements erected by or under the authority of the California Academy of Sciences, in or on property owned or controlled by the City and County, including but not limited to the Steinhart Aquarium, the original Natural History Museum, the Sismon African Hall, and the additions housing, among other things, the Alexander F. Morrison Planetarium and Auditorium, are the property of the City and County. However, the buildings and improve-
ments, and the activities and personnel therein shall be controlled exclusively by the California Academy of Sciences, except that employees of the City and County shall be subject to the personnel provisions of this Charter and their compensation fixed in accordance with this Charter and City and County funds are subject to the financial provisions of this Charter.

The California Academy of Sciences shall submit to the mayor and board of supervisors an annual financial statement of its activities in connection with the operation of the buildings described in this Section 15.104.

15.105 Cultural, Educational, and Recreational Appropriations

The board of supervisors shall annually appropriate:

1) to the art commission, the revenue from a tax of one-half cent on each $100 of taxable assessed valuation in the City and County for maintaining a symphony orchestra;

2) to the Asian art commission, an amount sufficient for the purpose of maintaining, displaying, and providing for the security of the City and County's collection of Asian art;

3) to the California Academy of Sciences, funds necessary for the maintenance, operation, and continuance of the Steinhart Aquarium. The board of supervisors shall have the power to furnish to the California Academy of Sciences such funds as the board shall deem proper for the maintenance, operation, and continuance of any or all other of the buildings and improvements placed under the control of the California Academy of Sciences;

4) to the fine arts museums commission, an amount sufficient for the purpose of maintaining, operating, providing for the security of, expanding, and superintending the fine arts museums and for the purchase of objects of art, literary productions, and other personal property;

5) to the war memorial and performing arts center commission, an amount sufficient to defray the cost of maintaining, operating and caring for the war memorial and performing arts center;

6) to the library commission, the revenue from a minimum tax of four cents per $100 taxable assessed valuation for constructing, maintaining and improving the library system of the City and County; and

7) to the recreation and park commission, the revenue from a minimum tax of ten cents per $100 taxable assessed valuation for constructing, maintaining and improving parks and squares, and the revenue from a minimum tax of seven cents per $100 taxable assessed valuation for constructing, maintaining, and improving playgrounds.

15.106 Publicity and Advertising Fund

A publicity and advertising fund shall be allocated to and administered by the administrative officer for the support of groups and organizations engaged in the arts, culture, and
promotion. In making disbursements, the administrative officer shall consider recommendations provided by the art, commission.

The publicity and advertising fund shall be computed as follows: A minimum of 20% of the receipts of any hotel occupancy tax shall be appropriated to the publicity and advertising fund. However, if the rate of such a hotel occupancy tax is greater than 8%, the rate of 8% shall be used to compute the receipts of the hotel occupancy tax for the purposes of this Section 15.106.

15.107 Open Space Acquisitions and Park Renovation Fund

There shall be an open space acquisition and park renovation fund, to be administered by the recreation and park commission. Monies therein shall be appropriated, transferred, expended or used as provided for herein for those recreation and open space purposes determined by the planning commission to be consistent with the recreation and open space element of the general plan of the City and County and in accordance with the "Recreation and Open Space Programs" to implement the recreation and open space element approved by the planning commission on July 19, 1973, as modified by a majority vote of each of the planning commission and recreation and park commission meeting jointly, and with the concurrence of the board of supervisors.

The recreation and open space element of the comprehensive plan and the "Recreation and Open Space Programs," modified, shall continue to identify neighborhoods which are in special need of recreation and open space facilities, and shall designate such neighborhoods as "high-need neighborhoods." Monies in the open space acquisition and park renovation fund shall be used to acquire by purchase, lease, exchange, eminent domain or otherwise, real property, interests therein, and improvement and development rights thereon and to develop and maintain land so acquired. Lands currently under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco port commission may be acquired by lease or otherwise and may be leased and administered with the funds provided for herein for purposes consistent with this Section 15.107. The recreation and park commission and the San Francisco port commission are hereby authorized to enter into contracts appropriate to carry out the purposes of this Section 15.107.

There shall continue to be imposed, for a period of 15 years starting with the fiscal year 1975-1976, an annual tax of $0.10 for each $100 assessed valuation to be utilized for the purposes provided for in this Section 15.107. Revenues obtained thereby shall be in addition to, and not in place of, any sums normally budgeted for the recreation and park commission, and, together with interest earned thereon, shall be deposited into the open space acquisition and park renovation fund. In addition, all grants, gifts, and bequests paid to the City and County for open space acquisition and park renovation, and interest earned thereon, unless otherwise restricted, shall be deposited into the fund. Establishment of this fund is not intended to preclude any other similar programs or any similar use of funds by the City and County. All amounts paid into said fund shall be maintained by the treasurer, separate and apart from all other City and County funds, and shall be secured by the treasurer's bond.

Monies in the fund shall be used for: (i) the acquisition and development of lands within or contiguous to "high-need neighborhoods," or lands on the northern waterfront and bay shoreline for recreation purposes; (ii) the acquisition and development of properties within the City and County for open space purposes; and (iii) the renovation of existing parks and recreation facilities within the City and County.

Each year, monies in the fund shall be used to match, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, private funds, grants, or donations given to the City and County for the purpose of renovating existing parks and recreational facilities up to an amount equal to 15 percent of the amount of the monies provided for the fund in that year. Each year, monies in the fund shall be used without a matching requirement for the purpose of renovating existing parks and recreational facilities up to an amount equal to ten percent of the amount of the monies provided for the fund in that year. Monies unspent in either category of this subsection after the end of one fiscal year shall be carried forward to the next fiscal year and shall be used only for the same purposes as they were originally set aside.

The remaining monies shall be used as indicated in the following two paragraphs.

In each of the first five years of the fund's existence, a minimum of 50 percent of the remainder of the monies in the fund shall be used to acquire real property, and at least 25 percent of the remainder of the monies in the fund shall be used for acquisition of properties within or contiguous to "high-need neighborhoods"; the balance of the remainder of the monies in the fund shall be used for administrative expenses and the maintenance and development of properties acquired through the fund.

At any time after the end of five years, the proportion of funds to be used for acquisition may be modified by the board of supervisors. At any time after the end of ten years, if the then current "Recreation and Open Space Programs" no longer shows any lands appropriate for open space and recreation purposes, then the limitation that funds may only be used for the maintenance and development of properties acquired from the fund may be modified in whole or in part by the board of supervisors to provide that funds may be used to expand the maintenance and development of other properties held by the recreation and park department in "high-need neighborhoods" identified in the then current "Recreation and Open Space Programs."
The recreation and park commission and the planning commission shall hold at least one joint public meeting annually and shall at such time receive and review a report from the executive of the recreation and park department on the implementation of the "Recreation and Open Space Programs," on expenditures made from the open space acquisition and park renovation fund, and on properties developed in the preceding year for recreation uses. The executive of the recreation and park department shall also make general recommendations of further lands for acquisition, improvement, or development for approval by a majority of each of the recreation and park commission and the city planning commission meeting jointly, and with the concurrence of the board of supervisors.

15.108 Recreation and Parks; Buildings and Lands
The responsibilities of the City and County with respect to recreation and parks shall be limited as follows:

1. No building or structure, except for nurseries, equipment storage facilities and comfort stations, shall be erected, enlarged or expanded in Golden Gate Park unless such action has been approved by a vote of two-thirds of the board of supervisors; and

2. No park land may be sold, or leased for non-recreational purposes unless approved by a vote of the electors.

15.109 Franchises
Franchises shall be granted by a competitive procurement process for not more than 25 years.

15.110 Citizen Participation; Publication of and Access to Public Documents
The publication of and full public access to public documents, except for those subject to confidentiality, shall be as required by law.

15.111 Financial Disclosure
All public officers, as defined by the laws of the State of California and including members of all boards, commissions and other units of government of the City and County, shall disclose financial information in accordance with state law. The registrar of voters shall maintain all such records and be responsible for the enforcement of this Section 15.111.

15.112 Conflict of Interest
Any elected or appointed official, and any employee of the City and County must disqualify themselves from making, participating in the making, or using their official positions to influence the making of any governmental decision which will foreseeably have a material financial effect, distinguishable from its effect on the public generally, on any financial interest as defined in Government Code Section 87103. No elected or appointed official, or any employee of the City and County shall be prevented from making or participating in the making of any decision to the extent his or her participation is legally required for the decision to be made. The fact that any elected or appointed official's, or employee's vote as a member of a multi-member body is needed to break a tie does not make such person's participation legally required for purposes of this Section 15.112.

15.113 Taxpayers' Suits
In the event that a taxpayer of the City and County institutes suit or other proceeding as provided by law against any officer, board or commission of the City and County in the name of the taxpayer on behalf of the City and County, the taxpayer shall be allowed costs and also such reasonable compensation for attorney's fees as may be fixed by the court if judgment is finally entered in the taxpayer's favor.

15.114 Severability
If any provision of this Charter, or its application to any person or circumstances is held invalid, the remainder of this Charter, and the application of such provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected.

15.115 Appendix A
The following sections of the Charter of 1932, as recodified in 1971, 1978 edition, as amended as of August 7, 1980, shall remain in effect as a part of this Charter as an "Appendix A," except that in instance of conflict or inconsistency between these sections of the Charter of 1932 and the body of this Charter, this Charter shall prevail, and subject to the following limitations and amendments:

1) All references to "wife" or "surviving wife" shall be construed to include "spouse" or "surviving spouse;"
2) All references to sections of "the Charter" or "this Charter" shall be construed to refer to the Charter of 1932, as defined above;
3) All definitions or descriptions included through such references shall remain in force, unless in conflict or inconsistent with definitions or descriptions in this Charter, or unless amended by the board of supervisors;
4) All references to powers and duties of the "civil service commission," except powers and duties concerning appeals as set forth in Article 10 of this Charter, shall become the powers and duties of the "personnel department," except that the powers and duties of the civil service commission in relation to the fund established in Section 8.404 subsection (f), shall become the powers and duties of the employee relations office.
5) The first paragraph of Section 8.407 of the Charter of 1932 shall be amended to read: "This section shall apply to all officers and employees except those whose compensations are specified in this Charter in Section 11.101, subsection (3) and those covered in Sections A8.402,
A8.404, and A8.405. Generally prevailing rates of salaries and wages shall be determined by the personnel department through survey, as set forth below, and adopted by the board of supervisors."

6) The first sentence of Section 8.324 of the Charter of 1932 shall be amended to read: "Veterans with 30 days or more actual service, and widows and widowers of such veterans, who become eligible for appointment by attaining the passing mark in any entrance examination, shall be allowed for one examination only during their employment with the city and county, an additional credit of five percent in making up the list of eligibles established by such examinations."

The following sections from the Charter of 1932 shall be included in Appendix A and each shall be designated with a prefix "A":

8.402–8.407 Salaries and Wages for Teachers, Muni, Police, Fire, and Miscellaneous Employees

8.410–8.411 Expenses

8.440 Vacations

8.450–8.452 Hours and Tours of Duty

8.420–8.432 Health Service System Benefits

8.500–8.588-14 Retirement System

8.324 Veterans' Preference in Examinations

8.329 Rule of Three.

---
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**1- INSPECTOR**

**3- JUDGES**

**AT EACH POLL**

**ON ELECTION DAY**
ARTICLE XVI
DEFINITIONS

For all purposes of this Charter, the following terms shall have the meanings specified below:

"Business day" shall mean any day other than a Saturday, Sunday or holiday on which governmental agencies of the State of California are authorized by law to close.

"Confirm" or "confirmation" shall mean the approval by a majority of the members of the board of supervisors.

"Elector" shall mean a person qualified as an elector under the laws of the State of California and residing in the City and County.

"For cause" shall mean the issuance of a written public statement by the mayor describing those actions taken by an individual as a member of a board or commission which are the reasons for removal.

"General municipal election" shall mean the election to be held in the City and County on the Tuesday immediately following the first Monday in November in odd-numbered years.

"Initiative" shall mean a proposal by the voters with respect to any ordinance, act or other measure which is within the powers conferred upon the board of supervisors to enact, any legislative act which is within the power conferred upon any other official, board, commission or other unit of government to adopt, any amendment to this Charter or any declaration of policy.

"Notice" shall mean a public notice in the form and manner as shall be prescribed by ordinance, and shall include a filing with the clerk of the board of supervisors contemporaneously with such public notice.

"One-third", "a majority" or "two-thirds" of the board of supervisors or any other board or commission of the City and County shall mean one-third, a majority or two-thirds of all members of such board or commission.

"Published" shall mean published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County.

"Referendum" shall mean; (1) the power of the voters to nullify ordinances involving legislative matters except that the referendum power shall not extend to any portion of the annual budget or supplemental appropriations, ordinances authorizing the city attorney to compromise litigation or ordinances necessary to enable the mayor to carry out the mayor's emergency powers; and (2) the power of the mayor and board of supervisors to refer measures to the voters.

"Special municipal election" shall mean, in addition to special elections otherwise required by law, the election called by (1) the registrar of voters with respect to an initiative, referendum or recall, and (2) the board of supervisors with respect to bond issues, election of an official not required to be elected at the general municipal election, or an initiative or referendum.

"Statewide election" shall mean an election held throughout the state.

"Voter" shall mean an elector who is registered in accordance with the provisions of the laws of the State of California.

NEXT TIME YOU MOVE
PHONE US
we’ll mail you the forms
to register to vote

S. H. Koshale
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ARTICLE XVII
TRANSITION PROVISIONS

17.100 Effective Date of this Article XVII
This Article XVII shall take effect upon the filing of this Charter with the Secretary of State of the State of California. This Article shall expire and go out of existence when the last act required to be done in this Article has been completed.

17.101 Operative Date of this Charter; Effect of Enactment on Existing Law
This Charter shall be operative, and shall supersede the Charter of 1932, as recodified in 1971, and as amended, as of July 1, 1981. All references in this Article to the "Charter of 1932" shall be to the Charter of 1932, as recodified in 1971, 1978 edition, as amended as of August 7, 1980.

To the extent the provisions of this Charter, or provisions of the Charter of 1932, in effect immediately before the operative date of this Charter, or the provisions of the Charter of 1932 transferred by enactment of this Charter to ordinance, are the same in terms or in effect as provisions of law enforced when this Charter becomes operative, they shall be construed and applied as a continuation of those provisions.

All provisions of law relating to or affecting the City and County in force when this Charter becomes operative are hereby repealed and superseded only to the extent they are inconsistent with the provisions of this Charter.

Nothing in this Charter shall amend or otherwise affect the provisions of the Initiative Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance, adopted November 8, 1932, as amended, except that the administrative officer and the general manager of public utilities shall succeed to the functions of the chief administrative officer and the manager of utilities, respectively, as specified in that Initiative Ordinance.

17.102 Obligations of Contract Not Impaired
All rights, claims, actions, orders, obligations, proceedings and contracts existing on the operative date of this Charter shall not be affected by the adoption of this Charter, except that where functions, powers and duties have been reassigned, the office, agency or department to which the assignment is made shall have charge of the matter.

17.103 Transfer of 1932 Charter Sections to Ordinance and Initiative Ordinances
The following Sections of the Charter of 1932 shall be deemed enacted into ordinance and may be amended by the board of supervisors on the operative date of this Charter; provided, however, (1) that in the instance of conflict or inconsistency between the ordinance or a portion of the ordinance and this Charter, this Charter shall prevail, and (2) that each such Section deemed so enacted shall terminate three years from the operative date of this Charter unless such Sections are further reenacted:

2.100
2.203
2.203-3
3.201
3.301-3.303 inclusive
3.402
3.501
3.523
3.529
3.531
3.533-3.539 inclusive
3.540-3.546 inclusive
3.551
3.552
3.560
3.570-3.573 inclusive
3.590-3.599 inclusive
3.601
3.621-3.624 inclusive
3.640-3.643 inclusive
3.691-3.694 inclusive
6.207
6.301-6.304 inclusive
6.306-6.310 inclusive
6.312
6.313
6.400-6.403 inclusive
6.406-6.408 inclusive
6.410
7.100-7.104 inclusive
7.200-7.206 inclusive
7.302-7.309 inclusive
7.400-7.405 inclusive
7.600-7.606 inclusive
7.701-7.703 inclusive
8.104-6
8.311
9.113-9.115 inclusive

Initiative ordinances shall not be published as part of this Charter, in an appendix or otherwise, but shall be published as provided in this Charter for other ordinances, and shall be included in any codification of ordinances as provided in this Charter and be designated as initiative ordinances.
17.104 Transfer of Functions, Powers and Duties

On the operative date of this Charter, all offices, agencies and departments of the City and County then in existence under the Charter of 1932 shall continue to perform their functions, exercise their authority and fulfill their responsibilities, as they existed immediately before this Charter's becoming operative subject to the provisions of this Article. Not later than 90 days after the operative date of this Charter, each such office, agency and department for which this Charter does not provide shall cease to exist, and its functions, powers and duties shall be transferred to the appropriate governmental unit created by this Charter or under the authority of this Charter. The board of supervisors and the mayor shall take all action necessary to provide for the orderly transfer of functions, authority and responsibility on or before the date of such transfer.

All property, records and equipment related to the exercise of those functions, powers and duties which are reassigned by the terms or under the authority of this Charter shall be transferred to the office, agency or department to which the assignment is made.

17.105 Establishment of Audit Committee of the Board of Supervisors

On or before the operative date of this Charter and until this requirement is changed by the board of supervisors, the board of supervisors shall establish through its rules an audit committee which shall consist of the president of the board of supervisors, who shall serve as chair, and two other members of the board, appointed by the president. Members of the audit committee shall not be members of the finance committee; however, the chair of the finance committee shall serve ex-officio with no vote. The audit committee shall meet at least four times each year.

The audit committee shall:

1) Maintain a direct and separate line of communication between the board of supervisors and the City and County's independent auditor;

2) Meet with the independent auditor to review the audited annual financial statement and the auditor's report on such matters as the quality and depth of management, and compliance;

3) Recommend appropriate action to be taken by the board of supervisors to implement recommendations contained in the audit report;

4) Follow-up, as necessary, to ensure that approved recommendations are promptly implemented; and

5) Perform other duties as assigned by the board of supervisors.

17.106 Changes in Offices and Positions

Within 90 days after the operative date of this Charter, the mayor shall appoint a deputy mayor for management and budget.

The chief administrative officer serving on August 1, 1980 and the controller serving on August 1, 1980 shall be appointed to the offices, respectively, of administrative officer and controller. These offices shall have the functions, powers, and duties assigned by this Charter, and their initial terms shall expire on the second Monday in January of 1984.

The clerk of the board of supervisors serving on August 1, 1980 shall be appointed as clerk of the board of supervisors. This position shall remain a classified position as long as the person holding the position on August 1, 1980 remains in this position.

On the operative date of this Charter, the administrative officer shall be responsible for the following functions until they are reassigned by the mayor: the departments of public works, government services, purchasing, real estate, electricity, county agriculture, and weights and measures; the office of the coroner; the George R. Moscone Center Project; and the San Francisco clean water program.

17.107 Changes in the Term and Nature of Boards and Commissions

Those members of boards and commissions incumbent on the operative date of this Charter shall continue to hold office until the expiration of their respective terms, as prescribed by this Charter. In furtherance of this Section 17.107, in cases where boards and commissions are appointed for staggered terms and divided into categories, the mayor or shall designate which incumbent members shall belong to categories I, II, III and IV, respectively.

Within 90 days after the operative date of this Charter, the mayor shall appoint sufficient members to boards and commissions to fill all vacancies which then exist because the number of members has been increased by this Charter. Newly appointed members shall meet the qualifications required by this Charter. The mayor shall make such appointments for terms of such lengths that the provisions for length of terms contained in this Charter shall be fulfilled at the earliest practicable time.

The initial members of the fine arts museums commissions shall be those trustees of the fine arts museums incumbent on the operative date of this Charter, except for the mayor and the president of the recreation and park commission, whose terms shall end on that date. The remaining members shall nominate six persons to the mayor whose successors, after their terms expire, shall be appointed by mayor as provided in this Charter.

17.108 Annual Report Required by Ordinance

As of the operative date of this Charter and until this requirement is changed by the board of supervisors, each board and commission of the City and County shall be required by ordinance to prepare an annual report describing its activities, and shall file such report with the mayor and the clerk of the board of supervisors.
17.109 Official Fidelity Bonds
The board of supervisors shall determine the initial fidelity bond requirements under this Charter within 90 days after the operative date of this Charter. Until the board of supervisors determines such requirements for officials of the City and County, the bonds existing on the operative date of this Charter shall be maintained.

17.110 Transportation and Municipal Utilities
The mayor and the board of supervisors may examine the public utilities commission including its departments and the department of public works. If it is determined that the transportation and utilities functions performed under the commission's jurisdiction and by that department would be performed to the greater benefit of the citizens of the City and County by reassigning those functions to departments to be known as the departments of transportation and municipal utilities, the mayor and the board of supervisors may create such departments and reassign such functions to those departments. A commission of five members, subject in all respects to the provisions for commissions in Article IV of this Charter, shall be created for each department. Until such departments are created and such functions reassigned, the department of public works shall remain under the direction and control of the administrative officer.

If deemed necessary, the mayor and the board of supervisors may authorize a study of the public utilities commission and department of public works in furtherance of the above.

17.111 Rules, Regulations and Administrative Code
Each officer, department, agency, board and commission responsible for rules and regulations of the City and County under this Charter shall, within 90 days of the operative date of this Charter, review all rules and regulations for which it is responsible and amend and adopt rules and regulations consistent with this Charter.

17.112 Protection of Incumbents
The changes in and transfers of functions, powers and duties which occur at the time this Charter becomes operative shall not affect or impair the rights or privileges of officers or employees of the City and County relating to appointment, rank, grade, compensation, tenure of office, promotion, discipline, removal, pensions and retirement, except as provided in this Charter and in no event shall such civil service rights to compensation and continued civil service status be impaired or reduced.

Whenever a position previously within the classified municipal civil service is, pursuant to this Charter, designated exempt from the civil service provisions of this Charter, the individual incumbent in such position at the time this Charter becomes operative shall be transferred to that position, but his or her civil service rights to compensation and continued civil service status shall not be impaired or reduced.

If by the terms of this Charter, or action taken by authority of this Charter:

1. All or substantially all of the duties of any position exempt from the civil service provisions of the Charter of 1932 are transferred to another office, agency, or department, that position shall be transferred to that office, agency or department and the person holding the position on the operative date of this Charter shall continue to hold the position and perform the duties in that other office, agency, or department subject to the provisions of this Charter;

2. The transfer of functions, powers or duties to offices, agencies and departments results in the modification or discontinuance of the duties of any position exempt from the civil service provisions of the Charter of 1932, the person holding that position on the operative date of this Charter shall be appointed to that position the duties of which, in the judgment of the mayor, are most nearly comparable to the duties previously being performed, and shall hold that position and perform the functions and duties of the position subject to the provisions of this Charter;

3. All or substantially all of the duties of any civil service position are transferred to another office, agency or department, that position shall be transferred to that office, agency or department and the person holding the position on the operative date of this Charter shall continue to hold the position and perform the duties in that other office, agency or department subject to all the rights and privileges provided by the civil service provisions of this Charter; and

4. The transfer of functions, powers or duties to offices, agencies and departments results in the modification or discontinuance of the duties of any civil service position, the person holding that position on the operative date of this Charter shall be transferred and appointed to, and shall be deemed qualified for, a new or reclassified position the duties of which are most nearly comparable to the duties previously being performed, without examination or further compliance with any civil service regulations governing transfers or appointments and without reduction of salary or loss of pay.

17.113 Provisions Affecting Personnel and the Employment Relationship
Not later than the operative date of this Charter, the board of supervisors and the mayor shall take the necessary actions, including adequate appropriations and reassignment of personnel from other departments of the City and County, to establish and staff the personnel department and the employee relations office and to designate the chief labor negotiator for the City and County, as provided for by this Charter.

The employee relations office and the chief labor negotiator shall assume and carry out their responsibilities and exercise their authority under this Charter from and after the
completion of the action required by the preceding paragraph, and shall conduct the annual salary surveys required by Appendix A of this Charter for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1982, and negotiate memoranda of understanding with recognized employee organizations, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1983. Until that time, authority and responsibility for the compensation of employees of the City and County shall continue as if the Charter of 1932 were still in effect.

The personnel department shall begin its operations and the performance of its assigned functions not later than the operative date of this Charter.

17.114 Civil Service Rules
The civil service commission shall adopt or reject civil service rules concerning recruitment, selection and appointment, so that they are consistent with this Charter, within six months after the personnel department begins its operations. The personnel director shall recommend such amendments to the civil service commission within three months after the personnel department begins its operations. All current rules and regulations shall remain in effect until superseded.

17.115 Civil Service Examinations
Examinations for civil service appointment, and protests of those examinations, shall be governed by and administered under the provisions of the Charter of 1932, and ordinances, rules and regulations adopted under the Charter of 1932, for six months after the operative date of this Charter, except that the personnel department shall have charge of such examinations when it begins operations. Thereafter, examinations for civil service appointment shall be governed by this Charter and the rules and regulations adopted under this Charter, such rules and regulations to be adopted to become operative not later than six months after the operative date of this Charter.

17.116 Exempt Positions
The board of supervisors and the mayor, through the budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1982, shall designate the positions exempt from civil service, within the categories provided in Article X of this Charter.

17.117 Temporary, Limited-Tenure and Provisional Appointments
The employment of all temporary, limited-tenure and provisional employees, appointed under the Charter of 1932, whose appointment does not meet the provisions of this Charter, shall be terminated within five years of the operative date of this Charter in accordance with the rules and regulations governing layoffs, except that such temporary, limited-tenure and provisional employees may qualify for certification as eligibles under rules and regulations expressly authorized by ordinance. Such ordinance may establish special credit for civil service examinations for years of service or, through other methods, recognize the service of such employees who have held such employment for more than six months at the operative date of this Charter.

17.118 Salaries of Elected Officials
The salaries of all elected officials shall remain at the level in existence on the effective date of this Charter until changed pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.124 of this Charter.

17.119 District Election Provisions
The following provisions shall be inserted in this Charter in accordance with Section 2.100 of this Charter.

2.100 Composition
The board of supervisors shall consist of 11 members elected by districts.

2.101 Districts
There shall be 11 supervisory districts which shall be described by ordinance, and numbered one through eleven, respectively. The board of supervisors shall adjust the boundaries of supervisory districts following each Federal decennial census. No change in the boundary of any district shall disqualify a supervisor from serving the remainder of the term for which elected.

2.102 Term of Office
Each member of the board of supervisors shall be elected at a general municipal election and shall serve a four year term commencing on the second Monday in January following election and until a successor qualifies. Members representing even-numbered districts shall be elected in 1981 and every four years thereafter. Members representing odd-numbered districts shall be elected in 1983 and every four years thereafter.

2.104 Meetings
The board of supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in city hall at 12:00 noon on the second Monday in January in each even-numbered year. Thereafter, regular meetings shall be held on such dates and at such times as shall be fixed by ordinance. Special meetings shall be called in the manner established by the board of supervisors.

2.122 President of the Board of Supervisors
At its regular meeting on the second Monday in January in even-numbered years, the board of supervisors shall elect one of its members president for a two-year term. If a vacancy in the office of president of the board of supervisors shall occur prior to the end of the term, the board of supervisors shall elect one of its members to fill the unexpired portion of the term. The president shall preside at all meetings, appoint all standing and special committees, assign legislation to committees, and have such other powers and duties as may be assigned by the board of supervisors.
13.101 Terms of Elective Office
Except in the case of an appointment or election to fill a vacancy, the term of office of each elected officer shall commence at 12:00 noon on the second Monday in January following the date of election.

Subject to the applicable provisions for municipal runoff elections, the elected officers of the City and County shall be elected as follows:

At the general municipal election in 1981 and every fourth year thereafter, supervisors from even-numbered districts, a city attorney, and a treasurer shall be elected.

At the statewide primary election in 1982 and every fourth year thereafter, an assessor and a public defender shall be elected.

At the statewide general election in 1982 and every fourth year thereafter, three members of the board of education and three members of the governing board of the community college district shall be elected.

At the general municipal election in 1983 and every fourth year thereafter, a mayor, supervisors from odd-numbered districts, a sheriff, and a district attorney shall be elected.

At the statewide general election in 1984 and every fourth year thereafter, four members of the board of education and four members of the governing board of the community college district shall be elected.

No person shall be eligible for a period of one year after the last day of service as mayor or member of the board of supervisors for appointment to any full-time position carrying compensation with the City and County.

13.102 Municipal Runoff Elections
If no candidate for any elective office of the City and County, except the board of education and the governing board of the community college district, receives a majority of the votes cast at an election for such office, the two candidates receiving the most votes qualify to have their names placed on the ballot for a municipal runoff election.

13.105 Nomination
3) Signatures of not less than 20 nor more than 30 electors, who, in the case of elections by district, shall reside in the respective districts.

13.106 Qualification
Each candidate for an elective office of the City and County shall be an elector, and each elected officer shall continue to be an elector during the term of the office. In the case of elections by district, the candidate or officer shall also reside in the particular district.

14.103 Recall
A recall petition other than with respect to a member of the board of supervisors shall include the signatures of voters in a number equal to at least ten percent of the votes cast for all candidates for mayor in the last preceding general municipal election for mayor. A recall petition with respect to a member of the board of supervisors shall include the signatures of voters residing within the supervisorial district which such member represents in a number equal to at least fifteen percent of the votes cast for all candidates for mayor in such supervisorial district at the last preceding general municipal election for mayor. A recall petition shall state the grounds on which the recall is based.

Article XVI Definitions
[to be inserted in the proper alphabetical sequence.]
“Municipal Runoff Election” shall mean the election to be held in the City and County to fill an office, other than the board of education and the governing board of the community college district, following an election for such office at which no candidate received a majority of the votes cast.

17.120 At-Large Election Provisions
The following provisions shall be inserted in this Charter in accordance with Section 2.100 of this Charter.

2.100 Composition
The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected at-large.

2.101 Districts
[Delete this section and renumber subsequent sections accordingly.]

2.102 Term of Office
Each member of the board of supervisors shall be elected at a general election and shall serve a four year term commencing on the eighth day in January following election and until a successor qualifies.

2.104 Meetings
The board of supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in city hall at 12:00 noon on the eighth day in January in each odd-numbered year. Thereafter, regular meetings shall be held on such dates and at such times as shall be fixed by ordinance. Special meetings shall be called in the manner established by the board of supervisors.

2.122 President of the Board of Supervisors
At its regular meeting on the eighth day in January in odd-numbered years, the board of supervisors shall elect one of its members president for a two-year term. If a vacancy in the office of president of the board of supervisors shall occur prior to the end of the term, the board of supervisors shall elect one of its members to fill the unexpired portion of the term. The president of the board of supervisors shall preside at meetings, appoint all standing and special committees, assign legislation to committees, and have such other powers and duties as may be assigned by the board of supervisors.

13.101 Terms of Elective Office
Except in the case of an appointment or election to fill a
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vacancy, the term of office of each elected officer shall commence at 12:00 noon on the eighth day in January following the date of election.

Subject to the applicable provisions for municipal runoff elections, the elected officers of the City and County shall be elected as follows:

At the general municipal election in 1981 and every fourth year thereafter, a city attorney and a treasurer shall be elected.

At the statewide general election in 1982 and every fourth year thereafter, five members of the board of supervisors, three members of the board of education and three members of the governing board of the community college district shall be elected.

At the general municipal election in 1983 and every fourth year thereafter, a mayor, a sheriff, and a district attorney shall be elected.

At the statewide general election in 1984 and every fourth year thereafter, six members of the board of supervisors, four members of the board of education, and four members of the governing board of the community college district shall be elected.

No person shall be eligible for a period of one year after the last day of service as mayor or member of the board of supervisors for appointment to any full-time position carrying compensation with the City and County.

13.102 Municipal Runoff Elections

If no candidate for any elective office of the City and County, except the board of supervisors, the board of education, and the governing board of the community college dis-trict, receives a majority of the votes cast at an election for such office, the two candidates receiving the most votes qualify to have their names placed on the ballot for a municipal runoff election.

13.105 Nomination

3) Signatures of not less than 20 nor more than 30 electors.

13.106 Qualification

Each candidate for an elective office of the City and County shall be an elector, and each elected officer shall continue to be an elector during the term of the office.

14.103 Recall

A recall petition shall include the signatures of voters in a number equal to at least ten percent of the votes cast for all candidates for mayor in the last preceding general municipal election for mayor. A recall petition shall state the grounds on which the recall is based.

Article XVI Definitions
[to be inserted in the proper alphabetical sequence.]

"Municipal Runoff Election" shall mean the election to be held in the City and County to fill an office, other than the board of supervisors, the board of education, and the governing board of the community college district, following an election for such office at which no candidate received a majority of the votes cast.

17.121 Preparation and Indexing of this Charter

The city attorney shall correct typographical errors and prepare and index prior to the operative date and publication of this Charter.

Application for absentee ballot appears on inside back cover.
Map of Supervisorial Districts proposed by Proposition N
DISTRIBUTION ELECTION OF SUPERVISORS

PROPOSITION N
Shall the members of the Board of Supervisors be elected by district rather than at large?

Analysis
By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: Since 1976 the 11 members of the Board of Supervisors have been elected by district. In August 1980 district elections were repealed and the 11 supervisors are now elected by city-wide vote. Supervisors serve four-year terms and run for election in November of even-numbered years.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition N would repeal the city-wide election of members of the Board of Supervisors. Supervisors would be elected by district. The Supervisors who were in office and the 11 districts which were in effect before August 1980 would continue. Supervisors would be elected in November of odd-numbered years for four-year terms. If Proposition N passes, the candidates for Board of Supervisors on this ballot who are elected would not take office.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the Board of Supervisors to be elected by district.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want the Board of Supervisors to be elected by city-wide vote.

How Proposition N Got on the Ballot
By Initiative
On September 8 City Registrar of Voters Jay Patterson certified that the initiative petition calling for Proposition N to be placed on the ballot had qualified for the ballot.
Citizens for District Elections, the proponents of the initiative had filed 40,980 signatures with The Registrar on September 4. After examining the signatures, Patterson determined that there were 32,640 valid signatures. This is more than the 22,547 signatures needed to put an initiative Charter amendment on the ballot.
22,547 represents 10% of the number of people who voted for governor in 1978.

Controller’s Statement on “N”
City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition N:
“Should the proposed initiative be approved, in my opinion, it would neither increase nor decrease the cost of government.”

By Supervisors’ Vote
On September 23, 1980 the Board of Supervisors voted 11-0 to submit Proposition N to the voters on its own motion, independently of the initiative process.
Charter amendments may be proposed to the voters by initiative petition or by action of the Board of Supervisors or by both. In this case it happened both ways.

REGISTER TO VOTE BY MAIL
It’s Easy
Next time you move, just phone us; we’ll mail you the forms.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION N

What do the Chamber of Commerce, the Apartment-house Owners Association, Bank of America, Fairmont Hotel, Republican County Committee and Pacific Telephone all have in common? They bankrolled the August campaign to repeal District Election of Supervisors, in which the lowest number of San Franciscans voted in over 60 years. Why do they want District Elections repealed? Because they are dedicated to the most democratic and representative form of government for San Franciscans? No, or, they would have waited for us to be in town to vote on such a basic question. Is it because they are concerned with the protection of our neighborhoods, the development of a citywide housing policy which places the needs of residents first, or the continued provision of vital city services to the elderly, the ill and families with children? Certainly not, or, they wouldn’t have called for a midsummer ”special” election which cost $500,000 of our critically needed tax dollars to overturn the district-elected Board which has been accomplishing those very tasks.

District Elections has been under repeated attack, not because it fails to represent all the people, but because it does just that. The Chamber and its friends simply do not want to share political power with the rest of us. It’s as if they think running our city is “too important” for the people to have an effective and continuing voice in the matter. But it was the people—over 40,000 of them—who signed petitions in just twelve days to put the matter on this ballot, when at least half of us will vote.

Proposition N is the single most important local issue on the ballot. The political future of our City is at stake. Will a few hand-picked, downtown-financed candidates run the City, or will the residents of the City, through their directly elected representatives, govern themselves? The choice is finally yours. Vote YES on Proposition N.

SAN FRANCISCANS FOR DISTRICT ELECTIONS—80

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION N

• Citywide campaigns require expensive media. Who contributes? Wealthy special interests seeking higher rents or garbage fees, or expensive projects? Your city loses!
• District elections are local, accountable to your neighborhood.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION N

• Our district supervisors work harder, are more representative of all our people.
• We elect Congress by districts. Why not Supervisors?

San Francisco League of Environmental Voters
John Holtzclaw, president

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION N

District Elections made the promise of the 19th Amendment a reality with the election of five women supervisors who have dealt more effectively with issues important to women such as housing, child care, criminal justice, credit.

District elections created a Board which was truly representative of women's views. Vote yes on Proposition N.

San Francisco Feminist Democrats

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION N

District elections ensure supervisory accountability to neighborhoods and the entire city. Vote YES ON N.

Chinese American Democratic Club

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION N

We need neighborhood supervisors not downtown politicians.

Vote Yes on N.

San Francisco Tomorrow

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION N

Join us in voting yes on Proposition N:

Richard Abrahams
Eileen Adams
Assemblyman Art Agnos
Priscilla Alexander
Tom Ammiano
Cindy Green Anderson
Julie Anderson
Elisabeth Anello
William Atkins
Delorey Ayal
Supervisor John Bardi
Robert Barnes, Jr.
Catherine Barron
James Battistelli
Helen Bautista
Helen Bean
Roger Bernhardt
Michael Berry
Norman Berryessa
Comm. Susan Bierman
Diane Bilovski
Katherine Bishop
Carl Blices
Comm. Dian Blomquist
Stewart Bloom
Ric Borstein
Al Borvice
Margaret Brady
Allison Brennan
Susan Brier
Gil Brigham
David Briggs
Supervisor Harry Britt
Jackie Brodeman
Adele Brookman
Assemblyman Willie Brown
Gordon Brownell
Sally Brunn
Mary Burns
Fr. Thomas Brown
Congressman John Burton
Congressman Phillip Burton
Andrew Butler
Dale Butler
James Campbell
Carl & Janet Carsten
John Carter
Ramiro Castro
Victor Castro
Juanita Carye
Rene & Sylvie Cazenave
Rafael Cedillos
Fanny Chan
Gilbert Chan
Earl Chase
Lynn Childs
Othella Childs
Daniel Chin
Gordon Chin
Jean & Buddy Tate Choy
Marie Cleasby
Leland Cole-Chi
Esther Colmen
Genevra Coleman
Terry Collins
Paul Cooper
Vince Courter
Dorothy Cox
Gwen Craig
Eric Craven
Edward Crocker
Jack Crowley
David Cutts
Demian Damm
Anna Darden
Comm. Ina Deerman
Henry Desta
Joseph Del Carlo
Ed de la Cruz
Comm. Jo Daly
Rosemary DeGregorio
Juana Del Carlo
Jim Demman
Wayne Denman
Wayne Denehe
Robert DeVries
Ann Dewsbury
John D'Hondt
Douglas Dickinson
Don Dishongh
James Dobkins
Estella Dooley
Carol Draizen
James Duer
Susan Dugan
Ed Dunn
Minerva Dunn
William Dunn
Thomas Dushey
Kenneth Dupuy
Robert Dutra
John Eberhardt
Sharon Eberhardt
James Elliot
Comm. Douglass Engmann
John Enquist
Kate Esposto
Mike Fairchild
Helen Fama
Edward Farrell
Comm. Frank Flitch
Pattie Fong
Ed Forcler
Conny Ford
Judy Forsberg
Jack Foss
Amy Fournier
James Frazz
Chuck Fruge
Gregory Gaar
Peter Gallegos
Jamil Abdub
Lavon Gieselman
Rev. Howard Gloyd
Mollie & Sam Gold
Joan Goldman
Paschal Gomez
James Gonzales
Zuretti Gooby
Rita & James Gravans
Douglas Grandquist
Marianne Greene
Audrey Grossman
Betty Guinn
Linda Gunnerson
Michael Harney
Merrill Haug
William Haught

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION N

Join us in voting yes on Proposition N:

Rick Hauptman
Rich Hayes
Thomas Healy
Len Heins
Pablo Heising
Jim Heimestein
Patricia Hendricks
Geary Herron
Edward Heslin
Sue Hester
Robero Hildago
Comm. Donna Hitchins
Earl Dean & Henry Hitz
John Hohmlein
John Holtsclaw
Rev. Glenda Hope
Barbara & Vaughn Hopkins
Donna Horn
Michael Housh
Ron Huberman
Kareen Hudburgh
Wade Hudson
Andy Husari
Carl Imparato
Gianni Incardona
Esplonia Jackson
Comm. Pat Jackson
Janet Jacobs
Agar Jaocks
Lisa Jane
Candice Jensen
Glenda & Rodney Jermanovich
Alain Johnson
Don Johnson
Geraldine Johnson
Rodney Johnson
Sharon Johnson
John Joiner
David Jones
Rafic Jones
Suzanne Joyce
Gayle Justice
Karen Kaho
Sidney Kass
Maura Kealey
Nancy Kellum-Rose
Norman Kleiner
Doris Kohn
Kent Khathian
Irene Klebert
Bob Killian
Tony Kyle
Mix Kirkeberg
William Kleb
Kimmerly Klein
Keith Klemkin
Michael Kobelens
William Komasa
Jean Kortum
Bill Kraus
Steve Krefting
Anne Kroenken
Lillian Kruse
Peter Kunz
Sandy Kuitz
John Kwan
Tom Landi
Maurine Lamb
Kay Lamming
Paula Land
Christopher Lane
Thomas Lane
Al Lemen
David Larsen
Gordon Lau
Stephan Lawernon
Louis Hop Lee
Sue Lee
Yvonne Lee
V. Roy LeFroid
Robert Lehman
Samuel Lehner
Will Leong
James Leveston
Gimmy Park-Li
Leni Llakos
Pamela Lichtenberg
Endy Ng Lim
Caryn & Russ Lombard
David Looman
Michael Louis
Kenneth Lundgren
James Lucas
Linda Lucero
Phyllis Lyon
John Maher
Esther Marks
Adue Marquez
Mary Jo Marshall
Del Martin
Michael Mason
David Mathison
Harvey Matthews
David Mattingly
Gayle Maudlin
Emma Maxwell
Maria Mayer
Ronnie Medecino
Douglas McManus
Robert McCall
Peter McComis
Lawrence McComme
Pamela McFarland
June McKee
Elaine McKeil
James McKinney
George Mendelson
Robert Migelal
Giuliana Milanesi
Stephanie Mischa
Eric Monceur
Leland Monterrey
Robert Moore
Frank Morgan
Rev. Sam Morgan
Jack Morrison
Dennis Mosgofian
Earl Moss
Barbara Moultion
Paul Muller
Paul Munis
Robert Munson
Guido Nannini
Michael Nolan
Ana O'Connor
David Olmott
Maria Olivaress
Mitchell Omerberg
Selden Osborne
Richard Pollock
Kathleen Pabst
Kay & John Pachter
Rick Pauker
Walter PARK
Gerry Parker
Bradford Paul
Robert Peabody
Eugene Peary
Gina Perrenoti
Paul Perdue
Timothy Perkins
Richard Pfeifer
Mike Pinch
Barbara Pitschel
Shelley Pope
Linda Post
Dwayne Price
Gerard Puigato
James Queen
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DISTRICT ELECTION OF SUPERVISORS

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION N

Join us in voting yes on Proposition N:

Patricia Siegel  Charles Starbuck  Shirley Tesch  Supervisor Nancy Walker  Lance Williams
Carmen Silva  Linda Stark  Michael Thistle  Albert Walla  Jack Wilson
Supervisor Carol Ruth Silver  Thomas Steel  Doris Thomas  Linda Wang  Howard Winant
Stephen Simon  Peter Stern  Arnold Townsend  Supervisor Doris Ward  Cindy Winstein
Leslie Simpson  Flora Stevens  Rosalind Twine  Nancy Warder  Rosalind Wolf
Lillian Sing  George Stevens  James Uren  Robert Waters  Tim Wolfred
Kathi Smith  Benny Stewart  Judith Utevska  Tina Watkins  Germain Wong
Kenneth Smith  Judith Stone  Alan Van Gelder  Scott Weaver  Clay Woody
Marcia Smoillens  Ida Strickland  Wayne Veatch  Jak Webb  Margaret Wrench
Regina Sneed  Peter Strauss  Laurie & Joel Ventresca  Calvin & Michelle Welch  David Wright
Donna Solomon  Nancy Strum  Claudia Viek  David Weisman Coran Wylund
Victoria Somnag  Abby Sullivan  Barbara Vince  Frances Werner  Harold Yee
Melissa Sorenac  Louie Sweeney  Yori Wada  Nancy White  Mark Zafac
Albert Spangler  Julie Tang  William Walden  Simeon White  Victoria & Donald Ziegler
Judith Spelman  Peter Tannen  Frank Walker  Sarah Wilcox
Eleanor Spikes  Miyo Tatsumi  Myrtle Vance  Lawrence Wild
Randy Stallings

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

San Franciscans have not gone backward, but forward by repealing district elections of City Supervisors.

Under district elections more than half the voters were represented by only four supervisors—a totally inequitable representation. It meant that less than half of the voters could control the Board of Supervisors.

Under district supervisors we have actually received less representation. A voter has only one supervisor instead of the eleven under City-wide elections and these district supervisors have continually attempted to enact laws which the voters have already unanimously rejected.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

Vote No on N!

Professionalism is Needed in Government

Once again, the voters must decide on the method of electing supervisors, and once again it is important to stress the need for city-wide elections.

District elections were conceived by a handful of radicals claiming to represent "all" of San Francisco. Their purpose was to enhance their own power, which they have done with relative ease.

To deal effectively with the complex myriad of social and economic problems besetting municipal government today, a multi-racial board of business and professional people; people who are able to make sound, logical and rational decisions based on professional knowledge and experience, and who are accountable to all, should be elected. We don't need radical rhetoric, we need jobs and capital investment! However, the district board seems intent on driving business out of town, rather than promoting it.

On August 19, you voted to get your eleven votes back. On November 4, vote to keep them! VOTE NO ON N!

Dennis J. Mark
Treasurer
Citizens For Better Government
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ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

- Vote NO on "N"! This is a simple issue! A NO vote means you retain ALL ELEVEN of your newly acquired Supervisorial votes, CITYWIDE, and do not go backwards to ONE CHANCE to vote for ONE neighborhood Supervisor, ONCE every FOUR YEARS!
- Vote NO on "N" if you want ALL ELEVEN Supervisors to be accountable to YOU, and ALL ELEVEN need YOUR votes to be elected!
- We gave the "Banana Republic" district system a fair trial. It proved to be divisive and disastrous! We need a Board with an acceptable level of common sense, wisdom, and courtesy. They must have a deep concern for the well being and prosperity of ALL San Franciscans, no matter where they live. While some of the incumbents are doing an excellent job, a significant number of the present Board members have proven to be totally unqualified to frugally manage our BILLION dollar a year budget intelligently. Look at their anti-jobs, anti-housing, anti-business, anti-construction voting records! We must replace these incompe-
tents immediately, before they can do more damage to the City!
- From the huge list of new Supervisorial candidates on this ballot, surely we can select some economically sophisticated, knowledgeable, dedicated members who will dramatically upgrade the overall caliber of Supervisors taking office in January!
- To be elected, they will have demonstrated CITYWIDE support and respect. They must faithfully represent ALL San Franciscans, in ALL districts of the City, irrespective of age, race, color, creed, or sexual orientation.
- It's performance down at City Hall in solving the EN-TIRE City's major problems that really counts!
- DON'T GIVE AWAY ten of your newly acquired Supervisorial votes!
- Vote NO on "N"!

SAN FRANCISCO TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION.
Bill O'Keefe, Sr., President

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

For the past four years San Francisco has been a divided city.
Now we have a chance to be a reunited city if you vote against district elections.
Until 1976 San Francisco voters had the right to participate in the election of all eleven members of the Board of Supervisors. District elections reduced our rights by allowing us to vote for only one member of the Board every four years.
The August election gave us the right to vote for all the members of the Board of Supervisors again. It doesn't make sense to just turn around and take it away at the same time we are electing all eleven supervisors.
Let's look at what has happened while we had district elections.
- Instead of being more representative, the supervisors tried to become well-paid, full-time politicians.
- Instead of reducing the cost of running for office, they have made it more costly. In fact, two supervisors each spent more than $90,000 in declared expenses just to get elected from a district for a $9,600 position.
- Instead of solving City problems, they spend their time trying to raise taxes, playing political games and wrangling over things they can't affect, such as international affairs.
How many of the district supervisors really represent your interests—and how many of them could you do anything about?
Let's not go backward. Vote against district elections so we have a chance to pick up the pieces and put the City back together.

Terry A. Francois
Former Member San Francisco Board of Supervisors

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

Vote against DOMINATION of CITY HALL by NARROW issues and interests. Reject a DISTRICT BOARD where equal representation of districts with unequal voter registration and turn-out stack the deck against San Francisco's moderate majority; retain your right to ELECT ALL 11 SUPERVISORS. Avoid disrupting our CITY'S GOVERNMENT.

VOTE NO on N

Republican County Central Committee of San Francisco
ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPPOSITION N

Vote No on Proposition N
What more can we say about district election of supervisors that hasn't already been said?
The district election system was a costly, divisive, and unfair system of electing members of the board of supervisors. The voters were wise in their decision to repeal district elections last August and we urge them to reaffirm that decision by voting NO on Proposition N.

District elections have proved to be costly in that City government has become bogged down in special interest politics while critical problems remain unsolved. A good example is the housing crisis. The present board knuckled under to selfish special interests in a few areas and rejected a carefully written, badly needed housing policy for San Francisco.

District elections have proved to be divisive with supervisors taking a narrow look at problems based upon the strongest pressures in their own districts, often to the detriment of the City as a whole.
Perhaps the strongest argument against district elections, however, is that the scheme that was just repealed—and that Proposition N seeks to reinstate—is simply unfair. Under the district election scheme barely 30,000 voters—less than five percent of the total population—got to elect five members of the Board of Supervisors. How can a system be fair when some districts have more than twice as many voters as other districts?

City-wide elections assure good government by making every supervisor accountable to every voter.
Eleven votes or one. That's what Proposition N boils down to. If Proposition N passes, you will get one vote, on one supervisor—every four years! If you reject Proposition N, you will have a chance to vote for or against every member of the board.

It's time to put an end to the divisive battle over district elections. We hope you will cast a resounding NO vote on Proposition N and keep San Francisco in one piece.

Sponsored by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
Gregory P. Hurst, Vice President, Public Affairs

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPPOSITION N

If Proposition N is passed there will be two groups of people claiming the eleven supervisorial seats.
This can only be decided by the courts, costing San Franciscans a lot of money and confusion.
Further, Proposition "N" puts people in office without allowing anyone else to run for election.
To avoid confusion, vote for eleven supervisors at large and vote "no" on Proposition "N".

Pat Kelly
Former San Francisco Deputy City Attorney

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPPOSITION N

The supporters of district elections made a lot of false promises about better representation for minorities—especially Asian and Latino citizens of San Francisco.
What happened? There are no members of either of these large and important communities on the Board of Supervisors today. And even worse, their influence on the people who do serve on the Board has been almost completely wiped out by the district election system.

With the City-wide election we have a better chance for representation of our communities on the Board of Supervisors. All eleven had to pay attention to us.
Don't be fooled again by the district election people's phony promises. Vote against district elections. Let's keep our voice in City Hall.

George Y. Chinn
Former Supervisor

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPPOSITION N

San Franciscans voted to return to the City-wide method of electing members to the Board of Supervisors just this last August.
Within days after the election the proponents of district elections were on the streets collecting signatures to place the question before the voters once again.
We haven't even had a chance to let this process go into effect and they want to go back to a process of questionable value.
It sounds like poor losers trying to confuse the process.
Vote against district elections.

Robert Guichard
Dennis Mark
Terry Francois
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ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

Democracy is a fragile experiment. It works best when all the people actively participate, openly and honestly. It is not working in San Francisco. District elections have served only to divide the city. Nothing positive has come from our current divided Board of Supervisors. There is no real housing policy, economic development policy, or even a believable wastewater system.

Last year I suggested a compromise—six district and five at large Supervisors. This would provide the best representation—and, once elected, I shall introduce legislation to effect this compromise and end this political instability.

