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<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Public School Building Improvement Bonds, $37,826,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Children's Center Improvement Bonds, $2,428,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Hall of Justice Improvement Bonds, $4,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Charter amendment. Provides for runoff election for office of Mayor if no candidate receives majority vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Charter amendment. Provides for increase in certain retirement allowances of miscellaneous employees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Charter amendment authorizing transfer of City and County real property to Federal Government for inclusion in Golden Gate National Recreation area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSITION</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Charter amendment authorizing issuance of bonds to provide funding of loan program to assist property owners to rehabilitate property in designated areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Charter amendment. Includes certain pilots and marine engineers of fireboats as members of Fire Department for retirement purposes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Amends various charter sections relating to Civil Service procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Charter amendment exempting, with certain exceptions, para professional employees of school districts from provisions of charter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Initiative: Charter amendment. Establishes eleven supervisory districts within City and County; prescribes qualifications and terms of office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Initiative: Charter amendment. 30 hour work week at 40 hours pay for city and county employees, public works contractors employees, employees of certain businesses subject to local licensing laws; establishes enforcement commission; provides funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Declaration of Policy: It shall be the policy of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that low cost, quality child care be made available to all San Francisco Children. Prescribes care, policy making and funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VOTING ON THE MACHINE IS SO EASY!

1
SHOVE RED HANDLE TO THE RIGHT - THIS CLOSES CURTAIN. MACHINE IS NOW READY FOR VOTING.

2
PUSH LEVERS DOWN OVER YOUR SELECTIONS AND LEAVE LEVERS DOWN -

3
SWING RED HANDLE TO THE LEFT AND YOUR VOTE IS REGISTERED

You pulled down the wrong lever? Nothing to it! Just push it up and pull down the right one.

F.R.Q. 1972
How can I "write in" the name of a candidate?

Let's look at a voting machine.

At the top of the face of each voting machine is a series of slots like this:

Each slot is numbered →
DIRECTLY OVER EACH OFFICE TITLE ARE NUMBERS

To "WRITE IN" a candidate, locate the office title, select any number above it — and then go to the slot at the top of the voting machine with the same number, lift the slot up and write in the name of your choice —

SIMPLE, AIN'T IT?

AND "WRITING IN" A NAME FOR PRESIDENT IS EVEN EASIER — JUST LIFT SQUARE SLOT NO. 1 AND WRITE IN YOUR CHOICE

AIN'T YOU THE WISE OLD OWL!

F.R.Q. 1973
FOR SUPERVISOR

JOHN J. BARBAGELATA

My name is John J. Barbagelata.
My residence address is at No. 15 San Lorenzo Way, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Businessman.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: As a native San Franciscan, neighborhood businessman, father of 8 children and member of the Board of Supervisors since 1970, I am well aware of the human needs and frustrations of city life. Actions speak louder than words: I have kept my campaign promises and have initiated fiscally responsible registration. I have fought irresponsible spending, unfair taxes such as the sewer service tax, utility tax and numerous other oppressive measures. I have represented the community at large. I have not knuckled under to any pressure or monied power group. I will continue to be honest, vigilant and outspoken.

Ballot Designation: Incumbent.
Signature of Candidate: JOHN J. BARBAGELATA.

The sponsors for John J. Barbagelata are:
Angelina Barbagelata, 15 San Lorenzo Way, Housewife
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Merchant
Geo. Christopher, 55 Stonecrest Drive, Businessman
Steven J. Dol, 1521 Larkin St., Attorney
Lee S. Dobson, 85 Fortuna St., Teacher
Michael J. Driscoll, 301 St. Francis Blvd., Mortician
Robert C. Elkus, 469 Magellan Ave., Attorney
Mary O. Ganotise, 5715 Diamond Heights Blvd., Pension Analyst
Al Graf, 859 Bryant St., Businessman
Steve M. Jeong, 764 Commercial St., Realtor
Quentin L. Kopp, 68 Country Club Dr., Member, Board of Supervisors
Stephan C. Leonoudakis, 145 Ullon St., Attorney
Mrs. Francis Louie, 1257 Jackson St., Housewife
John O. Mack, 2903 23rd Ave., Attorney
Michael McCormack, 2030 18th Ave., Real Estate Broker
George V. McKeever, Jr., 35 San Lorenzo Way, Businessman
John L. Molinar, 435 Magellan Ave., Member, Board of Supervisors
J. Max Moore, 2470 Broadway, Manufacturer
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired
Robert G. Nelson, 527 20th Ave., Insurance Broker
Mrs. Elizabeth Pigott, 2005 Harrison St., Housewife
Emily Pike, 1800 Broadway, Secretary
Mrs. Lorett M. Parker, 674 Huron St., Housewife
George R. Remley, 2774 34th Ave., Member, State Board of Equalization
Allan F. Riley, 37 San Jacinto Way, Student
Robert D. Rossi, 25 Santa Clara Ave., Vintner
Philip J. Siggins, 1459 Francisco St., Executive Director
Rabbi Malcolm M. Sparer, 567 33rd Ave., Rabbi
Herbert F. Suhr, Jr., 140 Vasquez St., Funeral Director
Nick A. Verreos, 201 Argonaut Ave., Insurance Broker
My name is George Y. Chinn.
My residence address is at No. 1754 - 31st Avenue, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Attorney.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am grateful for the honor of being the first Chinese American to serve our City on the Board of Supervisors. As a second generation San Franciscan, World War II veteran and having served for ten years as your Assistant District Attorney, as President of your Board of Education, and with the Board of Permits Appeal and Social Services Commission, I pledge to you that I shall be responsible as well as responsive to our human needs, to spend wisely, and to make San Francisco the safest, healthiest, and the most friendly city of all. Thank you.
Ballot Designation: Incumbent.
Signature of Candidate: GEORGE Y. CHINN.

The sponsors for George Y. Chinn are:
Ernest Ayala, 4402 20th St., Insurance-Real Estate Broker
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway St., Merchant
Joseph P. Byrne, 1722 1st Ave., Mechanical Contractor
George H. Ceraul, 1668 Powell St., Secretary, Library Commission
William H. Chester, 432 Gold Mine Drive, Labor Union Official
Irene Chinn, 1754 31st Ave., Housewife
Steven J. Dol, 1521 Larkin St., Attorney
Lee S. Dolson, 55 Fortuna Ave., Teacher
H. Welton Flynn, 76 Venus St., President, Public Utilities Commission
Joseph Garcia, 2401 Lake St., Union Official
George B. Gillin, 295 Stratford Drive, Public Relations
Thomas Hsieh, 4 Cortes Ave., Architect
Leroy King, 76 Zampa Lane, Labor Official
Jack S. Kusaba, 451 35th Ave., Executive
Stephen C. Leonoudakis, 145 Ulloa St., Attorney
Joe Mazzola, 127 Lakeshore Drive, Labor Official
William E. McDonnell, 385 Castenada Ave., Businessman
Mrs. John Monaghan, 31 Grand View Ave., Housewife
George W. Ong, 52 Almaden Court, Insurance Agent
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr., 433 Bartlett St., University Professor
Mrs. James A. Silva, 88 Country Club Drive, Businessman
Walter H. Shorestein, 740 El Camino Del Mar, Milton Meyer & Co. Owner
John T. Squire, 136 De Soto St., President - Local 250A TWU-AFL-CIO
Frederick J. Whisman, 465 32nd Ave., Lawyer
Thomas W. S. Wu, D.D.S., 598 38th Ave., Doctor of Dental Surgery
Gary P. Vannelli, 16 Topaz Way, Attorney
Harold L. Zellerbach, 2288 Broadway, Consultant
My name is Stanley Cotton.
My residence address is at No. 625 Prague Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Businessman.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: A man. The beggar
and the plutocrat. The saint and the sinner, I have been them all. I
can weigh decisions from the heart better than I can from the head.
I love instinctively and I believe in miracles. Trust me and I will
repay you.
Ballot Designation: Painter.
Signature of Candidate: STANLEY COTTON.

The sponsors for Stanley Cotton are:
Robert H. Beveridge, 271 Moscow St., Electrician
Robert D. Burchett, 65 Concord St., Painter
Charles M. Clarvet, 315 Baden St., Warehouseman
John J. Conley, 1111 ½ Castro St., Handy Man
Mrs. Jeanie E. Cotton, 625 Prague St., Housewife
Dudley Davis, 3723 Sacramento St., Retired Longshoreman
Rudolph Delucchi, 22 Modoc Ave., Carpenter
Josette DeVoe, 1827 Clement St., Computer Operator
Daniel P. Dullea, 226 Santa Rosa Ave., Cement Finisher
Arthur E. Ehling, 230 Santa Rosa Ave., Electrician
Frankie M. J. Evans, 1547 Sunnydale Ave., Teacher's Aid II
Dennis George Fraser, 65 Concord St., Painter
Joan Goodwin, 1226 4th Ave., Eligibility Supervisor
William T. Hutchinson, 2518 25th Ave., Fireman
Arzoole E. Jordan, 1300 16th Ave., Dressmaker
Thomas Kearns, 131 College Ave., Retired
Elsie M. Kesler, 4308 Pacheco St., Clerk Typist
Sally A. Mears, 720 Haight St., Hotel Maid
Brenda Miller, 20 Southwood Dr., Student
William E. Miller, 117 Congo St., Student
Wanda L. Moats, 481 ½ Sanchez St., Laboratory Assistant
Walter J. Mortimer, 154 Prentiss St., Retired
Emerson E. Stafford, 650 Page St., Student
Gerald J. Zarl, 3051 Alemany Blvd., Tavern Owner
Gerald M. Zarl, 3051 Alemany Blvd., Teacher
My name is Elizabeth Cox.
My residence address is at No. 1405 18th Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Socialist Worker.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: The Socialist Workers candidates offer a socialist solution to the problems of high prices, wage controls, unemployment and unfair taxes. They run against the Democratic and Republican “nonpartisan” incumbents, who have done nothing to improve the lot of working people in this city. The Democrats and Republicans who control the federal government spend $81 billion a year, over 40% of the national budget, on the military and cut back social services. The Socialist Workers candidates stand for a fundamental change in the system to bring about a society organized by working people and based on human needs, not profits.
Ballot Designation: Socialist Worker.
Signature of Candidate: ELIZABETH COX.

The sponsors for Elizabeth Cox are:
Jeffrey L. Beneke, 354 Sanchez St., Mail Clerk
Anna Chester, 215 11th Ave., Retired
Robert W. Davis, 1320 20th Ave., Teacher
Laura Dertz, 2329 Divisadero St., Medical Secretary
Barbara Deur, 3880 Market St., Librarian
John R. Durham, 507 Sanchez St., Student
Vaughn Misak Hogikyan, 1569 16th Ave., Graduate Student
Carol D. Lipman, 7 Hoffman Ave., Unemployed
Robert W. Marble, 30 Pearl St., Bookkeeper
Robert Mattson, 71 ½ Brady St., Student
Derrel Myers, 2347 Market St., Painter
Robbyn Panitch, 740 Shrader St., Secretary
Linda G. Pepper, 456 Frederick St., Unemployed Office Worker
Howard Raymond Petrie, 3956 18th St., Photographer
David T. Rayson, 75 Brosnan St., Messenger
Dean S. Reed, 7 Hoffman Ave., Carpenter
Roger Rudenstein, 220 7th Ave., Writer
Michael Schreiber, 158 Fairmount, Film Maker
Carole Sellgman, 512 Sanchez St., Waitress
Bonnie Sheppard, 128 Henry St., Secretary
Christine Singer, 1405 18th St., Telephone Operator
Margaret Stephens, 232 Diamond St., Student
Hanna Takashige, 232 Diamond St., Student
Steven Wattenmaker, 36 Pearl St., Unemployed Teacher
Tommye J. Wiese, 350 Noe St., Typosetter
William G. Williams III, 450 Frederick St., Student
My name is David Dawson Dehr.
My residence address is at No. 712 Castro Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Poet.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: A native San Franciscan who has spent several years living among the poor, the disenfranchised, the forgotten. An ability to get City Hall responsive to the needs of people unable to obtain help. An understanding of the needs of women, seniors, the gay community, and our many divergent minority groups.

A total commitment to serve the people who elect me, not any corporate or political interests. A disgust with the mockery our political system has become, and a faith in the principles which may return government someday, to the hands of the governed. Buddy, can you spare a vote?

Ballot Designation: Poet.
Signature of Candidate: DAVID DAWSON DEHR.

The sponsors for David Dawson Dehr are:
Kenneth R. Balch, 1866 Page St., Pharmaceutical Representative
Richard J. Bernier, 3467 Mission St., Earthworm Farmer
Arthur J. Bressan, Jr., 3028 California St., Film Cutter
Gerry Burkhart, 2613 California St., Vagrant
Edward Cadena, 1165 York St., Student
Robert L. Calder, 1070 Post St., Election Judge
Benjamin Michael Cavanaugh, 58 Washburn St., Gardener
Anthony De Rosa, 1054 Haight St., Freelance Artist
Raymond A. Fronczowski, 1435 Page St., Keypunch
Gary Garcia, 3520 19th St., Waiter
William A. Gravitt, 1383 Hampshire St., Student and Scavenger
Terrence F. Hunter, 131 Eureka St., Playwright
Mark Jepsen, 710 Clayton St., Real Estate
Alan Scott Jones, 2446 Clay Street, Bartender
Kenneth D. Jowell, 134 Haight St., Order Desk Clerk
Oscar Eugene Kaphammer, 1637 Sacramento St., Truck Driver
George R. Kelley, 1886 Page St., Restaurant Owner
John A. Land, 1186 Fulton St., Computer Programmer
Thomas J. McCordle, 1705 Page St., Holyman
Robert McCormack, 1717 Bush St., Illustrator
David C. Morris, 2530 Washington St., Humanitarian
Benjamin T. Pearce, Jr., 3853 18th St., Housewife
John S. Perpich, 438 Arkansas St., Bar Manager
Joseph M. Perry, Jr., 324-A Guerrero St., Designer
Donald C. Schumacher, 3626 Vicente St., Accountant
Charles John Smith, 1637 Sacramento St., Theatre
David R. Spencer, 2613 California St., Nun
Tim J. Thompson, 710 Clayton St., Janitor
John Waters, 2613 California St., Film Maker
Brooks K. Whitlock, 3853 18th St., Cook
My name is Dianne Feinstein.
My residence address is at No. 2030 Lyon Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is San Francisco Supervisor.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: Born in San Francisco, educated in public schools, the Convent of the Sacred Heart and Stanford University. Elected to the Board of Supervisors in 1969. Served as its President (1970-71). Represented San Francisco on regional bodies dealing with crime, smog, water pollution, and Bay coastline control. Provide fulltime service as Supervisor. Authored legislation to provide police reorganization, residential burglary prevention, and noise control. Supported reduced height limits in neighborhoods and careful containment of highrise development. Author of “Transit First Policy” and intend to battle for improved Muni schedules, equipment, and courtesy. Introduced measure which has provided 2000 summer jobs.
Ballot Designation: Incumbent.
Signature of Candidate: DIANNE FEINSTEIN.

The sponsors for Dianne Feinstein are:
Rev. G. L. Bedford, 271 Dalewood Way, Pastor Macedonia Baptist Church
Gerald A. Crowley, 981 Esmeralda Ave., Pres. Police Officer Association
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumaser Dr., Glazier
Rev. Leonard P. Duggan, 3240 Lawton St., Clergyman
Jess T. Esteva, 696 12th Ave., Publisher The Mabuhay Republic
Bertram Feinstein, 2030 Lyon St., Physician & Surgeon
Rabbi Alvin Fine, 3330 Jackson St., University Professor
James M. Foster, 544 Noe St., Community Organizer
Louis Garcia, 2328 9th Ave., Attorney at Law
Leon Goldman, M.D., 999 Green St., Surgeon
Carlton Benjamin Goodlett, M.D., 1360 Turk St., Family Physician-
Newspaper Publisher
Alleen C. Hernandez, 820 47th Ave., Consultant Urban Affairs
E. Diane Hunter, 604 48th Ave., Urban Conservationist
Matti J. Jackson, 524 Belvedere St., Business Manager ILGWU
Walter G. Jebe, 314 Polaris Way, Businessman
Robert Katz, 2 Whiting St., Asst. Professor Calif. State University San Francisco
Melvin D. Lee, 602 21st Ave., Engineer
Sally Lilenthal, 2960 Vallejo St., Housewife
Thomas C. Lynch, 98 Clarendon Ave., Attorney
Edgar De Pue Osgood, 1420 Montgomery St., Business Executive
Phyllis Pasqualetti, 78 San Jacinto Way, Homemaker
Claire C. Pitcher, 471 Hoffman Ave., Legal Researcher
Angelo J. Scampini, 2360 Pacific Ave., Attorney at Law
Benjamin H. Swig, 950 Mason St., Fairmont Hotel, Owner-Operator
John K. Tuft, 10 Rotteck St., Sheet Metal Worker
Ed Turner, 175 San Leandro Way, Union Official.
Yorl Wada, 565 4th Ave., Buchanan YMCA Executive
Cornelia E. Wayburn, 30 Sea View Terrace, Author
Morris Weisberger, 22 Beachmont Dr., Executive Officer, Sailors Union
of the Pacific
Joe Yuey, 1080 Powell St., Restaurantier
My name is Louis G. Gonzales.
My residence address is at No. 5 Glenview Drive, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Business Exec., Proprietor.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: I came here from Vacaville, Ca. in 1923. The city government and the voting issues have always been of paramount interest to me. I conduct a successful business at present in the City and County of San Francisco. My application and sponsor signatures will bear me out in stating that I am running for the office at the behest of friends and with the firm conviction that I can make a contribution to the orderly conduct of the business at hand which is directly connected with The Board of Supervisors.
Ballot Designation: Business Executive Proprietor.
Signature of Candidate: LOUIS G. GONZALES.

The sponsors for Louis G. Gonzales are:
H. D. Amerson, 434 Leavenworth St., American Indian Community Liaison
Bennie Barrish, 809 Foerster St., Salesman
L. B. Clausen, 2081 47th Ave., Insurance Agent
Jack L. Eisenberger, 2821 Noriega St., Contract Furn.
Ruth Guerra, 2701 39th Ave., Housewife
Reuben Hunter, 2506 39th Ave., Retired
Daniel Jackson, 1041 Shrader St., Retired
Kermith Patrick King, 57 Taylor St., Apartment Manager
Carol Lang, 475 Collingwood, Interior Designer
Leopold Lberman, 1520 Gough St., Life and Dis. Agent
Adelio Micor, 747 Cambridge St., Waiter
S. Sanford Ostoff, 291 Capistrano Ave., Liquor Store Prop.
John L. Palacio, 539 Munich St., Welder
Marie J. Palacio, 539 Munich St., Housewife
Robert Rantz, 35 Forest View Dr., Janitorial Supplies
William Stein, 2655 42nd Ave., Theatre Manager
Joseph Tannenbaum, 70 Winding Way, Meat Sales
Laurence T. Vachon, 135 Sylvan Dr., Insurance Office Manager
Al. White, 205 Jones St., Retired
My name is John Hansen.
My residence address is at No. 545 Ashbury Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Politician.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: My name is John Hansen, my age, thirty-one this year and a long time resident of San Francisco as my family owns Robert's Cake Shop on 15th and Irving. During the past five years I have worked with the Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Development Corporation, H.A.N.D. We initiated legal aid, improved housing conditions, had sidewalks repaired, operated a job co-op and a general referral service. A lot of experience was gained with inner city problems and the city statutes and policies which contribute to these problems. To change these statutes and policies of neglect is my reason for running for Supervisor.

Ballot Designation: Politician.
Signature of Candidate: JOHN HANSEN.

The sponsors for John Hansen are:
James A. Becker, 625 Cole St., Printer
Wendy Belyea, 12 Wodland St.,
Joseph B. Bollinski, 557 Ashbury St., Fisherman
Dorothy Danak, 1035 Fell St., #6, Retired
John Derrig, 1919 Page St., Student
Fred Diamond, 1585 Waller St., Poet
Nancie Edwards, 35 Downey St., Saleswoman
Kenneth Englander, 207 Downey St., Computer Technician
Charles Gardiner, Jr., 1375 9th Ave., Musician
Joseph Royal Gardiner, 1270 Fulton St., Salesman
Linda Gibson, 545 Ashbury St., Secretary
Robert Hansen, 7 Lake Forest Ct., Baker
William L. Hansen, 7 Lake Forest Ct., Baker
Michael Hayes, 437 Cole St., Laborer
Mendel Hersowitz, 2153 Beach St., Merchant
Sheri Johnson, 1642 Waller St.,
Dennis Long, 1761 Page St., Teacher
Sandra Moore, 1032 Page St., Housewife
Bette Mosias, 2375 12th Ave., Shopkeeper
Ronald Meyer, 51 Inverness St., Mechanic
Richard A. Pomerhn, 507-A Divisadero St., Artist
Katherine Rtnour, 3613 23rd St., Writer
Chris Shaw, 443 Cole St., Student
Mary Waananen, 1632 Page St., Mother
Thomas Wiltz, 4 Downey St., Laborer
FOR SUPERVISOR
JAMES L. HEISTERKAMP

My name is James L. Heisterkamp.
My residence address is at No. 320 Fulton Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is City Employee SFHG, Ecology Artist, Poet.

My qualifications for said office are as follows: A City Employee since October, 1968, I worked at the VD Clinic, moving to Health Service System and transferred to San Francisco General Hospital August, 1970. I have worked with the less fortunate of our community as Supervisor, Evening Registration, since the commencement of Evening Clinics October, 1970, and became aware of their medical care needs. I also recognized the need for upgrading their dignity while utilizing our facilities and can feel the pulse of the community which I represent in the performance of my duties. Humanity is my god and my service to humanity, my religion.

Ballot Designation: City Employee—Hospital.
Signature of Candidate: JAMES L. HEISTERKAMP.

