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For Supervisor
JOHN ABRAHAM

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is John Abraham. My residence address is at No. 2626 - 16th Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Painting Contractor & Paint & Hardware Store.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Educated in Chicago, father of two daughters, veteran of World War II, experienced in the operation and management of own business. Have acted as both employee and employer and familiar with problems of each. As a Supervisor I will work diligently to make San Francisco a safer, happier place in which to live, and will work positively to promote any project for the betterment of San Francisco. Am member of American Legion, VFW, Lions, Shrine, Noriega Merchants, Sunset Community Improvement, Moose and other organizations. My aims: A cleaner, more prosperous and forward looking city.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Painting Contractor" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: JOHN ABRAHAM.

Subscribed before me and filed this 13th day of September, 1957.
THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for John Abraham are:

Alma Abraham, 2626 - 16th Ave., Housewife.
Barry I. Collin, 192 Seal Rock Drive, Real Estate Salesman.
Claire G. Dickinson, 2600 - 16th Ave., Homemaker.
Ernest A. Dickinson, 2600 - 16th Ave., Realtor.
Louis D. Fuller, 2435 - 38th Ave., Painter.
Vida L. Herold, 192 Seal Rock Drive, Real Estate & Insurance Broker.
Wendel S. Kretz, Jr., 800 Head St., Bakery Owner.
William Lazar, 11 Euceline Court, Taxi—Owner Pres. of Luxor Cabs.
Raymond Lawless, 3820 Lawton St., Partner and Advertising Manager "Sunset News."
Chang W. Lee, 1512 Jones St., Dentist.
James H. Loo, 1957 Fulton St., Banking.
Eugenia MacGowan, 6831 Geary Blvd., Attorney.
Leslie G. MacGowan, 6831 Geary Blvd., Attorney.
Wallace H. Oliver, 706 Funston Ave., Service Station Owner.
David Wiseman, 1422 - 41st Ave., Real Estate Broker.
For Supervisor

FRANK BARBARIA

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office; That my name is Frank Barbaria. My residence address is at No. 1779 Mason Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Electrician.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I stand for city planning to provide for needs of the majority and not for profit-interests of the few; for municipal ownership of electric power system; for 30,000 Federal low cost housing units in place of the miserable 300 units now planned; for equal wages for women for equal work; for an FEPC with compulsory enforcement provisions; full trade with and recognition of New China; a referendum vote for San Franciscans to express opposition to A-bomb testing; and for inspection of foods by the Public Health Department to detect radio active content; for building a workers independent political party.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Electrician" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: FRANK BARBARIA.

Subscribed before me and filed this 12th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By P. J. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Frank Barbaria are:

David E. Adrian, 628 Montgomery St., Waterfront Worker.
Asher F. Harer, 149 Detroit St., Longshoreman.
Edward R. Harer, 2162 Quesada Ave., Landscape Gardener.
Lucile G. Harer, 2162 Quesada Ave., Housewife.
Thomas M. Harper, 719 Oak St., Machinist.
Joan Jordan, 77 Bradford St., Lithograph Worker.
George LeFlore, Jr., 330 Bright St., Warehouseman.
Bertha Martin, 127 Bridgeview Dr., Housewife.
Alexander Shumacher, 959 South Van Ness Ave., Student at City College.
Donald Simpson, 51 Vienna St., Sign Painter.
Evelyn Simpson, 51 Vienna St., Housewife.
Marion Syrek, Jr., 2821 Market St., Printer.
For Supervisor

WILLIAM C. BLAKE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office: That my name is William C. Blake. My residence address is at No. 264 Mallorca Way, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Ship Repair—Supervisor. My qualifications for said office are as follows: Native San Franciscan, 37 years old, married, father of two children, attended local schools, property owner; veteran of World War II, with 5 years overseas service; Commander in the Naval Reserve; businessman, owner of a local ship repair company; Chairman of the Bay Area Air Pollution Control District; Director of the County Supervisors Association of California; Board of Supervisors Committee: Streets and Highways (Chairman), Police, and Commercial-Industrial Development; supporter of efficiency and economy in government; leader in the drive to secure tax relief for all our residents; and author of the “Blake Resolution” which blocked the construction of the Western Freeway, thereby stopping the State Division of Highways from destroying 1000 homes in the Sunset District.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of the Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation “Incumbent” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: WILLIAM C. BLAKE.

Subscribed before me and filed this 11th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.
By P. J. O’SHAUGHNESSY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for William C. Blake are:

Cyril Magnin, 505 Geary St., Merchant.
Hugh Gallagher, 1925 Gough St., Steamship Executive.
Chris D. McKeen, 405 St. Francis Blvd., Builder.
R. N. Buell, 2512 Pacific Ave., Business Executive.
J. Joseph Sullivan, 314 San Leandro Way, Lawyer.
Joseph C. Tarantino, 2427 Bay St., Merchant.
Paul Verdier, 1731 Polk St., Laundry Owner.
Maurice Moskovitz, 501 El. Camino Del Mar, Real Estate.
Louis T. Kruger, 542 Moscow St., Attorney at Law.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3445 Pierce St., Apt. 304, Labor Representative.
Ruth Church Gupta, 1910 Green St., Attorney.
Dr. Peter T. Angel, 1867 - 15th Ave., Dentist, Retired.
Andre J. Pechoultres, 537 Marina Blvd., Real Estate Broker.
Fred D. Parr, 170 - 24th Ave., Industrialist.
Edward R. Pootel, 784 - 21st Ave., Retired S.F.P.D.
Samuel R. Sherman, M.D., 1810 Jackson St., Physician and Surgeon.
Joseph S. Quan, 574 - 18th Ave., Restaurateur.
T. R. Klawans, 27 Avila St., Attorney.
For Supervisor

DONALD JAMES BRUCE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Donald James Bruce. My residence address is at No. 1684 Page Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Printer.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Property owner, married. Former History clerk of the Legislature; Sold printing office. Received over 72,000 votes for State Senator. I will apply sound business methods to reduce the taxes, by cutting buying of automobiles and trips to Convention, etc. I will give full time to the duties of Supervisor, and my aim and purpose to serve the best interests of all the people.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Printer" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: DONALD J. BRUCE.

Subscribed before me and filed this 6th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.
By P. J. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Donald James Bruce as are follows:

Leonard Agazelow, 34 - 6th St., News Reporter.
Richard O. Brown, 301 Webster St., Retired.
James G. Dewey, 126 Baker St., Musician.
Marguerite Bruce Goetz, 263 - 8th Ave., Homemaker—Club Woman.
John E. Lynch, 988 Howard St., Retired Park.
Alessio Morini, 1272 Market St., Retired.
I. M. Peckham, 3650 Fillmore St., No. 205, Attorney at Law.
George Rasehorn, 442 Circular Ave., Retired Fireman.
Dr. Oskar Ury, 746 - 12th Ave., Physician & Surgeon.
Hazel L. Warren, 416 Turk St., No. 504, Saleslady.
For Supervisor

GLEASON C. DENSMORE

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Gleason C. Densmore. My residence address is at No. 2642 22nd Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Writer on Social & Politico-Economic Affairs.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Married; WW II war veteran; Law graduate; scholar and writer on social and politico-economic affairs; dedicated to Constitutional principles. If elected will work and vote to repeal San Francisco sales taxes and for solutions to our other civic problems. San Francisco voters rejected city sales taxes 155,245 to 56,334 in 1946. Contrary to that vote, sales taxes were arbitrarily imposed by the Board of Supervisors in 1947; were increased 100 per cent in 1956. Protest those taxes, cast only one vote for Supervisors, skip others, elect Gleason C. Densmore, Supervisor.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Writer" be placed immediately under by name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: GLEASON C. DENSMORE.

Subscribed before me and filed this 10th day of September, 1957.
THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.
By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Gleason C. Densmore are:

Daniel V. Drew, 2107 - 26th Ave., Official Phonographic Reporter (emeritus).
J. E. Farr, 2638 - 22nd Ave., Locomotive Engineer, S.P. Co.
Margaret T. Farr, 2638 - 22nd Ave., Sales Clerk.
James R. Foulds, 373 Liberty St., Typewriter Man, Office Machines.
Lucile P. Gable, 929 Pine St., Housewife.
Monroe Gable, 929 Pine St., Literary Critic Emeritus.
John Henry Hofstadt, 44 Lobos St., Auto Mechanic.
Ralph S. Huntington, 270 Brentwood Ave., Insurance—Retired.
Joseph A. Linegar, 2639 - 22nd Ave., Butcher.
Malcom MacNeil, 484 WALLER ST., Proprietor, Retail Book Store.
James R. Mason, 1920 Lake St., Retired.
Josephine R. O'Neil, 1600 - 36th Ave., Housewife.
Russell A. Powell, 2425 Taraval St., Printer—Union Official.
Dr. Alexander Schwartz, 2935 - 20th Ave., Dentist.
George M. Solo, 2300 Cecilia Ave., Cab Driver.
Rafael Talavera, 312 Athens St., Teamster.
For Supervisor

JOHN ANTHONY DOBLEMAN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is John Anthony Dobleman. My residence address is at No. 3308 Ulloa Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Tavern Owner.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I was born in San Francisco and have been in business in the Marina since completing six years of Naval duty. I have four children. It is my duty to run for election to the Board of Supervisors because I believe our City Government needs closer watch on the disposition of the taxpayers' money. I know that the taxes I pay could be put to better use. I believe that the presence of a businessman, interested in the welfare not only of his neighborhood, but of the city, could be a useful factor on the Board of Supervisors. I hope you find in my qualifications that man. I want to serve my city by serving those who comprise it—the people.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Tavern Owner" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: JOHN A. DOBLEMAN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 10th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMY,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for John Anthony Dobleman are:

Ina A. Dobleman, 3308 Ulloa St., Housewife.
John Anthony Conti, 1919 Grant Ave., Tavern Owner.
Louis P. Crespo, 52 Parsons St., Timekeeper.
Gino J. DiSacco, 2360 Filbert St., Bartender.
David R. Dobleman, 1610 - 12th Ave., Police Officer.
Emma L. Dobleman, 1610 - 12th Ave., Housewife.
John F. Herrera, 3560 Pierce St., Port Purser, States Marine—Isthmian Agcy..
Robert B. Milani, 3026 Webster St., Bartender (Owner).
Walt Nordenstedt, 3460 Pierce St., Power Cable Splicer.
Joseph Pizzo, 588 - 23rd Ave., Merchandiser.
Laura Puccetti, 2645 Moraga St., Author of Short Stories.
For Supervisor.

JOAN JORDAN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office; That my name is Joan Jordan. My residence address is at No. 77 Bradford Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Lithograph Worker.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am a working woman, housewife and mother of three children. I am endorsed by the Socialist Workers Party. I stand for public ownership of all utilities, including electric power; for 30,000 units of Federal low-rent housing; for free child-care centers for children of working mothers; more and better schools, recreational facilities and summer camps. For the creation of consumers’ committees composed of workers and housewives with full power to examine corporations’ books: unions are blamed for high prices—but profiteering is the real culprit. I am for full equality for all minorities; for an FEP ordinance with compulsory enforcement; for banning of all nuclear bomb testing.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation “Lithograph Worker” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: JOAN JORDAN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 12th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.
By H. J. O’DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Joan Jordan are:

David E. Adrian, 628 Montgomery St.; Waterfront Worker.
Frank Barbara, 1779 Mason St.; Electrician.
Asher F. Harer, 149 Detroit St.; Longshoreman.
Edward R. Harer, 2162 Quesada Ave.; Landscape Gardener.
Lucile G. Harer, 2162 Quesada Ave.; Housewife.
Thomas M. Harper, 719 Oak St.; Machinist.
George LeFlore, Jr., 330 Bright St.; Warehouseman.
Bertha Martin, 127 Bridgeway Dr.; Housewife.
Alexander Shumacher, 959 South Van Ness Ave.; Student of City College.
Donald Simpson, 51 Vienna St.; Sign Painter.
Evelyn Simpson, 51 Vienna St.; Housewife.
Marion Syrek, Jr., 2321 Market St.; Printer.
For Supervisor
CHARLES MARSALLI

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Charles Marsalli. My residence address is at No. 901 California Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Restaurant Operator.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am an experienced business man of San Francisco, and a resident since early childhood. I am earnestly desirous of serving my community: to cooperate in controlling spiraling taxes and ever mounting city operating costs; to help promote San Francisco all over the world in the hope of attracting new conventions and added thousands of tourists; to better serve our youth through an expanded and invigorated parent-youth cooperation program; to represent, to the best of my ability, all the people of San Francisco. These are some of my solemn aims.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Restaurateur" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: CHARLES MARSALLI.

Subscribed before me and filed this 6th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Charles Marsalli are:

Mrs. Charles Marsalli, 901 California St.; Housewife.
Nicholas Alaga, 1276 Sacramento St.; Attorney at Law.
Alexander Arroyo, 206 N. Willard; Garage Manager.
Manuel Barquero, 95 Keystone Way; M.D. ~
Mary Gladys Bambarger, 164 Marietta Drive; Real Estate Salesman.
Samuel E. Bennett, 129 Masonic Ave.; President Marine Firemens' Union.
Sidney N. Brandis, 2455 Lake St.; Attorney at Law.
J. W. Ehrlich, 901 California St.; Lawyer.
Claude E. Emery, M.D., 1338 Twenty-second Ave.; Physician & Surgeon.
Raymond B. Fong, 539 Union St.; Garage Owner.
Vincent Greco, 705 Union St.; Dist. of Citrus Fruits.
Otto Hasenberg, 137-12th Ave.; Realtor.
Wanda Q. Lahanier, 1150 Union St.; Attorney.
Henry E. North, 1310 Jones St.; Insurance Executive.
Albert Picard, 3535 Fillmore St.; Attorney at Law.
Thomas F. Ross, 2351-46th Ave.; Public Accountant.
Louis Saroni II, 3580 Washington St.; Sales Representative.
Alan J. Stewart, 2066-34th Ave.; Certified Public Accountant.
Dorothy Wheeler, 665 Geary St.; Asst. Manager.
For Supervisor

FRANCIS McCARTY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Francis McCarty. My residence address is at No. 3234 Divisadero Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Attorney-at-Law.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am seeking re-election on my record. It includes: (1) Originating and spearheading San Francisco's drive for Major League baseball. (2) Originating and spearheading the Storyland Project for children. (3) Spearheading the drive to bring the 10th Commemorative Session of the United Nations to San Francisco. (4) Sponsoring the Downtown Air Terminal, which will soon be under construction. (5) Proposing and obtaining 5¢ Muni fare for children. Born in San Francisco September 4, 1908; attended local schools; was graduated from the University of California, 1930, and Hastings College of Law, 1933; practicing attorney for 24 years; former law teacher Golden Gate Law College. Married to the former Stella Cometta. Father of three children.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: FRANCIS McCARTY.

Subscribed before me and filed this 12th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Francis McCarty are:

Alfred P. Baylaq, 611-34th Ave.; Insurance Broker.
Jefferson A. Beaver, 616 Los Palmos Drive; Executive.
R. N. Buell, 2512 Pacific Ave.; Business Executive.
Joseph Cervetto, 307 Green St.; Building Maintenance.
Mrs. Ann S. Dippel, 160 Valdez Ave.; Civic Bettérment Projéct.
Henry F. Fischer, 4333 Geary Blvd.; Businessman.
Alan Fleishhacker, 335 Powell St.; President Yosémité Chemical.
Mrs. Norma Grosso, 2362 Bay St.; Housewife.
George Hardy, 1653 - 16th Ave.; Intl. V.P. Building Service Employéés Union.
M. C. Hermann, 321 Molimo Drive; Executive.
Maurice Moskowitz, 501 El Camino Del Mar; Real Estate.
James E. Murphy, 34 Wilder Ave.; Union Official.
Eugene H. O'Donnell, 625 San Jose Ave.; Attorney at Law.
André J. Pechoultres, 557 Marina Blvd.; Real Estate Broker.
Joseph S. Quan, 574 - 18th Ave.; Restaurateur.
Samuel R. Sherman, 1810 Jackson St.; Physican and Sutgéon.
Paul Verdiel, 1731 Polk St.; Laundry Owner.
Thomas P. White, 411 Panoramâ Dr.; Labor Official.
Harold Zellerbach, 2288 Bròâdway; Merchànt.

12.
For Supervisor

MOLLY H. MINUDRI

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Molly H. Minudri. My residence address is at No. 1 Merced Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Attorney at Law.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am a Native Daughter, and have been a resident of San Francisco for thirty-five years. I am married, have two daughters, and four grandchildren. I received my law degree in 1950 from the University of San Francisco, and later was the first woman appointed as Deputy Public Defender in San Francisco. I have demonstrated by many years of participation in civic and community activities my interest in the welfare of San Francisco and its citizens. My previous employment with the city has provided me with a knowledge of Municipal government and the responsibilities of its officials. I wish to serve my city in the best interests of all of its people.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation “Attorney at Law” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: MOLLY H. MINUDRI.