Vote FOR San Francisco. Vote NO on ward politics. Vote NO on Proposition N.

Kevin W. Wadsworth
Candidate for Supervisor

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

Minorities, particularly blacks, were taken in by district election promises.

Our limited voting strength was divided, cut up into eleven feebile segments. Most of the district supervisors can ignore us with impunity.

Don't be tools of the politically ambitious activists. Vote against district elections and keep our strength united.

Percy Corke
Hadie Redd
L.B. Delaney

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

Reject legally questionable Proposition N:

Republican Committeeman Terence Faulkner
Republican Committeeman Donald Donaldson

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N


Writein Steve Perkins
Gay Candidate, Supervisor
STOP Television Violence

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION N

Republican Committeeman Max Woods
Congressional Nominee Tom Spinosa
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EARN EXTRA MONEY

Workers are needed at the polls on election day
1 - Inspector  3 - Judges
at each poll
Salary $32.50-$42.50 per day
NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

2.100 Composition and Salary; Districts.

The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected ((at large)) by districts. Each member of the board shall be paid a salary of ninety-six hundred dollars ($9,600) per year and each shall execute an official bond to the city and county in the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000).

The city and county is hereby divided into eleven supervis-orial districts as hereinafter set forth, and, commencing thereafter until new districts are established as hereinafter set forth, such districts shall be used for the election or recall of the members of the board of supervisors, and for filling any vacancy in the office of member of the board of supervisors by appointment. Upon the establishment of new districts as hereinafter provided such new districts shall be used for the aforesaid purposes; provided, however, that no change in the boundary or location of any district by redistricting as herein provided shall operate to abolish or terminate the term of office of any member of the board of supervisors prior to the expiration of the term of office for which such member was elected or appointed. The eleven supervisorial districts, as established herein, shall be bounded and described as follows:

FIRST SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the point of intersection of the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean and a straight-line extension of Fulton Street; thence easterly along Fulton Street to Stanystan Street; thence northerly along Stanystan Street to Geary Boulevard; thence westerly along Geary Boulevard to Arguello Boulevard; thence northerly along Arguello Boulevard to its point of intersection with the southern boundary of the Presidio United States Military Reservation; thence westerly and northwesterly along said boundary to the point of intersection with the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean; thence westerly and southerly along said shoreline to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all reference to streets, and boulevards contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center lines of said streets and boulevards respectively.

SECOND SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the point of intersection of the shoreline of San Francisco Bay and the southern and southwestern boundary of the Presidio United States Military Reservation; thence southeasterly and easterly along said boundary to the point of intersection with Arguello Boulevard; thence southerly along Arguello Boulevard to Geary Boulevard; thence easterly along Geary Boulevard to Stanystan Street; thence southerly along Stanystan Street to Fulton Street; thence easterly along Fulton Street to Masonic Avenue; thence northerly along Masonic Avenue to Turk Street; thence easterly along Turk Street to St. Joseph's Avenue; thence northerly and northwesterly along St. Josep's Avenue to Geary Boulevard; thence westerly along Geary Boulevard to Presidio Avenue to California Street; thence easterly along California Street to Van Ness Avenue; thence northerly along Van Ness Avenue to Filbert Street; thence easterly along Filbert Street to Leavenworth Street; thence northerly along Leavenworth Street and a northerly straight-line extension thereof to the point of intersection with the shoreline of San Francisco Bay; thence generally westerly and southerly along said shoreline to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards and avenues contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said streets, boulevards and avenues, respectively.

THIRD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the point of intersection of a northerly straight-line extension of Leavenworth Street and the shoreline of San Francisco Bay; thence easterly and southerly along said shoreline to the point of intersection with Broadway and an easterly straight-line extension thereof and including all piers north of said intersection; thence westerly along Broadway to Front Street; thence southerly along Front Street to Jackson Street; thence westerly along Jackson Street to Battery Street; thence southerly along Battery Street to Market Street; thence westerly along Market Street to Sutter Street; thence westerly along Sutter Street to Powell Street; thence southerly along Powell Street to Post Street; thence westerly along Post Street to Leavenworth Street; thence northerly along Leavenworth Street to California Street; thence westerly along California Street to Van Ness Avenue; thence northerly along Van Ness Avenue to Filbert Street; thence easterly along Filbert Street to Leavenworth Street; thence northerly along Leavenworth Street to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, avenues, and ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center lines of said streets, avenues and ways, respectively.

FOURTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of the intersection of Fulton Street and Masonic Avenue; thence northerly along Masonic Avenue to Turk Street; thence easterly along Turk Street to St. Joseph's Avenue; thence northerly and northwesterly along St. Joseph's Avenue to Geary Boulevard; thence westerly along Geary Boulevard to Presidio Avenue; thence northerly along Presidio Avenue to California Street; thence easterly along California Street to Leavenworth Street; thence southerly along Leavenworth Street to Ellis Street; thence easterly along Ellis Street to Jones Street; thence southerly along Jones Street to Market Street; thence southwesterly along Market Street to Waller Street; thence westerly along Waller Street to Divisadero Street; thence northerly along Divisadero Street to Oak
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Street; thence westerly along Oak Street to Baker Street; thence northerly along Baker Street to Fulton Street; thence westerly along Fulton Street to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, avenues and boulevards contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center lines of said streets, avenues and boulevards respectively.

FIFTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of the intersection of Stanton Street and Fulton Street; thence southerly along Stanton Street to Seventeenth Street; thence easterly along Seventeenth Street to Clayton Street; thence southerly and southeasterly along Clayton Street to Market Street; thence generally southerly along Market Street to Portola Drive; thence westerly along Portola Drive to O'Shaughnessy Boulevard; thence southeasterly along O'Shaughnessy Boulevard to the center point of the southernmost intersection of O'Shaughnessy Boulevard and Del Vale Avenue; thence following a northeasterly straight-line extension of Del Vale Avenue across Glen Canyon Park to the center point of the intersection of Gold Mine Drive and Diamond Heights Boulevard; thence southeasterly along Diamond Heights Boulevard to Diamond Street; thence northerly along Diamond Street to Twenty-ninth Street; thence easterly along Twenty-ninth Street to Castro Street; thence southerly along Castro Street to Thirtieth Street; thence easterly along Thirtieth Street to Church Street; thence northerly along Church Street to Market Street; thence northeasterly along Market Street to Waller Street; thence westerly along Waller Street to Divisadero Street; thence northerly along Divisadero Street to Oak Street; thence westerly along Oak Street to Baker Street; thence northerly along Baker Street to Fulton Street; thence westerly along Fulton Street to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, drives, boulevards and avenues contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said streets, drives, boulevards and avenues respectively.

SIXTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of the intersection of Church Street and Market Street; thence northeasterly along Market Street to Seventh Street; thence southeasterly along Seventh Street to Townsend Street; thence southeasterly along Townsend Street to Division Street; thence westerly along Division Street to the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101); thence generally southerly along the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101) to the point of intersection with a northeasterly straight-line extension of Peralta Avenue; thence southeasterly along said extension and Peralta Avenue to Mullen Avenue; thence westerly along Mullen Avenue to Alabama Street; thence northerly along Alabama Street to Precita Avenue; thence westerly along Precita Avenue to Beissie Street; thence westerly along Beissie Street to Mirabel Avenue; then westerly along Mirabel Avenue to Coso Street; thence northerly along Coso Street to Precita Avenue; thence westerly along Precita Avenue to Mission Street; thence southwesterly along Mission Street to Randall Street; thence westerly along Randall Street to San Jose Avenue; thence northerly along San Jose Avenue to Thirtieth Street; thence westerly along Thirtieth Street to Church Street; thence northerly along Church Street to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to street and avenues in the foregoing description, shall refer to the center line of said streets and avenues, respectively.

SEVENTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of intersection of Seventh Street and Market Street; thence northeasterly along Market Street to Jones Street; thence northerly along Jones Street to Ellis Street; thence westerly along Ellis Street to Leaveyworth Street; thence northerly along Leaveyworth Street to Post Street; thence easterly along Post Street to Powell Street; thence northerly along Powell Street to Sutter Street; thence easterly along Sutter Street to Market Street; thence northeasterly along Market Street to Battery Street; thence northerly along Battery Street to Jackson Street; thence easterly along Jackson Street to Front Street; thence northerly along Front Street to Broadway; thence easterly along Broadway and an easterly straight-line extension thereof to the point of intersection with the shoreline of San Francisco Bay; thence generally southerly along said shoreline to the southern boundary of the city and county, and including all piers and crews of vessels; thence along the southern boundary of the city and county to the point of intersection with the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101); thence generally northerly along the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101) to the intersection of Division Street; thence easterly along Division Street to Townsend Street; thence northeasterly along Townsend Street to Seventh Street; thence northeasterly along Seventh Street to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets and ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said streets and ways respectively.

EIGHTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the intersection of the southern boundary of the city and county and the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101); thence generally northerly along the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101) to the interchange with the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) and along the center line thereof to the center line of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to the intersection with the southern boundary of the city and county; thence easterly along said boundary to the point of commencement, respectively.
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NINTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the intersection of the southern boundary of the city and county and the center line of Junipero Serra Boulevard; thence northerly along Junipero Serra Boulevard to Holloway Avenue; thence easterly along Holloway Avenue to Ashton Avenue; thence northerly along Ashton to Ocean Avenue; thence northwesterly along Ocean Avenue to Keystone Way; thence northerly along Keystone Way to Kenwood Way; thence northeasterly along Kenwood Way to Upland Drive; thence westerly along Upland Drive to North Gate Drive; thence northerly along North Gate Drive to Monterey Boulevard; thence northerly across Monterey Boulevard to El Verano Way and northerly along El Verano Way to Fernwood Drive; thence northerly along Fernwood Drive to Brentwood Avenue; thence northeasterly along Brentwood Avenue to Yerba Buena Avenue; thence northwesterly along Yerba Buena Avenue to Casitas Avenue; thence northerly along Casitas Avenue to Ludlow Avenue; thence northerly along Ludlow Avenue to Juanita Way; thence northeasterly along Juanita Way to Evelyn Way; thence easterly along Evelyn Way to O'Shaughnessy Boulevard; thence southeasterly along O'Shaughnessy Boulevard to the center point of the southernmost intersection with Del Vale Avenue; thence following a straight-line extension of Del Vale Avenue northeasterly across Glen Canyon Park to the center point of the intersection of Gold Mine Drive and Diamond Heights Boulevard; thence southeasterly along Diamond Heights Boulevard to Diamond Street; thence northerly along Diamond Street to Twenty-ninth Street; thence easterly along Twenty-ninth Street to Castro Street; thence southerly along Castro Street to Thirtieth Street; thence easterly along Thirtieth Street to San Jose Avenue; thence westerly along San Jose Avenue to Randall Street; thence easterly along Randall Street to Mission Street; thence northeasterly along Mission Street to Precita Avenue; thence easterly along Precita Avenue to Coso Street; thence southeasterly along Coso Street to Mirabel Avenue; thence easterly along Mirabel Avenue to Bessie Street; thence easterly along Bessie Street to Precita Avenue; thence easterly along Precita Avenue to Alabama Street; thence southerly along Alabama Street to Mullen Avenue; thence easterly along Mullen Avenue to Peralta Avenue; thence northeasterly along Peralta Avenue and a straight-line extension thereof to the intersection of the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101); thence generally southerly along the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101) to the interchange with the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) along the center line thereof to the center line of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to the intersection with the southern boundary of the city and county; thence westerly along said boundary to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards, avenues, ways and drives contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said street, boulevard, avenue, way and drive, respectively.

TENTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the intersection of the southern boundary of the city and county and the center line of Junipero Serra Boulevard; thence northerly along Junipero Serra Boulevard to Holloway Avenue; thence easterly along Holloway Avenue to Ashton Avenue; thence northerly along Ashton Avenue to Ocean Avenue; thence northwesterly along Ocean Avenue to Keystone Way; thence northerly along Keystone Way to Kenwood Way; thence northeasterly along Kenwood Way to Upland Drive; thence westerly along Upland Drive to North Gate Drive; thence northerly along North Gate Drive to Monterey Boulevard; thence northerly across Monterey Boulevard to El Verano Way and northerly along El Verano Way to Fernwood Drive; thence northerly along Fernwood Drive to Brentwood Avenue; thence northeasterly along Brentwood Avenue to Yerba Buena Avenue; thence northwesterly along Yerba Buena Avenue to Casitas Avenue; thence northerly along Casitas Avenue to Ludlow Avenue; thence northerly along Ludlow Avenue to Juanita Way; thence northeasterly along Juanita Way to Evelyn Way; thence easterly along Evelyn Way to O'Shaughnessy Boulevard; thence southeasterly along O'Shaughnessy Boulevard to the center point of the southernmost intersection with Del Vale Avenue; thence following a straight-line extension of Del Vale Avenue northeasterly across Glen Canyon Park to the center point of the intersection of Gold Mine Drive and Diamond Heights Boulevard; thence southeasterly along Diamond Heights Boulevard to Diamond Street; thence northerly along Diamond Street to Twenty-ninth Street; thence easterly along Twenty-ninth Street to Castro Street; thence southerly along Castro Street to Thirtieth Street; thence easterly along Thirtieth Street to San Jose Avenue; thence westerly along San Jose Avenue to Randall Street; thence easterly along Randall Street to Mission Street; thence northeasterly along Mission Street to Precita Avenue; thence easterly along Precita Avenue to Coso Street; thence southeasterly along Coso Street to Mirabel Avenue; thence easterly along Mirabel Avenue to Bessie Street; thence easterly along Bessie Street to Precita Avenue; thence easterly along Precita Avenue to Alabama Street; thence southerly along Alabama Street to Mullen Avenue; thence easterly along Mullen Avenue to Peralta Avenue; thence northeasterly along Peralta Avenue and a straight-line extension thereof to the intersection of the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101); thence generally southerly along the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101) to the interchange with the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) along the center line thereof to the center line of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to the intersection with the southern boundary of the city and county; thence westerly along said boundary to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards, avenues, ways and drives contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said streets, boulevards, avenues, ways and drives, respectively.

ELEVENTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise that portion of the city and county not otherwise described as constituting the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth or tenth supervisorial districts.

The board of supervisors shall by ordinance, adjust the boundaries of the supervisorial districts herein set forth in the
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year following the year in which each decennial federal census is taken, commencing with the 1980 census, as provided in the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and subject to all the requirements therein, provided, however, that the redistricting provided for herein shall conform to the rule of one person-one vote and shall reflect communities of interest within the city and county.

Each member of the board of supervisors, commencing with the general municipal election in November, 1977, shall be elected by the electors within a supervisorial district, and must have resided in the district in which he or she is elected for a period of not less than thirty days immediately preceding the date he or she files a declaration of candidacy for the office of supervisor, and must continue to reside therein during his or her incumbency, and upon ceasing to be such resident shall be removed from office.

9.100 Elective Officers and Terms
The mayor, an assessor, a district attorney, a city attorney, a sheriff, a treasurer, a public defender, the members of the board of education, (and commencing with the general election in 1980, the members of the board of supervisors,) shall be elected at large by the voters of the city and county. The members of the board of supervisors shall be elected by districts, as provided for in Section 2.100 of the charter.

At the general municipal election in 1943, and at the general municipal election in every fourth year thereafter, there shall be elected a mayor, six supervisors, a district attorney and a sheriff, and at the general municipal election in 1945, and at the general municipal election in every fourth year thereafter, there shall be elected five supervisors, a city attorney and a treasurer, and at the general election in 1942, and at the general election in every fourth year thereafter, there shall be elected an assessor and a public defender. At a special municipal election to be consolidated with the direct primary in 1972, seven members of the board of education shall be elected at large. ((At the general election in 1980, eleven members of the board of supervisors shall be elected at large.)) All of the aforesaid officials, except as set forth herein, shall be elected for a term of four years, from the commencement of their respective terms as herein specified.

((Notwithstanding any provisions of this section or any other section of the charter to the contrary, the respective terms of office of the members of the board of supervisors who shall hold office on the 8th day of January, 1981, shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on said date and the eleven persons elected as members of the board of supervisors at the general election in 1980 shall succeed to said offices on said 8th day of January, 1981. The respective terms of office of the members of the board of supervisors elected at the general election in 1980 shall be as follows: the six members receiving the highest number of votes respectively at said election shall hold office for a term of four years; the five members receiving the next highest number of votes respectively at said election shall hold office for a term of two years. Thereafter, the term of each member elected to the board of supervisors shall be four years from the commencement of his term as herein specified.

((At the general election in 1982 there shall be elected five members of the board of supervisors to succeed those members thereof whose respective terms of office expire on the 8th day of January, 1983, and at the general election in each fourth year after 1982, the successors to said five members of the board of supervisors shall be elected, and at the general election in 1984, there shall be elected six members of the board of supervisors to succeed those members thereof whose respective terms of office expire on the 8th day of January, 1985, and at the general election in each fourth year after 1984, the successors to said six members of the board of supervisors shall be elected.))

The respective terms of the members of the board of education, who shall hold office on the 8th day of August, 1972, shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on said day, and the persons elected as members of the board of education at a special municipal election to be consolidated with the direct primary in 1972 shall succeed to said offices at twelve o'clock noon on said 8th day of August, 1972. The respective terms of office of the members of the board of education elected at a special municipal election to be consolidated with the direct primary in 1972, shall be as follows: the four members receiving the highest number of votes respectively at said election shall hold office for a term consisting of the period of time until the 8th day of January, 1975. Thereafter, the term of each member elected to the board of education shall be four years from the commencement of his term as herein specified.

At the general election in 1974 there shall be elected three members of the board of education to succeed those members thereof whose respective terms of office expire on the 8th day of January, 1975, and at the general election in each fourth year after 1974, the successors to said three members of the board of education shall be elected, and at the general election in 1976 there shall be elected four members of the board of education to succeed those members thereof whose respective terms of office expire on the 8th day of January, 1977, and at the general election in each fourth year after 1976, the successors to said four members of the board of education shall be elected. Except as set forth herein, all terms of office of elective officials shall commence at twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January following the date of their election.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this section or any other section of the charter to the contrary, and nullifying the at-large election of members to the board of supervisors on November 4, 1980, the respective terms of office of the members of the board of supervisors who hold office on the morning of the 8th day of January 1981, shall be as follows: those supervisors representing even-numbered districts shall have terms of office expiring at noon on the 8th day of January 1982 and those supervisors representing odd-numbered districts shall have terms of office expiring at noon on the 8th day of January 1984. Thereafter, commencing with the general municipal election in November 1981, the terms of office of the supervisors elected from the even-numbered supervisorial districts shall be for a term of four years, and commencing with the general municipal election in November 1983, the terms of office of the supervisors elected from the odd-numbered supervisorial districts shall be for a term of four years.

The provisions of Section 9.104 of this charter, relating to the manner of placing name of candidates for office on the ballots, the provisions of Section 9.105 of this charter, relating to the mailing of a copy of the qualification statement of each candidate for elective office to each registered voter, and the provisions of Sections 9.108 and 9.111 of this charter,
relating to recall of elective officials, shall not apply to the
election or recall of members of the board of supervisors, but
provision for the foregoing shall be set forth in an ordinance
which shall be adopted by the board of supervisors pursuant
hereto; provided, however, that adoption of such ordinance by
the board of supervisors shall be solely for the purpose of ap-
plying the provisions of Sections 9.104, 9.105, 9.108 and
9.111 of this charter to the election or recall of supervisors by
districts, and shall not otherwise alter or diminish the provi-
sions of said sections as to election or recall of supervisors.

No person elected mayor or supervisor shall be eligible,
for a period of one year after his last day of said service as
mayor or supervisor, for appointment to any full-time posi-
tion carrying compensation in the city and county service.

9.104 Nomination of Elective Officers

The name of a candidate for an elective office shall be
printed upon the ballot when a declaration of candidacy and
certificates of not less than twenty or more than thirty spon-
sors shall have been filed on his behalf and when the nomina-
tions shall have been made in the following manner: The can-
didate not more than seventy-five days before the mu-
icipal election in November shall file with the registrar a
declaration of his candidacy in the form prescribed by the
registrar for all candidates including statements of his quali-
fications not to exceed one hundred words subscribed by him
before the registrar. The registrar shall forthwith certify to
the said subscription and its date and retain and file the de-
claration. The candidate shall pay to the registrar at the time
of filing his declaration of candidacy and a sum equal to two
percent (2%) of the current annual salary for the office for
which he is a candidate. In lieu of such filing fee a candidate
may submit to the registrar signatures of voters registered in
San Francisco as provided in the general laws of this state.
After said declaration shall have been signed, certified and
filed, and not later than sixty days before the election each
candidate shall file with the registrar, on forms published by
him, not less than twenty nor more than thirty sponsors, who
are electors qualified to vote at said municipal election and
who shall sign and certify under the penalty of perjury to the
qualifications of said candidate.

In the event the registrar shall refuse to file such decla-
rations of candidacy, petition in lieu of filing fee or certificate of
a sponsor thereof, he shall forthwith designate in writing on
the declaration, petition or certificate the defect thereof, or
other reason for refusing to file the same and shall return
the same to party tendering it. No defect in any declaration,
petition or certificate presented to the registrar shall prevent
the filing of another declaration, petition or certificate with-
in the period allowed for presenting the declaration, petition
or certificate. The name of every candidate who has been
duly and regularly nominated shall be placed on the ballot
under the title of the office for which he is a candidate, pro-
vided that a candidate whose nomination has been complet-
ead, may, not less than sixty days before a municipal election,
withdraw as a candidate by filing with the registrar his with-
drawal naming the office; such withdrawal must be signed
and sworn to by the person withdrawing.

The name of every municipal candidate except supervis-
orial candidates who has been nominated for an office to be
elected throughout the city and the county as hereinbefore
provided shall be placed on the ballot in accordance with the
general laws of the state under the heading of the office for
which said candidate has been nominated in the following
manner: In elections to be held when there are no supervis-

HOW TO VOTE ON THE VOTOMATIC VOTE RECORDER

SPECIAL NOTE:
IF YOU MAKE A MISTAKE, RETURN YOUR CARD AND GET ANOTHER.

Note: Si hace algún error, devuelva su tarjeta de votar y obtenga otra.

A 第一步
請特别注意
如有錯誤，請向助理員換取新選票。

B 第一步
請雙手將選票向自動機牌插入。

STEP 1

USING BOTH HANDS
INSERT THE BALLOT CARD ALL THE WAY INTO THE VOTOMATIC.

Usando las dos manos, mete la tarjeta de votar completamente dentro del "Votomatic."

C 第二步
請切記將選票插入時，票尾之二孔，接合於二紅點之上。

STEP 2

BE SURE THE TWO SLOTS IN THE STUB OF YOUR CARD FIT DOWN OVER THE TWO RED PINS.

Paso 2. Asegúrese de que los dos orificios que hay al final de la tarjeta coinciden con las dos cabecitas rojas.

D 第三步
請切記票尾之選舉時，由小孔垂直插入打孔投票。

STEP 3

HOLD PUNCH VERTICAL [STRAIGHT UP]. PUNCH STRAIGHT DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD TO INDICATE YOUR CHOICE. DO NOT USE PEN OR PENCIL.

Para votar, sostenga el instrumento de votar y perforé con él la tarjeta de votar en el lugar de los candidatos de su preferencia. No use pluma ni lápiz.

E 第四步
投票選舉之後，把選票取出，放入空封袋內，票尾凸出在外。
在封袋上，有空白格預備為投票人應用。

STEP 4

AFTER VOTING, WITHDRAW THE BALLOT CARD AND PLACE IT INSIDE THE ENVELOPE POCKET, WITH THE STUB SHOWING.

Después de votar, saque la tarjeta del "Votomatic" y póngala bajo el cierre del sobre.
OTHER PROPOSITIONS
COMING SOON IN VOLUME TWO

PROPOSITION B
Shall officers and employees be permitted to have designated interests in certain transactions of the city which are now prohibited but be required to disclose said interests and abstain from participation in the transaction?

PROPOSITION C
Shall rates for the Municipal Railway as proposed by the Public Utilities Commission be approved, rejected or amended by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors?

PROPOSITION D
Shall City employees be allowed in the City's Health Service System as determined by ordinance subject to conditions and qualifications as the Board of Supervisors may impose?

PROPOSITION E
Shall the compensation of Police and Fire uniformed officers be protected against reduction below a base rate determined by date of employment?

PROPOSITION F
Shall policemen and firemen who were members before November 1, 1976 be allowed to transfer to the Retirement Plan in effect after November 1, 1976 and be compensated up to $40,000 based on years of service for electing to transfer?

PROPOSITION G
Shall members of the Police and Fire Departments with five years of service who cease to be employed for causes other than death or retirement have the right to vest their retirement contributions and receive a retirement benefit at age 50?
PROPOSITION H
Shall fire protection services be restored to and maintained at the levels no less than those authorized on June 30, 1978?

PROPOSITION I
Shall a schedule of compensation based upon the last demand of employees represented by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local No. 6, be approved?

PROPOSITION J
Shall a schedule of compensation based upon the last demand of employees represented by the Laborers' International Union of North America, Local No. 261, be approved?

PROPOSITION K
DECLARATION OF POLICY: Shall the Board of Supervisors enact legislation to establish as the policy of the City and County of San Francisco that there be an addition of 20,000 new units of Residential Housing in San Francisco by January 1, 1985?

PROPOSITION L
DECLARATION OF POLICY: Shall the Board of Supervisors submit a Charter amendment to adjust cost-of-living increases or decreases in retirement allowances for miscellaneous employees, from funds legally available for such purposes, in accordance with percentage cost-of-living allowances determined to be in effect annually in other San Francisco Bay Area counties; provided that such cost-of-living allowances to San Francisco retired employees or beneficiaries shall not be less than 2 percent annually?

PROPOSITION M
DECLARATION OF POLICY: We, the people, declare that San Francisco must increase the taxes paid by its largest corporations. It is fundamentally unjust that large corporations, such as giant oil companies whose profits will exceed $1 trillion in the 1980's, pay a lower rate of taxes than the average wage-earner; and that San Francisco's huge banks and insurance companies pay no local business taxes at all. We pay our share, and so should they.
APPLICATION FOR ABSENT VOTER'S BALLOT

1. PRINTED NAME
LETTERAS DE IMPRENTA

2. ELECTION DATE November 4, 1980

3. BALLOT TO BE MAILED TO ME AT:
ENEVIAME LA BALOTA A:

4. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT IN FULL
FIRMA COMPLETA DEL SOLICITANTE

5. Registered San Francisco Address of Applicant
Dirección del solicitante registrada en San Francisco

6. □ I prefer election materials in English
□ Prefero materiales electorales en español
□ 我欲索取中文選舉資料

IF YOU HAVE MOVED
Complete this section if you have moved and now reside at an address other than that shown on your affidavit of registration.

I moved on ___________ 19_________.

My residence address is __________________________.

NOTE: A voter moving within 29 days prior to this election may obtain an absentee ballot. A voter moving more than 29 days prior to this election and who did not re-register prior to the registration closing date for this election is not eligible to vote.

SI USTED SE HA CAMBIADO
Complete esta sección al usted se ha cambiado y reside ahora en otra dirección distinta a la que aparece en su declaración jurada de registro.

Me cambió el ___________ de 19_________.

Mi dirección es __________________________.

NOTA: Un votante que se cambie dentro de los 29 días anteriores a esta elección puede obtener balota ausente. Un votante que se cambie antes de las 29 días anteriores de la elección y que no se registró antes de la fecha final para registrarse de esta elección no puede votar.

MAIL TO: ABSENT VOTING SECTION
ENVIAR A: REGISTRAR OF VOTERS OFFICE
ROOM 158, CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

APPLICATION MUST BE RECEIVED IN REGISTRAR'S OFFICE BY 5:00 P.M., TUESDAY, OCTOBER 23, 7 DAYS BEFORE ELECTION DAY.

LA SOLICITUD DEBE RECIBIRSE EN LA OFICINA DEL REGISTRAR ANTES DE LAS 15:00 HOREAS, MARTES, 23 OCTUBRE, EL SIETE DÍAS ANTERIORES AL DÍA DE LA ELECCIÓN.

警告：缺席選票申請表必須在選舉日七日之前
即 10月23日星期二下午五時前
遞交此申請表格。
Application for absentee ballot appears on Page 71
Aplicacion para papeleta de votante ausente aparece en la Pagina 71
缺席選票申請表刊在第71頁

VOTER SELECTION COUPON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CANDIDATES</th>
<th>STATE PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>CITY PROPOSITIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. President</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Senator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Assembly</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Education</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community College Board</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Write your choices on this coupon and bring it to your voting booth. It will make voting easier for you, and will reduce the time others have to wait.

WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBILITY:
The letter in parentheses on the second line of your address label indicates degree of wheelchair accessibility at the precinct:
(A) Easily accessible
(B) Accessible with assistance
(C) Very difficult or impossible
These evaluations take into account architectural barriers only. Geographical barriers you may encounter enroute to the polls have not been considered.
Your rights as a handicapped voter appear elsewhere in this pamphlet; see index.
Sample Ballot
Propositions B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L and M

Candidates' Statements
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SAMPLE BALLOT ................................................ 6-19
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CANDIDATES FOR BART DIRECTOR, District 7
Polly THOMAS .................................................. 20
Wilfred T. USERY .............................................. 20

CANDIDATES FOR BART DIRECTOR, District 9
John H. KIRKWOOD ............................................ 20

CANDIDATES FOR JUDGE
Ina Levin GYEMANT ........................................... 21
Philip J. MOSCONI ............................................ 21

CANDIDATES FOR SCHOOL BOARD
Alicia Caridad AICARDI ...................................... 22
Bill MAHER ..................................................... 26
Tom AMMIANO .................................................. 22
Grimes POZNIKOV ............................................. 26
Libby DENEHIM ................................................ 23
Cynthia A. ROBINS .......................................... 27
Henry HITZ ..................................................... 23
Art TAPIA ...................................................... 27
Eugene S. HOPP ............................................... 24
Ben TOM ......................................................... 28
Grandvel A. JACKSON ........................................ 24
Tony UBALDE ................................................. 28
Susanne L. JONAS ............................................ 25
Moy Aspasias VELASQUEZ .................................... 29
Margel KAUFMAN ............................................. 25

CANDIDATES FOR COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD
Booker T. ANDERSON, Jr ...................................... 29
Patricia B. REA ............................................... 32
Ernest "Chuck" AYALA ........................................ 30
Sal ROSSELLI .................................................. 33
Agens I. CHAN ............................................... 30
Julie TANG ...................................................... 33
Robert GUCHARD ............................................. 31
William VALENTINE ......................................... 34
Kim MADDUX ................................................. 31
Tim WOLFFRED ............................................... 34
Mary MARZOTTO ............................................. 32

CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR
Wayne Hunter ALBA .......................................... 35
Joe HUGHES .................................................... 51
Lee ALLEN ....................................................... 35
Ella Hill HUTCH ............................................. 52
John BARDIS ................................................... 36
Goldie JUDGE ................................................ 52
Scott BISHOP ................................................ 36
Quentin L. KOPP ............................................. 53
Paul BONEBERG ............................................... 37
Carl LAFONG .................................................. 53
Harry BRITT ................................................... 37
Walter LARSEN ............................................... 54
Frederick BROTHERS ........................................ 38
Edward LAWSON ............................................... 54
Rodrigo V. SU .................................................. 38
Pius LEE ......................................................... 55
Paul J. CLARK ................................................ 39
Victor A. MALIK .............................................. 55
Diana COLEMAN .............................................. 39
Marjorie D. MARTIN ......................................... 56
Patrick J. CORBETT .......................................... 40
Haig G. MARDIKIAN .......................................... 56
Willis W. CRUMP ........................................... 40
Laurie McBRIE ............................................... 57
Del DAWSON ................................................... 41
Victor L. MEDEARIS ......................................... 57
Lee S. DOLSON ................................................ 41
John L. MOLINARI .......................................... 58
Victron W. D'ORAZI .......................................... 42
Kate MORGAN ................................................. 58
Luise EZQUERO ............................................... 42
Wendy NELDER .............................................. 59
Sally B. FAMARIN ............................................ 43
Susan PERLMAN .............................................. 59
Peter M. FINNEGAN ......................................... 43
Dennis PERON ................................................ 60
Eulalio (Larry) FRAUSTO .................................... 44
Louise RENNE ............................................... 60
Peter FORTUNE ............................................... 44
David A. ROBERTS .......................................... 61
Bob GEARY ...................................................... 45
Francis O. SCARPELLA ...................................... 61
Mark GLEASON ................................................ 45
David SCOTT .................................................. 62
F. "Sami" GOELTZ ............................................. 46
Carol Ruth SILVER .......................................... 62
Bob GONZALES .............................................. 46
Sian SMITH .................................................... 63
Louise GOODMAN ............................................ 47
Roger SOBEL .................................................. 63
Terence HALLINAN .......................................... 47
Tom STEVENS ................................................ 64
Steven T. HANKS ............................................. 48
Nancy STROHL ............................................... 64
Paul D. HARDMAN ........................................... 48
Olga TALAMANTE ............................................ 65
Lee HARTGRAVE ............................................. 49
Pat VOULGARIS ............................................... 65
Joletha HEAD .................................................. 49
Kevin WADSWORTH .......................................... 66
Ben HOM ......................................................... 50
Nancy G. WALKER ............................................ 66
Richard D. HONGISTO ...................................... 50
Doris M. WARD ............................................. 67
Donald HORANZY ............................................ 51
PROPOSITION A
A new City Charter, as proposed by the San Francisco Charter Commission.
SEE VOLUME ONE
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Analysis ........................................... 74  
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old police/fire retirement plan.
Analysis ........................................... 76  
Arguments ........................................ 77  
Text of proposed law ............................. 76, 94

PROPOSITION G
Allows Police officers and Firefighters the option of keeping their 
money in City retirement plan if they leave.
Analysis ........................................... 78  
Argument ......................................... 78  
Text of proposed law ............................. 95

PROPOSITION H
Requires restoration of Fire Department services to levels used in 
June 1978.
Analysis ........................................... 79  
Arguments ........................................ 80-82 
Text of proposed law ............................. 79

PROPOSITION I
Grants Electrical Union "demands."
Analysis ........................................... 83  
Text of proposed law ............................. 83, 95

PROPOSITION J
Grants Laborer's Union "demands."
Analysis ........................................... 84  
Argument ......................................... 84  
Text of proposed law ............................. 84

PROPOSITION K
Establishes City policy of constructing 20,000 new dwelling units.
Analysis ........................................... 85  
Arguments ........................................ 86

PROPOSITION L
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cost-of-living statistics.
Analysis ........................................... 87  
Argument ......................................... 87
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Analysis ........................................... 88  
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PROPOSITION N
Reestablishes the system of district election of Supervisors.
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MAP OF BART DISTRICTS

BART District 7
Candidates: Polly Thomas, Wil Ussery
Neighborhoods: Bayview, Potrero, Mission, Fillmore, South of Market

BART District 8
Candidates: None
Neighborhoods: Sunset, West of Twin Peaks, Merced, Ingleside, Excelsior, Visitacion Valley, Bernal Heights, Upper Market

BART District 9
Candidates: John Kirkwood, Ronald Moss
Neighborhoods: Richmond, Inner Sunset, Haight-Ashbury, Laurel Hill, Pacific Heights, Marina, North of Market
BALLOT CARD MUST BE INSERTED INTO VOTOMATIC BEFORE VOTING
PUNCH OUT BALLOT CARD ONLY WITH PUNCHING DEVICE
ATTACHED TO VOTOMATIC; NEVER WITH PEN OR PENCIL

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:

To vote for all of the candidates of any party, punch the ballot card in the hole opposite the names of the presidential and vice presidential candidates of that party. A hole in the ballot card opposite the name of a party and its presidential and vice presidential candidate is a vote for all of the candidates of that party.

To vote for those candidates who have pledged themselves to vote for a candidate for President and Vice President not supported by any other particular party, punch the ballot card in the hole opposite the names of those presidential and vice presidential candidates.

To vote for those candidates who have pledged themselves to vote for a candidate for President and Vice President of any party not qualified to participate in the election unless the names and party of those presidential and vice presidential candidates in the blank space provided for that purpose, as on the write-in ballot envelopes.

To vote for any other candidate of your selection, punch the ballot card in the hole opposite the candidate's name. Where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, punch the ballot card opposite the name of all candidates for the office for whom you desire to vote, not to exceed, however, the number of candidates to be elected.

To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, first write the title of the office in the blank space provided for that purpose on the write-in ballot envelope and then after the title of the office the person's name in the blank space provided for that purpose.

To vote on any measure, punch the ballot card in the hole opposite the word "YES" or after the word "NO".

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly punch, tear or deface the ballot card, or tear or deface the write-in ballot envelope, return it to the precinct board member and obtain another.

ANTES DE VOTAR DEBE INSERTAR LA TARJETA EN LA VOTOMATIC
PERFORE LA TARJETA UNICAMENTE CON EL PUNZON ATADO
A LA VOTOMATIC. PROHIBIDO USAR PLUMA O LAPIZ.

INSTRUCCIONES A LOS VOTANTES:

Para votar por todos los elektos de un partido, perfura la tarjeta de balota en el agujero el lado del nombres los candidatos presiden- cial y vice presidencial de ese partido. Un agujero en la tarjeta de balota en el lado del nombre de un partido y su candidato presidencial y vice presidencial, es un voto por todos los candidatos de ese partido, pero por ningún otro candidato.

Para votar por aquellos elektos que no han comprometido a votar por un candidato para Presidente y Vice Presidente sin el apoyo de ningún partido en particular, perfura la tarjeta de balota en el agujero el lado del nombres de esos candidatos presidencial y vice presidencial.

Para votar por aquellos elektos que han comprometido a votar por un candidato para Presidente y para Vice Presidente de cualquier partido no calificado para participar en la elección, intente por escrito los nombres y el partido de esos candidatos presidencial y vice presidencial en el espacio en blanco provisto para ese propósito en el sobre de balota para inserción por escrito.

Para votar por cualquier otro candidato de su selección, perfura la tarjeta de balota en el agujero el lado del nombre del candidato. Cuando han de ser elegidos dos o más candidatos para el mismo office, perfura la tarjeta de balota el lado del nombre de todos los candidatos para el cargo por quienes usted desea votar, pero no exceder el número de candidatos que se deben elegir.

Para votar por un candidato calificado para inserción de su nombre por escrito, escriba primero el título del cargo en el espacio en blanco provisto para esa fin en el sobre de balota para inserción por escrito, y luego después del título del cargo, el nombre de la persona en el espacio en blanco provisto para esa fin.

Para votar sobre cualquier medida, perfura la tarjeta de balota en el agujero el lado de la palabra "Sí" luego de la palabra "NO".

Todas las bordas o marcas distinguidas están prohibidas y anulan la balota.

Si usted equivocadamente perfura, rompa o estrupe la balota, o rompa o estrupe el sobres de balota para inserción por escrito, devuélvalos al miembro del consejo del recinto y obtenga otros.

TO START YOUR VOTING
GO TO NEXT PAGE

PARA COMENZAR A VOTAR
PASE A LA PAGINA SIGUIENTE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Vote for One Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAUREEN SMITH, for President/por Presidente</td>
<td>Peace and Freedom</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELIZABETH CERVANTES BARRON, for Vice President/por Vice Presidente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN R. BARICK, for President/por Presidente</td>
<td>American Independent</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EILEEN M. SHEARER, for Vice President/por Vice Presidente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RONALD REAGAN, for President/por Presidente</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEORGE BUSH, for Vice President/por Vice Presidente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIMMY CARTER, for President/por Presidente</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALTER F. MONDALE, for Vice President/por Vice Presidente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED CLARK, for President/por Presidente</td>
<td>Libertarian</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID KOCH, for Vice President/por Vice Presidente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARRY COMMONER, for President/por Presidente</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LADONNA HARRIS, for Vice President/por Vice Presidente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN B. ANDERSON, for President/por Presidente</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATRICK J. LUCEY, for Vice President/por Vice Presidente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### UNITED STATES SENATOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAVID WALD, Peace and Freedom</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Engineer, Teacher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingeniero Solar, Maestro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAUL GANN, Republican</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Crusader</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciudadano opuesto a la Tasa de Impuesto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMES C. (JIM) GRIFFIN, American Independent</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camionero</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID BERGLAND, Libertarian</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Counsel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alojado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAN CRANSTON, Democratic</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Senator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senador de los Estados Unidos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE—6th DISTRICT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHILLIP BURTON, Democratic</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member of Congress, 6th District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miembro del Congreso, Distrito 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOM SPINOSA, Republican</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultante de Contabilidad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROY CHILDS, Libertarian</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazine Editor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor de Revista</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-16
SENADOR ESTATAL—DISTRITO 5  加州參議員—第五選區

STATE SENATOR—5th DISTRICT

Eric Garris, Libertarian
Marijuana/Anti-Tax Activist
Activista Contra-Contribuciones/Marijuana
56 →

Milton Marks, Republican, Democratic
State Senator, Fifth District
Senador Estatal, Distrito 5
58 →

MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL—DISTRITO 16  加州衆議員—第十六選區

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY—16th DISTRICT

Art Agnos, Democrat
State Assemblyman
Asambleista Estatal
63 →

Larry Jenkins, Republican
Businessman
Comerciante
65 →

Justin Raimondo, Libertarian
Gay Activist
Activista Homosexual
67 →

JUDICIAL 司法

Juez de la Corte Municipal, Puesto #1  地方法院法官，第一席
Judge of the Municipal Court, Office #1  地方法院法官，第一席

Ina Geymant
Deputy Attorney General
Procuradora General Delegada
75 →

Philip J. Moscone
Deputy City Attorney
Abogado de la Ciudad Delegado
77 →
### Member, Board of Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BILL MAHER</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART TAPIA</td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEN TOM</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIMES POZNIKOV</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TONY UBALDE</td>
<td>Clergy</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYNTHIA A. ROBINS</td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBBY DENEBEIM</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCEL KAUFMAN</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HENRY HITZ</td>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUGENE S. HOPP</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOY A. VELASQUEZ</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOM AMMIANO</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALICIA AICARDI</td>
<td>Counselor</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANDVII A. JACKSON</td>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSANNE L. JONAS</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Member, Community College Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARY MARZOTTO</td>
<td>Professor and Research Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIM MADDOX</td>
<td>Professor de Investigaciones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULIE TANG</td>
<td>Educadora/Consejera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIM WOLFRED</td>
<td>Educador y Psicologo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAT REA</td>
<td>Bibliotecaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAL ROSSELLI</td>
<td>Presidente del Colegio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT GUICHARD</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGNES I. CHAN</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILLIAM VALENTINE</td>
<td>Counselor/Consejero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERNEST &quot;CHUCK&quot; AYALA</td>
<td>Invierno/Colocador</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOOKER T. ANDERSON, JR.</td>
<td>Presidente del Colegio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## B.A.R.T. Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLLY THOMAS</td>
<td>Abogada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILFRED T. (WIL) USSERY</td>
<td>Urban Planner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: To determine your BART District please refer to map on page 4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victor L. Meendors</td>
<td>Clerk/Secretary, City Recorder</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurie McBride</td>
<td>City Secretary, City Recorder</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marjorie D. Martin</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hage G. Mardikian</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor A. Malik</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Morgan</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John L. Molinaro</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olga Talamante</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Perkins</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Perlman</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John M. L. Ward</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyvin Wadsworth</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy G. Walker</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stan Smith</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Strohl</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Stevens</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Ruth Silver</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis O. Scarpulla</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Scott</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Sobel</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Henne</td>
<td>City Clerk, City Recorder</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: There are 3 pages of candidates for Supervisor. Vote for a total of no more than eleven (11) candidates on all 3 pages combined.

AVISO/NOTE: Hay tres (3) páginas de candidatos para Supervisor. Vote por un total de no más de once (11) candidatos en todas las tres (3) páginas combinadas.

Note: The list is in alphabetical order.

Note: The list is in alphabetical order.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEL DAWSON</td>
<td>Merchant/Comerciante/</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTOR W. D’ORAZI</td>
<td>Businessman - Consultant/Hombre de Negocios - Consultante/</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEE S. DOLSON</td>
<td>City College/Colegio de la Ciudad/</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOB GEARY</td>
<td>Anti-Sewer Tax Chairman/Presidente Contra-Contribuciones del Alcantarillado/</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. &quot;SAM&quot; GOELTZ</td>
<td>Pilot, Engineer/Piloto, Ingeniero/</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOUISE GOODMAN</td>
<td>Railworker, UTU Local 100/Trabajadora de Ferrocarril, UTU Local 100/</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOB GONZALES</td>
<td>Attorney/Abogado/</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK I. GLEASON</td>
<td>Wholesale Food Distributor/Distribuidor de Alimentos Al Por Mayor/</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUISA E. EZQUEHRO</td>
<td>Teacher/Maestra/</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILLUS W. CHUMP</td>
<td>Realtor and Clustered Life Underwriter/Agente de Casas y Titulado Asesor de Vida/</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATRICK G. CORBETT</td>
<td>Painting Contractor/Contratista de Pintura/</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIANA COLEMAN</td>
<td>Socialist Union Militant/Militante de La Unión Socialista/</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAUL J. CLARK</td>
<td>Cable Car Dispatcher/Despachador de Transvías de Cable/</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENTIN L. KOPP</td>
<td>Member, Board of Supervisors/Miembro, Junta de Supervisores/</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELLA HILL HUTCH</td>
<td>Member, Board of Supervisors/Miembro, Junta de Supervisores/</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOE HUGHES</td>
<td>Lawyer and Politician/Abogado y Político/</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOLETHA HEAD</td>
<td>Community Activist/Activista Comunal/</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEE HARTERAVE</td>
<td>Magazine Editor, Teacher/Editora de Revista, Maestra/</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAUL D. HARDMAN</td>
<td>Publisher/Publicador/</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVEN T. HANKS</td>
<td>Part Time Dishwasher/Lavador de Platos Parte de Tiempo/</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERENCE HALLINAN</td>
<td>Attorney-at-Law/Abogado-En-Ley/</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEN HOM</td>
<td>Chairman, Housing Authority/Presidente, Autoridad de Hogares/</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** There are 3 pages of candidates for Supervisor. Vote for a total of no more than eleven (11) candidates on all 3 pages combined.

**AVISO/NOTE:** Hay tres (3) paginas de candidatos para Supervisor. Vote por un total de no más de once (11) candidatos sobre todas las tres (3) paginas combinadas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Vote No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONALD HORANZYM</td>
<td>Member, Board of Supervisors/Miembro, Junta de Supervisores</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHARD D. HONGISTO</td>
<td>Criminal Justice Consultant/Consultante Sobre La Justicia Criminal</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAT VOUGLARES</td>
<td>Working Woman/Mujer Trabajadora</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEE ALLEN</td>
<td>Procurement Consultant/Consultante Procurador</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAYNE HUNTER ALBA</td>
<td>Investment Consultant/Consultante de Inversiones</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETER M. FINNEGAN</td>
<td>Commissioner, State of California/Comisionado, Estado de California</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULALIO (LARRY) FRAUSTO</td>
<td>Chairman/Líder/Presidente</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALLY B. FAMARIN</td>
<td>Broker, Real Property Securities Dealer, County Public Agent de Inversiones, Mercedida de Valores en Propiedades inmobiliarias</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETER FORTUNE</td>
<td>Attorney/Abogado</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WENDY NELDER</td>
<td>Lawyer/Abogada</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLDFIE JUDGE</td>
<td>Self-Employed/Empleada Por-Si-Misma</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIUS LEE</td>
<td>Businessman/Comisionador/ Dueño De Negocios/Comisionado/Propietario</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED LAWSON</td>
<td>Member, Board of Supervisors/Miembro, Junta de Supervisores</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALTER VIARES</td>
<td>Businessman/Hombre De Negocios</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARL LA FONG</td>
<td>Pastlian Advocate/Favorecedor de Pastraami</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROD BUSH</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTT T. BISHOP</td>
<td>Businessman/Hombre De Negocios</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARRY BRITT</td>
<td>Member, Board of Supervisors/Miembro, Junta de Supervisores</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRED BROTHERS</td>
<td>Pres. of Upper Market St. Board/Presidente, Asociacion de Las Almas de Calle/President</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN BARDIS</td>
<td>Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors/Miembro, Junta de Supervisores</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAUL BONEBERG</td>
<td>Housing Restoration/Restauración de Hogares</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** There are 3 pages of candidates for Supervisor. Vote for a total of no more than eleven (11) candidates on all 3 pages combined.

**AVISO/NOTE:** Hay tres (3) paginas de candidatos para Supervisor. Vete por un total de no más de once (11) candidatos sobre todas las tres (3) paginas combinadas.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **1** PARKLANDS ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. Provides $285,000,000 bond issue for acquiring, developing, restoring parks, beaches, recreation areas, other resources. |
| **FOR**: 211 | **AGAINST**: 212 |

| **2** THE LAKE TAHOE ACQUISITIONS BOND ACT. Provides $85,000,000 bond issue to acquire Tahoe Basin property for protection and preservation. |
| **FOR**: 214 | **AGAINST**: 215 |

| **3** INSURANCE GUARANTEE FUNDS, TAX OFFSET. Authorizes legislation establishing insurance guarantee funds to pay claims against insolvent insurers. Allows tax offsets. Fiscal impact: If offset allowed by legislation, could result in State General Fund loss of as much as $30 million per year. |
| **YES**: 217 | **NO**: 218 |

| **4** TAXATION, REAL PROPERTY, PROPERTY ACQUISITION BY TAXING ENTITY. Removes tax limitation for this purpose if approved by two-thirds of voters. Fiscal impact: To extent new indebtedness is created, ad valorem property taxes on real property could rise. A rise in property taxes could increase state costs for reimbursements to local entities. For other possible fiscal impacts see analysis by Legislative Analyst in Ballot Pamphlet. |
| **YES**: 221 | **NO**: 222 |

| **5** TAXATION, REAL PROPERTY VALUATION, DISASTERS, SEISMIC SAFETY, CHANGE IN OWNERSHIP. Amends constitutional definitions of “newly constructed” and “change in ownership.” Fiscal impact: Local—Significant loss of property tax revenues. Moderate increase in assessment costs. State—Additional school district aid costs. Increase in income tax revenues. |
| **YES**: 224 | **NO**: 225 |

| **6** JURORS. Permits legislative reduction of juries in municipal and justice court civil cases to 8 persons or lesser agreed number. Fiscal impact: None |
| **YES**: 227 | **NO**: 228 |

| **7** TAXATION, REAL PROPERTY VALUATION, SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEM. Legislature may exclude active solar energy systems from term “newly constructed” in Constitution. Fiscal impact: Depending upon legislation enacted, local property tax revenues could be reduced and state school district aid increased. |
| **YES**: 230 | **NO**: 231 |

| **8** WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT AND PROTECTION. Limits modification of specified measures relating to Delta and specifies other water resources development conditions. Fiscal impact: Undetermined increase in state reimbursement of court costs to Sacramento County and decrease in state travel costs. |
| **YES**: 233 | **NO**: 234 |
MEVIDAS SOMITIDAS AL VOTO DE LOS VOTANTES ESTATAL

1. PROGRAMA DE ADQUISICION Y DESARROLLO DE TERRENOS DE PARQUES. Hace posible una emisión de bonos de $285,000,000 para adquirir, desarrollar, y restaurar parques, playas, áreas de recreación y otros recursos.

2. ACTA DE BONOS DE ADQUISICIONES DEL LAGO TAHOE. Hace posible una emisión de bonos de $85,000,000 para adquirir propiedad de la Cuenca de Tahoe para la protección y la conservación.

3. FONDOS DE GARANTÍA DE SEGUROS. COMPENSACIÓN DE IMPUESTOS. Autoriza la legislación que establece fondos de garantía de seguros para pagar demandas contra aseguradoras insolvientes. Permite compensaciones de impuestos. Impacto económico: Si la legislación permite la compensación, podría resultar en pérdidas al Fondo General del Estado de tanto como $30 millones al año.

4. FIJACIÓN DE IMPUESTOS, PROPIEDAD INMUEBLE, ADQUISICION DE PROPIEDAD POR LA ENTIDAD TATASADERA. Quite la limitación de impuestos para este propósito si lo aprueban las dos terceras partes de los votantes. Impacto económico: Hasta el grado en que se crean nuevos empleos, podrían aumentar los impuestos al valor de la propiedad. Un aumento en los impuestos a la propiedad podría aumentar los costos estatales para los reembolsos a entidades locales. Para otros impactos económicos vea el análisis por el Analista de la Legislatura en el Folleto de la Balota.