The sponsors for James L. Heisterkamp are:

Edith M. Addison, 600 Francisco St., Homemaker
Ruby J. Ambler, 217 Church St., Housewife
Ermner M. Arceneaux, 222 Schwerin St., City Employee
Fredric A. Brock, 205 Molimo Dr., Instructor
Alma Canizales, 86 Winfield St., Secretary
Ralph L. Chacon, 2333 Folsom St., Retired
Dorothy L. Dixon, 2624 Bush St., Clerk
Audrey F. Donnelly, 444 Linden St., Clerk
Vincent S. Dougherty, 46 8th St., Seaman
Simon Estavilla, 1925 Jefferson St., Social Worker
Elizabeth Gatlin, 600 Francisco St., Housewife
Hope Halkias, 501 Bay St., Housewife
Gloria Hampel, 204 Lawton St., Sr. Management Assistant
Margaret M. Hayes, 217 Church St., Housewife
Emma Henderson, 168 Bronte St., Clerk
Genevieve Howe, 1215 Pacific Ave., Clerk Typist
Brian F. Klimkowski, 10½ Bonview St., Poet
Bonita R. Lang, 235 Clinton Park, Eligibility Worker
Mrs. Margarite Martin, 350 Spruce St., Typist
Thelma L. McWilliams, 1862 Golden Gate Ave., Nurse’s Aid
Frank Pinell, 500 Liberty St., Clerk Typist
Vernon Pope, 1731 Ellis St., High School Student Employee
Janett Roche, 747 Peru Ave., Payroll Clerk
Connie Rucker, 1146 Key Ave., Health Aid
Harriet U. Smith, 108 Argonaut Ave., School Community Relation Ass’t.
Bobby D. Swain, 235 Clinton Park, Security Operator
Emily Turner, 600 Francisco St., Unemployed
Wiley Yang, 2107 Vicente St., Office Manager
My name is Kayren Hudibburgh.
My residence address is at No. 245 Mississippi Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Unemployed.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: A member of the Socialist Coalition slate. The problems facing San Francisco cannot be resolved without a thorough reordering of priorities.

We oppose the unjust tax structure favoring the rich and relying on regressive taxes like the property tax. We want to eliminate these regressive taxes and replace them with a progressive municipal income tax and a municipal corporate tax with no loopholes to provide relief to lower and middle income people.

We support the District Election of Supervisors making government more responsive to the people's needs instead of big business.

We demand an end to racist and sexist discrimination.

Ballot Designation: Unemployed Schoolteacher.
Signature of Candidate: Kayren Hudibburgh.

The sponsors for Kayren Hudibburgh are:
Irene Zelle Kathleen Akin, 285 Missouri St., Unemployed Writer
Carmen Amecuca, 815 A Kansas St., Switchboard Operator
Virginia H. Akin, 285 Missouri St., Writer
Jacqueline Bittencourt, 261 Texas St., Hospital Worker
Katherine Butler, 3005 Clay St., Reporter
Ingrid Bredenberg, 225 Precita Ave., Associate Editor
Jain Connor, 4035 19th St., Copy-Typist
Beverly Jean Dahlen, 759 Carolina St., Unemployed
Sheila J. Duffy, 697 Connecticut St., Bookkeeper
Mary E. Duggan, 410 Shadrer St., Secretary
Elaine Smith Dunlap, 36 Downey St., College Instructor
Ruth Goldhammer, 763 Kansas St., Teacher
Cathleen Greene, 304 Roosevelt Way, Social Worker
Shirley A. Jones, 255 Anderson St., Employment Claims Assistant
Joanna B. Katz, 1231 ½ Guerrero St., Bookkeeper
Margaret Knab, 806 Arkansas St., Student
Deborah Learner, 817 Kansas St., Teacher
Joyce Maupin, 2325 Mariposa St., Office Worker
Lani Miller, 3979A 17th St., Invertebrate Animal Curator
Jo Nina Rifkin, 74 Crestline Drive, Housewife
Kelda L. Riley, 1912 20th St., Secretary
Kay Robin Johnson, 49 Albion St., Typist
Jana Rollo, 565 Valley St., Waitress
Tina Sittonen, 3884 22nd St., Social Worker
Patricia Vigil, 357 Texas St., Welfare Mother
Katherine L. Webb, 1031 A Stanyan St., Para-legal Assistant
Molly A. Wood, 697 Connecticut St., Housewife
Anita Yoskowitz, 225 Precita Ave., Welfare Mother
FOR SUPERVISOR

JEFFREY M. MASONEK

My name is Jeffrey M. Masonek.
My residence address is at No. 2001 Sacramento Street, #5, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is International Trade Executive.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: I would bring to the Board the imagination to use our resources to solve our problems. To combat unemployment, I would improve facilities at the Port, our largest employer.

I grew up around Port-related industries, and would stimulate job potential rather than let the waterfront deteriorate.

I have worked with community groups that are doing something about the crime problem, trying to improve the jails and alleviate the drug problem, areas where the Board has been amiss.

I have worked with neighborhood organizations and know that that decision-making capability could be channeled by leadership at City Hall.

Ballot Designation: International Trade Executive.
Signature of Candidate: JEFFREY M. MASONEK.

The sponsors for Jeffrey M. Masonek are:
Norman W. Berryessa, 317 Cherry St., Investment Dealer
Marilyn Borovoy, 238 Santa Ana Ave., Professional Volunteer
Gilbert E. Brigham, 50 Arnold Ave., Consultant
Roger Cardenas, 211 30th St., Business Agent
Cecil C. Curtis, Jr., 1070 Green St., Public Relations Consultant
Steven J. Dol, 1521 Larkin St., Attorney
Hal Dunleavy, 1473 8th Ave., Housing Consultant
Thomas C. Fleming, 2339 California St., Editor Sun Reporter
James M. Foster, 544 Noe Street, Community Organizer
Mrs. Joyce B. Friedman, 2837 26th Ave., Housewife
Adria Garabedian, 191 Delmar St., Community Organizer
Joseph E. Hall, 2210 Turk St., Housing Consultant
Stanley Herzstein, 1170 Sacramento St., Investment Consultant
Mrs. Gladys M. Hongisto, 1594 42nd Ave., Housewife
Agar Jaicks, 62 Woodland Ave., San Francisco Democratic county Central Committee Chairman
Theodore G. Kaplan, 600 18th Ave., Journalist
Dianne Kubancik, 2340 Fulton St., Registered Nurse
Marshall H. Kuhn, 30 7th Ave., Executive Director, San Francisco Jewish Community Center
Bette Masonek, 2080 Broadway, Housewife
Harvey Masonek, 2080 Broadway, Exporter
Philip Bruce Rafal, 370 Upper Terrace, President, S. F., Council of Democratic Clubs
Milton L. Rosenberg, 2093 Lake St., M.D. Physician
Laurentia R. Wiles, 214 Rutland St., Filipino Adult and Youth Catholic Org.
Michael K. Wong, 138 Trenton St., Chinese-American Democratic Club
Gang Nom (Gilbert) Woo, 4114 California St., Editor
Richard G. Zevitz, 4350 Kirkham St., Criminologist
Catharine de Tarnowsky, 1080 Eddy St., Retired Fed. Employee
Anne Bellale Daley, 795 Geary St., Secretary
FOR SUPERVISOR
PETER PATRICK MENDELSOHN

My name is Peter Patrick Mendelsohn.
My residence address is at No. 74 Third Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Chairman of T.O.O.R. President and
Treasurer of Todco Non-profit.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: Graduate Detroit
Institute of Technology, New York School of Social Science and
Philosophy. Forty years in maritime service. Administrator and
trade union organizer. Organizer for the C.I.O. Organizer for the
Confederation of Labor (Philippines Executive board member and
Treasurer of San Francisco General Hospital consumers out patient
board and board member South of Market health center. Board mem-
ber of E.O.C. Retired member Marine Coks & Stewards AF of L and
C.I.O. Retired member of local 37, ILWU Seattle. As head of T.O.O.R.
led the fight to bring housing for the people of south of Market in the
Yerba Buena Center.
Ballot Designation: Mayor's Aging Committee.
Signature of Candidate: PETER P. MENDELSOHN.

The sponsors for Peter Patrick Mendelsohn are:
Maureen Asper, 78 Melba Ave., Technical Writer
Dean Anderson, 736 Cole St., Counselor
Frances B. Brown, 1286 Chestnut St., Retired
Nela Campbell, 429 A Buena Vista East, Public Relations
Patricia Clark, 120 Pierce St., Street Artist
Robert J. Clark, 120 Pierce St., Street Artist
William J. Clark, 120 Pierce St., Street Artist
Clay, 1150 Castro St., Organizer
Kathleen M. Cline, 69 Fair Oaks St., Clerk
Edwin W. Dunn, 1107 Stanyan St., Retired Fireman
Terence Faulkner, 2371 42nd Ave., San Francisco City Commissioner
Linda L. Hall, 1056 14th St., Secretary
Yvette T. Hash, 629 B Castro St., Secretary
Sue Carol Hester, 4530 20th St., Law Student
Marvin L. MacIntyre, 555 9th Ave., Dentist
Luise Kiefer, 2770 Lombard St., Retired
Walter J. Knox, 329 Clementina St., Community Org. Aging
Laura Wm. Laursen, 225 Hyde St., Marine Engineer Retired
Max McCarthy, 1818 Green St., Retired Artist
Leland S. Meyerzove, 759 A Minna St., Community Representative, Writer
S. W. Moore, 1241 Shafter Ave., Storekeeper
Paul A. Muller, 736 Cole St., Student
Edward L. Peet, 350 Arballo Dr., Retired Clergyman
Eduardo Sandoval, 1029 Dolores St., Attorney
Marie H. Sasselli, 641 Post St., Research-Legislative Bills
Ann Leah Williams, 1328 A Pacific St., Clerk
Calvin Welch, 417 Central Ave., Teacher
Michael K. Wong, 138 Trenton St., Student
Morris M. Woods, 1822 19th Ave., Conductor
James S. Yballa, 511 Minna St., Housing Specialist
For Supervisor
Harvey Bernard Milk

My name is Harvey Bernard Milk. My residence address is at No. 577 Castro Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Co-Owner of a camera store. My qualifications for said office are as follows: A Supervisor's responsibility is the thorough understanding and evaluation of how the taxpayer's dollars are spent. My 8 years as a security analyst studying the budgets of major corporations has given me a thorough knowledge of the proper use of money by large corporations. In order for a city to make the best use of taxpayer's money it must be extremely efficient. My background gives me a powerful understanding of how to make full use of the taxpayer's money.

As the owner of a store I understand the problems that face the average person in the city each day.

Ballot Designation: Store Owner.
Signature of Candidate: HARVEY B. MILK.

The sponsors of Harvey Bernard Milk are:
Daniel G. Atkinson, 2700 A Sutter St., Artist
William J. Bassler, Jr., 1688 Sacramento St., Bar Keeper
Nancy Cera, 668 Sanchez St., Sales Manager Assistant
Frederick L. Chaplin, 2841 Sacramento St., Teacher
Robert J. Clark, 120 Pierce St., Street Artist
Joseph E. Colyer, 520 Taylor St., Bartender
Richard B. Dale, 1090 Eddy St., Retail Clerk
Sally Davidson, 48 Pearl St., Laundermat Operator
William W. Donovan, 255 Santa Ana Ave., Computer Programmer
Domenic D'Ortenzo, 720 Haight St., Student
Donald L. Eesley, 174½ Hartford St., Manager Clothing Mill
Eric Erickson, 399 Pine St., Book and Record Store Owner
Alice Ferrill, 2571 47th Ave., Unemployed
Jack P. Gooch, 555 A Castro St., Contractor
J. T. Henderson, Jr., 755 Wisconsin St., Corporate President
Lonnie Lee Hucks, 60 Fair Oaks St., Unemployed
Jack Jarrell, 736 Leavenworth St., Own Business
Richard Kirkeby, 2441 Fillmore St., Free Lance Designer
Elizabeth A. Lane, 145 Corbett Ave., Self Employed
Leon R. Lewis, 162 Hermann St., Retail Clerk
Robert Morris, 40 Broderick St., Unemployed
Paul W. Mull, 2628 21st Ave., Herbalist
David G. Pitsch, 676 A Castro St., Theater Producer
Richard Reich, 3841 18th St., Automobile Mechanic
Frederick Key, 882 A Noe St., Jeweler
Michael A. Schach, 93½ Buena Vista Terrace, Bartender
Joseph Scott Smith, 577 Castro St., Shop Owner
Jack L. South, 328 Eureka St., Bar Manager
Edwin L. Stark, 328 Eureka St., Bar Owner
James S. Thomas, 722 Andover St., Student
My name is Jack Morrison. My residence address is at No. 44 Woodland Avenue, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Urban Policy Planner. My qualifications for said office are as follows: Served as a Supervisor from 1962 to 1970. Before that, worked ten years as a San Francisco newspaper reporter. Presently an urban policy planner, I promise to give fair and equal treatment to all persons, maintain independence of judgment, and serve no special interest. The great challenge today is to overcome bureaucratic indifference, let new ideas into government, and give neighborhoods more power over City Hall policies and budgets. We must improve the Muni Railway, rehabilitate existing housing, provide consumer protection, modernize the antiquated City budget system, increase blue collar jobs, and use police resources more effectively against violent crime.

Signature of Candidate: JACK MORRISON.

The sponsors for Jack Morrison are:
Jane Morrison, 44 Woodland Ave., Radio Community Relations Manager
Ruth Asawa Lanier, 1116 Castro St., Sculptor
Susan J. Bierman, 1529 Shrader St., Conservationist
Phillip Burton, 1185 Market St., United States Congressman
Agripino R. Cerbatos, 142 Amber Dr., Electrical Engineer
William H. Chester, 432 Gold Mine Dr., Labor Union Official
Cora S. Cruz, 51 Santa Marina St., Job Development Specialist
Alvin Elne, 3330 Jackson St., Rabbi & University Professor
Louis Garcia, 2326 9th Ave., Attorney-at-Law
Carlton B. Goodlett, M.D., 1980 Turk St., Family Physician-
Newspaper Publisher
Antonio A. Grafio, 3109 Ulloa St., Coordinator-Philipino Organizing Committee
Rev. F. D. Haynes, Jr., 111 Lunado Way, Minister
Espenolt Jackson, 3231 Ingalls St., Housewife
Jean Jacobs, 96 San Andreas Way, Homemaker
Agar Jaicks, 62 Woodland Ave., Chairman, San Francisco Democratic
County Central Committee
Walter G. Jimbo, 314 Polaris Way, Photographic Dealer
Harold J. Kaufman, 2000 Pacific Ave., Real Estate
Lorraine Lahr, 709 14th St., San Francisco President, National Organization for Women
Francis Louie, 1257 Jackson St., Merchant
Jane McKaskle Murphy, 2255 Washington St., Retired
Leon Olson, 775 Goettingen St., Labor Union Official
Rev. Edward L. Peet, 350 Arbello Dr., Clergyman
John Riedman, 1060 Fulton St., Lawyer
Bert Schwarzhild, 363 Douglas St., Electrical Engineer
Frances M. Shaikin, 269 32nd Ave., Housewife
Earl C. Stokes, 6 Lloyd St., Attorney
Fat Quil Tom, 1822 40th Ave., Manager, Savings and Loans Association
Timothy J. Twomey, 2026 Lawton St., Labor Union Representative
Yori Wada, 585 4th Ave., YMCA Director
Gina Fonnestri Zimmerman, 1924 Clayton St., Legislative Aide
My name is Alfred J. Nelder.
My residence address is at No. 150 Casitas Avenue, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Security Executive.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: As former Chief of Police, I was confronted with problems in all sections of our city. I will be a full-time Supervisor with an attitude of candor, fairness and equity towards solving these problems. I promise to work to stop the exodus of people and business by giving direction in solving crime problems, by creating jobs, reducing taxes and eliminating waste; to bring relief and tranquility to our senior citizens; to develop job opportunities for the young; and to improve transportation and the environment.
Ballot Designation: Security Executive.
Signature of Candidate: ALFRED J. NELDER.

The sponsors for Alfred J. Nelder are:
Doris C. Nelder, 150 Castias Ave., Housewife
George Christopher, 55 Stonecrest Dr., Businessman
Marco F. Hellman, 3515 Pacific Ave., Stockbroker
Mortimer Fleishhacker, 2600 Pacific Ave., Retired
Eleanor Rossi Crabtree, 1900 Gough St., Housewife
Peter G. Armstrong, 1000 Fulton St., Catholic Priest
Elliot M. Burstein, 2366 Broadway, Rabbi
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Dr., Glazier and Bus. Rep.
Jesse T. Esteva, 696 12th Ave., Publisher
Nick Geracimos, 180 St. Elmo Way, Salesman
Antonio Juan Gomez, 545 16th Ave., Longshoreman
Robert A. Lurie, 20 Cherry St., Real Estate
Arch Monson, Jr., 2825 Broadway, Executive
Percy Pinkney, 8 Locksley St., Social Worker
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired
Louis T. Kruger, 23 Miguel, Attorney-at-Law
Mary Jane Scharff, 600 Bacon St., Housewife
Yori Wada, 565 4th Ave., YMCA Executive
Geo. R. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member State Board of Equalization
Angelo Rolando, 3276 Harrison St., Real Estate Broker
Malachy Ruane, 260 College, Contractor
Morris Weisberger, 22 Beachmont Dr., Labor Executive
John Whooley, 1717 14th Ave., Businessman
Esther C. Yee, 155 Jackson St., Housewife
Willie B. Kennedy, 65 Miraloma Dr., Service Manager
Al Graf, 859 Bryant St., Businessman
William T. Reed, 2151 18th Ave., Retired City Employees of S. F., Inc.
Samuel Martinez, 95 Aptos Ave., Public Accountant
Virginia Bigarani, 1384 York St., Housewife
FOR SUPERVISOR
REV. EDWARD PRESTON

My name is Reverend Edward Preston.
My residence address is at No. 387 Wilde Avenue, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Retail Dept. Store Manager.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: I am a citizen of San Francisco. I am a workingman and an ordained minister. I will be a Citizen Supervisor.

I served as Youth Organizer of the Sunnydale area (S.F.) for (3) years; Secretary of the Bayview pre-school program in San Francisco (3) years; Chairman - San Francisco Citizens League, 1965-66.

I pledge to work for a city government which is responsive to the needs of all the people of San Francisco. Please join together with me in this effort.

Ballot Designation: Minister.
Signature of Candidate: EDWARD PRESTON.

The sponsors for Edward Preston are:
Richard H. Ambush, 620 Cole St., Businessman
Ernest A. Bates, 66 Cleary St., Physician
Harry J. Bonnette, 4330 Geary Blvd., Accounting Clerk
Lillie Davis, 71 Liebig St., Dietician
Charles H. Dazola, Jr., 2339 39th Ave., Elementary School Teacher
Joan S. Foster, 2455 40th Ave., Secretary
Paul H. Foster, 2455 40th Ave., Manager Restaurant
Ellenann Hughes, 61 Saint Charles Ave., Medical Bookkeeper
Robert I. Hughes, 61 Saint Charles Ave., Salesman
Allene Jackson, 2074 Revere Ave., Domestic
Don Lane, 2429 Diamond St., Student
Eugene Lumpkin, Jr., 1547 Hudson Ave., Bus Operator
Lena McRae, 59 Apollo St., Owner, Day & Nite Cleaner's
Oree McRae, 59 Apollo St., Owner Dry Cleaners
Ronald Wells Parshall, 386 Wilde Ave., Electrician
Rev. Ivory Polär, 18 Jules Ave., Orderly
Lisa W. Porter, 800 Bush St., Apt. 403, Business Woman
Lena Preston, 387 Wilde Ave., Assistant Housemother
John Smith, 62 Bayview St., Student
Maurice Trad, 980 Bush St., Tax Consultant
Glorie L. Turner, 1684 Fulton St., Domestic
Cedric Watson, 580 Campbell Ave., Physical Theraphy Attendant
Otis Watson, 1381 Palou Ave., Student
Rose Mary Watson, 580 Campbell Ave., Merchandise Adjuster
Otis Wilkerson, 133 Jules Ave., Custodian Dpt.
My name is Jesus Christ Satan.
My residence address is at No. 1737 Union Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Androgynous Human Being.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: I intend to repeal pro-
hibitions on sex and drugs and legalize everything in designated areas
in the name of religion, to remove mafia control, to offer new sources
of revenue 80% to set up a prisoner rehabilitation program under the
guidance and direction of the World Health Organization of the
United Nations, 10% to socialization of the legal profession and 10%
to establish free stores as an alternative to the welfare system. To
legally secede San Francisco from the financial structure by spending
all the money to benefit everyone filing bankruptcy for the County
and Internationalize everything. I love you.
Signature of Candidate: JESUS CHRIST SATAN.
Ballot Designation: Metaphysician.

The sponsors for Jesus Christ Satan are:
J. Tony Serra, 475 Jackson St., Attorney
Don A. Allen, 1325 Somerset St., Cable Car Conductor
Victor Anastasio, 463 Ellington Ave., Businessman
Sal C. Ballstrelli, 17 Grandview Ave., Attorney at Law
Don H. Banks, 1760 Beach St., Attorney
Constance A. Bastian, 1190 Dolores St., Legal Worker
Chester Brewer, 2100 Bay St., Sales
Toni B. Covey, 1559 Powell St., Sales Person
Emil Girardi, 2342 Franklin St., Bartender
Gregory F. Gable, 515 Greenwich St., Photographer
Irene Jimenez, 3010 Buchanan St., Writer
Theodore J. Levesque, 140 Jones St., Retired
James McCollom, 2048 Folk St., Tax Consultant
Marcus G. Manulis, 66 Cleary Court, Bar Manager
R. M. Marzolf, 2246 18th St., Handyman
Paul McGivney, 1580 Sacramento St., Unemployed
John Nelson, 200 Lawton St., Bank Employee
Michael Roberts, 1117 Geary St., Artist
Henry L. Shipman, 1455 Sacramento St., Hair Stylist
John Sanclimento, 555 Teresita Blvd., Delivery Boy
John R. Warren, 808 Post St., Unemployed
My name is Carole Seligman.
My residence address is at No. 512 Sanchez Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Socialist Worker.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: The Socialist Workers candidates support and build labor actions against high prices, wage controls, unemployment and unfair taxes. They support the United Farmworkers boycott of non-union lettuce, grapes and Safeway. They are active in support of the childcare referendum, the Sears strike, the student movement and the antiwar movement. They oppose the racist oppression of Blacks, Latinos and Asians and the second class status forced on women. The Socialist Workers candidates stand for a fundamental change in the system to bring about a socialist society organized by working people and based on human needs, not profits.

Ballot Designation: Socialist Worker.
Signature of Candidate: CAROLE SELIGMAN.