Subscribed before me and filed this 19th day of September, 1957.
THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O’DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Molly H. Minudri are:

John C. Minudri, 1 Merced Ave.: Furrier.
Dr. Henry A. Tagliaferri, 282 Silver Ave.: Oral Surgeon.
Mrs. Ann S. Dippel, 160 Valdez Ave.: Civic Betterment Projects.
Mildred J. Bell, 1125 Broadway: Special Representative, Foster & Kleiser.
Sophie Breslauer, 180-29th Ave.; Housewife.
Marcella Cashmere, 1231 Fitzgerald Ave.; Housewife.
Ethel M. Cramer, 309 Peninsula Ave.; Housewife.
Irene F. Crowley, 841 Ocean Ave.: Office Manager.
Timothy J. Fitzpatrick, 2677 Larkin St.: Judge of Superior Court.
Anna White Garland, 47 Magellan Ave.: Attorney at Law.
Mrs. Dorothy A. Graydon, 1081 Munich St.: Retired Employée Health Dept.
Leslie Lubliner, 60 Belgrave Ave.: Attorney at Law.
Theresa Meikle, 1101 Green St.: Judge of Superior Court.
Mrs. John J. Murray, 1306 Portola Drive: Housewife.
Mrs. Adela Myers, 32 La Ferrera Terrace; Housewife.
Terence J. O’Sullivan, 20 Dorland St.: Business Representative, Construction & General Laborers’ Union, Local 261.
James L. Quigley, 526 Fuston Ave.; Instructor.
Marian B. Silva, 642 - 29th Ave.: Stenographer.
Lenore D. Underwood, 2070 Pacific St.: Judge.
Dali N. Yee, 1445 Madison St., Apt. 6; Legal Secretary.
For Supervisor

WILLIAM F. (BILL) O'KEEFFE, JR.

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is William F. (Bill) O'Keeffe, Jr. My residence address is at No. 444 Corbett Ave., San Francisco. My business or occupation is Electrical-Fan Contractor.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am a completely independent candidate answerable only to all the people of San Francisco. Economy in government is imperative if San Francisco is to progress! I will scrutinize the expenditure of all tax dollars as carefully as though they were my own. I will bring twenty-two years of business experience to the Board—which presently contains six attorneys. I favor release of the millions already voted by the people for improvements, but lying idle, because of inertia at the City Hall—while construction costs spiral upwards. Stop overloading Muni vehicles! Hire fifty more operators and establish additional express routes. Rapid transit for San Francisco now!

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Electrical-Fan Contractor" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: W. F. O'KEEFFE, JR.

Subscribed before me and filed this 11th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.
By CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for William F. (Bill) O'Keeffe, Jr., are:
Olga A. O'Keeffe, 444 Corbett Ave.; Housewife.
Nunzio Alioto, 2429 Francisco St.; Retired.
Philip B. Anson, 250 - 28th Ave.; Retail Wine & Spirits.
Fred Braun, 714 Church St.; Realtor & Insuror.
Joseph A. Butte, 635 Buena Vista Ave. W.; Electrical Contracting.
Robert J. Byington, 745 Marina Blvd.; Electrical Contractor.
Nathan Cohn, 510 Castenada Ave.; Attorney at Law.
Jack W. Coll, 276 Waterville St.; Salesman.
John Diestel, 500 Hyde St.; Retired.
Henry F. Fischer, 4333 Geary Blvd.; Businessman.
Thomas F. Mulvihill, 702 - 5th Ave.; Public Accountant.
Hector Murcia, 814 Hampshire St.; Publisher.
Joseph S. Quan, 574 - 18th Ave.; Restaurateur.
Louis J. Rocca, 245 Mallorca Way; Home Builder & Restaurateur.
Joseph E. Spalaso, 935 Portola Drive; Salesman.
Emil J. Weber, 1208 Dolores St.; Electrical Contractor.
Jerrold W. Werthimer, 555 Post St.; Real Estate Broker.
John R. Winblad, 12 - 25th Ave. N.; Owner Midstate Construction Co.
Dennis Zabelle, 341 Oneida Ave.; Hotel Operator.
For Supervisor

EDGAR D. OSGOOD

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Edgar D. Osgood. My residence address is at No. 2815 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Warehouse Operator.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am 38, a native San Franciscan, a business man, a five years' veteran in European and Pacific war areas, married, and the father of two children. Rapid transit, Charter revision, urban renewal, and a low, equitable tax rate I consider to be the city's most pressing problems. A member of the Small Business Administration's National Board of Field Advisors, I shall apply business know-how, resourcefulness and action to solve these and other problems. San Francisco cannot rest on its laurels. It must move forward with vigor and solid appeal. My aim is to be representative of all San Francisco—for each and every citizen.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Warehouse Operator" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: EDGAR D. OSGOOD.

Subscribed before me and filed this 17th day of September, 1957.
THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.
By P. J. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Edgar D. Osgood are:

Mrs. Edgar D. Osgood, 2815 Pacific Ave.; Housewife.
Paul J. Cesari, 10 Seacliff Ave.; Manufacturer.
Caroline M. Charles, 212 Spruce St.; Housewife.
Jesse C. Colman, 1275 Greenwich St.; Merchant.
A. F. Derre, 1543 Willard St.; Banker.
Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel, 2800 Broadway, Attorney.
George Edelstein, 474 Euclid Ave.; Merchant.
Rev. F. D. Haynes, 1832 - 16th Ave.; Clergyman.
Wm. Kilpatrick, 2491 - 24th Ave.; Union Official.
Mrs. Nancy Knickerbocker, 2437 Pacific Ave.; Housewife.
Earl S. Louie, 645 Grant Ave.; Importer.
Elena Eyre Madison, 2930 Vallejo St.; Housewife.
Cyril J. Magnin, 505 Geary St.; Merchant.
James B. Moffet, 1501 - 33rd Ave.; President Northern Calif. Tennis Assn.
James L. Murphy, 1182 Sacramento St.; Investment Banker.
E. B. Shaw, M.D., 65 Arguello Blvd.; Physician.
Emma Stella, 282 Silver Ave.; Secretary.
J. Joseph Sullivan, 314 San Leandro Way; Lawyer.
Mathew O. Tobriner, 3494 Jackson St.; Attorney at Law.
For Supervisor

HENRY R. ROLPH

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Henry R. Rolph. My residence address is at No. 2626 Lyon Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Attorney.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: For the past twenty-two months following my unsolicited selection for Supervisor, I have faithfully served all the people of San Francisco. Here I was born and raised. In our city, I attended school, enlisted, married and brought up my two children. Being an attorney and through family background, I have always taken an active interest in municipal affairs. On the Board of Supervisors I vote according to my best judgment for what is right and beneficial for San Francisco. Many worthy projects are coming before the Board for decision. I want to see them acted upon wisely and constructively.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation “Incumbent” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: HENRY R. ROLPH.

Subscribed before me and filed this 16th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By P. J. O’SHAUGHNESSY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Henry R. Rolph are:

Barbara S. Rolph, 2626 Lyon St.; Housewife.
Mrs. Tom Rolph, 152 - 28th Ave.; Housewife.
Jefferson A. Beaver, 616 Los Palmos Drive; Executive.
Allan E. Charles, 212 Spruce St.; Lawyer.
Jesse C. Colman, 1275 Greenwich St.; Merchant.
Eustace Cullinan, 2778 Green St.; Lawyer.
Terry A. Francois, 1608 - 10th Ave.; Attorney at Law.
Martha A. Gerhode, 2560 Divisadero St.; Housewife.
J. R. Klawans, 27 Avila St.; Attorney.
R. D. Lapham, 3680 Jackson St.; Retired Industrialist.
Earl S. Louie, 645 Grant Ave.; Importer.
Wilson Meyer, 22 - 22nd Ave.; President Wilson Meyer Co.—Chemical Distr.
Maurice Moskovitz, 501 El Camino Del Mar; Real Estate.
D. J. O’Hara, Jr., 3414 Washington St.; Funeral Director.
Mrs. Marion E. Otsea, 144 - 27th Ave.; Housewife.
Henry Schindel, 54 Schwerin St.; Furniture Store Owner.
J. Joseph Sullivan, 314 San Leandro Way; Lawyer.
Paul Verdier, 1731 Polk St.; Laundry Owner.
Thomas P. White, 411 Panorama Drive; Labor Official.
Thomas Wu, 595 - 38th Ave.; Doctor of Dental Surgery.
For Supervisor

JAMES J. SULLIVAN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is James J. Sullivan. My residence address is at No. 2558 - 17th Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Insurance and Real Estate Broker.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: For over twenty years before becoming Supervisor, I have actively participated in civic and community affairs and, therefore, I am fully aware of the needs and services required by the people. While Supervisor I have given considered, business-like judgment to all proposals coming before the Board in order that I might vote thereon on merit alone for the best interest of our community. If I am retained as Supervisor, I will continue to serve in such a manner as to contribute to the growth and sound development of this, my native city.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation “Incumbent” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: JAMES J. SULLIVAN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 10th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMNEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O’DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for James J. Sullivan are:

Dr. Peter T. Angel, 1867 - 15th Ave.; Retired Dentist.
Harold A. Berliner, 10 Crown Terrace; Manufacturer.
Mrs. Robert F. Callahan, 48 Kronquist Court; Housewife.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3445 Pierce St., Apt. 304; Labor Representative.
Robert Emmett Halsing, 541 Darien Way; Attorney at Law.
Roger D. Lapham, 3680 Jackson St.; Retired Industrialist.
Harry J. Lawlor, 669 - 29th Ave.;
Past Commander, San Francisco Chapter Disabled American Veterans.
Earl S. Louie, 645 Grant Ave.; Importer.
Cyril I. Magnin, 505 Geary St.; Merchant.
William M. Malone, 1 Gabilan Way; Attorney at Law.
Garret McNerney II, 3725 Washington St.; Attorney.
Walter McGovern, 2750 Fulton St.; Lawyer.
Joseph D. Molinari, 470 - 12th Ave.; President of Sunset Scavenger Corp.
Mrs. John J. Murray, 1306 Portola Drive; Housewife.
James L. Quigley, 526 Funston Ave.; Instructor.
Malachy Ruane, 250 College Ave.; Supt. P. J. Ruane.
Jesse H. Steinhart, 2212 Vallejo St.; Attorney at Law.
J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 864 Francisco St.; Banker.
Wm. McKinley Thomas, M.D., 2723 Sacramento St.; Doctor of Medicine.
For Supervisor

ALFONSO J. ZIRPOLI

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Alfonso J. Zirpoli. My residence address is at No. 1140 Greenwich Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Attorney.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am 52 years old, married and have two children. After attending public school here I graduated in Law, University of California, 1928, and have since practiced my profession in San Francisco. I served as Lecturer in Law at Hastings College of Law, Assistant District Attorney of San Francisco and for eleven years as Assistant United States Attorney. If elected, I pledge my full capabilities in the interests of all San Francisco and will endeavor to help make this great metropolitan community of traditional and unsurpassed charm once again the progressive “City That Knows How.”

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation “Attorney” be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: ALFONSO J. ZIRPOLI.

Subscribed before me and filed this 18th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By CHAS. A. ROGERS,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Alfonso J. Zirpoli are:

Giselda Zirpoli, 1140 Greenwich St.; Housewife.
Sylvester Andriano, 330 Funston Ave.; Attorney.
Isabelle Bereut, 1010 Lombard St.; Housewife.
Helen Christopher, 2989 - 21st Ave.; Officer—Christopher Dairy Farms.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3445 Pierce St., Apt. 304; Labor Representative.
Harold C. Faulkner, 1940 Vallejo St.; Lawyer.
Jean H. Hochgesang, 255 Buckingham Way; Secretary.
William M. Malone, 1 Gabilian Way; Attorney at Law.
Garret McEnery II, 3725 Washington St.; Attorney.
John B. Molinari, 1262 Lombard St.; Judge of the Superior Court.
Cecil F. Poole, 83 Caine Ave.; Attorney.
Max Sobel, 2127 Broadway; Wholesale Merchant.
J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 864 Francisco St.; Banker.
Joseph C. Tarantino, 2427 Bay St.; Merchant.
Mathew O. Tobriner, 3494 Jackson St.; Attorney at Law.
Carl F. Wente, 60 Normandie Terrace; Retired Banker.
Mrs. Samuel E. Yee, 1020 Stockton St.; I.B.M. Operator.
For City Attorney

DION R. HOLM

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Dion R. Holm. My residence address is at No. 97 Central Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is City Attorney of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I submit my candidacy for re-election as City Attorney on my record of experience and accomplishment in this vital municipal office. For 25 years, as City Attorney and previously as Chief Deputy City Attorney, Water Department Attorney and Chief Public Utilities Counsel, I have saved and recovered millions of dollars for the City in litigation of far-reaching importance. I have successfully fought the city's legal battles before all State and Federal courts and the United States Supreme Court. I am a World War II veteran; four of my sons are World War II veterans. On my record, I respectfully seek re-election.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: DION R. HOLM.

Subscribed before me and filed this 10th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Dion R. Holm are:

Jesse C. Colman, 1275 Greenwich St.; Merchant.
Roger D. Lapham, 3680 Jackson St.; Retired Industrialist.
Ruby Bacigalupi, 1870 Jackson St.; Housewife.
Garret McEnerney II, 3725 Washington St.; Attorney.
Paul Verdier, 1731 Polk St.; Laundry Owner.
J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 864 Francisco St.; Banker.
H. Joseph Kertz, 1496 - 29th Ave.; Appraiser.
Walter A. Dold, 200 Santa Clara Ave.; Attorney at Law.
Constant J. Auger, 3817 Jackson St.; Retail Jeweler.
Ernest E. Williams, 2125 - 15th Ave.; Attorney—Retired Naval Officer.
Mrs. Lillian W. Podesta, 29 San Leandro Way; Housewife.
Tso T. Taam, D.D., 920 Washington St.; Clergy.
Wm. McKinley Thomas, M.D., 2723 Sacramento St.; Doctor of Medicine.
Albert Samuels, 1880 Jackson St.; Jeweler.
Wm. Kilpatrick, 2497 - 24th Ave.; Union Official.
Louis T. Kruger, 542 Moscow St.; Attorney at Law.
Sylvester Andriano, 330 Funston Ave.; Attorney at Law.
Grace R. Butterfield, 262 - 20th Ave.; Housewife.
For Treasurer

JOHN J. GOODWIN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Treasurer for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is John J. Goodwin. My residence address is at No. 426 Jersey Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Treasurer—City and County of San Francisco.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am thoroughly familiar with all departments of the office of City and County Treasurer, having entered the department as a clerk, and through successful passage of civil service examinations, achieved the position of Chief Deputy Treasurer. During my incumbency as Treasurer, the office has been on a self-supporting basis, requiring no support from taxes. If retained I shall continue to devote my efforts to the maintenance of an efficient and well operated office.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Incumbent" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: JOHN J. GOODWIN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 12th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for John J. Goodwin are:

Ethel M. Goodwin, 426 Jersey St.; Housewife.
F. M. McAulliffe, 524 Post St.; Attorney at Law.
Harold A. Berliner, 10 Crown Terrace; Manufacturer.
Mrs. Ann S. Dippel, 160 Valdez Ave.; Civic Club Activities.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3445 Pierce St., Apt. 304; Labor Representative.
Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel, 2800 Broadway, Attorney.
Elizabeth R. Kelley, 656 O'Farrell St.; Business Agent, Waitress Union.
Thomas A. Maloney, 350 Missouri St.; Insurance Broker.
Garret McEnery, 11, 3725 Washington St.; Attorney.
Maurice Moskovitz, 501 El Camino Del Mar; Real Estate.
Eugene H. O'Donnell, 625 San Jose Ave.; Attorney at Law.
Joseph P. Osterloh, 1137 Diamond St.; Retired.
Joseph S. Quan, 574 - 18th Ave.; Restaurateur.
Joseph F. Rae, 159 Collingwood St.; Printer and Publisher.
Michael J. Riordan, 1501 - 6th Ave.; Business Executive.
J. F. Sullivan, Jr., 864 Francisco St.; Banker.
Benjamin H. Swig, 850 Mason St.; Hotel Operator.
Francis P. Walsh, 355 Serrano Drive, Apt. 100; Attorney at Law.
Carl F. Wente, 60 Normandie Terrace; Retired Banker.
For Judge of Municipal Court Office 1

CLAYTON W. HORN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court Office 1 for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Clayton W. Horn. My residence address is at No. 136 Garfield Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Judge, Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Graduated from Golden Gate College of Law 1930; admitted to practice the same year. Attended Jefferson and John Swett Grammar, Polytechnic High School. Married to a San Francisco girl, Alma Koch. General private practice until appointed Deputy City Attorney by Dion Holm; served in that office until appointed Municipal Judge in 1954 by Governor Goodwin Knight. Presided in all branches Municipal Court and have tried to serve all of the people with courtesy and justice. Unanimously elected to serve as Presiding Judge for the year 1956. Member Mayor's Committee on Alcoholism.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Judge, Municipal Court" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: CLAYTON W. HORN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 10th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOONEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By KENNETH J. LONG,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Clayton W. Horn are:

Mrs. Clayton W. Horn, 136 Garfield St.; Housewife.
Fred G. Ainslie, 1346 Polk St.; Insurance Broker.
Alfred P. Baylaccq, 611 - 34th Ave.; Insurance Broker.
Harold A. Berliner, 10 Crown Terrace; Manufacturer.
R. N. Buell, 2512 Pacific Ave.; Business Executive.
Fred J. Casassa, 3420 Baker St.; Insurance Broker.
Joseph Cervetto, 397 Green St.; Building Maintenance.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3445 Pierce St., Apt. 304; Labor Representative.
Walter A. Dold, 200 Santa Clara Ave.; Attorney at Law.
Jack Goldberger, 442 Country Club Drive, Labor Official.
Florence McGuire, 333 Twin Peaks Blvd.; Clubwoman.
Earl S. Louie, 645 Grant Ave.; Importer.
Thomas A. Maloney, 350 Missouri St.; Insurance Broker.
Thomas Joseph Murray, 162 Buena Vista Terrace, Attorney at Law.
Joseph F. Rae, 159 Collingwood St.; Printing and Publisher.
Michael Riordan, 550 Laguna Honda Blvd.; Attorney at Law.
A. Schaumloeffel, 360 Gambier St.; Retired Jeweler.
Harold Stelling, 52 West Clay Park; Partner & General Manager.
Benjamin H. Swig, 950 Mason St.; Hotel Operator.
For Judge of Municipal Court Office 2

CHARLES S. PEERY

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court Office 2 for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Charles S. Peery. My residence address is at No. 305 Moncada Way, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am a lifelong resident of San Francisco, a graduate of Lowell High School, the University of California, Hastings College of Law. Appointed Judge of the Municipal Court in 1949. Elected in 1951, Presiding Judge 1954, served pro tem assignment Superior Court 1957. Prior to judicial service was Assistant District Attorney 5 years; also in private practice of law. Married to Virginia B. Peery, father of four children. I have endeavored at all times to conduct my office with dignity, and with fairness and tolerance, extending equal justice to all.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Judge of Municipal Court" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: CHARLES S. PEERY.