6. JURADOS. Permite la reducción legislativa de jurados en causas civiles en la corte municipal y de justicia a 8 personas o hasta un número aún menor acordado. Impacto económico: Ninguno.

7. FIJACIÓN DE IMPUESTOS. VALUACIÓN DE PROPIEDAD INMUEBLE, SISTEMA DE ENERGÍA SOLAR. La legislatura puede excluir sistemas activos de energía solar del término "construido nuevamente" en la Constitución. Impacto económico: Dependiendo de la legislación promulgada, podría haber una reducción en los créditos de impuestos a la propiedad y podría haber un aumento en la demanda estatal a distritos escolares.

8. DESARROLLO Y PROTECCION DE RECURSOS ACUATÍCOS. Limita la modificación de medidas específicas que se relacionan al Delta y establece otras condiciones para el desarrollo de recursos acuáticos. Impacto económico: Un aumento no determinado en reembolsos estatales de costos de tribunal al Condado de Sacramento y una reducción en costos estatales de transportación.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>City &amp; County of San Francisco</th>
<th>General Election</th>
<th>November 4, 1980</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Safe Drinking Water Bond Law</td>
<td>YES 235</td>
<td>NO 236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allows increase from $15,000,000 to $30,000,000 in bond proceeds for grants to improve public water systems. Fiscal impact: Revenue loss to State General Fund of $95 million over a 30-year period.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Smoking and No-Smoking Sections</td>
<td>YES 238</td>
<td>NO 239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provides for designation of smoking and no-smoking sections in specified types of enclosed facilities. Fiscal impact: Minor costs to state and local government. Indeterminable reductions in state and local tax revenues and savings from decline in smoke-related illnesses and decline in fire losses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Judges Salaries</td>
<td>YES 241</td>
<td>NO 242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City and County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Shall a Charter as proposed by the San Francisco Charter Commission be adopted?</td>
<td>YES 244</td>
<td>NO 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Shall officers and employees be permitted to have designated interest in certain transactions of the city which are now prohibited but be required to disclose said interests and abstain from participation in the transaction?</td>
<td>YES 247</td>
<td>NO 248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Shall rates for the Municipal Railway as proposed by the Public Utilities Commission be approved, rejected or amended by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors?</td>
<td>YES 250</td>
<td>NO 251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Shall City employees be allowed in the City's Health Service System as determined by ordinance subject to conditions and qualifications as the Board of Supervisors may impose?</td>
<td>YES 253</td>
<td>NO 254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Shall the compensation of Police and Fire uniformed officers be protected against reduction below a base rate determined by date of employment?</td>
<td>YES 256</td>
<td>NO 257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Shall policemen and firemen who were members before November 1, 1976 be allowed to transfer to the Retirement Plan in effect after November 1, 1976 and be compensated up to $40,000 based on years of service for electing to transfer?</td>
<td>YES 259</td>
<td>NO 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Número</td>
<td>Voto</td>
<td>Descripción</td>
<td>Comentarios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>LEY DE BONOS DE AGUA POTABLE SALUBRE</td>
<td>Permite el aumento desde $15,000,000 a $30,000,000 en éxitos de bonos para concesiones para mejorar sistemas de agua públicos, impacto económico. Pérdidas de éxitos para el Fondo General Estatal por $36 millones a lo largo de un período de 3 años.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SECCIONES DE FUMAR Y DE NO FUMAR</td>
<td>Hace posible la designación de secciones de fumar y de no fumar en clase identidad de instalaciones anexadas, impacto económico. Costos menores para los gobiernos estatal y locales. Reducciones no determinables en éxitos de impuestos estatales y locales y ahorrar por la reducción de enfermedades relacionadas con incendios.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>SALARIOS DE JUECES</td>
<td>Establece salarios judiciales basados en el aumento del presupuesto por el legislador. Establece que los gobiernos en los contratos no se obligan a incrementar salarios a largo plazo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Deberían los oficiales y empleados ser permitidos de tener intereses designados en contraciertas de la ciudad cuáles hoy están prohibidos, pero que sea un requisito de revelar dichos intereses y abstenerse de participar en la transacción?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Deberían adoptar la Carta Constitucional, como ha sido propuesta por la Comisión Sobre la Revisión de la Carta Constitucional de San Francisco?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Deberían los empleados municipales ser permitidos en el Sistema Del Servicio de Salud como ha sido determinado por ordenanza, sujeto a las condiciones, y calificaciones tal como la Junta de Supervisores pueden imponer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Deberían, que las tarifas programadas para el Ferrocarril Municipal, como propuestas por la Comisión de Utilidades Públicas, ser aprobadas, rechazadas o enmendadas por el voto de la mayoría de la Junta de Supervisores?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Debería, que los policías y bomberos, quienes han sido miembros antes del 1 de noviembre, 1976, ser permitidos a continuarse al plan de retiro efectivo después del 1 de noviembre, 1976 y ser compensados hasta $40,000 basado en los años de servicio por haber elegido el traspaso?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Debería, que los empleados municipales se permitan en el Sistema Del Servicio de Salud como ha sido determinado por ordenanza, sujeto a las condiciones, y calificaciones tal como la Junta de Supervisores pueden imponer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Deberían, que los oficiales y empleados ser permitidos de tener intereses designados en contraciertas de la ciudad cuáles hoy están prohibidos, pero que sea un requisito de revelar dichos intereses y abstenerse de participar en la transacción?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Deberían, que las tarifas programadas para el Ferrocarril Municipal, como propuestas por la Comisión de Utilidades Públicas, ser aprobadas, rechazadas o enmendadas por el voto de la mayoría de la Junta de Supervisores?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Deberían los empleados municipales ser permitidos en el Sistema Del Servicio de Salud como ha sido determinado por ordenanza, sujeto a las condiciones, y calificaciones tal como la Junta de Supervisores pueden imponer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Debería, que los empleados municipales se permitan en el Sistema Del Servicio de Salud como ha sido determinado por ordenanza, sujeto a las condiciones, y calificaciones tal como la Junta de Supervisores pueden imponer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Deberían, que los oficiales y empleados ser permitidos de tener intereses designados en contraciertas de la ciudad cuáles hoy están prohibidos, pero que sea un requisito de revelar dichos intereses y abstenerse de participar en la transacción?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Debería, que las tarifas programadas para el Ferrocarril Municipal, como propuestas por la Comisión de Utilidades Públicas, ser aprobadas, rechazadas o enmendadas por el voto de la mayoría de la Junta de Supervisores?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Deberían los empleados municipales ser permitidos en el Sistema Del Servicio de Salud como ha sido determinado por ordenanza, sujeto a las condiciones, y calificaciones tal como la Junta de Supervisores pueden imponer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Debería, que los empleados municipales se permitan en el Sistema Del Servicio de Salud como ha sido determinado por ordenanza, sujeto a las condiciones, y calificaciones tal como la Junta de Supervisores pueden imponer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Debería, que los oficiales y empleados ser permitidos de tener intereses designados en contraciertas de la ciudad cuáles hoy están prohibidos, pero que sea un requisito de revelar dichos intereses y abstenerse de participar en la transacción?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Debería, que las tarifas programadas para el Ferrocarril Municipal, como propuestas por la Comisión de Utilidades Públicas, ser aprobadas, rechazadas o enmendadas por el voto de la mayoría de la Junta de Supervisores?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Debería, que los empleados municipales se permitan en el Sistema Del Servicio de Salud como ha sido determinado por ordenanza, sujeto a las condiciones, y calificaciones tal como la Junta de Supervisores pueden imponer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Debería, que los oficiales y empleados ser permitidos de tener intereses designados en contraciertas de la ciudad cuáles hoy están prohibidos, pero que sea un requisito de revelar dichos intereses y abstenerse de participar en la transacción?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Debería, que las tarifas programadas para el Ferrocarril Municipal, como propuestas por la Comisión de Utilidades Públicas, ser aprobadas, rechazadas o enmendadas por el voto de la mayoría de la Junta de Supervisores?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259</td>
<td>Sí</td>
<td>¿Debería, que los empleados municipales se permitan en el Sistema Del Servicio de Salud como ha sido determinado por ordenanza, sujeto a las condiciones, y calificaciones tal como la Junta de Supervisores pueden imponer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>¿Debería, que los oficiales y empleados ser permitidos de tener intereses designados en contraciertas de la ciudad cuáles hoy están prohibidos, pero que sea un requisito de revelar dichos intereses y abstenerse de participar en la transacción?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposition</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Shall members of the Police and Fire Departments with five years of service who cease to be employed for causes other than death or retirement have the right to vest their retirement contributions and receive a retirement benefit at age 50?</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Shall fire protection services be restored to and maintained at the levels no less than those authorized on June 30, 1978?</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Shall a schedule of compensation based upon the last demand of employees represented by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local No. 6, be approved?</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Shall a schedule of compensation based upon the last demand of employees represented by the Laborers’ International Union of North America, Local No. 261, be approved?</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>DECLARATION OF POLICY: Shall the Board of Supervisors enact legislation to establish as the policy of the City and County of San Francisco that there be an addition of 20,000 new units of Residential Housing in San Francisco by January 1, 1965?</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>DECLARATION OF POLICY: Shall the Board of Supervisors submit a Charter amendment to adjust cost-of-living increases or decreases in retirement allowances for miscellaneous employees, from funds legally available for such purposes, in accordance with percentage cost-of-living allowances determined to be in effect annually in other San Francisco Bay Area counties; provided that such cost-of-living allowances to San Francisco retired employees or beneficiaries shall not be less than 2 percent annually.</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>DECLARATION OF POLICY: We, the people, declare that San Francisco must increase the taxes paid by its largest corporations. It is fundamentally unjust that large corporations, such as giant oil companies whose profits will exceed $1 trillion in the 1980’s, pay a lower rate of taxes than the average wage-earner; and that San Francisco’s huge banks and insurance companies pay no local business taxes at all. We pay our share, and so should they.</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Shall the members of the Board of Supervisors be elected by district rather than at large?</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

END OF BALLOT
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¿Deberán los miembros de los departamentos policíaco y
incendio con cinco años de servicio, quienes dejen de ser
empleados por otras causas, que no fuesen por muerte o
retiro, tener el derecho de colocar sus contribuciones de reti-
ro y recibir beneficios de retiro a la edad de 50?

¿Deberán, los servicios de protección contra incendio ser
reestablecidos y sostenidos a niveles de no menos que cuan-
do fueron autorizados al día 30 de junio, 1978?

¿Debería, un plan de compensación basado sobre la última
demanda de los empleados representados por la Hermandad
de Trabajadores Electricistas, Unión Internacional, Local No. 8,
ser aprobado?

¿Debería, un plan de compensación basado sobre la última
demanda de los empleados representados por la Unión de
Obreros de Norte America, Internacional, Local No. 261, ser
aprobado?

DECLARACION DE POLITICA: ¿Debería, la Junta de Super-
visores, efectuar legislación para establecer la política
de la ciudad y condado de San Francisco; que habrá 20,000
unidades adicionales de alojamiento residencial en San Fran-
cisco de enero, 1985?

DECLARACION DE POLITICA: ¿Debería, la Junta de Supervi-
sores, someter a una enmienda a la Corte para ajustar aumentos o re-
ducciones al costo-de-vida concesiones al retiro para empleados
clasificados como militares, de fondos legalmente disponibles
para dichas propósitos, de acuerdo con la porcentaje de las con-
cesiones sobre el costo-de-vida, determinado de estar en vigor en
otros condados de la bahía de San Francisco, tal que dichas con-
cesiones del costo-de-vida para los empleados retirados de San Fran-
cisco o sus beneficiarios no deberían ser menos del 2 porciento actual-
mente.

DECLARACION DE POLITICA: Nosotros, el pueblo, declaramos, que
San Francisco tiene que aumentar las contribuciones pagadas por sus
grandes corporaciones. No es justo fundamentalemente, que grandes
corporaciones, tal como las gigantescas compañías petroleras que sus
ganancias excedieran $1 trillón en la corriente década del ’80, pagan
más bajas tarifas en contribuciones que el promedio de los trabaja-
dores compuestos, y que las inmensas compañías bancarias y de
seguros, de San Francisco quienes no pagan ninguna de las contribu-
tiones locales. Nosotros pagamos nuestra porción y ellos deben tam-
bién.

¿Debería, la Junta de Supervisores, ser elegidos por distrito o
conterio de ser elegidos por acumulación?

FIN DE BALOTA
CANDIDATES FOR BART DIRECTOR

FOR DIRECTOR, DISTRICT 7

WILFRED T. USSERTY

My age is 51.
My occupation is Urban Planner
My education and qualifications are: I am a product of the Bay Area — a graduate of Berkeley public schools, and San Francisco City College. An Architectural Designer and Urbanologist. I have studied and lectured at University of California, Berkeley. I have worked for several major architectural firms in the Bay Area, and was founder and President of an urban consulting organization. As an early activist in the struggle for civil rights, I was National Chairman of CORE in 1967, 1968 and 1969, and a principle strategist in the movement to improve the economic situation of Bay Area ethnic minorities. My deep concern for societal equity has not diminished over the years, and I have extended my involvment to include support for the civil rights of all minorities, women and gays. My planning and urban development experience and ability as a creative, innovative thinker, are needed at BART. I know how to integrate the multiple impacts of BART and help develop policy thrusts which will benefit the area economically, socially and environmentally. Through my extensive community involvement and service on the BART Board, I have earned the trust and respect of a wide variety of Bay Area residents. I am uniquely qualified to serve District 7.

POLLY THOMAS

My age is 35.
My occupation is Lawyer.
My education and qualifications are: I have lived in the Bay Area for 11 years, received my law degree from Hastings College in San Francisco in 1973, and have spent my entire legal career in public interest law.

BART has had a notorious safety record from the time it opened. Two employees were killed even before the terrible tunnel fire of 1979, which injured 46 people and killed one fireman because of inadequate safety procedures and equipment. I worked at that time with the Riders for a Safe BART Committee in actions to demand consumer protection. I am now running for the BART board because I believe that we need a board which will put human safety first and not just be a rubberstamp for management's policies.

We were promised that BART would pay for itself with fares, but since 1969 taxpayers have paid for BART with a ½% sales tax. BART is one of the biggest boondoggles that big business has ever known. The corporations that built BART — at twice the cost they had said — made huge profits at public expense. We need a BART board that will make BART accountable to the public who have quite literally paid for it.

NOTE: To determine your BART District please refer to map on page 4.

FOR DIRECTOR, DISTRICT 9

JOHN H. KIRKWOOD

My age is 33
My occupation is BART Director
My education and qualifications are: I am a graduate of Lick-Wilmerting High School and Stanford University. After two terms as a fulltime BART Director, I seek re-election because I believe BART has made substantial improvements in service quality but that a great deal remains to be done to provide a dependable transit system which is truly useful to San Franciscans. Last year as president of the BART Board, I led major new programs for fire safety, greater reliability and more frequent trains, including direct service San Francisco to Berkeley-Richmond. In the same

year I negotiated new labor agreements that have now brought BART wages and salaries back in line with comparable pay scales. After passage of Proposition 13 we cut our budget by $7 million and at the same time reduced BART's dependence on the sales tax by 25%. I authored a BART/Muni/AC Transit "Superpass" to permit through transfers on the three systems with a single fare. I have the knowledge, experience and determination to insure that the improvement programs I have initiated are achieved. I ask for your vote on November 4th.

JOHN H. KIRKWOOD

This portion of the pamphlet does not contain a complete list of candidates; a complete list appears on the Sample Ballot. These statements are volunteered by the candidate and printed at candidates' expense.
CANDIDATES FOR JUDGE

FOR MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE, OFFICE #1

INA LEVIN GYEMANT


70% of the cases before the Municipal Court are criminal cases. I am an experienced criminal attorney—the other candidate for this judicial position has publicly stated that he has no experience in criminal law.

I am presently Deputy Attorney General in the Criminal Division for the State of California, former San Francisco Deputy Public Defender, trial lawyer in private practice and staff attorney for the Supreme Court of California. For the last ten years my husband and I have been foster parents of delinquent wards of our courts.

I know we can substantially improve our San Francisco courts. I have seen how trials are unnecessarily delayed, dangerous offenders released and those who could be rehabilitated lost in an ineffective criminal justice system.

I am committed to immediate, thorough and fair trials, protection of the public from potentially dangerous offenders, and close supervision of all who are convicted.

I ask your support for this office which is being vacated by Judge Samuel Yee.

My supporters include: Mrs. Samuel Yee; Judge Marie-Victoire; Judge von Beroldingen; Justice Harold Brown; Retired; Judge Raymond Reynolds; Retired; Judge Mary Moran Pajalich; Retired; former Attorney General Thomas Lynch; Mrs. Arlo Smith; former Public Defender Robert Nicco; Police Chief Thomas Cahill; Retired; Police Chief Al Nelder; Retired; San Francisco Bar Association—twelve past Presidents; San Francisco Police Officers’ Association; National Women’s Political Caucus; Supervisor Louise Renne; former Mayor George Christopher; Yori Wada, UC Regent; State Commissioner Del Martin; School Board Members Julie Anderson and Myra Kof; San Francisco Commissioners Agnes Chan, Jo Daly, Ina Dearman, Carlotta del Portillo, Walter Jebe, Phyllis Lyon, William Moskovitz, Jane McKaske Murphy and Emily Pike; John Henning, Jr.; Jack and Charlotte Maillard; Melvin Swig; Maureen Conroy; Rea Bernstein; Mrs. Louis Garcia; Putnam Livermore; former Assessor Joseph Tinney; Melvin Belli; Bob Ross; Margaret Cruz; Jack Goldberger; Fred Furth; John Sutro; former Supervisor Don Fazackerley; former Supervisor Harold Dobbs; Anselmo Revelo; Sam Jordan; Ruth Church Gupta; Jerry Berg; Eduardo Sandoval; Angelo Roland; Joe Allen; Bob Schmidt; Mildred Levin; Manuel Levin; John Wong; Jesse Levin; Lois Towles Caesar; Ann Alanson Eliasser; George Mendenhall; Dong Kim; Nate Cohn.

PHILIP J. MOSCONED


I am the only candidate with extensive trial experience. As a Deputy City Attorney for nine years, I have handled over 140 trials in both State and Federal Courts. I have been the attorney for the City and School District as well as the Superior and Municipal Courts.

I pledge to instill confidence in the judicial system through honesty, courage and a firm but fair administration of the law.

My supporters include: Mayor Dianne Feinstein; Supervisor John L. Molinar; Judge Ira A. Brown, Jr.; Gino Moscone; Dorothy Casper; Ephraim Margolin; Esq.; Assessor Sam Duca; Central Committee Member Mary Vail, Esq.; Central Committee Member William Terheyden; Retired Justice John B. Molinar; GGBA Vice President Cynthia Neff; Golden Gate Club President Terence Redmond; Local 400 Executive Secretary Vince Courtney; Jerry Levin; Esq.; Management analyst Anne Kronenberg; Alice Sue Yee Barkley; Esq.; San Francisco Feminist Coordinator Ellen Roberts; Louis Hop Lee, Esq.; NORML Director Gordon Brownell; Community College Board of Governors John Riordan; Sophie Benioff Morris; BART Board of Directors John Kirkwood; former CAO Thomas Mellon; former Supervisor Peter Tamaras; Sandy Ouye; War Memorial Board of Trustees Lily Cuneo; Barbara Newsom (Pulos); Stonewall Club President Gerry Parker II.

Also, Commissioners: Burl Toler, Police; Juanita Del Carlo, Fire; John Moscone, State Solid Waste; Agrinopo “Dick” Cerbatos, Permit Appeals; David Yamakawa, Human Rights; H. Welton Flynn, Public Utilities; Leeland J. Cole-Chu, Rent Control; Gladys Sandlin, Status of Women; Sue Bierman, Planning.

And, former Supervisor Gordon Lau, Esq.; Elmer Johnson; James Walker; Lawrence “Larry” Kim; Fely Honanzy; Virginia Jung Lum, Esq.; Board of Permit Appeals Executive Director Claire Pilcher; Samuel Ladar, Esq.; Vince Pellicnri; SPUR Board of Directors Ann Fogelberg; Leonard Stefanelli; Dianne Barry, Esq.; Labor organizer Tony Fazio; Marquez “Marc” Battista, Esq.; Stan J. Yee; Manuel “Leo” Conte; Grace Duhagon; Thomas Berliner, Esq.; Joseph I. Kelly, Esq.; William Newsom, MD; Nancy Kellum-Rose; Samuel Martinez; Patrick Hallinan, Esq.; Krikor Krouzian; Richard Siggins, Esq.; Thomas O’Connor, Esq.; Business agent Marlayne Morgan; Gary Near, Esq.; Nob Hill Association President Robert Varni; Thomas Hsieh; Black Unionists President Bill Bradley.

Publisher, The Mabuhay Republic Jess T. Esteva; Susan Ramsey; Grace Suarez, Esq.; San Francisco Tomorrow Board Member Tony Kilroy; Dennis Kelly; Gary Faldesey, Esq.; Donna Teahima, Esq.; Rev. Mark Coonradt; Byron Meyer; Harvey Goldfine, Deputy Public Defender; Daro Inouye, Esq.; Ruth Edelstein, Esq.; and Bernard Averbuch.

This portion of the pamphlet does not contain a complete list of candidates; a complete list appears on the Sample Ballot. These statements are voluntarily by the candidate and printed at candidates' expense.
CANDIDATES FOR SCHOOL BOARD

ALICIA CARIDAD AICARDI

My address is 112 Parnassus Avenue Apartment 4
My occupation is Administrative Assistant to Technical Director of Respiratory Therapy, SFGH
My qualifications for office are: I have lived in San Francisco for 13 years. In the Mission District, I have been active in issues of concern to the Latino Community. For the past nine years I have been a State employee and have been active in unions. As a working single parent I am concerned with the state of our public education. We are faced with continued cutbacks in bilingual and other programs, in teaching and clerical staff. I stand on the principle of Proposition M to make the corporations pay their share of taxes, and thus make more money available for public schools.

ALICIA CARIDAD AICARDI

The sponsors for Alicia Caridad Aicardi are:

Peter J. Baird, 1429 Dolores St., Printer
William Bedell, 1230 Utah St., Physical Therapy Aide
Clare Bishop, 1451 Stevenson, Secretary
Thomas Brown, 553 Divisadero St., Barber
Willie M. Carter, 83 Maddux Ave., Respiratory Therapy Assistant
Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista Way, Operating Engineer, Park & Recreation Dept.
Joel Feder, 130 Ankeny St., Student
Myron Fong, 645 Kirkham Ave., Respiratory Therapist I
Rachel A. Heyman, 3677 18th St., Customer Engineer
Patricia Jackson, 21 Moffitt St., R.N.
Ruth Mary Gordon, 1401 Ocean Ave., Orderly
Sam Jordan, 4006 3rd St., Caterer
Sistine A. Liggins, 222 Lake Merced, Technician
Letlua Masetau, 2716 22nd St., Porter
Gail M. Mosconi, 1001 Kansas St., Social Worker
Virginia Payongayong, 45 Newton St., Family Health Worker
Curtis Pennington, 1503 Quesada Ave., Retired
Cathleen Platek, 1233 Arguello Blvd., Respiratory Therapist
Shud Riddick, 1745 Grove St., Pastor
David G. Roche, 3884 24th St., Childcare Counselor
Wallace Simpson, 422 Lyon St., Orderly
Erwin S. Weinstein, 112 Parnassus Ave., Counselor
Carlos Zentella, 1315 York St., City College Student
Glenn F. Zurawski, 1690 Broadway, Anesthesiologist

TOM AMMIANO

My address is 162 Prospect Street
My occupation is Teacher
My age is 38
My qualifications for office are: degrees in Education Administration and Special Education; 14 years classroom experience.

I am strongly committed to: 1) electing a Board by District that would be responsive to our diverse neighborhoods; 2) decreased violence around schools through honest communication between Board members, students, community residents and police; 3) enforceable, effective discipline policies; 4) eliminating cost-wasting practices; 5) aggressive affirmative action hiring; 6) re-evaluating and improving current bi-lingual programs; 7) coordinating childcare services with city agencies; 8) complying with laws concerning our disabled students; 9) pursuing additional funding sources; 10) evaluating sugar-high, nutritionally poor food offered in our schools.

TOM AMMIANO

The sponsors for Tom Ammiano are:

Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., Assemblyman
Julie C. Anderson, 575 9th Ave., School Board Member
Harry Britt, 3622 16th St., Member, Board of Supervisors
John L. Burton, 1023 Irving St., Member, House of Representatives
Bob Bustamonte, 1400 Castro St., Employment Specialist
Laureen Chew, 416 20th Ave., SFUSD Project Director, Teacher Training Grant
Deann M. Collins, 145 27th St., Management Consultant
Angel D. Contreras, 1461 California St., Director, Mission Head Start
Winifred Crottell, 631 O’Farrell St., Retired, Gray Panther
Jo Daley, 123 Topaz Way, Member, S.F. Police Commission
Judy Bellamonic, 3223 Taraval St., Teacher
Paula Castillo Fiscal, 146 Portola St., Management Consultant
Eulalia Fruasto, 74 Lakewod Ave., Charter Commissioner, Lawyer
Wayne Friday, 1055 14th St., Businessperson
Roma Guy, 583 Mission St., Feminist Community Organizer
D. W. Terrance (Terry) Henderling, 53 Manchester, Assistant Supervisor, Payroll Control
(John) Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Don Horanzy, 8 Kellogg St., S. F. Supervisor
Enola D. Maxwell, 1559 Jerrold Ave., Executive Director
Peter Mezey, 3382 Clay St., Member, Board of Education
Jane McKeeble Murphy, 2255 Washington St., Police Commissioner
Michael D. Nolan, 186 Bocana St., Public Relations Director
Linda Ann Post, 1846 15th St., Central Committee Member
Ellen M. Roberts, 1373 Greenwich St., Political Consultant
Carol Ruth Silver, 68 RAMONA Ave., San Francisco Supervisor
Josephine R. Torres, 265 Lexington St., Teacher
Nancy G. Walker, 228 Anderson St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Albert Wallin, Jr., 150 Laidley St., Teacher of Deaf
A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hilaritas, Methodist Minister
Jocelyn L. Won, 1444 Leavenworth St., School Bus Driver, Chairperson, UTU Local 1741

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
LIBBY DENEBEIM
My address is 200 St. Francis Blvd.
My occupation is Parent.
My qualifications for office are: San Francisco has the right to expect that its children can read, schools are safe and clean, school graduates are prepared for job or college.
I have been a leader of San Francisco PTA, Aptos, McAteer and Lincoln PTAs, Delinquency Prevention Commission, and other educational, health and mental health services. My son is a student at Lincoln High School.
As a mother of six, I know what parents want for their children. As a former teacher, I know how classrooms should work. As a community leader, I know how to make the School Board effective and responsive to parents and community.

LIBBY DENEBEIM
The sponsors for Libby Denebeim are:
Robert S. Denebeim, 200 St. Francis Blvd., Bank Officer
Phillip Burton, 888 Sloat Blvd., Member, U.S. Congress
Pat Costello, 2838 Green St., Housewife
Juanita Del Carlo, 1390 Hampshire St., Program Director
Zaretti L. Goosby, 260 Maywood Drive, Dentist
(John) Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Barbara Holman, 132 Eastwood St., PTA Activist
Thomas Hibel, 4 Cortez St., Architect
Walter G. Jebe, 314 Polaris Way, Library Commissioner
Cynthia Brown Kelly, 460 Magellan St., Homemaker
Myra G. Kof, 258 Red Rock Way, Member, Board of Education
Gordon J. Lai, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
Fred J. Martin, Jr., 201 Wawona St., Bank Officer
Thomas J. Mellon, 310 Arballo Dr., Business Executive
Peter Mezey, 3382 Clay St., Commissioner, Board of Education
John L. Molinari, 154 Lombard St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Jane McAnally Murphy, 2255 Washington St., Police Commissioner
Howard N. Nemirovski, 40 Sea View Terrace, Attorney
Ronald Pelosi, 2375 Vallejo St., Businessman
Deborah J. Petrie, 1150 Kearney St., Health Administrator
Irwin A. Phillips, 1066 10th Ave., Insurance Executive
James A. Rivaldo, 430 Steiner St., Coastal Commissioner
Lilly Santos, 1995 15th Ave., Businesswoman
Jean-Marie Shelley, 893 Burnett Ave., Teacher
Arthur Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, District Attorney
Steven L. Swig, 814 Scott St., Attorney
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., Regent, University of California
Malcolm, S. W. Watts, M.D., 270 Sea Cliff Ave., Musician

HENRY HITZ
My address is 7 McCarthy Avenue.
My occupation is Teacher.
My age is 36.
My qualifications for office are: As a teacher for ten years, I've seen the incumbents fiddle while schools crumble, leaving the community fighting over the crumbs. As an organizer of the big Sacramento march for education last year, I understand the need for unified parent-teacher-student campaigns to put educational improvement above cost-cutting locally, and above military extravagance nationally. Students must be guaranteed the right to read.
As Treasurer of the Childcare Switchboard, I've learned that fiscal problems diminish when improved programs rekindle public confidence. I'll support district elections for school board if the board can't overcome its domination by power-hungry superintendents.

HENRY HITZ
The sponsors for Henry Hitz are:
Earlidian Marshall Hitz, #7 McCarthy, Paraprofessional
Rev. A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hillitas, Minister
Randy Stallings, 379 30th St., Political Activist
Angel D. Contreras, 1461 Alabama St., Child Care Director
Jocelyn L. Won, 1454 Leavenworth, School Bus Driver
Rev. William Turner, 1464 Portola Dr., Minister
Patricia Siegel, 24 Irving St., Child Care Organizer
Eva Ann Louise, 579 27th Ave., Director, Childcare
Louise, Harvey, 673 Fulton St., Housewife
John J. Webb, 100 Moneada Way, Legal Investigation
Rev. Victor L. Medearis, 631 Mendell St., Pastor
Katharine Cole, 4335 Army St., Retired
Winston J. K. Ching, 604 Waller, Walker
Dennis R. Gregg, 125 Romain St., Teacher
Helen H. Cagampang, 2646 McAllister, Executive Director
Dr. Leon Cowen, 32 Bucaere Dr., Psychologist
Rev. Alton R. Sims, 71 Bridgeview Dr., Minister
Francisco J. Lopez, 69 Mill St., Teacher
Glenn R. Nance, 244 Gold Mine Dr., Instructor, City College
Rita Adriani, 137 Nueva Avenue, Community Organizer
Norville M. Bibb, 1030 Fillmore St., Housewife
Geraldine M. Johnson, 825 Masonic Ave., Medical Claims Adjuster
Blanche Bebb, 3883 24th St., X Ray Technologist
Mary Davis Mazycz, 846 Shotwell, Teacher
Margaret Woody, 608 Haight St., Paraprofessional
William B. Boone, 46 Joost, Teacher/Director
Dr. Eddie C. Welker, 1515 Golden Gate Ave., Pastor
Walter Park, 177 Noe St., Neighborhood Activist
Michael C. Miller, 1920 Quint St., Attorney
Pamela H. Collee, 712 Holloway Ave., Educator

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
CANDIDATES FOR SCHOOL BOARD

EUGENE S. HOPP

My address is 33 Heather Ave.
My occupation is Physician.
My qualifications for office are: I am an educator as well as practitioner of medicine and currently serve as Clinical Professor Emeritus at the University of California, San Francisco. I was first elected to the Board in 1972. These years of service constitute an extremely valuable guide to the needs and problems of San Francisco schools. I work for fiscal prudence and educational standards, always keeping in mind the primary purpose of the public schools—the education of children. I offer experience, knowledge, integrity and dedication.

Ballot Designation: Incumbent

The sponsors for Dr. Eugene S. Hopp are:
Molly M. Hopp, 33 Heather Ave., Manager, Public Utility
Lael L. Berkstrasser, 76 Inverness Dr., Personnel Manager
Jo Birnbaum, 1750 Taylor St., Housewife
Dr. Lester F. Bulloch, 226 Dunbar St., Chiropractor
George Cantrill, 69 Lakeshore Dr., Retired
George Y. Chinn, 1754 31st Ave., Lawyer
William E. Dauer, 2766 Greenwich St., Chamber of Commerce
Stephen J. Dean Jr., 1643 21st Ave., Retired
Armand J. DeMartini, 110 32nd Ave., Retired
Barbara E. Dunlevy, 3615 Taraval St., Ins. Broker Asslt.
E. R. Eidenmuller, 1825 Monterey Blvd., Dentist
Chadwick C. Eriola, 638 Greenwich St., Attorney-at-law
Burton E. Graham, 2787 California St., Real Estate Broker
Clayton W. Horn, 45 Graystone Terrace, Retired
Robert D. Lintner, 730 Mora St., Property Management
Serena C. Low, M.D., 126 Arguello Blvd., Physician
Herbert Nordhausen, 156 Kenwood Way, Auto Salesman
Ulysses G. Patropulos, 1275 Washington St., Retired
Varun Paul, 1170 Sacramento St., Attorney-at-law
Ellen B. Rosowksky, 543 32nd Ave., Realtor
Dr. David J. Sanchez Jr., 443 Bartlett St., University Professor
Meyer Schindler, M.D., 30 Constance Way, Surgeon
Rey Schmidt, 1566 20th Ave., Merchant
Edward H. Siems, 1200 California St., Retired
O. A. Talmage, 1998 Pacific St., Realtor
Joseph C. Tarantino, 2427 Bay St., Retired
Richard T. Weinand, 165 Vasquez Ave., Police Officer
Dr. Thomas W. S. Wu, 598 38th Ave., Dentistry

GRANDVEL A. JACKSON

My address is 257 Kensington Way.
My occupation is Real Estate-Investor.
My qualifications for office are: my dedication to and interest in children and youth, and a unique mix of training and experience in education, intergroup relations, community organization and business. I hold bachelor’s and master’s degrees in education, and elementary and secondary teaching and administration credentials. I have participated in school programs as guardian, community worker, teacher and administrator. During the unsettled years of the late 1960’s, I directed the District’s Human Relations Program. I believe schools must operate in an environment conducive to learning; that there should be a relationship between learning and earning. To these ends I pledge to work. Experienced, Knowledgeable, Decisive.

The sponsors for Grandvel Jackson are:
Jule C. Anderson, 575 9th Ave., Commissioner, Board of Education
Wallcott H. Beatty, 209 Kensington, Professor of Psychology
John W. Bell, 197 Terra Vista, Retired
Mary E. Bell, 197 Terra Vista, Volunteer Community Worker
Roger Boas, 3329 Washington, San Francisco Chief Administrator
Rev. Amos C. Brown, 111 Lunado Way, Minister
Willie L. Brown Jr., 1524 Masonic, Lawyer and Assemblyman
John Y. Chin, 3146 Lyon, School Principal
David R. Custead, 1743 Golden Gate, Accountant
Steven J. Doi, 1521 Larkin, Attorney
Lee S. Dolson, 176 Goldmine, Teacher
Washington E. Garner, 150 Urbano, Physician
Carlton B. Goodlett, 2060 O’Farrell, Physician and Publisher
Zuretti L. Goosby, 299 Maywood, Dentist
Curis Green, Sr., 1 Farallon, Director, Municipal Railway
Zoraina M. Harris, 75 Westernshore, Program Manager
Benjamin D. James, Jr., 216 Moncada, Attorney-at-law
H. Welton Flynn, 76 Venus, Public Accountant
Milton Marks, 55 Jordan, State Senator
Charles W. Meyers, 1789 Eucalyptus, Public Relations Consultant
Howard N. Nemirovsky, 40 Sealveld, Attorney
George L. Newkirk, 554 Brussels, Director, Contract Compliance
Alan H. Nichols, 1032 Broadway, Attorney
Gail Roberts, 790 Pacheco, Administrator
Romaine A. Smith, 114 Bridgeview, Secretary
Doris R. Thomas, 1293 Stanyan, Assistant to Congressman Phillip Burton
Yuri Wada, 565 4th Ave., YMCA Executive Director
Eddie Welton, 1515 Golden Gate, Pastor
Samuel Wright, 195 Terra Vista, Educator

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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SUSANNE L. JONAS

My address is 3300 Folsom Street.
My occupation is Author, Editor, Political Scientist.
My qualifications for office are: Master of Arts in Teaching (Harvard University); Ph.D. in Political Science (U.C. Berkeley); experience as teacher and education researcher; experience as legislative assistant in U.S. Senate and political campaigns; politically active for over 15 years; fully bilingual in Spanish. As an educator, parent, and long-time Bay Area resident, I am extremely concerned about the deterioration of public education for working people in San Francisco. We have seen drastic cutbacks and teacher layoffs. We cannot provide quality public education available to all without adequate funds; therefore, I support the principle in Proposition M of taxing the corporations to maintain public services.

SUSANNE L. JONAS

The sponsors for Susanne Jonas are:
Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista Way, Operating Engineer, Park & Recreation Dept. 
Frank R. Christiansen, 1983 17th Ave., Xerographer 
Berthe C. Crobough, 801 Howard, Retired 
Mary S. Denny, 1657 La Salle, Nurse Aid 
Joyce Evans, 816 Scott St., C.P.D. Tech 
Larry Forsberg, 202 Virginia, Auto Mechanic 
Susan Jordan, 4006 3d St., Caterer 
Willie Lee Kennard, 1311 Gilman, LVN-Nurse, Retired 
Rev. O. V. Latin, 507 4th Ave., Pastor 
Eileen L. Lewis, 4124 23rd St., Teacher 
Kent Lucid, 1913 Castro, Health Educator 
Beulah March, 52 Manchester St., Retired 
Claire Meisel, 2020 Balboa St., Teacher 
Elizabeth F. Meredith, 3824 21st St., Retired Educator 
Paty Mizutani, 3601 23rd St., Physician Assistant 
Gail Mooney, 1001 Kansas St., Social Worker 
Edward J. McCaughan, 1086 Capp, Typesetter 
Virginia Payangayang, 45 Newton, Family Health Worker 
James Plass, 173 Clipper, Actuary 
Sarbat Ramos, 310 Rutledge, Office Worker 
David G. Roche, 3884 24th St., Childcare Counselor 
Maria Rosales, 172 Precita, Community Center Instructor 
Marie Salgado, 1306 4th Ave., Teacher 
William B. Shore, 584 43rd Ave., Physician 
Virgie Sykes, 6500 3rd St., Foster Mother 
Gary Titus, 527 Day St., City Mental Health Worker 
Manuel Zamora, 1130 Shotwell, Maintenance Man

MARGEL KAUFMAN

My address is 3036 20th Avenue.
My occupation is parent/educator.
My age is 39.
My qualifications for office are: I am a former teacher, have a master's degree in Educational Administration; and have been an administrative intern in San Francisco public schools. My daughter attends public school. I was President of School Volunteers and presently Chair, SPUR's Education Committee.

I believe public schools must work. Experience with all segments of the school community as Chairperson of "Friends of Public Education" proved problems can be solved if we work together.

I pledge to provide stability; to secure long-range school funding; to involve parents and community in school decisions; to improve morals, strengthen discipline, and raise performance in our schools.

MARGEL KAUFMAN

The sponsors for Margel Kaufman are:
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Youth Director 
Willie L. Brown Jr., 1524 Masonic, Assemblyman/Lawyer 
Sally A. Brunn, 110 Hoffman Ave., Neighborhood Advocate 
Edward F. Callanan Jr., 162 Idaho Ave., Library Commissioner 
Agnes I. Chan, 10 Miller Place, Commissioner on Aging 
Frederick F. Campagnoli, 2609 Larkin, Attorney 
Donna J. Caravelli, 158 Granville Wy., Parent Volunteer 
William K. Cobelentz, 110 5th Ave., Attorney 
Preston Cook, 3301 Clay St., Commissioner, S.F. Housing Authority 
Luis E. Esquerro, 212 Fair Oaks, Teacher 
Zuretti L. Goosby, 299 Maywood Dr., Dentist 
Donald Horanzy, 84 Kellogg St., Supervisor, City & Co. of San Francisco 
Thomas Hatch, 24 Cortez, Architect 
Stephen A. Kaufman, 3036 20th Ave., Operations Manager 
Jude P. Luspa, 4426 19th St., Manager, Engineer-Construction Co. 
Clare Lilienthal, 21 Whaleship Place, Housewife 
Saul Madrazo, 73 Country Club Drive, Executive Director, UASF 
Del Martin, 651 Duncan, Author/Lecturer 
Fred J. Martin Jr., 201 Wawon, Bank Officer 
Thomas J. Melton, Jr., 450 Liberty, Attorney 
Yoshio Nakashima, 30 Oran Way, Dentist 
Howard N. Nemerovsky, 40 Sea View Terrace, Attorney 
Louise H. Renee, 3725 Jackson St., Member, Board of Supervisors 
John Rierdan, 150 Commonwealth Ave., President, S.F. Community College Board 
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Sr., 433 Bartlett St., University Professor 
Lily Santos, 1995 15th Ave., Businesswoman 
Joan Marie Shelley, 895 Burnett Ave., Teacher 
Alexandra L. Simon, 1436 Cole Street, Volunteer in Education 
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., Exec Director, Buchanan YMCA 
Essie L. Webb, 186 Maddux Ave.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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BILL MAHER

My address is 1245 Willard St.
My occupation is President, San Francisco Board of Education.
My age is 33.
My qualifications for office are: For the past four years I have had the honor of serving San Francisco as a member of the Board of Education—this past year as President. Since my election to the Board, I have worked hard improving basic education while expanding special education and gifted programs. Elementary reading and math scores have gone up four years in a row.
As President, I have supported a balanced budget by reducing 35% of the administration, and also faced the reality of having to close twenty-eight schools. I reaffirm my commitment to obtain the best education for all San Francisco school children.

BILL MAHER

The sponsors for Bill Maher are:
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., State Assemblyman
Rosario Anaya, 240 Dolores St., Vice-Pres. Board of Education
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Youth Director
Susan J. Bierman, 1529 Shrader St., Planning Commission
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1524 Masonic Ave., Attorney-at-Law, Legislature
John L. Burton, 1043 Irving St., Member House of Representatives
Phillip Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member U.S. Congress
William K. Coblenz, 10 5th Ave., Attorney
Robert Cramer, 779 Vermont St., Barber
Jess T. Estrella, 5285 Diamon Heights Blvd., Publisher—Mabuhay Republic
Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor S.F.
Louis Garcia, 2131 Funston Ave., Judge, Municipal Court
Robert E. Gonzales, 361 Pennsylvania Ave., Attorney
Veronica Hunicutt, 55 Minerva St., Teacher
Agar Jacobs, 62 Woodland Ave., S.F. County Chair
Anne Kronenberg, 307 Arlington St., Management Analyst
Gordon J. Lau, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
John L. Molinari, 154 Lombard St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Leo T. McCarthy, 400 Magellan Ave., Assembly Speaker
Daniel McCormick, 1367 6th Ave., Retired Business Agent, Local 38
W. F. O’Keefe, Sr., 444 Corbett Ave., Manufacturer
George R. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member, State Board of Equalization
Henry Schindel, 54 Schwerin St., Retired
Richard Sklar, 1 Presidio Garence, Public Official
Raphael V. Tullioferro, 2238 Hyde St., Broadcaster
Yofi Wada, 565 4th Ave., Executive Director, Buchanan YMCA
Nancy G. Walker, 228 Anderson St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Evelyn L. Wilson, 2159 42nd Ave., Parliamentarian

GRIMES POZNIKOV

My address is 540 Alabama.
My occupation is teacher, musician, pacifist.
My age is 34.
My qualifications for office are: I’ve been a music and English educator for eleven years, serving for the S.F.U.S.D. in 1979. After receiving a BA in psychology from Cornell College in 1969, I taught in Chicago schools and studied education at Loyola University. Active in pacifist pursuits, I helped produce the first American anti-war children’s book. I’ve enhanced San Francisco’s cultural environment since 1973 with the Automatic Human Jukebox.
Being on probation for marijuana-related charges insures my holding this office with integrity and understanding. I will work to bring music, art, and meaningful cultural programs into the schools; dismissing inflexible administrators, nares, and military indoctrinators.

GRIMES POZNIKOV

The sponsors for Grimes Poznikov are:
Dale Axelrod, 3415 22nd St., Cartoonist
Dennis Peron, 3745 17th St., Marijuana Activist
Ginger Coleman, 73 Bush St., Publisher, Editor
Eric Gurias, 44 Prospect Ave., Marijuana/Anti-Tax Activist
W. J. Yore, 540 Alabama St., Teacher
John Timothy, 100 Bemis St., Musician
Gary R. Yoes, 4444 Balboa St., Organizer
Alan Kuchek, 540 Alabama St., Air Traffic Controller
Jeanne Lee Nathans, 660 Bush St., Bankcard authorizer
Seth Russell, 63 Brady St., Cyberneticist
Susan Tanner, 540 Alabama St., Trick Roper, Recreation therapist
Stephen W. Mitchell, 1558 48th Ave., Musician
William J. Clark, 425 14th St., Artist
David H. Williams, 235 Flood Ave., Social Worker
Wayne Konkrig, 2235 Larkin St., Street Artist
Cynthia McZink, 2218 23rd St., Restaurant Manager
Jack V. Boschan, 540 Alabama St., Magician
Gary L. Oliver, 838 Green St., Bank Teller
Karen Womack, 52 Edith St., Artist
Nancy Fox, 2218 23rd St., Waitress-Writer
Mark Steven Mandzik, 358 Sanchez St., Artist
Carl Brodie, 499 Alabama St., Plumber
Mark S. Davis, 671 15th Ave., Musician
George Mills, 365 Geary St., Upholsterer
David J. Sapsinsley, 415 Lyons St., Small Businessman

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
CYNTHIA A. ROBINS

My address is 1725 McKinnon Avenue. My occupation is Administrative Assistant in Research and Planning—University of California. My qualifications for office are: Community Organizer and parent in Bayview-Hunter’s Point, with previous experience as: Youth and Parent Counselor; Liaison between the City and School District; and Director of Youth Employment Program. I attended Penn Valley Community College, Park College, and the University of Missouri. I see schools and libraries closing; health care discarded; school staff unemployed and the quality of education slowly decaying. Funds are needed; I will work to secure them through Proposition M, the Policy Declaration on this ballot regarding taxation of corporations. I will make every effort to raise the quality of our schools to a level that inspires parents’ confidence.

CYNTHIA A. ROBINS

The sponsors for Cynthia A. Robins are:
Wilbert Ard, 1723 McKinnon Ave., Mech. Helper
Dorothy Barbee, 1734 McKinnon Ave., Mds. Control
Charles E. Belvin, Sr., 1723 McKinnon Ave., Mechanic
Gregg Carr, 1335 Filbert, Administrative Analyst
Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista, Operating Engineer, Park & Recreation Dept.
Raymond O. Dyer, 240 Dolores, Doorman
John Iles, 1709 McKinnon Ave., Longshoreman—Retired
Estella James, 1621 Shafter Ave., Retired Nurse’s Aide
Claudette V. Johnson, 1218 6th Ave., Administrative Analyst
Kerry D. Johnson, 1218 6th Ave., Mill Processor
Sam Jordan, 4006 3rd St., Caterer
Robert Kennard, Jr., 1311 Gilman, Packer—Retired
Rev. O.V. Latin, 507 4th Ave., Pastor
Patty Mizutani, 3601 23rd St., Physician Assistant
Mary C. Montgomery, 1501 Kirkwood Ave., Faily Worker
George R. Owens, 1718 McKinnon Ave., Custodian
Virginia Puyonggoyong, 45 Newton, Family Health Worker
Maurice Porter, 2485 Griffith, Student
Shad Riddick, 1745 Grover St., Pastor
David G. Roche, 3884 24th St., Childcare Counselor
Thomas A. Robinson, 1724 Funston Ave., Associate Vice Chancellor—Li.C.S.F.
Patria A. Ross, 2063 Palou Ave., Head Teacher
Anthony Thomas, 1726 McKinnon Ave., Security Guard
Deborah Thomas, 1726 McKinnon Ave., Student
Denise C. Thomas, 1726 McKinnon Ave., Student
Leroy Thomas, Jr., 1726 McKinnon Ave., Sheet Metal Worker
Lowell Thomas, 1726 McKinnon Ave., Student
Bernard Williams, 1783 McKinnon Ave., Security Officer

ART TAPIA

My address is 706 28th Avenue. My occupation is Peace Officer—San Francisco Police Department. My age is 45. My qualifications for office are: Forty years’ combined experience as executive officer of various community and citywide boards including Board of Education committees solving school problems. My work with parents, teachers, and youth in their homes, schools, and on the streets, has given me the experience that no other candidate possesses. I am supported by San Franciscans from all walks of life and every neighborhood, and have unified diverse groups to solve problems and make programs work. I am accustomed to making difficult decisions whether on immediate crises or long term policies. I offer experience, decisiveness, integrity, and honesty.

ART TAPIA

The sponsors for Art Tapia are:
Julie C. Anderson, 575 9th Ave., Commissioner—Board of Education
Shirley Cohelan Burton, 2727 41st Ave., President of Golden Gate Business & Civic Women’s Organization
R. C. Caesar, 150 Topeka, Dentist
Maureen Conroy, 650 Eucalyptus Drive, Housewife
Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd., Public Relations
Carlota Texidor Del Portillo, 84 Berkeley Way, Civil Service Commissioner
Henry Der, 439 45th Ave., Executive Director/Civil Rights Worker
Sam Ducia, 16 Wawona, Assessor
Herman Gallegos, 149 Ripley St., Business Man
Zeretti L. Goosby, 291 Maywood Dr., Dentist
Thomas Francis Hayes, 120 Stonecrest Drive, Plastering Contractor
Jotetha Head, 114 Colby St., President, Board of Directors/Bayview Hunters Point Foundation
Veronica Hunicutt, 55 Minerva, Teacher
William P. Keesey, 567 35th Ave., Consultant
Quentin L. Kopp, 68 Country Club Drive, Attorney/Supervisor
Enola D. Maxwell, 1559 Jerrold Ave., Executive Director
George L. Newkirk, 554 Brussels, Director—Contract Compliance
Bernard A. Orr, 500 Magellan, Business—Man
Sandy Ouyee, 827 24th Ave., Dietitian
George R. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member, State Board of Equalization
Hadley R. Roff, 2090 Castro St., Deputy Mayor
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr., 443 Bartlett St., University Professor
Iva V. Strickland, 1664 Fulton, Program Director
John A. Sturro, 3598 Jackson St., Lawyer
Burl A. Tolver, 581 Orizaba Ave., Education Director
Doris R. Thomas, 1293 Stanyan St., Assistant to Congressman Phillip Burton
Marine Ussery, 1335 Divisadero St., Manager Accounts Payable
A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hilritas, Minister of Glide Church

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
BEN TOM

My address is 1717 Jones Street.
My occupation is Member, Board of Education.
My qualifications for office are: I have worked for 12 years to upgrade San Francisco public schools, the last four years on the Board of Education. During my tenure on the Board, I have been responsive to community concerns and student needs. During my term as President of the Board, I led the Board to make hard decisions which are both fiscally responsible and educationally sound. I bring to the Board stability, diligence and an undying belief in education. I shall insist on programs that meet the diverse needs of this City so that each and every student can begin adult life equally and well.

BEN TOM

THE REV. DR. TONY UBALDE

My address is 829 Duncan Street.
My occupation is clergy/educator.
My qualifications for office are: I believe public education has made America great. As a minister, educator, taxpayer and parent of two "public school" children, I am concerned that public education is being given second class treatment; that our children are not receiving the quality education they should. I want to put my commitment and experience as a commissioner and community leader to work for our schools.

I will work to restore public confidence in our schools, provide our children with a safe learning environment, seek resourceful, predictable funding, support teachers and administrators to ensure that they are productive, and make parental involvement a reality.