The sponsors for Carole Seligman are:
Jeffrey L. Beneke, 354 Sanchez St., Mail Clerk
Steve Chainey, 1519 Mission St., Unemployed
Anna Chester, 215 11th Ave., Retired
Robert W. Davis, 1320 20th Ave., Teacher
Barbara Deur, 3880 Market St., Librarian
Laura Dertz, 2329 Divisadero St., Medical Secretary
John R. Durham, 507 Sanchez St., Student
Asher F. Harer, 149 Detroit St., Ship Clerk ILWU
Vaughn Misak Hogikyan, 1569 18th Ave., Graduate Student
Carol D. Lipman, 7 Hoffman Ave., Unemployed
Robert W. Marble, 36 Pearl St., Bookkeeper
Darrel Myers, 2347 Market St., Painter
Robbyn Panitch, 740 Shadrer St., Secretary
Linda G. Pepper, 456 Frederick St., Unemployed Clerical Worker
Howard Raymond Petrick, 3956 18th St., Photographer
David T. Rayson, 75 Brosnan St., Messenger
Dean S. Reed, 7 Hoffman Ave., Carpenter
Roger Rudenstein, 220 7th Ave., Writer
Michael Schelber, 158 Fairmount St., Film Maker
Bonnie Sheppard, 120 Henry St., Secretary
Christine Singer, 1405 18th St., Telephone Operator
Margaret Stephens, 232 Diamond St., Student
Hanna Takashige, 232 Diamond St., Student
Sarah Jane Ullman, 740 Shadrer St., Answering Service Operator
Steven Wattenmaker, 36 Pearl St., Unemployed Teacher
Tommye J. Wiese, 350 Noe St., Typesetter
William G. Williams III, 450 Frederick St., Student
Mark Zola, 179 Dolores St., Clerk
FOR SUPERVISOR
HARRY A. SIITONEN

My name is Harry A. Siitonen.
My residence address is at No. 3884-22nd Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Printer.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: A Socialist Coalition member, I blame capitalist profit greed for our urban social ills. We advocate a Socialist alternative with basic productive means socially owned, democratically run, to serve the many. A non-sectarian Socialist political movement is needed to attain this. We can begin at the local electoral level. Our city program is pro-labor: Organize all unorganized; no racial, sexual or age discrimination; union wage guarantees in all city contracts; city employees' right to strike; community-run Hunters' Point shipyard; no forced work program for welfare clients as this proposal undermines union standards and hinders new organizing.

Ballot Designation: Printer.

Signature of Candidate: HARRY A. SIITONEN.

The sponsors for Harry A. Siitonen are:
Joan Anderson, 553 Douglass St., Homemaker
Louis Berman, 305 Franklin St., Retired
Madeline Carter, 833 Haight St., Peace Activist
Charles A. Ellis, 845 Shrader St., Printer.
Jerry R. Fillingim, 2869 Army St., Eligibility Worker.
Alexander R. Forman, 1489 Sanchez St., Public Health Worker
David S. Haag, 366 Page St., Unemployed
Lurilla Harris, 1459 Oak St., Printer
Grace G. Hazelrigg, 1017 Ocean Ave., Retired
Paul Jacobs, 2500 Filbert St., Writer
Francis J. Lewie, 520 Laidley St., Merchant Seaman
Thomas R. Lincoln, 116 Noe St., Proofreader
Milton Nash, 351 Turk St., Printer
Selden Osborne, 996 Noe St., Retired Longshoreman
Joel M. Parker, 4134 20th St., Production Assistant
Mary E. M. Parham, 3886 18th St., Unemployed
Anita Reinthaler, 1727 43rd Ave., Printer
Gerald J. Rifkin, 74 Crestline Dr., Salesman
Margo Skinner, 811 Geary St., Writer
Tina Siitonen, 3884 22nd St., Social Worker
Lawrence W. Small, 4227 Kirkham St., Printer
Agostino Arthur Stagliano, 1727 43rd Ave., Printer
Joseph C. Topping, 81 Gates St., Vocational Counselor Welfare Dept.
John O. Webb, 1031 A Stanyan St., Railroad Worker
Kayren Hudiburgh, 245 Mississippi St., Unemployed School Teacher
FOR SUPERVISOR
ALFRED SIMON SINIORA

My name is Alfred Simon Siniora.
My residence address is at No. 1232 28th Avenue, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is President and General Manager, Bucks Meats, Inc.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: Being a successful businessman who came to this country with literally no money at all, I utilized my education (126 college units), knowledge of 4 languages and world travel together with the exploitation of efficient co-workers into building 3 successful businesses. I believe that I can enhance the mystique that San Francisco has and by varying the priorities on the supervisors' agenda have a better city and county.
Ballot Designation: Retail Business.
Signature of Candidate: ALFRED SIMON SINIORA.

The sponsors for Alfred Simon Siniora are:
Justine Colyer, 1351 35th Ave., Housewife
Oric Colyer, 1351 35th Ave., Salesman
Leo Dalporto, 1937 Donner Ave., Salesman
Patricia D'Arcy, 1438 35th Ave., Secretarial
Joseph R. Dumas, 1472 36th Ave., Regional Manager
Daniel Erlach, 807-811 Alvarado St., Butcher
Lawrence Erlach, 121 Cornwall St., Butcher
Phyllis Freed, 1374 35th Ave., Housewife
Blanch A. Gilmore, 435 Country Club Dr., Housewife
Otto A. Gramlow, 1795 35th Ave., Executive
Robert Jensen, 3233 Ulloa St., Salesman
Minnie Kaplan, 1314 35th Ave., Practical Nurse
Samuel Kushman, 1486 46th Ave., Retired
Marguerite A. Lassiter, 1284 45th Ave., Waitress
Albert Lerner, 1987 Funston Ave., Transportation Manager
Barbara J. Lewis, 1730 44th Ave., Secretary
B. Kendrick Miller II, 1591 37th Ave., Retired
George B. Mogannam, 1323 35th Ave., Salesman
Georgi Mogannam, 1323 35th Ave., Housewife
Edward T. Mullins, 1258 35th Ave., Guard
Alter Rose, 1327 34th Ave., Produce Clerk
Pamela H. Royse, 1392 34th Ave., Secretary
Nicholas A. Sapunar, 1587 34th Ave., Real Estate Broker
Janet Siniora, 1232 28th Ave., Grocer
Leila Tawasha, 1990 18th Ave., Grocer
Antonio Vallejo, 1290 34th Ave., Landlord
FOR SUPERVISOR
HANNA TAKASHIGE

My name is Hanna Takashige.
My residence address is at No. 232 Diamond Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Socialist Worker.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: The Socialist Workers candidates support and build united labor actions against high prices, wage controls, unemployment and unfair taxes. They support the United Farm Worker's boycott of non-union lettuce, grapes and Safe-way. They are active in support of the child-care referendum, the Sears strike, the student and anti-war movements. They oppose the racist oppression of Blacks, Latinos and Asians and the second class status forced on women. The Socialist Workers candidates stand for a fundamental change in the system to bring about a society organized by working people and based on human needs not profits.
Ballot Designation: Socialist Worker.
Signature of Candidate: HANNA TAKASHIGE.

The sponsors for Hanna Takashige are:
Anthony Bachman, 3535 Mission St., Clerk
Deborah Camejo, 128 Henry St., Typist
Ann Marie Capuzzi, 1408 18th St., Clerk
Steve Chainey, 1519 Mission St., Unemployed
Anna Chester, 216 11th Ave., Retired
Garth M. Chojnowski, 170 Herrman St., Baker
Laura Dertz, 2329 Divisadero St., Medical Secretary
Barbara Deur, 3880 Market St., Librarian
John R. Durham, 507 Sanchez St., Student
Stephen C. Gabosh, 322 14th St., Telephone Operator
Louise Haberbusch, 360 A Sanchez St., Receptionist
Vaughn Misak Hogikyan, 1609 16th Ave., Graduate Student
Carol D. Lipman, 7 Hoffman Ave., Unemployed
Robert Mattson, 71 ½ Brady St., Student
Derrel Myers, 2347 Market St., Painter
Robbyn Panitch, 740 Shrader St., Secretary
Linda G. Popper, 456 Frederick St., Unemployed
Howard Raymond Petrick, 3956 18th St., Photographer
David T. Rayson, 75 Brosnan St., Messenger
Dean S. Reed, 7 Hoffman Ave., Carpenter
James Bruce Rousey, 507 Sanchez St., Clerk
Carole Seligman, 512 Sanchez St., Waitress
Christine Singer, 1408 18th St., Telephone Operator
Stephanie Solar, 232 Diamond St., Student
Margaret Stephens, 232 Diamond St., Student
Sarah Jane Ullman, 740 Shrader St., Answering Service Operator
Steven Wattenmaker, 36 Pearl St., Teacher
Nat Weinstein, 128 Henry St., Socialist
Tommye J. Wiese, 350 Noe St., Typesetter
Mark Zola, 179 Dolores St., Clerk
My name is Peter Tamaras. 
My residence address is at No. 35 San Rafael Way, San Francisco. 
My business or occupation is President, Tamaras Supply Company. 
My qualifications for said office are as follows: San Franciscan since infancy, local schools, University of California - Economics Major. Twice President, Board of Supervisors. Former President, Board of Permits Appeals. Dedicated to strongest law enforcement. Author, Muni Police Transit Detail. Advocate balance, ecology and economy. Demand cleaner environment, pure air, water. Support close neighborhood participation in government. Respond fully to legitimate community concerns: lower taxes, better housing, more jobs, strong economy, better senior citizens' programs, good health care, child care centers, job training, highest quality education. Authored local Food Stamp Program. Many years' governmental, business experience unreservedly qualify me to continue my programs for best interests of all San Franciscans.

Ballot Designation: Incumbent.

Signature of Candidate: PETER TAMARAS.

The sponsors for Peter Tamaras are:
Dr. Peter T. Angel, 1867 15th Ave., Retired Dentist
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Merchant
Ben Blumenthal, 999 Green St., Importer-Businessman
Peter Boudoures, 1200 California St., President Olympic Federal Savings Executive
Phillip Burton, 1185 Market St., United States Congressman
William H. Chester, 432 Gold Mine Dr., Labor Union Official
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Dr., Glazier Labor Rep.
Steven J. Doi, 1521 Larkin St., Lawyer
George B. Gillin, 295 Stratford Dr., Public Relations
Walter A. Haas, 2100 Pacific Ave., Manufacturer
Thomas Haleh, 4 Cortes Ave., Architect
Elizabeth R. Kelley, 655 O'Farrell St., Business Agent
Louis T. Kruger, 23 Miguel St., Attorney-at-Law
Catherine Ruth Lee, 1038 Pacific Ave., Housewife
Cyril Magnin, 1 Nob Hill, Chairman of the Board, Joseph Magnin Co.
Joseph P. Mazzola, 127 Lakeshore Dr., Bus. Mgr. Plumber & Pipefitters Local 38
Mrs. Frances M. McAteer, 130 Santa Ana Dr., Housewife
Charles P. Molinari, 1333 Gough St., Attorney
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired
Julia G. Porter, 142 27th Ave., Planning Commissioner
Raymond del Portillo, 2448 Larkin St., Director Bilingual Education Program
James C. Purcell, 74 Ashbury Terrace, Attorney-at-Law
William M. Reedy, 365 Mangels Ave., Administrator
George R. Reilly, 2774 34th Ave., Member State Board of Equalization
James J. Rudden, 148 Cherry St., Corp. Executive
Euthemia Tamaras, 35 San Rafael Way, Housewife
Angela Vavuri, 81 Cameo Way, Housewife
Boris Vertloogin, 1761 16th Ave., President of Russian Center
Idaree Westbrook, 780 Clayton St., Education Assistant
Joseph B. Williams, 67 Everson St., Attorney
FOR SUPERVISOR
DOROTHY VON BEROLDINGDEN

My name is Dorothy von Beroldingen.
My residence address is at No. 241 Seventh Avenue, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Attorney at Law.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: I have been a prac-
ticing attorney for 18 years and a resident and homeowner in San
Francisco for 23 years. As a supervisor, I have worked to make the
voice of the average citizen heard and listened to at City Hall. As
both Chairman and member of the Board’s Finance Committee, I led
the fight to cut waste from the City budget. I have consistently sup-
ported all efforts to put additional policemen onto the streets. I have
voted repeatedly to preserve the residential character of our neigh-
borhoods. I have devoted considerable effort to bringing blue collar
jobs to the City.

Ballot Designation: Member, Board of Supervisors.
Signature of Candidate: DOROTHY VON BEROLDINGEN.

The sponsors for Dorothy von Beroldingen are:
Henry Bermman, 483 Euclid Ave., Consultant
Morris Bernstein, 1740 Broadway, Merchant
Willie L. Brown, Jr., 1624 Masonic Ave., Attorney-at-Law State Legislator
Phillip Burton, 1185 Market St., United States Congressman
Rinaldo A. Carmazzi, 877 Vallejo St., Attorney-at-Law
William H. Chester, 432 Gold Mine Dr., Labor Union Official
William K. Coblentz, 10 5th Ave., Attorney
J. K. Choy, 810 45th Ave., Retired
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Dr., Glazier
Michael J. Driscoll, 301 St. Francis Blvd., Mortician
Chadwick Ertola, 662 Greenwich St., Banker
George Evankovich, 3501 Anza St., Laborer Representative
James M. Foster, 544 Noe St., Community Organizer
Terry A. Francois, 20 Taraval St., Attorney-at-Law
Robert E. Gonzales, 541 Missouri St., Attorney-at-Law
Daisie Flor V. Harrison, 401 Sloat Blvd., Med. Lab. Technologist
Victorino M. Hermoso, 430 Rolph St., Accountant
Stephan C. Leonoudakis, 145 Ulloa St., Attorney
Cyril Magnin, 999 California St., Merchant
Ollie Marie-Victoire, 2737 Steiner St., Lawyer
Robert H. Mendelsohn, 2547 Lyon St., Member, Board of Supervisors
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired
Ronald Pelosi, 18 6th Ave., President, Board of Supervisors
John Riordan, 1000 Fulton St., Lawyer
E. Robert Scrofani, 3840 21st St., School Teacher
Richard D. Spotwood, 1840 Clay St., Member, Democratic County
Central Committee
Jesse Tello, 4026 Folsom St., Teamster Local 216
Ed Turner, 440 Gellert Dr., Union Official
Sid A. Valledor, 373-B 4th Ave., Community Organizer
Ruth Williams, 176 Bradford St., Director of C.H.S. Family Planning
FOR SUPERVISOR
HOWARD WALLACE

My name is Howard Wallace.
My residence address is at No. 119 Haight Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Socialist Worker.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: The Socialist Workers candidates offer a socialist solution to the problems of high prices, wage controls, unemployment and unfair taxes. They run against the Democratic and Republican "nonpartisan" incumbents, who have done nothing to improve the lot of working people in this city. The Democrats and Republicans who control the federal government spend $81 billion a year, over 40% of the national budget on the military and cut back needed social services. The Socialist Workers candidates stand for a fundamental change in the system to bring about a society organized by working people and based on human needs, not profits.

Ballot Designation: Socialist Worker.
Signature of Candidate: HOWARD WALLACE.

The sponsors for Howard Wallace are:
Jeffrey L. Beneke, 354 Sanchez St., Mail Clerk
Anna Chester, 215 11th Ave., Retired
Robert W. Davis, 1320 20th Ave., Teacher
Laura Dertz, 2329 Divisadero St., Medical Secretary
Barbara Deur, 3880 Market St., Librarian
John R. Durham, 507 Sanchez St., Student
Vaughn Misak Hogikyan, 1569 16th Ave., Graduate Student
Carol D. Lipman, 7 Hoffman Ave., Unemployed
Robert W. Marble, 36 Pearl St., Bookkeeper
Robert Mattson, 71 1/2 Brady St., Student
Derrel Myers, 2347 Market St., Painter
Robblyn Panitch, 740 Shrader St., Secretary
Linda G. Pepper, 456 Frederick St., Unemployed Clerical Worker
Howard Raymond Petrick, 3958 18th St., Photographer
David T. Rayson, 75 Brosnan St., Messenger
Dean S. Reed, 7 Hoffman Ave., Carpenter
Roger Rudenstein, 220 7th Ave., Writer
Michael Schreiber, 158 Fairmount, Film Maker
Carole Sellman, 512 Sanchez St., Waitress
Bonnie Sheppard, 129 Henry St., Secretary
Christine Singer, 1405 18th St., Telephone Operator
Margaret Stephens, 232 Diamond St., Student
Hanna Takashige, 232 Diamond St., Student
Steven Watennaker, 30 Pearl St., Unemployed Teacher
Tommye J. Wiese, 350 Noe St., Typesetter
William G. Williams III, 450 Frederick St., Student
FOR SUPERVISOR
STEVEN WATTEMAKER

My name is Steven Wattenmaker.
My residence address is at No. 36 Pearl Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Socialist Worker.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: The Socialist Workers candidates support and build united labor actions against high prices, wage controls, unfair taxes and unemployment. They support the United Farmworkers boycott of non-union lettuce, grapes, and Safeway. They are active in support of the child care referendum, the Sears strike, the student movement and the antiwar movement. They oppose the racist oppression of Blacks, Latinos, and Asians and the second class status forced on women. The Socialist Workers candidates stand for a fundamental change in the system to bring about a society organized by working people and based on human needs, not profits.
Ballot Designation: Socialist Worker.
Signature of Candidate: STEVEN WATTEMAKER.

The sponsors for Steven Wattenmaker are:
Jeffrey L. Beneke, 354 Sanchez St., Mail Clerk
Steve Chainey, 1519 Mission St., Unemployed
Anna Chester, 215 11th Ave., Retired
Robert W. Davis, 1320 20th Ave., Teacher
Laura Dertz, 2329 Divisadero St., Medical Secretary
Barbara Deur, 3880 Market St., Librarian
John R. Durham, 507 Sanchez St., Student
Asher F. Harer, 149 Detroit St., Ship Clerk
Vaughn Misak Hogikyan, 1589 18th Ave., Graduate Student
Carol D. Lipman, 7 Hoffman Ave., Unemployed
Robert W. Marble, 36 Pearl St., Bookkeeper
Robert Mattson, 71½ Brady St., Student
Derrel Myers, 2347 Market St., Painter
Robbyn Panitch, 740 Shadrer St., Secretary
Linda G. Pepper, 450 Frederick St., Unemployed Clerical Worker
Howard Raymond Petrick, 3556 18th St., Photographer
David T. Rayson, 75 Brossan St., Messenger
Dean S. Reed, 7 Hoffmann Ave., Carpenter
Roger Rudenstein, 220 7th Ave., Writer
Michael Schreiber, 158 Fairmount St., Film Maker
Carole Seligman, 512 Sanchez St., Waitress
Bonnie Sheppard, 129 Henry St., Secretary
Christine Singer, 1405 18th St., Telephone Operator
Margaret Stephens, 232 Diamond St., Student
Hanna Takashige, 232 Diamond St., Student
Sarah Jane Ullman, 740 Shadrer St., Answering Service Operator
William G. Williams III, 450 Frederick St., Student
Tommye J. Wiese, 350 Noe St., Typesetter
FOR SUPERVISOR

JOHN WEBB

My name is John Webb. My residence address is at No. 1031 - A Stanyan Street, San Francisco. My business or occupation is Railroad Worker. My qualifications for said office are as follows: A member of the Socialist Coalition slate. The problems facing San Franciscans are so large as to require a reordering of our social priorities.

One problem is the lack of adequate, inexpensive health care. I will seek to implement our health plank. Medical service fees would be based on the ability of the patient to pay. Eventually all city health services would become free and financed by a graduated income-tax as outlined in our tax plank.

I support adequate childcare by providing funds for free, non-racist, non-sexist childcare centers (including presently existing alternative centers).

Ballot Designation: Railroad Worker.
Signature of Candidate: JOHN WEBB.

The sponsors for John Webb are:

Michael Allen, 314 Chestnut St., Elect. Tech.
David Allen, 314 Chestnut St., Restaurant.<br>Barry Biderman, 1491 Sanchez St., College Textbook Publisher-Representative<br>Robert Biderman, 222 Clipper St., Student<br>Dennis P. Catey, 1031 Stanyan St., Systems Analyst<br>Karl F. Cohen, 350 Stanyan St., Teacher<br>Alexander H. Forman, 1480 Sanchez St., Public Health Worker<br>Peter Gann, 87 Buena Vista Terrace, Medical Student<br>Robert T. Glick, 436 Belvedere St., Teacher<br>Gail B. Goldman, 1033 Stanyan St., Free Lance Writer<br>David S. Haag, 368 Page St., Unemployed<br>Barbara Ho, 541 10th Ave., Teacher.<br>Anne L. Kuljian, 1489 Sanchez St., Arts & Crafts Teacher<br>Francis J. Lewis, 529 Laidley St., Merchant Seaman<br>Joy Magezis, 222 Clipper St., Art Teacher<br>Mary E. M. Parham, 3888 18th St., Unemployed<br>Joel M. Parker, 4134 20th St., Production Assistant<br>Paula Richardson, 1031 Stanyan St., Social Worker<br>Gerald J. Rifkin, 74 Crestline Dr., Salesman<br>Harry A. Siltonen, 3884 22nd St., Printer<br>Tina Siltonen, 3884 22nd St., Social Worker
Katherine L. Webb, 1031-A Stanyan St., Para-legal Assistant<br>Louis Webb, 619 Connecticut St., Teacher<br>Judi Weinstein, 537 Grove St., Film Booker<br>David Widelock, 1489 Sanchez St., Music Teacher<br>Patricia Ann Williams, 4238 Judah St., Registered Nurse<br>Eli Zaretsky, 860 Kansas St., Editor<br>Kayren Hudibburgh, 245 Mississippi St., Unemployed Teacher
FOR SUPERVISOR
LEROY WADE WOODS

My name is Leroy Wade Woods.
My residence address is at No. 1856 Sutter Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Director, Fillmore Media Center.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: Native San Franciscan, born here 27 years ago, raised in the Western Addition of the city. Attended Polytechnic High School, City College of San Francisco, San Francisco State College. An Army veteran, Member for the last 3 years of the Board of Directors, Western Addition Project Area Committee. Ex-Editor of WAPAC’s newspaper. Co-Chairman, Western Addition Coalition for the arts. During the last 6 years have been involved in organizing in the Western Addition, and working with the people in the community to rebuild it through the redevelopment process.
Ballot Designation: Teacher.
Signature of Candidate: LEROY WADE WOODS.