Subscribed before me and filed this 11th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.
By P. J. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Charles S. Peery are:

Mrs. Charles S. Peery, 305 Moncada Way; Housewife.
Frank N. Belgrano, Jr., 1980 Jackson St.; Banker.
Harold A. Berliner, 10 Crown Terrace, Manufacturer.
Albert Kam Chow, 1445 Mason St.; Notary Public.
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 3445 Pierce St., Apt. 304; Labor Representative.
Joseph J. Diviny, 125 Cambon Dr.; President, Brotherhood of Teamsters Local 85.
Walter A. Dold, 200 Santa Clara Ave.; Attorney at Law.
Norman Elkington, 190 Aptos Ave.; Attorney at Law.
John P. Figone, Jr., 1046 Union St.; Insurance Broker.
M. C. Hermann, 321 Molimo Drive; Executive.
Evelyn C. LaPlace, 2476-21st Ave.; Gift Shop Owner.
Arthur T. Poheim, 1699 Vallejo St.; Merchant.
Michael Riordan, 550 Laguna Honda Blvd.; Attorney at Law.
Reed W. Robinson, 405 Serrano Drive, Candy Manufacturer.
Patrick J. Ruane, 1044 Guerrero St.; Lathing & Plastering Contractor.
Melvin Sosnick, 30 Encanto Ave.; Tobacco Wholesaler.
Jesse H. Steinhart, 2212 Vallejo St.; Attorney at Law.
For Judge of Municipal Court Office 3

CARL H. ALLEN

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Judge of Municipal Court Office 3 for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is Carl H. Allen. My residence address is at No. 2301 Yorba Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Born in San Pedro, California, 1906. Married and a father. A graduate of Westwood High School and Golden Gate College of Law. General law practice in San Francisco from 1933 to 1949. Appointed Municipal Court Judge August, 1949. Elected Municipal Court Judge 1951. Former Deputy City Attorney, Veteran of World War II. Member of numerous fraternal, charitable and civic organizations. While serving as a Judge, have conducted this office with fairness, impartiality and courtesy, and will continue to do so.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Judge of Municipal Court" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: CARL H. ALLEN.

Subscribed before me and filed this 10th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.
By HAROLD J. O’DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for Carl H. Allen are:

Frank P. Agnost, 2454 - 46th Ave.; Newspaperman.
Harold A. Berliner, 10 Crown Terrace, Manufacturer.
R. N. Buell, 2512 Pacific Ave.; Business Executive.
Emmett Campion, 2767 - 34th Ave.; Fin. Secy.-Treas. of Lodge 1327 I.A. of M.
Joseph J. Diviny, 125 Cambon Dr.; President, Brotherhood of Teamsters Local 85.
John P. Figone, Jr., 1046 Union St.; Insurance Broker.
Theodore Fischer, 810 Stanyan St.; Automotive Engineer.
Chas. L. Harney, 2170 Jackson St.; Contractor.
M. C. Hermann, 321 Molimo Drive; Executive.
Cyril I. Magnin, 505 Geary St.; Merchant.
Robert McCarthy, 1050 Kirkham St.; General Contractor.
Theresa Meikle, 1101 Green St.; Judge of Superior Court.
Maurice Moskovitz, 501 El Camino Del Mar; Real Estate.
Mrs. John J. Murray, 1306 Portola Dr.; Housewife.
Joseph S. Quan, 574 - 18th Ave.; Restaurateur.
Reed W. Robinson, 405 Serrano Dr.; Candy Manufacturer.
Adolph Schaumloeffel, 380 Gambier St.; Retired Jeweler.
Paul Verdier, 1731 Polk St.; Laundry Owner.
For Judge of Municipal Court Office 4

JAMES J. WELSH

I hereby declare myself a candidate for the office of Municipal Court Office 4 for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be voted for at the General Municipal Election to be held in the said City and County on November 5, 1957, and declare the following to be true:

That I shall have been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for a period of at least one year immediately prior to the time for taking such office. That my name is James J. Welsh. My residence address is at No. 27 Josepha Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Judge of the Municipal Court.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: Born and raised in San Francisco; age 43; married to Mrs. Lovell Welsh; father of four children; attended San Francisco schools, graduating from St. Ignatius High School in 1932; graduate of Stanford University 1936; Stanford Law School 1940; practicing attorney since 1940; served as Secretary to Governor Earl Warren 1943 to 1953; appointed Presiding Commissioner of the State Industrial Accident Commission; served as Legal Secretary to Governor Goodwin J. Knight, and resigned this position to accept appointment as Judge of the Municipal Court in 1955, now incumbent Presiding Judge, Municipal Court. During my tenure of office I have endeavored to render justice to all in an impartial manner, and upon my record I base my candidacy for retention as a Municipal Judge.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 175 of Charter of said City and County, I desire that the following designation "Judge, Municipal Court" be placed immediately under my name as it will appear on all ballots at the General Municipal Election to be held November 5, 1957.

Signature of Candidate: JAMES J. WELSH.

Subscribed before me and filed this 10th day of September, 1957.

THOS. A. TOOMEY,
Registrar of Voters.

By HAROLD J. O'DONNELL,
Deputy Registrar of Voters.

The sponsors for James J. Welsh are:
Mrs. James J. Welsh, 27 Josepha Ave.; Housewife.
Jefferson A. Beaver, 616 Los Palmos Dr.; Executive.
Louis G. Conlan, 351 San Benito Way; President, City College of San Francisco.
Frank J. Creede, 524 Post St.; Attorney at Law.
Dr. C. A. Ertola, 775 Francisco St.; Dentist.
John P. Figone, Jr., 1046 Union St.; Insurance Broker.
Charles J. Foehn, 3473 - 19th St.;
Business Manager, Local No. 6, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers.
Jack Goldberger, 442 Country Club Dr.; Labor Official.
G. W. Johns, 109 Skyview Way; Labor Official.
P. J. Kelly, 130 Robinhood Dr.; Retired.
Robert McCarthy, 1050 Kirkham St.; General Contractor.
Theresa Meikle, 1101 Green St.; Judge of Superior Court.
Eugene M. Prince, 3421 Pacific Ave.; Lawyer.
Jesse H. Steinhart, 2212 Vallejo St.; Attorney at Law.
Benjamin H. Swig, 950 Mason St.; Hotel Operator.
C. P. Thompson, M.D., 760 El Camino Del Mar; Physician.
William F. Traverso, 25 Elmhurst Dr.; Judge of the Superior Court.
Harry E. Wentworth, 567 London St.; Auditor.
PROPOSITIONS
PROPOSITION A

Courthouse and Alterations of City Hall Bonds, 1957. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $22,150,000 for the construction of a courthouse, with garage space and all facilities necessary therefor, at or near the Civic Center in San Francisco, and alterations, additions, remodeling and improvements to the existing city hall.

File No. 15393-1

Ord. No. 10463

(Series of 1939)

MATTERS HEREINABOVE REFERRED TO FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A COURTHOUSE, AND THE ALTERATIONS, ADDITIONS, REMODELING AND IMPROVEMENTS TO THE EXISTING CITY HALL; FIXING RATE OF INTEREST OF SAID BONDS AND PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF TAXES TO PAY BOTH PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST THEREOF, PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION AND CONSOLIDATING THE SPECIAL ELECTION WITH THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 5th day of November, 1957 for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amount of $22,150,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of the following municipal improvements, to wit: A courthouse to be erected at or near the Civic Center of San Francisco, to house the civil Superior and Municipal Courts, the County Clerk, the Clerk of the Municipal Court, the Law Library, City Attorney, Sheriff, Public Administrator, Jury Commissioners, and other city and county officers required to be in said civil courts buildings, garage space, and all other facilities needful or useful or convenient in a courthouse, the planning, engineering and designing, furnishing, equipment, and all lands, other works, properties and structures necessary or convenient for the completion of a courthouse, and the alterations, additions, remodeling and improvements to the existing city hall, to provide additional public office facilities upon the removal of the present courts and other departments to the new courthouse, together with furniture, fixtures, equipment, apparatus, and other works, properties, or structures necessary or convenient to provide adequate public office building space for use of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 17924 (Series of 1939), passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of $22,150,000 and such sum is, and was found by said resolution to be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county, in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require an expenditure greater than the amount allowed therefor by the annual tax levy.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amount specified in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Fran-
cisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 5, 1957, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth, in the official publication, by the Registrar of Voters of precincts, polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election and reference is hereby made to the notice of election setting forth the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election by the Registrar of Voters for the General Municipal Election to be published in the San Francisco Examiner on or about October 28, 1957.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Courthouse and Alterations of City Hall Bonds, 1957. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $22,150,000 for the construction of a courthouse, with garage space and all facilities necessary therefor, at or near the Civic Center in San Francisco, and alterations, additions, remodeling and improvements to the existing city hall."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on said proposition voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax
levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there is a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same becomes due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Examiner, a newspaper published daily in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county and such publication shall constitute notice of said election and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

APPROVED, as to form.
DION R. HOLM, City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 24, 1957.


Absent: Supervisors Blake, Ferdon.

JOHN R. McGrath, Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, July 1, 1957.


Absent: Supervisor McMahon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGrath, Clerk

Approved, July 3, 1957.

GEO. CHRISTOPHER, Mayor

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION A
(Courthouse — City Hall Improvement Project)

A “Yes” vote on Proposition A will solve two urgent municipal problems vitally affecting your city’s progress. For 42 years our City Hall, which was never designed or intended as a courts building, has done double duty as the seat of city government and as a Courthouse as well. What was at best a makeshift arrangement four decades ago is intolerable for a great city today.

Every major city in our country and each of the other 57 counties in California, including Alpine County with a population of 241, has provided a Courthouse as a matter of public necessity and adequate service to its citizens.

Since 1911 the city has doubled in population and the business of the courts has more than trebled. Twenty-six courts, plus an average of two extra sessions courts, have been jammed into two floors of our City Hall under conditions that are a disgrace.
Jurors, attorneys, witnesses and litigants freely mingle in the corridors, thus endangering proper and efficient administration of justice. There are no conference rooms and only three badly ventilated jurors' rooms, without even the usual conveniences, to serve 26 courts. Jurors on occasions are forced to deliberate in regular courtrooms. The Grand Jury, which is required to meet in strictest secrecy, is provided with no facilities whatever. Court attaches work elbow-to-elbow in cubbyholes. Several courtrooms in use are inadequate to even seat those attending and the majority lack proper ventilation; lighting and acoustics are poor. Proper and adequate facilities, including space for an indispensable library, are not available for the Judges.

In the same ratio that the courts business has expanded so has the business of all administrative departments. City Hall offices are similarly overcrowded and woefully inadequate. Some clerks, stenographers and other employees work full time with poor lighting and forced ventilation in the basement, corridors and a rejuvenated storeroom. Sections of City Hall departments and others which should be in the seat of government are scattered in quarters elsewhere, some paying rentals. The plumbing, electrical and ventilating systems and elevators are obsolete after 42 years of public service. Continuous temporary repairs are false economy. Little interior reconstruction or modernization work has been done over the years. Construction of the long-needed courthouse will release 110,000 square feet of space which, after alterations, will become available to administrative departments presently in and outside the City Hall. Comparable office space rented in other buildings would cost the taxpayers an estimated $440,000 annually.

Present overcrowded conditions and decentralization of administrative departments results in inefficiency, great inconvenience to the citizens and very considerable and unnecessary expense. There is a very vital and immediate need of the space in the City Hall, now occupied by the courts, for proper establishment of administrative offices under standard and efficient conditions.

In cooperation with major civic groups and public officials the cost of the combined bond issue has been reduced to a minimum—$14,379,450 for the 5-story Courthouse, conforming with Civic Center architecture, in Marshall Square and $7,770,550 for City Hall rehabilitation and improvements. City officials have prepared the issue in such a manner that it will add a very small amount to the tax rate over a period of years, including the interest. All major interests are now in agreement. The Courthouse is incorporated in the official Civic Center Master Plan. Proposition A has been endorsed by the city's Bond Screening and Master Planning Committees, the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, the Board of Supervisors by unanimous vote, the Chamber of Commerce, San Francisco Labor Council, Downtown Association, San Francisco's bench and bar, and other groups. Passage of Proposition A is an urgent must.

Vote Yes On A For Progress That Will Pay.

Citizens' Committee for Progress Bonds:
N. LOYALL MCLAREN, Chairman,
GEORGE W. JOHNS, JR., Vice Chairman,
J. W. MAILLIARD III, Vice Chairman,
ROY A. BRONSON, Vice Chairman,
JUDGE T. I. FITZPATRICK, Treasurer,
JUDGE GERALD S. LEVIN, Secretary.
On September 3, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:


ROBERT J. DOLAN, Acting Clerk.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION “A”

Vote “NO” on Proposition “A”. Defeat this costly impractical proposal.

Proposition “A” would provide funds for a monumental-type Court House building within the Civic Center area and for modernization of the City Hall for the use of various offices of City government at a cost of $22,150,000. Modernization of City Hall space to office use is estimated at $7,770,550. and the proposed Courts Building at $14,379,450.

In November of 1955 a Court House Bond Issue in the amount of $13,000,000 failed to receive the required vote of the people. One of the main objections at that time was that City Administrative officials responsible for public buildings favored construction of a modern office building rather than a Courts Building. The City Hall space was considered unsuitable for office use.

Our current tax rate has been set at $7.37—the highest tax rate in San Francisco history, and this proposal will add 13.6c to the tax rate for 20 years. Operating and maintenance costs will add $342,983. annually of the total yearly cost of $1,475,744.

For many years our Superior and Municipal Courts have functioned adequately in their present location at the City Hall. There has been no general complaint from the citizens about the present Court facilities. The courts are not “make-shift arrangements” as the proponents would have you believe. As representatives of the office building industry in San Francisco we believe that the City Hall should be modernized for Court House use and a functional office building built to accommodate the necessary departments of City government.

Vote “No” on Proposition “A”. It is a costly, unnecessary and impractical proposition for the taxpayers.

This argument is sponsored by the Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco.

DANTE P. LEMBI, President.

CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION “A”

Courthouse Bonds, 1957. To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of $22,150,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of a Courthouse and remodeling of the City Hall in the City and County of San Francisco.

Should the proposed $22,150,000 Courthouse Bonds, 1957, be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued, it is estimated that the cost of debt service will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond redemption</td>
<td>$22,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest requirement</td>
<td>7,364,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total debt service requirement</strong></td>
<td><strong>$29,514,875</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is estimated that said bonds would be outstanding for an average of 9½ years and that the average amount required to pay the interest on said proposed bonds and the redemption thereof would be $1,475,744 per year for 20 years. Based on a report by the Director of Public Works, adjusted to 1957-1958 wage levels, it is estimated that as a product of construction of the proposed facility, annual operating and maintenance costs would be increased by $342,983. Based on the current assessment roll these sums are equivalent to thirteen and six-tenths cents in the tax rate annually for twenty years.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller.
City and County of San Francisco.