THE REV. DR. TONY UBALDE

The sponsors for Rev. Tony Ubalde are:
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., State Assemblyman
James E. Ballard, 2 Arbor, Union Official
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway St., Business—Investor
Harry Britt, 3622 16th St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Willie L. Brown Jr., 1524 Masonic Ave., Assemblyman/Lawyer
John Burton, 1033 Irving St., U.S. Congressman
Carroll C. Cashman, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member, U.S. House of Representatives
Agrupino R. Cerbatos, 375 Arguello St., Electrical Engineer
Leland D. Del Carlo, 1308 Stockton St., President—Commissioner
Juanita Del Carlo, 1308 Hampshire St., Program Director—Commissioner
Richard L. Dooley, 84 Berkeley Way, Commissioner
Henry Der, 439 45th Ave., Executive Director
Alejandro A. Esclamado, 390 Yerba Buena Ave., Publisher—Editor-in-Chief, Philippine News
Jesse T. Estela, 5255 Diamond Heights Blvd., Publisher—The Peninsula Republic
Laurel Glass, M.D., 2233 9th Ave., Professor
Auretta L. Gooby, 299 Muirward, Dentist
Aileen C. Hernandez, 820 47th Ave., Urban Consultant
Myra G. Kaplan, 258 Red Rock Way, Commissioner, Board of Education
Gordon J. Lau, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
Lyn Poon Lee, 1036 Pacific Ave., Retired Postmaster
Phyllis A. Lyon, 651 Duncan, Education
Leo T. McCarthy, 400 Magellan, Speaker of the California Assembly
Carolyn Mezey, 3382 Clay St., Human Rights Commissioner
John L. Molineux, 154 Lombard St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Howard N. Nemirovsky, 40 Sea View Terrace, Attorney
Dr. David J. Sanchez, 433 Bartlett, University Professor
Carol Ruth Silver, 68 Romana St., Supervisor, Attorney, Mother
Joseph C. Tarrantino, 2427 Bay St., Retired
Carol N. Ubalde, 829 Duncan, Homemaker
Lloyd K. Wake, 295 Hazelwood Ave., Clergyman
Doris M. Ward, 440 Davis Ct., Member, Board of Supervisors

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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MOY ASPIRAS VELASQUEZ

My address is 910 Shotwell Street.
My occupation is Teacher.
My age is 48.
My qualifications for office are: Parent of mentally gifted children; educator, 27 years in nursery, elementary, secondary, college, graduate schools, community leader civic-educational organizations.

Platforms: Advocate 4 R's: Responsibility, Reading, Writing, Arithmetic; Reduce vandalism, violence, truancy, drug-related problems; Encourage natural integration of schools; Emphasize Early Childhood Education, Special Education, Mentally Gifted Programs; Support transitional Bilingual and English as Second Language programs; Regain parent/community support; Stabilize assignments, programs; Respect seniority law and affirmative action policy; Enhance educational leadership/promotion in school personnel; Serve as check and balance between Board of Education and Superintendent; Promote harmonious relations with teacher organizations, community.

MOY ASPIRAS VELASQUEZ

The sponsors for Moy A. Velaquez are:

Cesar V. Aldana, 231 Granada Ave., Social Worker
Basilio R. Alviar, 2537 Bryant St., Veterans Claim Examiner, Retired
Priscilla C. Avelino, 368 Capp St., Community Relations Assistant
Concepcion G. Bustos, 69 Capp St., Instructional Aide
Ray A. Cabanilla, 565 3rd Ave., Retired H.E.W. Employee
Luz C. Cepeda, 523 Natoma St., Sales person
Leoncio B. Dela Cruz, 2273 Mission St., Retired Merchant Marine
Hazel Elliott, 722 Hayes St., Teacher Aide
Sally B. Fumarin, 2207 28th Ave., Real Estate Broker
Dr. Francisco A. Gerardo, 1487 47th Ave., Physician
Ann Hammonds, 1955 Oakdale St., Noon Supervisor (volunteer)
Purificacion B. Lazo, 677 Brunswick St., Classroom Teacher
Linda Lucero, 261 Bartlett, Graphic Artist
Cristeta A. Mama, 100 Shotwell St., Substitute Teacher
Florencio Mateo, 91 Lakewood, Teacher
Willie B. Nichols, 1231 5th Ave., Custodian
Belinda D. Shepherd, 1533 Sunnydale Ave., Yard Supervisor
Herbert Siguenza, 1077 Treat St., Graphic Artist
Leonardo G. Soriano, 101 University St., Consultant Insurance
Amparo A. Velaquez, 910 Shotwell St., Teacher, Parent

CANDIDATES FOR COMM. COLLEGE BOARD

REVEREND BOOKER T. ANDERSON, JR.

My address is 1175 Ellis Street, #C-204
My occupation is Clergy
My qualifications for office are: My experience as a Board Member, enables me to be sensitive to efficient budgetary restraints, while providing adequate Educational Resources for Teachers, Students and the Community. As a former mayor of Richmond, California; and Labor Union member I am familiar with Arbitration and Negotiation Processes. A graduate of San Francisco City College, San Francisco State University and Boston University, M.A. cum laude; lectured at University California Berkeley, served as Adjunct Professor, San Francisco Theological Seminary; received a Ford Fellowship to study Urban Strategy and Planning.

I have a California Community College Credential, presently enrolled in The Fromm Institute at The University of San Francisco.

REVEREND BOOKER T. ANDERSON, JR.

The sponsors for Booker T. Anderson, Jr. are:

John L. Burton, 1023 Irving St., Member, United States Congress
Philip Burton, 8 Short Blvd., Member, United States Congress
Diane Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor of S.F.
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1524 Masonic Ave., Attorney/Legislator
Louise H. Renne, 3725 Jackson St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Don Horanzy, 84 Kellough Ave., S. F. Supervisor
Ella Hill Hutch, 351 Scott St., Supervisor, City & County of San Francisco
John L. Molinari, 154 Lombard, Member, Board of Supervisors
Agar Jaiens, 1122 Woodland Ave., T.V. Director
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Youth Director
Tso Tsin Tam, 1462 Vallejo St., Retired
John Xehall Chin, 3146 Lyon St., School Principal
Julie Tang, 780 18th Ave., Educator/Counselor
Ben C. Home, 2555 Chestnut St., President of S. F. Housing
Eugene A. Brown, 205 Yale, U.S. Government Employee
Melvin M. Swig, 201 Locust St., Real Estate/Hotel Management
Zuretti L. Gooby, 299 Maywood Drive, Dentist
Peter M. Finneghan, 555 Post St., Member, Community College Governing Board
Aileen C. Hernandez, 820 47th Ave., Urban Consultant
Nancy G. Walker, 229 Anderson, Member, Board of Supervisors
H. Welton Flynn, 76 Venus, Public Accountant
Jule C. Anderson, 575 Ninth Ave., Commissioner—Board of Education
Elouis F. Westbrook, 152 Maddux Ave., Director of P.A.M.P
Rev. A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hililith, Minister—Glide Church
Lloyd K. Wake, 295 Hazelwood, Clergyman
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., Executive Director, Buchanan YMCA
Lillian K. Sing, 3005 Jackson, Attorney/Member, Community College Board
Phyllis A. Lyon, 651 Duncan, Educator
Eleanor B. Spikes, 1362 Fulton St., Consultant

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
ERNEST "CHUCK" AYALA

My address is 4402-20th St.
My occupation is Director, CYO, Urban Development Division
My qualifications for office are: Native San Franciscan, South of Market Neighborhood. Attended public and parochial schools, including City and Lone Mountain Colleges. My involvement in community development and public service is with youth in Education, Employment and Delinquency Prevention, in addition to developing and administering Senior Citizens and Veterans Programs. Business management background in labor, insurance and real estate provides me with a balanced approach to fiscal and administrative objectives of the Community College District. My continued goal for the Community College District is to retain its open door policy and remain responsive to the educational and vocational needs of the people.

ERNEST "CHUCK" AYALA

The sponsors for Ernest “Chuck” Ayala are:
Herbert M. Sussman, 2335 Pacific Ave., Chancellor-Superintendent SFCCD
Louis Garcia, 2311 Funston Ave., Judge
Bob Schmidt, 4048 21st St., President, (Political Party) League
Mrs. Dorothy Lubetich, 15 Fiorentine St., Retired
AI Graf, 859 Bryant St., Bullpensman
Bernard J. Ward, 3300 Kirkham St., Attorney-at-Law
John Riordan, 150 Commonwealth Ave., President, SF Community College District
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr., 433 Bartlett St., University Professor
Vincent J. Callan, 4038 19th St., Accountant
Charles W. Meyers, 1789 Eucalyptus St., Public Relations Consultant
Ernestine A. McGolden, 4442 20th St., Homemaker-School Clerk
Don L. Fazackerley, 189 Dalewood Way, Banker
James E. Leney, 4014 21st St., Retired
George B. Gillin, 295 Cresta Vista Dr., Banker
Reynold H. Colvin, 283 29th Ave., Lawyer
Stanley A. Rounald, 271 Cresta Vista Dr., Florist
Pilar McGoldrick, 4442 20th St., Student-Salesclerk
Burl A. Toler, 581 Orizaba Ave., Educator
Manuel Ceballon, 2872 25th St., Beer Wholesaler
Aileen C. Hernandez, 820 47th Ave., Urban Consultant
Bill Zorakas, 543 Castro St., Self-Employed
Virgil D. Dardi, 1333 Jones St., Chairman, Investment Co.
Herman Gallegos, 149 Ripley St., Management Consultant
John Yehall Chinn, 3146 Lyon St., School Principal
Rev. Booker T. Anderson, 1175 Ellis St., Clergy
Louis E. Lagger, 4001 21st St., District Manager
Peter J. Fatsool, 30 Grand View Ave., Businessman
Peter M. Finneran, 535 Post St., Member, Community College Board
Wady F. Ayoob, 2602 Sun Bruno Ave., Retired
Roberta E. Burton, 2727 41st Ave., Member, Community College Board

AGNES I. CHAN

My address is 10 Miller Place
My occupation is Commissioner on Aging
My qualifications for office are: A native San Franciscan; graduate of San Francisco State College; holder of California Life-Time Credentials: General Elementary, General Secondary, and General Pupil Personnel Services; retired Teacher-Counselor of the San Francisco Unified School District, ten years elementary, and fifteen years secondary; and presently an education consultant to the District.
I served under the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare: three years, National Advisory Committee on Education for Bilingual Children; and four years, National Advisory Council on Women's Educational Programs.
I pledge to promote quality educational programs and equal educational opportunities for all students with adequate funding for an efficient administration.

AGNES I. CHAN

The sponsors for Agnes I. Chan
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Business-Investor
Lorcy A. Burns, 2317 Francisco St., Public Affairs Representative
Steven J. Doll, 1521 Larkin St., Attorney
Lee S. Dolson, 176 Gold Mine Dr., Teacher
Estelle Fruen, 74 Lakewood Ave., Charter Commissioner/Lawyer
Mike Henderson, 3550 Cabrillo, Small Business Owner
Grandvel A. Jackson, 257 Kensington Way, Real Estate & Investment
Edward Jew, 2726 - 38th Ave., Attorney-at-law
John H. Kirkwood, 1635 Green St., Bart Director
Jose E. De Lara, 2 Lenox Way, Education Consultant
Pius Lee, 699 Marina Blvd., Real Estate Broker
L. Hem Locke, 3036 - 22nd Avenue, Savings & Loan Vice-Pres.
Haig G. Mardikian, 2960 Divisadero St., Restaurateur
Herbert C. Mattit, 1170 Sacramento St., Physician
John L. Molinari, 154 Lombard St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Joseph A. Moralez, 2518 - 35th Ave., Attorney
Alan H. Nichols, 1032 Broadway, Attorney
Anselmo Revelo, 420 - Fulton St., District Attorney, Asst. Chief Investig.
Arlo Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, District Attorney
John W. Stark, 2595 Washington St., Transportation Analyst
Buddy Tate Choy, 157 Celeridge St., Social Worker
Robert P. Yarn, Ten Miller Place, Business Executive
Addie W. Wallace, 809 Grafton Ave., Banker
Dr. Thoms W.S. Wu, 598 - 38th Ave., Dentist
Jane E. Zimmerman, 2424 Funston Ave., Travel Agent
CANDIDATES FOR COMM. COLLEGE BOARD

ROBERT GUICHARD

My address is 1578 35th Avenue.
My occupation is Businessman-Commodity Broker.
My qualifications for office are: Robert Guichard is the author and sponsor of Proposition "A" which restored city wide elections of supervisors. He is a native San Franciscan who attended St. Mary's High School, City College and Texas Christian University. He is a bulk commodity broker who is an associate member of the California State Central Committee. He is also a leader in the effort to stop the Peripheral Canal, which will divert our precious Northern California water to Southern California. Robert Guichard will advocate fiscal responsibility and he will seek to provide the highest quality education for all students of the Community College District.

ROBERT GUICHARD

The sponsors for Robert Guichard are:

George Y. Chinn, 1754 31st Ave., Attorney at Law
Lee S. Dolson, 176 Gold Mine Dr., Teacher
Elliot R. Drell, MD, 1563 9th Ave., Physician
John Elder, MD, 1563 9th Ave., Physician
David K. Finn, 26 Lyon St., Realtor
Terry A. Francois, 20 Taraval St., Attorney At Law
Anna M. Guth, 137 Rivoli St., Homemaker
Leland G. Guth, 137 Rivoli St., Retired
Carl Hanson, MD, 250 Irving St., Physician
Julia A. Jones, MD, 3729 Geary Blvd., Physician
Patrick R. Kelly, 330 Castanea Ave., Attorney
Paul Joseph Langdon, 2430 Fillmore, Retired
Roger McElhinney, 863 Waver St., Librarian
Ernie McNabb, 1370 35th Ave., Real Estate Broker
George McNabb, 1486 35th Ave., Attorney/Realtor
Dennis J. Mark, 2222 44th Ave., Accountant
Marian C. O'Donnell, 160 Ava St., Librarian
W. F. O'Keeffe, Sr., 444 Corbett Ave., Manufacturer-Contractor
Les Payne, 343 Tara St., Parole Officer
Juanita G. Raven, 120 Fernwood Dr., Teacher
Jack Rizoian, 550 Laguna Honda Blvd., Attorney At Law
Joseph P. Russoniello, 100 St. Francis Blvd., Attorney
Michael S. Salerno, 95 Crest Lake, District Merchant
James Stark, 1958 16th Ave., Attorney
Dorothy Yevich, 177 San Aleco Ave., Housewife
James L. Walker, 2810 Laguna St., Export Broker
H. P. Wright, 2320 Judah St., Publisher

KIM MADDOX

My address is 154 Arch St.
My occupation is Public Hospital Lab Technician and Computer Worker.
My qualifications for office are: I have lived all my life in Hunter's Point and other neighborhoods of San Francisco, and attended City College. I worked for the city seven years and now work at General Hospital.

For four years I worked with the South of Market Youth Council as an organizer and counselor, to lessen conflicts among youth of different races.

Working class adults have a right to education, yet our Community Colleges are in danger from cutbacks. The wealthiest corporations have headquarters here but don't pay their fair share to support education. I support Proposition M to Tax the Corporations, to improve adult education.

KIM MADDOX

The sponsors for Kim Maddox are:

Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista Way, Operating Engineer, Park & Rec. Dept.
James L. Cook, 154 Arch St., Retired
Mary F. Crowell, 530 Noe St., Med. Tech.
Dorothy Dotson, 1375 Thomas Ave., L.V.N.
Cheryl L. Garner, 1269 Bowdoin St., Clerk
Dave T. Hall Jr., 146 Arch St., Meat Cutter
Joseph E. Hansen, 2015 Laguna St., Supervisor of Phlebotomy
Herbert Harvey, 1220 New Hall St., Orderly
Gladys Hernandez, 524 Staples Ave., C.P.D. Tech at S.F. General H.
Wilma B. Irvin, 624 Connecticut St., L.V.N.
Rosee H. Jackson, 267 Staples St., Medical Technologist
Charles Jolivet, 147 Arch St., Bridge Sgt.
Laura L. Jolly, 3717 Sacramento St., C.P. Tech II
Sam Jordan, 4006 3rd St., Caterer
Rev. O. V. Latin, 507 4th Ave., Pastor
Virginia Payongpayong, 45 Newton St., Family Health Worker
Mary D. Pertusa, 584 28th Street, Medical Tech.
Philip A. Vieira-Rideiro, 1794 Page St., Orderly
David G. Roche, 3884 24th St., Childcare Counselor
Adrienne R. Shiozaki-Wo, 258 6th Avenue, Lab. Asst.
Marion L. Smith, 50 Urban Dr., C.P.D. Tech
Rance Whiteside, 26 St. Charles Ave., Minister
Melvin Wilson, 150 Arch St., Salesman
Susan E. Wilson, 635 Lisbon St., Medical Tech.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
MARY MARZOTTO

My address is 467 Guerrero Street, #9.
My occupation is Professor and Research Consultant.
My qualifications for office are: 31 years in the Bay Area; Ph.D. from the University of California, in criminology; Dean's scholar; several government fellowships; Professor at Northeastern Illinois University; active in the American Federation of Teachers.

Working adults have had to fight for an education within an overcrowded, understaffed system. Community colleges are therefore a key service, which I wish to see improved by taxing the largest corporations at a higher rate under Proposition M. I myself attended community colleges and know their problems, and will work to make the community college system meet the needs of all working adults.

MARY MARZOTTO

The sponsors for Mary Marzotto are:
Bannie Banning, 1415 So. Van Ness, Housewife
Diane Bergstrom, 3175 16th St., Bookseller
Prudencio Buenavieto, 58 Moss St., City Porter
Marsha J. Carlson, 8 Whitney St., Clerk
Patricia Cassinelli, 3348 22nd St., File Clerk
Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista Way, Operating Engineer, Park & Rec. Dept.
Dale B. English, 50 Belcher St., Food Program Director
Robert L. Hamilton, 424 Ellis St., Retired
Jon Herzstam, 1026 Guerrero St., Mental Health Counselor
Sam Jordan, 4006 3rd St., Caterer
Rev. O. V. Latin, 507 4th Ave., Pastor
Beulah March, 52 Manchester St., Retired
Karen J. Nutter, 1364 Potroso St., Restaurant Worker
Virginia Poyangyayong, 45 Newton St., Family Health Worker
Brendan P. Quilter, 3723 22nd St., Student
Shad Riddick, 1745 Grove St., Pastor
David G. Roche, 3884 24th St., Childcare Counselor
Paul J. Rooney, 1001 Kansas St., Social Worker
Maria Rosales, 172 Precita Ave., Community Center Instructor
Doyle Saylor, 230 Sanchez St., Clerk
Lillian Smith, 780 Grove, Home Health Aide
Sara Thoas, 4208 24th St., Secretary
Elma Tingling, 550 Holloway Ave., Housewife
Gary Titus, 527 Day St., City Mental Health Worker
Carlos Zentella, 1215 York St., Student

PATRICIA B. REA

My address is 3848 21st Street.
My occupation is Librarian.
My qualifications for office are: World War II veteran, with a B.A. in English (Ohio State), Certificat et and Diplome in French Language Studies (Universite de Paris), graduate work at Mexico City College; worked at the Public Library for 22 years; homeowner and 27-year San Francisco resident; Local 400 delegate to the Labor Council.

Education is a human right, yet it is dying. As the conglomerates crowd San Francisco, services and government responsibility disappear. That's why I support Proposition M, the Tax the Corporations Declaration of Policy. Giant corporations must pay their fair share of taxes so our Community College system can serve all people.

PATRICIA B. REA

The sponsors for Patricia B. Rea are:
Curtis J. Baldwin, 370 Chestnut St., Public Health Dept.
Orin Brown, 1392 Dolores St., Library Worker
Robert A. Brown, 116 Valmar Terr., Printer
Michael G. Burns, 142 Whitney St., Cabinet Maker
David Chapman, 369 San Juan St., Clerk-Typist
Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista Way, Operating Engineer, Park & Rec. Dept.
Katharine Cole, 4335 Army St., Retired
Ellen Dawson, 2077 Taylor St., Housewife
Dorothy Buth, 4578 Army St., Teacher
Mary M. Frank, 2370 Fiftieth St., Steno-Clerk
Ernest Gardner, 5281 Diamond Heights Blvd., Business/Information Consultant
Joe Mae Garry, 2844 21st St., Elevator Operator
William T. Jesta Jr., 39 Collingwood St., Librarian
Sam Jordan, 400 3rd St., Caterer
Dolly Koler, 637 Alvarado St., Real Estate
Rev. O. V. Latin, 507 4th Ave., Pastor
Susan A. Lawson, 3848 21st St., Bookkeeper
Jonathan Lefler, 1116 Shotwell St., Stock Clerk
Beverly Magnuson, 614 Noe St., Activities Director
Elizabeth P. Meredith, 3824 21st St., Retired
Patty Mizusani, 3601 23rd St., Physician Asst.
Virginia Pazengayam, 45 Newton St., Family Health Worker
Robert L. Pizzi, 3670 19th St., Draftsman
David G. Roche, 3884 24th St., Childcare Counselor
Joan Sroholt, 2960 Polk St., Housewife
Gary Titus, 527 Day St., City Mental Health Worker
CANDIDATES FOR COMM. COLLEGE BOARD

SAL ROSSELLI

My address is 1257 Arguello.
My occupation is College Board Member.
My qualifications for office are: As the College Board Representative of over 65,000 San Franciscans, I‘ve gained a keen insight concerning our community’s educational needs. As a City College graduate (valedictorian), I have firsthand knowledge of problems—and more importantly solutions—facing our college system. I am San Francisco’s sole representative on California’s Community and Junior College Association Board of Directors, which directly advises our legislature on college issues. I chair the Curriculum Committee which approves all City College classes. With my extensive community college experience, I will be able to effectively achieve my goal of increasing the educational opportunities offered to the citizens of San Francisco.

SAL ROSSELLI

The Sponsors for Sal Rosselli are:

John Riordan, 150 Commonwealth Ave., President, Board of Governors, S.F. Community College District
John L. Molinari, 154 Lombard, Member, Board of Supervisors
John Yehall Chin, 3146 Lyon, School Principal
(John) Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores, Sheriff of San Francisco
Laului Fried-Lee, 435 19th Avenue, College Teacher
Lauren R. Broussil, 655 Quintara St., President, S.F. Community College
Centers of S.F. Community College District
Carol Ruth Silver, 68 Ramona, S.F. Supervisor
Burl A. Toler, 581 Orizaba Ave., Education
Christopher F. Arelllaa, 39 Dearborn St., President, Associated Students of City College
Joan M. Twomey, 2026 Lawton, Press Representative, Member, County Committee
Samuel Lee Hom, 370 15th Ave., Community College Administrator
Harry Britt, 3622 16th St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Donald A. Casper, 447 Chestnut, Attorney
Frank L. Hatfield, 519 Faxon, Student Newspaper Editor
Fr. Miles O.B. Riley, 4431 16th St., Cath. Priest
Rabbi Benjamin L. Marcus, D. Min., 3 Commonwealth Ave., Chaplain
Darlene F. Alioto, 3540 Broderick, College Instructor, City College
Nancy G. Walker, 228 Anderson, Member, Board of Supervisors
Jack A. Trujillo, 68 Ramona St., Legal Assistant, County Committee
Rodger L. Dillon, 397 Upper Terrace, Union Official
Larry S. Moses, 1739 8th Ave., Educator
Linda Ann Post, 1846 15th St., County Committee Member
Dr. Toddger K. Scott, 38 Camp St., Teacher
Virginia J. Spero, 550 27th St., College Secretary
John F. Harrington, 2529 18th Ave., Career Guidance Center Administrator
David R. Cestace, 1743 Golden Gate Ave., Accounting
Manuel Frías, 275 Magellan Ave., Educator, College Administrator
Peter M. Finnegan, 555 Post St., Member, Governing Board, S.F. Community College

JULIE TANG

My address is 788 18th Avenue.
My occupation is Educator/Counselor.
My qualifications for office are: I am uniquely qualified to serve on the Community College Board. I hold a Masters degree from Stanford University in Education, California Life Credentials in Counseling and Teaching, and I am continuing post-graduate studies at Hastings College. I have served as a counselor and instructor during the last 7 years in the community college system in San Francisco and San Mateo. As a volunteer on boards and committees, I am an active advocate for the community. As a member of the Community College Board, I will bring a deep knowledge and understanding of the functions and operations of a school system.

JULIE TANG

The Sponsors for Julie Tang are:

Booker T. Anderson, 1175 Ellis St., Minister
Susan J. Bierman, 1529 Shadrat St., Planning Commissioner
Harry Britt, 3622 16th St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Willie L. Brown Jr., 1524 Masonic Ave., Attorney-at-law, Legislator
Jeff Brown, 850 40th Ave., Public Defender
John L. Burton, 1023 Irving St., Member, House of Representatives
Phillip Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member, United States Congress
Agrinio R. Cervatos, 375 Arguello St., Electrical Engineer
Agnes L. Chan, 10 Miller Place, Member, Commission on Aging
John Yehall Chin, 3146 Lyon St., School Principal
William K. Coblenz, 10 5th Ave., Attorney
Vince Courney, 2835 34th Ave., Exec. Sec. Local 400 SEIU
Steven J. Dai, 1521 Larkin St., Attorney
Luian E. Ezquerro, 212 Fair Oaks St., Teacher
Lauri Fried-Lee, 435 19th Ave., Teacher
J. Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff of S.F.
Don H'Oranzy, 84 Kelloch St., Supervisor of S.F.
William J. Kraus, 610 Haigth St., Pres, Harvey Milk Club
Patricia L. Langell, 1756 14th Ave., Community Volunteer
Gordon J. Lau, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
Del Martin, 651 Duncan St., Author, Teacher
Charles Lee Morris, 137 Juanita St., Publisher
Louise H. Renne, 3725 Jackson St., Member, Board of Supervisors
John Riordan, 150 Commonwealth Ave., President, Community College Board
Lillian K. Sing, 3005 Jackson St., Community Col. Board of Trustees
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., Exec. Director, Buchanan YMCA
Nancy Walker, 228 Anderson St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Stephen H. Walters, 545 Corbett St., Children Service Director
Evelyn L. Wilson, 2139 42nd Ave., Parliamentarian

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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WILLIAM VALENTINE

My address is 126 Laguna St.
My occupation is Counselor.
My qualifications for office are: Graduated from Hobart College in the Humanities; worked in Washington, D.C. as Assistant Director of Food Action Center and at Institute for Food and Development Policy. In San Francisco, I am a counselor for gay youth at Huckleberry House and elsewhere. San Francisco has an educational system that allows working people access not available elsewhere. Community Colleges are an important part of that system, yet today they are under attack. We need people who will resist this attack, not more bureaucrats. I will defend this system and all city services, and will fight for fair taxation through Proposition M.

WILLIAM VALENTINE

The Sponsors for William Valentine are:
Joy Bonds-Baird, 1429 Dolores St., Speech Therapist
Bonnie Banning, 1413 So. Van Ness, Housewife
Carol Lynn Cannon, 326 Poole Ave., Secretary
Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista Way, Operating Engineer, Park & Rec. Dept.
Roger Converse, 3715 22nd St., Social Worker
Robert R. Dreggers, 636 Castro St., Phototypographer
John P. Diapan, 3438A 16th St., Student
Brooks H. Dyer, 909 Wisconsin St., Part-time cab driver
Joyce Evans, 816 Scott St., Technician
Gerald E. Gengler, 128 Laguna St., Masseur
Paul M. Gibson, 160 Carmel, Social Worker
Sam Jordon, 4006 3rd St., Caterer
David H. Kinley III, 859 So. Van Ness Ave., Research Associate
Frances Moore Lappe, 239 Preca Street, Writer
Charlotte LaValley, 150 Sanchez St., Mother
Joy H. LaValley, 150 Sanchez St., Retired
Rev O.V. Latin, 507 4th Ave., Pastor
John E. Lind Jr., 3410 19th St., Administrator
John F. Martin Jr., 2047 15th St., Night Auditor-Hotel Industry
Howard H. Mofett, 531 Duboce St., Cook
Jane A. Ortiz, 25 Belvedere St., Salesperson
Virginia Payongayong, 45 Newton St., Family Health Worker
Bruce A. Randall, 2496 Sutter, Officer Manager
David G. Roche, 3884 24th St., Childcare Counselor
Micheline J. Schaal, 130 Laguna St., Technician
Blanca Soto, 4057 23rd St., Secretary
David Steinberg, 128 Laguna St., Temporary Clerical Worker/Poet
Susan R. Thaler, 567 Waller St., Office Manager

DR. TIM WOLFRED

My address is 91 Sanchez Street.
My occupation is Educator and Psychologist.
My qualifications for office are: As a professional with a doctorate in psychology I have devoted the major portion of my adult life to assisting youth, young adults, and elderly with their educational/vocational needs. With one out of every seven San Franciscan enrolled in our Community College system, we must offer a wide range of relevant educational opportunities to our citizens. As a former academic counselor, community college instructor, and mental health administrator, I am committed to providing every San Franciscan, regardless of age, economic-social background, or physical disability, the necessary academic and job skills that will enable them to reach their fullest potential.

DR. TIM WOLFRED

The Sponsors for Tim Wolfred are:
Phillip Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member, United States Congress
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut, State Assemblyman
Jeff Brown, 850 40th Ave., Public Defender, City & County S.F.
(John) Michael Hennessy, 1490 Dolores, Sheriff of San Francisco
John L. Mollinari, 154 Lombard, Member, Board of Supervisors
Louise H. Renne, 3725 Jackson St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Harry G. Britt, 3622 16th St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Nancy G. Walker, 228 Anderson, Member, Board of Supervisors
Lillian K. Singe, 3003 Jackson, Member, Community College Board
Jule C. Anderson, 575 9th Ave., Member, Board of Education
Myra G. Kopf, 258 Red Rock Way, Member, Board of Education
John Brunn, 110 Hoffman Ave., Community College Teacher
Thomas J. Cahill, 248 17th Ave., Retired Chief of Police
Vincent Courtney, 2835 34th Ave., Union Representative
Gwenn Craig, 493 Haight, Political Consultant
Anne Bolske Daley, 795 Gentry, Consultant
Ruth Gravarino, 74 Mipaz, Neighborhood Leader
David B. Kastler, 3003 California St., Physician
Jordon J. Lau, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
Barrett G. Levine, M.D., 205 Corbett, Psychiatrist
Angelo Rosado, 275 Wailer, Draftsman
Robert A. Ross, 4200 20th St., Newspaper Publisher
Rodger K. Scott, Ph.D., 58 Camp St., Teacher, San Francisco Community College District
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., Buchanan YMCA Executive Director
A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hiltiris, Minister of Glide Church
John H. Webb, 100 Monona Way, Legal Consultant

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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WAYNE HUNTER ALBA
My address is 735 El Camino del Mar.
My occupation is Investment Consultant.
My age is 52.
My qualifications for office are: Investment consultant with an economics degree from Wharton. Husband to Mary Leonard of Dublin, Ireland and father of five. Thirty years a San Franciscan working as Vice-Chairman of Catholic Social Services and President of a senior housing development. A businessman and homeowner who knows the value of hard earned money and fiscal prudence in the light of dwindling resources. I am committed to balance and moderation, to providing protection, housing, to attracting businesses and providing employment and responsive social services. Equally committed to the task of eliminating waste in government.

WAYNE HUNTER ALBA

The sponsors for Wayne H. Alba are:
Mary Alba, 735 El Camino Del Mar, Housewife
William J. Mallen, 244 Monaca Way, Attorney at Law
Carylta Teixidor Del Portillo, 84 Berkeley Way, Civil Service Commissioner
Michael J. McFadden, 4062 24th St., M.D.
Alejandro A. Esclamado, 390 Yerba Buena Ave., Publisher-Editor-in-Chief, Philippine News
Eugene L. Friend, 2910 Lake St., Investor
Rita M. Casey, 2339 41st Ave., Housewife
Vincent P. Walsh, 1327 11th Ave., General Contractor
Rev. Msgr. Wm. J. Clasby—Col USAF, Ret., 2320 Green St., Pastor—St. Vincent de Paul
Lennie Gaines, 1306 McKinnon, Federal Estate Tax Examiner
Daniel J. Binet, 562 19th Ave., Educator
Pedro Rafael Thomas, 354 Judson Ave., Stationary Engineer
Eugene DeMurtini, 3234 Lyon St., Safety Engineer
Martin D. Murphy, 300 Castro, Attorney
Cesar Orta, M.D., 272 Delwood Way, Physician-Surgeon
Randall F. Choy, 1344 Union St., Attorney
Brian Fewer, 731 41st Ave., Retired
Ziadeh Shamieh, 708 Moscow, Merchant
Evelyn T. Eaton, 382 Vallejo St., Sociologist
Joseph Hession, 2063 32nd Ave., Police Officer
Amaran Matsuoka, 774 Arguello Blvd, Accountant
Peter Patrick Mendelson, 34 Rausch St., Housing Developer for Aging
Virginia B. Payounguyong, 45 Newton St., Family Health Worker

LEE ALLEN
My address is 2025 Pine St. #5.
My occupation is Procurement Consultant.
My qualifications for office are: Having worked on No campaigns in the past—such as No on 13, 6, and 9—I regard my supervisorial candidacy as a Yes campaign. Yes to giving our seniors the safe, respectful, affordable retirement they deserve. Yes to providing our youth with a well educated and secure future in San Francisco. Yes to adding to our affordable housing supply. Yes to continuing support and upgrading of our Muni. As a Procurement Consultant I have the experience needed to help accomplish these goals. And as a Delinquency Prevention Commissioner I have worked on obtaining funds for many city programs.

LEE ALLEN

The sponsors for T. Lee Allen are:
Jack Alter, Jr., 7 Congo St., Q C Technician
Robert W. Barnes, Jr., 28 Florentine St., Neighborhood Activist
Betty Jean Dolores Beckman, 2227 29th Ave., Teacher
Michael J. Breese, 4030 19th St., Hairdresser
John J. Candido, 1774 Francisco St., Patrol Special Police Officer
Michael Wayne Carnahan, 851 O’Farrell St., Bartender
Oscar A. deUgarriza, 2121 Sacramento Street, Manager, Customer Service
J. Francis Fahrner II, 2025 Pine St., Administrative Aide
Clarice Fong, 1824 24th Ave., Teacher
Anne Henderson, 441 33rd Ave., Public School Teacher
Nellie Henderson, 441 33rd Ave., Federal Accounting Tech
Thomas M. Henderson, 441 33rd Ave., Retired
Dennis E. Kirtley, 1344 Larkia St., Business Owner-Manager
Emma C. Lee, 900 Chestnut St., Board Member, Mayor’s Committee for Hiring the Handicapped
Wallace Levin, 1900 Monterey Blvd., Commissioner, Delinquency Prevention Commission
Louis M. Mangini, 250 Vicksburg St., Special Education Teacher
Rosemary Moss, 129 Lexington St., Legislation Calendar Clerk, Board of Supervisors
Mary L. Red, 86 Williams Ave., Sr. Clerk Steno (Bd of Supv)
Thomas C. Sibley, 664 Cole St., Bartender
Richard T. Weinard, 165 Vasquez Ave., Police Officer
Gordon F. Williams, 1258 California St., Interior Designer

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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JOHN BARDIS

My address is 1501 Lincoln Way.
My occupation is Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors.
My qualifications for office are: Working hard as Supervisor, for all San Franciscans, to: Reduce the cost of $2,300,000,000 sewer project; Prevent increase of sewer tax; Keep all fire stations open; Improve Muni service; Prevent doubling of Muni fares; Stabilize rents which are both fair for tenants and landlords. Worked hard as a laborer to pay for my education—Bachelor degree Economics, Harvard College; Masters degree, Harvard Business School. Will continue to apply my professional experience to solve the fiscal crisis facing San Francisco.

JOHN BARDIS

The sponsors for John Bardis are:

Jeff Brown, 850 40th Ave., Public Defender CCSF
Kay Stanford Field, 347 Oak Park Dr., Commissioner, Presidential Users
Appeal Board
Vince Courtney, 2835 34th Ave., Union Representative
May Pan, 1619 10th Ave., Accountant
Helen Hale Smith, 66 San Fernandez Way, Teacher
C. Delos Putz, Jr., 1525 Cole St., Professor of Law
Anne Kronenberg, 307 Arlington St., Management Analyst
Robert McDonnell, 220 Guerrero St., Union Business Agent
Patrick Siegel, 24 Irving St., Childcare Specialist
John J. (Jack) Webb, 100 Moncada Way, Legal Consultant
Frances Larkin, 4733 Lincoln Way, Homemaker
Frank W. Mahoney, 96 Sotelo Ave., Attorney
Hennietta Abrams, 821 Cole St., Retired Cleaner & Dyer
Harry F. Jennings, 1465 9th Ave., Realtor
Rose Paul, 1390 Market St., Retired
Steve Fang, 1437 6th Ave., Merchant
Ida E. Vandenberg, 1610 Judah St., X-Ray Technician-Retired
Bernard P. Curran, 1374 34th Ave., Asst. DPW Supervisor
Catherine A. Fitzpatrick, 88 Clarendon Ave., Retired Social Worker
Mervyn L. Figenbaum, 4109 Irving St., Watchmaker-Jeweler
Emazuela Laird, 1317 4th Ave., Retired Nurse
Alex Nava, 1265 10th Ave., Lithographer-Retired
John Olsen, 1475 8th Ave., International Finance
Helen Nicklas, 1737 18th Ave., Housewife
Frances F. Fitzsimons, 151 Olympia Way, Retired S.F. Businessman
Edwin Williams, 1465 5th Ave., Teacher
Denis Mogofian, 1227 10th Ave., Lithographer-Photoengraver
Allan J. Chalmers, 1231 12th Ave., Data Processing Mgr.

SCOTT BISHOP

My address is 726 Duboce Ave.
My occupation is Businessman, Civic Organizer.
My age is 34.
My qualifications for office are: Graduated San Francisco State University, B.A. Degree in Political Science 1971. Named to President's list for academic achievement. Honorable discharge U.S. Marine Corps. Cited for meritorious service. Qualifications include extensive background in management, administration, budgeting, financial reporting and resource allocation in maritime industry. I am active in various civic organizations working to solve community problems of housing, transportation, fire and police protection and youth programs. The quality of life in our neighborhoods in the 80's will depend on how wisely we manage our human and economic resources. I feel well qualified to accept the challenge. Together we can achieve it.

SCOTT BISHOP

The sponsors for Scott Theodore Bishop are:

Martin Eldridge, 3669 17th St., Optometrist
John Henry Ramsey, 1851 Fulton St., Eligibility Worker
Walter R. Ems, 188 Eureka St., Retired
Carol Berger, 403 Font Blvd., Vessel Operator Officer
Teresa G. Alfonsa, 873 37th Ave., Legal Secretary
Bruce K. Baird, 1829 Laguna St., Educator-Oakland Public Schools
Charles F. Nielsen, 1825 Laguna St., Accountant
Lee Purridge, 264 Valencia Street, Student
R. Short, 1437 20th Ave., Custom House Broker
Victoria Y. Shimskay, 3401 Clay St., Secretary
John L. O'Grady, 178 Castro St., Office Manager
James M. Spears, 163 Vicksburg St., Walter
Lester W. Thayer, 273 Bradford St., Office Manager
Susan Pfeffer, 1846 Great Highway, Advertising Media Buyer
Richard L. Crump, 509 16th Ave., General Manager
Larry Owen Gilmore, 354 5th Ave., Clerk
Dennis M. Gray, 2317 30th Ave., Computer Programmer
Christopher Oswald, 465 Ellis St., Cook
Patrick N. Sullivan, 236 Lily St., Executive Secretary
Thomas E. Lenihan, 145 Fell St., Clerical

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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PAUL BONEBERG

My address is 647A Castro Street.
My occupation is housing restoration.
My qualifications for office are: An unflinching belief that the best way to approach San Franciscans is with the hard facts. It is my observation that:

1. Our city is becoming politically polarized to our detriment.
2. This situation is worsening because an increasing number of residents no longer define themselves politically as San Franciscans, i.e. less than fifty percent of those eligible vote.
3. I have therefore urged responsibility to San Francisco before any special interest group including my own—the gay community.
4. I ask you to contrast this effort with other candidates’ campaigns as an evaluation of my candidacy.

PAUL BONEBERG

The sponsors for Paul Boneberg are:

Lester W. Thayer, 273 Bradford St., Office Mgr.
Frank J. Sutton, 111 Clifford Terrace, Banker
W. S. Gasaway, 106 Dolores St., Manager
Christopher L. Bowman, 39 Fair Oaks St., Political Consultant
Reuben Archuleta, 930 Pierce St., Paralegal
Kevin R. McClain, 526 Fuiron Ave., Writer
Joseph W. Dorani, 483 Dolores St., Clerk
Ben Gardiner, 159 Noe St., Word Processor
Richard H. Dryden, 10 Steiner St., Containerized Cargo Agent
Kenneth L. Westmoreland, 273 Bradford St., Bartender
Judy Hurley, 610 San Bruno Ave., Counselor
Robert Dedman, 403 Clayton St., Nurse
Sheila D’Amico, 151 Juanita Way, Attorney
Caroline W. Beaurens, 2930 Lyon St., Public Relations
Robert P. Nagle, 631 Fillmore St., Merchant
Jim Blackstein, 1651 Larkin St., Disabled Outreach Coordinator
Conrad C. Gordon VI, 2957 Washington St., News Cameraman
Christine L. Olson, 3765 17th St., Waitress
William J. Moore, 631 Fillmore St., Public Relations, Writer
Rose Mitchell, 773 Dolores St., Receptionist

HARRY BRITT

My address is 3622 16th Street.
My occupation is Member, Board of Supervisors.
My age is 42.
My qualifications for office are: In nearly two years as Supervisor, I have worked hard on citywide problems such as preserving neighborhood fire and police stations, libraries and parks; helping renters, neighborhood merchants, and Muni riders; and opposing the sewer tax and cutbacks in programs for seniors.

Last December this record resulted in my election to a four year term with the highest suprvisorial vote in San Francisco.

I am deeply committed to District Elections because it allows for the voices of all communities and neighborhoods to be heard at City Hall as they were seldom heard before. I pledge to work to restore District Elections.

HARRY BRITT

The sponsors for Harry Britt are:

Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., State Assemblyman
Julie C. Anderson, 575 9th Ave., Commissioner, Board of Education
John E. Barry, 1619 10th Ave., Director, Richmond Environment Action
Barbara Brown, 130 5th Ave., Transit Planner
Jeff Brown, 850 40th Ave., Public Defender of San Francisco
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1324 Masonic Ave., Attorney-at-Law & Legislator
Sally A. Brown, 110 Hoffman Ave., V.P. Keep Neighborhood Libraries
Alive
Phillip Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member, U.S. Congress
Vincent Curraney, 2835 - 34th Ave., Union Representative
Jo Daly, 123 Topaz Way, Member, S.F. Police Commission (John) Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff of San Francisco
G. Marcus Hernandez, 2426 Pine St., Administrative Assistant
Barbara Hopkins, 875 Castro St., President, Friends of Noe Valley
Agar Jaucks, 62 Woodland Ave., S.F. County Chair, Political Party
Andrea I. Jepsen, 1334 Masonic St., Consultant
Andrew Katten, 108 Turquoise Way, Business Executive
William J. Kraus, 610 Haight St., President, Harvey Milk Gay (Political) Club
Steven M. Krefting, 331 Clipper St., Environmental Tories Assistant
Gordon J. Lau, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
Enda D. Maxwell, 1559 Jerrold Ave., Executive Director, Potrero Hill Neighborhood House
Caryl Mezey, 332 Clay St., Human Rights Commissioner
Edward L. Peet, 350 Arballo Dr., Clergy, Pres., Calif. Legislative Council for Older Americans
Carol Ruth Silver, 68 Ramon St., Supervisor, Attorney, Mother
Lillian K. Sing, 3005 Jackson St., Community College Board of Trustees
Maxine Usery, 1335 Divisadero St., Manager-Accts. Payable ITEL Corp.
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., Buchanan YMCA, Executive Director
Nancy G. Walker, 288 Anderson St., Member, Board of Supervisors
Stephen H. Walters, 545 Corbett St., Children's Service Director
Elouise Westbrook, 152 Maddux Ave., Commissioner, Housing Authority

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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FREDERICK BROTHERS

My address is 3533 Market Street.
My occupation is Publisher-Project Manager.
My age is 42.
My qualifications for office are: My particular interest and expertise in city government lies in the area of single and multiple unit housing.

As a businessman, I will bring to the board an open mind compatible with the best interests and ideas of the people of San Francisco.

I believe that every true problem this city faces in the coming years should be looked upon as the inspiration for a solution.

I want to be part of a progressive board of supervisors with the vision to guide San Francisco through the eighties, with citizens working together with government to create a model community for the American Dream.

FREDERICK BROTHERS

The sponsors for Frederick Brothers are:
John Cailleau, 37 Ord Ct., Fabric Printer
J. H. Flanders, 1328 Clayton St., Medical Steward
Charles G. Cuest, 562 Penn Ave., Sales
Florence C. Heffy, 3217 Market St., Antique Business
Leslie F. Heffy, 3219 Market St., Retired
Colleen F. L. Higgins, 4301 26th St., Housewife
Peter Higgins, 4301 26th St., Staff Mgr.
Karlis Von Hungen, 2229 15th St., Administrator to the Castro Times
Allan S. Hohnson, 165 Prospect Ave., Catering
Phyllis L. Kenney, 20% Ford St., Retired
James E. Lohse, 2081 15th St., Graphic Artist
Leonard P. Malowitch, 4048 6th St., Public Relations
John W. McCall, #53 Elys St., Job Coordinators
Neal W. LaMonaco, 2427 Pine St., Musician
Lillian Mullen, 468 Hartford St., Housewife—Retired
Hugo Nicau, 935 Pine St., Caterer
Peter, 545 Duboce Ave., Medical Assistant
Blaine L. Rowe, Jr., 2801 Sacramento St., Office Manager
Alvin W. Schaff, 545 Duboce Ave., Personnel Officer PUC
Frank J. Sutton, 111 Clifford Terr., Banker
Nicholas A. Stevens, 223 Red Rock Way, Data Review Tech.
Eleanor O. Trengali, 3900 Market, Public Relations
Arthur B. Uland, 1716 Castro St., Gen. Bldg, Repair
Mary Uland, 1716 Castro St., Housewife
Michael T. Uland, 1401 Diamond St., Teacher (SFUSD)
Richard J. Uland, 1716 Castro St., Law Enforcement
Louise P. Wickersheimer, 4052 21st St., Retired
Tom Howell Youngblood, 577 Castro St., Writer

RODERICK D. BUSH

My address is 1725 McKinnon.
My occupation is Administrative Assistant of Public Service Programs
My qualifications for office are: The increasing corporate domination of government breeds cynicism and hopelessness among our people. But the tax the corporations movement shows that working people can organize and fight for justice.

As supervisor I will fight for the principle in Proposition M that large corporations should pay a larger share of taxes. I am a parent in Bayview-Hunter’s Point, graduate of Howard University in Psychology, and have worked for many years as a researcher, planner, and administrator in youth programs. I am committed to working with the people to eliminate poverty, unemployment, poor education, crime, alienation. Tax Justice is a key first step.

RODERICK D. BUSH

The sponsors for Roderick D. Bush are:
Coralee M. Alderson, 1742 Newcomb Ave., Practical Nurse
Prudencia Buenaluz, 58 Moss St., City-Porter
Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista Way, Operating Engineer, Rec. & Park
Julie A. Cody, 308 Park Street, Clinical Lab. Tech.
Larry Forsberg, 202 Virginia St., Auto Mechanic
Nettie J. Gill, 114 Gonzalez Dr., Clinical Research Tech.
Edmund T. Howard, 320 Clementina St., Retired Poet
Sam Jordan, 4006 3rd St., Caterer
Revan O.V. Latin, 507 4th Ave., Pastor
Rigoberto Marin, 156 Park St., Clerk
Beth A. Matlock, 4020 20th St., Registered Nurse
Mary W. Nero, 367 Ivy St., Retired
Virginia Payongayong, 45 Newton St., Family Health Worker
Mary Plovanic, 340 Toccolma St., Clinical Lab. Tech.
Susan Quan, 156 21st Ave., Artist
Sarbat Rames, 310 Rutledge St., Office Worker
Shad Riddick, 1745 Grove St., Pastor
Robert E. Staples, 1895 Jackson St., Professor of Sociology
Iva S. Strockland, 1664 Fulton St., Program Director
James H. Swan, 3305 Army St., Sociologist
Denise Thomas, 1726 McKinnon Ave., Student
Beatrice Whitaker, 367 Ivy St., Retired
Julius Wilks, 40 Cashmere St., Carpenter
Leola M. Wright, 226 Brookdale Ave., C.P.O., Technician II

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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PAUL J. CLARK
My address is 1241 4th Ave.
My occupation is Cable Car Dispatcher.
My age is 43.
My qualifications for office are: Graduated from Galileo High School and attended San Francisco City College, University of San Francisco Labor Management school, and Hastings College of Law. Worked over a decade in City service helping the people of San Francisco: Fighting for better Muni service, preserve cable car service, cleaner streets, and needed police and fire protection for all neighborhoods. Founder of Citizen's Legal Protective League, a self-help organization to protect your family property, personal, and human rights. My job is to work hard serving you. I will meet with citizens to resolve problems. I will support a strong economy and jobs for all San Franciscans.

PAUL J. CLARK

DIANA COLEMAN
My address is 603 Kansas Street.
My occupation is Delivery Truck Driver.
My age is 34.
My qualifications for office are: Six years Communications Workers of America militant. Supporter Spartacist, labor/socialist organization which initiated April 19 Committee Against Nazis that stopped Nazis/Klan celebrating Hitler’s birthday, San Francisco Civic Center. Stop union busting! End discrimination—housing, education, jobs! Pass ERA! Full rights for homosexuals! Citizenship rights for undocumented workers! For jobs, free medical care, education, transit! Expand rent control! Oppose gun control! Oppose Carter/Reagan’s anti-Soviet war drive! For workers political revolution against Stalinist bureaucracies! For rigid separation of church and state—Afghanistan, Iran, Poland, United States. For workers party fighting for workers government. Expropriate major industry—establish planned economy!

DIANA COLEMAN

The sponsors for Paul G. Clark are:
Joseph Bologna, 1730 Sacramento St., Muni Railway
John Canale, 3921 Lawton St., Upholsterer
Jack Chang, 1335 4th Ave., Clerk
Milford Chang, 1335 4th Ave., Student
Judy Cheng, 1261 4th Ave., Clerk Typist
Helen C. Clark, 1241 4th Ave., Accountant
Joseph D. Duerce, 955 Clayton, Dept. Manager
Robert Delgado, 872 Dolores St., Muni Railway
Jack A. Ferras, 347 Cornwall, Receiver
Richard A. Gersil, 24 Montezuma, Upholsterer
Kathleen R. Gilbert, 161-27th St., Muni Inspector
Blandine F. Gordon, 125 Miraoloma Dr., Teacher
Clifford B. Henry, 681 Mansell St., Muni Ry.
Kathleen M. Herald, 120 Albion St., Finisher
George J. Kelly, 315 Mississippi St., Transit Operator
Wade Lee, 100 Beaumont Dr., Muni Railway
Main E. Liggett, 513 Bush St., Transit Dispatcher
James T. Maglilio, 292 Baker St., Transit Dispatcher
Gilbert Montoya, 2258 21st Ave., Transit Dispatcher
David J. McCoy, 4950 17th St., Transit Employee
Richard Delong Morley, 4259 24th St., Cable Car Conductor
Willie L. Nobles, 21 Guerrero, Transit Inspector
Annie Y. Parrish, 227 15th Ave., Office Manager
Paul Parrish, 227 15th Ave., Upholsterer
Helen E. Pierce, 1236 4th Ave., Housewife
Byron L. Rogers, 101 Gambier, Muni Railway
Johnson Tom, 227 15th Ave., Computer Operator
William B. Tom, 1730 Stockton St., Muni R.R.
Steve Toth, 245 North Point, Clerk
Robert L. Wilson, 163 Bright St., Muni Railway

The sponsors for Diana Coleman are:
John M. Albert, 1244-6th Ave., Construction Worker
Konstantin Berlandt, 106 Eureka, Gay Journalist
Jon Blackner, 2440 Market St., Clerk
Jacquelyn E. Clark, 1335 16th Ave., Warehouse Worker
Karen Coshak, 2855 Bush St., Office Worker
Paul B. Costan, 297 Joost Ave, PBX Installer
Richard Edelstein, 1165 Treat Ave., Stationary Engineer
Michael Ferri, 1320 Page St., Clerk
Stephen Charles Gonzalez, 28th Wheeler., Phone Worker
Michael Hayes, 443 Hickory St., Operator
Karen L. Hesse, 1258 3rd Ave., Child Care Worker
Katherine G. Ikegami, 603 Kansas, PBX Repair Technician
Larry Johns, 2855 Bush St., Marine Electrician
David Alexander Larsen, 652 Clayton St., Artist
Deborah J. Mack, 652 Funston Ave., Secretary
Jane Margolis, 725 Spruce St., Phone Worker
Catalina Nolasco, 190 Prentiss St., PBX Phone Installer
Tod Phillip Nolan, 225 14th St., Proofreader
Reed F. Roles, 43 Carver St., Office Worker
Wanda Rutland, 725 Spruce St., Telephone Craft
Steve Schiefer, 1615 Treat, Phoneworker
Barbara Sinnott, 3724 30th Street, Unemployed
Aaron Turner, 868 Haight St., Legal Secretary
Evelyn Wyatt, 39 Scott St., Assigner

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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PATRICK J. CORBETT

My address is 200 Miramar.
My occupation is Painting Contractor.
My qualifications for office are: As leader of several Civic and Social Organizations, I believe that San Francisco needs new leadership on the Board of Supervisors. Fiscal Conservation, while meeting our social responsibilities, requires that each tax dollar be wisely spent to stem increasing crime rate, achieve improvement in Muni service, and provide decent housing for those on fixed and low incomes.