The sponsors for Leroy Wade Woods are:
Rodney K. Albin, 1913 ½ Divisadero St., Teacher
Pearline Brown, 559 Page St., Teacher
Kenneth E. Brown, 2827 Pine St., Carpenter
Regional Burrell, 40 Inca Lane, Student Clerk
George P. Colbert, 444 Haight St., Attorney-at-Law
Alfreda Dickerson, 850-D Webster St., Circuit Designer
Barbara Faciane, 740 Haight St., Postal Clerk
Martin W. Friedman, 1217 3rd Ave., Dentist
Evelyn Hampton, 1660 Turk St., Relocation Specialist
Sue Carol Hestor, 4536 20th St., Law Student
Elvin Holland, 1494 Shafter Ave.,
Johnnie Jenkins, Jr., 2430 Geary Blvd., Television Engineer
Brenda Johnson, 553 Steiner St., Student
John Johnson, 563 Walker St., Carpenter
Josephine Lee, 2870 Sacramento St., Supervising Clerk
Evelyn McCrystie, 127 Dublin St., Clerk
Brenda Miller, 20 Southwood Dr., Student
Carol Oiwell, 4756 10th St., Photographer
Barbara Paige, 536 Thornton Ave., PBX Operator
James B. Phillips, 432 Ivy St., Importer-Exporter
Susan C. Robinson, 465 Roosevelt Way, Administrator, Lone Mt. College
Douglas Rooman, 200 Greenwich St., Instrument Maker
Greer M. Smith, 1715 Webster St., Legal Secretary
Alfreda C. Warren, 1632 Broderick St., Restaurant Owner
Reginaldo J. Woods, 209 Bridgeview Dr., Porter
Larry J. Tiscornia, 398 Capp St., Staff Photographer
Raymond Tunstall, 536 Thornton Ave., Security Guard
FOR CITY ATTORNEY
THOMAS M. O'CONNOR

My name is Thomas M. O'Connor.
My residence address is at No. 250 Magellan Avenue, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is City Attorney.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: Having served 12
years as City Attorney and 14 years as Deputy City Attorney and
Chief Public Utilities Counsel, I submit my candidacy for reelection
as City Attorney on my record of experience and accomplishment in
this vital municipal office. I have conducted the office with energetic
dedication to the principle that the increasing complexity of City
government requires expert service in the transaction of its exten-
sive legal business and court representation in litigation. I pledge my
vigorous continuation of this policy on behalf of the citizens of the
City and County of San Francisco.
Ballot Designation: Incumbent.
Signature of Candidate: THOMAS M. O'CONNOR.

The sponsors for Thomas M. O'Connor are:
Mrs. Byron Arnold, 65 Woodacre Dr., Housewife
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Insurance-Real Estate Broker
David J. Barry, 512 Van Ness Ave., Banker
A. Marquez Bautista, 2241 Sacramento St., Attorney at Law
Fred J. Cassada, 3420 Baker St., Real Estate & Insurance Broker
John Yehall Chin, 913 Stockton St., School Principal
Arthur H. Coleman, 240 St. Joseph St., Physician
John F. Crowley, 87 Los Palmos Dr., Secretary-Treasurer S. F. Labor Council
Daniel F. Del Carlo, 50 Chumasero Dr., Glazier
Walter E. Dold, 200 Santa Clara Ave., Attorney at Law
Burnham Enersen, 40 Arguello Blvd., Attorney
Harold C. Faulkner, 1940 Vallejo St., Attorney at Law
Vincent F. Finigan, Jr., 2121 Broadway, Real Estate Broker
Louis Garcia, 2320 Ninth Ave., Attorney at Law
J. Austell Hall, 555 Noriega St., Minister
Robert C. Harris, 25 8th Ave., Attorney
Thomas D. Harvey, 1201 Monterey Blvd., Real Estate Broker
Jack Hirose, 532 Parker Ave., Certified Public Accountant
William D. Kilduff, 3333 Jackson St., Businessman
Stephan C. Leonoudakis, 145 Ulloa St., Attorney at Law
Thomas C. Lynch, 98 Clarendon St., Attorney
Cyril I. Magnin, 999 California St., Merchant
Francis C. MiraZda, 65 Apts St., Businessman
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired
Ezio M. Paolini, 538 Molino Dr., Attorney at Law
Anthony J. Quigley, 2027 16th Ave., Attorney
William T. Reed, 2151 18th Ave., Retired
Timothy J. Twomey, 2028 Lawton St., Labor Union Representative
John Whooley, 1717 14th Ave., Businessman
Stephen A. Zellerbach, 3235 Clay St., Publisher
FOR TREASURER

MEL HAYNES

My name is Mel Haynes.
My residence address is at No. 1717 Baker Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Construction Executive.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: I received my college training at San Francisco State University. I am President of two California corporations. I am President of the Painting and Decorating Contractors Association of San Francisco. I will constantly strive for both a responsive and efficient administration in executing all policies and procedures relating to the Treasurer’s Office. I respectfully request your support based on these qualifications, these assurances and my background as an administrator. A vote for Mel Haynes is a vote for progress when San Francisco needs it most.
Ballot Designation: Construction Executive.
Signature of Candidate: MELVIN HAYNES.

The sponsors for Mel Haynes are:
Joan Barr, 755 A Hayes St., Housewife
Emma Jean Cole, 935 Broderick St., Secretary
Augusto Cano, 115 Bridgeview Terrace, Maintenance
Barbara R. Daniel, 100 Sargent St., Bookkeeper
Mary Fosler, 963 McAllister St., Housewife
Kater Harris, 1261 Golden Gate Ave., Service Station Attendant
Carmen Haynes, 1717 Baker St., Housewife
Carmen D. Haynes, 1717 Baker St., Student
Hoy Henderson, 519 10th Ave., Police Sergeant
Luvenia Henderson, 519 10th Ave., Postal Clerk
Sue Carol Hestor, 4636 20th St., Law Student
Horace Hilt, 927 Divisadero St., Painter
Alfred Shirden, 640 Laguna St., Import-Export
Mrs. Judy K. Matzen, 1171 Turk St., Housewife
William G. McMillian, 638 34th Ave., Barber
John C. Reed, 1700 Golden Gate Ave., Retired
Mrs. Ruth B. Reed, 1700 Golden Gate Ave., Housewife
Mable Stewart, 1734 Lyon St., Merchant
Norman M. Stewart Sr., 1734 Lyon St., Retail Merchant
Nina G. Walton, 1152 Buchanan St., Teacher
T. Wilkins Washington, 55 Aptos Ave., Realtor
Lesley A. Williams, 924 Clayton St., Electronics Engineer
Mabel B. Williams, 924 Clayton St., Counter Attendant
My name is Thomas C. Scanlon.
My residence address is at No. 631 Vicente Street, San Francisco.
My business or occupation is Treasurer, City and County of San Francisco.
My qualifications for said office are as follows: As a native San Franciscan and a career City employee with 25 years of service, I am thoroughly familiar with the operation of all departments of city government and particularly the office of Treasurer of San Francisco. After years of advancement through promotional examinations, I became Assistant Treasurer. During my incumbency as Treasurer, I have instituted new and improved investment procedures which have produced an increase in annual interest. These earnings now exceed $15 million a year for the benefit of the taxpayers. I seek to be retained on the basis of my proven ability, experience, performance and accomplishments.
Ballot Designation: Incumbent.
Signature of Candidate: THOMAS C. SCANLON.

The sponsors for Thomas C. Scanlon are:
William Moskovitz, 1901 California St., Retired
Elizabeth R. Kelley, 650 O'Farrell St., Business Agent Waitresses Union
Timothy J. Twomey, 2026 Lawton St., Labor Union Representative
Thomas W. S. Wu, 588 36th Ave., Doctor of Dental Surgery
Maurice T. Murphy, 2550 31st Ave., Real Property Appraiser
John F. Harrington, 2529 18th Ave., Counselor
John J. Goodwin, 533 Vicente St., Retired Treasurer City and County of San Francisco
Margaret M. Scanlon, 631 Vicente St., Housewife
Dennis Carey, 242 Country Club Dr., Vice President, Trade Assn.
Geo. B. Gillin, 295 Stratford Dr., Public Relations
Joseph C. Oreno, 806 Faxon Ave., Salesman
Armand De Martini, 110 32nd Ave., Educational Consultant
Clarence Poon, 3214 Balboa St., Banker
Benjamin H. Swig, 950 Mason St., Hotel Operator
Hansom M. Cook, 850 Powell St., Retired
Francis J. Sullivan, 926 Union St., Physician and Surgeon
Robert F. Begley, 1185 Market St., Hotel General Manager
H. Welton Flynn, 76 Venus St., President, Public Utilities Commission
Chadwick Ertola, 602 Greenwich St., Banker
Pierre G. Brigerts, Jr., 966 Noe St., Business Representative Local #6
Ernest C. Ayala, 4402 20th St., Insurance-Real Estate Broker
James F. Scanlon, 4045 22nd St., Program Analysis Officer
Louis T. Kruger, 23 Miguel St., Attorney-at-Law
Edward F. McGovern, 487 Marietta Dr., Restaurant Owner
Milred W. Levin, 251 San Anselmo Ave., Attorney-at-Law
Francis C. Miralda, 65 Apts. Industrial Hdw.
Stephan C. Leonoudakis, 145 Ulloa St., Attorney
John E. Troxel, 30 Linares Ave., Attorney-at-Law
Robert A. Lurie, 20 Cherry St., Real Estate
Atherton Phleger, 3575 Washington St., Lawyer
(PROPOSITIONS A, B & C)
ORNIDANCE CALLING SPECIAL BOND ELECTION

FILE NO. 459-73

ORDINANCE NO. 337-73

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1973, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PROPOSITIONS TO INCUR BONDED DEBTS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION OR COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF THE FOLLOWING MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS, TO WIT: $37,826,000 FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDINGS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; $2,428,000 FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE FACILITIES HOUSING CHILDREN'S CENTERS ACTIVITIES OF THE SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT; $4,600,000 FOR ADDITIONS TO AND ALTERATION AND RECONSTRUCTION OF A PORTION OF THE HALL OF JUSTICE BUILDING; AND THAT THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAID MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS IS AND WILL BE TOO GREAT TO BE PAID OUT OF THE ORDINARY ANNUAL INCOME AND REVENUE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY AND WILL REQUIRE EXPENDITURES GREATER THAN THE AMOUNTS ALLOWED THEREFOR BY THE ANNUAL TAX LEVY; ALL IN ORDER TO DO AND PERFORM ANY AND ALL OF THE MATTERS HEREINABOVE REFERRED TO; FIXING RATE OF INTEREST OF SAID BONDS AND PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF TAXES TO PAY BOTH PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST THEREOF; PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION AND CONSOLIDATING THE SPECIAL ELECTION WITH THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1973, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county propositions to incur bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco for the acquisition, construction or completion by the city and county of the hereinafter described municipal improvements in the amounts and for the purposes stated:

(a) PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973

$37,826,000 to pay the cost of repairing, reconstructing or replacing public school buildings determined, in the manner prescribed by law, to be structurally unsafe for school use, including land additions and betterments and all other works, properties or structures necessary or convenient for such improvement.

(b) CHILDREN'S CENTERS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973

$2,428,000 to pay the cost of improvement of the facilities housing Children's Centers activities of the San Francisco Unified School District, including the construction or purchasing of buildings suitable
for said activities, the making of alterations or additions to any school building or buildings in which these activities may be housed, the repair, reconstruction or replacement of buildings now occupied which do not meet the structural standards imposed by law for earthquake safety and all other works, properties or structures necessary or convenient for such municipal improvement.

(c) HALL OF JUSTICE IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973

$4,600,000 to pay the cost of additions to and alteration and reconstruction of a portion of the Hall of Justice Building to provide additional courtrooms and related rooms for the Superior and Municipal Courts, including furniture, fixtures, equipment, and all other works, properties or structures necessary or convenient for said improvement.

Section 2. The estimated costs of the municipal improvements described in Section 1 hereof were fixed by the Board of Supervisors by the following resolutions and in the amounts specified:
- Public School Building Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 472-73, $37,828,000;
- Children's Centers Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 473-73, $2,428,000;
- Hall of Justice Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 476-73, $4,600,000.

That each of said resolutions was passed by two-thirds or more of the Board of Supervisors, that Resolutions No. 472-73 and No. 473-73 were approved by the Mayor, that Resolution No. 476-73 became effective without the signature of the Mayor in accordance with Section 2.302 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and in each of said resolutions it was recited and found that the sums of money specified were too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for those purposes and will require expenditures greater than the amounts allowed therefor by the annual tax levy.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated costs of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amounts specified.

Said estimates of cost as set forth in said resolutions, and each thereof, are hereby adopted and determined to be the estimated costs of said improvements, and each thereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 6, 1973, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be
and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for such special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth, in the official publication, by the Registrar of Voters of precincts, polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election and reference is hereby made to the notice of election setting forth the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election by the Registrar of Voters for the General Municipal Election to be published in the San Francisco Examiner on or about October 23, 1973.

Section 5: On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following, each to be separately stated, and appear upon the ballots as separate propositions:

(a) "PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $37,826,000 for the purpose of repairing, reconstructing or replacing public school buildings determined, in the manner prescribed by law, to be structurally unsafe for school use."

(b) "CHILDREN'S CENTERS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $2,428,000 for the improvement of the facilities housing Children's Centers activities of the San Francisco Unified School District."

(c) "HALL OF JUSTICE IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $4,600,000 for additions to and alteration and reconstruction of a portion of the Hall of Justice Building to provide additional courtrooms and related rooms for the Superior and Municipal Courts."

To vote for any proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against any proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp across a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for any proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.
Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that a majority of all the voters voting on the Public School Building Improvement bond proposition described in paragraph (a) of Section 1 of this ordinance voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 7 per centum per annum, payable semiannually.

The votes cast for and against the said proposition shall be counted separately and when a majority of the qualified electors, voting on the proposition, vote in favor thereof, such proposition shall be deemed adopted.

Section 7. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on the Children's Centers Improvement bond proposition and the Hall of Justice Improvement bond proposition described in paragraphs (b) and (c) of Section 1 of this ordinance voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said propositions, then such propositions shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 7 per centum per annum, payable semiannually.

The votes cast for and against each of said respective propositions shall be counted separately and when two-thirds of the qualified electors, voting on any one of such propositions, vote in favor thereof, such proposition shall be deemed adopted.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there is a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same becomes due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Examiner, a newspaper published daily in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county and such publication shall constitute notice of said election and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Approved as to form.

THOMAS M. O'CONNOR, City Attorney


Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Chinn, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk
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Ayes, Supervisors Barbagelata, Chinn, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk


JOSEPH L. ALIOTO, Mayor

PROPOSITION A

PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $37,826,000 for the purpose of repairing, reconstructing or replacing public school buildings determined, in the manner prescribed by law, to be structurally unsafe for school use.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION A

Public School Building Bonds 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $37,826,000 for the improvement of the City and County of San Francisco Public Schools declared "unsafe" according to Field Act standards, such improvements to include the construction, purchasing or relocation of school buildings and educational facilities, land additions and betterments, alterations or additions, or demolition or razing, to effect repair, reconstruction or replacement of the school buildings which do not meet the structural standards imposed by law.

Argument for Proposition "A"

June 30, 1975 will be a day of crisis for the San Francisco public school system. By that date every building used for teaching children in grades Kindergarten through twelve must meet earthquake safety standards set by the State of California. If we fail to pass Proposition "A", as many as 50 schools will be closed on June 30, 1975—and that would mean hardships for every San Franciscan.

Yes on "A" for Safe Schools

Closing 50 school buildings would be a disaster for all of us—young and old, parents and singles, and homeowners and renters. We would be short of classroom spaces in many parts of the City and would have to set up costly programs to transport children from one part of town to another. This in turn would cause crowding in many school buildings and would probably force the schools to go on half-day sessions. The quality of education would suffer greatly.

State law requires that all school buildings must meet safety standards to protect children in case of earthquakes. Schools that don't meet the safety standards must be closed in 1975. We really don't have any choice about making the schools safe. Your "Yes" vote on Proposition "A" will give the children of San Francisco safe schools.
"Yes" on "A" for Good Schools

Proposition "A" was developed after more than 30 public meetings throughout San Francisco. Hundreds of citizens helped to plan this bond issue. These meetings resulted in a "bare bones" school bond proposal that is economical in cost, and assures that every child will attend a safe school.

San Franciscans Agree on "Yes" on A

Business leaders, labor leaders, the PTA, religious leaders from all faiths, and neighborhood organization leaders have recognized the need to make our schools safe now. They support this measure because they are convinced that the plan is sound and that the alternatives are not acceptable. There is no organized opposition to Proposition "A".

"Yes" on A Everyone Benefits

Everyone in San Francisco shares the benefits and the costs of a good school system. Since 1948 no property tax revenues have been used to repay bonds. By voting "YES" on Proposition A now we will avoid the added expense of spiralling construction costs and the quality of our school system will be preserved.

"Yes" on A for the Children

Please think of the children of San Francisco. They really deserve schools that are as safe as we can make them against the dangers of earthquakes.

Please join with the sponsors of this statement and vote "Yes" on Proposition A.

Endorsed by:
Mayor Joseph L. Alioto
United States Congressman Phillip Burton
Senator Milton Marks
Senator George R. Moscone
Assemblyman John L. Burton
Assemblyman Willie L. Brown, Jr.
Assemblyman John Francis Foran
Assemblyman Leo T. McCarthy
Judge Joseph Karesh
Judge Robert J Drewes
Judge Gerald J. O'Gara
Supervisor George Y. Chinn
Supervisor Dianne Feinstein
Supervisor Terry A. Francois
Supervisor Robert E. Gonzalez
Supervisor Quentin L. Kopp
Supervisor Robert H. Mendelsohn
Supervisor Ronald Pelosi
Supervisor Peter Tamaras
Supervisor Dorothy von Beroldingen
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr., President, Board of Education
Eugene S. Hopp, M.D., Vice President, Board of Education
Mrs. Lucille S. Abrahamson, Commissioner of Education
Mrs Charline Mae Haynes, Commissioner of Education
John A. Kidder, Commissioner of Education
Reverend Thomas A. Reed, S.J., Commissioner of Education
Dr Steven P. Morena, Superintendent of Schools
Most Reverend Joseph P. McGucken, Archbishop, per Monsignor Cornelius
J. Burns, Assistant Chancellor and Secretary to the Archbishop
Ernest C. Ayala, Director, Department of Youth Activities, Urban Development
Mrs. Paz V. Cardona, Secretary, Filipino-American Teachers Association
Clem Christobal, Filipino Education Center Parent Advisory Committee
J. K. Choy, President, Greater Chinatown Community Service Association
William E. Dauer, President, Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
Daniel F. Del Carlo, Secretary-Treasurer and Business Representative, San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council
Walter E. Diethelm, Painters Joint Committee Inc.
Mrs. Dorothy W. Erskine, S.P.U.R.
Morris R. Everson, Painters Union Local 4
Monsignor James B. Flynn, St. Peter's Church
Reverend Canon John M. Gallagher, Episcopal Diocese
Elmer Gallegos, Jr., President, Board of Directors, International Institute of San Francisco
Franz E. Glen, Business Manager-Financial Secretary, Local Union No. 6, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
Carlton B. Goodlett, M.D., Editor and Publisher, The Sun-Reporter
Richard D. Hongisto, Sheriff of San Francisco
Beatrice L. Dunbar, Bayview Parent Action Group
Zuretti L. Gooby, Black Leadership Forum
Coalition for Effective Schools
Maritza Guandique, Latin American Teachers Assn.
San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations
James E. Ballard, San Francisco Federation of Teachers, AFL Local 61, AFL-CIO
Reverend F. D. Haynes, Jr., Pastor, Third Baptist Church
Terrerance Ming Hall, Asian Community Health Clinic
Rev. Edward P. Higgins, St. Emydius Church
Donald E. Langendorf, San Francisco School Administrators, Local 960
I.B. Teamsters
Fred H. Lau, Community Relations Unit, San Francisco Police Department
Lawrence L. Lew, Chinese Congregational Church
J. Roberto Lopez, Chairman, Bilingual Advisory Committee for Spanish Bilingual Education
Tony Lopes, California Teachers Association, San Francisco Chapter
Henry Lurter, Page-Laguna Neighborhood Association
Orville Luster, Youth for Service
Maria Luisa Mollison, Sunnydale Organization's Council
Ronald C. Morton, President, All Peoples' Coalition
Robert Rohatch, President, International Longshoremen and Warehousemen's Union, Local 10
Natividad R. Purisima, Filipino Community of San Francisco, Inc. and Filipino American Political Association
Rev. John B. Streater, Pastor, First Baptist Church of San Francisco
Benjamin Tom, Chinese Affirmative Action
Rev. Timothy Tam, Chinese Methodist Church
Rev. Lloyd K. Wake, Glide Foundation
Rev. A. Cecil Williams, Glide Memorial United Methodist Church
Theresa V. Williams, Bay Area Urban League, Inc.
Mason Wong, Youth Services and Co-ordinating Center
Gang Nom Woo, Chinese Pacific Weekly
Dr. Lane De Lara, San Francisco Unified School District
Walter F. Kaplan, Chairman, Redevelopment Agency
Keith P. Calden, Chief, San Francisco Fire Department
John Rlordan, Board of Governors, San Francisco Community College District
Mrs. John Langdell, San Francisco PTA
Mrs. Ferlillia Aquada
Lillian S. Aldea, Filipino-American Neighborhood Assn. of San Francisco
Erlito B. Amagan, Filipino-American Mission Organization
Dorothy Aspinwall, First Baptist Church of San Francisco
Barbara Bancroft
Capt. Charles A. Barea, San Francisco Police Department
Yvonne Barr, Alamo Mothers' Club
Valeska Barry
Thomas Bauer
Mr. and Mrs. Gordon P. Bennett, Jr.
Myra Berkowitz
Mr. Alexandre A. Berline
Helene Berline
Mae J. Blair
Anna W. Blake, Open Bible Church
Mrs. Rosalie Boehme, United Welfare Rights Organization
Mitchell I. Bonner, Philippine-American Cultural Foundation
Marilyn Borovoy, Executive Committee of Criminal Justice Council
Loie Brady
Michael P. Brady, Bernal Heights Assn.
Mary Brook, Portola District News
James Brown, Jr.
Richard M. Bryan
Marilyn E. Burns
Freda J. Cope, First Baptist Church
Thomas M. Carnes, Carnes & Bailey
Mrs. Lester L. Carter
Joseph Caverly, General Manager, Recreation and Park Department
Fole Chan
Marilyn Chan, San Francisco Unified School District
Mattie Chandler, AFT Local 61, Delegate, Zone 1 Council
Dorothy Chatman
Lau, Ying Cheuk
Jean Chew
Benine Chin
Kim Sue Chin
Mrs. Richard Chin
Wal Ching Choi
Arleen Chow
Foon-Kwong Chow
Sau Yin Chow
Wal Ling Choy
Helena Chu
Sin Chung
Winnie Fong
Barbara W. Coit
Ms. Montie Cross
E. C. Cuarenta
Francisco P. Cuarenta
Rachel M. Curiel
Cecil C. Curtis, Jr.
Jimmy G. Dare
Howard C. Day, First Baptist Church
Wilbert Dea
Efren Delgado
Mae Jone Der
John H. Dills
Mrs. Frances Dundes
Benjamin V. Empizo
Elliott M. Fuigenbaum, M.D.
Albert I. Feldman
Mrs. John L. Field
Mrs. Jeannine Finch
Sherm Fishman
Mac Fisk, Garfield School PTA
Henry W. Fong
Lincoln L. Fong
Rosemary Fong
James M. Foster, Society for Individual Rights
Sau-Tuen Fong
Shiu Yuen Fong
Charles X. Frederick, III
Edna Friske, Senior Adults, First Baptist Church
Mr. and Mrs. John C. Friedman
Edward A. Friend
Richard T. Fukuwa
Max R. Garcia
Allen M. Garfield
Morton M. Garfield, M.D.
Ar Kuen Gin
Phyllis Ginsberg
Hazel M. Glunz, First Baptist Church
ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION A
Vote No on A

A $37,826,000 bond issue for the reconstruction of approx 50 schools—10.4 cents on the property taxes for 22 years—will appear on your ballot because of an earthquake in Long Beach which motivated the passage of the Field Act in 1933; the Garrison Act which was to put teeth in the Field Act in 1939; and in 1967 a personal liability rider was added.