PROPOSITION B

Adds Charter Section 34.2 authorizing City Attorney and Public Defender to appoint confidential secretary, when position is created by Board of Supervisors.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 34.2 thereto providing that the City Attorney and the Public Defender may each appoint a confidential secretary exempt from the civil service provisions of the Charter.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 34.2 thereto to read as follows:

Section 34.2. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 34.1 of the Charter and subject to the provisions of Sections 20 and 34 of the Charter governing the appointment and removal of non-civil service employees and without competitive examination, the City Attorney and the Public Defender may each appoint a confidential secretary who shall serve at his pleasure. Before any appointment may be made to either of these positions they must be created by action of the Board of Supervisors upon the recommendation of the Mayor in the annual budget, and annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, July 29, 1957.
Absent: Supervisor McCarty.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. McGrath, Clerk.
ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION B

By Charter provision, the voters of San Francisco now allow four of the elective officers, the Mayor, Assessor, District Attorney and Sheriff, as well as the Superintendent of Schools the privilege of selection and removal respecting one position of confidential secretary. Several other departments have the same provision by Charter authorization.

For some reason the Charter has failed to include in this regard the elective offices of City Attorney and Public Defender. These offices deal with most important confidential public affairs. For efficient administration one confidential secretarial position in each such office is highly desirable and to the public interest.

This amendment does not create this position but leaves it to the discretion of the Board of Supervisors to create the same.

In the interest of efficient administration of public offices, a “yes” vote is urged on Charter Amendment B.

This argument is endorsed by:

DION R. HOLM, City Attorney.
EDWARD T. MANCUSO, Public Defender.

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:

Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McAteer.

JOHN R. McGrath, Clerk.

CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION “B”

Charter Amendment, Section 34.2, authorizing the City Attorney and the Public Defender each to appoint a confidential secretary.

Should proposition “B” be enacted, based on existing initial salary rates for similar positions, it is estimated that the annual cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco would be increased by $10,320.

Based on the current assessment roll said amount of $10,320 is equivalent to eight one hundredths of one cent in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller,
City and County of San Francisco.

PROPOSITION C

Amends Charter Section 147.1; deletes provisions permitting members of the public to copy civil service examination papers, questions, answers, marks or grades.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and
county by amending Section 147.1 thereof, relating to inspection of civil service examination papers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 147.1 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Inspection of Civil Service Examination Papers

Section 147.1. After the Civil Service Commission has prepared and published or posted a list of eligibles, arranged in order of relative excellence, as the result of any examination held by said commission, said list shall not be finally approved for two weeks after the date of the publication or posting of said list, during which time all examination papers, questions and answers, and all marks and grades given on any test given in said examination shall be open to public inspection (and copying) by any citizen, providing that the identity of the examiner giving any mark or grade in an oral test shall not be disclosed; and provided further that the commission may require the payment of a fee of not more than one dollar ($1) for the inspection of all of the papers relating to the examination of any one person participating in said examination; and provided further that a participant may examine his own examination papers without charge. The Civil Service Commission shall have power to correct any error which in its judgment may have occurred in the rating of any participant in said examination, and to alter said published or posted list of eligibles and to make changes accordingly therein which in the opinion of said commission may be justified by any re-examination of said papers, questions, answers, marks or grades given in said examination; provided that said changes shall be made within a period of not more than sixty (60) days after the date of the publication or posting of said list.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, July 29, 1957.


Absent: Supervisor McCarty.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

JOHN R. MCGRATH, Clerk.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION C

The present charter permits "inspection and copying" of examination questions, answers, grades and papers by participants and the public after a civil service examination is administered.

The proposed amendment is exactly the same as the present Charter provision except for the deletion of two words "and copying." The proposed amendment leaves unimpaired and unqualified the full right of participant and the public to inspect any and all examination papers, questions, answers and grades as in the present Charter provision.

There is no objection whatsoever by any one to full inspection of exam-
lation papers. This right contributes a source of confidence in civil service administration and is a valuable and desirable procedure.

Copying examinations is an entirely different matter. It does not in any way contribute to confidence and good will. On the contrary this adds substantially to the cost of examinations, impairs the validity and reliability of examination results and prevents the city from making use of generally accepted standardized tests. The privilege is widely abused by coaching schools and others who copy examinations and sell or use them for their own profit or intent. Since the present privilege is abused, adds to costs, impairs the effectiveness of examinations and serves no useful purpose, it should be discontinued.

Vote Yes On Proposition C

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:


No: Supervisor Ertola.

Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McAteer.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

PROPOSITION D

Amends Charter Section 7; eliminates existing Charter resident requirements for nonelective officers and employees, with certain exceptions, and authorizes Board of Supervisors to provide by ordinance for such residence requirements.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 7 thereof, relating to citizenship and residence qualifications for officers and employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 7 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Qualifications of Officers and Employees

Section 7. No person shall be a candidate for any elective office, nor shall be appointed as a member of any board or commission ((or as an officer of the city and county)) unless he shall have been a resident of the city and
county for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for at least one year immediately prior to the time of his taking office, unless otherwise specifically provided in this charter. All employees of the city and county shall be citizens and shall have been residents thereof, for at least one year prior to the appointment, unless otherwise specifically provided in this charter, and members of the fire and police departments shall be citizens and shall have been residents of the city and county for at least five years next preceding appointment), and every elected officer (and) and member of any board or commission (and employee, including members of the fire and police departments,) shall continue to be a resident of the city and county during incumbency of office (or employment), and upon ceasing to be such resident, shall be removed from office (or employment).

Except for those offices and positions and officers and employees specifically provided for in this section and other sections of the charter, the residential qualifications and requirements for all officers and employees and all offices and positions in the city and county service shall be as provided by ordinance of the board of supervisors.

All officers and employees of the city and county shall be citizens of the United States.

(provided that where competent citizens who are residents of the city and county cannot be secured to fill positions outside of the city and county, then non-resident citizens may be appointed to fill such positions; provided, further, that employees who at the time of original appointment to positions in city and county service were or at such time are residents of the city and county, and who now or at any time occupy positions the usual and major duties of which require their presence daily at places which are outside the city and county, may establish and continue residence in any county convenient to such place of duty for the duration of their employment at any such places, and shall have all rights in relation to any such employment or to any other position in any department of the city and county, including but not restricted to all rights respecting participation in examinations for appointment to, or occupancy of such other positions, to the same extent as though they had continued to be residents of the city and county during all of such time; and provided, also, that positions requiring expert or technical training may, on the recommendation of the department head and the mayor, and with the approval of the civil service commission and the board of supervisors, be exempted from the requirements of this section. A "resident" within the intent and purpose of this section, means one who actually lives within the city and county and maintains an abode therein, where such resident with his family, if any, customarily spends the night, provided, however, that residence outside the confines of the city and county for a period not to exceed three months in any calendar year, or absence of a resident from the city and county upon any bona fide journey, whether for business or pleasure and for whatever length of time, or absence of a resident while in the performance of any duties as an elected or appointed official or employee of the State of California or the government of the United States, shall not be within the contemplation of this section. Any officer or employee except elected officers of the city and county, however, may live outside the City and County of San Francisco upon authorization granted exclusively by the retirement board, if on the basis of medical and any other available information, that board believes that such authorization should be granted on account of the ill health of said officer or employee or the ill
health of a member of the immediate family of said officer or employee. Such authorization and any modification or termination thereof shall be filed in the office of the civil service commission. Officers and employees shall make application for such authorization directly to the retirement board, on forms provided by the retirement board, without any requirement of prior application to or permission of any officer, board or commission. At the same time they shall file a copy of such application with their respective department heads, who shall have ten days thereafter in which to file any objection thereto with the retirement board. The retirement board may require medical examinations and such information in regard to any such application as it shall deem reasonable. Such authorization shall be terminated after sixty days notice, by the retirement board when in its opinion the authorization no longer is justified; and the retirement board may at any time, and from time to time shall, require such medical examinations and information as it may deem reasonable to determine the continued existence of such justification. Non-compliance with requests of the retirement board or any physician designated by it, shall be good cause for denial or termination of such authorization.)

(The retirement board shall review, without unnecessary delay, all authorizations to live outside the City and County of San Francisco which have been granted by the Director of Public Health and which are now in effect. The board shall have the same powers in respect to such authorization as are provided herein in respect to authorization granted by it.)

Ordered Submitted—Board or Supervisors, San Francisco, September 3, 1957.


I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Acting Clerk.

PROPOSITION E

Amends Charter Section 163; allows retired employees to be compensated for service as expert witnesses for or on behalf of the city and county.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 163 thereof to provide that retired city employees be eligible to serve the city and county as expert witnesses.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter
of said city and county by amending Section 163 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

**Pensions of Retired Persons**

Section 163. (a) No person retired for service or disability and in receipt of a retirement allowance under the retirement system including any person subject to Section 165.2 (J) of the charter, shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such persons receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror or in the preparation for or the giving of testimony as an expert witness for or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco before any court or legislative or administrative body shall not be affected by this section ((.)) or by Section 165.2 (J) of the charter.

(b) Should any retired person, except persons retired for service prior to January 8, 1932, and persons retired because of disability incurred in the performance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty-two, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly pension or retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the compensation on the basis of which his pension or retirement allowance was determined.

The provisions of paragraph (b) of this section shall be inoperative during the existing war between the United States and the Axis Powers and for six (6) months after the termination of said war.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 3, 1957.


I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Acting Clerk.

**ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION E**

Vote "Yes" on Proposition E

This Charter amendment is badly needed in order to make it possible to obtain the expert testimony of retired city employees who, in the course of their city service, have become familiar with technical matters as to which their testimony is necessary or desirable to protect the legal interests of the City. At the present time, there are complex problems involving water rights which are of vital importance to you, the people of San Francisco, as the owners of Hetch Hetchy, and other power and water facilities. Several other City Departments also are, or may become involved in controversies involving knowledge of a technical nature.

This amendment will make it possible to engage as expert witnesses retired city employees who have the technical knowledge, background and familiarity with City problems by reason of their City service, in order to
assist the City by the preparation and giving of expert testimony needed in proceedings and hearings where the City's legal interests vitally need such technical assistance.

In order to prevent discrimination against retired City employees and to assure the City of the advantages of their services as expert witnesses, it is urged that you vote "yes" on Proposition E.

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:


Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McAteer.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

PROPOSITION F

Adds Charter Section 165.4 providing where employees of School District elect to withdraw from City Retirement System and retire under State Teachers Retirement System, district contributions shall be reduced by an amount equal to the actuarial equivalent of the member's entitlement as of the day next following the withdrawal, minus member's contribution withdrawn.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 165.4 thereto, relating to credit on current contributions, for certain public reserves released by withdrawal or relinquishment by retiring or retired teachers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 165.4 thereto, reading as follows:

Credit on current contributions, for certain public reserves released by withdrawal or relinquishment by retiring or retired teachers.

Section 165.4. In the event that any teacher or other employee of the Board of Education resigns and withdraws during or after the fiscal year which will end June 30, 1957, his accumulated contributions from the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, and instead within 90 days after such withdrawal is in the status of a person retired under the State Teachers' Retirement System of California on an allowance based on the full allowance formulae under said State System, the contributions which the San Francisco Unified School District is required to make to said
City and County Employees' Retirement System on account of service rendered by employees of such Unified School District as such members of such System, in accordance with the rate of contribution determined under paragraph (5), subdivision (H) of Section 165.2 of the charter, shall be reduced by an amount equal to the actuarial equivalent as of the day next following the date of such withdrawal, of the portion of the allowance to which such person would have been entitled from said City and County Employees' Retirement System, if he had not resigned, and which would have been based on his service as a member of such City and County Employees' Retirement System, minus the amount of his accumulated normal contributions withdrawn.

In the event that any person retired under the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System from an employment status in which he was a member of the State Teachers' Retirement System, has elected or elects to relinquish his right to a retirement allowance from said City and County Employees' Retirement System, the contributions which the San Francisco Unified School District is required to make to the City and County Employees' Retirement System on account of service rendered by employees of such Unified School District as members of such system in accordance with the rate of contribution determined under paragraph (5), subdivision (H) of Section 165.2 of the charter, shall be reduced by an amount equal to the actuarial equivalent as of the effective date of such relinquishment, and as determined in connection with such relinquishment, of the portion of the allowance to which said person would have been entitled had he not so elected, and which was based on his service as a member of the City and County Employees' Retirement System, minus the actuarial equivalent determined in connection with such relinquishment of the portion of the cancelled allowance which was provided by said person's accumulated normal contributions at the effective date of his retirement.

If the total of the actuarial equivalents, by which the contributions required of the San Francisco Unified School District in any year are to be reduced, exceeds such contributions, the amount of the excess shall be carried over to subsequent fiscal years and applied to reduce such contributions for such years in chronological order.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 3, 1957.


I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Acting Clerk.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION F

Relating to Credit on Current Contributions for Certain Public Reserves Released by Withdrawal or Relinquishment by Retiring or Retired Teachers

Under the City Charter certificated employees of the school district are members of the local retirement system as well as members of the State Teachers Retirement System. Due to a liberalization of the State Teachers Retirement System a number of employees find that it is advantageous, prior
to retirement, to withdraw their contributions from the local retirement system in order to enter into the State Retirement System. During the period of employment the School district has paid into the City and County Retirement System an amount of money based upon a percentage of salary paid, in addition to that paid by the employee, which sum is used to pay retirement benefits. The proposed Charter Amendment will allow the school district to receive a credit on future retirement obligations for those employees who leave the local system and withdraw their contributions. This proposal is equitable and will result in the school district requiring a lesser amount to be placed in its annual school budget for local retirement purposes. A "Yes" vote is requested.

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:

Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McAteer.

JOHN R. McGrath, Clerk.

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION "F"

Charter Amendment adding Section 165.4 thereto, relating to credit on current contributions, for certain public reserves released by withdrawal or relinquishment by retiring or retired teachers.

Should proposition "F" be enacted, the decrease in the annual costs of the San Francisco Unified School District, according to an estimate computed by the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, is estimated to be $1,500,000.

Based on the current assessment roll, said decrease of $1,500,000 is equivalent to eleven and two-tenths cents in the tax rate annually.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller.
City and County of San Francisco.

PROPOSITION G

Amends Charter Section 142; to allow the employment of non-civil service employees in part-time positions where the compensation does not exceed $125 per month; protects present part-time civil service incumbents.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 142 thereof, relating to part-time employees. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 142 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold-face type**; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Positions

Section 142. All positions in all departments and offices of the city and county, including positions created by laws of the State of California, where the compensation is paid by the city and county, shall be included in the classified civil service of the city and county, and shall be filled from lists of eligibles prepared by the Civil Service Commission, excepting (1) positions in which attorneys and physicians are employed in their professional capacity to perform only duties included in their professions, but exclusive of any administrative or executive positions for which such professional status constitutes only part of the qualifications therefor; (2) inmate help or student nurses, or part-time services, where the compensation including the value of any allowances in addition thereto is less than ((eighty dollars ($80) per month)) **one hundred twenty-five dollars ($125) per month**, provided that any occupant of a part-time position on the effective date of this amendment who is occupying such position under certification from the appropriate list of civil service eligibles shall be continued in such position subject to the conditions of his current appointment; and (3) persons employed in positions outside the city and county upon construction work being performed by the city and county when such positions are exempted from said classified civil service by an order of the civil service commission; and (4) persons employed in positions in any department for expert professional temporary services, and when such positions are exempted from said classified civil service for a specified period of said temporary service, by order of the civil service commission; and (5) such positions as, by other provisions in this charter, are specifically exempted from, or where the appointment is designated as exclusive of, the civil service provisions of this charter.

The civil service rights, acquired by persons under the provisions of the charter superseded by this charter, shall continue under this charter.

Where existing positions that have heretofore been exempt from civil service examinations are now made subject to examination by this charter, the incumbents of such positions who have held such positions for a period of one year continuously next preceding the time that this charter shall go into effect, shall be continued in their positions as if appointed thereto after examination and certification from a list of eligibles and shall be governed thereafter by the provisions of this charter, provided, however; the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to positions heretofore defined and classified by the civil service commission as “institutional help.”

Any person holding a salaried office under the city and county, whether by election or appointment, who shall, during his term of office, hold or retain any other salaried office under the government of the United States, or of this state, or who shall hold any other salaried office connected with the government of the city and county, or who shall become a member of the legislature, shall be deemed to have thereby vacated the office held by him under the city and county.
ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION G

When the present Charter became effective in 1932 it provided that part-time positions carrying compensations of less than $80 per month would be exempt from civil service examination. These part-time positions occur largely on the playgrounds and in the libraries where part-time employees are needed for three or four hours per day or for week ends to supplement regular services or as substitutes for regular employees.