San Francisco must qualify for State and Federal funds to ease local taxes and benefit from our taxes sent to Sacramento and Washington. Our City, our lives, and our environment can be improved through intelligent action and cooperation; together we will achieve these goals.

PATRICK J. CORBETT

The sponsors for Patrick G. Corbett are:

Kimalee M. Goetz 60 Sycamore St., Waitress
George M. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member State Board of Equalization
William J. Damele, 85 Cleary Ct., Pharmacist
Denise Damele, 85 Cleary Ct., Teacher
William J. Mallen, 244 Monaco Way, Attorney-at-Law
Candida J. Balat, 426 Hearst Ave., Clerk
Eduardo S. Flores, 668 Banks St., Medical Billing Clerk
Cesar A. Cerzo, 345 Trumbull St., Civil Service Employee
Agnes Tom, 456 Hanover St., Patient Account Mgr.
Daniel J. Collins, 2606 19th Ave., Retired Stockbroker
Joseph B. Fincane, 2255 24th Ave., Auditor
Sonja M. Simpson, 141 Ashton Ave., Hospital Biller
Thelma Damele, 85 Cleary Ct., Housewife
Blanche Tabarracci, 1754 Filbert St., Banker
Janet D. Wende, 631 Mangels Ave., Consultant
Edward F. Callanan, 162 Idora Ave., Library Commissioner
Darlo Roni, 2246 21st Ave., Retired
Malline Stuckey, 1486 44th Ave., Housewife
Sandra Walker, 1027 Santiago St., Housewife
Eitel F. Blumenthal, 1001 Santiago St., Machinist
Marguerite A. English, 1754 12th Ave., Director of Personnel
Todd A. Anderson, 685 Noe St., Health Services Administrator
Shirlee A. Lush, 626 Pacheco St., Registered Nurse
Kenneth J. Mills, M.D., 538 Laidley St., Physician
Elfrieda Webber, 205 Miramar Ave., Housewife

WILLUS W. CRUMP

My address is 2722 40th Avenue.
My occupation is Realtor and Chartered Life Underwriter.
My age is 67.

WILLUS W. CRUMP

The sponsors for Willis W. Crump are:

Albert M. Cebrailian, 1990 17th Ave., Retired
Helen Cebrailian, 1990 17th Ave., Housewife
Arthur Censi, 2707 40th Ave., Retired
Roxie R. Clark, 2731 42nd Ave., School Registrar
Jacqueline P. Crump, 2722 40th Ave., Corporation Vice President
Joanita M. Dulsik, 1969 17th Ave., Domestic Engineer
Stanley J. Dulsik, 1969 17th Ave., Lt. Col. (Ret.)
Mary Fogarty, 2078 45th Ave., Clerical
Ann M. Furst, 440 Rivera St., Retired High School Administrator
Harold A. Furst, 440 Rivera St., Retired Adm. Law Judge
Ruth George, 2851 Yorba St., Homemaker
Walter George, 2851 Yorba, Retired
Eugene A. Hidden, 1107 Greenwich, Colonel USAF Retired
Manuel Cecil Kite, 2900 Yorba St., Working for the S.F. Muni Off.
Vivian F. P. Kite, 2900 Yorba, Administrative Assistant
Leo Losh, 2710 40th Ave., Retired
Marie L. Miller, 2831 Wawona St., Homemaker
William A. Miller, Jr., 2831 Wawona St., Technical Instructor
Charles J. O'Callaghan, 2523 17th Ave., Real Estate Broker
Carl S. Olsen, 1986 17th Ave., Retired
Miriam R. Olsen, 1986 17th Ave., Housewife
Doris Reed Plank, 50 Chumasho Drive, Housewife
Ewart G. Plank, 50 Chumasho Dr., Major General, USA, Ret.
Robert P. Samuel, 2190 Washington St., Retired Architect
Helen C. Schuefer, 250 Pt. Lobos, Registrar
Dan C. Schweizer, 2425 22nd Ave., Real Estate Broker
Janet L. Taylor, 1853 33rd, Clerk Typist
Maurice P. Tremont, 2743 39th Ave., Loan Officer
Frank Yuen, 1556 18th Ave., Attorney
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DEL DAWSON
My address is 4119 24th Street.
My occupation is Merchant.
My age is 46.
My qualifications for office are: Over 10 years of working on city concerns, through neighborhood and community organizations:
Coalition of SF Neighborhoods; Mayor’s Advisory Committees; Police Academy Instructor; Police Community Relations; Noe Valley Merchants; 18th St. Alcoholism Programs; Pride Foundation; Council of District Merchants; GGBA Director; Legal Referral Services; Youth & Senior Programs; Employment & Housing Services.
An advocate for human rights, I have supported the integrity of San Francisco neighborhoods; worked for a more professional Police Department; directed important human services. Safety, improving the quality of life, and ensuring equal opportunities for all San Franciscans are both my major concerns and the reasons for my candidacy.

LEE S. DOLSON, Ph.D.
My address is 176 Gold Mine.
My occupation is City College Faculty.
My qualifications for office are: Background: Native San Franciscan. Combat Veteran WWII-Korea. Master’s Degree, San Francisco State; Second Master’s and Ph.D., U.C., Berkeley. Taught Balboa High, City College, 1955-Present. Served as elected San Francisco Supervisor and School Board Member-President.
Record: Since 1972, helped cut millions from inflationary, unnecessary public spending. Demanded cost-effective, increased police and fire protection, improved Muni services, and safer, cleaner streets. Actively pushed for increased jobs, stronger economic climate, and better, affordable housing for every San Franciscan.
Priorities: Improve spending controls; Fair-share delivery of vital services to every neighborhood; Increase job opportunities; Build unity throughout San Francisco.

The Sponsors for Del Dawson are:
Marion Aird, 266 Cotter St., Neighborhood Worker
Christopher G. Anderson, 1617 Fulton St., Manpower Specialist
Michael A. Brennan, 120 Douglass St., Social Rehabilitation Program Director
Sally A. Brunn, 110 Hoffman Ave., Neighborhood Advocate
Craig S. Cartwright, 1762 Pacific Ave., Banker
Stephen Cornell, 763 Dartmouth St., Self-Employed
Roy L. Gray, Jr., 1710 Golden Gate Ave., Administrative Assistant
Larry E. Hughes, 118 Connecticut St., Coordinator, Police Community Awareness Program
Walter G. Jebe, 314 Polaris Way, Merchant
Robert M. Kellihier, 4119 24th Street, Community Worker
Julie E. Kendall, 72 Chattanoogas St., Banker
Larry Long, 914 Broderick St., Attorney
Paul J. A. Morales, 40 Mullen Ave., Merchant
Ronald E. Morgan, 531 Grandview Ave., Community Worker
Mrs. Dorice A. Murphy, 175 Yukon St., School-Community Volunteer
Helen C. Noone, 301 Clipper St., Staff Assistant PT&T
John H. Parsons, 225 Hyde St., Writer
John Patrick Short, 1000 Green St., Merchant
John Thomas Specht, 91 Seward St., Realtor
Gary R. Speer, 1246 Castro St., Self-employed
John E. Sullivan 101 Glenbrook Ave., Attorney-at-Law
Putti Wood, 4173 23rd St., Merchant
Lisa H. Yip, 630 18th St., Merchant

The Sponsors for Lee S. Dolson are:
Robert F. Barry, 32 Cervantes Blvd., Police Officer
Louis F. Batmaile, 444 Yerba Buena Ave., College Chancellor Emeritus
Morrin Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Realtor
Raymond I. Brown, 726 Lake St., Real Estate Broker
Leon Brussel, 537 10th Ave., Labor Council Executive Committee
Thomas J. Cahill, 248 17th Ave., Retired Chief of Police
Agnes I. Chan, 10 Miller Place, Commission on Aging
George Y. Chin, 1754 31st Ave., Attorney
R. Mark Coonradt, 240 Leland St., Pastor of St. James Church
Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd., P.R.
Carla T. Tartal del Portillo, 84 Berkeley Way, Civil Service Commissioner
Harold S. Dobbs, 1000 Mason St., Attorney-at-Law
James T. Ferguson, 1850 Powell St., Firefighter’s Union
Terry A. Francois, 20 Taraval St., Attorney-at-Law
Martha M. Gillham, 2406 Green St., Housewife
Jo Ann Hendricks, 2300 31st Ave., Animal Control Commission
Veronica Hunnicutt, 55 Minerva St., Teacher
Walter G. Jebe, 314 Polaris Way, Neighborhood Businessman
Charles Lee Morris, 137 Juanita St., Publisher
Ronald Pelosi, 2375 Vallejo St., Businessman
Thomas A. Reed, 2130 Fulton St., Priest-Educator
Thomas C. Seaton, 631 Vicente St., Retired
Bob Schmidt, 44th 21st St., President, Political League
Stanley M. Smith, 411 Felton St., Labor Official
Joseph E. Tinney, 1 Melba Ave., Attorney-at-Law
Idarce Westbrook, 780 Clayton St., Education
Jefferson Wilcox, 47 Dipio St., Postmaster, S.F.
H.P. Wright, 2320 Judah St., School Administrator
Benny Y. Yee, 351 Marina Blvd., Realtor
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VICTOR W. D’ORAZI

My address is 825 Geary Street, Apt. #201.
My occupation is Businessman-Consultant.
My age is 64.
My qualifications for office are: After a decorated and exemplary record as a line combat officer in United States Army (infantry) during World War II, was honorably discharged from active duty in 1946 as Lt. Colonel. Since same has been a resident, taxpayer and businessman in San Francisco for over thirty three (33) years. Am considered an authority in the fields of Hotels, Motels and Business Investments. Since semi-retirement in 1979 have been engaged in appraisals and business consultation. Feel my long and extensive experience in all phases of business, taxes, administration and financing make me very well qualified for this position.

VICTOR W. D’ORAZI

The sponsors for Victor W. D’Orazi are:
Alice A. Albert, 90 Inverness Dr., Outside Salesperson
Lilois Anti, 2270 21st Ave., Grocer
Clinton W. Blakeman, 623 Capp, Newspaper Advertising
Rivaro S. Burns, 1763 Jones St., Advertising Sales
Ralph C. Cordero, 160 Everglade Dr., Butcher
Philip R. Cremer, 386 Geary St., Hotel Manager
Robert Del Tredici, 55 Baltimore Way, Clerk
Andrew Durmanich, 2263 38th Ave., Retired
Claire F. Durmanich, 2263 38th Ave., School Secretary
Adeline Helms, 1219 15th Ave., Retired
Vincent Kircher, 2518 Webster, Property Owner
Ross E. Lombardi, 89 Collins, Retired Teacher
Steve Lombardi, 89 Collins, Retired Teacher
Marcel Ladrech, 967 14th St., Hotel Owner
Neal Mitchell, 2298 16th Ave., Grocer
Mack R. Norwitt, 3031 Gough St., Liquor Store Owner
Mario Ordonez, 238 Eddy St., Hotel Owner
Lester Spiegelman, 1318 44th Ave., Retired
George K. Suzuki, 1445 38th Ave., Barber
Kathleen M. Wagner, 65 Casa Way, Dental Assistant
Andrew Wong, 1110 Jackson St., Salesman

LUIZA EZQUERRO

My address is 212 Fair Oaks Street.
My occupation is school teacher and community advocate.
My qualifications for office are: I am an hispanic, native San Franciscan, a public school teacher for many years. I believe in solutions that bring people together, and have gotten results on issues that affect people where they live, work and play.

The repeal of district elections has left confusion and alienation on all sides. Now is the time to gain the direct involvement of as many people as possible in making the City better for all of us, through our city government, and our communities. San Franciscans must make sure that their Supervisors have experience and concern, both on the neighborhood and the city-wide level.

LUIZA EZQUERRO

The sponsors for Luiza E. Ezquerro are:
Sully A. Blum, 110 Hoffman Ave., Neighborhood Advocate
Wilson Chang, 520 Filbert St., Business Consultant
Robert W. Cherny, 1462 Ninth Ave., History Professor, S.F. State University, and Treasurer AFT #1352
Flor de Maria Crane, 1737 Shotwell St., Cashier
Rosemary Denike De Gregorio, 140 Lapham Way, President, Political Club
Ramona Ezquerro, 1306 10th Ave., Housewife
Tommy Harris, 363 Marina Blvd., Retired
Jo Ann Hendricks, 2300 31st Ave., Animal Control & Welfare Commissioner
Ricardo Hernandez, 50 Harper St., Assistant to the Mayor
Ronald H. Kaufman, 282 29th Ave., Real Estate
Ronald N. Lickert, 729 11th Ave., Director, Arts Project
Kerstin Lucia, 1915 Castro St., Health Educator
Charlene L. Mack, 541 Page St., Office Manager
Peter Marino, Jr., 534 Rivera St., Public Relations
Orestes G. Matteoni, 1336 San Bruno Ave., Retired
Enola D. Maxwell, 1559 Jerrold Ave., Executive Director
Frances May McAteer, 130 Santa Ana Ave., Housewife
Charles M. Moser, 217 Fair Oaks St., Physician
Dorice A. Murphy, 175 Yukon St., School-Community Volunteer
Manuel F. Neves, Jr., 136 Peabody St., Teamster
Judith Nitzeberg, 1819 8th Ave., Teacher
George E. Redusil, 341 Douglas St., Exporter
Dr. Rodger K. Scott, 58 Camp St., Teacher
Julie Tang, 788 18th Ave., Educator-Counselor
Rudolph R. Vasquez, 425 Diamond St., Retired City Employee
Steven A. Waldhorn, 4490 24th St., Research Manager
John A. Warekois, 1842 Church St., Real Estate Broker

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
SALLY B. FAMARIN

My address is 2207 28th Avenue.
My occupation is Realtor, Real Property Securities Dealer, Notary Public.
My qualifications for office are: 30 year resident of San Francisco. Homeowner advocate for affordable Housing and Business Development for San Franciscans. Realtor since 1960, Real Property Securities Dealer, Notary Public. Active member, Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board. Served four City Mayors' Citizens Screening Committees on Board and Commission Appointees. Concerned community and neighborhood leader. Spearheaded project in civic, cultural, historical, social, religious and political endeavors since 1957. Executive Director, donor of a Marble Chapel Shrine, Santo Niño, Saint Joseph's Church. Served, Child Health and Disability Prevention, Mayor's Citizen's Committee, Birthday of San Francisco. Strong commitment, Senior Citizen's concern and Escort Program. Affirmative action on immigration and youth programs.

SALLY B. FAMARIN

The sponsors for Sally B. Famarin are:
Lily M. Baxter, 799 37th Ave., Investigator
Philip P. Choy, 1344 Union St., Architect
André G. Davis, 2207 28th Ave., Painter/ Carpenter
Sally A. Davis, 2207 28th Ave., Supervisor CNB
Frederick G. Dayeau, 107 Ralph St., Savings & Loan Branch Manager
Sam Duca, 16 Wawona St., Assessor of San Francisco
Francisco R. Gerado, 1487 47th Ave., Teacher
Francisco A. Gerado, 1487 47th Ave., Physician
John A. Harrison, 401 Sloat Blvd., Government Clerk
Bruce E. Heikkinen, 1251 26th Ave., Senior Engineer
Rafael C. Heikkinen, 1251 26th Ave., Operating a Rest Home
Juanita Lorot, 2330 Cecilia Ave., General Clerk
Magdalena T. Magdaluyo, 771 26th Ave., S. F., Licensed Ins. Agent
Casimira D. Mata, 771 26th Ave., Housewife
Geronimo C. Mata, 771 26th Ave., Retired
Peter Patrick Mendelsohn, 34 Rauch St., President - TODCO
Mrs. Donald Betty Miner, 290 Miramar, Student-Locksmith
Rebecca C. Nieves, 63 La Grande Ave., Secretary
Vicente M. Pozon, 4026 Pacheco St., Retired- Engineer
Marin Natividad R. Purisima, 1432 Pacheco St., Housewife
John B. Ritchie, 2 Presidio Terr., Property
Ludita F. Sadun, 821 Judah St., Dressmaker
Steve Sadung, 821 Judah St., Tax Consultant
Helen Hule Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, Teacher
Elmore C. Tacidal, 227 Madrid St., GEB Clerk
Isabel M. Thompson Ugat, 667 Minna St., Retired-Cafeteria Manager
Pres. South of Market Escort Program

PETER M. FINNEGAN

My address is 555 Post Street.
My occupation is Commissioner, State of California.
My age is 42.
My qualifications for office are: For eight years I've been elected citywide to the San Francisco Community College Board. I've served as President and Chaired Finance.
For five years I've served as Chairman of the State Liquor Appeals Board. I wrote the landmark consumer decision repealing pricefixing of alcoholic beverages.
For seven years I was assistant to a local assemblyman. I worked in Washington, and Sacramento. I know neighborhood and city problems.
I obtained money for Senior Citizens; I've fought for lower Muni fares.
My election will bring leadership and experience to city government. As a native San Franciscan I'm dedicated to fight for a better "City."

PETER M. FINNEGAN

The sponsors for Peter M. Finnegan are:
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Youth Director
Louis F. Baismale, 444 Yerba Buena Ave., Chancellor Emeritus, S.F. Community College District
Dr. Natalie Berg, 20 Ashbury Terr., Personnel Relations Officer, S.F. Community College District
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway St., Business - Investor
George R. Bianchi, 3055 Pacific Ave., Banker
Shirley Cohelan Burton, 2727 41st Ave., President Golden Gate Business & Civic Women's Organization, Editor
George T. Choppelai, 311 26th Ave., Attorney At Law
William H. Chester, 432 Gold Mine Dr., Consultant
John Yehail Chin, 3146 Lyon St., School Principal
George Y. Chinn, 1734 31st Ave., Lawyer
Reynold H. Covia, 283 29th Ave., Attorney At Law
Maureen Conroy, 650 Eucalyptus Dr., Housewife
Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd., Public Relations
Mary M. Finnegan, 116 DeSoto St., Housewife
Terry A. Francis, 20 Taraval St., Attorney At Law
Mary O. Grontie, 5715 Diamond Heights, Blvd., Pension Analyst
Thomas Hsiieh, 4 Cortes Ave., Architect
Robert Jacobs, 1438 38th Ave., Union Labor Official
Joseph L. Maceara, 2333 Funston Ave., Retired Recreation Superintendent
William Moskovitz, 1177 California St., Retired
Herman D. Papa, 30 Melba Ave., Attorney
George R. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member Board of Education
John Riordan, 120 Commonwealth Ave., President, S.F. Community College Board
Sal Rosselli, 1257 Arguello Blvd., Student Member College Board
James J. Ruddien, 148 Chanery St., Corp. Exec.
Thomas C. Scanlon, 631 Vicente St., Retired - Treasurer
Melvin M. Swig, 201 Locust St., Real Estate Developer/Hotel Mgr.
Burl A. Toler, 581 Orizaba Ave., Educator
Ed Turner, 440 Gellert Dr., Union Official

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked or verified for accuracy.
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EULALIO (LARRY) FRAUSTO

My address is 74 Lakewood Ave.
My occupation is Charter Commissioner, Lawyer.
My qualifications for office are: Elected in November 1978 City wide election to the San Francisco Charter Revision Commission. I participated in an unparalleled critical and detailed review of how City Government works. I have listened and learned from every Community and Business Group in this City, regarding their expectations from San Francisco's Local Government. My expertise with the Charter has enabled me to have a better understanding of the problems that face our City in the 1980's.

My eight years of Legal Practice includes service as managing attorney at the Mission Neighborhood Legal Assistance Office, and the Board of Directors of the San Francisco Legal Aid Society.

The sponsors for Eulalio Frausto are:
Thomas J. Cahill, 248 17th Ave., Retired Chief of Police
(John) Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Carloita Tenidor del Portillo, 84 Berkeley Way, Civil Service Commissioner
Rev. Booker T. Anderson, 1175 Ellis St., Clergy
Helen Hale Smith, 66 San Fernando Way, Teacher
Julie C. Anderson, 575 9th Ave., Commissioner, Board of Education
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402 - 20th St., Youth Director
Agnes I. Chan, 10 Miller Place, Commissioner on Aging
Thomas Amman, 162 Prospect St., Teacher
Ricardo Hernandez, 40 Harper St., Assistant to Mayor
George N. Costuros, 188 Morningside Dr., Public Accountant
Peter Rodriquez, 3006 Sacramento St., Art Commissioner
Jerome M. Garchik, 156 Baker St., Attorney
Graciela Cashion, 143 Duncan St., Housewife
Rudolph C. Mathis, 3440 25th St., Commissioner on Aging, SF Dist. Atty.
Investigator
Eva Aguirre Spackman, 469 Alvarado St., School Principal
Joseph A. Hancock, Jr., 451 Guerrero St., Evaluation Analyst
Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd., P. R. Publicist
Gus Cuaulos, 4409 Irving St., Business Investments
Joseph A. Morales, 2118 35th Ave., Attorney
Robert W. Barnes, 28 Florentine St., Machinist
Romona Abeyta Barnes, 28 Florentine St., Housewife
Robert W. Barnes, Jr., 28 Florentine St., Neighborhood Activist
Sal Rosselli, 1257 Arguello Blvd., College Board Student Member
Armando Albert Zavala, 1240 Treat Ave., Attorney
Marguerite A. Warren, 1746 32nd Ave.
Robert A. Price, 163 18th Ave., Employment Supervisor

PETER FORTUNE

My address is 626 30th Street.
My occupation is Attorney at Law.
My qualifications for office are: Economics degree, Yale University; law degree, Northwestern University.

Private practice of law, ten years, representing clients including small businesses, minorities and a labor organization.

Board of directors of a Big Sisters organization.
Married, homeowner, apartment owner who has tried to hold down rents for his tenants since Prop 13 tax reduction.

I will apply my education, legal training and experience to improve municipal services and help resolve the City's financial crunch.

I will work hard to provide effective and honest representation for all San Franciscans.

I have the balanced perspective required to represent the variety of interests and needs in San Francisco.

The sponsors for Peter Fortune are:
Carol J. Albert, 2415 Buchanan St., Airline Executive
Russell M. Blumenthal, 1529 Beach St., Banker
R. R. Champian, 1599 Shrader St., Investments/Consulting
Kenneth M. Christison, 196 Avila St., Attorney
Kathleen Christison, 2782 Union St., Sales P.R. Mgr.
Lorinda Clemens, 1896 Leavenworth St., Administrator
Charles E. Clemens, 1896 Leavenworth St., Airline Officer
Pamela M. Dell, 1533 Beach St., Dental Assistant
Jo Ann Dennis, 325 Day St., Secretary
Jan Egan, 28 Allen Place, Audio Visual Tech
Alan W. Goldman, 2249 Beach St., Creative Director
Robert W. Goldman, 1624 Greenwich St., Advertising
Linda L. Hill, 1767 Union St., Administrative Assistant
Mary A. Mettler, 4462 24th St., VP-Finance
Charles E. Moore, 2961 Broderick St., Real Estate Broker
James A. Murphy, 435 Clipper St., Attorney At Law
Edward V. O'Grady, Jr., 2050 Ninth Ave., Attorney At Law
Elizabeth A. Oyen, 2353 Washington St., Secretary
Kathleen S. Rolly, 136 Jordan St., Marketing Manager
John Serrogy, 1230 Washington St., Advertising
Janet Soderstrom, 626 30th St., Marketing Executive
John T. Sweeney, 136 Jordan St., Mortgage Banker
Virginia F. Talmadge, 1637 18th Ave., Advertising
Nancy E. Thompson, 1624 Greenwich St., Advertising Copywriter
Grace Wong, 2572 California, Hairdresser

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR

BOB GEARY
My address is 2578 Great Highway.
My occupation is Anti-Sewer Tax Chairman.
My age is 40.

My qualifications for office are: City Hall has a habit of turning a deaf ear to taxpayers. However, Big Money Interests have no trouble getting City Officials to listen to them. It's the same Big Money Interests who talked City Hall into letting us pay for an overdesigned, overpriced and environmentally unsound Sewer Project. The $2.6 billion project's energy needs will be astronomical.

As Chairman of Citizens to Stop the Sewer Tax, I have been fighting for repeal of the repressive sewer service charge. I will carry that fight into City Hall. Help me put an end to Big Money's influence on our politicians.

The sponsors for Bob Geary are:
Robert Altman, 568 28th Ave., Photographer
Lex A. Aquino, 2220 23rd St., Restauranteur, Phillipine Club Owner
Wady F. Ayoo, 2602 San Bruno Ave., Retired
Enrico Banducci, 2621 Green St., Restauranteur
Brendan J. Boe, 1278 Funston Ave., Mail Courier
Michael J. Bovio, 2755 37th Ave., General Contractor
Sally K. Braz, 3925 Mission St., Waitress
Linda Culbertson, 2175 45 Ave., Cosmetician
Tony Dingman, 916 Kearny St., Poet-Playwright
Dirk B. G. Dirksen, 1966 California St., Producer
Patrick C. Fitzgerald, 128 Detroit St., County Committeeman
Raymond Fong, 848 Duncan St., Micro-photographer
Ben Friedman, 1300 Grant Ave., Merchant
Frederick W. Gaet, 321 Princeton St., Law Student
James Cuyrthoe Kwech, 1282 43rd Ave., Lithographer
Dennis J. Mulvihill, 189 Margaret St., Anti-Sewer Tax Coordinator
Thomas F. Mulvihill, 1695 12th Ave., Certified Public Accountant
John F. Murphy, 1959 17th Ave., Carpenter
Marshall Naitly, 2626 Vallejo St., Theatre Chain Owner
George K. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member, State Board of Equalization
Nicholas G. Roomel, 2582 Great Highway, Executive Chief
Stephen Spiers, 2483 37th Ave., Sales-Businessman
Irving Tso, 1290 20th Ave., Retired
Carolyn Vee, 1309 Anza St., Security
John George Wong, 1370 23rd Ave., Restauranteur
Bruce P. Zimmerman, 1830 Moraga St., Accounting-Economics
Martin O. Bouey, 1278 Funston Ave., Automotive Tech.
Daniel Murnit, 1247 8th Ave., Stenographer

MARK GLEASON
My address is 3930 California Street
My occupation is Individualist/Truck Driver

My qualifications for office are: I'm a native San Franciscan, active in community affairs, including anti-draft, anti-tax, marijuana reform, and anti-rent control.

The BART tax, sewer scandal, Yerba Buena and the city as slumlord to 22,000 people in public housing are crimes—disasters which will cause the downfall of our city.

Feinsteino and the Board owe their allegiance to Washington politicians. Let's tell them to go to hell—we won't take any more!

I pledge to oppose all increases in government spending and interference in the private lives of citizens.

Call me—861-2982

You have 11 votes for supervisor. You can afford one for individual liberty.

The sponsors for Mark Gleason are:
Bonnie Hoy, 214 6th St., Political Bookstore Manager
Dennis R. Pilon, 3743 17th St., Marijuana Merchant
Eric Garris, 44 Prospect Ave., Marijuana/Anti-Tax Activist
Charles F. Malone, 59 Newton, Extterminator
Justin Raimonder, 3570 17th St., Gay Activist
Grimes Pozniokov, 540 Alabama, Musician/Teacher/Automatic Human Jukebox
Victoria Varga, 419 Frederick St., Managing Editor
Michael B. Mayakia, 315 Holloway Ave., President of Haight-Ashbury Switch Board
Francis S. Good, 130 Clifford Terrace, Pilot/Flight Engineer
Beverlo Locke, 577 14th Ave., Financial Manager/Realtor
Ben H. Martinez, 3570 17th St., Clerk
Joan Kennedy Taylor, 1635 Gough St., Editor
Thomas Lasprina, 3856 21st St., Marijuana Law repeal Activist
Robin P. Fightsmaster, 163 Alpine Terr., Conference Director
Lloyd Taylor, 1817 California St., Politician Party Attorney/CPA
Fred Cash, 438 Noe Street, Communist Activist
Dianne Pichler, 70 Bronte St., Truck Driver
Monte F. Krel, 285 Missouri St., Anti-Draft Activist
Deborah K. Stephens, 3570 17th St., Computer Operator
Edward W. Gleason, 114 10th Ave., Account Executive
Robert K. Castello, 1218 6th Ave.; Radical Caucus Organizer
Roy A. Childs, 1635 Gough, Editor, (Political Party) Review
John H. Fand, 44 Prospect St., Executive Director
Marshall E. Sewart, 2517 Cabrillo, Journalist/Systems Analyst
William Tomasik, 1330 Bush, Political Activist
Margaret Ellen Gleason, 114 10th Ave., PBX Operator
Lawrence Gray, 976 Corbett Ave., Driver
Mark R. Pickens, 2660 44th Ave., State Assembly 18th District Candidate
Janet E. Fishel, 1260 4th Ave., Radio Show Representative, S.F.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
**CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR**

**F. "SAM" GOELTZ**

My address is 130 Clifford Terrace.
My occupations is Pilot/Engineer.
My age is 48.

**My qualifications for office are:** I am broadly-based in life experiences and deeply concerned about the quality and liberty of every human life. I have risked imprisonment as a spokesperson for our rights to speak freely and retain our legitimate possessions.

A lack of productive employment is hurting all the people of San Francisco—poor, middle class, well-to-do. Government is the cause, not the cure, because it restricts investment, free trade, education and participation in business. Enforced poverty, destructive taxation and rampant crime result.

Restrict government, stimulate investment, and make San Francisco a freeport of liberty and prosperity.

Vote "Sam" for liberty. It's free. 564-8844

**The sponsors for Francis S. Goeltz are:**
Bonnie Hoy, 214 6th St., Political Party Bookstore Manager
James O. Thomas, 157 Alpine, Marketing
Richard A. Lamb, 123 Beaver St., Store Owner
Elaine Pedersen, 161 Alpine Terrace, Medical Management
Janet Mc. Smith, 156 Alpine, Real Estate Broker
Pax Beale, 161 Alpine, Medical Consultant
Eric Garris, 44 Prospect Ave., Marijuana/Anti-Tax Activist
Margaret A. Farnham, 1991 Calif. St., Secretary
Sylvanus C. Farnham, 1991 Calif. St., Apt., House Manager
Monte F. Krel, 285 Missouri, Anti Draft Activist
Thomas Schomburg, 321 Castro St., Tour Manager
John H. Fund, 44 Prospect, Executive Director
Justin Rainzade, 3570 17th St., Gay Activist
Donald L. Olsen, 157 Alpine Terrace, Retired
Roy A. Childs, 1635 Gough, Editor, (Political Party) Review
Roy Grunow, 165 Alpine Terrace, Greystone Driver
Michael B. Mayakis, 315 Holloway Ave., President-Haight-Ashbury Switch Board

**BOB GONZALES**

My address is 361 Pennsylvania Avenue.
My occupation is Attorney.

**My qualifications for office are:** The present Board has given San Francisco the most expensive government in its history; doubled muni fares; closed fire stations in the name of economy; and asked the voters to triple their salary! The Board continually indulges in divisiveness, petty bickering and name calling.

San Francisco is entitled to Board members that care about all its people and all its problems; the entire City is my priority. My experience and past record gives the voters a clear choice.

Does the City stagnate with the present Board membership or do we move forward together? I ask for your vote.

**The sponsors for Bob Gonzales are:**
Raymond E. Bright, 435 Vermont St., Lawyer
Manuel Ceballos, 2872 25th St., Beer Wholesale
Carlos Cornejo, 227 Bella Vista, School District Administrator
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumashero Dr., Retired Labor Representative
Lawrence Erickson, 264 States St., Administrative Asst.
Mark Forrester, 55 Elsie St., Butcher
Herman Gallegos, 149 Ripley St, Business Consultant
Louise Garcia, 2131 Funston Ave., Judge
Salvador Garza, 795 Brunswick St., Self-employed
(John) Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff
Gregory P. Hurst, 340 San Benito Way, Business Executive
Esplana Jackson, 3231 Ingalls St., Homemaker
Kenneth G. Johnson, 4339 26th St., Attorney
Thelma Kavanagh, 350 Ellis St., Appt. 7C, Retired Teacher
John W. King, 59 Castillo St., Retired
Maurice Howard Klebott, 1986 24th Ave., Travel Agency
Gordon J. Lau, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
Melvin D. Lee, 450 22nd Ave., Commissioner, Redevelopment Agency
Bill Maher, 2260 Ninth Ave., Attorney
Michael A. Mendelson, 280 Eugulid St., Apt 1, Attorney
Leonel Grianteon Monterrey, 205 Jersey St., Self-employed
Joan Mouton, 844 Florida St., Homemaker
Yvette Del Prado, 12 Valetta Ct., Educator
Stuart E. Patt, 1327 Kansas St., Attorney
Ronald Pelosi, 2375 Vallejo St., Businessman
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr., 433 Bartlett St., Educator
Eduardo Sandoval, 3735 20th St., Attorney
Ena Aguirre Spuckman, 469 Alvarado St., Principal
Victoria Thompson, 795 Brunswick St., Self-Employed
Tito Anthony Torres, 3921 19th St., Attorney

**Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.**
CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR

LOUISE GOODMAN
My address is 3232-21st Street, San Francisco
My occupation is Railworker, U.T.U. Local 100
My age is 30.
My qualifications for office are: I believe the time has come for labor to organize its own political party, based on the unions, to fight for the interests of working people, the vast majority. This party would be a big step toward bringing to power a government based on human needs instead of private profits. I pledge to organize workers, students and all oppressed to ratify the Equal Rights Amendment, stop the draft, stop nuclear power, nationalize the energy industry, for affirmative action and equal rights for Blacks, Latinos, and Asians, and jobs for all. U.S. hands off Cuba, Nicaragua, Grenada, El Salvador and Guatemala!

LOUISE GOODMAN

The sponsors for Louise Goodman are:
Louise E. Armstrong, 3740 25th St., Political Activist
Ann Chester, 242 27th Ave., Retired
Marjorie K. Colvin, 538 36th Ave., Social Worker
Sheldon Paul Colvin, 358 36th Ave., Printer, ITU Local 21
Nancy Gene Elnor, 931 Judah, Librarian and Teacher
Sally Feingold, 96 Staples Ave., Printer
Sonja Finneta, 938 Wisconsin, Machinist
Sara J. Gates, 350 Noe, Campaign Manager
Wayne E. Glover, 3700 26th St., Maintenance Mechanic
Laura Jayne Hall, 401 Moultrie, Machinist
Asher F. Harer, 149 Detroit, Retired Longshoreman
Catherine A. Kooy, 2905 Van Ness, Student
Deborah O. Liatos, 938 Wisconsin, Machinist
Larry J. Liatos, 1107 Diamond, Mechanic
George Meharian, 2355 12th Ave., Political Activist
Ann Esther Menashe, 1953 Page, Lawyer
Susan Maysenber, 944 Copley St., Office Manager
Ernest Francis Rulli, 300 Hill St., Student
David Sagarstern, 245A Whitney St., Clerk
Michael Schreiber, 3353 Mission St., Muni Driver
Carole Seligman, 245 Whitney, Bollermaker
Margery Jean Vanderslice, 1340 Guerrero St., Registered Nurse
Nat Weinstein, 489 27th St., Painter
Sylvia Weinstein, 489 27th St., Housewife

TERENCE HALLINAN
My address is 41 Grattan Street
My occupation is Trial Attorney
My age is 43.
My qualifications for office are: Experience: Third generation San Franciscans; Hastings Law School; Advocate for minorities and working people; Represented neighborhood interests in transportation, housing, and small business before City government.
Leadership: Vice-president, California Trial Lawyers; Halted illegal neighborhood inspection warrants; PTA parent leader; Director, Palomar Youth Center.
Priorities: Build more housing; Provide low-interest rehabilitation loans; Increase police protection in residential neighborhoods; Work with unions and business to attract new industry to San Francisco and provide training and placement for the unemployed; Guarantee equitable services for all neighborhoods and respond to problems of people from all parts of San Francisco; Continue firm spending controls; Improve Muni.

TERENCE HALLINAN

The sponsors for Terence Hallinan are:
James R. Herman, 635 Connecticut St., Pres. International Longshore & Warehousemen Union
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Businessman-Investor, Airport Commissioner.
Caryl Mezey, 3382 Clay St., Human Rights Commissioner
Joseph J. Weiner, 1420 Montgomery St., Real Estate Developer
Carlton Benjamin Goodlett, M.D., 2600 O'Farrell St., Physician & Publisher
Samuel A. Ladar, 1918 Vallejo St., Lawyer
Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd., Public Relations Exec.
George R. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member, Board of Equalization
Phillip Burton, 6 Staut Blvd., Member, U.S. Congress
Lawrence V. Eppenette, 59 Union St., Financial Analyst
Ina Dearman, 217 Upper Terrace, Home Executive-Planning Commissioner
Edward Crocker, 519 Ashbury St., Economic Consultant
Melvin M. Bell, 2950 Broadway St., Lawyer
Vincent Hallinan, 1080 Chestnut St., Lawyer
Paul H. Rosenberg, 355 40th Ave., Administrative Analyst, Dept. Social Services
Michael Stepanian, 2109 Baker St., Lawyer
Agar Jacks, 62 Woodland Ave., S.F. Political Party Central Comm Chair
Jerome M. Pritikin, 91 Alpine Terrace, Photographer
Bennie Holmes, 1560 Turk St., Office Manager, Economic Opportunity Council
Nina M. Ryan, 910 Clayton St., Attorney
Marylouise Lovett, 724 Castro St., Office Mgr., Natl. Assn. Broadcast Engineers & Technicians
Willie L. Brown Jr., 1524 Masonic Ave., Attorney & Assemblyman

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR

STEVEN T. HANKS

My address is 25 Woodland Avenue
My occupation is Part-Time Dishwasher
My qualifications for office are: simple. I need the work.
Like many San Franciscans, I would be happy to make
$9600 a year. I pledge to vote against raising my salary
beyond a yearly cost of living increase, (which is every worker's
right.) In addition, I will seek to reopen the Fleischacker
Pool and to rebuild Playland-at-the-Beach. I oppose the con-
version of the Beach Chalet into a fancy restaurant most
people cannot afford. I advocate its return to the VFW. I
support a return to district elections. I will not fall asleep,
read the paper, or talk too much at meetings.

STEVEN T. HANKS

The sponsors for Steven T. Hanks are:
Richard Blatchley, 1341 7th Ave., Library Assistant
Fred John Bove, 3049 Steinert St., Research Assistant
Douglas I. Buckley, 1379 6th Ave., Biochemist
Laura Fairbanks, 1991 9th Ave., Library Clerk
Mark Fitzgerald, 1746 Page St., Hospital Attendant
Raymond Eli Goldstein, 228 Hugo St., Producer
Mark Hage, 34 Gladys St., Anti-war Activist
Judith A. Hanks, 554 Rockdale St., Attorney
D. Jennifer Janes, 1315 5th Ave., Cafe Worker
J. Christopher Killduff, 1367 7th Ave., Sandwich Maker
Steve Larson, 133 Charles St., Wine Buyer
Victoria Leo, 726 17th Ave., Postal Clerk
Charles Liebling, 1600 47th Ave., Bookdealer
Barbara A. McDonald, M.D., 1540 5th Ave., Physician
Veronica L. Mackay, 716 Clayton St., Interviewer
Dahiel Markowitz, 971 Northpoint St., Electronics Technician
Wayne T. Miles, 119 Haight St., Messenger
David Monchek, 1741 11th Ave., Food Sales
Leonidas N. Petroopoulos, 1357 11th Ave., Clerk
James T. Powell, 106 Fern St., Telephone Researcher
Charles Rohrbacher, 1290 Jackson St., Editor
Russell Ruderman, 1351 5th Ave., Manager, Natural Food Store
Robert Sigel, 1509 5th Ave., Construction Laborer
Mary Denise Slattery, 436 Judah St., Artist
Bruce Steater, 1707 7th Ave., Musician
Steven J. Spigelton, 425 Judah St., Clother
Catherine M. Vigil, 1251 15th Ave., Cashier
Laura A. Vigil, 1251 15th Ave., Student
Don Wren 1718 35th Ave., Cabinetmaker (Journeyman Local 42)

PAUL D. HARDMAN

My address is 1782 Pacific Ave.
My occupation is Publisher and Writer
My age is 57.
My qualifications for office are: Most of my life has been
spent in San Francisco, with time out for service in the Navy
during the war. Well educated I have been a teacher; bank
officer; businessperson and lobbyist with background in sci-
ence and law.

Self supporting, I would not be dependant on government.
I am a writer and publisher and have been active in commu-
nity affairs for years in heritage preservation, human rights
and law reform. I successfully organized and operated a
community center which offered: legal aid; senior and youth
services; social services; cultural and rehabilitation pro-
grams; and found jobs. All was done honestly!

PAUL D. HARDMAN

The sponsors for Paul D. Hardman are:
Jimmy D. Anderson, 2200 Franklin St., Self Employed
Jerry G. Berge, 55 Twin Peaks Blvd., Attorney
Andrew John Betancourt, 3765 Market St., Real Estate Broker
Craig S. Cartwright, 1782 Pacific Ave., Banker
Robert Chew, 457 4th Ave., Office worker
Elmon Lee Clifton, 1197 Church St., Advertising Sales
William J. Coates, 1844 Turk St., Painter
David L. Cole, 20 Broderick Ave., Electrician
Beatrice Duncan, 727 Haight St., Legislative Aide
Henry T. Faber, 1221 Waller St., Bartender
Randal G. Fontana, 1221 Waller St., Designer
Perry A. George, 2333 Turk St., Wholesale Liquor Salesman
James Harvey Gilman, 2333 Turk St., Teacher
Russell A. Glenn, 2200 Franklin St., Self Employed
G. Marcus Hernandez, 2426 Pine St., Administrative Assistant
Thomas E. Horn, 950 Rockdale St., Attorney
Alvin Warren Kopp, 1782 Pacific Ave., Designer
Ross J. Lopez, 1844 Turk St., Buyer
Milton Marks, 55 Jordan Ave., State Senator, S.F.
Joan Barbara Monroe, 2231 Franklin St., Medical Aide
P. Donald McLean, 1029 Geary St., Actor
Bruce D. Parker, 2 Encante Ave., Interior Designer
Craig L. Pavlich, 3100 Moraga St., U. S. Customs Clerk
Richard F. Piernot, 2630 Market St., Building Contractor
Steven Murry Prokasky, 542 Castro St., Writer-Waiter
Raymond Santiago, 2233 Franklin St., Broker
Craig S. Schmeyer, 820 Fell St., Artist
Terry Lynn Warkenthien, 138 Chenery St., Bartender

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR

LEE HARTGRAVE
My address is 1750 Sacramento Street
My occupation is Magazine Editor/Teacher
My age is 48
My qualifications for office are: As a magazine editor, I am experienced in making political decisions. My platform is: stricter rent control regarding evictions; district elections with two supervisors at large; better neighborhood police protection; more and better housing for seniors; multi roof-top distress lights. Big corporations must pay a full share of running the city, and commuters must give back to the city some of what they take out. Other community involvements include: Membership in the Bay Area Critics Circle; San Francisco Council on Entertainment; Community College teacher and entertainment reporter for an FM station. I am supportive of the creative forces in San Francisco.

JOLETHA HEAD
My address is 114 Colby Street
My occupation is community activist.
My qualifications for office are: I am a lifetime resident of the city of San Francisco and currently the chairman of the Board of Directors for the Bayview Hunters Point Community Development Corporation. My primary interest in seeking to be elected to the Board of Supervisors during the upcoming election is to fill the current leadership vacuum which exists in city government.

The sponsors for Joletha Head are:
Harold F. Abbott, 17 Aquavista Way, Contractor
Gloria Badger, 1486 Palou Ave., Businesswoman
J. Barson, 436 Dewey Blvd., Clerk
Clarence R. Couler, 1535 Van Dyke St., Community worker
Robert E. David, 1771 Quint St., Skycape Val
Barbara DeLeon, 630 Victoria St., Painter
James B. Domingue, 1533 Ingalls St., Meat Cutter
Kay Henderson, 2121 Geary St., Campaign Manager
Letha L. Jeannipierc, 510 Orizaba St., Accountant
Alice M. Jones, 737 Olmstead St., Alice M. Jones Press
Sam Jordan, 4006 3rd St., Caterer
Olathia M. Mabrey, 855 Goettingen St., Disabled Retired
Nathanial Mason, Jr., 1520 Turk St., Affirmative Action Consultant
Gilda G. Mayo, 453 Edly St., Community Repr.
Jerry Lee Moore, 600 Fell St., Manager of Security
RALPH OVERTON, 700 Niagara St., Retail Butcher Clerk
Gloria Pitts, 2082 Revere Ave., Administrative Secretary
Mary Provost, 2063 Thomas Ave., Cosmetologist
Ruthie M. Rand, 101 Bridge View Dr., Supervisor
Susan Reed, 44 Patton St., Dry Cleaner
Evelen M. Tanacci, 390 Harvard St., Baker
Eugene I. Vance, Sr., 171 Bridgeview Dr., Retired
Tyree L. Walker, 170 Wilde Ave., Construction worker
Daniel M. Wells, 3207 Jennings St., Retired
Dorothy M. Wells, 1350 Felton St., Real Estate Broker
Norma Sue Womacott, 557 Wildwood Way, Counter Clerk

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR

BEN HOM

My address is 2555 Chestnut Street
My occupation is Chairman, Housing Authority
My age is 40
My qualifications for office are: President-Chairman of the Board, savings and loan association; Chairman, S.F. Housing Authority; Past Member, S.F. Human Rights Commission; Director or executive of various trade and benevolent associations.

These responsibilities have provided me with city, state and national experience to better serve you as a San Francisco Supervisor.

Since graduating from Washington High School, I have dedicated my energies toward the improvement of our business climate and the well being of all our residents.

San Francisco needs Supervisors who will work for all our citizens and will resolve our problems and needs on a city-wide basis.

BEN HOM

The sponsors for Ben L. Hom are:
Patricia L. Hom, 2555 Chestnut, Homemaker
Joseph J. Allen, 2186 36th Ave., Businessman
Ernest P. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Community College Board Member Youth Director
Booker T. Anderson, 1175 Ellis #C204, Clergy, Community College Bd. Member
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1524 Masonic Ave., Attorney at Law & Legislator
John Yehali Chin, 3146 Lyon St., School Principal & Community College Board Member
Preston Cook, 3301 Clay St., Commissioner, SF Housing Authority
William E. Daver, 2766 Greenwich, Chamber of Commerce
George T. Davis, 1998 Vallejo St., Lawyer
David Fleishacker, 3434 Jackson, Headmaster
Herman Gallegos, 149 Ripley, Business Consultant
Thomas Haich, 4 Cortez, Architect
Jordon W. Lank, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
Lim P. Lee, 1036 Pacific Ave., Retired Postmaster
Melvin D. Lee, 450 22nd Ave., Commissioner, S.F. Redevelopment
Dr. Maurice Menas, 3255 Jackson St., Investment Banker
Yoshio Nakashima, 30 Oro Way, Dentist, Planning Commission
Milton F. Reiterman, 30 West Clay Park, Labor Negotiator
Terence A. Redmond, 342 5th Ave., Attorney
Elliot River, MD, 1850 Fulton, Physician
Evelyn B. Sould, 1740 Broadway, Media Buyer
Joseph C. Tarantino, 2427 Bay St., Retired
Ed Turner, 440 Gelert Dr., Union Official
Yuri Wada, 565 4th Ave., Buchanan YMCA Exec. Director
Cleo F. Wallace, 275 Thrift St., Housewife
Leslie M. Lawrence, 2423 Broadway, CPA

RICHARD D. HONGISTO

My address is 1594 42nd Avenue
My occupation is Criminalist
My age is 43
My qualifications for office are: I have served 19 years in government service as a police officer, Sheriff of San Francisco, Police Chief and Commissioner of one of the largest prison systems in the world (12,000 employees, $250,000,000 budget). Each of the agencies I administered experienced significant improvement during my tenure.

I have completed all courses for a doctoral degree and have extensive management training. While a police officer, I received numerous citations for merit and the coveted medal of valor.

My education, experience and proven record of concern for people makes me one of the best qualified Supervisorial candidates. I would deeply appreciate your vote.

RICHARD D. HONGISTO

The sponsors for Richard D. Hongisto are:
Robert A. Achtenberg, 456 Jersey St., Law School Dean
Ernest H. Bates, 250 Palo Alto St., Physician
Charlotte N. Coleman, 3721 31st St., Accountant
Evelyn M. Condon, 2683 24th Ave., Clerical Worker
Eleanor M. Cragen, 1427 6th Ave., Housewife
Ann Belinda Dalby, 795 Geary St., Consultant
Herbert Donaldson, 45 Lloyd St., Coffee Executive
Frank Fitch, 2347 Market St., Service Coordinator
Thomas C. Fleming, 2171 O'Farrell St., Managing Editor—Sun Reporter
Edgar Flowers, Jr., 11 Stanford Heights Ave., Captain, Sheriff's Dept.
Loma Kaye Flowers, M.D., 11 Stanford Heights Ave., Psychiatrist
James M. Foster, 1952 15th St., Consultant
Mrs. M. Bernice Garner, 150 Urbano Dr., Housewife
Washington E. Garnet, 150 Urbano Dr., Physician
Richard N. Goldman, 3700 Washington St., Insurance Broker
Hugh W. Griffith, 50 Leland Ave., Retired
(John) Michael Hoenjes, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff
Gladys M. Hongisto, 1594 42nd Ave., Homemaker
Raymond H. Hongisto, 1594 42nd Ave., Retired
Anne S. Howden, 191 Upper Terrace, Homemaker
Walter G. Jebb, 314 Polaris Way, Businessman
William Frederic Kidd, 1264 6th Ave., Police Sergeant
Fred George Mundenhall, 186 Germania St., Factory Worker
Peter Mezey, 3383 Clay St., Board of Education Member
Lester B. Morgan, 1453 Francisco St., Research Analyst
Charles L. Morris, 137 Jannita Way, Publisher
Edward L. Peet, 350 Arballo St., Clergyman
John Riddin, 150 Commonwealth Ave., Pres. SF Community College Board
Raymond P. Roberts, Jr., 305 Prospect Ave., Attorney

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR

DONALD HORANZYG
My address is 84 Kelso Street
My occupation is Supervisor, City and County of San Francisco
My qualifications for office are: San Francisco Supervisor (rated Number one in "San Francisco Examiner" poll, August 1979); fiscal analyst; 24-year resident; married; five children. Cleared way to put more police officers on the streets; enacted measures that ensure more affordable housing; improved city fiscal management; authored legislation that promotes energy conservation and development of solar technologies.
I have the experience and sensitivity needed for strong, independent leadership in the decade ahead. My priorities include: tougher anti-crime legislation; more housing; improved Muni and expanded senior services.
As Supervisor, working with you, I am confident that we can unite our city and build a better future.