The Field Act provided that any school building constructed prior to 1933 and not approved by the state is a nonconforming building and is now considered potentially unsafe, yet these very buildings 40 years after the inception of the Field Act and 34 years after the Garrison Act are still standing.

It boggles the mind of a taxpayer that most all our schools in San Francisco must be reconstructed even though they have withstood the resistance of time and a 5.3 Richter scale shake in San Francisco in 1957.

As for the scare tactics that our schools will be closed in 1975 if we do not conform! Poppycock! Many school districts in California can't conform within the so-called deadline and it is inconceivable that any agency of government would deny the rights of children to go to school because a building which has withstood the ravages of time for the past 40 years does not conform. After 40 years, perhaps we should investigate the investigators.

In light of San Francisco's declining school population it behooves the district to institute a Master Plan for the improvement of our school system before coming to the voters with a piece-meal proposition. This $37,826,000 bond issue is for reconstruction. When will we be selling another bond issue for remodeling?

Vote No on A
Betty F. Crawford
Taxpayer
CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO
CHARTER SECTION 9.112
SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE SECTION 2.37
AND STATE ELECTION CODE SECTION 5301
PROPOSITION "A"

PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To
incur a bonded indebtedness of $37,826,000 for the purpose of re-
paring, reconstructing or replacing public school buildings deter-
mained, in the manner prescribed by law, to be structurally unsafe
for school use.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds
shall have been issued on a twenty year basis and after consideration
of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales, in my
opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Redemption</th>
<th>$37,826,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Interest</td>
<td>19,832,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service Requirement</td>
<td>$57,658,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on a three year construction program, the average annual
debt requirement for twenty-two years would be approximately
$2,621,000 which amount is equivalent to ten and four tenths ($0.104)
cents in the tax rate.

The following statement is made pursuant to the provisions of the
San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.37.

The average dollar amount the above estimated effect on the tax
rate would cost the owners of real property assessed at $5,000, $8,750,
and $12,500 is estimated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessed Value</th>
<th>Assessed Value Reduced by $1,750 Homeowner's Exemption</th>
<th>Assessed Value Not Reduced by Homeowner's Exemption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>$3.38</td>
<td>$5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,750</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>9.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following statement is submitted pursuant to the provisions
of the State of California Election Code, Section 5301.

Based on consideration of interest rates related to current munici-
pal bond sales and using the 1973-1974 assessment roll, it is esti-
mated that the tax rate required to be levied to fund the proposed
bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of bonds would be
five and seventy-one hundredths ($0.0571) cents. Based on three sales
to complete the issue, it is estimated that fourteen and sixty-one
hundredths ($0.1461) cents would be the highest rate required during
the twenty-two year redemption period to fund the proposed bond
issue, which rate is estimated to occur during the fiscal year 1976-1977.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco
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PROPOSITION B

CHILDREN’S CENTERS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $2,428,000 for the improvement of the facilities housing Children’s Centers activities of the San Francisco Unified School District.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION B

Children’s Centers Building Bonds 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $2,428,000 for the improvement of the facilities housing Children’s Centers of the San Francisco Unified School District, including the construction or purchasing of buildings, alterations or additions, or otherwise repair, reconstruct or replace buildings which do not meet the structural standards for earthquake safety.

Argument for Proposition B

This school bond measure is a companion to Proposition A. It has the purpose of providing earthquake safe buildings for pre-school children of San Francisco. The kind of work to be done is similar to that proposed in Proposition A and the planning and community involvement information programs have been carried on with the same thoroughness.

The difference is that this issue (Proposition B) will provide earthquake safety in Children’s Centers.

Why Two Bond Issues?

The California State Law (Field Act) which requires compliance with certain earthquake safety standards does not specify Children’s Centers by name. Its language refers only to facilities which are used for instruction in grades Kindergarten through twelve. The San Francisco Unified School District, however, also operates a number of Children’s Centers for children of pre-school age. There is a moral obligation to provide equally safe school facilities for these younger children.

In addition, bond issues normally require the approval of two-thirds of the voters in order to be adopted. This two-thirds requirement was recently suspended for bond issues aimed at complying with the Field Act so that approval by a simple majority of the votes is all that is required for Proposition A.

Proposition B, the Children’s Centers bond, still requires approval of two-thirds of the voters.

Although Propositions A and B are companion measures the people of San Francisco must be asked to consider each one separately.

“Yes” on B Everyone Benefits

Everyone in San Francisco shares the benefits and the costs of a good school system. Since 1948 no property tax revenues have been used to repay bonds. By voting “Yes” on Proposition B now we will avoid the added expense of spiralling construction costs and the quality of our school system will be preserved.
"Yes" on B For the Children

Please think of the children of San Francisco. They really deserve facilities that are as safe as we can make them against the dangers of earthquakes.

Please join with the sponsors of this statement and vote "Yes" on Proposition B.

Endorsed by:
Mayor Joseph L. Alioto
United States Congressman Phillip Burton
Senator Milton Marks
Senator George R. Moscone
Assemblyman John L. Burton
Assemblyman Willie L. Brown, Jr.
Assemblyman John Francis Foran
Assemblyman Leo T. McCarthy
Judge Joseph Karesk
Judge Robert J. Drewes
Judge Gerald J. O'Gara
Supervisor George Y. Chinn
Supervisor Dianne Feinstein
Supervisor Terry A. Francoms
Supervisor Robert E. Gonzales
Supervisor Quentin L. Kopp
Supervisor Robert H. Mendelsohn
Supervisor Ronald Pelosi
Supervisor Peter Tamaras
Supervisor Dorothy von Beroldingen
Dr. David J. Sanchez, Jr., President, Board of Education
Eugene S. Hopp, M.D., Vice President, Board of Education
Mrs. Lucille S. Abrahamson, Commissioner of Education
Mrs. Charlie Mae Haynes, Commissioner of Education
John A. Kidder, Commissioner of Education
Reverend Thomas A. Reed, S.J., Commissioner of Education
Dr. Steven P. Morena, Superintendent of Schools
Most Reverend Joseph P. McGucken, Archbishop, per Monsignor Cornelius J. Burns, Assistant Chancellor and Secretary to the Archbishop
Phil E. Kay
Karen A. Kelley
Mrs. S. Marshall Kempner
Lola King
June Kwan
Chik Chuen Kwong
Pegge Lacey
Velma C. Lai
Yuet Mei Lam
Mrs. Gloria Landau-Walters
Bette Wallace Landis
Betty Lane
Frances Lau
Joanne Lau
Julla Lau
Beatrice Challiss Laws
Anna Mark Lee
Donald Lee
Gary Lee
Hok Choy Lee
Lim P. Lee
Mary Lee
Wing Sang Lee
Mr. and Mrs. Gary Lehmann
Mary Louise Lehmann
Mr. and Mrs. R. H. LeMond
Bonnie Leong
Susanne Leong
Victor Leong
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Mary Leu
Hong Leung
Sing Nuey Lew
Charles de Limur
Lola J. Link
Mrs. Jean Lippi
Leonard Lippi
Samuel W. Liu
J. Roberto Lopez
Lily Louie
Gladys Loveless
Ernie Lowe
Harry W. Low
Helen L. Lowe
John W. Lowe
Pak H. Lowe
Joan Lubenow
Bonnie Lue
Eddie Lum
Helen Lum
Henry Lum
Tim Lum
May Ma
Marilyn Maganaris
Mrs. So Mar
Rosendo P. Marlin
Leon Markel
Fred J. Martin, Jr.
David Mayes
Richard Mesta
Susan Meyer
Mr. and Mrs. Peter Mezey
Eleanor Miller
Mrs. Milton Miller
Norman W. Miller
Rev. Victor L. Meearis, Double Rock Baptist Church
Walter Miller, Jr.
Bertha Mo
Oral L. Moore
Richard B. Morris
Mrs. Evalyn K. Mortensen
Mrs. Edna Mosk
Dora Lisa Mustacchi
John J. McCarthy
Ethel McClam
Delores McCray
Gloria A. McGoran
Patricia F. McLaughlin
Gail Jacobs Nebenzahl
Mary Nelson
Howard N. Nemerovski
Michael Peter Novelli
Eva T. Ng
Michael H. Ng
Ming Yin Ng
Mary B. Olney
Reeva Olson
Barbara A. Orsornio
Dolores Maria Oviedo
Loretta W. Parker
Mrs. Ellis E. Passanisi
Miss Lee Patterson
Mrs. Jean Parrott
Judith J. Payne
Josephine L. Perez
Margaret E. Phillips
Donna E. Pinoe
Rosemary Pineyro
Amy J. Quon
Jesus Seresano Quan
Joseph Quon
Hiram R. Patel
Joyce J. Ream
George T. Rockrise
Pedro Rodriguez
Mrs. Ludwig Rosenstein
James A. Robinson
Carlos Ruling
Flora C. Russell
Velma Sainz
Cecile G. Sammons
Ileen Sapp
Linda Gale Scheifler
Daniel Shapiro
Mrs. John Sigel
Mrs. Ella Simon
Mr. and Mrs. Nicholas Skylor
Christine E. Smith
Mr. and Mrs. Fred H. Smith III
Gloria Smith
Judith E. Smith
Cheryl Snow
Mrs. Betty I. Speikerman
Rebecca Stahmer
Marilyn J. Stephens
M. Stern
Chris Stritzinger
Mrs. Walter E. Stone
Elsa J. Strait
Elizabeth Sutton
Sel Kwong Tam
Huen Tang
Mrs. Florence Tarpy
Helen S. Tarver
Maria Carmen Tassiu
Maria H. Tello
Candy Tham
John Tham
Virginia Tindol
Alan Tom
Fat Qual Tom
Judy Tom
Junes H. Tom
May Tom
Fablo de la Torres
Chung Sun Toy
Wallace A. Trujillo
Lydia R. von Trutzschler
Mrs. Chuck K. Tsang
Emma Lee Ung
Guillermo Vivas
Yori Wada
Mrs. John H. Waltz
Lee Chow Mee Wan
Ralph Wasmer
Joyce A. Watkins
Henry James Weber
Idarea Westbrook
Jimmie Wilson
William S. Wilson
Anna Wong
David Wong
Dennis E. Wong
Edward G. Wong
Foo Wong
Goon Jung Wong
CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 9.112
SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE SECTION 2.37
AND STATE ELECTION CODE SECTION 5301
PROPOSITION "B"

CHILDREN'S CENTERS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $2,428,000 for the improvement of the facilities housing Children's Centers activities of the San Francisco Unified School District.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a twenty year basis and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Redemption</th>
<th>$2,428,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Interest</td>
<td>1,287,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service Requirement</td>
<td>$3,715,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on a three year construction program, the average annual debt requirement for twenty-two years would be approximately $169,000 which amount is equivalent to sixty-seven hundredths ($0.0067) of one cent in the tax rate.

The following statement is made pursuant to the provisions of the San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.37.

The average dollar amount the above estimated effect on the tax rate would cost the owners of real property assessed at $5,000, $8,750, and $12,500 is estimated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessed Value</th>
<th>Assessed Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced by $1,750 Homeowner's Exemption</td>
<td>Not Reduced by Homeowner's Exemption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>$0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,750</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$0.34
0.59
0.84

The following statement is submitted pursuant to the provisions of the State of California Election Code, Section 5301.

Based on consideration of interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1973-1974 assessment roll, it is estimated that the tax rate required to be levied to fund the proposed bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of bonds would
be thirty-six hundredths ($0.0036) of one cent. Based on three sales to complete the issue, it is estimated that ninety-three hundredths ($0.0093) of one cent would be the highest rate required during the twenty-two year redemption period to fund the proposed bond issue, which rate is estimated to occur during the fiscal year 1976-1977.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION C

HALL OF JUSTICE IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $4,600,000 for additions to and alteration and reconstruction of a portion of the Hall of Justice Building to provide additional courtrooms and related rooms for the Superior and Municipal Courts.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION C

Vote YES on Proposition “C”

New Courtrooms Needed

Proposition “C” provides for the construction of drastically needed courtrooms and jury facilities at the Hall of Justice. All available space at the overcrowded Hall of Justice has already been converted to courtrooms to handle criminal trials; still seven judges have to handle criminal cases in City Hall.

Part of Original Plan

The expansion proposed in this Bond Issue was planned when the Hall of Justice was built a decade ago; the time has come to add these essential facilities. Already five courtrooms have been squeezed into the Hall of Justice by eliminating jury rooms, witness rooms and hallways ... there is just no more room to squeeze. The only sensible way to provide the courtrooms now needed is to build the addition proposed by this Proposition. It will not be necessary to acquire more land to build the addition.

City Hall Not Equipped

The courtrooms in City Hall were designed for civil trials; not for criminal cases. There are no holding cells for defendants in custody, nor are there designated elevators or corridors to bring custody defendants to court. During recesses and lunch, defendants in custody are kept in jury deliberation rooms, if available, requiring constant surveillance by Bailiffs and Deputy Sheriffs.

Security

Already two defendants have escaped during trials at City Hall. Another prisoner almost wrested a gun away from a Deputy guarding him, and still another defendant almost obtained a smuggled gun in another escape attempt. What personal tragedy could have occurred in any one of these instances! There are no proper facilities to hold prisoners at City Hall. If this Bond Issue passes, the security problems, unavoidable at City Hall, will be eliminated. Further, the
transporting of custody cases to and from City Hall, which creates unnecessary security and escape hazards, will also be eliminated. The spectre of shackled prisoners being trooped through City Hall is offensive to many, and more importantly it offends the American concept that the accused is innocent until proven guilty.

When this Bond Issue is passed, such trials will be held at the Hall of Justice, where the accused can come and go to court via restricted passages without coming into public contact.

Rented Courtrooms

We now have more judges than we have courtrooms, even counting the courtrooms already squeezed into the Hall of Justice. So overcrowded are our courtroom facilities that presently, as a makeshift temporary arrangement, two of our Superior Courts are housed in rented space in a fraternal building blocks from City Hall. Renting space is a perpetual drain on the taxpayers' resources. It is inevitable that the new courtrooms proposed by Proposition "C" will be built; the sooner it is done, the lower the cost to the taxpayers.

Cost of Delay

As long as criminal trials are being held at City Hall or other makeshift facilities, endless commuting between these sites and the Hall of Justice cannot be avoided. Custody defendants must be transported from the jail facility at the Hall of Justice to City Hall under guard of Sheriff's Deputies. Court time is unavoidably lost by endless movement of evidence and personnel (Policemen, Public Defenders, Deputy District Attorneys, Bailiffs) to and from their offices at the Hall of Justice. Under the present system, courts wait for hours to start trial at a tremendous waste of time by Judges and court personnel. These thousands of hours cost the taxpayers untold tens, or hundreds, of thousands of dollars annually for inefficiencies that would be corrected by the passage of this Proposition "C".

Extra Burden on Juries

With the criminal cases being held at more than one location, citizens called for jury duty must commute between two (or more) sites. If all criminal cases were held in one location, fewer citizens would need to be called since excess prospective jurors could be reassigned from courtroom to courtroom. This inconvenience, to thousands of citizens annually, could be avoided by the passage of Proposition "C".

Inflation

The need for expansion at the Hall of Justice to accommodate the required courtrooms will not go away; the only question is when will the voters approve the necessary bonds. Each year inflation will increase the cost. The bond issue will be paid for out of sales tax. The cheapest solution is to build them now.

Economics

Not only will approval now save the soaring costs of inflation, but as soon as the courtrooms provided by this bond are built, the taxpayers can stop paying the wasted and unrecoverable cost of rent, transportation and wasted personnel hours. The unnecessary expenses are forced on all taxpayers by the inefficiency of requiring our courts to operate at multiple locations.
No Property Off Tax Roll

When the Hall of Justice was designed, years ago, the addition of new courtrooms provided by this Proposition was planned. No new land will be needed to build the addition at the Hall of Justice. Now is the time to build this sorely needed facility.

Vote Yes on Proposition "C"

Endorsed by:
Hon. Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor
Hon. Thomas J. Mellon, Chief Administrative Officer
Richard D. Hongisto, Sheriff
Donald M. Scott, Chief of Police
John Jay Ferdon, District Attorney
Edward T. Mancuso, Public Defender
Senator Milton Marks
Senator George R. Moscone
Assemblyman Willie L. Brown, Jr.
Assemblyman Leo T. McCarthy
Assemblyman John Francis Foran
Donald R. Wright, Chief Justice, California Supreme Court
Judge Francis McCarthy
Judge Joseph Karesh, Presiding Judge of the Superior Court
Judge Harry W. Low, Presiding Judge of the Municipal Court
San Francisco Labor Council, AFL-CIO
Jack Goldberger, Joint Council of Teamsters
John F. Crowley, Secretary-Treasurer, San Francisco Labor Council, AFL-CIO
Warehouse Union, Local 860
Timothy J. Twomey, Secretary, Hospital & Institutional Workers Union, Local 250
Harry H. Grosman, Automotive Machinists Lodge #1305
Eugene J. Hartney, Garage & Service Station Employees' Welfare Fund
Downtown Association of San Francisco
John A. Sutro
San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce
Japanese Chamber of Commerce of Northern California
San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations
Van Ness - Folk Civic Council
NAACP - National Association for the Advancement of Colored People
Leandro P. Soto, Executive Vice President, O.B.E.C.A./Arriba Juntos
Lynn E. Hodges, Executive Director, Northern California Ecumenical Council
Victoria Bued, Executive Director, Mission Neighborhood Centers
Carlton B. Goodlett, Ph.D., M.D.
American Jewish Congress
Rutheo Anchea, Sec-Treas., Philippine Chamber of Commerce
Mission Rebels in Action, Inc.
The Sun-Reporter/Metro-Reporter Newspapers
San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association
Queen's Bench
San Francisco Criminal Trial Lawyers Association
William Moskovitz
John Gardenal
William E. Osterhaus, President, KQED TV
Reselyn C. Annin
Bernard S. Ward, Executive Officer, San Francisco Superior Court
John Whooley, Director, Irish Center
Eugene B. Block
Ernest C. Ayala, Chairman, American G.I. Forum
Gerald E. Gallagher
Nathan Cohn
Alexander Anolik
LeRoy Hersh
Angelo Quaranta, President, Unione Sportiva Italia
William F. O'Byrne
William T. Beltne
Gene A. Farber
Margaret Linus

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Feinstein, Francois, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO
CHARTER SECTION 9.112
SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE SECTION 2.37
AND STATE ELECTION CODE SECTION 5301
PROPOSITION “C”

HALL OF JUSTICE IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a
bonded indebtedness of $4,600,000 for additions to and alteration
and reconstruction of a portion of the Hall of Justice Building to
provide additional courtrooms and related rooms for the Superior
and Municipal Courts.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds
shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis and after consideration
of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales, in my
opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Redemption</td>
<td>$4,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Interest</td>
<td>1,830,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service Requirement</td>
<td>$6,430,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on a three year construction program, the average annual
debt requirement for seventeen years would be approximately
$379,000 which amount is equivalent to one and one half ($0.015)
cents in the tax rate.

The following statement is made pursuant to the provisions of
the San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.37.
The average dollar amount the above estimated effect on the tax rate would cost the owners of real property assessed at $5,000, $8,750, and $12,500 is estimated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessed Value</th>
<th>Assessed Value Reduced by $1,750 Homeowner's Exemption</th>
<th>Assessed Value Not Reduced by Homeowner's Exemption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>$0.49</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,750</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following statement is submitted pursuant to the provisions of the State of California Election Code, Section 5301.

Based on consideration of interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1973-1974 assessment roll, it is estimated that the tax rate required to be levied to fund the proposed bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of bonds would be twenty-one hundredths ($0.0021) of one cent. Based on three sales to complete the issue, it is estimated that two and one tenth ($0.021) cents would be the highest rate required during the seventeen year redemption period to fund the proposed bond issue, which rate is estimated to occur during the fiscal year 1976-1977.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION D

Adds Section 9.100-1 and amends various sections: Provides for a runoff election for office of Mayor if no candidate for said office receives a majority vote in the general municipal election.

CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION D

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 9.100-1 thereto, and amending Sections 9.103, 9.105, 9.106 and 9.115 thereof, relating to municipal elections and the election for the office of Mayor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 6, 1973, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 9.100-1 thereto, and amending Sections 9.103, 9.105, 9.106 and 9.115 thereof, to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

9.100-1 Election of Mayor

Notwithstanding any other provisions or limitations of this Charter, the mayor shall be elected at large by the voters of the city and county in the manner prescribed in this section.
At the general municipal election in 1975, and at the general municipal election in every fourth year thereafter, there shall be elected a mayor; provided, however, that should no candidate for the office of mayor receive at the general municipal election a majority of the votes cast for all candidates for said office, the two candidates receiving the highest numbers of votes cast for any of such candidates shall thereby qualify as candidates for the office of mayor at a runoff election to be held on the second Thursday of the next ensuing December. The mayor shall be elected for a term of four years, from the commencement of his respective term as herein specified. Each term of office of a duly elected mayor shall commence at twelve o’clock noon on the 8th day of January following the date of his election.

No person elected as mayor shall be eligible, for a period of one year after his last day of said service as mayor, for appointment to any full time position carrying compensation in the city and county service.

9.103 Municipal Elections

On Tuesday after the first Monday in November in 1931 and every second year thereafter, there shall be held in the city and county an election to be known as the general municipal election, at which the electors of the city and county shall choose such officers or qualify such candidates as are required by this charter to be elected or qualified at that time.

In the event that a runoff election for the office of mayor is required to be held pursuant to the provisions of section 2.100-1 of this charter, on the second Thursday in December of 1975 and every fourth year thereafter there shall be held an election to be known as the municipal runoff election, at which the electors of the city and county shall elect a mayor. The office of mayor shall be the only office to be voted on at said municipal runoff election, and no other office or measure shall be voted on at said election.

Special municipal elections shall be called by the registrar when required by this charter on the filing of appropriate initiative, referendum or recall petitions, as provided by this charter, and may be called by the supervisors for bond issues, declarations of policy, or for the voting on candidates for city and county offices not subject to election at general municipal elections or municipal runoff elections.

All provisions of the general laws of this state, including penal laws, respecting the registration of voters, initiative, referendum and recall petitions, elections, canvass of returns and all matters pertinent to any and all of these, shall be applicable to the city and county except as otherwise provided by this charter or by ordinance adopted by the board of supervisors as authorized by this charter relative to any rights, powers or duties of the city and county or its officers. When not prohibited by general law, the supervisors by ordinance may provide that the publication of precincts and polling places shall be by posting only.

9.105 Material on Candidates Mailed to Voters

The registrar shall, before each municipal election other than a municipal runoff election, cause to be printed in pamphlet form and mailed to each registered voter with the sample ballot, a copy of all statements of qualifications of candidates received by him, to be
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followed by the names and addresses and occupations of all sponsors of all officers to be voted for in said city and county.

The registrar shall cause ballots to be printed identical with the ballot to be used in each assembly district at (the) each general municipal or municipal runoff election and shall furnish copies of the same on application to registered voters at his office at least thirty days before the date fixed for either of such elections. He shall also furnish copies of all material required by this charter to be mailed to the voters prior to (the) either of such elections. Commencing at least thirty days before the date fixed for (the) either of such elections, the registrar shall mail to each voter entitled to vote on such election a copy of the ballot to be used in his district, and a copy of all material required by this charter to be mailed to the voters prior to (the) either of such elections, so that all said sample ballots and material shall have been mailed at least ten days before either of said elections. The rotation of names of candidates on ballots shall be as provided by general law.

9.106 Precinct Boards of Election

The registrar shall, at each municipal, runoff or special election, prepare lists for and appoint for each election precinct a precinct board of election officers to hold and conduct such election at the precinct for which said board is appointed. Such board shall consist of one inspector, one judge and two clerks, who shall perform all the duties required by law at such polling place, except as in this charter provided. When voting machines are used, one inspector and two judges shall be appointed. The general law as to the appointment of election officers shall apply when not otherwise provided herein. The registrar is authorized to withhold the pay of any election officer who neglects, disregards or violates the election laws.

9.115 Substantial Compliance

No informalities in conducting municipal, special, runoff, initiative, referendum or recall elections shall invalidate such elections if they have been conducted fairly and in substantial compliance with and conformity to the requirements of this charter.


Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Chinn, Feinstein, Gonzales, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION D

Vote Yes on Proposition D

Proposition "D" will require that the Mayor be elected by a majority vote. In the event that no candidate receives a majority at the regular election in November, the two candidates receiving the highest vote would qualify for a runoff election to be held the second Thursday in December (approximately five weeks later).

The office of Mayor is now chosen by a plurality vote. Under this archaic voting system mayors in San Francisco may be voted into office by support of a very small minority of the voters—even a scant
twenty percent or less. This is made possible because of the increasing number of candidates who run for the office of Mayor. Under the present system San Francisco has elected a Mayor representing a majority vote only five times in the last eleven mayoral elections.

**Vote “Yes” on Proposition “D”**

Proposition “D” will achieve the desired effect that the city’s chief executive officer—that of Mayor—will be chosen by the approval of the majority of voters. It will eliminate the procedure that elects a candidate into the office of Mayor by a small percentage of the vote.

San Francisco is the only major city in California that still elects its Mayor by plurality. Other major cities—Los Angeles, Oakland, Sacramento, San Jose, San Diego—all have chosen the majority system providing a runoff election for Mayor.

**Vote “Yes” on Proposition “D”**

Proposition “D” will provide a workable method for majority election of the Mayor at a nominal cost in the case of a runoff election. The cost would be more than offset by the benefits that would be reaped by providing that the elected Mayor would represent the popular choice of the people and therefore would have the support of the majority of the people whom he represents. A Mayor voted into office with less than majority support is at a definite political disadvantage.

Evidence has confirmed that the belief that the runoff system would distinguish against the election of a minority candidate is unfounded, as evidenced by mayoralty elections throughout the country, and particularly the recent runoff election for Mayor in Los Angeles. The runoff election for Mayor would not favor any candidate, cause, or political party. What it would do, however, is assure that in the event of a runoff election for Mayor, the majority vote—not the plurality—would prevail.

It is time San Francisco changes its outdated electoral process and elects its Mayor by popular vote. Let the voice of the people be heard.

**Vote “Yes” on Proposition “D”**

Endorsed by:
Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor
Yerba Buena Democratic Club
Downtown Association of San Francisco
Joseph K. P. Armin, President, San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce
George Christopher
Leo T. McCarthy, Assemblyman

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Chinn, Feinstein, Kopp, Menelsonsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.
No: Francois.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

PHILIP P. ENGLER, Acting Clerk

**ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION D**

**Vote “No” on Proposition D**

Increasing Tax Rate Without City Benefit

Proposition D will double the cost of electing San Francisco’s Mayor, directly increasing the tax rate, with no benefit to the City—
at a time when there are unmet needs for child care, senior citizens, neighborhood art centers, adequate medical care in the jails and sub-standard municipal services of every kind. Controller's estimate: $300,000 in 1975; $360,000 in 1979; $420,000 in 1983, etc.

Vote "No" on Proposition D

Doubles the Costs of Running for Office
Proposition D will double the costs of running the office for Mayor, thereby cutting out candidates who do not want to be indebted to "big money" interests. Current federal level investigations have clearly demonstrated the corrupting influence of "big money". This must be avoided for the sake of honest government. Recently adopted local election reforms will not achieve this end.

Vote No on Proposition D

Low Voter Turnout
Special elections draw low voter turnout, therefore reducing the likelihood of truly democratic and representative elections. Results in six special elections held throughout California recently show a preference for candidates of one political party by 18 per cent although the party registration was actually 8 per cent less than the majority party.

Vote No on Proposition D

Passage Voids Districting Petition
According to the opinion of the San Francisco City Attorney, a technical conflict between this Charter amendment and Proposition K, the citizen's charter amendment creating district elections for Supervisors, voids the districting petition if this Charter amendment passes.

Vote No on Proposition D

RICHARD D. HONGISTO, Sheriff
City and County of San Francisco
Terry A. Francois, Supervisor
Civil Service Association of San Francisco—Local 400 SEIU
Flora Torrano, Secy-Treas. Torrano Realty
Edison Uno
Carlton Goodlett, M.D.
Louis Garcia
Alan Wong, Past-Pres. Chinese-American Democratic Club
Emory C. Curtis
Richard M. "Rick" Sims III
Carol Ruth Silver
Cecil C. "Bud" Curtis, Jr.
Franck R. Havenner Democratic Club

CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 9.112

PROPOSITION "D"

Adds Section 9.100-1 and amends various sections: Provides for a run-off election for office of Mayor if no candidate for said office receives a majority vote in the general municipal election.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, the increase in the cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco would be approximately $200,000 in 1975. Based on the 1973-1974 assessment roll, this estimated increase is equivalent to seventy-nine hundredths ($0.0079) of one cent in the tax rate.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco
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PROPOSITION E

Amends Section 8.509 and adds Section 8.536: Provides for an increase in certain retirement allowances of miscellaneous employees.

CHARTER AMENDMENT
PROPOSITION E

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 8.509 thereof and adding Section 8.536 thereto, relating to retirement benefits of "miscellaneous" officers and employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 6, 1973, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 8.509 thereof and adding Section 8.536 thereto, to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

8.509 Retirement—Miscellaneous Officers and Employees On and After July 1, 1947

Miscellaneous officers and employees, as defined in this section, who are members of the retirement system under this section of the charter on February 1, 1969, and persons who become miscellaneous officers and employees after February 1, 1969, shall be members of the retirement system, subject to the following provisions of this section, in addition to the provisions contained in sections 3.670, 3.672, 8.500, 8.510 and 8.520 of this charter notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the charter, provided that the retirement system shall be applied to persons employed on a part-time, temporary or substitute basis only as the board of supervisors shall determine by ordinance enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the board. Miscellaneous officers and employees of the said departments who are members of the retirement system under section 8.507 of the charter on February 1, 1969 shall continue to be members of the system under section 8.507 and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of this section, except as specifically provided in this section.

(A) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:

"Retirement allowance," or "allowance," shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.

"Compensation," as distinguished from benefits under the workmen's compensation laws of the State of California shall mean all remuneration whether in cash or by other allowances made by the city and county, for service qualifying for credit under this section.

"Compensation earnable" shall mean the compensation as deter-
minded by the retirement board, which would have been earned by the member had he worked, throughout the period under consideration, the average number of days ordinarily worked by persons in the same grade or class of positions as the positions held by him during such period and at the rate of pay attached to such positions, it being assumed that during any absence, he was in the position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to entering city-service he was in the position first held by him in city-service.

“Benefit” shall include “allowance,” “retirement allowance,” and “death benefit.”

“Average final compensation” shall mean the average monthly compensation earned by a member during any five consecutive years of credited service in the retirement system in which his average final compensation is the highest, unless the board of supervisors shall otherwise provide by ordinance enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the board.

For the purposes of the retirement system and of this section, the terms ‘miscellaneous officer or employee,” or “member,” as used in this section shall mean any officer or employee who is not a member of the fire or police departments as defined in the charter for the purpose of the retirement system, under section 8.507 of the charter.

“Retirement system” or “system” shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees’ Retirement System as created in section 8.500 of the charter.

“Retirement board” shall mean “retirement board” as created in section 3.070 of the charter.

“Charter” shall mean the charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural and the plural the singular.

“Interest” shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.

(B) Any member who completes at least twenty years of service in the aggregate credited in the retirement system and attains the age of (fifty-five) fifty years, or at least ten years of service in the aggregate credited in the retirement system, and attains the age of sixty years, said service to be computed under subsection (G) hereof, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of sixty-five years. A member retired after reaching the age of sixty years shall receive a service retirement allowance at the rate of 2 per cent of said average final compensation for each year of service; provided, however, that upon the compulsory retirement of a member upon his attainment of the age of sixty-five years, if the allowance available to such member pursuant to the provisions of subsection (F) of this section shall be greater in amount than the service retirement allowance otherwise payable to such member under this subsection (B), then such member shall receive as his service retirement allowance, in lieu of the allowance otherwise payable under this subsection (B), an allowance computed in accordance with the formula provided in said subsection (F). The service retirement allowance of any member retiring prior to attaining the
age of sixty years, after rendering twenty years or more of such service and having attained the age of ((fifty-five)) fifty years, computed under subsection (G), shall be (such as can be provided at the age of retirement by the actuarial value, at the age of retirement, of the retirement allowance to which he would be entitled upon retirement at age sixty and with the service credited at the date of actual retirement,) an allowance equal to the percentage of said average final compensation set forth opposite his age at retirement, taken to the preceding completed quarter year, for each year of service, computed under subsection (G):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at Retirement</th>
<th>Per cent for Each Year of Credited Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50¼</td>
<td>1.0250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50½</td>
<td>1.0500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50¾</td>
<td>1.0750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51¼</td>
<td>1.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51½</td>
<td>1.1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51¾</td>
<td>1.1750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52¼</td>
<td>1.2250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52½</td>
<td>1.2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52¾</td>
<td>1.2750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53¼</td>
<td>1.3250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53½</td>
<td>1.3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53¾</td>
<td>1.3750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54¼</td>
<td>1.4250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54½</td>
<td>1.4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54¾</td>
<td>1.4750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55¼</td>
<td>1.5250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55½</td>
<td>1.5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55¾</td>
<td>1.5750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56¼</td>
<td>1.6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56½</td>
<td>1.6500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56¾</td>
<td>1.6750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57¼</td>
<td>1.7250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57½</td>
<td>1.7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57¾</td>
<td>1.7750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58¼</td>
<td>1.8250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58½</td>
<td>1.8500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58¾</td>
<td>1.8750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59¼</td>
<td>1.9250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59½</td>
<td>1.9500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59¾</td>
<td>1.9750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In no event shall a member’s retirement allowance exceed seventy-five per cent of his average final compensation.

Before the first payment of a retirement allowance is made, a member retired under this subsection or subsection (C) of this section, may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance, partly in an allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar elections by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount, of such other benefits; provided, however, that at any time within 30 days after the date on which his compulsory retirement would otherwise have become effective, a member who has attained the age of 65 years may elect, without right of revocation, to withdraw his accumulated contributions, said election to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and filed at the office of said system and a member so electing shall be considered as having terminated his membership in said system on the date immediately preceding the date on which his compulsory retirement would otherwise have become effective and he shall be paid forthwith his accumulated contributions, with interest credited thereon. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 8.514 of this charter, the portion of service retirement allowance provided by the city and county’s contributions shall be not less than $100 per month upon retirement after thirty years of service and after attaining the age of sixty years, and provided further that as to any member within fifteen years or more of service at the compulsory retirement age of sixty-five, the portion of the service retirement allowance provided by the city and county’s contribution shall be such that the total retirement allowance shall not be less than $100 per month. In the calculations under this subsection of the retirement allowance of a member having credit for service in a position in the evening schools and service in any other position, separate retirement allowances shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed for each class of service, the average final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided that the aggregate retirement allowance shall be taken into account in applying the provisions of this subsection providing for a minimum retirement allowance. Part time service and compensation shall be reduced to full time service and compensation in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors, and when so reduced shall be applied on full time service and compensation in the calculation of retirement allowances.

(C) Any member who becomes incapacitated for performance of duty because of disability determined by the retirement board to be of extended and uncertain duration, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service credited in the retirement system in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (G) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and ((one-half)) eight-tenths per cent of the average final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof for each year of credited service, if such retirement allowance exceeds ((one-third (⅓))) forty per cent of his average final compensation; otherwise one and ((one-half (½))) eight-tenths per cent of his average final compensation multiplied by the
number of years of city-service which would be credited to him were such city-service to continue until attainment by him of age sixty, but such retirement allowance shall not exceed ((one-third \( \frac{1}{3} \))) forty per cent of such average final compensation. In the calculation under this subsection of the retirement allowance of a member having credit for service in a position in the evening schools and service in any other position, separate retirement allowances shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed, for each class of service, the average final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided that the average final compensation upon which the minimum total retirement allowance is calculated in such case shall be based on the compensation earnable by the member in the classes of service rendered by him during the ((five (5) years)) one (1) year immediately preceding his retirement. Part time service and compensation shall be reduced to full time service and compensation in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors, and when so reduced shall be applied as full time service and compensation in the calculation of retirement allowances. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of any commission or board, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to service in the position or classification he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(D) No modification of benefits provided in this section shall be made because of any amounts payable to or on account of any member under workmen's compensation laws of the State of California.

(E) If a member shall die, before his retirement, regardless of cause:

(1) If no benefit is payable under subdivision (2) of this subsection (E), a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary consisting of the compensation earnable by him during the six months immediately preceding death, plus his contributions and interest credited thereon.

(2) If, at the date of his death, he was qualified for service retirement by reason of service and age under the provisions of subsection (B) of this section, and he has designated as beneficiary his surviving spouse, who was married to him for at least one full year immediately prior to the date of his death, one-half of the retirement allowance to which the member would have been entitled if he had retired for service on the date of his death shall be paid to such surviving spouse who was his designated beneficiary at the date of his death, until such spouse's death or remarriage, or if there be no surviving spouse, to the unmarried child or children of such member under the age of eighteen years, collectively, until every such child dies, marries or attains the age of eighteen years, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. If, at the death of such surviving spouse, who was receiving an allowance under this subdivision (2), there be one or more unmarried children of such member under the age of eighteen years, such allowance shall continue to such child or children, collectively, until every such child dies, marries or attains the age of eighteen years, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. If the total of the payments of
allowance made pursuant to this subdivision (2) is less than the bene-
fit which was otherwise payable under subdivision (1) of this sub-
section, the amount of said benefit payable under subdivision (1) less
an amount equal to the total of the payments of allowance made pur-
suant to this subdivision (2) shall be paid in a lump sum as follows:
(a) If the person last entitled to said allowance is the remarried
surviving spouse of such member, to such spouse.
(b) Otherwise, to the surviving children of the member, share
and share alike, or if there are no such children, to the estate of the
person last entitled to said allowance.
The surviving spouse may elect, on a form provided by the retire-
ment system and filed in the office of the retirement system before
the first payment of the allowance provided herein, to receive the
benefit provided in subdivision (1) of this subsection in lieu of the
allowance which otherwise would be payable under the provisions
of this subdivision. If a surviving spouse, who was entitled to make
the election herein provided, shall die before or after making such
election but before receiving any payment pursuant to such election,
then the legally appointed guardian of the unmarried children of the
member under the age of eighteen years may make the election here-
in provided before any benefit has been paid under this subsection
(E), for and on behalf of such children if in his judgment it appears
to be in their interest and advantage, and the election so made shall
be binding and conclusive upon all parties in interest.
If any person other than such surviving spouse shall have and be
paid a community property interest in any portion of any benefit
provided under this subsection (E), any allowance payable under
this subdivision (2) shall be reduced by the actuarial equivalent, at
the date of the member's death, of the amount of benefits paid to such
other person.
Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of
the cause of death, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or design-
nated beneficiary in the manner and subject to the conditions pre-
scribed by the board of supervisors for the payment of a similar
death benefit upon the death of other retired members.
(F) Should any miscellaneous member cease to be employed as
such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement, all
of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded
to him subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of super-
visors to cover similar terminations of employment and reemploy-
ment with and without redeposit of withdrawn accumulated con-
tributions of other members of the retirement system, provided that
if such member is entitled to be credited with at least ten years of
service or if his accumulated contributions exceed one thousand
dollars ($1,000), he shall have the right to elect, without right of
revocation and within 90 days after said termination of service, or if
the termination was by lay-off, 90 days after the retirement board
determines the termination to be permanent, whether to allow his
accumulated contributions to remain in the retirement fund and to
receive benefits only as provided in this paragraph. Failure to make
such election shall be deemed an irrevocable election to withdraw
his accumulated contributions. A person who elects to allow his accu-
cumulated contributions to remain in the retirement fund shall be
subject to the same age requirements as apply to other members
under this section for service retirement but he shall not be subject to a minimum service requirement. Upon the qualification of such member for retirement by reason of age, he shall be entitled to receive a retirement allowance which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions and an equal amount of the contributions of the city and county, plus 1½ per cent of his average final compensation for each year of service credited to him as rendered prior to his first membership in the retirement system. Upon the death of such member prior to retirement, his contributions with interest credited thereon shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary.

(G) The following time and service shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement and calculating benefits:

(1) Time during which said member is a member of the retirement system and during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a miscellaneous officer or employee.

(2) Service in the fire and police departments which is not credited as service of a member under this section shall count under this section upon transfer of a member of either of such departments to employment entitling him to membership in the retirement system under this section, provided that the accumulated contribution standing to the credit of such member shall be adjusted by refund to the member or by payment of the member to bring the account at the time of such transfer to the amount which would have been credited to it had the member been a miscellaneous employee throughout the period of his service in either of such departments at the compensation he received in such departments.

(3) Time during which said member is absent from a status included in paragraphs (1) or (2) next preceding which is not deemed absence from service under the provisions of section 8.520 of the charter and for which such member is entitled to receive credit as service for the city and county by virtue of contributions made in accordance with the provisions of such section.

(4) Prior service determined and credited as prescribed by the board of supervisors for persons who are members under section 8.507.

(5) The board of supervisors, by ordinance enacted by a three-fourths vote of its members, may provide for the crediting as service under the retirement system of service, other than military service, rendered as an employee of the federal government and service rendered as an employee of the State of California or any public entity or public agency in the State of California. Said ordinance shall provide that all contributions required as the result of the crediting of such service shall be made by the member and that no contributions therefor shall be required of the city and county.

(H) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

(1) The rate of contribution of each member under this section shall be based on his nearest age at the effective date of his membership in the retirement system. The normal rate of contribution of each member, to be effective from the effective date of membership
under this section, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption, under subsection (B) of this section, one-half of that portion of the service retirement allowance to which he would be entitled if retired at age sixty or higher age after rendering ten years of service for retirement under that subsection. No adjustment shall be included in said rates because of time during which members have contributed at different rates. Members’ rates of contributions shall be changed only in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for changing contribution rates of other members.