Under the 1932 wage scales such part-time employees could work half time or less and earn less than $80. Under present wage scales such part-time employees may not work more than about ten hours per week unless appointed from civil service lists of eligibles, and civil service eligibles are most frequently not available for these part-time positions. The adoption of this amendment changing this $80 rate to $125 would re-establish the original intent of providing approximately half or full time earnings and improve recruitment. The amendment will facilitate departmental operations and will not add to departmental costs.

Vote Yes on Proposition G

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:


Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McAteer.

JOHN R. McGrath, Clerk.

PROPOSITION H

Amends Charter Section 117; to allow Board of Supervisors to exempt property owned by city and county, state or federal government or any agency thereof from computation as to requisite number of owners for an appeal from Planning Commission.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and
county by amending Section 117 thereof, relating to appeals to the Board of Supervisors from decisions of the City Planning Commission on applications for changes in the classification of the use to which property in the city and county may be put.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 117 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Zoning—Present Provisions to Apply Pending Ordinance Revision

Section 117. Until such time as the Board of Supervisors shall have enacted a new comprehensive zoning ordinance for the city and county based on a land use plan and providing for variances, and until the effective date of such ordinance, this section of the charter shall continue in force, and until such time, sections 117.1, 117.2, and 117.3, shall be inoperative. Upon the enactment and effective date of such an ordinance this section of the charter shall become inoperative for any purpose, and sections 117.1, 117.2, and 117.3, shall become operative.

ZONE CHANGES

The city planning commission, from time to time, shall consider and hold hearings on proposed changes in the classification of the use to which property in the city and county may be put, and the establishment or changing of building set-back lines, in either case, on its own motion or on the application of an interested property owner.

HEARINGS, ETC.

The board of supervisors, by ordinance, shall establish procedure for action on such matters, which ordinance must provide, among other things, that the commission shall give notice of time, place and date of hearing by posting throughout the area and by publication not less than twenty days prior thereto; that the commission shall notify, in writing, not less than ten days prior to said hearing, applicants for proposed changes, and all persons whose names and addresses are shown on the assessment roll as owners of property within three hundred feet of all exterior boundaries of the area affected by the proposed changes of the time and place of hearing, which names, addresses and other information shall be furnished by the applicant in the form required by the commission; that the commission, after hearing shall, by resolution, approve or disapprove the proposed change which, if approved, shall not become effective for thirty days; that appeal may be taken from the ruling of the commission by filing written protest with the Board of Supervisors, and if such protest is subscribed by the owners of twenty per cent of the property affected, the supervisors shall fix a time and a place for hearing such objections which shall be not less than ten nor more than thirty days after such filing, and must decide thereon within ten days of the start of such hearing, provided that, if the full membership of the board is not present on the last day on which said objections are set or continued for hearing within said period, the board may postpone said hearing and decision thereon until, but not later than, the full membership of the board is present; provided, further, that the latest date to which said
hearing and decision may be postponed on such account shall not be more than ninety (90) days from the date of filing of such protest; that the supervisors, by not less than two-thirds vote, may disapprove the action of the commission, provided, however, that any change in zoning, classification or building set-back lines made by the commission on its own motion shall require approval of the supervisors by a two-thirds vote; that in case of disapproval by the commission or by the supervisors on appeal of a proposed change, such proposed change may not be resubmitted to or reconsidered by the commission for at least one year.

In determining whether an appeal to the Board of Supervisors from a decision of the Planning Commission contains the requisite 20 per cent of signatures of owners of property affected as in this section provided, the Board of Supervisors shall have the power to exclude property owned by the City and County of San Francisco and by the State and Federal Governments, and any agency thereof, in making such computation.

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL

No ordinance shall be considered by the supervisors, the purpose or intent of which is the classification, regulation or control of the height, area, bulk, location or use of any building or buildings, or premise or premises, and classifying any property into any district or zone for such purposes, or establishing a set-back line or lines along any street or portion thereof in the city and county without being first submitted to the city planning commission for report and recommendation. If the commission disapproves any such ordinance, the supervisors may adopt the same only by an affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of its entire membership. The failure of the commission to act within sixty days from and after the date of official submission of any proposed zoning classification or set-back line by the board of supervisors shall be deemed to be approval of such classification or proposed set-back line by the commission.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 3, 1957.


I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Acting Clerk.

PROPOSITION 1

Amends Section 35.5 and adds Section 35.5.2 relating to methods of establishing compensation for members of police department and the additional rate of pay to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and
county by amending Section 35.5 thereof, and adding Section 35.5.2 thereto, relating to pay of members of the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 35.5 thereof and adding Section 35.5.2. thereto, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

SECTION 35.5. The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof. The ((annual compensations for the)) several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police, ($10,980;)) deputy chief of police, director of traffic, ((and)) chief of inspectors, ($9,240;)) supervising captain of districts, ((and)) department secretary, ($7,500;)) captain of inspectors, ((and)) captain of traffic, ($6,840;)) director of bureau of personnel, ((and)) director of bureau of criminal information, ($6,480;)) captains, ((and)) criminologist, ($6,360;)) lieutenants, ((and)) director of bureau of special services, ($5,100;)) inspectors, ($4,740;)) sergeants, ($4,620;)) photographer, ($4,440;)) police surgeon, ($4,080;)) police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers. ((, for first year of service, $3,480; for second year of service, $3,660; for third year of service, $3,900; for fourth year of service and thereafter, $4,080.)) The compensation for these ranks shall be determined as provided in section 35.5.1 and section 35.5.2 of this charter.

In determining years of service necessary for a police officer, woman protective officer and police patrol driver to receive the annual compensations as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.

The absence of any police officer, woman protective officer, or police patrol driver on military leave, as defined by Section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.

((Any member assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty shall receive $15 per month in addition to the compensation to which he would otherwise be entitled.))

((This section shall become effective on the 1st day of July, 1951 .))

SECTION 35.5.2. Not later than the fifteenth day of February of each year the civil service commission shall survey, and certify to the board of supervisors, any additional rate of pay paid to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty in the respective police departments of all cities of 100,000 population or over in the State of California, based upon the latest decennial census.

Not later than the first day of April of each year the board of supervisors shall have power, and it shall be its duty by ordinance to fix the additional rate of pay for the members of the police department who are assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty, at a rate of pay not to exceed the highest rate of compensation paid to members assigned to two-wheel motor-
cycle traffic duty in the cities included in the certified report of the civil
service commission.

Not later than the second Monday of July of each year the civil service
commission shall survey and certify to the board of supervisors any addi-
tional rate of pay paid to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic
duty on the first day of July of that year in the cities hereinbefore referred to.

The board of supervisors shall thereupon have the power by ordinance
to revise the additional rate of pay as in the section provided. Said revised
rates shall be effective from the first day of July of the then current fiscal
year.

If the board of supervisors revises said additional rate of pay then, it
shall, not later than the 25th day of July of the then current fiscal year,
have the power, and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the
charter, but without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend
the annual salary ordinance and the annual appropriation ordinance to in-
clude the provisions necessary for paying the additional rate of pay for members
assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty fixed by the board of
supervisors as in this section provided for the then current fiscal year.

On ratification of this section the civil service commission shall imme-
diately survey and certify to the board of supervisors the additional rate of
pay paid to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty on the
first day of January, 1958, in the cities referred to hereinbefore. The board
of supervisors shall thereupon have power, and it shall be its duty by ordi-
nance to adjust the rates of compensation for the fiscal year 1957-1958 for
members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty, based upon said
certified report and as in this section provided; and, notwithstanding any
other provision of this charter it shall have power, and it shall be its duty,
but without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the
annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance for the fiscal
year 1957-1958 to include the provisions necessary for paying said additional
rate of pay from January 1, 1958; provided, however, that if additional funds
are required then provisions shall be made for such payment out of such
funds as the controller certifies are available for the purpose. Such funds
as are made available shall first be applied to the payment of compensations
for the period from the effective date of this section to June 30, 1958, and
thereafter to the payment of the additional rate for the period from the
effective date of this section to January 1, 1958, and shall only apply to
those persons who are members of the department on and after the effective
date of this section.

Said additional rate of pay shall be in addition to the rate of compensa-
tion provided for in section 35.5.1 of this charter.

In no event shall the additional rate so fixed be less than $15.00 per
month.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 3,
1957.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McAteer, Mc-
Carty, McMahon, Rolph, Sullivan.

No: Supervisor Dobbs.

I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered sub-
mitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Acting Clerk.
ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION I

Proposition I changes the language of Section 35.5 of the Charter to provide that the Motorcycle Officers Hazard Pay shall be set in the same manner as other salaries paid to members of the San Francisco Police Department.

Proposition I provides that a survey of all police departments in the State of California with a population of 100,000 or more be made annually and that the Board of Supervisors may not exceed the highest figure paid in these municipalities in determining the amount of the Hazard Pay.

This argument is sponsored by the San Francisco Police Officers Association.

JAMES J. HEGARTY, Secretary.

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Ertola, Halley, McCarty, McMahon, Rolph, Sullivan.

No: Supervisor Dobbs.

Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McAteer.

JOHN R. McGrath, Clerk.

CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183

PROPOSITION “I”

Charter Amendment amending Section 35.5 thereof and adding Section 35.5.2 thereto relating to pay of members of the police department.

Should proposition “I” be enacted, based upon the number of police officers currently designated for assignment to operation of two-wheel motorcycle for traffic duty and further based upon the existing pay differential for this class of duty viz., $15.00 per month, it is estimated that the cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco will be increased by $23,380 for the current fiscal year and by $46,760 for each ensuing fiscal year.

Based upon the current assessment roll the $46,760 annual cost is equivalent to four tenths of one cent in the tax rate.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller.

City and County of San Francisco.

PROPOSITION J

Amends Charter Sections 171.1.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, 171.1.5, 171.1.5.1 and 171.1.10; relates to retirement and death allowances as respects members, and dependents of members, of fire department and qualification requirements therefor.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and
county by amending Sections 171.1.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, 171.1.5, 171.1.5.1, and 171.1.10 thereof, relating to Retirement Benefits for Members of the Fire Department and Allowances to Beneficiaries.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Sections 171.1.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, 171.1.5, 171.1.5.1 and 171.1.10 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 171.1.1. The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:

"Retirement allowance," "death allowance" or "allowance," shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, or upon the day following the date of death, as the case may be, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.

"Compensation," as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the city and county, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the fire department, but excluding remuneration paid for overtime.

(""Compensation earnable" shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached at that time to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the fire department he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.)

"Benefit" shall include "allowance," "retirement allowance," "death allowance" and "death benefit."

"Final compensation" shall mean the ((average)) monthly compensation ((earnable)) attached to the rank held by a member ((during the)) three years ((immediately preceeding)) prior to his retirement, or death before retirement, it being assumed, in determining the rank held by a member, for all purposes under sections stated in section 171.1 to which he is subject, that during any absence he was in the rank held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the fire department he was in the rank first held by him in such department.

For the purpose of the retirement system and of this section, the terms "member of the fire department," "member of the department," or "member" shall mean any officer or employee of the fire department, excluding such officers and employees as are members of the retirement system under section 169 of the charter, who was or shall be subject to the charter
provisions governing entrance requirements of members of the uniformed force of said department, and said terms further shall mean, from the effective date of their employment in said department, persons employed on the effective date hereof, regardless of age, or employed after said date at an age not greater than the maximum age then prescribed for entrance into employment in said uniformed force, to perform the duties now performed by members of the salvage corps in the fire department, or duties now performed under the titles of pilot of fireboats, marine engineer of fireboats, marine fireman of fireboats, or hydrant-gateman. Any fire service performed by such member of the fire department outside the limits of the city and county and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as city and county service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the retirement system.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in section 158 of the charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board" as created in section 159 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.

Section 171.1.2. Any member of the fire department who completes at least thirty years of service in the aggregate, regardless of age, or at least twenty-five years of service in the aggregate and attains the age of fifty-five years, said service to be computed under section 171.1.9, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of sixty-seven years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1949; the age of sixty-six years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1950, and thereafter, following the attainment of the age of sixty-five years. A member retired after meeting the service and age requirements in the two sentences next preceding, shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 171.1.1, plus an allowance at the rate of one and two-thirds per cent of said final compensation, for each year of service rendered prior to attaining age sixty and after qualifying as to age and service for retirement. A member retired after attaining the age of sixty-five years, but before completing twenty-five years of service in the aggregate computed under section 171.1.9, shall receive a retirement allowance which bears the same ratio to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 171.1.1, as the service with which he is entitled to be credited, bears to twenty-five years. Any member may retire, regardless of age, after rendering twenty-five years of service in the aggregate computed under section 171.1.9, but in such event, his retirement allowance shall be such as can be provided at the age of retirement by the actuarial value, at the age of retirement, of the retirement allowance to

50
which he would be entitled at the date upon which he would qualify for retirement under the first sentence of this paragraph, deferred to that date. If, at the date of retirement ((for service, or retirement for disability resulting from an injury received in performance of duty)), said member has no wife, children or dependent parents, who would qualify for the continuance of the allowance after the death of said member, or with respect to the portion of the allowance which would not be continued regardless of dependents, ((or upon retirement for disability resulting from other causes, with respect to all of the allowance and regardless of dependents at retirement,)) a member retired under this section, or section 171.1.3, may elect before the first payment of the retirement allowance is made, to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance or the portion which would not be continued regardless of dependents, as the case may be, partly in a lesser allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar election by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount of such other benefits.

Section 171.1.3. Any member of the fire department who becomes incapacitated for the performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by performance of his duty, shall be retired, and, if he is not qualified for service retirement shall receive a retirement allowance equal to seventy-five per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in section 171.1.1. Said allowance shall be paid to him until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at retirement, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the ((average)) monthly compensation attached to the rank he ((would have received during the)) held three years ((immediately)) prior to ((said date)) retirement, had he lived and rendered service as assumed, but such allowance shall not be less than one-half of such ((average)) monthly compensation. If at the time of retirement because of disability, he is qualified as to age and service for retirement under section 171.1.2, he shall receive an allowance equal to the retirement allowance which he would receive if retired under section 171.1.2, but not less than fifty per cent of said final compensation. Any member of the fire department who becomes incapacitated for performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding sentence, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in section 171.1.9, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-half per cent of the final compensation of said member as defined in section 171.1.1 for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than thirty-three and one-third per cent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this section may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of the fire commission, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.

Section 171.1.4. If a member of the fire department shall die before or after retirement by reason of an injury received in, or illness caused by
the performance of his duty, a death allowance, in lieu of any allowance payable under any other section of the charter or by ordinance, on account of death resulting from injury received in or illness caused by the performance of duty, shall be paid, beginning on the date next following the date of death, to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but had not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had been retired for service on the day of death, but such allowances shall not be less than one-half of the (average) monthly compensation (earnsable) attached to the rank held by said member (during the three years immediately preceding) at the date of death. If death occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance payable shall be equal to the monthly compensation (of) attached to the rank held by said member at the date of death, until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at death, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the (average) monthly compensation attached to the rank he (would have received during the) held three years (immediately) prior to (said) the date of death, had he lived and rendered service as assumed, but such allowance shall not be less than one-half of such (average) monthly compensation. If he had retired prior to death, for service or for disability resulting from injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member, except that if he was a member under section 171.1 and retirement was for such disability, and if death occurred prior to qualification for the service retirement allowance, the allowance continued shall be reduced upon the date at which said member would have qualified for service retirement, in the same manner as it would have been reduced had the member not died. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarries before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving widow otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife following the death of a member unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death.

Section 171.1.5. Upon the death of a member resulting from any cause, other than an injury received in or illness caused by performance of duty, (a) if his death occurred after qualification for service retirement, under section 171.1.2, or after retirement for service or for disability which does not result from injury or illness caused by performance of duty, one-half of his retirement allowance to which the member would have been entitled if he had retired for service at the time of death or one-half of the retirement allowance as it was at his death, as the case may be, shall be con-
continued throughout life or until remarriage, to his surviving wife, or (b) if his death occurred, after retirement for disability by reason of injury received in or illness caused by performance of duty, his retirement allowance as it was at his death shall be continued throughout life or until remarriage, to his surviving wife, except that, if death occurred prior to qualification for service retirement allowance, the allowance continued shall be reduced upon the date at which said member would have qualified for service retirement, in the same manner as it would have been reduced had the member not died, or (c) if his death occurred before retirement and after he has completed at least three years of service in the aggregate, computed under section 171.1.9, but has not qualified for service retirement under section 171.1.2, an allowance equal to one-third of the compensation attached to the rank held by said member at the date of death, shall be paid to his surviving wife throughout life or until remarriage; provided that the surviving wife, or, if there is no such wife, the guardian of the child or children under age eighteen, or, if there be no such children, the dependent parent or parents, may elect before the first payment of such allowance, and in lieu of such allowance, to receive a benefit equal to the survivor's insurance benefit payments which would be payable, under the federal old age and survivors' insurance provisions of the federal social security act now in effect, or as that act hereafter may be amended or supplemented before or after his death, if the member at death had been covered as fully insured, and assuming the maximum average monthly wage possible, under said act. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving wife otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death if he had not retired, or unless she was married to the member at least one year prior to his retirement.