DONALD HORANZYG
The sponsors for Don Horanzy are:
Phillip Burton, 8 Shotl Blvd., Member, United States Congress
Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor of S.F.
George R. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member, State Board of Equalization
J. Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff of S.F.
Sam Duco, 16 Wawona St., Assessor
Peter Mezey, 3382 Clay St., Member, Board of Education
Rev. Booker T. Anderson, 1172 Ellis St., C 204, Clergy
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Businessman, Airport Commissioner
Laurentina R. Wiles, 214 Rutland St., Member, Commission on Status of Women
J. Edward Fleissel, 30 Miller Place, Lawyer, Airport Commission
Walter G. Jebe, 314 Polaris Way, Businessman/Library Commission
Thad Brown, 531 Baker St., Tax Collector
Claire C. Pitcher, 471 Hoffman Ave., Executive Director Bd. of Permit Appeals,
Alejandro A. Eslamado, 390 Verbo Buena Ave., Publisher-Editor-in-Chief
Lawrence V. Eppinette, 59 Wood St., Financial Analyst
Woodson W. Fox, 100 Chicago Way, Retired
Antonio Storniolo, 2455 Franklin St., Restaurant owner
Zoraina M. Hurts, 75 Western Shore Lane, Program Manager
John King, 59 Castillo St., Retired
Flor DeMarin R. Crane, 733 Shotwell St., Cashier
Kathleen M. Klein, 656 Cole St., Administrative Assistant
Mary Bennett, 29 Colby St., Banker
John S. Jennings, 2743 San Bruno Ave., Realtor
Robert E. Antonelli, 1621 Visitation, Tavern & Restaurant Owner
Marguerite A. Warren, 1746 32nd Ave.
Will Leong, 1469 12th Ave., Exec, Director S.F. Pretrial Diversion Project
Patsy Ponzo, 649 San Jose St., Community Liaison Rep.
Michelle Anderson, 2335 Francisco St., Exec. Director
Alex L. Pitcher Jr, 61 Pomona St., Community Liaison Rep.
Maura Kenley, 2219 44th Ave., Business Agent

JOE HUGHES
My address is 255 Oak Street
My occupation is Lawyer
My age is 45.
My qualifications for office are: My business has been helping cities throughout the United States to realize the visions of their civic leaders. I wish to serve in government here in order to realize my vision of San Francisco.
My vision of San Francisco is 640,000 people, more or less those who are here now, living in harmony, health and wealth, in this capital city of the American Renaissance, this gold-rush girl-of-a-boy-rush town, San Francisco!
I opposed 6, 13, 9, 10, 4 and A and supported 11, O, P, Q, R, T and V. I support John Anderson for President.

JOE HUGHES
The sponsors for Joe Hughes are:
Owen Martin, 1230 Sacramento St., Manufacturer
Marion L. Chromik, 3100 Fulton St., Legal Secretary
Michael Roberts, 1117 Geary, Artist
Linn Kieffer, 494 Liberty St., Manager
Frank J. Sutton, 111 Clifford Terr., Banker
Gerald Rosenbaum, 939 Lombard, Composer/Landscaper
David G. Casnocha, 137 Alma, Attorney
Emily N. Lhamon, 137 Alma, Lawyer
Lucilie Blake, 1237 4th Ave., Musician
James R. Adams, 1760 Diamond, Student
Barbara E. Reynolds, 219 29th, Teacher
Randy Feldt, 1008 Larkin, Nursing
Paul R. Masbaum, 1499 Guerrero, Waiter
Diana Quartermaine, 3940 California St., Program Specialist
Stanley E. Shields, 438 Guerrero, Administrative Assistant
Fred Wilkham, 780 Chestnut, Writer
Patricia R. Carey, 1380 Bush St., Art Gallery Owner
Ronald McDowell, 935 Ashbury, Merchant
Joseph Dionsio, 1227 Masonic, Driver
Joe Hughes, 235 Oak, Lawyer & Politician

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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ELLA HILL HUTCH

My address is 351 Scott Street
My occupation is Member, Board of Supervisors
My qualifications for office are: I have served this City faithfully during my three year tenure on the Board of Supervisors. I have addressed City-wide issues with the same fervor and concern I have paid to district issues. I am Chairperson of the Fire, Safety, and Police Committee, Vice-Chairperson of the Finance Committee, and a member of the Streets and Transportation Committee. I am a Director for the Golden Gate Bridge District and former BART Director. As your Supervisor, I will continue to work for the good of all San Franciscans by addressing the tough issues of housing, jobs creation and public safety.

ELLA HILL HUTCH

The sponsors for Ella Hill Hutch are:
Phillip Burton, 8 Sloan Blvd, Member, United States Congress
Leo T. McCarthy, 400 Magellan Ave., Assemblyman
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1524 Mariposa Ave., Assemblyman
(John) Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores, Sheriff of San Francisco
Sue Duze, 16 Wawona, Assessor
Thomas C. Scanlon, 631 Vicente, Retired City Treasurer
Jane M. Murphy, 2255 Washington, S.F. Police Commissioner
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr., 433 Bartlett, S.F. Police Commissioner
Jo Daly, 123 Topaz Way, S.F. Police Commissioner
Carlton Benjamin Goodlett, MD., 2060 O'Farrell St., Physician and Publisher
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., Buchanan YMCA Executive Director
Julie C. Anderson, 575 Ninth Ave., School Board Commissioner
H. Watson Flynn, 76 Van Ness St., Independent Public Accountant
Agar Jaiocks, 62 Woodland Ave., San Francisco Chair, (Political Party)
Thelma Shelley, 70 Eversin St., Assistant Director, War Memorial
Vincent Courtney, Jr., 2835 34th Ave., Union Representative
Wallace E. Stokes, III, 939 Randolph, Consultant
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Investor – Business
Susan Kelly Kennedy, 3070 26th Ave., Member (Political Party) Central Committee
James B. Herman, 635 Connecticut, Union Official
Claire C. Pitcher, 471 Hoffman Ave., Director, Board of Permit Appeals
Curtis McClain, 251 Harkees Ave., Union Official
Ages I. Chan, 10 Miller Place, Commissioner on Aging
Al Borrie, 234 Gates, Attorney
Jim T. Esteva, 5285 Diamond Heights Blvd., Publisher, The Mabuhay Republic
Phyllis A. Lyon, 651 Duncan, Educator
William H. Chester, 432 Gold Mine Drive, Consultant
Charles L. Morris, 137 Juanita, Publisher, The Sentinel
Marguerite A. Warren, 1746 32nd Ave.
Anne Belisle Daley, 795 Geary, Consultant

GOLDIE JUDGE

My address is 128 Leland Avenue
My occupation is Consultant.
My qualifications for office are: years of experience as a civic leader, consultant to small businesses, political campaigns, government and a former teacher, has prepared me for the job of public servant. Integrity, courage, honest, hardworking and a willingness to serve; a belief in good old fashioned teamwork... pulling together toward common goals, doing one's part to achieve through personal effort and fulfilling one's duty. SF is a unique City, with unique problems. We need to establish a steady demand for good government—elect a unique person who can and will serve a re-united city. Graduate Catholic University, master political science, law and public administration.

GOLDIE JUDGE

The sponsors for Goldie Judge are:
Gail Arndt, 362 Leland Ave., Food Supervisor
Emily M. Bell, 283 Raymond Ave., Housewife
Arnesta B. Birmingham, 62 Leland Ave., Escort Advocate
Willie Evans, 294 Hahn St., Self-employed Record Store Owner
John L. Fitzgerald, Jr., 191 Desmond St., Retired
Shirley Friend, 531 Delu St., Retired
Alber J. Grassi, 930 Rutland St., Retired
Carolyn A. Glode, 3854 San Bruno Ave., Clerk
Louise S. Hall, 179 Rey St., Marker – retail store
George E. Kirchhoff, 278 Leland Ave., Real Estate Broker
Amelia Martin, 44 Edgar Place, Library Tech I
Rolando G. Precivalle, 924 Rutland Ave., Painter-Merchant
Eleanor B. Queirolo, 850 Sunnydale Ave., Retired
Charles B. Raditch, 782 Kansas St., Businessman
Ralph Reinh, 615 Sunnydale Ave., Retired
Henry Schindel, 54 Schwerin St., Real Estate Broker
Mamie Spanos, 445 Sunnydale Ave., Retired
Alice Spina, 431 Campbell Ave., Retired
Haruko Tanaka, 391 Moscow St., Senior Legal Typist
Edward Treach, 480 Alpha St., Clerk
Christopher Verreco, 201 Argonaut St., Office Clerk
Esthathia Verreco, 201 Argonaut St., Housewife
Nick A. Verreco, 201 Argonaut St., Insurance Broker

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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QUENTIN L. KOPP
My address is 68 Country Club Drive
My occupation is Lawyer and Member, Board of Supervisors
My qualifications for office are: During my years in City Hall I have been an outspoken critic of wasteful government spending and excessive bureaucracy. I have likewise persisted in efforts to insure the protection of human rights and values. My legislation has tightened conflict of interest laws, required open meetings of boards and commissions, retained neighborhood police stations and protected Golden Gate Park open space. I will continue my work towards achieving a sound city housing policy and more equitable tax treatment for homeowners while pledging my determination to maintain our city as a distinguished center of commerce, culture and beautiful neighborhoods.

QUENTIN L. KOPP
The sponsors for Quentin L. Kopp are:
A. Marquez Bautista, 30 Miller Place, lawyer.
Jeff Brown, 850 40th Ave., Public Defender, City & County of S.F.
Thomas J. Cahill, 248 17th Ave., Retired, Chief of Police
Dorothy M. Casper, 447 Chestnut, Housewife
George Christopher, 1170 Sacramento St., Former Mayor
Eleanor R. Crabtree, 1900 Gough St., Housewife
Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd., P.R.
George Evanovich, 1979 A Union St., Labor Representative, Laborers’ Int’l Union
Jack E. Frankel, 223 Lake Merced Hill, Rabbi
Virginia Fusco, 34 Cervantes Blvd., Retired
Daniel J. Hayes, 741 Edinburgh St., Head Football Coach, Riordan High School
J. Michael Hennessy, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff of S.F.
Warren Hinkle, 265 Castro St., Writer
Benjamin D. Jumace, 216 Menlo Way, Attorney
Paul F. Lorch, 1034 Guerrero St., Editor
Serene C. Low, M.D., 126 Arguello Blvd., Physician
Milton Marks, 53 Jordan Ave., State Senator, S.F.
Charles L. Morris, 173 Juana St., Publisher
William Moskovitz, 1777 California St., Retired
Frances M. McAttee, 130 Santa Ana, Housewife/Rec. & Park Commissioner
Ronald Polosi, 2375 Vallejo St., Businessman
Claire C. Pilcher, 471 Hoffman Ave., Executive Director, Board of Permit Ap.
William T. Reed, 2151 18th Ave., Past Pres. Retired, City Employees Assn. Inc.
Michael S. Salvario, 95 Crest Lake Dr., Owner Andres T.V.
Thomas C. Scaletta, 631 Vicente St., Retired-Treasurer
J.A. Scateno, 101 Saint Elmo Way, Chairman of the Bd.-Scateno York Co.
Kevin Sturr, 2560 Vallejo St., Journalist and Librarian
Wallace B. Stokes, III, 939 Randolph St., Consultant
Clifford I. Uyeda, 1330 Gough St., Retired-M.D.
Jack M. Wu, 288 Gold Mine Drive, Investment Advisor, Importer & Exporter

CARL LAFONG
My address is 1320 7th Ave
My occupation is Pastrami Lover
My qualifications for office are: I am a dedicated lover of delicatessen food, and if elected, will do everything possible to upgrade the quality of hot pastrami and corned beef in San Francisco. A city is only as good as its delis, and ours should be among the best.

I will accept graft honestly, and at “people’s prices” which the ordinary citizen can afford. I shall also provide a detailed accounting of all gratuities I receive.

Also, I brush my teeth daily, am well-mannered, and have no social diseases. If elected, I will attend board meetings in a rabbit suit.

CARL LAFONG
The sponsors for Carl LaFong are:
Alfonso Chino, 1241 Polk St., Mailroom Clerk
Don Cowan, 522 22nd Ave., Activist Intern
Shelley Diamond, 1527 Funston, Journalist
Francis Devito, 503 30th St., Asst. Manager
Mary Friedman, 1256 6th Ave., Housewife
Steven T. Hanks, 25 Woodland, Part-Time Dishwasher
Judy Hall, 1219 17th Ave., Cafe Manager
Don H. Herrett, 1456 6th Ave., Private Investigator
Dora Hildner, 319 Clipper St., Ceramist
Earl S. Hinds, 57 Prospect Ave., Masseur
Bruce E. Homig, 541 Arch St., Bus
James M. Hurtinger, 201 Broderick St., Signs-Graphic
Don Ivers, 3392 16th St., Photographer
Maria Johansen, 1247 6th Ave., Word Processor
John Law, 1354 15th Ave., Sign Maker, Engraver
Jack Lennovitz, 1864 Page St., Lover of San Francisco
Magdline So, 174 Lockeley, Asst. Research Biochemist
James M. Manica, 3673 17th St., Band Manager
Michael T. Oliver, 67 Wool St., Teacher
Margaret Roether, 319 Clipper St., Artist
Terri Schwartz, 117 Jersey St., Graphic Artist
Trish Thomas, 512 Frederick St., Student
Mary Travis, 36 Huntington Dr., Student
Frederick W. Valman III, 115 Buena, Crumpet Baker
Gary Warne, 1323 11th Ave., Bookstore Owner
Carol A. Zabala, 1652 Haight St., Proof Reader
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WALTER LARSEN

My address is 2040 Scott Street
My occupation is Businessman
My qualifications for office are: A deep concern for this city and its government. I have been a businessman in San Francisco for the past 19 years and am aware of the problems of the central city and neighborhood businesses. For 14 years I have directed antique shows and understand the needs of those involved in the vital convention, tourist, and cultural life of San Francisco.

As a resident of San Francisco I share the alarm over the condition of the streets, parks, neighborhoods and public safety.

My total commitment is to work for improvement in all aspects of city life.

WALTER LARSEN

The sponsors for Walter Larsen are:
Priscilla Alden, 1677 Bush St., Actress
Eugene R. Barone, 2247 Webster St., Director
Ellen K. Blauer, 1807 Octavia St., Manufacturing Executive
John M. Blauer, 1807 Octavia St., Mail Order Business Owner
Paul F. Brosius, Jr., 2733 Bush St., Accountant
Keith A. Ennenga, 4716 Sanchez St., Student
Frank Fernandez, 3499 18th St., Dist. Mgr., SF Newspaper Co.
Judith A. Gallety, 916 DeHaro St., Administrative Secretary
Richard H. Gibson, 209 Central Ave., Self-employed—Real Estate Investor
Willard "Bill" Gibson, 631 O’Farrell St., Photographer
David M. Hamamoto, 2038 Scott St., Asst’l. Art Director
Jon A. Henn, 3609 23rd St., Student
Nick J. Hontalas, 1198 Barrows, Grocer
Thomas L. Johnson, 1750 Taylor St., Optician
John David B. McCully, 1683 Hayes St., Musician
Garry Morris, 461 Landsdale Ave., Jeweler
Naimeh Omran, 2799 Sacramento St., Grocery Store Owner
Georgiana Pratte, 2455 Jackson St., Bookseller
Charles Propper, 1109 Leavenworth St., Businessman
Lillian M. Rogers, 2514 Sacramento St., Theatre Technician
R. Sliney, 1358 29th Ave., Office Mgr.
Jeanne N. Santo Domingo, 2313 Filbert St., Accountant
Harold Shapiro, 122 Tioga Ave., Retired
Marion G. Shapiro, 122 Tioga Ave., Retired
Paul Stone, 1801 Jefferson St., Accountant & Tax Consultant
Chester Talley, 1959 31st Ave., Hair Stylist

EDWARD LAWSON

My address is 469 14th Avenue
My occupation is Member, Board of Supervisors
My age is 49
My qualifications for office are: I am a native Californian, graduate of U.C. Berkeley, and combat veteran. As the Supervisor from District One, I have worked hard for the interests of all San Franciscans on city-wide problems without neglecting the smallest neighborhood traffic problem. As a member of the Finance Committee, I opposed wasteful spending while supporting full funding for police and fire protection and other basic services. I voted against increasing the sewer tax because I know we cannot afford and do not need such an expensive program. As a neighborhood leader, I am committed to preserving the essential character of our neighborhoods.

EDWARD H. LAWSON

The sponsors for Edward Lawson are:
Dolph Andrews, 2611 Divisadero St., Businessman
Joan E. Bloxam, 3 Montaqua Place, Housewife
Thomas J. Cahill, 248 17th Ave., Retired-Chief of Police
George Christopher, 1170 Sacramento St., Former Mayor of San Francisco
Eleanor R. Crabtree, 1900 Gough St., Housewife
Babsie Drefke, 701 Kansas St., Housewife
Gus Farber, 160 St. Germain Ave., Gem Appraiser
Frederic S. Freund, 30 West Clay Park, Realtor
Virginia Fusco, 34 Cervantes Blvd., Retired
Martha M. Gillham, 2408 Green St., Housewife
Jaquelin H. Hume, 3355 Pacific Ave., Business Executive
Dimitri K. Ilin, 76 6th Ave., Attorney-at-law
Edward Jow, 2726 38th Ave., Attorney-at-law
Wallace Lee, 314 122nd Ave., Restaurant Owner
Donald Maginn, 36 Presidio Terrace, Importer
Charlotte Maillard, 2740 Green St., Housewife
Frances M. McAtee, 130 Santa Ana St., Housewife
Albert Nafelmeh, 157 17th Ave., Florist
M. Lester O’Shan, 2563 Pacific Ave., Investment Counselor
John Ritchie, 2 Presidio Terrace, Real Estate Broker
Charence R. Stern, 25 Roselynn Terrace, Mortgage Loan Broker
Samuel B. Stewart, 3108 Broadway, Retired
Vallae E. Stikes III, 939 Randolph, Consultant
John A. Sutro, 3598 Jackson St., Lawyer
Melvin M. Swig, 201 Locust St., Real Estate/Hotel Management
Suzue Toda, 615 46th St., Housewife
Marguerite A. Warren, 1746 32nd Ave.,
William M. Witter, 1000 Muon St., Investment Banker
John Wong, 433 78th Ave., Real Estate Broker
Robert W. Young, 2 Presidio Terrace, Real Estate Investor

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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PIUSS LEE
My address is 699 Marina Blvd.
My occupation is Real Estate Broker.
My age is 43.
My qualifications for office are: I am Piuss Lee, Commissioner for Human Rights, and Member State Employment Council. A 17-year resident of the City, I am former organizer for International Ladies Garment Works and an independent businessman.
As former chairman of the Chinatown-North Beach Economic Opportunity Council, I am acutely aware of the problems in jobs and housing facing San Franciscans. I will work for affordable housing with private and governmental assistance, more jobs through business expansion for the unemployed and underemployed and for first class fire and police protection for the homes and streets of San Francisco.

PIUSS LEE

The sponsors for Piuss Lee are:
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., State Assemblyman
Sam Duca, 16 Wawona St., Assessor
Grace Poen Lee, 699 Marina Blvd., Housewife
William S. Breall, M.D., 201 Seaccliff, Physician
George Y. Chim, 1754 31st Ave., Lawyer
Frank P. Agnon, 1170 Sacramento St., Graphic Design Executive
Agnes I. Chan, 10 Miller Place, Commissioner on Aging
George O. Long, 2043 10th Ave., Acupuncture CA, LTD
Stephen L. Mana, 962 Union St., Attorney-at-Law
Michael Grossman, 25 Seaccliff, Physician
Margaret T. O’Brien, 3636 Clay St., Housewife
Steven J. Doi, 1521 Larkin St., Attorney
B. John Bugatto, 3632 Lyon St., Attorney-at-Law
Denis Wong, 1398 Pacific Ave., Pharmacist
John B. O’Brien, 3636 Clay St., Physician
Hal Cruzan, 80 Crestline Dr., Public Relations
Irwin Weinreb, M.D., 2201 Lake St., Physician
Ann F. Breall, 201 Seaccliff, Teacher
Bill Siso, 715 11th Ave., Vice-Pres. & Mgr. of Savings & Loan
William F. Lee, 2282 35th Ave., Real Estate Broker
Diana K. Lee, 318 Arguello Blvd., Real Estate Broker
Robert Steele, 1563 Powell St., Salesman
Alvin Joe, Jr., 136 Seal Rock Dr., Geologist
Thomatru N. Scott, 19129 Broderick St., Youth Worker
Frank S. Fung, 621 Greenwich St., Architect
Jeffrey K. Mor, 827 24th Ave., Youth Program Administrator

VICTOR A. MALIK
My address is 824 22nd St.
My occupation is Captain—San Francisco Security Services.
My age is 44.
My qualifications for office are: I am a resident and registered voter of San Francisco, with in-depth work experience in Management, Finance, Investment Banking, Transportation, Electronics, Telecommunications, and Crime Prevention.
Having lived in Asiatic countries for 10 years, I am able to understand and deal effectively with problems confronting ethnic groups, and I am known by the leaders of the religious denominations in San Francisco.
My best qualification for Supervisor is an unshakable resolve to further financial stability, reduce crime, encourage new housing, promote a strong economy, and restore faith in City Government.

VICTOR A. MALIK

The sponsors for Victor A. Malik are:
Muhammad Abdallah, 561 Fillmore, Sign Painter
Dorothy Babcock, 961 Geneva Ave., Bookkeeper
Kathryn S. Baker, 836 22nd St., House Cleaning
James J. Battaglieri, 1945 Grove St., Hotel Manager
Nick P. Costos, 280 Euclid Ave., Real Estate
Boe Cook, 70 Mercury St., Paymaster
John B. Elford, 1316 Guerrero, Production Planner
Alice M. Fuller, 840 22nd St., Housewife
John F. Funchess, 210 Sullins Ave., Security Officer
Lucy Govea, 315 Duncan St., PBX Operator
Valentina I. Grady, 62 Hether Ave., Retired
Sterling Kyle, 980 Minnesota St., Mechanic
Elizabeth K. Zlatich, 1342 Masonic, Caterer
Florence Zolezzi, 1435 Francisco St., Bookkeeper
Linda D. Malik, 824 22nd St., Sales Person
Lisa Morgret, 630 Octavia St., Secretary
James C. McCarthy, 828 London St., Retired Police Officer
Julia M. McCollough, 840 22nd St., Data Control Clerk
John M. O’Connor, 2515 37th Ave., Retired Sgt. of Police Security
Patricia C. O’Connor, 2515 37th Ave., Telex Operator
Lis R. Ross, 1700 Beach St., Stockbroker
Kathleen G. Ryan, 1367 Francisco St., Hotel Sales Director
Leslie Schirmer, 2130 Stockton St., PBX Operator Chief
Francis M. Shannon, 699 Victoria St., Retired, S.F.P.D.
William M. Snider, 900 Bay St., Director of Security
Maximilian B. Torres, 701 Pine, Investment Broker
Harvey W. Q. Tee, 622 17th Ave., Cleaners
Vernon Ware, 1292 Gilman Ave., Police Officer
Barbara F. Zerikotes, 1664 Locksley Ave., Sales Office Mgr.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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MARJORIE D. MARTIN

My address is 182 Diamond Street.
My occupation is Real Estate.
My qualifications for office are: In 1975, I worked to defeat Z'berg's Casino-gambling Bill, 1976 Dog-Racing, 1977 Cline's State Lottery Bill. I oppose the Peripheral Canal (SB200).
I encourage hiring the finest morally qualified school teachers for San Francisco's school children.
San Francisco is a high unemployment city. Wise city-management would be to hire only San Francisco residents to fill vacancies in the Police, Fire and School Departments.
Municipal jobs for San Francisco residents.
San Francisco needs new solutions to old problems. Constructing a man-made island in the five-fathom bank west of ocean beach for a refuse site, would permanently solve San Francisco's garbage dumping problem.

The Sponsors for Marjorie D. Martin are:
Mary K. Ballard, 67 Caselli Ave.
Helen Beard, 4557 15th St., Retired
Joseph C. Bennett, 46 Yukon, Retired
Joseph M. Covello, 4609 18th St.
Robert G. Cuevas, 4622 18th St.
Helen T. Dele, 2727 Market St., Retired
Josephine S. DeBruno, 39 Collingwood St., Retired
Anna Etzel, 4302 19th St., Housewife
Conecta V. Hoyos, 3960 26th St., Homemaker
Sergio D. Hoyos, 3960 26th St.
Maria Kazer, 2156 Market St., Apt. House Manager
Ruth M. Kidd, 3859 17th St., Retired
Viola Larson, 432 Vicksburg St., Retired
Elsie Marelli, 147 Skyview Way, Homemaker
Stephen A. Martin, 2129 Anza, Fireman
Hazel B. MacFarlane, 1531 Shawell St., Retired
Mary C. McArthur, 281 Sanchez St., Real Estate
Anna Sue Macknay, 274 Hartford, Retired
Evelyn B. O'Brien, 580 Capp St., Retired
Anita Radigonda, 684 Castro St., Retired
Anna Sughrne, 65 Caselli St.
Nora K. Wilson, 25 Sanchez St., Retired
Ada Withrow, 25 Sanchez St., Retired Bookkeeper
Ollie Mae Zak, 25 Sanchez St., Retired Fitter

HAIG G. MARDIKIAN

My address is 2960 Divisadero Street.
My occupation is business man/restaurant
My age is 33.
My qualifications for office are: Born in San Francisco, I've lived and worked here all my life. During 18 months as an elected member of the Charter Revision Commission, I've studied our City's problems closely—and see some ways to solve them, if elected Supervisor. I'm a director of USO, Better Business Bureau, Convention & Visitors' Bureau, Market Street Development Project, the National Conference of Christians & Jews, et al. I'm a graduate of Harvard Business School and I offer a businesslike, commonsense viewpoint. I'm endorsed by San Franciscans to Elect Responsible Supervisors. My wife Constance and I expect our second child in February.

The sponsors for Haig G. Mardikian are:
Robert R. Bucci, 2478 23rd Ave., Attorney
John Barbagelata, 15 San Lorenzo, Businessman
John G. Bosch, 51 Lopez, Merchandise Manager
Marcia Ann Bosch, 51 Lopez, Housewife
Thomas J. Caball, 248 17th Ave., Retired Chief of Police
George Y. Chinn, 1754 31st Avenue, Lawyer
George Christopher, 1170 Sacramento St., Former Mayor of San Francisco
Helen Dawson, 11 Merced Avenue, Real Estate Broker
Lee S. Dolton, 176 Goldmine Dr., Teacher
Don L. Faickerley, 189 Dulewood Way, Banker
Virginia Fusco, 36 Cervantes Blvd., Retired, Secy.—Mayor's Staff
John J. Gray, 80 Cresta Vista Dr., Optical Importer
Ruth Church Gupta, 1910 Green St., Attorney-at-Law
Virginia R. Hamilton, 149 Marview Way, Legal Secretary
Patrick R. Kelly, 330 Castenada Ave., Attorney
Hazel B. Noone, 64 Riverton Dr., Retired
Moe R. Rosenberg, 355 Serrano Dr., Realtor
Michael S. Salamon, 95 Crestlake, Businessman
Mark A. Shustoff, 221 San Jose Ave., Attorney
Bette C. Sullivan, 101 Glenbrook Ave., Housewife
John E. Sullivan, 101 Glenbrook Ave., Attorney
John H. Tolman, Jr., 2200 Pacific Ave., Realtor
Marjorie C. Whelan, 11 Middlefield, Housewife

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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LAURIE McBRIEDE

My address is 926 Cole Street
My occupation is Graphics Consultant, Writer
My age is 31
My qualifications for office are: Priorities: common sense approach to a balanced budget, efficient and effective government, revitalize Market Street, increase public participation in crime prevention, clean-up City parks, focus on innovative solutions to public transportation and parking problems, and initiate a 'public spirit' forum that would work on projects to unify the neighborhoods.

Experience: long time resident who has lived and worked in 5 San Francisco districts. Currently own successful business in the Cole Valley area. As both merchant and resident, I understand the need of our City for a strong and flourishing economy, safety in our streets, and responsiveness in government.

LAURIE McBRIEDE

The sponsors for Laurie McBride are:
Michael N. Barnick, 122 Hermann St., Exec. Secretary USF
Linda Ness Bohlen, 1325 Cole St., Calligraphy School and Gallery Owner
Robert Dawson, 127 Downey St., Renaissance Musician
Faina Ditterhoff, 77 Parmaus St., Cafe Owner
Paul Ditterhoff, 77 Parmaus St., Cafe Owner
Irving D. Fineman, 274 6th Ave., Accountant
Gary Alan Holt, 541 Walter St., Hairgroomer
Thomas S. Hunter, 1778 Page St., Art Broker
Lynn Ann Meisch, 276 Diamond St., Writer
Deborah Mogelberg, 332 Noriega St., Owner-Restaurant
James Moore, 153 Liberty St., Publisher
Brent N. Oliver, 253 Laguna St., Printer
Louis Pappalardo, 1135 Lake St., Small Businessman
Gardner D. Pond, 62 Saturn St., Traffic Management Analyst
Charles E. Probst, 100 Forest Knolls Dr., Antique Dealer
Richard Roesener, 889 Clayton St., Illustrator
Judith Rogers, 1344 Jackson St., Work Analyst for Bank
Richar M. Sux, 51 Sorens Grove Ct., Real Estate Broker
Michelle B. Semidi, 4443 17th St., Publisher
Deborah Udin, 482 Corbett Ave., Partner in Restaurant
Wilfred Wong, 221 26th Ave., Grocery Store Owner
Robert Young, 1933 Cole St., Health Educator

REV. VICTOR L. MEDEARIS

My address is 631 Mendell Street
My occupation is Pastor
My age is 59
My qualifications for office are: Chairman Human Rights Commission, Organizer-Pastor (31 years) San Francisco, Instructor, Lecturer, Radio Broadcaster, Director of youth summer employment, youth summer food program, summer youth camp, Public Relations Officer Clergymen’s Organization, Contractor Compliance Officer.

VICTOR MEDEARIS

The sponsors for Rev. Victor L. Medearis are:
William H. Chest, 432 Gold Mine Dr., Consultant
Ed Turner, 440 Geller Dr., Union Official
G. L. Bedford, 271 Dalewood Way, Clergyman
William Turner, 1464 Portola Dr., Minister
Enola D. Maxwell, 1559 Jerrold Dr., Executive Director
Julie C. Anderson, 575 9th Ave., School Board Commissioner
Howard S. Gloyd, 555 Noriega St., Pastor
Arelous Walker, 1143 Silman Ave., Pastor
Herman W. Young, 210 Brookdale Ave., Labor Representative
D. J. Ridley, 1179 Palou Ave., Pastor
Henry Hitz, 724 McCarthy Ave., Teacher
Joseph Richardson, 895 Faxon St., Pastor
Cecil L. Megriff, 119 Bright St., Attorney-at-Law
Samuel A. Morgan, 958 Haight St., Pastor
L. V. Wade, 959 Oak St., Pastor
Bishop Joseph McCormick, 1371 Weller St., Minister
Joseph Johnson, 1108 Goettingen St., Longshoreman
John C. Bee, 1311 Shafter Ave., Pastor
James Leach, 744 Urbano St., Pastor
Ella Stoneham Brown, 1532 Shafter Ave., Administrator
Terry A. Francois, 20 Taraval St., Attorney
Bishop B. R. Stewart, 734 12th Ave., Minister
George R. Furlough, 479 Orizaba Ave., Barber
Lottie Mayfield, 1542 Shafter Ave., Caterer
The Rev A. C. Ubalde, 929 Duncan St., Clergyman
Gentry Moore, 574 Mangels Ave., Patrolman
James M. Butler, 159 Ramsell St., Pastor

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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JOHN L. MOLINARI
My address is 154 Lombard Street
My occupation is President, Board of Supervisors and Businessman
My age is 44.
My qualifications for office are: Born and raised in San Francisco; married, two children; Lowell High School and University of San Francisco; elected twice citywide and twice from District 3 to Board of Supervisors, currently serving second term as President.
I work closely with residents and neighborhood groups throughout the City to improve all our governmental services. I have worked for improved police protection, new Muni lines, and expanded senior and health services.
My goal is to make certain that essential City services (police, fire, transportation and health) are adequately funded in times of budgetary constraints and that all residents benefit from these vital services.

JOHN L. MOLINARI
The sponsors for John L. Molinari are:
Louise K. Molinari, 154 Lombard Street, Homemaker
Jerry E. Berg, 55 Twin Peaks Boulevard, Past President, Human Rights
Foundation
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway Street, Airport Commissioner/Busi-nessman
Gordon S. Brownell, 1801 Hyde Street, West Coast Director, NORML
Dorothy Marie Casper, 447 Chestnut Street, Homemaker
Agnes I. Chan, 10 Millier Place, Member, Commission on Aging
Vincent J. Courtney, Jr., 2835 34th Avenue, Union Representative
Lilly Cuneo, 3819 Jackson Street, Civic Leader
Steven J. Doh, 1521 Larkin Street, Attorney at Law
Jo Daly, 123 Topaz Way, Member, Police Commission
Harold S. Dobbs, 1000 Mason Street, Attorney at Law
Jesa T. Esteva, 5285 Diamond Heights Boulevard, Publisher, The Mabuhy
Republic
Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon Street, Mayor of San Francisco
John H. Kirkwood, 1655 Green Street, Director, Bay Area Rapid Transit
District
Krikor Krouzian, 485 Marina Boulevard, Retired
Gordon J. Lau, 540 19th Avenue, Attorney at Law
Lim Poon Lee, 1036 Pacific Avenue, Retired Postmaster of San Francisco
Putnam Livermore, 1023 Vallejo Street, Attorney at Law
Cyril Maginn, 999 California Street, Merchant
Charlotte S. Maillard, 2740 Green Street, Civic Leader
Patrick W. McGrew, 1919 Grant Avenue, President, Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board
John B. Molinari, 2555 Larkin Street, Attorney at Law
Eugenia Moscone, 45 St. Francis Boulevard, Homemaker
Marvin N. Nathan, 60 Yerba Buena Avenue, Certified Public Accountant
John Riordan, 150 Commonwealth Avenue, President, San Francisco Community College Board
Michael S. Salario, 95 Crestlake Drive, Businessman
Suren M. Saroyan, 67 San Andreas Way, Attorney at Law
John W. Stark, 2595 Washington Street, Transportation Analyst
Yuri Wada, 565 4th Avenue, Regent, University of California
Samuel W. Walker, 562 Campbell Avenue, Secretary-Treasurer, Transport
Workers Union Local 250-A

KATE MORGAN
My address is 1210 Jones Street
My occupation is Businesswoman
My age is 32.
My qualifications for office are: I was born in San Francisco at St. Mary's Hospital, and grew up in the Sunset. I attended Notre Dame des Victoires (N.D.V.), A.P. Giannini and Galileo.
For five years I was a member of Local #648 and employed by Safeway, working in the Mission and Hunter's Point. For two years I was a legal secretary downtown. Now I am a co-owner, with my husband, of a small business in San Francisco.
I'm not aligned with any special interest group.
I will not tolerate discrimination based on race, religion, gender, age, or sexual preference.
San Francisco is my home and I love it.

KATE MORGAN
The sponsors for Kate Morgan are:
Jeff Brody, 1210 Jones, Business Owner
Winona Adkins, 34 Prospect Ave., Systems Analyst
Carlos Berguido, 2438 California St., Sound Business Owner
John C. W. Carroll, 506 Andover St., Publisher
Pierre Chapman, 1369 Hyde, Advertising Account Executive
John Marshall Dill, 155 Connecticut St., Wholesale
Robert Drury, 565 Bowdoin St., Bank Officer
Elizabeth Anne Elliott, 1220 Page, Word Processor
Douglas E. Grant, 1005 Jones St., Bar Owner
Charles W. Hartman, Jr., 1978 Market, Business Manager
Agnes Lee, 109 16th Ave., Manufacturing
Kristie Nesbit, 1220 Page St., Word Processor
D. Leroy Parks, Jr., 746 14th St., Printer
Monté Reddick, 878 14th St., Businessman
Michael W. Scott, 174 Graystone Terr., Advertising Director
Allen J. Shapiro, 2447 Washington, Professional Artist
Pauline Sheehy, 1435 12th Ave., Sales
Victoria E. Sheehy, 1435 12th Ave., Student
William J. Sheehy, 1435 12th Ave., Construction Superintendent
Lee Thibodeaux, 161 Castro, Graphic Artist
Lynn A. Watsford, 2438 California, Owner
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WENDY NELDER

My address is 150 Casitas.
My occupation is Lawyer.
My qualifications for office are: As your Supervisor, I will be available and responsive to all San Franciscans.

My background uniquely qualifies me for the Board. As a private attorney, I have successfully challenged injustices in city laws. As a Deputy City Attorney, I researched and wrote San Francisco legislation and advised city Boards, Commissions and Officers. As a former Commissioner on the Mayor’s Council for Criminal Justice and as a parent, I want to spearhead a movement to diminish unemployment, improve health and fire protection and transportation and housing, help our senior citizens, and make us safe on the streets and in our homes.

WENDY NELDER

The sponsors for Wendy Nelder are:

Alfred J. Nelder, 150 Casitas Ave., Retired Police Officer
John F. Henning, Jr., 450 Rivera St., Attorney

Ed Turner, 440 Gellert Dr., Union Official
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., Exec. Director, Buchanan YMCA
James J. Ruddan, 148 Chenery St., Corp. Exec.
Ideree Westbrook, 780 Clayton St., Education

Ernest C. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Youth Director
Rose M. White, 306 Delano St., Labor Trust Fund Chairman

Curtis Green, Sr., 1 Farallon St., General Manager Muni

William E. McDonnell, 385 Clattenada Ave., Businessman

Angelo Rolando, 3276 Harrison St., Real Estate Broker
Raymond J. Arau, 440 Urbano Drive, Retired Judge
Burk A. Toler, 581 Orizaba Ave., Education

Eduardo Sandoval, 3735 20th St., Attorney

Joe Sharpe, 1547 43rd Ave., Secretary-Treasurer Retail Clerks Union

Thomas Tong, 846 Clay St., Business

Ernest Mitchell, 133 Gillette St., Administrator

Nick Geraci, 150 St. Elmo Way, Auto Salesman

George R. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member State Board of Equalization

Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr., 433 Bartlett St., University Professor

Mary O. Ganoise, 5715 Diamond Heights Blvd., Pension Analyst

Christopher J. Martin, 710 North Point St., Businessman

James C. O’Meara, Jr., 110 Santa Ana St., Labor Rep.

Eleanor Rossi Crabtree, 1900 Gough St., Housewife

William T. Reed, 2151 18th Ave., Retired City Employee

Jeff Brown, 550 40th Ave., Public Defender CCSF

Herbert P. Lee, 743 Peru Ave., Police Officer

Thomas C. Scanlon, 631 Vicente St., Retired Treasurer

SUSAN PERLMAN

My address is 139 Glenview
My occupation is Secretary
My qualifications for office are: (No Statement of Qualifications filed).

The sponsors for Susan Perlman are:

Kenneth D. Blake, 678 10 Ave., Printer

Patricia Boker, 553-20th Ave., Artist

Albert Stanley Brickner, 2663 25th Ave., Minister

Lois Esther Brickner, 2663 25th Ave., LVN

Deanna Frances Dudley, 1912 23rd Ave., Agent, Public Relations

Jeff Fritz, 50 Woodhaven, Office Manager

Jennelle Howell, 4350 Kirkham, Executive Secretary

Margaret E. Leigh, 4350 Kirkham, Office Worker

Dave Lipkowitz, 2422 16th Ave., Accountant

Joseph B. Midgett, 133 Glenview, Sales Representative

Julianne Marie Univero, 3340 San Bruno, Secretary

Pamela R. O’Shea, 578 Flood St., Receptionist

Denise Popovich, 7 Riverton Drive, Tepperware Dealer

Toni L. Reagan, 3801 Irving St., Secretary

Neil Rosen, 42 Berkeley Way, Writer

Moishe Rosen, 42 Berkeley Way, Minister

Susan Rudolph, 60 Haight St., Accountant

Matthew Stieger, 1387 19th Ave., Writer M.S.

Sara E. Sims, 1912 23rd Ave., Purchasing/Personnel Director

Jerry Starks, 2422 16th Ave., Supervisor Word Processing

Susan J. Turner, 3801 Irving, Public Relations Agent

Luther Walker, 343 Steiner St., Clerk

James H. Warnings, 1387 19th Ave., Executive Secretary

Keesha Warnock, 1387 19th Ave., Department Manager-Public Relations

Jannie-Sue Wethsein, 2382 48th Ave., Office Worker

Steven P. Wertheim, 2382 48th Ave., Administrative Asst.

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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DENNIS PERON

My address is 3745 17th Street.
My occupation is Agricultural Products Distributor.
My age is 34.
My qualifications for office are: IS MARIJUANA A MINOR ISSUE? Tell the police who still beat, bunt and shoot to enforce anti-pot laws. For running my marijuana supermarket, I still carry a policeman’s bullet in my leg. Marijuana won’t be a minor issue when you or your friends are busted. Yes, I’m a one-issue candidate—which is one issue more than most politicians have. For years I’ve opposed crimeless crimes—especially through the victorious Marijuana Initiative. I’m running not to win election, but to make a statement of principle, of idealism. (Yes on N.) Save one of your votes for yourself, for a principle—for Dennis Peron.

DENNIS PERON

The sponsors for Dennis Peron are:

Theodore O. Aldrich, 806 14th St., Post
Priscilla J. Alexander, 139 Collingwood St., Journalist
Rachel Aminoff, 3528 19th St., Administrative Asst.
Robert W. Barnes, Jr., 28 Florentine St., Neighborhood Activist
Patrick Brady, 3745 17th St., Carpenter
Suzanne Brodeur, 3468 16th St., Mother
Samuel A. Burge, 1588 Market St., Novelty Shop
Thomas R. Flynn, 284 Frederick St., Chef
Ben Gardiner, 159 Coe St., Word Processor
Eric Garris, 44 Prospect Ave., Marijuana Reform Activist
John N. Harris, 4116 17th St., Salesman
Amber Hollibaugh, 3800 17th St., Bookstore Owner
Jeff Jones, 3870 24th St., Management Consultant
Arthur M. Morris III, 4076 17th St., Administrative Aide
Marianne McCarty, 186 Noe St., Waitress
Gerry F. Parker II, 2270 Market St., Union Hotel Desk Clerk
Grimes Poznikor, 540 Alabama St., Street Musician, Teacher
Seena Reese, 427 Rasmann St., Nurse
Michael Rosenthal, 3348 21st St., Bookseller
Alton Russell, 4133 17th St., Salesperson
Randy M. Shillia, 19 Liberty St., Journalist
Michael Sean Smith, 84 Athens St., Gardener
Kenneth S. Tray, 241 Jersey St., Unemployed Milieu Therapist
E. M. Upchurch, 1329 Ripley St., Information Scientist
David Waldron, Jr., 176 Collins St., Carpenter
David Weissman, 1677 Haight St., Housing Activist
Dennis S. Wilczynski, 278 Noe St., Manager, Rosenberg’s Deli

LOUISE RENNE

My address is 3725 Jackson Street.
My occupation is Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors.
My age is 43.
My qualifications for office are: As 1980 Chairperson, Finance Committee, I shepherded the toughest post-Proposition 13 budget through City Hall—protecting vital services during high inflation while cutting wasteful expenditures. I played a leadership role in creating the Rent Arbitration Board for fair housing policy—the only Supervisor to establish a “Housing Hotline.”

My prior experience includes Deputy Attorney General (11 years), Criminal and Environmental Units; argued cases in Supreme Court; President, California Women Lawyers.

As an effective Supervisor, I pledge to continue my hard work, to act responsibly and compassionately, and to speak honestly on the critical issues effecting all San Francisco.

LOUISE RENNE

The sponsors for Louise Renne are:

Honorable Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut, Assemblyman
Jerry E. Berg, 55 Twin Peaks Blvd., Attorney
Honorable Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Business Investor
Honorable Willie Brown, Jr., 1524 Masonic, Assemblyman
Donald Casper, 447 Chestnut, Attorney
Rita T. Esteva, 3285 Diamond Heights, Newspaper Publisher
Honorable Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon Street, Mayor
Herman E. Gallegos, 149 Ripley Street, Business Consultant
Milton M. Gilmore, 1333 Jones Street, Public Accountant
Richard N. Goldman, 3700 Washington, Insurance Broker
Betty Lim Quinnae, 409 – 35th Ave., Public Administrator
Anne W. Halsted, 1308 Montgomery, Vice President, Personnel
Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores, Sheriff
Aileen C. Hernandez, 820 – 47th Avenue, Urban Consultant
Leroy King, 73 Tampa Lane, Warehouseman
Jude Leaps, 4426 19th St., Mgr., Engineer-Construction Company
Lin Poon Lee, 1036 Pacific Ave., Retired, J. US Postmaster
Marilym Levine, 1366 Guerrero Street, Teacher
Honorable Thomas C. Lynch, 98 Clarendon, Retired Attorney General
Phyllis A. Lyon, 631 Duncan, Educator
Esther Marks, 125 Upper Terrace, Professional Volunteer
Honorable Leo T. McCarthy, 400 Magellan Avenue, Assemblyman
Eugenia Moscone, 45 St. Francis Blvd., Homemaker
Jane McKaskle Murphy, 2255 Washington, Police Commissioner
George R. Reilly, 2774 – 34th Ave., Member, 1st District Bd. of Equalization
Jeanne C. Schmidt, 672 Brunswick, Community Leader
Marion Sills, 2416 – 15th Street, Community Activist
Samuel B. Stewart, 2288 Broadway, Retired Bank Chairman
Doris R. Thomas, 1293 Stanyan, Adm. Asst. to Congressman Phillip Burton
Yoli Wada, 565 – 4th Ave., Buchanan YMCA Executive Director

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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DAVID A. ROBERTS
My address is 2485 - 23rd Ave.
My occupation is Administrator.
My qualifications for office are: I will work to make "everybody's favorite city," San Francisco, a good place to live and to work. It is already a good place to visit.

To do this we must control the cost of government and we must be sure that city services are fairly distributed. Public transportation, health services, fire and police protection, and educational services all can be improved to better meet the needs of San Francisco’s citizens.

Our city needs more jobs, more housing, better services for our retired citizens, and better solutions to San Francisco's problems of the 1980's. As your supervisor, I will work to make San Francisco the place to live and work—as well as to visit.

DAVID A. ROBERTS

The sponsors for David A. Roberts are:

Jaclyne Zedman Roberts, 2485 23rd Ave., Teacher
Gary Koppel, 470 20th Ave., Attorney
Karen M. Fishkin, 10 Hemway Terr., Social Worker
Jeanne L. Wong, 7226 Gary Blvd., Secretary
Marjorie A. G. Brenn, 19 Skyview Way, Teacher
Lilia A. Herrera, 2431 33rd Ave., R. N.
Diane K. Mulherin, 3450 Broderick, Court Reporter
Lawrence P. Burg, 3810 Sacramento St., Librarian
Melvin L. Segal, 2027 Jefferson, Businessman
Joanne Godmitz, 5681 Diamond Heights, Registered Nurse
Robert E. Jacobs, 44 Morningside Dr., Retired Attorney
Joyce Garvey, 663 Dolores, Unemployed Secretary
Barbara M. Andrew, 3055 Webster St., Teacher
Katherine Godmitz, 5681 Diamond Heights Blvd. Programmer-Analyst
Mark Alan Gordon, 645 4th Ave., Student
Rita E. Jacobs, 44 Morningside Dr., Housewife (retired Teacher)
Roger M. Mason, 558 36th Ave., Law Student
Dorothy D. McClellan, 2200 44th Ave., Library Assistant
Bruce Wilson, 1741 Newhall St., Paper Handler, Supv.
Wellington McClellan, 2200 44th Ave. Research & Design Services
Joan F. Wilson, 1741 Newhall St., Student

FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA
My address is 1627 Beach Street.
My occupation is Attorney at Law.
My age is 38.
My qualifications for office are: I am a native San Francis-
can, who attended St. Ignatius High School, Georgetown
University and the University of California. I have confined
my practice of the law to prosecuting antitrust claims and
Federal energy matters on behalf of consumers and small
businesses. During my legal career, I have been responsible,
in part, for requiring major corporations to return millions of
dollars to consumers and/or small businesses. In prosecuting
such complex litigation, I have developed certain skills that I
believe will enable me to carry out the duties of a Supervisor
to the fullest extent possible.

FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA

The sponsors for Francis O. Scarpulla are:

Joseph J. Allen, 2186 36th Ave., Businessman
Frank N. Alioto, 2898 Vallejo St., Restaurant Owner
John J. Barbagelata, 15 San Lorenzo St., Businessman (Ret’d Supv)
John Francis Digardi, 2080 Vallejo, Attorney At Law
Martin H. Eber, 354 30th Ave., Attorney
Terry A. Franco, 20 Taraval St., Attorney At Law
Vernon Kaufman, 1000 Chestnut, Merchant
Leo Paul Koulos, 912 Marina Blvd., Business Exec.
Albert E. Maggio, 3050 24th Ave., Banker
Dorothy O. Maggio, 3050 24th Ave., Housewife
Thomas J. Mellon, Jr., 450 Liberty St., Attorney
Karen M. Mondon, 1627 Beach St., Accountant
S. E. Ohoroto, 45 Normandie Terr., Engineer
Bernard A. Oral, 500 Magellan St., Business Mgt.
James M. Peterson, 662 Clayton St., Office Manager
Angelina A. Prezi, 3330 Baker St., Homemaker
Joseph C. Prezi, 3330 Baker St., Physician
Arthur L. Roth, 2000 Jackson St., Management Consultant
Antoinette Scarpulla, 3625 Baker St., Homemaker
Francis V. Scarpulla, 3625 Baker St., Retired
Stephen V. Scarpulla, 3625 Baker St., Attorney
Vita Scarpulla, 1633 Beach St., Homemaker
James A. Seetena, 161 Saint Elmo Way, Board Chairman
Raymond C. Wilhelm, 95 Casa Way, Retired
Stephanie A. Wilhelm, 95 Casa Way, Restaurant Owner

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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DAVID SCOTT

My address is 3360 Market Street.
My occupation is San Francisco Commissioner.
My age is 45.
My qualifications for office are: David Scott, former Bank Vice President, would bring real expertise to the Board of Supervisors which now expects over $1 Billion each year.
David Scott, having served as President of the Permit Appeals Board, recognizes the necessity for new housing and business while preserving the character and tranquility of our neighborhoods.
David Scott, following the last Mayoral campaign, was appointed by Mayor Feinstein as Public Utilities Commissioner. He energetically applied his knowledge supporting public transit improvement and seeking income from the vast properties of the PUC.
David Scott will be your strong voice as Supervisor. Please vote for David Scott.

The sponsors for David Scott are:
Jerry G. Berg, 53 Twin Peaks Blvd., Director, Human Rights Foundation
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, President, Airport Commission
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1524 Masonic, Attorney, Legislator
Jeff Brown, 850 40th Ave., Public Defender
Agapito R. Cerbatos, 375 Arguello Blvd., Member, Bd. of Permit Appeals
William K. Cobblenta, 1955 5th Ave., Airport Commissioner
Leonard J. Call-Chua, 46 Ross Ave., President, Rent Board
Anne B. Daley, 795 Geary, Secretary, Central Committee
Carlotta T. Del Portillo, 84 Berkeley Way, Civil Service Commissioner
Jo Daly, 132 Topaz Way, Member S.F. Police Commission
Douglas Engmann, 408 Stanyan St., Vice-President, Board of Permit Appeals
Frederick Furth, 716 El Camino del Mar, Attorney
Richard J. Guggenheim, 26 Presidio Ter, Pres., Bd. of Permit Appeals
Anne W. Halsted, 1308 Montgomery, Chair, Open Space Committee
Lim Poon Lee, 1036 Pacific Ave., Retired Postmaster of S.F.
Peter Meley, 3382 Clay, Member, Board of Education
Gary F. Parker Jr., 2270 Market, Pres., Stonewall Political Club
Hadley R. Roff, 2990 Castro St., Deputy Mayor of San Francisco
S. Santos, 1993 15th Ave., Business executive
R. Bob Schmidt, 4082 21st St., Pres., Political League
Joseph C. Tarantino, 2427 Boy St., Retired
Yuri Wada, 565 4th Ave., U.C. Regent
Elouise Westbrook, 152 Maddux Ave., Commissioner, Housing Authority
A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hilratis Ave., Minister of Glide Church

CAROL RUTH SILVER

My address is 68 Ramona Avenue.
My occupation is San Francisco Supervisor, Attorney, and Mother.
My age is 41.
My qualifications for office are: I am: An incumbent San Francisco Supervisor, Lawyer, working mother, and taxpayer;
   Environmentalist—energetically supported cleaning up our water, garbage and air;
   Progressive—supported the rights to fair wages and working conditions, to parks, decent housing at affordable prices, and streets free of violence and litter;
   I support district elections.
   Business oriented—supported management reforms in San Francisco's antiquated bureaucracy, and protection of San Francisco's jobs and businesses.
   Human rights activist—from the Freedom rides (1960) to Delano (1965), to San Francisco's jails (1972) to the fight against the Briggs Initiative (1978).
   Responsible and responsive—I will listen to you.