(2) There shall be deducted from each payment of compensation made to a member under this section, a sum determined by applying the member’s rate of contribution to such compensation. Amounts which would have been deducted in the absence of the limit on such deductions according to service credited, shall be paid to the retirement system following the removal of such limit, in manners and at times approved by the retirement board. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsections (E) and (F) of this section, provided that the portion of the salaries of the teachers as provided in section 8.507, paragraph (a), as a basis for fixing the contributions to be made, and the benefits to be received, by the teachers under the retirement system shall be determined by the method provided in section 8.507, paragraph (a) and shall not be less than eighty per cent of the total salary received by the teachers, unless the board of supervisors shall otherwise provide by ordinance enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the board.

(3) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1) and (3) of subsection (G), and deducted prior to July 1, 1947, from compensation of persons who become members under this section, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.

(4) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, on July 1, 1948, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(5) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (H), to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to
the date upon which his rate of contribution is determined in para-
graph (1), subsection (H), shall not be less during any fiscal year
than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such con-
tributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits
hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective
members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence,
shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid
in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to
the total salaries paid during said year, to persons who are members
under this section, said percentage to be the ratio of the value of the
effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial
valuation and investigation into the experience under the system, of
the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contribu-
tions of the city and county, less the amount of such contributions,
and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said system to
provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective
member after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the
value at said respective dates of salaries thereafter payable to said
members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall
take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contribu-
tions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities
of separation by all causes, of members from service before retire-
ment and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed
only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investi-
gation into the experience under the system. Said actuarial valuation
shall be made every even-numbered year and said investigation into
the experience under the system shall be made every odd-numbered
year.

Notwithstanding the provisions of this subdivision (5), any addi-
tional liabilities created by the amendments of this Section 8.509 con-
tained in the proposition therefore submitted to the electorate on
November 6, 1973, shall be amortized over a period of 30 years.

(6) To promote the stability of the retirement system through a
joint participation in the result of variations in the experience under
mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of
both members and the city and county held by the system to provide
the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all
other assets of said system are included. Nothing in the section shall
affect the obligations of the city and county to pay to the retirement
system any amounts which may or shall become due under the pro-
visions of the charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which
are represented on July 1, 1947, in the accounts of said system by
debits against the city and county.

(I) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in sub-
section (B) of this section as requisite to retirement, a member shall
be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the pro-
visions of said subsection (B), and nothing shall deprive said member
of said right.

(J) No person retired under this section, for service or disability
and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement
system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city
and county service, including membership on boards and commis-
sions, nor shall such persons receive any payment for service ren-
dered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

(K) Any section or part of any section in this charter, insofar as it should conflict with this section, or with any part thereof, shall be superseded by the contents of this section. In the event that any word, phrase, clause or subsection of this section shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

(L) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsections (B), (C), (F) and (I) of this section, any member convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude committed in connection with his duties as an officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, shall, upon his removal from office or employment pursuant to the provisions of this charter, forfeit all rights to any benefits under the retirement system except refund of his accumulated contributions; provided, however, that if such member is qualified for service retirement by reason of service and age under the provisions of subsection (B) of this section, he shall have the right to elect, without right of revocation and within 90 days after his removal from office or employment, whether to withdraw all of his accumulated contributions or to receive as his sole benefit under the retirement system an annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of such removal from office or employment.

(M) The amendments of this section contained in the proposition therefore submitted to the electorate on November 6, 1973, shall be effective on the first day of the month next following their ratification by the State Legislature. Said amendments do not and shall not increase any allowance first in effect prior to the effective date of said amendments, nor shall they give any person retired prior to said effective date, or his successors in interest, any claim against the city and county for any increase in any retirement allowance paid or payable for time prior to said effective date.

8.536 Increasing Retirement Allowances of Miscellaneous Officers and Employees Retired on or after July 1, 1947, and Prior to July 1, 1974

(a) Every retirement allowance payable to or on account of a member who retired for service under the provisions of subsection (B) of section 8.509 of this charter on or after July 1, 1947 and prior to July 1, 1974, after having attained the age of sixty (60) years is hereby increased for time commencing on July 1, 1974, to the amount it would have been if such allowance had been computed, on the date such retirement allowance was first effective, on the basis of two (2) per cent of such member's average final compensation for each year of credited service.

(b) Every retirement allowance payable to or on account of a member who retired for service under the provisions of subsection (B) of section 8.509 of this charter on or after July 1, 1947 and prior to July 1, 1974, prior to having attained the age of sixty (60) years is hereby increased for time commencing on July 1, 1974, to the amount it would have been if such allowance had been computed, on the date such retirement allowance was first effective, on the basis of the per cent of such member's average final compensation for each year of credited service as is set forth in the following table opposite his age at retirement, taken to the preceding completed quarter year:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at Retirement</th>
<th>Per Cent for Each Year of Credited Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55¼</td>
<td>1.5250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55½</td>
<td>1.5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55¾</td>
<td>1.5750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56¼</td>
<td>1.6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56½</td>
<td>1.6500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56¾</td>
<td>1.6750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57¼</td>
<td>1.7250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57½</td>
<td>1.7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57¾</td>
<td>1.7750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58¼</td>
<td>1.8250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58½</td>
<td>1.8500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58¾</td>
<td>1.8750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59¼</td>
<td>1.9250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59½</td>
<td>1.9500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59¾</td>
<td>1.9750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In no event shall a member's retirement allowance, as increased under the provisions of paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, exceed seventy-five per cent of his average final compensation.

(c) Every retirement allowance payable to or on account of a member who retired for disability under the provisions of subsection (C) of section 8.509 of this charter on or after July 1, 1947 and prior to July 1, 1974, is hereby increased for time commencing on July 1, 1974, to the amount it would have been if such allowance had been computed, on the date such retirement allowance was first effective, as follows:

(1) On the basis of one and eight-tenths per cent of such member's average final compensation for each year of credited service, if such retirement allowance exceeds forty (40) per cent of his said average final compensation;

(2) If such retirement allowance, as increased does not exceed forty (40) per cent of such member's average final compensation, the increase provided under this section shall be computed on the basis of one and eight-tenths per cent of his average final compensation multiplied by the number of years of city-service which would be credited to him were such city-service to continue until attainment by him of the age of sixty years; provided, however, that such retirement allowance shall not exceed forty (40) per cent of his said average final compensation.

This section does not give any person retired under the provisions of section 8.509, or his successors in interest, any claim against the city and county for any increase in any retirement allowance paid or payable for time prior to July 1, 1974.

Any increase in any retirement allowance resulting from the re-calculation provided for in this section shall be disregarded in connection with any adjustment of retirement allowances pursuant to the provisions of section 8.526 of this charter.


I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION E

Proposition "E" is a Charter amendment designed to improve retirement and pension benefits for San Francisco's School Teachers and Miscellaneous Employees. If approved, it will be the first major improvement in retirement benefits for School Teachers and Miscellaneous Employees since 1947.

1947 to 1973—a period of 26 years, in which School Teachers and Miscellaneous Employees have enjoyed no major improvements in retirement and pension benefits. On the other hand, thousands upon thousands of employees in both private industry and public service have enjoyed substantial retirement and pension benefit improvements.

In private industry, as reported by them California Department of Industrial Relations—July 1970, 1,400,000 workers in the State of California are covered by pension plans in which employers pay the full cost of pension benefits. Compare this with San Francisco, where School Teachers and Miscellaneous Employees pay a substantial share of the cost of retirement benefits. Whereas, since 1947 employees in private industry have achieved full employer-paid pension plans, School Teachers and Miscellaneous Employees have experienced increased retirement costs, and with no improvements in basic benefits.

Consider also that Governor Reagan, on June 21, 1971, signed into law legislation granting substantial improvements in retirement benefits for over 400,000 public employees in State service and in other counties, cities and school districts in California. State and other public employees now enjoy retirement benefits not available to San Francisco's School Teachers and Miscellaneous Employees.

Proposition "E" will correct this inequity by granting School Teachers and Miscellaneous Employees a modest, but well-deserved increase in retirement benefits.

At the same time, Proposition "E" will afford a small, but essential, increase in retirement allowance to retired employees. Proposition "E" will recompute retired employees' allowances on the basis of the same percentage factor used to compute retirement allowances for active employees. The retired employees have had to bear the full force of our runaway inflation and the recent large increase in the cost of living, while trying to exist on a small, fixed retirement income. These retired employees deserve immediate relief of a serious financial plight.

Vote Yes on Proposition "E". It is a fair, reasonable and deserving proposition. School Teachers, Police Officers, Fire Fighters and Miscellaneous Employees urge you to vote Yes on Proposition "E".

Vote Yes on Proposition "E"
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Endorsed by:
Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor
Civil Service Association—Local 400, SEIU (AFL-CIO)
Hospital and Institutional Workers' Union, Local 250, AFL-CIO
Civil Service Fire DIem Men's Association of San Francisco
Civil Service Building Maintenance Union, Local No. 88A, AFL-CIO
Retired Employees of the City and County of San Francisco, Inc.
San Francisco Labor Council, AFL-CIO
John F. Crowley, Secretary-Treasurer, San Francisco Labor Council
Municipal Improvement League
San Francisco Fire Fighters Local 798
Jerry Crowley, President, San Francisco Police Officers Association
Retirement Board, City and County of San Francisco
Franck Roberts Havenner Democratic Club
Terrance Ryan, Member: 23rd Assembly District,
San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Feinstein, Francois, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.
I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 9.112
PROPOSITION "E"

Amends Section 8.509 and adds Section 8.536: Provides for an increase in certain retirement allowances of miscellaneous employees.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, based on a report submitted by the Retirement System, the cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco would be increased by approximately $7,636,640 annually. Based on the 1973-1974 assessment roll, this estimated annual increase is equivalent to thirty and three tenths ($0.303) cents in the tax rate.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION F

Adds Section 7.403.1: Authorizes transfer of City and County real property to Federal Government for inclusion in Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

CHARTER AMENDMENT
PROPOSITION F

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco by adding Section 7.403.1 thereto, relating to the transfer of park and other lands to the National Park Service of the United States Department of the Interior for inclusion in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an
election to be held therein on November 6, 1973, a proposal to amend
the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 7.403.1 thereto,
so that the same shall read as follows:

7.403.1 Transfer of Park and Other Lands to the National Park Serv-
ice of the United States Department of the Interior

(a) Upon approval by the recreation and park commission, the
board of supervisors may by ordinance authorize transfer by deed to
the National Park Service of the United States Department of the
Interior for inclusion in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area
as presently defined and delimited by Public Law 92-589; 86 Stat.
1299, of any interest which the City and County of San Francisco has
in lands restricted to use for recreation or park purposes or other-
wise under the exclusive control, management or direction of the
recreation and park commission, except the premises and grounds
of the Palace of the Legion of Honor and Lincoln Park Golf Course,
provided that said deed shall be executed under the restriction that
said lands be reserved by the National Park Service of the United
States Department of the Interior in perpetuity for recreation or
park purposes with a right of reversion upon breach of said restric-
tion, and provided further that said transfer shall be executed under
such conditions and restrictions as shall prevent the reversion of any
portion of said lands to any person or entity other than the City and
County of San Francisco.

(b) Upon approval of the officer, board or commission in charge
of the department responsible for the administration of any interest
which the City and County of San Francisco has in property not
referred to in subsection (a), the board of supervisors may by ordi-
nance authorize transfer of such interest by deed to the National
Park Service of the United States Department of the Interior for
inclusion in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area as presently
defined and delimited by Public Law 92-589; 86 Stat. 1299, provided
that said deed shall be executed under the restriction that said lands
be reserved by the National Park Service of the United States De-
partment of the Interior in perpetuity for recreation or park pur-
poses with a right of reversion upon breach of said restriction, and
provided further that said transfer shall be executed under such
conditions and restrictions as shall prevent the reversion of any por-
tion of said lands to any person or entity other than the City and
County of San Francisco.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Sep. 4,

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Chinn, Feinstein, Francois, Gon-
zales, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was
ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and
County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION F

Vote Yes on Proposition F

This Charter Amendment, Proposition “F,” is a technical resolu-
tion allowing the City and County of San Francisco to transfer city
lands to the Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The GGNRA is a national urban park established in 1972 by Congress to preserve 34,000 acres of land and water in San Francisco and Marin for recreational use by all citizens. However, to manage this park well, it must be under one jurisdiction. By unifying all these lands under one agency, a superb twelve mile waterfront can be managed by the National Park Service for San Franciscans and all Americans.

Will Not Raise Your Taxes

This Amendment:
Will not affect Golden Gate Park in any way; it will continue to be managed by the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department.
Will not raise your taxes.
Will not affect the administration of the U.S. Sixth Army’s Presidio.

Support a National Recreation Area on your doorstep! Vote for improved park maintenance, better park facilities, better park protection, and better parks in our neighborhoods. Vote Yes on Proposition “F.”

This Amendment is vigorously supported by the following individuals and groups representing the broadest spectrum of political opinion.

Endorsed by:
Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor
Congressman Phillip Burton
Congressman William S. Mailliard
Thomas J. Mellon
Senator Milton Marks
Senator George R. Moscone
Willie L. Brown, Jr., Assemblyman
John L. Burton, Assemblyman
John Francis Foran, Assemblyman
Leo T. McCarthy, Assemblyman
Ronald Pelosi, President, Board of Supervisors
John L. Molinari, Member, Board of Supervisors
Recreation and Park Commission
Loris De Grazia, President
Carmen J. Dominguez, Vice President
Eugene L. Friend, Member
C. R. Johnson, Member
Mrs. J. Eugene McAteer, Member
Elvin C. Stendell, Member
George P. Thomas, Member
Joseph Caverly, General Manager, Recreation and Park Department
Marina Civic Improvement & Property Owners Association
Lt. General William F. Train
Dr. Harry B. Hambly
Virginia Fusco
People for a Golden Gate National Recreation Area
Edgar Wayburn, M.D., Chairman
Amy Meyer, Co-Chairman
Diane Hunter, San Francisco Chairman
San Francisco Bay Area Council
San Francisco Bay Chapter of the Sierra Club
San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council
San Francisco Tomorrow
Yerba Buena Democratic Club
Joseph K. P. Armin, President, S. F. Junior Chamber of Commerce
Ruth Asawa
Earl M. Blauner
William M. Brinton
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Ayes: Supervisors Feinstein, Francois, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinaro, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

PROPOSITION G

Adds Section 7.308: Authorizes issuance of bonds to provide funding of loan program to assist property owners to rehabilitate property in designated rehabilitation assistance areas.

CHARTER AMENDMENT
PROPOSITION G

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 7.308 thereto, relating to bonds for residential rehabilitation assistance.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at an election to be held therein on November 6, 1973, a proposal to
amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 7.308 thereto, reading as follows:

7.308 Bonds for Residential Rehabilitation and Assistance

Notwithstanding any other provision of this charter, the board of supervisors may by ordinance from time to time authorize the issuance of bonds to establish a fund for the purpose of making loans to assist property owners with the rehabilitation of property in areas which shall be designated in advance by the board of supervisors as rehabilitation assistance areas or for the purpose of refunding such bonds. The issuance of such bonds shall be pursuant to procedures adopted by ordinance of the board of supervisors. The repayment of principal, interest and other charges on such loans to property owners, together with such other moneys as the board of supervisors may, in its discretion, make available therefor, shall be the sole source of funds pledged by the city and county for repayment of such bonds. Bonds issued under the provisions of this section shall not be deemed to constitute a debt or liability of the City and County of San Francisco or a pledge of the faith and credit of the City and County of San Francisco, but shall be payable solely from the funds specified in this section. The issuance of such bonds shall not directly, indirectly, or contingently obligate the board of supervisors to levy or to pledge any form of taxation whatever therefor or to make any appropriation for their payment.


I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION G

Vote Yes on Proposition G

The passage of Proposition “G” will set into motion a city-sponsored neighborhood conservation program at no cost to the taxpayer.

It will authorize the City of San Francisco by the sale of bonds to establish a “Rehabilitation Loan Fund”. The Board of Supervisors and the Mayor have approved a plan that will make available to property owners low-interest, long-term property improvement loans. This unique, far-reaching program will not compete with private industry. It will be an arrangement between the City and the banking sector and the City and property owners.

The banking industry in San Francisco has agreed to lend the City at least Twenty Million Dollars at interest rates far below the market rate. The City, in turn, under the Rehabilitation Assistance Program, will use these funds to make low-interest, long-term loans to property owners in neighborhoods designated by the Board of Supervisors. These loans, unavailable elsewhere, will be made to property owners solely for the purposes of rehabilitating and up-gradining their property.

Vote Yes on Proposition “G”
One of the most important factors that has led to neighborhood decay and housing deterioration has been the unavailability of loans in most of our neighborhoods for property improvements. Residential loan assistance will improve housing and neighborhood quality. It will preserve the unique architecture of our older homes and neighborhoods and will assure safe, comfortable, and sanitary housing conditions.

**Vote Yes on Proposition “G”**

Passage of Proposition “G” means neighborhood conservation through improvement loans that the homeowner can afford. Low-interest rehabilitation loans are urgently needed by the homeowner, particularly low-to-moderate income groups, in order to improve, preserve, and protect homes and property.

Housing rehabilitation is the salvation of our declining neighborhoods. A “Yes” vote will stop neighborhood deterioration by making an economically prudent form of financial assistance available through long-term, low-interest loans to property owners in San Francisco, at no cost to the tax payers.

Endorsed by:
Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor
San Francisco Labor Council, AFL-CIO
John F. Crowley, Secretary-Treasurer, San Francisco Labor Council
Joseph K. P. Armin, President, San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce
Leo T. McCarthy, Assemblyman

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Chinn, Feinstein, Francois, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

PHILIP P. ENGLER, Acting Clerk

---

**PROPOSITION H**

Amends Section 8.569: Includes certain pilots and marine engineers of fireboats as members of Fire Department for retirement purposes.

---

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

**PROPOSITION H**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 8.569 thereof, to provide that certain pilots of fireboats and marine engineers of fireboats shall be included as “members of the Fire Department” for purposes of the Retirement System.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 6, 1973, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 8.569 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:
NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

8.569 Definitions

The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:

“Retirement allowance,” “death allowance” or “allowance,” shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, or upon the day following the date of death, as the case may be, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.

“Compensation,” as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen’s Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the city and county, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the fire department, but excluding remuneration paid for overtime.

“Compensation earnable” shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached at that time to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the fire department, he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.

“Benefit” shall include “allowance,” “retirement allowance,” “death allowance” and “death benefit.”

“Final compensation” shall mean the monthly compensation earnable by a member at the time of his retirement, or death before retirement, as the case may be, at the rate of remuneration attached at that time to the rank or position which said member held, provided that said member has held said rank or position for at least one year immediately prior to said retirement or death; and provided, further, that if said member has not held said rank or position for at least one year immediately prior to said retirement or death, “final compensation,” as to such member, shall mean the monthly compensation earnable by such member in the rank or position next lower to the rank or position which he held at the time of retirement or death at the rate of remuneration attached at the time of said retirement or death to said next lower rank or position; provided, however, that in the case of a member’s death before retirement as the result of a violent traumatic injury received in the performance of his duty, “final compensation,” as to such member shall mean the monthly compensation earnable by such member at the rate of remuneration attached on the date he receives such injury to the rank or position held by such member on that date.

The amendment of the definition of “final compensation” contained in the proposition therefore submitted to the electorate on June 6, 1972, shall be retroactive and shall be applicable to any death allowance first effective on or after July 1, 1971. Said amendment does not and shall not increase any death allowance first in effect
prior to July 1, 1971, nor shall said amendment give any person receiving a death allowance, or his successors in interest any claim against the city and county for any increase in any death allowance paid or payable for time prior to July 1, 1971.

For the purpose of the retirement system and of this section, the terms "member of the fire department," "member of the department," or "member" shall mean any officer or employee of the fire department, excluding such officers and employees as are members of the retirement system under section 8.56 of the charter, who was or shall be subject to the charter provisions governing entrance requirements of members of the uniformed force of said department, and said terms further shall mean, from the effective date of their employment in said department, persons employed on July 1, 1949, or employed thereafter, regardless of age, to perform the duties performed under the titles of pilot of fireboats or marine engineer of fireboats, or employed after (said date) July 1, 1949, at an age not greater than the maximum age then prescribed for entrance into employment in said uniformed force, to perform the duties now performed by members of the salvage corps in the fire department, or duties now performed under the (titles of pilot of fireboats, marine engineer of fireboats, marine fireman of fireboats, or) title of hydrant-gatem an. Any fire service performed by such member of the fire department outside the limits of the city and county and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as city and county service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the retirement system.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in section 8.500 of the charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board" as created in section 3.670 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.


Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Chinn, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

No: Supervisor Kopp.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION H

Vote Yes on Proposition H

In a previous election, the citizens of San Francisco voted "to take" over the Port of San Francisco.

There are three men in the San Francisco Fire Department who
hold the rank of Marine Engineer. These men wear the uniform, are subject to all the rules and respond to fires.

In the event the fireboat is “put out of service”, these men would have to report to a firehouse to perform duties of a firefighter.

They are in fact firefighters for all purposes except retirement. For three one hundredths of a cent (.03) you can correct this injustice.