The surviving wife, in the event of death of the member ((after qualification for but)) before service retirement, may elect before the first payment of the allowance, to receive the benefit provided in section 171.1.7, in lieu of the allowance which otherwise would be continued or paid to her under this section. If there be no surviving wife, the guardian of the child or children under age eighteen, may make such election, and if there be no such children, the dependent parent or parents may make such election. Persons heretofore retired under charter section 171, as members of the fire department at the time of retirement, shall be subject to the provisions of this section. "Qualified for service retirement," "Qualification for service retirement" or "Qualified as to age and service for retirement," as used in this section and other sections to which persons who are members under section 171.1 are subject, shall mean completion of thirty years of service regardless of age, or twenty-five years of service and attainment of age fifty-five, said service to be computed under section 171.1.9.
(The effective date of this amendment shall be the first day of the month following approval by the State Legislature.)

Section 171.1.5.1. (Every allowance based on the average monthly compensation earnable by the member during the ten years prior to retirement, and payable for time commencing on the effective date of this section, to or on account of persons who were retired, as members under section 171, for disability resulting from bodily injury received in the performance of duty, shall be adjusted to the amount it would be, if it had been based on the monthly compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as of July 1, 1956, for the rank or position held by such retired member in the fire department prior to retirement.)

Every allowance payable for time commencing on the effective date of this section, under section 168.3, 171, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, or 171.1.5, to or on account of persons who retired or died as members of the salvage corps in the fire department, or as pilots of fireboats, marine engineers of fireboats, marine firemen of fireboats, or members of the fire department, shall be adjusted to the amount it would be, if it has been calculated in the manner prescribed under the provisions of sections 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4 or 171.1.5, as the case may be, for the calculation of allowances upon the retirement or death of members of the fire department, which occur after such effective date. This section does not authorize any decrease in any allowance from the amount being paid at said effective date, nor does this section give any retired member, or any beneficiary of such member, or his successors in interest, any claim against the city and county for any increase in any allowance paid or payable for the time prior to its effective date. Adjustment in reserves under allowances which are changed according to this section, shall be made on the basis of current interest rate and mortality tables.

The increase in the retirement allowance shall be apportioned according to service rendered by the member in the same manner that the allowance prior to increase was apportioned. Contributions to the retirement system, necessary for the payment of the increase of the portion of the retirement allowances which is paid from reserves held by the retirement system, shall be provided from the reserves held by the retirement system on account of members under section 171.1, the necessary amount being transferred upon said effective date, from said reserves to the reserves held by the retirement system to meet the obligations on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members. The contribution being required of the city and county currently, as a percentage of salaries of persons who are members under section 171.1, shall be increased to a percentage determined by the actuary as necessary to replace the reserves so transferred. Contributions to the retirement system necessary for the payment of said increases with reference to current and prior service portions of the allowances which are not paid from reserves held by the retirement system, shall be paid to the system by the city and county by annual appropriations, provided that such appropriation for any year shall not be less than the amount disbursed during that year on account of said increases. (The effective date of this section shall be the first day of the month following approval by the State Legislature.)

Section 171.1.10. All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:
(1) The normal rate of contribution of each member under this section shall be based on his age taken to the next lower complete quarter year, (a) at the earlier of the dates he became a member under section 165, 165.2 or 171, in the case of persons who are members under these sections, or (b) on his age at the date he becomes a member under section 171.1 in the case of persons who become members on or after the effective date of this amendment, without credit for service counted under section 171.1.9. The age of entrance into the fire department shall be determined by deducting the member's service credited under section 171.1.9 as rendered prior to the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution according to the sentence next preceding, from said age. The normal rate of contribution of each such member, to be effective from the effective date of membership under section 171.1, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption, under section 171.1.2, one-third of that portion of the service retirement allowance to which he would be entitled, without continuance to dependents, upon first qualifying as to age and service, for retirement under that section, which is based on service rendered after the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution according to the first sentence in this paragraph, and assuming the contribution to be made from that date. The sum of the normal rate and the member's dependent rate of contribution, however, shall not exceed ten per cent.

(2) The dependent contributions of each member under this section which shall be required of each member throughout his membership in addition to the normal contributions, and in the same manner as normal contributions, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption under section 171.1.2, and upon his first qualifying as to age and service for retirement under that section, one-third of the portion of his allowance, which is to be continued under section 171.1.5 after his death and throughout the life of a surviving wife whose age at said death is three years less than the age of said member. If, at the date of retirement for service or retirement for disability (resulting from injury received in performance of duty), said member has no wife who would qualify for the continuance of the allowance to her after the death of said member, (or upon retirement for disability resulting from other causes, regardless of his marital condition,) the dependent contributions with accumulated interest thereon, shall be paid to him forthwith. The dependent rate of contribution, however, shall not exceed the (difference between) excess of ten per cent (and) over the member's normal rate of contribution, and said dependent rate may be taken as a flat percentage of the member's normal rate, regardless of the age of qualification for service retirement.

(3) There shall be deducted from each payment of compensation made to a member under this section, a sum determined by applying the member's rates of contribution to such compensation payment. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or
shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in sections 171.1.7, 171.1.8 and 171.1.9.

(4) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1), (2) and (3) of section 171.1.9, and deducted prior to the effective date thereof, from compensation of persons who become members under section 171.1, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.

(5) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(6) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this section 171.1.10, to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution in paragraph (1) section 171.1.10, shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total compensation paid during said year, to persons who are members under section 171.1, said percentage to be the ratio of the value on the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system as provided by the board of supervisors, of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the city and county, less the amount of such contributions, and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said system (§§) to provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective members after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the value at said respective dates of salaries thereafter payable to said members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system.

(7) To promote the stability of the retirement system through a joint participation in the result of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies the contributions of both members and the city and county held by the system to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said system are included. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligations of the city and county to pay to the retirement system any amounts which may or shall become due under the provisions of the charter prior to the effective rate hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the city and county.
ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION J

Vote "Yes" On Proposition J

Proposition J corrects present inadequate retirement allowances of widows of members of the Fire Department killed in line of duty and members retired for disabilities incurred in line of duty and brings their allowance in line with present retirement allowances.

Proposition J provides that future retirement allowances will be adjusted in accordance with the economic situation, i.e., will go up or down with the prevailing retirement allowances and prevent constant revision.

Proposition J would provide protection for widows, children or dependent parents of a member of the Fire Department who dies of non-industrial causes after three years of service, comparable to Social Security, benefits from which they are barred by the Social Security Act. The Social Security Act excludes firemen and they should have some protection in the event of non-industrial death.

Vote "Yes" On Proposition J

This argument is sponsored by San Francisco Fire Fighters, Local #798, AFL-CIO.

R. F. CALLAHAN, Secretary

A "Yes" vote on Proposition "J" is endorsed by:
William F. Murray, Chief, San Francisco Fire Department
San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council
San Francisco Veteran Police Officers Association, Inc.

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Ertola, Halley, McCarty, McMahon, Rolph, Sullivan.
Noes: Supervisor Dobbs.
Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McaTear.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION J

Vote "NO" on Proposition "J"

This costly proposal would give firemen social security benefits for ordinary death and would give them pensions which would rise automatically
with salaries. All of the cost would be paid by the taxpayers, none of the cost would be paid by the firemen!

Proposition "J" is an unfair and costly pension move by the firemen, which was put on the ballot by the supervisors within a few hours after the final text was submitted. Two supervisors voted against submitting it to the voters.

This proposition does two things: First, it provides that pensions of retired firemen would rise every time the salaries of active firemen are increased. Within the past 15 years, firemen's salaries have been increased from $200 to over $500 per month. If this trend continues, the cost to the taxpayers would be tremendous. It was impossible for the actuary to calculate the future cost of this benefit. You cannot buy an insurance annuity that provides this kind of coverage for future cost of living increases. Your private pension plans do not provide for this kind of increase.

Second: This measure would provide either a one-third pension or the equivalent of social security benefits for families of firemen who die of ordinary causes—that is, causes not related to their jobs. They are now adequately protected for service-connected injuries and death. The measure would require the taxpayers to pay the entire cost of these benefits.

You must vote "No" on Proposition "J" if you do not want 13,000 other city employees demanding the same exorbitant benefits.

Vote "No" on "J" because the firemen's pensions are now costing the city over 26 per cent of every fireman's salary and this measure would push the cost higher. The retirement system cost the city $20,287.018 this year. A study of the city's entire pension system's costs and benefits is necessary before any change is approved.

This argument is sponsored by The San Francisco Municipal Conference, composed of representatives of the following organizations:

- Apartment House Association of San Francisco
- Building Owners and Managers Association
- California Northern Hotel Association
- Down Town Association
- San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
- S. F. Junior Chamber of Commerce
- San Francisco Real Estate Board

ARTHUR E. WILKENS, Chairman.

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION "J"

Charter Amendment amending Sections 171.1.1, 171.1.2, 171.1.3, 171.1.4, 171.1.5, 171.1.5.1 and 171.1.10 thereof, relating to retirement benefits for members of the fire department and allowances to beneficiaries.

Should proposition "J" be enacted, the increase in the annual cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco, according to a report by the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, is estimated to be $117,281.

Based on the current assessment roll, said amount of $117,281 is equivalent to nine tenths of one cent in the tax rate annually.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller,
City and County of San Francisco.
PROPOSITION K

Amends Charter Section 172.1 and adds Sections 172.1.1 to 172.1.15 inclusive; establishing Health Service System as department of City, providing for city and School District contributions, and for membership, constitution of board and benefits.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 172.1 thereof and adding Sections 172.1.1, 172.1.2, 172.1.3, 172.1.4, 172.1.5, 172.1.6, 172.1.7, 172.1.8, 172.1.9, 172.1.10, 172.1.11, 172.1.12, 172.1.13, 172.1.14, and 172.1.15 thereto relating to the health service system.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 172.1 thereof and adding Sections 172.1.1, 172.1.2, 172.1.3, 172.1.4, 172.1.5, 172.1.6, 172.1.7, 172.1.8, 172.1.9, 172.1.10, 172.1.11, 172.1.12, 172.1.13, 172.1.14 and 172.1.15 thereto so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 172.1. (Subdivision 1.) A health service system ((for municipal employees)) is hereby established as a department of the city and county government, and shall be subject to section 172.1 and sections 172.1.1, 172.1.2, 172.1.3., 172.1.4, 172.1.5, 172.1.6, 172.1.7, 172.1.8, 172.1.9, 172.1.10, 172.1.11, 172.1.12, 172.1.13, 172.1.14 and 172.1.15. Said system shall be administered by a board to be known as the health service board. The members of the system shall consist of all employees, which shall include officers, of the city and county and of the San Francisco Unified School District who are members of the retirement system. ((, and all teachers and employees of the board of education who are members of said retirement system.)) Any employee who adheres to the faith or teachings of any recognized religious sect, denomination or organization and, in accordance with its creed, tenets or principles, depends for healing upon prayer in the practice of religion shall be ((exempted)) exempt from the system upon filing annually with the health service board an affidavit stating such adherence and dependence and disclaiming any benefits under the system. The health service board shall have the power to exempt any person whose annual compensation exceeds ((forty-five hundred dollars ($4,500))) six thousand dollars ($6,000) and any person who has otherwise provided for adequate medical care.

Section 172.1.1. (Subdivision 2.) The health service board on the effective date hereof shall consist of (nine members elected by the members of the system. The first members of the board shall classify themselves by lot so that three shall serve for one year, three for two years and three for
three years from and after May 15, 1937. Thereafter the term of office shall be three years. The president of the board shall act as the appointing officer under the civil service provisions of this charter for the appointing, disciplining and removal of such employees as may be authorized by the board.)( seven members as follows: the chairman of the finance committee of the board of supervisors, the city attorney, two members appointed by the mayor one of whom shall be a resident official of an insurance company and the other a doctor of medicine, and three members elected by the members of the system from among their number. The city attorney may designate, by written document filed with the board, an assistant city attorney to attend board meetings and to act for him in his place. The terms of office of the members, other than the two ex-officio members, shall be five years, one term expiring on May 15 of each year; provided, however, that the first three elective members shall be selected by lot from the health service board members whose terms under the law as it existed prior to this amendment would not have expired on May 14, 1958, by classifying themselves so that one of the members whose terms would have expired in 1959 shall serve until May 15, 1959; and two of the members whose terms would have expired in 1960 shall serve, one until May 15, 1961, and the other until May 15, 1963; and provided further that, of the first two members appointed by the mayor, one shall serve until May 15, 1960, and the other until May 15, 1962. The terms of each of the members holding office under the law as it existed prior to this amendment and not so selected shall expire upon the effective date hereof. Each member of the health service board shall give bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars ($10,000), the premium on which shall be paid out of the funds of the system. A vacancy (Vacancies shall be filled for the unexpired term by a majority vote of the remaining members of the board. Members of the board shall be nominated) in the offices appointive by the mayor shall be filled by appointment by the mayor for the unexpired term. A vacancy in an elective office shall be filled by a special election to be completed within sixty days after the vacancy occurs unless a regular election is to be held and completed within six months after such occurrence. Candidates for elective membership on the health service board shall be nominated by a written nomination of twenty members filed with the registrar of voters not earlier than April 1st nor later than April 15th of (each year.) 1959 and each year thereafter in which a vacancy occurs. The registrar of voters shall prepare ballots and shall furnish the same to all members of the system between April 15th and April 25th and shall receive the ballots between April 25th and May 7th and canvass and certify the results on May 8th. The registrar of voters shall have power to make such regulations respecting the form, distribution and canvassing of the ballots as may be necessary to secure secrecy of the ballots and prevent fraud. The persons equal in number to the number to be elected who receive the greatest number of votes shall be declared elected. Not more than one employee of any one department or office may be a member of the health service board. (For the purpose of the first election, all employees eligible for membership in the system shall be deemed members.)

Section 172.1.2. The medical care plans in effect upon the effective date hereof shall continue in force and effect until rescinded or superseded by a new plan or plans adopted by the health service board and approved by ordinance of the board of supervisors, adopted by three-fourths of its members.

Section 172.1.3. ((Subdivision 3)) The board shall have power and di
shall be its duty ((; (a) By)) by a two-thirds vote of the entire membership of the health service board to adopt a plan or plans for rendering medical care to members of the system; or for the indemnification of the cost of said care, or for obtaining and carrying insurance against such costs ((,)) or for such care. ((provided:))

Such plan or plans as may be adopted, shall not become effective until approved by ordinance of the board of supervisors, adopted by three-fourths of its members.

The board of supervisors shall secure an actuarial report of the costs and effect of any proposed change in the benefits of the health service system or rates of contribution before enacting an ordinance or before voting to submit any proposed charter amendment providing for such change.

Section 172.1.4. ((4.)) In January of each year, or more often if it deems necessary, at public hearings, the health service board shall review and determine the adequacy of medical care provided for members of the system and the adequacy of fee schedules and the compensation paid for all services rendered and it may make such revisions therein as it deems equitable ((,)) but such revisions shall not become effective until approved by ordinance of the board of supervisors adopted by three-fourths of its members. ((Any such determination or revision shall be subject to review by the retirement board upon an appeal taken within thirty (30) days thereafter by a written petition filed with the retirement board and signed by not less than fifteen per cent (15%) of the members of the system or by not less than fifteen per cent (15%) of those of any one of the following groups who have contracted to render services to the members of the system: Physicians and surgeons; persons licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs; dentists; nurses; pharmacists; hospitals; other agencies of medical care. A copy of such petition shall also be filed with the health service board at the same time. The retirement board may approve or disapprove such determination or revision of the health service board by a majority of its members or refer the same to the health service board for further consideration. Failure of the retirement board to approve, refer or disapprove such determination or revision within sixty (60) days after filing the petition shall constitute an approval.))

Section 172.1.5. Each plan shall make detailed and specific provision for the benefits to be provided thereunder and for the rates of contribution required to support the plan.

Section 172.1.6. ((e) To)) Each plan may make provision for the participation in the benefits of the system by the dependents of members, retired ((municipal)) city and county employees, temporary ((municipal)) city and county employees, such other dependents of deceased and retired city and county employees as the board of supervisors may authorize by ordinance, teachers and other employees of the ((board of education)) San Francisco Unified School District retired under the ((retirement system)) San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, and resigned employees of the city and county and resigned teachers and employees of the ((board of education)) school district whose resignations occur after June 15, 1955, and within thirty days immediately prior to the date on which, but for their resignations, they would have become retired members of the said retirement system, or whose relinquishment of retirement allowances as
permitted by the charter occurs after such date, and resigned employees of
the San Francisco Unified School District not otherwise included. (provided
that such participation shall be without cost to the city and county.) A re-
signed employee or teacher is one whose employment has terminated other
than by retirement, discharge or death or who has relinquished retirement
allowances. The purpose of empowering the health service board to make
provision for the participation in the benefits of the system (by) to and afo-
mentioned resigned teachers and employees of the ((board of education)) San
Francisco Unified School District is to enable them, subject to the health
service board’s exercise of its power, to participate in the benefits of the
system after transferring to the State Teacher’s Retirement System from the
(city and county’s retirement system) San Francisco City and County Em-
ployees’ Retirement System. The purpose of empowering the health service
board to make provision for participation in the benefits of the system by
the aforementioned resigned employees of the city and county and other
resigned employees of the San Francisco Unified School District is (so that)
to permit the health service board ((will)) to have power to treat them the
same as it treats resigned teachers and employees of the ((board of educa-
tion)) San Francisco Unified School District.