The sponsors for Carol Ruth Silver are:
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., State Assemblyman
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Business-Investor
Al Borive, 234 Gates St., Attorney-at-law
John B. Brouillon, 1514 Lake St., Painting Contractor
Willie L. Brown Jr., 1524 Masonic Ave., Attorney & Legislator
Phillip Butler, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member, United States Congress
Grace A. Cashin, 143 Duncan St., Founder, Latin-American Nat. Senior Citizens Assn.
Robert Cramer, 779 Vermont St., Barber
Vincent Courtney, 2835 34th Ave., Union Representative
M. Oletia Davila, 2691 45th Ave., Housewife
Alejandro A. Esclamado, 390 Yerba Buena Ave., Publisher, Philippine News
Jane T. Esteva, 5285 Diamond Hts Blvd., Publisher—The Mabuhay Republic
Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor of San Francisco
Frederick P. Furth, 710 El Camino del Mar, Attorney
Garry M. Goodlett, 2060 O'Farrell St., Physician
John Michael Hennessy, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Henry Imanizaki, 321 Chestnut, Program Executive
William J. Kraus, 610 Haight St., Pres., Harvey Milk Gay (Political) Club
William S. Leong, 1469 12th Ave., Executive Director, S.F. Pretrial Diversification Project
Charles L. Morris, 137 Juanita St., Publisher
Jack D. Morrison, 44 Woodland Ave., Management Consultant
Jane McGaskles Murphy, 2255 Washington St., Police Commissioner
Amy Stommeyer O'Brien, 530 Avalon Ave., Business Manager
Bernard D. Pechter, 868 Union St., Stockbroker
Eduardo Sandoval, 3735 20th St., Attorney-at-law
Lilian K. Sing, 3005 Jackson St., Att'y, & Community College Bd. Member
Walter J. Stack, 741 Kansas St., Pres. Dolphin Club, Hot carrier
Raphael V. Tullinofra, 2238 Hyde St., Broadcaster
Julie Tang, 788 18th Ave., Educator/Counselor
Joan Marie Towney, 2026 Lawton St., Labor Press Representative

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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STAN SMITH
My address is 411 Felton Street.
My occupation is Secretary-Treasurer of San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
My age is 49.
My qualifications for office are: As Secretary-Treasurer of the San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council since 1975, I have represented 12,000 workers. My priorities have been to put people to work, help in constructing buildings where thousands are, and will be, employed, and establish training programs for those who want to work.
The Board needs someone who comes from the working class, who intimately understands the problems of this majority and who will work to promote progressive growth for workers and business.
I will not only support, but actively represent, all working people—and all those who want the opportunity to live and work here. 

STANLEY M. SMITH

The sponsors for Stanley M. Smith are:
Kathleen Maas, 15 Hearst Ave., Apprenticeship Consultant
Phillip Burton, 8 Shot Blvd., United States Congressman
Leo T. McCarthy, 400 Magellan Ave., Assemblyman
Milan Marks, 55 Jordan Ave., State Senator
Jeff Brown, 850 40th Ave., Public Defender
Thomas C. Scanlon, 631 Vicente., Retired Treasurer of San Francisco
Lee S. Dolson, 176 Gold Mine Dr., Teacher
Kathryn G. McKenna, 632 3rd Ave., Personnel Clerk
Eleanor Yaranon, 1713 Sanchez St., Assistant Director App. Opportunities
Andrew O. Leong, 1034 Powell St., Accountant
Harry Bridges, 35 Kronquist St., Retired Labor Official
James C. Stone, 1091 Montgomery St., Investment Advisor
Hector E. Rueda, 341 Santa Ana Ave., Elevator Constructor/Union Official
Joseph P. Mazzola, 127 Lakeshore Dr., Labor Official
Joseph O’Sullivan, 107 Ottowa St., Retired Labor Official
George Ando, 2707 Jackson St., Labor Rep.
Charles Lamb, 512 Van Ness Ave., Labor Official
Michael E. Hardeman, 329 Wawona St., Union Official
George L. Newkirk, 554 Brussels St., Director Contract Compliance
Ed Turner, 440 Gellert Dr., Union Official
George Anthon Echavarri, 78 Onondaga Ave., Field Representative/ A.O.F. Inc.
Ricardo Hernandez, 40 Harper St., Assistant to the Mayor
Beastie Duncaen, 737 High St., Legislative Aide
James T. Ferguson, 1850 Powell St., Fire Fighter

ROGER SOBEL
My address is 2328 Vallejo Street.
My occupation is Urban Planner.
My age is 53.
My qualifications for office are: Father of two, widower, member of a pioneer San Francisco Family, graduate of San Francisco Public Schools and San Francisco State, former Athletic Director at Town School and Lick-Wilmerding, former realtor, presently an urban planner; actively involved in the Jewish Community.
Since 1972, I’ve coordinated a program for the Council of Civic Unity with property management companies and owners to eliminate discrimination against and to provide housing for poor and minority citizens. In 1978, I organized a campaign against the Briggs Amendment in empathy of those of different life styles.
I will be a Supervisor, full time, for all San Franciscans. 

ROGER SOBEL

The sponsors for Roger Sobel are:
Marilyn Borovoy, 235 Santa Ana Ave., Professional Volunteer
Raymond I. Brown, 726 Lake St., Real Estate Broker
William H. Chester, 432 Gold Mine Dr., Consultant
Arnold A. Cual, 178 Roy St., Accounting Technician, Phililpino Masonic Lodge Official
Anne Belisle Duley, 795 Geary St., Consultant
Hermogenes V. Datu, 3947 Army St., Businessman, Phililpino Community Activities
Leonia P. Datu, 3947 Army St., Teacher, ex-Treasurer, Phililpino Teacher Assn.
Harold S. Dobbs, 1000 Mason St., Attorney
Ann Elias, 3074 Pacific Ave., Consultant
Cantor Martin Feldman, 115 28th Ave., Clergy
David Fleishhacker, 3424 Jackson St., Headmaster, K. D. Burke School
Thomas L. Hinesberg, 3516 16th St., Bookstore Owner
C. David Kultman, 1750 Sacramento St., Counselor
Jack A. S. Lee, 1979 42nd Ave., Real Estate & Community Leader
K. C. Lee, 1110 Jackson St., President of "Chinese Six Companies"
D. S. Llewellyn, 1141 Chestnut St., Publisher
Alexander M. Mains, 265 Castro St., Development & Finance
William Moskowitz, 1177 California St., Retired, Golden Gate Bridge District Director
George Wah Ong, 52 Almaden Ct., Insurance Executive, Chinese Community Leader
Abellardo M. Pucia, 1411½ Guererro St., Storekeeper, Hotel Labor Leader
Gloria Pass, 224 32nd Ave., Chamber of Commerce, Mercury Award, Cultural Arts
George K. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member State Board of Equalization
Gerard Rhine, 2160 Jackson St., Business Management
John B. Ritchie, 2 Presidio Terrace, Property Management
Benjamin A. Savelli, 138 Clearfield Dr., Insurance Broker
A. John Shimmon, 19 Middlefield Dr., Administrative Assistant
Carlisle Sobel, 271 31st Ave., CPA
Josephine Tevis, 2650 Scott St., Housewife
T. W. Washington, 35 Aporto St., Realtor
Dr. Thomas W. S. Wu, 598 38th Ave., Dentist, Community Leader

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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TOM STEVENS

My address is 426 41st Ave.
My occupation is Businessman.
My qualifications for office are: Objectives: Make San Francisco homes, streets, buses and parks safe by increased police protection. Improve essential services in all neighborhoods. Hold down housing costs. Guarantee our city's beauty by controlling downtown growth. Work for financial solvency and a strong economy with jobs for San Franciscans. Methods: Be alert and responsive to the needs of all citizens. Make laws to protect, not hinder. Approve only essential spending. Develop new revenue by taxing all non-resident workers in San Francisco. Experience: President, two small San Francisco corporations with 20 years administrative experience. Home owner (former renter) taxpayer and concerned citizen working for a better San Francisco.

The sponsors for Thomas J. Stevens are:
Robert J. Herkens, M.D., 422 41st Ave., Physician
James A. Walsh, 142 3rd Ave., Financial Counselor
Stephanie A. Suacci, 121 Balboa St., Housewife
Russ G. McKeever, 142 Dorchester St., Decorator
Carole E. Stevens, 426 41st Ave., Manager
Gussie T. Steele, 310 Stanyan St., Social Worker
Dolores M. Priem, 310 Stanyan St., Program Officer
John P. Walsh, 731 33rd Ave., Artist
Partricia Grell Herkens, 422 41st Ave., Medicine Technologist
Julia L. Schiller, 5330 Diamond Heights, Sales Representative
Jovanna Rudiall, 4220 Army St., Secretary/Service
Margorie Schermer, 174 Downey St., Waitress
William R. Stovall, 310 Stanyan St., Retired
Pauline M. Fisher, 310 Stanyan St., Housewife
Miriam Bastes, 2601 Sacramento St., Realtor
Helen E. Boyle, 310 Stanyan St., Housewife
Viola L. Falchetti, 120 Fumberton Place, Salesperson
Nicholas Rizzo, 203 Masonic Ave., Sales Representative
Ruth Stovall, 310 Stanyan St., Real Estate Broker
Ethel Ray Nance, 310 Stanyan St., Retired
Arminda E. Suacci, 121 Balboa St., Retail Clothing
Gladyss L. Pannell, 310 Stanyan St., Administrative Asst.
Dorothy E. Harper, 310 Stanyan St., Secretary
Lowell H. Brinson, 310 Stanyan St., U.S. Govt. Worker

NANCY STROHL

My address is 3300 Folsom Street.
My occupation is Community Organizer.
My qualifications for office are: A decade of involvement in struggles to make San Francisco a better city: Chairwoman of largest San Francisco grassroots organization fighting to tax the corporations, and against cutbacks and contracting out of city services; Experience in unionizing women clerical workers; Coordinator of Downtown Peace Coalition; Active in GI movement for peace in Bay Area and Southeast Asia; I attended Pitzer College.

As Supervisor I would represent the interests of the working class majority and work to make city government open and responsible to the people. I support Proposition M, the Policy Declaration to bring tax justice and save desperately needed services.

The sponsors for Nancy Strohl are:
Joy Bonds-Baird, 1429 Dolores St., Speech Therapist
Diane Berstrom, 3175 16th St., Bookseller
Benito Byrd, 901 Scout St., Nurse aide
Patricia Cassinelli, 3348 22nd St., File Clerk
Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista Way, Operating Engineer, Park & Recreation Dept.
Katherine Cole, 4335 Army St., College Instructor, Retired
Eugene Coleman, 239 Ramsell St., Administrator
Joel Feder, 130 Ankeny St., Student at S. F. State
Denise F. Finch, 348 16th St., Nursing Student
John William Lorenzini, 587 Burnett St., Accountant
Carlos Garza, 312 Fillmore St., Student
Rachel A. Heyman, 3677 18th St., Customer Engineer
Edmund T. Howard, 320 Clementine St., Retired Poet
Margaret M. Hylton, 526 Ellis St., Retired
Sam Jordan, 4006 3rd St., Caterer
Rev. O. V. Lutin, 507 4th Ave., Pastor
Mary Lou Lovett, 724 Castro St., Office Manager
Beulah March, 52 Manchester St., Retired
John F. Martin, Jr., 2047 15th St., Night Auditor, Hotel Industry
Peter Patrick Mendelson, 34 Rausch St., Housing Developer/Non-Profit
Karen J. Nutter, 1364 Potrero St., Restaurant Worker
Lori C. Palomaria, 55 Moss St., Housewife
Virginia Payonggayong, 45 Newton St., Family Health Worker
Curtis Pennington, 1503 Quesada Ave., Retired
Paul R. Pierson, 25 Belvedere St., Secretary
Brendan F. Quilter, 3423 22nd St., Student
Davel Saylor, 142 Sanchez St., Clerk
Priscilla M. Scott, 243 Louisburg, Project Director, Family Day Care

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
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OLGA TALAMANTE

My address is 1086 Capp Street.
My occupation is Childcare Coordinator.
My qualifications for office are: Graduate-UC Santa Cruz; Community Organizer, United Farmworkers Union; Founder and coordinator, Argentine Commission for Human Rights; National Coordinator, Human Rights Office, American Friends Service Committee; Parent Involvement Coordinator for Mission Head Start.

I believe it is the duty of government to respond to the human needs of working people in our communities and to provide fundamental community services. Through the campaigns to tax the big corporations, I have fought for this principle. In that same spirit, I will work for Proposition M and for the funding necessary to make San Francisco a liveable city for us all.

OLGA TALAMANTE

The sponsors for Olga Talamante are:

Prudencio Buenaluz, 58 Moss St., City Porter
(Mr) Joyce Chapman, 145 Bella Vista St., Operating Engineer, Park & Recreation Dept.
Tony Colon, 867 Trest Ave., Bilingual Trainer
Gordon H. Tini, 307 Persia St., Employment Counselor
Maureen Hylton, 312 Fillmore St., Clerical-Receptionist
Birney W. Jones, Sr., 222 Sweeney St., File Clerk
Sam Jordan, 4006 3rd St., Caterer
Rev. O. V. Latin, 507 45th Ave., Pastor
Susan A. Lawson, 3648 21st St., Bookkeeper
Shirley Love, 1395 Golden Gate Ave., Child Care Director
Beverly Magnuson, 614 Nee St., Activities Director, Non-Profit Organization
George G. Melendez, 326 Duncan St., Tailor
Patty Mizutani, 3601 23rd St., Physician Assistant
Lori C. Palomaria, 55 Moss St., Housewife
Virginia Payongayong, 45 Newton St., Family Health Worker
Robert L. Pizzi, 3670 19th St., Draftsman
James Pless, 173 Clipper St., Actuary
Eugene Ramirez, 190 Emmet Ct., Legal-Cultural Workers
Sylvia R. Ramirez, 190 Emmet Ct., Law Student, Mother
Nancy A. Record, 102 Fillmore St., Educator
Shad Riddick, 1745 Grove St., Pastor
David G. Roche, 3884 24th St., Childcare Counselor
Priscilla M. Scott, 243 Louisberg St., Project Director Family Day Care
Emma Tingling, 550 Holloway Ave., Housewife
Manuel Zamora, 1130 Shotwell St., Maintenance Man

PAT VOULGARIS

My address is 126 Eucalyptus.
My occupation is Business Woman.
My qualifications for office are: During the past 12 years I have continually involved myself in community affairs to improve the quality of life for all citizens through membership and participation in The Women's Forum of San Francisco, League of Women Voters, Alice B. Toklas Group, Italian Catholic Federation, Daughters of Penelope, West Portal Association, and the Museum Society.

I believe there are solutions to the major problems confronting our citizens and that I can make a significant contribution. My involvement in community affairs, education at the University of Chicago, and long business experience uniquely qualifies me for the Office of Supervisor.

PAT VOULGARIS

The sponsors for Pat Voulgaris are:

Joseph Alioto, 2463 39th Ave., Wholesale Food Sales
Pauline Alioto, 2463 39th Ave., Housewife
Robert W. Barnes, Jr., 28 Floreline, Neighborhood Activist
Max W. Bushner, 2262 48th Ave., Ironworker Foreman
Ruby Bushner, 2262 48th Ave., Clerk/Typist
Lena T. Catanzaro, 2157 Greenwich, Bakery Sales
Mary Catanzaro, 28 Arago St., X-Ray Technician
Mike I. Catanzaro, 2157 Greenwich St., Security Guard
Vincent A. Catanzaro, 28 Avago St., Police Officer
Josephine M. Clima, 2075 Greenwich, Business Owner
L. P. De Martini, 2306 25th Ave., Engr.
Mary Louise DeMartini, 2306 25th Ave., CRT Operator
Walter R. Emets, 188 Eureka St., Retired
James Gray, 1351 48th Ave., Taxi Operator
Alfred Guaraglia, 2491 37th Ave., Retired
Marie Guaraglia, 2491 37th Ave., Housewife
Gus A. Mamis, 1240 Funston, Chef
Eileen O'Sullivan, 2491 21st Ave., Registered Nurse
Patrick O'Sullivan, 2491 21st Ave., Auto Mechanic
Robert W. Perkins, Jr., 700 Church, Psychologist
Susan C. Ramsey, 110 Faith, Cab Driver
Florence T. Rubin, 1050 North Point, Retired
Mario F. Rubin, 1050 North Point, Accountant
Louis Tiano, 514 Chestnut, Retired
William Voulgaris, 126 Eucalyptus, Mechanic
Richard Wiener, 312 Duncan, Taxi Driver

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR

KEVIN WADSWORTH
My address is 609 Burnett Avenue Apt.9.
My occupation is government affairs.
My age is 32.
My qualifications for office are: Ever since my first active campaign on behalf of a candidate in 1970, I have worked hard in order to prepare myself for public office. I have served with the United States Senate, as a city administrator, and as a chamber government and neighborhood affairs specialist. My free time is spent working for various organizations and neighborhood groups. I believe myself to be open and honest—able to listen, to learn, and work towards a goal. I believe that your representatives should be more than public relations experts. There is work to be done. I wish to do it.

The sponsors for Kevin Wadsworth are:
Gordon H. Armstrong, 810 Gonzales Dr., Attorney
Ramos T. Barnes, 28 Florentine St., Housewife
Robert W. Barnes, 28 Florentine St., Machinist
Allan B. Bennett, 1650 Page St., Rabbi
Jerry E. Berg, 55 Twin Peaks Blvd., Attorney
Agnes L. Chan, 10 Miller Place, Commission on Aging
Hydie Downard, 716 walls St., Waitress
Sam Duc, 16 Wawona St., Assessor
Jim N. Dyer, 4324 18th St., Pastor
Lawrence V. Eppinette, 59 Wood St., Financial Analyst
Mark Bernard Feldman, 174 Liberty St., College Administrator
Lucy Ann Geiselman, 645 44th Ave., Academic Administrator
Larry E. Hughes, 118 Connecticut St., Coordinator, Police Comm.
Awareness
Paul E. Johnson, 609 Burnett Ave., Supervisor Computer Operations
Mona Kim-Brown, 1460 8th Ave., Child Life Therapist
Judith Lynch, 352 Sanchez St., Instructor-Author
Cynthia Neff, 1050 Masonic Ave., Merchant
Constance C. Patterson, 36 Buena Vista Terrace, Public Relations
Agnes F. Pfichardt, 180 Liberty St., School Teacher
Hadie Redd, 476 Jost Ave., Board Member, Local 292
Jim Ross, 3936 17th St., Cab Driver
Bob Schmidt, 4048 21st St., Pres., Political League
Sabira Scant, 940 Guerrero, Secretary
Karl W. Sapke, 290 Roosevelt Way, Real Estate
Judy Viders, 3953 25th St., Psychologist
Norman Eugene Woodruff, 452 Roosevelt Way, Broadcaster

NANCY G. WALKER
My address is 228 Anderson Street.
My occupation is Member, Board of Supervisors.
My age is 40.
My qualifications for office are: I have kept the promises I made during my campaign for Supervisor. I have represented the interests of working people. I will continue to work to: make San Francisco a safe, healthy, economically sound and affordable place for families, single people, young and old to live and work; make our parks and streets clean and safe; assure accessible, affordable health care and public transportation; create good working conditions for city employees so they are more productive; develop neighborhood businesses; equitably distribute police and fire services; develop jobs for our unemployed and productive activities for our children and youth.

The sponsors for Nancy Walker are:
Phillip Burton, 8 Sloan Blvd., Member U.S. Congress
Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor of San Francisco
Harry Briti, 3622 16th St., Member Board of Supervisors
(John) Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores St., Sheriff of San Francisco
Luis Paul Alberti, 242 Staples Ave., Community organizer
Elizabeth H. Anello, 176 Julian Ave., Social Worker
Bernaad Averbuch, 59 Rivoli St., Public Relations
Al Borvize, 234 Gates St., Attorney-at-Law
Leon Buscher, 537 10th Ave., Firefighter
Vincent J. Courtney, Jr., 2835 34th Ave., Union Representative
Ina F. Dearman, 217 Upper Terrace, Planning Commissioner
Douglas J. Engmann, 408 Stanley St., Options Market-maker, Member, Board of Permit Appeals
Jesse T. Estova, 5285 Diamond Heights Blvd., Publisher-Mabuhay Republic
Carlton Benjamin Goodlett, M.D., 2060 O'Farrell St., Physician & Publisher
Henry Jefferson, 27 William St., Area Manager
Anne Kronenberg, 307 Arlington St., Management Analyst
Gordon J. Lau, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
William Leong, 1469 12th Ave., Executive Director, S.F. Pretrial Diversion Project
Robert McDonnell, 220 Guerrero St., Union Business Representative
Michael D. Nolan, 196 Bocana St., Public Relations Director
Gina Pennestri, 1324 Clayton St., Aide to Congr. John Burton
Lucio C. Raymundo, 706 Faxon Ave., Prof., Civil Engineer
Alan M. Ravnick, 175 Molino Dr., Attorney
Anthony G. Succo, 125 Osgo Ave., Lt., S. F. Fire Dept.
Thelma Shelley, 70 Everett St., Assistant Director
Lillian K. Sing, 3005 Jackson St., Community College Trustee
Eleanor K. Spinks, 1362 Fulton St., Urban Affairs Consultant
Yori Wada, 564 4th Ave., Executive Director Buchan YMCA
Albert Walla, Jr., 150 Laidley St., Teacher of Deaf
A. Cecil Williams, 60 Hiliritas St., Minister of Glide Church

Statements are volunteered by the candidates and have not been checked for accuracy.
CANDIDATES FOR SUPERVISOR

DORIS M. WARD

My address is 440 Davis Court, #1409.
My occupation is Supervisor.
My qualifications for office are: Present member of the Board of Supervisors. Twice elected to city-wide Community College Board.
I have fought for improved city services in Fire and Police protection, housing, health and transportation. I am deeply concerned with the quality of life in San Francisco, from child care for the very young to senior services for our elderly. I believe our port must be developed, and our employment opportunities increased. As Supervisor, I have supported these issues. I believe these are city-wide problems.
I have been available to all constituents through community advisory committees and open office hours.

DORIS M. WARD

The sponsors for Doris M. Ward are:
Dianne Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Mayor of San Francisco
Phillip Burton, 8 Sloat Blvd., Member, United States Congress
Leo T. McCarthy, 400 Magellan Ave., Assemblyman, Speaker
Art Agnos, 637 Connecticut St., State Assemblyman
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1524 Masonic St., State Assemblyman
John Michael Hennessey, 1490 Dolores, Sheriff of San Francisco
Lillian K. Sing, 3005 Jackson, Community College Board Member
Joy Daly, 123 Topaz Way, Police Commissioner
Jane McKeagle Murphy, 2255 Washington St., Police Commissioner
James A. Rivaldo, 430 Steiner St., Coastal Commissioner
Yuri Wada, 565 4th Ave., YMCA Executive Director, Buchanan
Morris Berstein, 1740 B'way, Business Investor
Al Borwic, 234 Gates St., Attorney
Margaret Cruz, 259 Monterey Blvd, Public Relations
Jess T. Estava, 5285 Diamond Heights Blvd., Publisher
H. Welton Flynn, 76 Venus, Public Accountant
Frederick P. Furtth, 710 El Camino del Mar, Attorney
Carlton Benjamin Goodlett, MD, 2060 O'Farrell, Physician and Publisher
Aileen C. Hernandez, 820 47th Ave., Urban Consultant
Agar Jacks, 62 Woodland, S.F. County Chair, Political Party
Calvin Jones, 39 Esquino Dr., Pastor
Gordon J. Lau, 540 19th Ave., Attorney
Curtis McPlain, 251 Harkness Ave., Union Official
Howard N. Nemoverovski, 50 Sea View Terrace, Attorney
Louise K. Molinar, 154 Lombard St., Homemaker
Nancy P. Pelosi, 40 Presidio Terrace, Housewife
Walter H. Shorenstein, 740 El Camino de Mar, Real Estate Developer
Zuretti L. Gosby, 299 Maywood Dr., Dentist
Del Martin, 651 Duncan, Author/Lecturer
Wilfred T. Ussery, 1335 Divisadero, Public Administrator

Statements are volunteered by the candidate and have not been checked for accuracy.
Conflicts of Interest

PROPOSITION B

Shall officers and employees be permitted to have designated interests in certain transactions of the city which are now prohibited but required to disclose said interests and abstain from participation in the transaction?

Analysis

By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The City Charter explains what are conflicts of interest and prohibited practices for city officers, employees, and board and commission members. Any matter in which there was a conflict of interest is cancelled. A person with a conflict must either give up the interest or leave the job. The Charter also explains what a “remote” interest is and how such a matter is to be handled by the board or commission involved.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition B further explains conflicts of interest and prohibited practices. Any officer or employee with a conflict of interest must give up the interest within 60 days or leave the job. Proposition B also broadens the meaning of “remote” interest and requires an officer or employee not to vote on a matter of “remote” interest. Any person convicted of violating the law on conflict of interest or prohibited practice would be removed from office and would also be subject to a fine and/or jail sentence.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want conflict of interest made clearer and remote interest broadened. You also want stronger penalties for conviction.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want the Charter section on conflicts of interest and prohibited practices to remain as it is.

Controller’s Statement on “B”

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition B:

“Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, in and of itself, it would neither increase nor decrease the cost of government.”

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

((8.105 Conflict of Interest and other Prohibited Practices

(a) No member of any board or commission shall accept any employment relating to the business or the affairs of any person, firm or corporation which are subject to regulation by the board or commission of which he is a member. No officer or employee of the city and county, shall be or become, directly or indirectly, interested in, or in the performance of, any contract, work or business, or in the sale of any article, the expense, price or consideration of which is payable from the treasury; or in the purchase or lease of any real estate or other property belonging to, or taken by, the city and county, or which shall be sold for taxes and assessments, or by virtue of legal process at the suit of the city and county; nor shall any person in this section become surety upon any bond given to the city and county; nor shall any person mentioned in this section give or promise any money or other valuable thing, or any portion of his compensation, in consideration of his nomination, appointment, or election to any city and county office or employment; or accept any donation or gratuity in money or other valuable thing, either directly or indirectly, from any subordinate or employee or from any candidate or applicant for a position as employee or subordinate under him.

(b) It shall be the duty of every officer and employee who shall have knowledge of any violation of the provisions of this section immediately to report such violation to the district attorney, for possible criminal action and failing so to do may be removed from his office or employment.

(c) No officer or employee of the city and county shall willfully or knowingly disclose any privileged information concerning property, government, or affairs of the city and county, unless a duty to do so is imposed upon said person by

(continued on page 90)
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

This Charter amendment is in effect a “housekeeping” measure. It amends Section 8.105 of the charter dealing with conflict of interest rules governing City workers and members of City commissions and boards, including the board of Supervisors. In most instances the provisions are revised to conform to provisions of State law. In other subsections, the Charter is amended to conform to court decisions or court approved practices with respect to any matter where a member of a board of commission should disqualify him or herself from voting because of an interest which is prohibited.

Vote Yes on Proposition B

As the lead co-author of the 1974 wholesale revision of the City’s conflict of interest charter provisions, I recommend a “yes” vote on Proposition B, which does not weaken conflict of interest rules, but merely clarifies disqualification practices where there might be any potential conflicts of interest.

Submitted by: Supervisor Quentin L. Kopp

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION B

Vote “Yes” on Proposition B

A YES vote on Proposition B will confirm the City’s conflict of interest provisions with those contained in State law. Currently, the charter compels resignation by a citizen serving on a board and/or commission who has, or whose family may have, a remote or indirect interest in matters before the board or commission. In most cases, they must sell the interest at forced sale or resign from that board or commission.

The charter now does not conform to State law. State law says such a conflict of interest must be fully disclosed by the board or commission member, and voting on the issue be excused.

A “YES” vote on Proposition B will continue in force prohibitions against participating in governmental decisions in which anyone has a conflict of interest, but will permit an officer or employee in all but serious cases of conflicting interest, to abstain from participating in the decision. He or she will be able to continue to serve San Francisco as long as they refrain from attempting to influence a decision in which they have an interest. Proposition B encourages the citizens of San Francisco to participate in their government.

Vote “YES” on Proposition B.

Submitted by the Board of Supervisors

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION B WAS SUBMITTED

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
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Muni Fares

**PROPOSITION C**

Shall rates for the Municipal Railway as proposed by the Public Utilities Commission be approved, rejected or amended by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors?

**Analysis**

By Ballot Simplification Committee

**THE WAY IT IS NOW:** The Public Utilities Commission has the power to set the fares charged by the Municipal Railway. The fare rates must be approved by a 2/3 vote of the Board of Supervisors. If rejected, the fare rate proposal must be returned to the Public Utilities Commission for revision.

**THE PROPOSAL:** Proposition C would give the Board of Supervisors the power not only to approve or reject a fare rate proposal but also to change it after it is submitted by the Public Utilities Commission. A 2/3 vote by the Supervisors would no longer be required for approval.

**A YES VOTE MEANS:** If you vote yes, you want the Board of Supervisors to have the power to change the rate proposals for fares on the Municipal Railway after they are submitted by the Public Utilities Commission for approval or rejection.

**A NO VOTE MEANS:** If you vote no, you do not want to give the Board of Supervisors power to change the rate proposals for fares on the Municipal Railway.

**Controller’s Statement on “C”**

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition C:

"Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, it would neither increase nor decrease the cost of government."

**How Supervisors Voted on “C”**

On August 4 the Board of Supervisors voted 9–2 on the question of placing Proposition C on the November 4 ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:

**YES:** Supervisors John Bards, Harry Britt, Ella Hill Hutch, Quentin Kopp, Ed Lawson, John Molinari, Louise Renne, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward

**NO:** Supervisors Don Harany and Carol Ruth Silver

**TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT**

**PROPOSITION C**

**NOTE:** Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold** face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

3.598 Utility Rates

The public utilities commission shall have power to fix, change and adjust rates, charges or fares for the furnishing of service by any utility under its jurisdiction, and to collect by appropriate means all amounts due for said service, and to discontinue service to delinquent consumers and to settle and adjust claims arising out of the operation of any said utilities.

Rates may be fixed at varying scales for different classes of service or consumers. The commission may provide for the rendition of utility service outside the limits of the city and county and the rates to be charged therefor which may include proportionate compensation for interest during the construction of the utility rendering such service.

Before adopting or revising any schedule of rates or fares, the commission shall publish in the official newspaper of the city and county for five days notice of its intention to do so and shall fix a time for a public hearing or hearings thereon, which shall be not less than ten days after the last publication of said notice, and at which any resident may present his objection to or views on the proposed schedule of rates, fares or charges.

Rates for each utility except the municipal railway shall be so fixed that the revenue therefrom shall be sufficient to pay, for at least the succeeding fiscal year, all expenses of every kind and nature incident to the operation and maintenance of said utility, together with the interest and sinking fund for any bonds issued for the acquisition, construction or extension of said utility; provided that, should the commission propose a schedule of rates, charges or fares for said utility which shall not produce such revenue, it may do so with the approval of the board of supervisors, by a two-thirds vote and it shall thereupon be incumbent to provide by tax levy for the additional amount necessary to meet such deficit. All other changes in rates, charges or fares as proposed by the commission shall be submitted by the commission to the board of supervisors for approval and, except as in this section otherwise provided, it shall require a two-thirds vote of the board of supervisors to reject the rate changes as proposed by the commission, and if so rejected, such proposed changes in schedules or rates, charges or fares shall be returned to the commission for revision. If the supervisors fail to act on any such proposed schedule within thirty days, the schedule shall thereupon become effective.

Rates for the municipal railway shall be proposed by the commission and approved; rejected or amended by the board of supervisors.

(end)
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

Vote "Yes" on Proposition C

The way the Charter reads now Municipal Railway fares must be recommended by the Public Utilities Commission and approved by the votes of at least eight (8) Supervisors. However, the Board of Supervisors can only vote to approve or reject any PUC Municipal Railway recommendation on fares. The Board cannot amend or modify any such recommendation, no matter what the financial evidence may be.

That is an unnecessarily inflexible provision of the Charter which should be changed.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition C

Proposition C will change this procedure to allow the Board of Supervisors to be something other than a rubber stamp for the PUC on recommended MUNI fares. It would simply allow the Board to revise or modify any PUC fare schedule recommendation, as well as reject or approve it. This is a straight-forward change in the Charter which makes sense and should be approved. It is in the public interest and good public business practice.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition C

Submitted by: Supervisor Quentin Kopp

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

BEWARE! Proposition C would make it easier to raise Muni fares. VOTE NO!

Norman Rolfe

Jeffrey Sutter

John Enquist
Paul Muliz
Steven Heimoff
Jonathan Llewellyn

James R. Baker
Paula Land
Chinatown TRIP

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

December 28, 1912. Opening day ceremonies on the newly established Municipal Railway. This line, the B-Geary, operated until 1956; it now operates as the 38-Geary bus.
PROPOSITION D
Shall City employees be allowed in the City’s Health Service System as determined by ordinance subject to conditions and qualifications as the Board of Supervisors may impose?

Analysis
By Bailot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: All City employees who are members of the Retirement System are automatically members of the Health Service System unless excused by the Health System Board. No one else may join the Health System.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition D would allow all permanent employees to become members of the Health System. They would not have to be members of the Retirement System. It would allow the Board of Supervisors to determine which non-permanent employees would be allowed to join.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the Board of Supervisors to have the power to determine which non-permanent employees would be allowed to join the Health System. You also want all permanent employees to be eligible for the Health System.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to change who is eligible for membership in the Health System.

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT
PROPOSITION D

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold face type; deletions are indicated by (double parentheses).

8.420 Establishment of and Membership in Health Service System.
A health service system is hereby established as a department of the city and county government and shall be subject to sections 3.680 through 3.682 and 8.420 through 8.432 inclusive. Said system shall be administered by a board to be known as the health service board. The members of the system shall consist of all permanent employees, which shall include officers of the city and county, of the San Francisco Unified School District, and of the Parking Authority of the City and County of San Francisco (who are members of the retirement system) and, such other employees as may be determined by ordinance, subject to such conditions and qualifications as the board of supervisors may impose. Any employee who adheres to the faith or teachings of any recognized religious sect, denomination or organization and, in accordance with its creed, tenets or principles, depends for healing upon prayers in the practice of religion shall be exempt from the system upon filing annually with the health service board an affidavit stating such adherence and dependence and disclaiming any benefits under the system. (The health service board shall have the power to exempt any person whose annual compensation exceeds $6,000 and any person who otherwise has provided for adequate medical care.) The health service board shall have the power to exempt any person whose compensation exceeds the amount deemed sufficient for self coverage and any person who otherwise has provided for adequate medical care.

How Supervisors Voted on "D"
On August 11 the Board of Supervisors voted 7–1 on the question of placing Proposition D on the November 4 ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors John Bardis, Don Horanzy, Ed Lawson, John Molinaro, Louise Renne, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward

NO: Supervisor Quentin Kopp

Controller’s Statement on “D”
City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition D:

“Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, in and of itself, it would neither increase nor decrease the cost of government. However, as a product of its application to future legislation, additional cost of government could be incurred, the maximum amount of which could be $3,352,000.

“But again, in and of itself, this permissive amendment to the Charter would have no effect on the cost of government.”
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION D

Vote Yes on Proposition D

A Yes vote on Proposition D will separate Health Service System membership from the Retirement System. Currently, employees can only receive health insurance benefits if the City places them in the Retirement System. This measure will permit the city to include employees in its health insurance program without incurring the high cost of retirement system membership.

Vote Yes on Proposition D

This measure will also allow the City to impose membership conditions and qualifications, such as the number of months of continuous employment and medical examination screening before long-term temporary employees can be included in the health insurance program.

This measure will allow the City to offer health care insurance to qualified employees at the lowest possible cost to the taxpayers.

Vote Yes on Proposition D

Submitted by Supervisors Bards, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Molinari, Renne, Silver and Walker.

Mayor Dianne Feinstein
Assemblyman Willie L. Brown, Jr.
Congressman Phillip Burton
Gordon Lau
Booker T. Anderson
Richard Sklar
Lillian Sing
Assemblyman Art Agnos
Louis Hop Lee
Tim Wolfred
Morris Bernstein
J. Edward Fleishh
Vince Courtney
Philip J. Kearney
Allen Haile
Reverend Calvin Jones
Bob Bustamonte
Bill Bradley
Julie Tang
Pansy Fonzie
Pat Jackson
John F. Crowley
Stan Smith
Bob Morgan
Timothy Twomey
Pat Schultz
Andrea Jepson
Rosalind Wolf
Darryl Cox
Dennis P. Bouey
Anne Kronenberg
Le Roy King
Rich Hayes
Peter D. Ashe
Orrville Luster
Shirley Marcus
Mary Ellen Rogers
Enid Ng Lim
Agar Jaicks
Janet Jacobs
David Weissman
Sue Lee
Christina Yee
Dianne Chinn
Donna Howell
Gordon Chin
Gwenn Craig
Sharon Johnson
James Dunn
Alex L. Pitcher Jr.
Joan Dillon
Jonathan Leifer
Denise Franklin
Terrence Ryan
Roberto Hernandez
Robert McDonnell
Fannie K. McElroy
Sarah Wilcox
Candice Jensen
Richard T. Weinand
Dorothy J. Harrison
Marcia Peterzell
Bill Kraus
Paula C. Fiscal
Chelsea A. Baylor
James E. Anderson
Sal Rosselli
Milan F. Reiterman
Jeanne Schmidt
Lynne J. Beeson
Joan Goldman
Revels Cayton
Marsha L. Frazier
Maura Kealey
Catherine A. Hazlett
Ken Fins
Reverend Cecil Williams
Steven Katz

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION D WAS SUBMITTED

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

Register to Vote
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Next time you move, phone us;
We'll mail you the forms
Police & Fire Salaries

PROPOSITION E
Shall the compensation of Police and Fire uniformed officers be protected against reduction below a base rate determined by date of employment?

Analysis
Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: Salaries for uniformed members of the Police and Fire Departments are determined by comparing the pay for similar jobs in all California cities with a population of 350,000 or more. The salaries in San Francisco must equal the average of the highest salaries of uniformed police and fire forces in these other cities. The population figures must be based on the latest national ten-year census.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition E would guarantee that salaries for uniformed San Francisco police and fire forces would not be reduced as a result of any change in the manner for determining those salaries.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the salary rates for uniformed police and fire forces to be protected from any reduction which would result from any change in the manner of determining those salaries.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want the salary rates to be determined as they now are without any protection against reductions in pay.

How Supervisors Voted on "E"
On August 4 the Board of Supervisors voted 9–2 on the question of placing Proposition E on the November 4 ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors Harry Britt, Don Horanzy, Ella Hill Hutch, Quentin Kopp, Ed Lawson, John Molinari, Louise Renne, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward

NO: Supervisors John Bardi and Carol Ruth Silver

Controller's Statement on "E"
City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition E:

"Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, in and of itself, it would neither increase nor decrease the cost of government. This amendment, however, could forestall any future salary reductions resulting from possible changes in the latest Federal census."

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT
PROPOSITION E

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

8.405 Salaries of Uniformed Forces in the Police and Fire Departments
(a) Not later than the 1st day of August of each year, the civil service commission shall survey and certify to the Board of Supervisors rates of compensation paid police officers or patrolmen employed in the respective police departments in all cities of 350,000 population or over in the State of California, based upon the latest federal decennial census. For the purpose of the civil service commission's survey and certification the rates contained in said certification shall be the average of the maximum rates paid to each police officer or patrolman classification performing the same or essentially the same duties as police officers or patrolmen in the City and County of San Francisco.

Thereupon the Board of Supervisors shall have power, and it shall be its duty, by ordinance, to fix rates of compensation for the members of the police department whose annual compensations are set forth in Section 3.531 of this Charter and said rates shall be in lieu of said annual compensations and shall be effective from the 1st day of July of the current fiscal year.

The rates of compensation, fixed in said ordinance, (1) for the fourth year of service and thereafter for police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers the compensation shall be fixed at a rate which is the average maximum wage paid to the police officers or patrolmen classifications in regular service in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission. "Average wage" as used in this paragraph shall mean the sum of the maximum averages certified by the civil service commission divided by the number of police officer classification in cities in said certification;

(2) for the first, second and third year of service for police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers shall be established in accordance with the general percentage differential between seniority steps found in the salary ranges included in the cities certified by the civil service commission for the same class; (continued on page 92)
Police & Fire Salaries

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION E

In 1975 the Charter provisions on setting salaries for police officers and fire fighters were amended overwhelmingly by the voters to provide that such salaries shall be established as the average of the salaries paid to police officers and fire fighters in cities in California with a population of 350,000 or more. There were, and still are, five such cities in California. In 1976, the provision was further amended to prevent any lowering of salaries for police officers and fire fighters by reason of such averaging process. The provision prohibiting a decrease in salaries expired in 1979.

Because of the 1980 federal census, there is a possibility that Long Beach or Oakland, which are now included in the average, may not have a population of over 350,000 any longer and therefore the salaries paid there will not be part of the average. Instead, Sacramento which has a low pay scale, may be included.

Vote Yes on Proposition E

This Charter amendment would merely extend until 1981. The prohibition against decreasing the salaries of police officers and fire fighters. As one of the original proponents of the averaging method of establishing salaries for police officers and fire fighters, I recommend a “yes” vote on Proposition E because it is fair and realistic that our police officers and fire fighters not suffer any reduction in their salaries at a time when inflation is 10% or more per year and their costs of living increase accordingly.

Submitted by: Supervisor Quentin L. Kopp

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION E

In November of 1975, the citizens of San Francisco adopted a charter amendment which established the method of setting salaries for members of the police and fire departments.

Based on the latest federal decennial census (1970), the Civil Service Commission is required to survey the rates of compensation paid police officers and firefighters employed in all cities of 350,000 population or over in the State of California. Upon determining those rates, the average rate of pay is determined and it is this average that is paid to our police officers and firefighters. Based on this formula, five cities have been utilized in setting salaries.

However, based on the 1980 census figures, two of the cities will be dropped from the formula. As a result, the new average rate of pay could very well be less than what is presently being paid. Proposition “E” is merely a protection clause to ensure that police officers and firefighters will not suffer a salary reduction by virtue of the new formula. The present charter language does not provide this protection.

Proposition “E” will not increase the tax rate in San Francisco. It will, however, protect our officers from a possible reduction in salary. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION “E”.

Robert F. Barry, President San Francisco Police Officers’ Association

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION E WAS SUBMITTED

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Police & Fire Retirement Benefits: Buy-Out

PROPOSITION F

Shall policemen and firemen who were members before November 1, 1976 be allowed to transfer to the Retirement Plan in effect after November 1, 1976 and be compensated up to $40,000 based on years of service for electing to transfer?

Analysis

By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: Police officers and Firefighters who were hired before November 1976 are covered by one retirement plan. Those who were hired after that date are covered by another retirement plan.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition F would allow Police Officers and Firefighters who were in the retirement plan before November 1976 to change to the new retirement plan if they wish. Those changing would receive $2,500 for each year of employment up to ten years and then $1,000 for each additional year of service. The total is not to exceed $40,000. The funds to be paid would come from members’ contributions and Retirement System funds.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want to allow Police Officers and Firefighters to change to the new retirement plan.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want to allow members of the Police and Fire Departments to change to the new retirement plan.

Controller’s Statement on “F”

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition F:

“Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, in and of itself, it would neither increase nor decrease the cost of government since it is permissive legislation, the cost of which is dependent on a future election (if any) by the individual member opting to an alternate retirement plan.

“Based on the present value of future benefits, cash payments to those electing to transfer membership might result in overall cost savings to the City, the amount of which appears substantial, but cannot be accurately determined at this time.”

How Supervisors Voted on “F”

On August 11 the Board of Supervisors voted 8–0 on the question of placing Proposition F on the November 4 ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors John Bardis, Don Horanzy, Quentin Kopp, Ed Lawson, John Molinari, Louise Renne, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward

None of the Supervisors present voted “No.”

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT

PROPOSITION F

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

SEC. 8.559-14 Right to Transfer

Notwithstanding any provisions of this charter to the contrary, any person who, on or after January 1, 1981, is a member of the Police Department, and is a member of the Retirement System under Charter Section 8.559, may become a member of the Retirement System under Charter Section 8.586 by filing in writing with the Retirement System no later than December 31, 1981, and executed waiver of all benefits which might accrue to him under Charter Section 8.559. This waiver must be without right of revocation and on a form furnished by the retirement system. The Retirement Board may require that this waiver be executed by additional persons before it becomes operative.

This transfer will be effective July 1, 1980. Those persons so electing to become members under Charter Section 8.586 shall receive service credit under Charter Section 8.586 equal to their service credit under Charter Section 8.559 as of June 30, 1980.

Those persons so electing to become members under Charter Section 8.586 shall not be subject to any of those provisions of Charter Section 8.559 as of July 1, 1980.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Charter Section 8.526, the cost of living adjustment in any given year for those persons electing this transfer to Charter Section 8.586 shall not exceed the provisions of Charter Section 8.526 as they existed on July 1, 1980.

Those persons so electing to transfer membership from Charter Section 8.559 to Charter Section 8.586 shall receive a monetary consideration not to exceed $40,000 calculated at the rate of $2,500 for each year of said service credit up to ten

(continued on page 94)
Police & Fire Retirement Benefits: Buy-Out

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION F

Vote “YES” on Proposition F and reduce the taxpayers’ cost for the City Retirement System. This Proposition creates an incentive for police officers and firefighters to transfer from an “old” and expensive plan to a “new” and lower cost plan which was adopted in 1976.

For every person who transfers, the City will be able to reduce its annual contribution (it is now $100 million for fire and police) and those who choose to transfer will receive a lump-sum payment determined by their years of service. They can use that payment for personal investment to add to the benefits offered by the “new” system.

The Proposition does not force the employees to make the change. It is strictly voluntary. All it does is allow the City to make an offer which each police officer and firefighter can evaluate in relation to their own personal circumstances.

All payments will come from investments of the Retirement System so there will be no added costs for the City treasury and the offer will remain in effect for one year only.

I urge you to take this opportunity to reduce the City’s cost for retirement. Unless we adopt measures like this our City will continue to be fiscally strained by greatly increasing retirement costs.

Vote YES on Proposition F.

Thank you.

Mayor Diane Feinstein
Hon. John Molinaro, President, Board of Supervisors
Hon. John Bardis, Member, Board of Supervisors
Hon. Harry Britt, Member, Board of Supervisors
Hon. Don Horanzy, Member, Board of Supervisors
Hon. Ella Hill Hutch, Member, Board of Supervisors
Hon. Edward Lawson, Member, Board of Supervisors
Hon. Louise H. Renne, Member, Board of Supervisors
Hon. Carol Ruth Silver, Member, Board of Supervisors
Hon. Nancy Walker, Member, Board of Supervisors
Hon. Doris Ward, Member, Board of Supervisors
Walter Hoadley, Co-Chairman, Mayor’s Fiscal Advisory Committee
A. Lee Munson, Member, MFAF
James M. Edgar, Member, MFAF
John Jacobs, Member, MFAF
George Landew, Member, MFAF
Roy Nordman, Member, MFAF
Steve Waldhorn, Member, MFAF
Roger Boast, Chief Administrative Officer

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION F

Vote Yes on Proposition F

Police officers and fire fighters who were hired by the City before January, 1977 belong to one pension plan, while police officers and fire fighters who were hired after January, 1977 are enrolled in a different pension plan which was approved by the voters in November, 1976, and which costs the taxpayers less money than the older pension plan.

Pension costs are expensive and this measure could help reduce them. It results from a study and analysis prepared at my request by Harvey Rose, the Budget Analyst of the Board of Supervisors in June, 1979. It establishes a voluntary plan by which an estimated 3,114 police and fire fighters who belong to the older pension plan would be offered lump sums up to $40,000 to be “bought out” of such older pension plan and given the opportunity to join the new pension system which included post-January, 1977 recruits. If such “buy-out”, which is not mandatory, is utilized by a sufficient number of police officers and fire fighters, it could result in a savings of millions of dollars to taxpayers, while at the same time giving such police officers and fire fighters cash in hand (usable of higher income producing investments) and membership in the new, less expensive plan.

It is an idea worth trying, and I recommend a “yes” vote on Proposition F.

Submitted by:
Supervisor Quentin L. Kopp

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION F WAS SUBMITTED

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

徵聘多重語言人員
在選舉站協助選舉
請即向市政廳一五五號室申請

Workers are needed at the polls in many San Francisco neighborhoods.
Apply now in room 155, City Hall
PROPOSITION G

Shall members of the Police and Fire Departments with five years of service who cease to be employed for causes other than death or retirement have the right to vest their retirement contributions and receive a retirement benefit at age 50?

Analysis

By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: When Police Officers and Firefighters leave their jobs before retirement, the monies they put into their retirement plan is refunded. They cannot keep their money in the retirement fund.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition G would allow police officers and firefighters who are members of the Retirement System for at least five (5) years, the choice of keeping their money in the retirement fund or taking it out if they leave. At age 50 they would receive a retirement benefit based on time served and money contributed.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want police officers and firefighters who leave the department to be able to leave their money in the retirement fund.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want police officers and firefighters who leave the department to be able to leave their money in the retirement fund.

Controller's Statement on "G"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition G:

"Should the proposed Charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, it would not materially affect the cost of government."

THE LEGAL TEXT OF PROPOSITION G APPEARS ON PAGE 95

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION G

Vote YES on Proposition G and help reduce the City’s cost of retirement for the Police and Fire Departments. This proposition allows police and fire members who wish to leave their departments before retirement age to let their retirement contributions remain with the City until normal retirement age. During this period the City and the individual will earn interest on the funds. At normal retirement age the member can draw a reduced benefit.

This proposal is known as “vesting” and is available to all City employees except members of the Police and Fire Departments. It is now mandated by the Federal Government for members of private pension plans and we are simply extending the coverage to members of the Police and Fire Systems.

By placing this vesting provision in our “new” retirement system we create an added incentive for members of the “old” system to make the optional switch allowed by Proposition F.

I believe these two proposals will work together to reduce City costs and improve benefits for Police officers and Firefighters.

I urge you to vote YES on Proposition G.

Thank You,

Dianne Feinstein,
Mayor

How Supervisors Voted on "G"

On August 11 the Board of Supervisors voted 8-0 on the question of placing Proposition G on the November 4 ballot. The Supervisors voted as follows:

YES: Supervisors John Bardis, Don Horanzy, Quintin Kopp, Ed Lawson, John Molinari, Louise Renne, Nancy Walker and Doris Ward

None of the Supervisors present voted “No.”

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION G WAS SUBMITTED

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PROPOSITION H
Shall fire protection services be restored to and maintained at the levels no less than those authorized on June 30, 1978?

Analysis
By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors set the annual budget for the Fire Department. Through the budget, they determine the number of employees the department will have and the number of fire stations needed in the city. The Board of Supervisors has the authority to reduce the budget of the Fire Department.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition H would require that the number of personnel and the number of facilities in the Fire Department be kept at or above the figures which existed on June 30, 1978. Only a vote of the people could reduce it below that June 30, 1978 level.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want the number of Fire Department personnel and facilities to be kept at or above the June 30, 1978 level and you want the voters to decide any reduction below that level.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want to keep the present system of setting the budget for the Fire Department.

TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT
PROPOSITION H

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold face type.

3.548 Maintenance of Fire Protection Services
(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter, and except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, fire protection services in the City and County of San Francisco shall not be reduced below and shall be maintained at levels no less than those authorized as of June 30, 1978.
(b) The fire protection levels authorized as of June 30, 1978 are defined for the purpose of this section as the number and character of personnel, fire companies and units and facilities used for the purpose of fire suppression, inspection, investigation, training and support activities which were set forth in the Annual Report of the San Francisco Fire Department for fiscal year 1977-78. It is the intent of this section that such number and character of personnel, fire companies and units and facilities shall each be restored and maintained at no less than such levels unless otherwise authorized as provided in paragraph (c) of this section.
(c) The level of fire protection services required by paragraph (a) of this section may be reduced to another specified level of protection by a two-thirds vote of the qualified electors voting at a general election or special election called for that purpose.
(d) It shall be the responsibility of the Board of Supervisors to enact all necessary legislation and appropriate all funds necessary to carry out the provisions of this section. It is the intent of this section that city and county funds shall first be applied to maintain emergency public safety services as provided for by this section. (end)

Controller’s Statement on “H”

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition H:

“Should the proposed initiative be approved, in my opinion, it would increase the cost of government by approximately $7,500,000.”