Section 172.1.7. ((1.)) No member of the system shall be required to
accept the services or medical supplies of any physician (physician includes
physicians and surgeons, optometrists, dentists, chiropodists and osteopathic
and chiropractic practitioners licensed by California State Law and within
the scope of their practice as defined by California State Law), ((surgeon,))
person licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs, ((dentist,))
nurse, pharmacist or hospital selected by the health service board, but, sub-
ject to rules and regulations of ((the)) that board, every member shall have
the right to select, of his own choice, any duly licensed physician, as defined
herein, ((surgeon,)) person licensed to treat human diseases without the use
of drugs, ((dentist,)) nurse, pharmacist, hospital or other agency of medical
care as herein defined, who or which will render the required services pur-
suant to said rules and regulations, and the health service board shall make
provision for the exercise of such choice; and is hereby expressly prohibited
from entering into any exclusive contract for the rendering of said serv-
ices. (2))

(2.) Any duly licensed physician, as defined herein, ((surgeon,)) person
licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs, ((dentist,)) nurse,
pharmacist, hospital or other agency of medical care shall have the right
to furnish such services or medical supplies at uniform rates of compensa-
tion to be fixed by the health service board. (3))

(3.) Such rates of compensation and any and all proposed contracts
respecting the rendering of such services shall be reviewed by the retire-
ment board of the city and county and shall not become effective unless and
until approved by the retirement board. The retirement board may approve,
refer to the health service board for further consideration, or disapprove
any matter or proposal which is within its jurisdiction under the provisions
of this section, and it shall act within sixty (60) days after any matter has
been submitted to it, and failure of the retirement board to approve, refer
or disapprove the same within said period shall constitute an approval.

Section 172.1.8. The health service board shall have power and it shall
be its duty:
(a) To establish and maintain detailed historical costs for medical care, hospital care.

(b) To review such costs annually.

(c) To apply benefits without special favor or privilege.

(d) ((b)) To put said plans into effect and through its medical director to conduct and administer the same and, for all or any of said purposes, to contract therefor and use the funds of the system.

(e) ((c)) To make rules and regulations for the transaction of its business, the granting of exemptions and the admission to the system of persons who are hereby made members thereof and such other officers and employees as may voluntarily become members of the system with the approval of the health service board.

((d)) To appoint a medical director and such other employees as may be necessary. The compensation of the medical director shall be fixed by the board and he shall hold office at its pleasure. The employees, other than the medical director, shall be subject to the civil service provisions of the charter, provided that all employees who are actually employed or who may be on military leave of absence from employment on the effective date of this amendment and who have been continuously employed for one year immediately preceding such date or such military leave of absence shall be continued in their respective positions and classifications as if appointed thereto after examination and certification from a civil service list of eligibles and shall thereafter be governed by and be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. Prior to July 1st in each year the health service board shall fix the compensation of its employees, which compensation shall be the same as the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors, under the provisions of section 151 of this charter, for similar classifications and services in other city and county departments. The health service board shall submit to the board of supervisors prior to July 1st of each year a list of positions established under the health service board, and such positions and the compensation therefor shall be enumerated in the annual salary ordinance. Payrolls covering such positions shall be governed by section 150 of the charter.))

(f) ((5. The health service board shall)) To receive, consider and, within sixty (60) days after receipt, act upon any matter pertaining to the administration, operation or conduct of the health service system submitted to it in writing by any member of the system or any person who has contracted to render medical care to the members of the system.

((6. The said retirement board is hereby authorized and empowered and it is hereby made its duty to exercise the powers and to perform the duties prescribed for it by this section.))

Section 172.1.9. The health service board shall appoint a full time medical director who shall be a doctor of medicine with experience in administering health plans or in comparable work. He shall hold office at its pleasure. The medical director shall have all of the powers and responsibilities of an appointing officer, a department head, and a chief executive under the provisions of the charter. The health service board shall administer the system through the medical director. The medical director shall be respon-
sible to the health service board as a board, but not to any individual member or committee thereof. Instead of a full-time medical director, the board may appoint a full-time executive officer who is not a doctor of medicine, but with experience in administering health plans or in comparable work, and a part-time medical advisor who shall be a doctor of medicine with such experience, and both of whom shall hold office at its pleasure. If an executive officer is appointed, the provisions of this and other sections which would apply otherwise to the medical director shall apply equally and instead to the executive officer. The health service board and each committee of the board shall confine its activities to policy matters and to matters coming before it as an appeal board. The health service board shall prepare its plans, rules and regulations so that they are clear, definite and complete and so that they can be readily administered by the medical director and his staff.

Section 172.1.10. Except as otherwise specifically provided herein, all provisions of the charter shall be fully applicable to the health service board, the health service system and its medical director and employees in the same manner that they apply to other boards, commissions, and departments of the city and county. All employees of the health service system who are actually employed, or on authorized leave of absence from employment on the effective date of this amendment shall be continued in their respective positions as if appointed thereto after examination and certification from a civil service list of eligibles and thereafter shall be governed by and subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. Each such employee shall, for purposes of civil service seniority, be deemed to have been appointed to such position by the city and county upon the date of commencement of his occupancy thereof and shall also retain all other civil service rights and privileges held immediately prior to the effective date of this amendment.

Section 172.1.11. There is hereby created a health service system fund. The costs of the health service system shall be borne by the members of the system and retired persons, the City and County of San Francisco because of its members and retired persons and the San Francisco Unified School District because of its members and retired persons. A retired person as used in this paragraph means a former member of the health service system retired under the San Francisco City and County Employees’ Retirement System.

The city and county and the school district shall each contribute to the health service system fund an amount equal to the amounts contributed thereto by its members and retired persons of the system; provided, however, that for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1958, such contributions by the city and county and the school district shall be one-fifth (1/5th) of the amount contributed thereto by the members of the system; and that for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1959, such contributions by the city and county and the school district shall be one-half (½) of the amount contributed thereto by the members of the system; and that for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1960, and for each fiscal year thereafter, the contributions by the city and county and the school district shall be equal to the amount contributed thereto by the members of the system; provided, however, that the total amount contributed by the city and county and the school district to the health service system fund in each fiscal year shall not exceed an amount equal to the tax yield that can be produced in each fiscal year by six cents in the tax rate on each one hundred dollars ($100.00) valuation of the real and tangible personal property assessed in and subject to taxation by the city and county and the school district.
Commencing with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1958, and each
fiscal year thereafter the monthly contribution required from retired persons
participating in the system shall be equal to the monthly contribution re-
quired from members thereof.

The city and county and the San Francisco Unified School District shall
not contribute to the health service system fund any sums, including admin-
istrative expenses, on account of participation in the benefits of the system
by members’ dependents, retired persons’ dependents, persons who retired
and elected not to receive benefits from San Francisco City and County
Employees’ Retirement System and resigned employees and teachers defined
in section 172.1.6.

It shall be the duty of the board of supervisors and of the board of
education annually to appropriate to the health service system fund such
amounts as are necessary to cover the obligation of the city and county and
of the San Francisco Unified School District hereby imposed. Contributions
to the health service system fund of the city and county and of the school
district shall be charged against the general fund or the school, utility, bond
or other special fund concerned. The controller shall transfer to the health
service system fund all sums in any other fund now standing to the credit
of the health service system.

((Subdivision 4.)) Section 172.1.12. The health service board shall
determine and certify to the controller the amount to be paid monthly by the
members of the system to ((a)) the health service system fund for the pur-
poses of the system hereby created. The controller shall deduct said sums
from the compensation of the members and shall deposit the same with the
treasurer of the city and county to the credit ((and for the use)) of the health
service system fund.

Such deductions shall not be deemed to be a reduction of compensation
under any provision of this charter.

The health service board shall have control of the administration and
investment of the health service system fund ((s)), provided that all invest-
ments shall be of the character legal for insurance companies in California.
Disbursements from the fund shall be made only upon audit by the controller
and the controller shall have and exercise the accounting and auditing
powers over the health service system fund ((s of the system)) which are
vested in him by this charter with respect to all other municipal boards,
officers and commissions.

((Subdivision 5.)) Section 172.1.13. The term “medical care” shall
((include the services of physicians, surgeons, nurses, persons licensed to
treat human diseases without the use of drugs, hospitalization, medicines and
appliances, and dental, optical and other medical treatments and services))
be defined by the health service board.

All acts performed and services rendered under the provisions of this
section shall be performed in accordance with the provisions as to profes-
sional conduct prescribed by the statutes of the State of California regulating
such professional conduct and services.

Medical care, as defined ((in this section)) by the health service board,
shall not be furnished or supplied to any member of the system by or in any
of the public health and hospital facilities of the city and county, except that
emergency medical and hospital care may be rendered to any member of the
system in the usual course of emergency health service.
Section 172.1.14. Except as herein provided members of the system shall have and possess no claim or recourse against any of the funds of the municipality by virtue of the adoption or operation of any plan for rendering medical care, indemnifying costs of said care or carrying insurance against such costs, but except as herein provided, the claim and recourse of any such member shall be limited solely to the funds of the system. All expenses of the system shall be borne paid exclusively from the health service system fund, and, except as herein provided, the city and county and the San Francisco Unified School District shall not appropriate or contribute funds in any manner for the purposes of the system hereby established and provided.

Section 172.1.15. The board of supervisors is authorized to enact by a vote of three-fourths of its members, any and all ordinances necessary to carry out the provisions of sections 172.1 to and including 172.1.14.

Any surplus or deficit existing in the health service fund on the effective date hereof shall belong to or be the obligation of members, as the case may be, and the city and county and the San Francisco Unified School District shall neither receive payment nor credit nor shall it contribute to such fund on account of medical care rendered prior to such date.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 3, 1957.


Noes: Supervisors Dobbs, McMahon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Acting Clerk.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION K

The City and County of San Francisco needs a balanced employee benefit plan in order to compete with employers in private industry on a fair and equitable basis. Eighty-three per cent of all employees working under union contracts in California now have provisions for health and welfare benefits in the terms of their contracts; one hundred and fifty private employers out of one hundred and seventy-six surveyed in San Francisco provide hospital and medical care for their employees and two-thirds of these finance the entire cost.

At the present time the municipal employees finance the entire cost of their Health Service System which is a compulsory system administered by an elected nine member employee board. The administration of the Health Service System has had a long history of discord which has adversely affected the morale of employees. This Charter amendment changes the membership on the board from employee control to the following: chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, the city attorney, a doctor of medicine, an official of an insurance company, and three employee members. It also includes a provision that the city shall contribute up to fifty per cent of the cost but under a three year progressive program. It also provides that retired employees shall not pay more than employee members.

We ask your support of this amendment which is conservative and sound legislation and which has met with the approval of employee and
employer representatives including the large taxpayer groups. This will provide an economical and businesslike administration of a city department.

**Vote Yes On This Proposition**

This argument is endorsed by the Committee to Improve the Health Service System.

B. A. DEVINE, Chairman

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Ertola, Halley, McCarty, Rolph, Sullivan.
Noes: Supervisors Dobbs, McMahon.
Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McAtee.

JOHN R. McGrath, Clerk.

**CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183**

**PROPOSITION “K”**

Charter Amendment amending Section 172.1 thereof and adding Sections 172.1.1, 172.1.2, 172.1.3, 172.1.4, 172.1.5, 172.1.6, 172.1.7, 172.1.8, 172.1.9, 172.1.10, 172.1.11, 172.1.12, 172.1.13, 172.1.14, and 172.1.15 thereto relating to the health service system.

Should proposition “K” be enacted, based on the existing medical care plans, existing rates therefore, members and former members retired at May 31, 1957, it is estimated that the present cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco and the cost of administering the San Francisco Unified School District would be increased as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Increase in Cost</th>
<th>Hetch Hetchy &amp; San Francisco Water Department Share</th>
<th>Remainder</th>
<th>Effect on Tax Rate Based on Current Assessment Roll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Year $259,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$249,000</td>
<td>1.9 cents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second year 518,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>498,000</td>
<td>3.7 cents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third and succeeding years 777,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>747,000</td>
<td>5.6 cents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>but in no event in excess of six cents in the tax rate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller,
City and County of San Francisco.

**PROPOSITION L**

Amends Charter Sections 168.1.5, 168.1.7 and 168.1.12; relates to retirement and death allowances as respects members and dependents of members of the police department, and to retired members' right to be gainfully employed after age 55.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and
county by amending Sections 168.1.5, 168.1.7 and 168.1.12 thereof, relating to retirement benefits payable to or on account of members of the Police Department under Charter Section 168.1.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Sections 168.1.5, 168.1.7 and 168.1.12 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

Note: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 168.1.5. Upon the death of a member resulting from any cause, other than an injury received in or illness caused by performance of duty, (a) if his death occurred after qualification for service retirement under sections 166, 168 or 168.1.2, or after retirement for service ((, or because of disability which resulted from injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, and if death shall result from other cause than such injury or illness,)) one-half of his retirement allowance to which he would have been entitled if he had retired for service at the time of his death or one-half of his retirement allowance as it was at his death, as the case may be, shall be continued throughout life or until remarriage, to his surviving wife, (except that if he was a member under section 168.1 and retirement was for such disability, and if death occurred prior to qualification for the service retirement allowance, the allowance continued shall be reduced upon the date at which said member would have qualified for service retirement, in the same manner as it would have been reduced had the member not died.)) or (b) if his death occurred, after retirement for disability by reason of injury received in or illness caused by performance of duty, his retirement allowance as it was at his death shall be continued throughout life or until remarriage, to his surviving wife, except that, if death occurred prior to qualification for service retirement allowance, the allowance continued shall be reduced upon the date at which said member would have qualified for service retirement, in the same manner as it would have been reduced had the member not died. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving wife otherwise would, have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death, prior to retirement, or unless she was married to the member at least one year prior to his retirement. The surviving wife, in the event of death of the member after qualification for but before service retirement, may elect before the first payment of the allowance, to receive the benefit provided in section 168.1.7 in lieu of the allowance which otherwise would be continued to her under this section. If there be no surviving wife, the guardian of the child or chil-
The effective date of this amendment shall be the first day of the month following approval by the State Legislature.

Section 168.1.7. If a member of the police department shall die, before retirement, from causes other than an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, or regardless of cause, if no allowance shall be payable under Section 168.1.4 or 168.1.5 preceding, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system. Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of the cause of death, (the sum of five hundred dollars), a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary (in the manner and subject to the conditions) the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for the (payment of a similar benefit upon the death of other retired members) death benefit of other members of the retirement system. The effective date of this amendment shall be the first day of the month following approval by the State Legislature.

Section 168.1.12. No person retired as a member under Section 168.1 after June 30, 1945, for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such person receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

Notwithstanding any provision in this charter to the contrary, should any such retired person, except persons retired prior to July 1, 1949, because of disability which resulted from injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of ((sixty)) fifty-five, or the date upon which he would have qualified for service retirement if he had remained in service, whichever is earlier, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the amount of the compensation earnable at the time he engages in the gainful occupation, by the member if he then held the position which he held at the time of his retirement, or, if that position has been abolished, the compensation earnable by the member if he held the position from which he was retired, immediately prior to its abolition.
The effective date of this amendment shall be the first day of the month following (ratification) approval by the State Legislature.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 3, 1957.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McAteer, McCarty, Rolph, Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisors Dobbs, McMahon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Acting Clerk.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION L

Proposition L corrects present inadequate retirement allowance of members of the Police Department retired for disabilities in line of duty.

Provides death benefit after retirement in the same manner as for other city employees, i.e., determined by the Board of Supervisors. Adjusts the retirement allowance for widows and orphans of members of the Police Department retired for injuries sustained in line of duty.

Permits retired members of the Department to be gainfully employed following qualification for service retirement.

This argument is sponsored by the San Francisco Police Officers Association.

JAMES J. HEGARTY, Secretary.

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Ertola, Halley, McCarty, Rolph, Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisors Dobbs, McMahon.

Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McAteer.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION “L”

Vote “NO” on Proposition “L”, a costly measure to liberalize police pensions.

Proposition “L” would give to the survivors of a policeman retired for disability who dies of causes not related to his police service a full pension allowance. At the present time one-half pension is provided. The death benefit would also be increased.

The total cost of Proposition “L” for present members of the department, as reported by the actuary, is $1,896,741. The entire cost would be paid by the taxpayers.