How Proposition H Got on the Ballot

On August 25 City Registrar of Voters Jay Patterson certified that the initiative petition calling for Proposition H to be placed on the ballot had qualified for the ballot. The Supervisors then voted to place the proposition before the City’s voters on November 4.

The Committee to Keep Our Fire Houses Open, the proponents of the initiative had filed 31,240 signatures with Patterson on August 6. After examining the signatures, Patterson determined that there were 24,940 valid signatures. This is more than the 22,547 signatures needed to put an initiative charter amendment on the ballot. 22,547 represents 10% of the number of people who voted for governor in 1978.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

We’ve heard a lot of rhetoric over the years about safe levels of fire protection in San Francisco. As firefighting professionals, we feel it’s time to set the record straight: San Francisco fire service has been cut to the bone.

Over the years we saw cutbacks in administration, personnel and equipment. Then came the cuts in essential services. Fire stations were closed; manpower was drastically reduced. Some of these cuts have actually helped make our firefighting organization leaner and more efficient.

But it’s got to stop somewhere. Things have gone too far. Unless the City administration is forced to stop cutting, the neighborhoods of San Francisco are in trouble. Look at the danger signs:

— On the day of the Great 1906 Earthquake and Fire, 564 firefighters were on duty; today there are fewer than 319.
— In the last five years, alarm calls have increased by 5589.
— In a recent highrise fire in Los Angeles 290 firemen fought the blaze. If a similar fire broke out in San Francisco today, approximately 90% of the City would be left without neighborhood fire coverage.

There’s only one way to stop politicians from making things any worse; Proposition H. This measure would allow the people of San Francisco to vote on any further cutbacks, and restore at least the bare minimum of safety set jointly by the Fire Chief and Fire Commission in the base year of 1978.

The issue is not money. This measure would not increase our taxes. It would not increase pay or benefits for individual firemen. And it need not decrease other City services. In fact, last year’s cuts saved the City $6.2 million—money which was put in a “special” fund and never spent on any City services. That’s “false economy” at its worst.

Put a stop to these irresponsible cuts. Demand that the voters of San Francisco have a say in determining an adequate level of essential fire service. We urge you to vote “YES” on PROPOSITION H.

William Murray, Former Fire Chief, 1956-71
Keith Calden, Former Fire Chief, 1971-76

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

Enough is enough! Every year the politicians promise us “no more cuts in essential services”. And every year, without asking us, they close more neighborhood firehouses. This year alone they’re closing 4 more fire stations—in Midtown Terrace, Pacific Heights, Bayview-Hunters Point/Potrero Hill, and South of Market. These years of steady cut-backs have reduced San Francisco fire safety to truly dangerous levels. Enough is enough!

It’s time to take fire protection out of the hands of politicians. Let’s give the people of San Francisco a chance to decide whether we want any further cuts in essential fire services.

A “YES” vote on PROPOSITION H would:

• Stop City politicians from making further cut-backs, on their own, in the level of fire safety.
• Provide that a vote of the people of San Francisco is required for any further reduction in fire services.
• Require that the number of fire stations, facilities, and personnel be maintained at minimum safety levels no less than those of June 30, 1978.
• Not increase our taxes.

The issue is simple. The people of San Francisco have a basic right to adequate fire protection. Period! Let’s exercise that right. Let’s take fire safety out of the hands of politicians. Vote FOR your right to adequate fire protection. Vote “YES” on PROPOSITION “H”.

Miss Virginia Fusco, Secretary
Marina Civic Improvement & Property Owners Assn. Inc.
Margaret Mary Lee
St. Joseph Senior Center
Ray J. Musante
Treasurer of the Woods
of San Francisco Homeowners Association
Grace Campbell, President
Galewood Circle Homeowners Assoc.
Francis F. Fitzsimmons, President
Midtown Terrace Owners Assn.
Anne S. Hoy, Vice-President
Forest Knolls Neighborhood Association
E. Emerson, Secretary
New Mission Terrace Improvement Assoc.
Edward J. Benedict
Administrator, Martin Luther Tower, Inc.
John Patrick Short
Board of Directors
Polk Street Merchants Association

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

Kevin W. Wadsworth
Candidate for Supervisor

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

While appreciating the difficult budget decisions imposed in this era of fiscal restraint, we the undersigned public officials believe that the people of San Francisco are entitled to adequate and responsible levels of essential City services, particularly fire protection.

For this reason, we support San Francisco ballot Proposition "H", a measure which would give the voters of San Francisco a say in assuring that minimum levels of fire safety are not compromised.

We urge a "YES" vote on PROPOSITION "H".

Milton Marks
State Senator
Willie L. Brown
Assemblyman
Art Agnos
Assemblyman

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION H

34,000 San Franciscans told us they were concerned about fire protection in their neighborhoods. That's how many people signed a petition—a petition circulated for only 14 days by community groups to put Proposition H on the ballot and stop the closing of fire houses.

Well, we got the message. In fact, we've been worried about the level of fire protection in our City for some time now. As San Francisco fire fighters, we see the problem firsthand. Every day. As station houses close, engine companies cut back, daily manpower shrinks.

The result? Less. Less fire safety. Reduced coverage of outlying neighborhoods. Poorer response times in emergency situations. And along with these decreases, we've seen an increase in personal danger to fire fighters trying to cover the gaps: in the last 18 months alone, we've felt the tragic loss of two fire fighters and one battalion chief.

We believe the people of San Francisco deserve better.

That's why we support Proposition H. We support the right of San Franciscans to insist on quality fire protection and put a stop to the rapid deterioration of this essential City service.

In fact, fire protection is a lot more than one more "essential service." It saves lives. And there's nothing more essential than that. On November 4, we hope you'll remember to vote "YES" on Proposition H.

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE FIGHTERS #798
James T. Ferguson, President
Leon D. Bruschera, Secretary

EXECUTIVE BOARD OF SAN FRANCISCO
FIRE CHIEFS ASSN.
Richard L. Comi, Battalion Chief
Chairman, Executive Board
Charles Crest, Battalion Chief, Treasurer
Joseph Medina, Battalion Chief, Secretary
Oliver Storti, Battalion Chief, Board Member

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION H

Vote NO on Proposition H. Your Mayor, the Fire Chief and Fire Commission are committed to providing you with the best fire protection within the fiscal constraints of Proposition 13. In these financially critical times, it is necessary to effect economies in all City departments without exception. If such economies are not practiced, some departments will be totally eliminated and essential services will be drastically reduced.

In order to effectively operate under these conditions and maintain efficiency, the management of the Fire Department must be allowed sufficient flexibility to make fire protection, personnel, and financial decisions a continuing function of its management responsibilities. This Proposition—by arbitrarily reverting to a level of fire protection in existence thirty months ago—would require adherence to a fixed formula without regard to changing needs and circumstances. Professional judgement would be replaced by rigid, out-moded standards that the public can no longer afford.

By Charter, the Fire Commission has the power to organize, reorganize and manage the Fire Department. This Proposition would restrict the Fire Commission's ability to implement cost-effectiveness because any downward change, not only in fire protection levels but also in all administrative and support functions, requires a two-thirds vote of the qualified electors. Let management retain the power to continue to provide efficient services at reasonable cost.

VOTE "NO" ON PROPOSITION H

Dianne Feinstein, Mayor

Andrew C. Casper, Chief of the Fire Department
The Fire Commission:
Henry Berman, President
Curtis McClain, Vice President
Juanaita Del Carlo, Member
Anne Hovden, Member
Robert Nicco, Member
Hon. Carol Ruth Silver, Member, Board of Supervisors

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION H

Neighborhood leaders join in asking you to vote NO on Proposition H.

This proposition will require the City to maintain the same number of men, stations and vehicles we had in 1978 which will cost the City an extra 7 million dollars that will be taken from other departments.

The Fire Commission and Chief, who oppose this proposition, should be the ones to determine the proper strength of their department, not the proponents of this proposition. The Chief should not be forced to keep units in service when new techniques and more efficient equipment makes it unnecessary.

Proposition H is wasteful spending and bad government.

Vote NO.

Robert Beach
Bob Bradford
Jude Laspa
Toby Levine
David Finn
Earl Moss
Mike Krutit
Ann Fogelberg

Darice Murphy
Irene Young
Noel Love
Dorothy Herzberg
Claire Pilcher
Esther Marks
Barbara Hopkins

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
**Salary Dispute—Electricians**

**PROPOSITION I**

Shall a schedule of compensation based upon the last demand of employees represented by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local No. 6, be approved?

---

**Analysis**

By Ballot Simplification Committee

**THE WAY IT IS NOW:** Electrical workers employed by the city have their pay, hours, benefits and working conditions set in agreements made with the city. Their union did not reach agreement with city officials on issues being considered this year, so the voters must make the decision.

**THE PROPOSAL:** Proposition I would provide that the city agree to the 25 demands of the electrical workers. These are listed in full on this page and page 95.

**A YES VOTE MEANS:** If you vote yes, you want the city to provide the benefits and working conditions requested by the electrical workers.

**A NO VOTE MEANS:** If you vote no, you want to keep the present benefits and working conditions of the electrical workers.

---

**TEXT OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE**

**PROPOSITION I**

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 121-80, SALARY STANDARDIZATION ORDINANCE, FISCAL YEAR 1980-81, CHARTER SECTIONS 8.400, 8.401, AND 8.407, MISCELLANEOUS EMPLOYEES, PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 9.108(b), TO REFLECT ADDITIONAL RATES AND WORKING CONDITIONS FOR EMPLOYEES REPRESENTED BY THE INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL NO. 6, BASED UPON THE LAST DEMANDS OF SAID EMPLOYEES.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. Pursuant to the provisions of Charter Section 9.108(b), Ordinance No. 121-80, Salary Standardization Ordinance, Fiscal Year 1980-81, Charter Sections 8.400, 8.401 and 8.407, Miscellaneous Employees, is hereby amended by adding Section XIV thereto, reading as follows:

Section XIV

1. 40-hour work week consisting of 8:00 a.m. to 12 noon and 12:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. on Monday through Friday.
2. City to supply all tools, rain gear and safety equipment.
3. Premium pay for high time work, work below piers, exposure to raw sewage and for working with energized equipment.
4. Mandatory travel pay allowance for electrical employees assigned to work outside of the City and County boundaries.
5. Premium pay of 10% for swing shift and 15% for midnight shift and payable for the full shift.
6. All overtime on a voluntary basis with a four hour minimum.
7. When assigned the duties of a higher paying classification, electrical employees to receive the higher pay.
8. Electrical employees to receive pay for overtime worked rather than in-lieu time off.
9. Employees in Class 7379 Electrical Transit, Mechanic and related classes to have the same working conditions, work week and differentials as enjoyed by employees in the Automotive Mechanic classification.

---

**Controller’s Statement on “I”**

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition I:

"Should the proposed proposition be adopted, in my opinion, the cost of government would be increased by approximately $1,300,000."

---

**How Prop. I Got on the Ballot**

Propositions I and J are a result of a provision on the City Charter which was adopted by the voters in November 1976. This provision requires that unsettled contract disputes between city officials and city employees be put before the voters to decide.

In this proposition the voters will grant or reject the last demands of city workers represented by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6.

10. City to pay fringes for whole eight hour day.
11. Temporary employees to start at highest pay level.
12. Work performed by employees in electrical classifications shall comply with all applicable codes.
13. Sick leave to be supplemented with State Disability Insurance pay.
14. All electrical employees to be employed on a full time basis.
15. City to specify pay days.
16. City to pay for educational or re-training leave.
17. City to combine overtime payment with regular paycheck. (continued on page 95)
Salary Dispute—Laborers

PROPOSITION J

Shall a schedule of compensation based upon the last demand of employees represented by the Laborers' International Union of North America, Local No. 261, be approved?

Analysis

By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: General laborers employed by the city do not now receive premium pay for working with raw sewage. Their union asked for this premium pay to be added to their contract, but city officials did not agree.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition J would provide that the city pay general laborers who work in constant, prolonged contact with raw sewage a $3 per day premium in their wages.

Controller's Statement on "J"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition J:

"If the proposed proposition is approved, in my opinion, the cost of government would be increased by approximately $25,000."

How Prop. J Got on the Ballot

Propositions I and J are a result of a provision on the City Charter which was adopted by the voters in November 1976. This provision requires that unsettled contract disputes between city officials and city employees be put before the voters to decide.

In this proposition the voters will grant or reject the last demands of city workers represented by the Laborers' International Union, Local 261.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION J

Vote Yes on Proposition J

The total estimated cost is $25,000 per year. This differential is habitually paid to workers in private industry who must work in such odious circumstances. I think I have a reputation for not wasting taxpayer's money. This request of 34 members of the Laborers’ Union is meritorious.

Submitted by:
Supervisor Quentin L. Kopp

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION J WAS SUBMITTED

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
PROPOSITION K

DECLARATION OF POLICY: Shall the Board of Supervisors enact legislation to establish as the policy of the City and County of San Francisco that there be an addition of 20,000 new units of Residential Housing in San Francisco by January 1, 1985?

Analysis

By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution this year calling for 20,000 new and rehabilitated units of residential housing in San Francisco by June 30, 1986. The resolution asks for as many units as possible to be for persons of low and moderate income, for the neighborhoods to be preserved, and for the permit process to be improved and simplified.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition K would direct the Board of Supervisors to pass legislation to establish that it is the policy of San Francisco to add 20,000 new units of residential housing by January 1, 1985.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you want city policy established for 20,000 units of new residential housing to be added by January 1, 1985.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want to keep the present city housing policy.

How “K” Got On Ballot

Proposition K was placed on the November 4 ballot through a provision in the present City Charter which allows four or more members of the Board of Supervisors, acting individually rather than as a legislative body, to place an ordinance or a policy measure on the ballot. It is believed that San Francisco is the only city or county with a legal provision of this type.

On August 18 City Registrar of Voters Jay Patterson received a request signed by several supervisors asking that the issue of 20,000 new units of housing be placed before the voters. The request was signed by Supervisors Quentin Kopp, Ella Hill Hutch, Don Horanzy, Ed Lawson, Carol Ruth Silver and Doris Ward.

Controller’s Statement on “K”

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition K:

“Should the proposed Declaration of Policy be approved, in my opinion, it would neither increase nor decrease the cost of government.”
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION K

The statistics speak for themselves:

1. In the past 10 years there has been an increase of only about 100 single family houses built per year in San Francisco.
2. The average price of a single-family home in San Francisco is $139,000.
3. The cost of housing in San Francisco is the highest in the country.
4. The average rent for a one-bedroom apartment in the City exceeds $350.
5. According to the 1980 U.S. Census, San Francisco has lost more than 9 percent of its population in 10 years.

All of these statistics spell a housing crisis that is rapidly becoming a disaster. San Francisco has become a city where only speculators and the very rich can afford to buy; where older people hang onto the homes they own, often larger than they need, because they can't afford to buy anything else; and where young people of moderate or average incomes cannot begin to think of owning a home.

The housing crisis has been escalating for the past 10 years. It's time the situation was reversed. The City Planning Department says there is more than enough land available and enough under-developed areas to accommodate 20,000 new housing units. The only way this will happen is if you, the voters, speak out and demand action from your elected officials.

Vote Yes on Proposition K.

Submitted by:
Supervisor Quentin L. Kopp

Endorsed by:
Paul Joseph Langdon

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION K

The basic reason why housing is so expensive in San Francisco is the age-old law of supply and demand. The entire country is experiencing a housing shortage, but the situation is worse here because hardly any new housing is being built.

Homebuilders are ready and willing to do the job. We have the skills and know-how to build housing that is energy-efficient, that blends in with existing neighborhoods, and makes the best use of available land.

As more and more people move out of the city because they cannot find housing, the City suffers their loss. San Francisco is becoming "commute city"—a nice place to work, but you can't afford to live here. Unless the Mayor and Board of Supervisors take immediate action and pass necessary legislation, the situation will just get worse. Our children will suffer the consequences and rightly blame us when they cannot find housing. Let's take the first positive step to make San Francisco a great and affordable place to live.

Vote Yes on Proposition K.

Builders for Affordable Housing.
Jesse Stacy, Chairman

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION K

Vote Yes on K

For years, San Francisco's housing supply has been under great strain, despite a decline in the city's population. Changing life-styles and new, young families have increased demand for new units while a collection of over-restrictive zoning ordinances, antiquated building codes, and inexcusable delays in the permit approval process have discouraged the production of new units and greatly increased the costs of the few units which are being produced. As a consequence, San Francisco suffers the questionable distinction of being the most expensive housing market in the continental United States.

Almost weekly, questions and issues come before the Board which impact housing. In absence of a stated goal or policy decisions are often made on the basis of political expediency with insufficient consideration of their long term impact on housing development. Passage of this initiative will tell the Board of Supervisors that San Franciscans want a city-wide housing policy which produces a climate favorable to development of the housing so vitally needed by our City and will be the first step towards the development of a comprehensive city housing plan.

Wallace Stokes
Past-President, Black Leadership Forum

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION K

Our Housing Committee has thoroughly investigated the potential for new housing in San Francisco and we are satisfied that 20,000 new units can be added without detriment to the character or quality of life in any neighborhood. The environmental and energy benefits are immense and we urge a "yes" vote on Proposition K.

Northern California Chapter,
The American Institute of Architects
J. Peter Winkelstein, President
Northern California Chapter, AIA

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION K WAS SUBMITTED
Retirement Cost-of-Living Increase

PROPOSITION L

DECLARATION OF POLICY: Shall the Board of Supervisors submit a Charter amendment to adjust cost-of-living increases or decreases in retirement allowances for miscellaneous employees, from funds legally available for such purposes, in accordance with percentage cost-of-living allowances determined to be in effect annually in other San Francisco Bay Area counties; provided that such cost-of-living allowances to San Francisco retired employees or beneficiaries shall not be less than 2 percent annually?

Analysis
By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: The Charter now provides for a cost-of-living adjustment for retired city employees. This adjustment cannot be more than a 2% increase per year of the basic retirement allowance. Only a charter amendment can change this.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition L would direct the Board of Supervisors to put such a charter amendment on the ballot. This amendment would set cost-of-living adjustments in retirement allowances according to a formula based on cost-of-living allowances in other Bay Area counties. Any increase could not be less than 2% per year of the basic retirement allowance.

A YES VOTE MEANS: You want the Board of Supervisors to place a charter amendment before the voters which would change the method of adjusting retirement allowances for cost-of-living.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you do not want a charter amendment changing retirement cost-of-living adjustments.

Controller’s Statement on “L”
City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition L:

“Should the proposed Declaration of Policy be approved, in my opinion, it would neither increase nor decrease the cost of government.

“If a Charter amendment is subsequently approved by the electorate granting permissive powers to the Board of Supervisors to adjust cost-of-living allowances, in and of itself, the legislation would have no effect on the cost of government. However, future legislative action by the Board of Supervisors increasing the current 2% ceiling on cost-of-living allowances could result in an increase in the cost of government. Based on existing actuarial data, each 1% increase beyond the current 2% ceiling could add approximately $8,000,000 to the cost of government.”

How “L” Got On Ballot
Proposition L was placed on the November 4 ballot through a provision in the present City Charter which allows four or more members of the Board of Supervisors, acting individually rather than as a legislative body, to place an ordinance or a policy measure on the ballot. San Francisco is believed to be the only city or county with a legal provision of this type.

On August 21 Registrar of Voters Jay Patterson received a request signed by seven supervisors asking that the issue of cost-of-living adjustments for retired City employees be placed on the ballot as a “Declaration of Policy” for the voters to decide. The Supervisors signing the request were Don Horanzy, Quentin Kopp, Nancy Walker, Harry Britt, Doris Ward, John Molinari and John Bardis.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION L

Vote “Yes” on Proposition L
For years our retired city employees have struggled to meet the increased cost of living on fixed incomes. More than 65 percent of our members, who paid into the Retirement System during their working years, are living on monthly retirement allowances of less than $500 with an existing non-compounded 2 percent annual adjustment for inflation. Cost-of-living statistics are nagging reminders that 2 percent provides only $3 to $4 monthly to meet living costs. This ballot measure won’t cost the taxpayers one dollar. As a declaration of Policy it merely authorizes the Board of Supervisors to study and develop a more reasonable cost-of-living adjustment. Results of the Board’s study would be included in a Charter Amendment for submission to the people at a later election. San Francisco’s 2 percent cost-of-living allowance is among the lowest of Bay Area Counties. In fairness, we urge a “YES” vote on Proposition L.

Frank H. Dunne, President
Jaykee Ford, 1st Vice-President
Retired Employees, City and County of San Francisco.

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION L WAS SUBMITTED

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
Tax-the-Corporations Initiative

PROPOSITION M

DECLARATION OF POLICY: We, the people, declare that San Francisco must increase the taxes paid by its largest corporations. It is fundamentally unjust that large corporations, such as giant oil companies whose profits will exceed $1 trillion in the 1980's, pay a lower rate of taxes than the average wage-earner; and that San Francisco's huge banks and insurance companies pay no local business taxes at all. We pay our share, and so should they.

Analysis

By Ballot Simplification Committee

THE WAY IT IS NOW: To cover the cost of providing city services, the city of San Francisco collects taxes from several sources as well as special fees.

THE PROPOSAL: Proposition M declares that the city of San Francisco must increase the taxes paid by its largest corporations.

A YES VOTE MEANS: If you vote yes, you agree that San Francisco should increase the taxes paid by its largest corporations.

A NO VOTE MEANS: If you vote no, you want taxes set as they are now.

Controller's Statement on "M"

City Controller John C. Farrell has issued the following statement on the fiscal impact of Proposition M:

"Should the proposed Declaration of Policy be approved, in my opinion, it would neither increase nor decrease the cost of government.

How Proposition M Got on the Ballot

On August 26 City Registrar of Voters Jay Patterson certified that the initiative petition calling for Proposition M to be placed on the ballot had qualified for the ballot.

Grass Roots Alliance, the proponents of the initiative had filed 16,122 signatures with Patterson on August 6. After examining the signatures, Patterson determined that there were 11,762 valid signatures. This is more than the 9,679 signatures needed to put an initiative Declaration of Policy on the ballot.

9,679 represents 5% of the number of people who voted for mayor in 1979.
Tax-the-Corporations Initiative

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION M

Vote Yes on Proposition M!

Proposition M offers San Franciscans the opportunity to send a clear, strong message to our city officials: we, the people, declare that the taxes of the largest corporations should be increased. Proposition M is a Declaration of Policy by which the voters of San Francisco can tell City Hall: we demand a more equitable tax system.

Today, large corporations such as giant oil companies, whose profits will exceed $1 trillion in the 1980's, pay a lower rate of taxes than the average wage earner. The banks and private insurance companies pay no local business taxes at all, while working people are more and more hard-pressed by inflation and the entire economic crisis of our times. This is fundamentally unjust; we pay our share and so should they.

If Proposition M is passed, there will be a mandate from the people of San Francisco for city government to increase the share of taxes paid by the largest corporations. As an expression of the people's will, Proposition M would instruct such city officials as the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to put into effect specific laws to increase the taxes paid by the largest corporations. With the mandate of Proposition M in hand, it will be possible to bring pressure for a more equitable tax system. Let the will of the people be heard—Vote Yes on Proposition M!

Submitted by: Committee to Tax the Corporations, Nancy Kelly, Treasurer

Endorsed by: Democratic Workers Party/Grass Roots Alliance Joint Council

Joyce Chapman, Operating Engineer, Parks and Recreation
Rev. José Luis Luna
John Lorenzini, Accountant
Patty Mizutani, Physician Assistant
Virginia Peyongiyong, Mental Health Worker
Steve Robins, California State Employee
Marvin Stender, Attorney
Ida Strickland, Program Director

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION M

Vote No on Proposition M

Proposition P in 1979 and Proposition V this past June both were overwhelmingly defeated by San Francisco voters. How many times must we vote on the same measure?

A look at the facts reveals how unnecessary this destructive measure really is. In June, voters approved a whole series of taxes to help San Francisco meet its fiscal needs—a large part of which are now being paid by business. And the Board of Supervisors has added still more taxes, in an effort to ensure that we continue to enjoy a high level of municipal services. Proposition M, which will add a new unidentified layer of taxation is the last thing we need.

Proposition M is a bad idea for San Francisco consumers and businesses alike. Proponents tell you that their targets are our City's large corporations—but the history of their initiatives indicates a callous disregard for the survival of our neighborhood small businesses. You should know that their scheme will spell higher consumer prices, possible hiring freezes and layoffs and many more troubles for our vital neighborhood stores and restaurants.

While it is true that San Francisco needs a just tax system, Proposition M will only hurt our economy and reduce our quality of life. Proposition M is a negative approach that will only divide our community. It won't hurt business as much as it will hurt you.

We urge you to vote NO on Proposition M.

Jo Daly
Al Faich
Gregory P. Hurst
Wallace E. Stakes, III
William K. Coblenz

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION M

San Francisco is losing jobs! Nearly every day another company moves across the Bay due to the business taxes imposed—at the highest rate in California—by our city government. And now, for the third time, the secretive Grass Roots Alliance has introduced another misleading and negative "Tax the Corporations" initiative. The Alliance claims that "banks and insurance companies pay no local business taxes at all," but that's simply not true! They pay a state-imposed tax, which is returned to California cities and counties on a formula basis. Misleading and false statements serve no positive purpose. We need jobs, not rhetoric. Vote NO on Proposition M.

Kevin W. Wadsworth
Candidate for Supervisor

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
CONTINUATION OF TEXT OF PROPOSITION B

(continued from page 68)

law, nor shall that person use any privileged information obtained by him by virtue of his office or employment to advance the financial or other private interest of himself or others.

(d) No person who has served as an officer or employee of the city and county shall within a period of two (2) years after termination of such service or employment appear before the board or agency of the city and county of which he was a member in order to represent any private interest, provided, however, that said officer or employee may appear before said board or agency for the purpose of representing himself.

(e) No officer or employee of the city and county shall receive, directly or indirectly, any compensation, reward or gift from any source except compensation from the City and County of San Francisco, or any other governmental agency to which he has been duly appointed for any service, advice, assistance or other matter related to the governmental processes of the city and county, except for fees for speeches or published writing.

(f) No officer or employee shall have an interest in any matter for his consideration or determination which arises from a close business association of a continuing nature. A close business association is a such nature as means any undertaking for profit, including, but not limited to, a corporation, partnership, officeholding or employment in or by any labor or employee organization, trust, proprietorship, association, or joint venture.

(g) The civil service commission with respect to officers and employees whose positions are subject to the civil service provisions of the charter other than officer and members of the fire and police departments, the fire commission with respect to officers and members of the fire department and the police commission with respect to officers and members of the police department, are each empowered to prescribe and enforce such reasonable rules and regulations as each commission deems necessary to effectuate the purposes and intent of this section. Such rules and regulations may provide for restrictions against activities, employments and enterprises other than those described or mentioned herein when such restrictions are found necessary for the preservation of the honor or efficiency of the city and county civil service or for the protection of the best interests of the city and county service in any respect.

(h) Any person violating any of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon final judgment of conviction of same, such person shall be removed from office.

(i) A prohibited conflict of interest exists whenever an officer or employee of the city and county must pass judgment upon a matter which either directly or indirectly affects a private party who is a child or spouse of the officer or employee.

(j) An officer or employee shall not be deemed interested in or in the performance of any contract, work, business, or the sale of any article, the expense, price or consideration of which is payable from the treasury, nor shall he be deemed interested in the purchase or lease of any real estate or other property belonging to, or taken by, the city and county, or which shall be sold for taxes and assessments, or by virtue of legal process at the suit of the city and county, nor shall he be deemed interested in any franchise, right or privilege granted by the city and county, within the meaning of subsection (a) unless such contract, work, business, sale, purchase, lease, franchise, right or privilege is awarded, entered into, or authorized by him in his capacity as officer or employee, or by an officer or employee under his supervision and control, or by a board or commission of which he is a member.

(k) An officer of the city and county shall not be deemed to have a conflicting interest in any transaction described in subsection (j) awarded, entered into or authorized by a board or commission of which he is a member if he has only a remote interest therein and the fact of such interest is disclosed to the board or commission of which he is a member and noted in the minutes and official records and the board or commission authorizes, approves, or ratifies the transaction in good faith by a vote of its membership sufficient for the purpose without counting the vote or votes of the officer or member with the remote interest, unless the transaction must be awarded to the highest or lowest responsible bidder as the case may be on a particular day and the vote of such officer or member is necessary to a quorum on that day.

(1) As used in this section "remote interest" means:

(1) The ownership of less than three per cent of the shares of a corporation for profit;

(2) That of an officer in being reimbursed for his actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of official duty; provided, however, that the city and county treasurer is solely responsible for such reimbursement and that only those expenses which are strictly necessary for the performance of official duty shall be reimbursed;

(3) That of a parent in the earnings of his minor child for personal services.

(m) All contracts, work, business, sales, purchases, leases, franchises, rights or privileges herein mentioned heretofore awarded, entered into or authorized by any board or commission of the City and County of San Francisco in which an officer or member had a remote interest as hereinabove defined are hereby ratified and confirmed.

(n) The provisions of subsections (j) through (m) of this section shall not be applicable to any officer or employee who influences or attempts to influence the award, execution or authorization of any transaction described in subsection (j), in which he has a direct or indirect interest.

(o) No member of any board or commission of the city and county shall knowingly vote on or in any way attempt to influence the outcome of governmental action on any measure or question involving his own character or conduct, his right as a member, his appointment to any office, position, or employment, or on any measure or question wherein the said member's financial interest is immediate, particular, and distinct from the public interest. The word "knowingly" as used in this paragraph shall mean actual or constructive knowledge of the existence of the interest which would disqualify the vote under the provisions of this section.

If under any provision of this charter or of any ordinance, resolution, rule or regulation, action on any measure or question must be taken on a particular day and such action cannot be taken by a qualified voting quorum of the board or commission on that day by reason of the disqualification from voting under the provisions of this section, said action may be postponed until, but not later than, there are sufficient qualified members present to vote and take action on said measure or question. The term "a qualified voting quorum" as used in this paragraph shall mean the presence of a sufficient number of qualified voting members of the board or commission to take either affirmative or negative action on the measure or question before the board or commission.

(p) The city attorney, the district attorney of the City and County of San Francisco or any resident or group of residents of the City and County of San Francisco may bring a suit in the superior court to compel compliance with the provisions of this section.
8.105. Conflict of Interest and Other Prohibited Practices

(a) No officer or employee of the City and County shall become directly or indirectly interested in any contract, franchise, right privilege or sale or lease of property awarded, entered into or authorized by him in his capacity as an officer or employee, or by an officer or employee under his supervision and control, or by a board or commission of which he is a member, unless same is devolved upon him by law. An officer or employee with such an interest however acquired, shall become divested of said interest within 60 days or shall resign said office or employment.

(b) No officer or employee shall give or promise any money or other valuable thing in consideration of his nomination, appointment, or election to any City and County office or employment or accept, other than lawful political campaign contributions, any gratuity in money or other valuable thing, either directly or indirectly, from any subordinate or employee or from any candidate or applicant for a position employee or subordinate under him.

(c) No officer or employee shall make, participate in making or in any way attempt to use his office or employment to influence a governmental decision in which he knows or has reason to know he has a financial interest, as defined by California Government Code Section 87103.

(d) No officer or employee of the city and county shall willfully or knowingly disclose any privileged information concerning property, government, or affairs of the city and county, unless a duty to do so is imposed upon said person by law, nor shall that person use any privileged information obtained by him by virtue of his office or employment to advance the financial or other private interest of himself or others.

(e) No person who has served as an officer or employee of the city and county shall within a period of two (2) years after termination of such service or employment appear before the Board or agency of the city and county of which he was a member in order to represent any private interest, provided, however, that said officer or employee may appear before said board for the purpose of representing himself.

(f) No officer or employee of the city and county shall receive, directly or indirectly, any compensation, reward or gift from any source except compensation from the City and County of San Francisco, or any other governmental agency to which he has been duly appointed for any service, advice, assistance or other matter related to the governmental processes of the city and county, except for fees for speeches or

(g) The civil service commission with respect to officers and employees whose positions are subject to the civil service provisions of the charter other than officer and members of the fire and police departments, the fire commission with respect to officers and members of the fire department and the police commission with respect to officers and members of the police department, are each empowered to prescribe and enforce such reasonable rules and regulations as each commission deems necessary to effectuate the purposes and intent of this section. Such rules and regulations may provide for restrictions against activities, employment, and enterprises other than those described or mentioned herein when such restrictions are found necessary for the preservation of the honor or efficiency of the city and county civil service, or for the protection of the best interests of the city and county service in any respect.

(b) An officer or employee shall not be deemed to be interested in any transaction described in subsections a or c above if he has only a remote interest in the transaction and if the fact of such interest is disclosed and noted in the official records of the board, commission or department and thereafter the board, commission or department authorizes approves, or ratifies the transaction in good faith by a vote of its membership sufficient for the purpose without counting the vote or votes of the officer or member with the remote interest or by his immediate superior unless the transaction must be awarded to the highest or lowest responsible bidder as the case may be on a particular day and the vote of such officer or member is necessary to a quorum on that day.

1. As used in this article "remote interest" means:

(A) That of a nonsalaried officer of a nonprofit corporation:

(B) That of an employee or agent of the party involved in the transaction, if such party has 10 or more other employees and if the officer or employee was an employee or agent of said party for at least three years prior to his initially accepting his office or employment.

For the purposes of this subsection, time of employment with the party by the officer or employee shall be counted in computing the three-year period specified in this subsection even though such party has been converted from one form of business organization to a different form of business organization at the time of the initial taking of office by such officer. Time of employment in such case shall be counted only if, after the transfer or change in organization, the real or ultimate ownership of the party is the same or substantially similar to that which existed before such transfer or change in organization. For the purposes of this subsection, stockholders, bondholders, partners, or other persons holding an interest in the contracting party are regarded as having the "real or ultimate ownership" of such party.

(C) That of a parent in the earnings of his minor child for personal services;

(D) That of a landlord or tenant of the party;

(E) That of an attorney of the party;

(F) That of a supplier of goods or services when such goods or services were last supplied to the party by or on account for at least five years prior to his election or appointment to office or employment.

(G) That of an officer, director, or employee of a bank, bank holding company, or savings and loan association with which a party to the transaction has the relationship of borrower or depositor, debtor or creditor.

2. The provisions of this subsection shall not be applicable to any officer or employee interested in a transaction who influences or attempts to influence another officer or employee to enter into the transaction.

(i) An officer or employee shall not be deemed to be interested in a transaction pursuant to subsections a and c above if the officer or employee:

1. The ownership of less than 3 percent of the shares of a corporation for profit, provided the total annual income to him from dividends, including the value of stock dividends, from the corporation does not exceed 5 percent of his total annual income, and any other payments made to him by the corporation do not exceed 5 percent of his total annual income;

2. That of an officer or employee in being reimbursed for his actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of official duty;

(continued)
(Prop. B, continued)

(3) That of a recipient of public services generally provided by the board, commission or department of which he is a member or employee, on the same terms and conditions as if he were not a member or employee of the board, commission or department.

(4) That of a landlord or tenant of the transacting party if such party is the federal government or any federal department or agency, this state or an adjoining state, any department or agency of this state or an adjoining state, any county or city of this state or an adjoining state, or any public corporation or special, judicial, or other public district of this state or an adjoining state unless the subject matter of such transaction is the property in which such officer or employee has such interest as landlord or tenant, in which event his interest shall be deemed a remote interest within the meaning and subject to the provisions of subsection (g).

(5) That of a tenant in a public housing authority created pursuant to Part 2 (commencing with Section 34200) of Division 24 of the Health and Safety Code in which he serves as a member of the board of commissioners of the authority or of a community development commission created pursuant to Part 1.7 (commencing with Section 34100) of Division 24 of the Health and Safety Code.

(6) That of a spouse of an officer or employee in his spouse's employment or officeholding if his spouse's employment or officeholding has existed for at least one year prior to his election or appointment.

(7) That of a nonsalaried member of a nonprofit corporation, provided that such interest is disclosed at the time of the first consideration of the transaction and provided further that such interest is noted in its official records.

(8) An officer or employee shall not be deemed to be interested in a contract made pursuant to competitive bidding under a procedure established by law if his sole interest is that of an officer, director, or employee of a bank or savings and loan association with which a party to the contract has the relationship of a borrower or depositor, debtor or creditor.

(j) No member of any board or commission of the city and county shall knowingly vote on or in any way attempt to influence the outcome of governmental action on any measure or question involving his own character or conduct, his right as a member, or his appointment to any office, position, or employment, wherein the said member's financial interest is immediate, particular, and distinct from the public interest. The word "knowingly" as used in this paragraph shall mean actually or constructively knowledge of the existence of the interest which would disqualify the vote under the provisions of this section.

If under any provision of this charter or of any ordinance, resolution, rule or regulation, action on any measure or question must be taken on a particular day and such action cannot be taken by a qualified voting quorum of the board or commission on that day by reason of the disqualification from voting under the provisions of this section, said action may be postponed until, but not later than, there are sufficient qualified members present to vote and take action on said measure or question. The term "a qualified voting quorum" as used in this paragraph shall mean the presence of a sufficient number of qualified voting members of the board or commission to take either affirmative or negative action on the measure or question before the board or commission.

(k) The city attorney, the district attorney of the City and County of San Francisco or any resident or group of residents of the City and County of San Francisco may bring a suit in the superior court to compel compliance with the provisions of this section.

(l) The provisions of section 8.105 shall not apply to any member serving as a representative of any profession, trade, business, union or association on any board, commission or other body heretofore or hereafter created by an ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco which requires that the membership consist in whole or in part of representatives of specific professions, trades, businesses, unions or associations. Conflicts of interest and prohibited practices of such members and the penalties therefore shall be as proscribed by the ordinance creating such board, commission or other body or by an amendment thereto.

(m) Any person violating any of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon a final judgment of conviction of same, shall be removed from office or in the alternative shall be subject to a penalty of not more than one year in jail and/or fine of not more than $10,000, as well as removal.

(n) Every contract made in violation of any of the provisions of Section 8.105 may be avoided at the instance of any party except the officer or employee interested therein. No such contract may be avoided because of the interest of an officer or employee therein unless such contract is made in the official capacity of such officer or employee, or by a board or body of which he is a member.

CONTINUATION OF TEXT OF PROPOSITION E

(continued from page 74)

(3) for said members of the police department other than police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers shall include the same percent of adjustment as that established by said ordinance for police officers in the fourth year of service; and

(4) shall be set at the dollar amount nearest the fractional amount which may result from percentage adjustment specified in this section, half dollars being taken to the next higher dollar amount.

The rates of compensation set forth in the budget estimates, the budget and the annual salary ordinance shall be those fixed by the Board of Supervisors as in this section provided and appropriations therefor shall be based thereon.

The expression "rates of compensation", as used in this section in relation to said survey, is hereby declared to apply only to a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, and does not include such working benefits as might be set up by any other city by way of holidays, vacations, other permitted absences of any type whatsoever, overtime, night or split shift, or pay for specialized services within a classification or rank, or other premium pay differentials of any type whatsoever. The foregoing enumeration is not exclusive, but it is the intent of this section that nothing other than a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, is to be included within the meaning of "rates of compensation".

Working benefits and premium pay differential of any type shall be allowed or paid to members of the police department referred to herein only as is otherwise provided in this charter.

For all purposes of the retirement system, the expression "rates of compensation" as used in this section, shall mean "salary attached to the rank" as used in Section 166 of the Charter of 1932, as amended, and, with the addition of fifteen dollars per month now provided in subsection (b) with respect to members assigned to two-wheeled motorcycle traffic duty, shall also mean "compensation earnable" as used in Section 8.549.

The term "police officers or patrolmen" as used in this section shall mean the persons employed in the police departments of said cities of 350,000 population or over or of the
The rates of compensation, fixed in said ordinance, (1) for the fourth year of service and thereafter the rate of compensation shall be fixed at a rate which is the average of the maximum compensation paid firemen classifications in regular service in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission. "Average wage" as used in this paragraph shall mean the sum of the maximum averages certified by the civil service commission divided by the number of firemen classifications in cities in said certification; (2) for the first, second and third year of service for firemen shall be established in accordance with the general percentage differential between seniority steps found in the salary ranges included in the cities certified by the civil service commission for the same class; (3) for said members of the fire department other than firemen shall include the same percent of adjustment as that established by said ordinance for firemen in the fourth year of service; and (4) shall be set at the dollar amount nearest the fractional amount which may result from percentage adjustment specified in this section, half dollars being taken to the next higher dollar amount.

The expression "rates of compensation" as used in this section, in relation to said survey, is hereby declared to apply only to a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, and does not include such working benefits as might be set up by any other city by ways of holidays, vacations, other permitted absences for any type whatsoever, overtime, night or split shift, or pay for specialized services within a classification or rank, or other premium pay differentials of any type whatsoever. The foregoing enumeration is not exclusive, but it is the intent of this section that nothing other than a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, is to be included within the meaning of "rates of compensation".

Working benefits and premium pay differentials of any type shall be allowed or paid to members of the fire department referred to herein only as is otherwise provided in this charter.

"For all purposes of the retirement system, the expression "rates of compensation", as used in subsection (c) and (d) of this section shall mean "salary attached to the rank" as used in Section 169 of the charter of 1932, as amended and "compensation earnable" as used in Section 8.549.

The term "firemen" as used in this section shall mean the persons employed, in the fire departments of said cities of 350,000 population or over of the City and County of San Francisco, to perform substantially the duties being performed on the effective date of this section by drivers, stokers, tillermen, truckmen, or hosemen, in the San Francisco Fire Department.

The expression "members of the fire department" does not include members of the fire commission.

The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave, as defined by Section 8.361 of this charter shall be reckoned a part of his service under the City and County, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided in this charter.

On the recommendation of the Chief of department, the commission may reward any officer or member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form of amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The rates of compensation for the ranks of captain, bureau of fire prevention and public safety, and lieutenant, bureau of fire investigation, shall be thirteen percent (13%) above the compensation established for the ranks of captain and lieutenant as provided for in this section. The rates of compensation for the ranks of inspector, bureau of fire prevention and public safety, and investigator, bureau of fire investigation, shall be ten percent (10%) above the compensation established for the ranks of inspector and investigator.

(continued)
(Prop. E, continued)

sation established for the rank of chief's operator as provided for in this section. The rate of compensation shall be set at the dollar amount nearest the fractional amount which may result from percentage adjustment specified in this subsection, half dollars being taken to the next higher dollar amount.

The rates of compensation fixed pursuant to the provisions of subsection (a) (1), (2) and (3) and the rates of compensation fixed pursuant to the provisions of subsection (c) (1), (2) and (3) shall be the same. Such rates shall not exceed the highest average rate of compensation fixed pursuant to subsections (a) (1), (2) and (3) and (o) (1), (2) and (3) above, whether it be paid to police officers, patrolmen or firemen; provided, further, that the minimum rate of compensation attached to the rank of sergeant in the police department shall be equal to the rate of compensation attached to the rank of lieutenant in the fire department.

(e) Not later than the 25th day of August the Board of Supervisors shall have the power and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter but, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance as necessary to include the provisions of paying the rates of compensation fixed by the Board of Supervisors as in this section provided for uniformed members of the police and fire departments for the then current fiscal year.

(f) Not later than the 1st day of August of each year, the Civil Service Commission shall determine and certify to the Board of Supervisors the percentage of increase or decrease in the cost of living during the twelve-month period ending March 31st of that same year as shown by the Consumer Price Index, All Items San Francisco, and the percentage of increase or decrease in the cost of living during the same period as shown by the Consumer Price Index, All Items, in the cities included in the certified report of said commission. The Consumer Price Index referred to herein is defined as that certain index issued by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and published in the Monthly Labor Review or a successor publication. In the event the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics discontinues the compilation and publication of said indexes, the Board of Supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its duty, to appoint a statistical fact finding committee to determine the same pursuant to the methods theretofore used by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. The cost of living adjustment as hereinafter provided shall be based upon the percentage of such increases or decreases. The Board of Supervisors may, in addition to the rates of compensation as established herein, and at the same time said rates of compensation are established, increase said rates of compensation by an amount equal to the difference between the average cost of living increase of the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission and the actual cost of living increase for San Francisco. In the event the Board of Supervisors elects not to grant such cost of living increase in any year in which any such increase might be granted, the Board of Supervisors shall, upon a written request filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors not later than the 10th day of September of said year by representatives of the uniformed members of the police and fire departments, as designated by the police and fire commissions, respectively, submit the question of said cost of living increase to the qualified electors of the City and County at the next succeeding city-wide election. In the event said cost of living increase is approved by a majority of the qualified electors voting thereon, said cost of living increase shall be effective as of the first day of the then current fiscal year.

(g) Notwithstanding any of the provisions contained in this section, no uniformed member of the police or fire department employed before July 1, 1976, whose compensation is fixed pursuant to the formula contained herein, shall suffer a salary reduction by the application of any new compensation schedules, and the rates for fiscal year 1975-76 shall continue until such time as the new schedules equal or exceed the current salary increment schedules, provided, however, that such time shall not be extended beyond June 30, 1982, and provided further that this prohibition against reduction of compensation for the designated employees shall not be deemed to supersede the provisions of Section 8.406 of this charter.

(h) Notwithstanding any of the provisions contained in this section, no uniformed member of the police or fire department, whose compensation is fixed pursuant to the formula contained herein, shall suffer a salary reduction by the application of the compensation schedules provided for herein. Provided, however, that this prohibition against reduction of compensation for the designated employees shall not be deemed to supersede the provisions of Section 8.406 of this charter.

CONTINUATION OF TEXT OF PROPOSITION F

(continued from page 76)

years and then at the rate of $1000 for each additional year of said service credit. This monetary consideration shall be paid from said member's contribution account including any interest thereon. When said member's contribution account is depleted, the balance shall be paid from the City and County contributions herein by the retirement system.

This consideration shall be payable January 1, 1982. Alternatively, an employee may elect to receive payments according to a schedule established by the Retirement Board.

Notwithstanding any other charter or ordinance provisions, no change in the provisions of Charter Section 8.586 and those provisions incorporated therein by reference, shall apply to those persons transferring pursuant to this section unless separately approved by the electorate in a separate charter amendment.

Notwithstanding any other charter or ordinance provisions except proposed Charter Section 8.586-15 as proposed to the electorate for the election of November 4, 1980, that portion of any benefits pursuant to this section which became payable because of an increase in benefits under Charter Section 8.586 subsequent to July 1, 1980, shall be reduced dollar for dollar when pay-

able not to exceed the amount of monetary consideration plus interest said member received for making this transfer.

SEC. 8.585-14 Right to Transfer

Notwithstanding any provisions of this charter to the contrary, any person who, on or after January 1, 1981, is a member of the Fire Department, and is a member of the Retirement System under Charter Section 8.585, may become a member of the Retirement System under Charter Section 8.588 by filing in writing with the Retirement System no later than December 31, 1981, an executed waiver of all benefits which might accrue to him under Charter Section 8.585. This waiver must be without right of revocation and on a form furnished by the retirement system. The Retirement Board may require that this waiver be executed by additional parties before it becomes operative.

This transfer will be effective July 1, 1980. Those persons so electing to become members under Charter Section 8.588 shall receive service credit under Charter Section 8.588 equal to the service credit under Charter Section 8.585 as of June 30, 1980.

(continued)
Those persons so electing to become members under Charter Section 8.588 shall not be subject to any of those provisions of Charter Section 8.585 as of July 1, 1980.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Charter Section 8.526, the cost of living adjustment in any given year for those persons electing this transfer to Charter Section 8.588 shall not exceed the provisions of Charter Section 8.526 as they existed on July 1, 1980.

Those persons so electing to transfer membership from Charter Section 8.585 to Charter Section 8.588 shall receive a monetary consideration not to exceed $40,000 calculated at the rate of $2,500 for each year of said service credit up to ten years and then at the rate of $1000 for each additional year of said service credit. This monetary consideration shall be paid from said member's contribution account including any interest thereon. When said member's contribution account is depleted, the balance shall be paid from the City and County contributions held by the retirement system.

This consideration shall be payable January 1, 1982. Alternatively, an employee may elect to receive payments according to a schedule established by the Retirement Board.

Notwithstanding any other charter or ordinance provisions, no change in the provisions of Charter Section 8.588 and those provisions incorporated therein by reference, shall apply to those persons transferring pursuant to this section unless separately approved by the electorate in a separate charter amendment.

Notwithstanding any other charter or ordinance provisions except proposed Charter Section 8.588-15 as proposed to the electorate for the election of November 4, 1980, that portion of any benefits pursuant to this section, payable because of any increase in benefits under Charter Section 8.588 subsequent to July 1, 1980, shall be reduced dollar for dollar when payable not to exceed the amount of monetary consideration plus interest said member received for making this transfer.

**TEXT OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT**

**PROPOSITION Q**

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

**SEC. 8.586-15 Vesting**

Notwithstanding any provisions of this charter to the contrary, should any member of the police department who is a member of the retirement system under Charter Section 8.586 with five years of credited service, cease to be so employed, through any cause other than death or retirement, he shall have the right to elect, without right of revocation and within 90 days after termination of said service, to allow his accumulated contributions including interest to remain in the retirement fund and to receive a retirement benefit calculated at termination, defined as that proportion of the normal service retirement benefit that his accrued service credit bears to 25 years, payable beginning at age 50.

**SEC. 8.588-15 Vesting**

Notwithstanding any provisions of this charter to the contrary, should any member of the fire department who is a member of the retirement system under Charter Section 8.588 with five years of credited service, cease to be so employed, through any cause other than death or retirement, he shall have the right to elect, without right of revocation and within 90 days after termination of said service, to allow his accumulated contributions including interest to remain in the retirement fund and to receive a retirement benefit calculated at termination, defined as that proportion of the normal service retirement benefit that his accrued service credit bears to 25 years, payable beginning at age 50.

**CONTINUATION OF TEXT PROPOSITION F**

(continued from page 83)

18. Electrical employees shall have the right to refuse to work with non-union employees with no penalty.
19. City to provide meals when electrical employees work overtime for more than four hours.
20. City to provide electrical employees with overalls and launder of same or to provide a clothing allowance.
21. Inclement weather Section to be amended by more narrowly defining the provision's application.
22. City to provide all transportation to and from job sites.
23. Union agrees not to strike during the term of the Memorandum of Understanding but reserves the right for its members not to cross picket line of appropriately sanctioned strike.
24. City to provide a grievance procedure for electrical employees.
25. City to recognize union shop stewards.

**APPLICATION FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT**

**IS IN VOLUME ONE**

**OF YOUR VOTERS’ PAMPHLET**
## VOTER SELECTION COUPON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CANDIDATES</th>
<th>STATE PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>CITY PROPOSITIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. President</td>
<td>YES 1  NO A</td>
<td>YES 1  NO A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Senator</td>
<td>YES 2  NO B</td>
<td>YES 2  NO B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Rep. in Congress</td>
<td>YES 3  NO C</td>
<td>YES 3  NO C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senator</td>
<td>YES 4  NO D</td>
<td>YES 4  NO D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Assembly</td>
<td>YES 5  NO E</td>
<td>YES 5  NO E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge</td>
<td>YES 6  NO F</td>
<td>YES 6  NO F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Education</td>
<td>YES 7  NO G</td>
<td>YES 7  NO G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>YES 8  NO H</td>
<td>YES 8  NO H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>YES 9  NO I</td>
<td>YES 9  NO I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>YES 10 NO J</td>
<td>YES 10 NO J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>YES 11 NO K</td>
<td>YES 11 NO K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community College Board</td>
<td>YES L  NO M</td>
<td>YES L  NO M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>YES N  NO N</td>
<td>YES N  NO N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Write your choices on the coupon and bring it to your voting booth. It will make voting easier for you, and will reduce the time others have to wait.

### WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBILITY:
The letter in parentheses on the second line of your address label indicates degree of wheelchair accessibility at the precinct:

(A) Easily accessible
(B) Accessible with assistance
(C) Very difficult or impossible

These evaluations take into account architectural barriers only. Geographical barriers you may encounter enroute to the polls have not been considered.

Your rights as a handicapped voter appear elsewhere in this pamphlet; see index.