Two members of the board of supervisors voted against submitting Proposition “L” to the voters.

The citizens now are obligated to pay $20,287,016 in the annual budget for city employee pensions. This is the second highest item in the city’s budget and tax rate.
You should vote against “L” because it will also allow retired policemen between age 55 and 60 to have full-time jobs with unlimited earnings without any reduction in pension. Now, retired policemen under age 60 have their pension reduced if their earnings are too high. Would you want a man of 55 drawing a pension from your tax money competing for your job?

This argument is sponsored by The San Francisco Municipal Conference, composed of representatives of the following organizations:

- Apartment House Association
- San Francisco Building Owners and Managers Association
- California Northern Hotel Association
- Down Town Association
- San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
- S. F. Junior Chamber of Commerce
- San Francisco Real Estate Board

ARTHUR E. WILKENS, Chairman.

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION “L”

Charter Amendment amending Sections 168.1.5, 168.1.7 and 168.1.12, thereof, relating to retirement benefits payable to or on account of members of the police department under Charter Section 168.1.

Should proposition “L” be enacted, the increase in the annual cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco, according to a report by the San Francisco Employees’ Retirement System, is estimated to be $136,102.

Based on the current assessment roll, said amount of $136,102 is equivalent to one cent in the tax rate annually.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller.
City and County of San Francisco.

PROPOSITION M

Adds Charter Section 168.1.5.3, increases future monthly retirement allowance payments granted to or on account of certain retired or deceased member of police department by $25.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 168.1.5.3 thereto, relating to and increasing allowances granted to or on account of members of the Police Department, who were members of the retirement system under Section 168 or Section 168.1.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1957, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding thereto Section 168.1.5.3, to read as follows:

Section 168.1.5.3. Every retirement or death allowance payable for time commencing on the effective date of this section, hereby designated
as the first day of the month next following its ratification by the legislature,
to or on account of any person who died or was retired prior to November
5, 1957, as a member of the Police Department, unless such person was re-
tired or died as a member of the retirement system or the former Police
Relief and Pension Fund, under any section of the Charter, other than Sec-
tion 168, or 168.1, is hereby increased by the amount of $25.00 per month;
provided, however, that such increased retirement allowance or death allow-
ance shall not exceed 50% of the compensation as of July 1956 attached
to the rank of Police Officer in the fourth year of service as set forth under
Section 35.5 of the Charter, regardless of the rank or position the member
held in the Department prior to his retirement or death before retirement.
Such increase shall not be modified under, nor subject to, Option 2 or 3
provided by ordinance. Allowances payable under Section 168.1.3, 168.1.4,
or 168.3 to or on account of persons who were retired for disability or died
prior to November 5, 1957, on and after the date such persons would have
qualified for service retirement, shall be calculated as provided in said
Sections 168.1.3, 168.1.4, or 168.3, respectively.

This section does not authorize any decrease in any allowance from the
amount being paid at said effective date, nor does this section give any mem-
er who retired, or the beneficiary of any member who died prior to the
effective date hereof, or his successors in interest, any claim against the
city and county for any increase in retirement allowance paid or payable
for time prior to said effective date.

The increase in allowance shall be apportioned between service rendered
prior to the entry of the member into the retirement system under Section
168 or 168.1, and service rendered as such a member, in the same propor-
tion that such prior and current service respectively, bears to the total serv-
vice credited at retirement. Contributions to the retirement system necessary
for the increases in the allowances provided in this section, shall be provided,
with respect to the portion of the benefit based on service rendered as mem-
bors under Section 168 and 168.1 from the reserves held by the retirement
system on account of members of the retirement system under Section 168.1,
the necessary amount being transferred upon said effective date, from said
reserves to the reserves held by the retirement system to meet the obliga-
tions on account of allowances which are increased by this Section 168.1.5.3.
The contribution being required of the city and county currently, as per-
centages of salaries of persons who are members under Section 168.1, shall
be increased to percentages determined by the actuary as necessary to
replace the reserves so transferred. Contributions to the retirement system
necessary for the payment of said increases with reference to service, not
rendered as members under Section 168 or 168.1 shall be paid to the system
by the city and county by annual appropriations, provided that such appro-
priation for any year shall not be less than the amount disbursed during
that year on account of said increases.

Ordered Submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September
3, 1957.

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Casey, Ertola, Ferdon, Halley, McAteer, Mc-
Carty, Rolph, Sullivan.

Noes: Supervisors Dobbs, McMahon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered sub-
mitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Acting Clerk.
ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION M

Vote "Yes" on Proposition M Correcting a Gross Injustice

A "Yes" vote on Proposition M will correct a gross injustice to a small group of retired police officers who served long years of faithful services, (in many cases over 40 years), and widows of policemen who died from injuries received in line of duty.

These long-time city employees contributed to the retirement fund over a long period of time, but the amount of their retirement allowance was frozen because of a technicality before inflation set in, creating many hardship cases and raising havoc with the general standard of living.

Instead of providing a decent and honorable retirement allowance as the reward for faithful service, these few retired employees and their families who have been reduced to a bare subsistence level despite their long years of service and their own contributions to the retirement fund. They are asking for an increase of $25.00 per month in their retirement allowance and death allowance to widows of deceased retired members.

"Fair Play" is a San Francisco tradition.

A "Yes" vote is a vote for fair play which has been a tradition in the relationship between San Francisco's citizens and her employees.

This argument is sponsored by the San Francisco Veteran Police Officers Association, Inc., a voluntary non-profit association of retired police officers.

JOHN V. COBB, President.
HENRY D. CAPRATO, Secretary,
JOHN J. KELLEY, Chairman,
Board of Directors.

On September 10, 1957, the Board of Supervisors authorized the foregoing argument for inclusion in the election pamphlet for November 5, 1957, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Blake, Ertola, Halley, McCarty, Rolph, Sullivan.
Noes: Supervisors Dobbs, McMahon.
Absent: Supervisors Casey, Ferdon, McAteer.

JOHN R. McGrath, Clerk.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "M"

Vote "NO" on Proposition "M"—the third pension increase for retired police!

This request for a $25 "adjustment" in retirement payments is the third that the voters have been asked to approve for retired police officers. The grant would bring many of these men up to $244.50 per month. It is not financially sound for the city to continue to make increases in retirement allowances for which no funds are available and which must be paid entirely by the taxpayers. Increases for this group were approved only two years ago in 1955 and also in 1951.

You should vote "No" on "M" because it is a favored treatment that you cannot expect from your Social Security allowance or your company pension.

In the past few years the city employees have been voted millions of
dollars in pension increases. These amendments are being submitted every year without proper study and, regardless of the need of the recipient. A committee should be appointed by the mayor to make a realistic study of this problem.

The total cost of "M" as reported by the actuary is $1,549,114.

While you may be sympathetic to retired persons, this measure is only one of a whole series of so-called adjustments—the retired policemen have already had two such increases. Two supervisors voted against submitting Proposition "M" to the voters.

Vote "No" on Proposition "M"

This argument is sponsored by The San Francisco Municipal Conference, composed of representatives of the following organizations:

Apartment House Association of San Francisco
Building Owners and Managers Association
California Northern Hotel Association
Down Town Association
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
S. F. Junior Chamber of Commerce
San Francisco Real Estate Board

ARTHUR E. WILKENS, Chairman.

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "M"

Charter Amendment adding Section 168.1.5.3 thereto, relating to and increasing allowances granted to or on account of members of the Police department, who were members of the retirement system under Section 168 or Section 168.1.

Should proposition "M" be enacted, the increase in the initial annual cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco, according to a report by the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, is estimated at $134,689.

Based on the current assessment roll, said initial amount of $134,689 is equivalent to one cent in the tax rate for the first year.

The Retirement System further reported that primarily because of the mortality rate, said initial annual cost of $134,689 would gradually decrease.

HARRY D. ROSS, Controller,
City and County of San Francisco.

PROPOSITION N

Ordinance submitted to voters requiring public reports of contributions and expenditures made for purposes of influencing electors as to candidates for public office; prohibiting solicitation from candidates and providing penalties.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 179 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the undersigned members of the Board of Supervisors of said city and county do hereby propose an ordinance presently identified as File No. 15825, entitled, "providing for Publicity of Contribu
tions and Expenditures Made for the Purpose of Influencing Electors for or Against Candidates for City and County Office, and of Associations Soliciting or Making Expenditures of Funds for Such Purposes; Prohibiting Solicitation or Receipt of Money or Other Valuable Thing From Candidates; Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof.”

Said undersigned members of the Board of Supervisors do hereby petition the Registrar of Voters to submit said ordinance, a copy of which is attached hereto, to the electors at the next succeeding general election, to wit, the general election to be held on November 5, 1957.

JAMES LEO HALLEY
WILLIAM C. BLAKE
C. A. ERTOLA
J. EUGENE MCAITEER

PROVIDING FOR PUBLICITY OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES MADE FOR THE PURPOSE OF INFLUENCING ELECTORS FOR OR AGAINST CANDIDATES FOR CITY AND COUNTY OFFICE, AND OF ASSOCIATIONS SOLICITING OR MAKING EXPENDITURES OF FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES; PROHIBITING SOLICITATION OR RECEIPT OF MONEY OR OTHER VALUABLE THING FROM CANDIDATES; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION THEREOF.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Unless the context otherwise clearly requires, the definitions set forth in this section shall govern the construction of this ordinance.

(1) “ASSOCIATION.” “Association” means any person, firm, association, organization, public or private corporation, or other group of persons, whether incorporated or not, that for the payment of expenses in a campaign to influence the action of the voters for or against any candidate or candidates for city and county office does either or both of the following:

(a) Collects, raises or receives moneys, or receives promises of money, aggregating from all sources more than 500 dollars.

(b) Expends more than 500 dollars of its own money or funds.

(2) “EXPENSES.” “Expenses” means the cost of

(a) Holding and conducting public meetings.

(b) Printing and circulating, prior to an election:

(1) Specimen ballots.

(2) Handbills.

(3) Cards.

(4) Other papers.

(c) Advertising.

(d) Postage.

(e) Expressage.

(f) Telegraphing.

(g) Telephoning.

(h) All salaries and expenses of:

(1) Campaign managers.

(2) Lecturers.
(3) Solicitors.
(4) Agents.
(5) All persons employed in transacting business at headquarters or branch offices.

(i) Maintaining headquarters and branch offices.
(j) Renting of rooms for the transaction of the business of an association.

Section 2. Not more than forty-five days nor less than forty days prior to an election, every association shall file a statement showing the following:

(a) Its receipts and expenses.
(b) The names and addresses of the treasurer of the association, all of its other officers and of all other persons charged with the duty or responsibility of collecting, managing or expending the funds of the association.
(c) The numerical total of the membership of the association.
(d) Any qualifications for membership or restrictions thereon as prescribed by the association's by-laws or other governing rules, regulations or laws under which the association operates.

Section 3. Every statement of receipts and expenses required by this ordinance to be filed shall show:

(a) The name and address of each person, firm or corporation that has contributed, promised, loaned or advanced to the association filing the statement or for its use directly or indirectly any money or the equivalent of money aggregating in value the sum of 100 or more dollars and the amount or sum contributed, promised, loaned or advanced by each.
(b) The total sum contributed, promised, loaned or advanced directly or indirectly in amounts of less than 100 dollars to the filing association or for its use.
(c) The total sum contributed, promised, loaned or advanced by the filing association from its own funds or money, or contributed, promised, loaned or advanced directly or indirectly from all sources regardless of the amount of single or individual contributions to, or for the use of the filing association.
(d) The name and address of each person, firm or corporation to whom or to which the association has contributed, disbursed, distributed, loaned, advanced or promised any sum of money or the equivalent of money in the amount of 100 dollars or more and the amount so contributed, disbursed, distributed, loaned, advanced or promised in each instance.
(e) The total sum contributed, disbursed, distributed, loaned, advanced or promised by the association to any person, firm or corporation in amounts of less than 100 dollars each.
(f) The total sum contributed, disbursed, distributed, loaned, advanced or promised by the association to any and all persons for any and all expenses whatsoever.

Section 4. Not more than twelve nor less than seven days prior to an election, every association shall file a statement of receipts and expenses:

(a) As of the date of this subsequent filing showing all information not included in the previous statement.
(b) Containing and including a recapitulation showing the totals of the various receipts and expenses.

Section 5. Within thirty days next succeeding the date of the election each association shall file a statement of receipts and expenses:

(a) As of the date of this filing, showing all information not included in either previous statement.
(b) Containing and including a recapitulation showing the totals of the various receipts and expenses.

Section 6. Every statement required by this ordinance to be filed shall be filed in triplicate in the office of the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco and shall be itemized, detailed and verified.

Every such statement shall be preserved by the Registrar of Voters for a period of 5 years from the date of filing, shall constitute a part of the public records of his office, and shall be open to public inspection.

Section 7. The provisions of Sections 1 to 6 inclusive of this ordinance shall not apply to any campaign committee which is subject to and governed by the provisions of Chapter 1, Division VII of the Elections Code of the State of California.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any person, or for any association as defined herein, or for any other association, organization, club or society, or any officer or member thereof, to request or receive money or other valuable thing from any candidate, or from any person on behalf of any candidate, upon the consideration of the candidate being or having been selected or endorsed by any association, organization, club or society as a candidate for public office of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 9. Every person, and every association as defined in Section 1, whether an individual or group of persons, incorporated or unincorporated, and each treasurer, managing or disbursing officer or agent thereof that violates any of the provisions of this ordinance is guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine of not more than 500 dollars or by imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION N

The undersigned, urge the people of San Francisco to adopt the above ordinance in the interest of exposing to full public view the members of organizations, the practices of organizations, and the contributors to organizations that spend great sums of money on Municipal elections. Organizations that spend great sums of money preempt the field and hand-pick candidates thereby discouraging many capable and conscientious citizens from entering the field as candidates for elective public office. This ordinance destroys back-room bossism and will restore the government to the people.

JAMES LEO HALLEY
WILLIAM C. BLAKE
CHARLES A. ERTOLA
J. EUGENE McATEER
ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "N"

The supporters of Proposition "N" did not have the courage nor the courtesy to present their scheme in the usual manner before the board of supervisors.

Board of Supervisors' Public Hearings By-Passed

Instead, they resorted to an obscure and seldom-used method to by-pass public hearings on this proposed ordinance before the board.

The principal author, when pressed by newspaper reporters to explain why he chose to circumvent the city's chartered and elected legislative body, admitted, "It would not pass."

State Elections Code Requires Reporting of Campaign Funds

The State Elections Code amply covers the avowed purpose of Proposition "N", which is the certification of campaign expenditures. We have that NOW.

But Proposition "N", according to all nationwide experience, would, if enacted, only serve to drive underground the reporting of campaign funds.

"N" Is Not Good Public Policy

Proposition "N" is contrary to good public policy. Purportedly for clean elections, it would actually have the opposite effect—chaos and dishonesty in our choice of city officeholders.

A "No" vote is urged on this unnecessary and politically-inspired proposition.

This argument is submitted by the San Francisco Volunteers for Better Government.

J. MAX MOORE, Vice-President.
SAMPLE BALLOT

VOTE EARLY
POLLs OPEN FROM
7 A.M. to 8 P.M.

The Voting Machine Will
Record Votes ONLY Where
The Pointers Are Left
DOWN. Covering names of
Candidates.

DIRECTIONS
FOR VOTING

1st. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2nd. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of the CANDIDATES for whom you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

3rd. TO VOTE for a person whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise numbered slide at top of machine corresponding to number of office

4th.

YES PROPOSITION NO

"A" Adds Charter Section 54.4 authorizing City Attorney and Public Defender to perform confidential

"B" Amends Charter Section 147.1 deleting provisions permitting mem-

"C" "D" Amends Charter Section 147.1; eliminates existing Charter residence re-

"E" "F" Adds Charter Section 145.4 prohibiting employees of School Dis-

"G" "H" Amends Charter Section 142; to allow the employment of non-civil

"I" "J" Amends Charter Section 142; to allow the employment of non-civil

"K" "L" Amends Charter Section 142; to allow the employment of non-civil

"M" "N" Amends Charter Section 142; to allow the employment of non-civil

SUPervisors
VOTE FOR FIVE

1A

MOLLY H. Minudri
Attorney at Law

2A

WILLIAM P. (Bill) O'Keefe, Jr.
Electrical-Fan Contractor

3A

EDGAR D. Osgood
Warehouse Operator

4A

HENRY R. Rolph
Incumbent

5A

JAMES J. Sullivan
Incumbent

6A

ALEFNOI. J. Zirpoli
Attorney

7A

JOHN Abraham
Painting Contractor

8A

FRANK Barbara
Electrician

9A

WILLIAM C. Blake
Incumbent

10A

DONALD James Bruce
Printer

11A

GLOEASON C. Denimore
Writer

12A

JOHN ANTHONY Dobleman
Tavern Owner

13A

JO

Lithogra