Endorsed by:
Joseph L. Alloto, Mayor
Morris Bernstein, Fire Commissioner
Keith P. Calden, Chief of the San Francisco Fire Department
San Francisco Labor Council, AFL-CIO
Joseph Belardi, President, San Francisco Labor Council
John F. Crowley, Secretary-Treasurer, San Francisco Labor Council
Gerald A. Crowley, President, San Francisco Police Officers Association
Jim Dimitratos, Business Representative, Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Herman Eimers, President, Building Service Employees No. 87
David Jenkins, International Longshoreman's & Warehousemen's Union
Stanley Jensen, Directing Business Representative, Bay Area District Lodge 115, International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers
James King, Secretary-Treasurer, Veteran Firemen's Association of S. F.
Leon Olson, President, Bay Area Typographical Union No. 21
Dave Ratto, Vice President, San Francisco Web Pressman's Union Local 4
Sailors' Union of the Pacific
Daniel F. Del Carlo, Secretary-Treasurer, San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council


Ayes: Supervisors Francois, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO
CHARTER SECTION 9.112
PROPOSITION “H”

Amends Section 8.569: Includes certain pilots and marine engineers of fireboats as members of Fire Department for retirement purposes.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, based on a report by the Retirement System and the composition of the present membership, the annual increase in the cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco would be approximately $6,535. Based on the 1973-1974 assessment roll, $6,535 is equivalent to three hundredths ($0.003) of one cent in the tax rate.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION I

Amends various sections relating to Civil Service procedures involving qualifications of applicants, protests of test items and eligible lists, duration of eligible lists, temporary and emergency appointments and dismissal during probationary period.
CHARTER AMENDMENT
PROPOSITION I

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Sections 8.100, 8.320, 8.322, 8.323, 8.330, 8.332 and 8.340, relating to Civil Service procedures involving qualifications of applicants, protests of test items, eligible lists, appointment and disciplinary procedures.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on November 6, 1973, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Sections 8.100, 8.320, 8.322, 8.323, 8.330, 8.332 and 8.340 thereof, to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

8.100 Qualifications
(a) No person shall be a candidate for any elective office nor shall be appointed as a member of any board or commission unless he shall have been a resident of the city and county for a period of at least five years and an elector thereof for at least one year immediately prior to the time of his taking office, unless otherwise specifically provided in this charter, and every elected officer and member of any board or commission shall continue to be a resident of the city and county during incumbency of office, and upon ceasing to be such resident, shall be removed from office.

(b) Except for those offices and positions and officers and employees specifically provided for in this section and other sections of the charter, the residential qualifications and requirements for all officers and employees and all offices and positions in the city and county service shall be as provided by ordinance of the board of supervisors.

(((c) All officers and employees of the city and county shall be citizens of the United States.)))

8.320 Qualifications of Applicants
(a) Any ((citizen)) person having the qualifications prescribed by section 8.100 of this charter may submit himself for any examination under conditions established by the civil service commission. Provided, however, applicants for positions as motormen, conductor or bus operator on the municipal railway need not be residents of the city and county at the time of application, examination or appointment, but must become residents within the meaning of section 8.100 within a reasonable time, not to exceed six months, after completion of the probationary period provided in section 8.340.

(b) Applicants for entrance positions in the uniformed force of the fire department shall not be less than nineteen years of age at the time of taking the examination, nor less than twenty years of age or more han thirty-two years of age at the time of appointment and shall have the physical qualifications required for enlistment in ((the United States Army, Navy and Marine Corps)) any of the armed forces of the United States.

(e) Applicants for entrance positions in the uniformed force of the police department shall not be less than twenty years of age at
the time of taking the examination, nor less than twenty-one years of age or more than thirty-five years of age at the time of appointment and shall have the physical qualifications required for enlistment in (the United States Army, Navy and Marine Corps) any of the armed forces of the United States.

(d) The commission shall advertise ((in the official paper the time, place and general scope of all examinations for entrance into the public service)) and may take further appropriate means to interest suitable applicants. When examinations for promotions are to be held, the commission shall give notice thereof to all persons in positions entitling them under the civil service rules, to participate in such examination, by posting information thereof in the office of the commission for a period of ten days and notifying the office, agency, or department concerned.

8.322 Protest of Written Questions and Answers

After the written portion of a civil service examination has been held, and prior to the scoring thereof, the questions used and the answers thereto shall be ((made)) available for review by the participants. This review period shall not apply to questions and answers on any continuous or standardized entrance or concurrent entrance and promotive written test. During the review period, participants shall have an opportunity to protest questions or answers they believe to be incorrect or improper. ((, and for this purpose shall be permitted to bring to the place of review such written authorities as they may desire to assist them in the preparation of their protests.)) The written portion of the examination shall not be scored until all protested items have been acted on ((by the civil service commission)) and an official rating key has been adopted. After the ((official)) rating key has been adopted, ((the examination papers have been scored)) and the identification sheets ((of the participants)) have been opened, ((so that the identity of the participant is known, the civil service commission shall not make any changes in the examination questions or answers)) no further changes in the rating key shall be made.

8.323 Protest of Tentative List of Eligibles

((After the civil service commission has prepared and posted a tentative list of eligibles, arranged in order to relative excellence, as the result of any examination held by said commission, all examination papers, questions and answers, and all marks and grades given on any test given in said examination shall be open to public inspection, provided that the identity of the examiner giving any mark or grade in an oral test shall not be disclosed; and provided further that the commission may require the payment of a fee of not more than one dollar ($1.) for the inspection of all of the papers relating to the examination of any one person participating in said examination; and provided further that a participant may examine his own examination papers without charge. The civil service commission shall have power to correct any error which in its judgment may have occurred in the rating of any participant in said examination, and to alter said posted tentative list of eligibles and to make changes accordingly therein. The civil service commission shall provide for a reasonable period of time for such inspection, but not less than three (3) working

85
days for entrance examinations nor less than five (5) working days for promotive examinations nor more than two (2) calendar weeks for either entrance or promotive examinations. If no protests are received during the time limits provided by the civil service commission for such inspection, the tentative list of eligibles shall be given immediate final approval and adoption. If any protests are filed within the inspection period provided by the civil service commission, the investigation and action on such protests shall be expedited to the end that final approval and adoption of the eligible list may be made at the earliest possible time, provided that in no event shall such final approval and adoption be delayed beyond sixty (60) days after the date of posting of the tentative list of eligibles.)

((The civil service commission by rules shall establish procedures for the review of written tests and the inspection of examination papers, as herein provided, for the maintenance of the security of examination material and for the protection of the public interest.)

Following the completion of any examination, a tentative list of eligibles shall be posted for the inspection of the public and of participants. The posting period shall be for a minimum of three (3) working days for entrance examinations or five (5) working days for promotional examinations. During this period the civil service commission may charge a fee of one dollar ($1.) for the inspection of the papers of any one eligible, which fee is waived for eligibles who wish to inspect their own papers. Inspection of papers shall include all documents supporting the eligible's rank and score, except neither the identity of the examiner giving any mark or grade in an oral examination or the questions and answers on any continuous or standardized entrance or concurrent entrance and promotive written test, shall be provided. The civil service commission shall receive any protests concerning ratings during the posting period for the purpose of correcting errors. If no protests are received during the posting period, the eligible list is automatically adopted. If protests are received, the investigation and action of the civil service commission shall be expedited so that final adoption of the eligible list is not delayed beyond sixty (60) days after the date of posting.

8.330 Duration of Lists of Eligibles

The civil service commission may remove all names from the list of eligibles after they have remained thereon for more than two years and all names thereon shall be removed at the expiration of four years. The commission may, however, provide in the (scope-circular of any examination that) examination announcement that the list of eligibles secured thereby shall automatically expire at a date not less than two or more than four years after the adoption of such list.

8.332 Temporary and Emergency Appointments

When no list of eligibles exists or no eligible is available on an existing list for a position in the class requisitioned by the appointing officer, and immediate service in the position is required by the appointing officer and another list exists which is deemed by the civil service commission to be suitable to provide temporarily the service desired, the commission shall certify for civil service temporary appointment an eligible from such list; if no such other list deemed by the commission to be suitable exists, the commission pursuant to its rules may authorize the appointing officer to make a non-civil service or emer-
gency appointment thereto for a period not exceeding ((ninety)) one hundred and thirty working days. Non-civil service or emergency appointments extended beyond ninety days must be approved by the civil service commission. Such non-civil service or emergency appointment, however, shall cease prior to the expiration of such ((ninety)) one hundred and thirty working days at the time a civil service eligible reports for duty as provided in section 8.329 of the charter.

If a list of eligibles exists for the position requisitioned, but immediate service is deemed necessary by the appointing officer pending the time an eligible from such list is certified and reports for duty as provided in section 8.329 of the charter, the commission may authorize the appointing officer to make a non-civil service or emergency appointment thereto for a period not exceeding thirty working days. Such non-civil service or emergency appointment, however, shall cease prior to the expiration of such thirty working days at the time a civil service eligible reports for duty as provided in section 8.329 of this charter.

No person shall be compensated under any non-civil service or emergency appointment or appointments as authorized under the provisions of the foregoing paragraphs of this section for a period exceeding ((ninety)) one hundred and thirty working days in any fiscal or calendar year, and no claim or warrant therefor shall be approved, allowed or paid for any compensation in excess of such ((ninety)) one hundred and thirty working days in any fiscal or calendar year.

If no eligibles are available for appointment to a permanent position in the class requested by the appointing officer the commission shall immediately hold an examination and establish an eligible list for such position. If its annual appropriation is insufficient to meet the cost of said examination, it shall report to the mayor the estimated cost thereof, and the mayor shall request and the supervisors shall make supplemental appropriation therefor in the manner provided for supplemental appropriations.

8.340 Dismissal During Probation Period

Any ((appointment)) person appointed to a ((position declared permanent by the civil service commission)) permanent position shall be on probation for a period of six months, provided that the probationary period for entrance positions in the uniform rank of the police department, fire department and sheriff’s department ((s)) shall be for one year. At any time during the probationary period the appointing officer may terminate the appointment upon giving written notice of such termination to the ((employees)) employee and to the civil service commission specifying the reasons for such termination. Except in the case of uniformed members of the police and fire departments the civil service commission shall inquire into the circumstances. If the appointment resulted from an entrance examination the commission may declare such person dismissed or may return the name of the list of eligibles under such conditions for further appointment as the commission may deem just. If the appointment resulted from a promotional examination the employee shall have the right of appeal and hearing before the civil service commission. The commission shall render a decision within thirty
days after receipt of the notice of termination and (a) may declare such person dismissed; or (b) order such person reinstated in his position without prejudice, and the commission may in its discretion order that the employee be paid salary from time of the termination of his appointment; or (c) order the return of such person to the position from which he was promoted. The decision of the commission shall be final. Immediately prior to the expiration of the probationary period the appointing officer shall report to the civil service commission as to the competence of the probationer for the position, and if competent, shall recommend permanent appointment.

((The provisions of this section shall be effective on the first day of the month immediately following the date of ratification of this amendment by the State Legislature.))


Noes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Kopp, Molinari.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION I

Vote Yes on Proposition I

Proposition “I” will modernize certain out-of-date sections of the Charter involving Civil Service procedures. These improvements will allow San Francisco to establish a modern personnel system similar to other public agencies, such as the Federal, State and other major governmental jurisdictions.

Proposition “I” will strengthen the merit system by allowing the use of examinations that have been developed by expert professional testing services, other public agencies and the State of California Cooperative Personnel Services Bureau. Under current Charter provisions, San Francisco cannot utilize these services.

These amendments will minimize the protests of test items used in Civil Service examinations. San Francisco’s examination program has been severely criticized by the Grand Jury, the League of Women Voters and other civic groups because of the system of allowing time consuming protests. Vacancies are not filled as they occur because test items are available for protest and new test items must be prepared for subsequent examinations.

The passage of Proposition “I” will permit the use of the type of examinations which have been found acceptable in the Federal Courts. Standardized tests which have been proven to be job related and in accord with guidelines established in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act could be employed. To continue with the present system of permitting the continuous protests of test items will result in additional violations of Federal law and further time consuming and expensive litigation.

Other changes proposed in Proposition “I” will eliminate obsolete Charter language, delete provisions already contained in the Civil Service Rules and will clarify certain other provisions.
Proposition "I" changes the duration of non-Civil Service emergency appointments from 90 working days to 130. This extension will provide the necessary emergency personnel to departments during the time that the regular Civil Service examination procedure is taking place.

The adoption of Proposition "I" is essential to the continued efficiency and improvement of the personnel operations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Proposition "I" will result in a tax savings. The dollar amount cannot be measured directly. However, the Personnel Department is now spending proportionately more for each examination because current requirements preclude maximum use of developed tests and use of outside consulting services.

**Vote Yes on Proposition "I"**

**Endorsed by:**
Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor
Keith P. Colden, Chief of San Francisco Fire Department
San Francisco Labor Council, AFL-CIO
John F. Crowley, Secretary-Treasurer, San Francisco Labor Council
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
San Francisco Fire Fighters Local 798
John D. Bowers, Research Associate and Member, Charter Review Committee,
Bay District Joint Council of Service Employees
Donald M. Scott, Chief of San Francisco Police Department

Ayes: Supervisors Chinn, Feinstein, Francois, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.
Noes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Kopp, Molinari.
I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

PHILIP P. ENGLER, Acting Clerk

---

**PROPOSITION J**

Amends Section 8.300: Exempts, with certain exceptions, paraprofessional employees of school districts from civil service provisions of the Charter.

---

**CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION J**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 8.300 thereof, relating to exemption from the civil service provisions of the Charter of paraprofessional employees of the San Francisco Unified School District and the San Francisco Community College District.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at an election to be held therein on November 6, 1973, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 8.300 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:
NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

8.300 Civil Service Positions

(a) All positions in all departments and offices of the city and county, including positions created by laws of the State of California, where the compensation is paid by the city and county, shall be included in the classified civil service of the city and county, and shall be filled from lists of eligibles prepared by the civil service commission, excepting:

1. Positions in which attorneys and physicians are employed in their professional capacity to perform only duties included in their professions, but exclusive of any administrative or executive positions for which such professional status constitutes only part of the qualification therefor;

2. All employees of the San Francisco Unified School District who serve in the capacity of paraprofessionals and technical instructional assistants employed by the San Francisco Community College District; provided, however, that presently employed persons be granted status and those who are on existing eligibility lists as of December 31, 1973 be granted status rights to appointment in rank order;

3. Inmate help or student nurses, or part-time services, where the compensation including the value of any allowances in addition thereto does not exceed one hundred fifty dollars ($150) per month. Provided that for each fiscal year following fiscal year 1963, the civil service commission shall adjust the one hundred fifty dollar ($150) maximum for part-time service as provided herein, in accordance with the average percentage increase or decrease approved for all classifications under the provisions of section 8.400 and 8.401 of this charter, and such adjusted rate shall be included in the annual salary ordinance. Provided further that such part-time positions shall not be exempted from being filled from appropriate lists of civil service eligibles, except upon the recommendation of the appointing officer, who shall set forth the schedule of operations showing that the operations involved require the service of employees for not more than seventy (70) hours per month and approval of the civil service commission, including a certification that such part-time positions cannot practically be filled from existing eligible lists. These provisions shall not be used to split or divide any position into two or more units for the purpose of evading the provisions of this section;

4. Persons employed in positions outside the city and county upon construction work being performed by the city and county when such positions are exempted from said classified civil service by an order of the civil service commission;

5. Persons employed in positions in any department for expert professional temporary services, and when such positions are exempted from said classified civil service for a specified period of said temporary service, by order of the civil service commission;

6. Such positions as, by other provisions in this charter, are specifically exempted from, or where the appointment is designated as exclusive of, the civil service provisions of this charter.

The civil service rights, acquired by persons under the provisions
of the charter superseded by this charter, shall continue under this charter.

Any person holding a salaried office under the city and county, whether by election or appointment, who shall, during his term of office, hold or retain any other salaried office under the government of the United States, or of this state, or who shall hold any other salaried office connected with the government of the city and county, or who shall become a member of the legislature, shall be deemed to have thereby vacated the office held by him under the city and county.

(b) Positions as heads of offices, agencies, departments, bureaus, or institutions shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter unless specifically exempted.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, the city and county shall perform all acts necessary to protect the employment rights of employees of the port authority as specified in Section 20 of Statutes 1968, ch. 1333.

(d) All positions in buildings and improvements of the California academy of sciences for which funds shall be furnished by the city and county, under section 6.404(d) of this charter, shall be held by employees of the city and county, with the exception of the director, the secretary of the board of trustees of said California academy of sciences, the curators and other scientific and professional personnel, and occupants of part-time positions for which a total compensation of less than $80.00 per month is provided by the city and county, inclusive of allowance for maintenance and other incidental benefits. Positions held by employees of the city and county at said buildings and improvements shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter and the compensation thereof shall be subject to the salary standardization provisions of this charter, in like manner and extent in all respects as positions and compensations of employment in the city and county service generally, notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the charter or ordinances of said city and county. The chief administrative officer shall be the appointing officer as provided in this charter.

(e) All persons employed in the operating service of any public utility hereafter acquired by lease or under any other temporary arrangement, under which the city acquires the right to operate said utility, shall be continued in their respective positions and shall be deemed appointed to such positions under, and entitled to all, the benefits of the civil service provisions of this charter for the period of time during which the city shall continue to operate said utility under said lease or other temporary arrangement. Should the city permanently acquire said utility, said persons shall come into the permanent employ of the city and county in their respective positions and shall be deemed permanently appointed thereto under the civil service provisions of the charter and shall be entitled to all the benefits thereof, all subject to the provisions contained in section 8.300(f) and 8.450 of the charter; provided, however, that said employees who are taken over into the employ of the city under said lease or other temporary arrangement shall not be subject to the residential qualifications of the charter, during the term of said lease or other temporary arrangement. All employees of any such utility, acquired or operated
by the city under any lease or other temporary arrangement, who
come into the employ of said utility after the temporary acquisition
of same, shall be subject to the civil service provisions of the charter.
The civil service rights of any person who comes into the service of
the city under any lease or other temporary arrangement for the
acquisition and operation of said utility shall cease and terminate
upon the expiration of said lease or other temporary arrangement.

(f) All persons employed in the operating service of any public
utility hereafter acquired by the city and county, at the time the
same is taken over by the city and county, and who shall have been
so employed for at least one year prior to the date of such acquisition,
shall be continued in their respective positions and shall be deemed
appointed to such positions, under, and entitled to all the benefits of,
the civil service provisions of this charter.

(g) All employees engaged in public utility work at the time this
charter shall go into effect, and who have been permanently ap-
pointed to their respective positions in conformity with the civil
service provisions of this charter, shall except as otherwise provided
by this charter become employees of the public utilities commis-
sion under the classification held by each such employee at such time.

(h) Any employee who was a permanent civil service appointee
assigned to the airport department under the public utilities commis-
sion immediately prior to the effective date of this section, shall
be continued without loss in civil service rights as an appointee of
the airport department, provided that civil service rights as they
relate to layoff in the event of lack of work or lack of funds of all
permanent employees of the public utilities commission, including
the airport department, immediately prior to the effective date of
this section, shall be continued without loss in the same manner and
to the same extent as though the airport department had not by these
amendments been created a separate city function under the airports
commission.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Sep.
Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Chinn, Feinstein, Francois, Gon-
zales, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was or-
dered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County
of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION J

Vote Yes on Proposition J

Proposition J is designed to correct a serious problem concerning
the recruitment and retention of Para-professional personnel of the
San Francisco Unified School District. Para-professional personnel,
otherwise known as Classroom Aides, are currently subject to the
Civil Service provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San
Francisco. Due to the fact that these School District personnel work
during the school term only and for no more than four (4) hours per
day, their recruitment has placed a severe burden on the Civil Serv-
ice Commission, the agency chiefly responsible for recruiting and
examining qualified applicants.
To eliminate this unnecessary burden and to afford the School District sufficient opportunity to recruit and retain the full complement of authorized Para-professional personnel, Proposition J will exempt these personnel from the Civil Service provisions of the Charter, thereby enabling the School District to administer its own recruitment and placement program for Para-professional personnel.

At the same time, Proposition J will enable the Board of Education to enact plans and programs, in conjunction with its own Personnel Department, to effectively recruit and utilize Para-professional personnel, as the needs of the School District dictate.

Para-professional personnel represent an integral arm of the certificated teaching function. As such, it is imperative that the School District maintain a sound, effective recruitment and retention program. Without this kind of program, the fulfillment of the goals and objectives of the Board of Education remain in serious jeopardy.

Proposition J will not increase school district costs. Its chief objective is to transfer to the Board of Education the current recruitment program for Para-professional personnel. Current Para-professional personnel will retain any and all benefits accorded them as a consequence of prior appointment from and standing on civil service eligible lists.

Your “Yes” vote on Proposition J will help the School District eliminate a major obstacle in its continuing efforts to promote a sound, beneficial educational system for all the public school children of San Francisco.

Vote “Yes” on Proposition J.

This Ballot Argument in favor of Proposition J is sponsored and endorsed by the Board of Education and Superintendent of Schools, Dr. Steven P. Morena.

Endorsed by:
Joseph L. Allota, Mayor
San Francisco Labor Council, AFL-CIO
John F. Crowley, Secretary-Treasurer, San Francisco Labor Council
Yerba Buena Democratic Club

Ayes: Supervisors Francois, Kopp, Mendelsohn, Molinari, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

**PROPOSITION K**

Initiative charter amendment: Establishes eleven supervisorial districts within City and County and provides for election of supervisors therefrom; prescribes qualifications of candidates and terms of office.
PROPOSITION K

INITIATIVE MEASURE TO BE SUBMITTED FORTHWITH TO THE ELECTORS

Petition for immediate submission to electors of proposed amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco by a special election to create eleven supervisorial districts for the purpose of electing supervisors by district to provide equal representation for equal numbers of people. The reason for the proposed petition is to make City and County government more representative of the varied interests in the City and County.

To the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco:

We, the undersigned, registered and qualified electors of the State of California, residents of the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Sections 179-182 of the City Charter and Section 3 of Article XI of the Constitution of this State, present to the Registrar of Voters this petition and request that the following proposed amendments to the Charter of the City and County be submitted forthwith to the registered and qualified electors of the City and County for their adoption or rejection at a special election.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parenthesis)).

Elective Officers and Terms

Section 5. The mayor, the members of the board of supervisors, an assessor, a district attorney, a city attorney, a sheriff, a treasurer, a public defender, and municipal court judges shall be elected by the voters of the city and county. At the general municipal election in 1943, and at the general municipal election in every fourth year thereafter, there shall be elected a mayor, six supervisors, a district attorney and a sheriff, and at the general municipal election in 1945, and at the general municipal election in every fourth year thereafter, there shall be elected five supervisors, a city attorney, and a treasurer, and at the general election in 1942, and at the general election in every fourth year thereafter there shall be elected an assessor and a public defender. All of the aforesaid officials shall be elected for a term of four years from the commencement of their respective terms as herein specified. The terms of the assessor who shall hold office on the 8th day of January, 1943, shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on said date, and the person elected assessor at the general election in 1942 shall succeed to said office at twelve o'clock noon on said 8th day of January, 1943.

NOTWITHSTANDING the provisions of the foregoing paragraph, the terms of the six supervisors who were elected at the general municipal election in 1971 shall expire on the eighth day of January, 1974, at twelve o'clock noon and not on the eighth day of January, 1976, at twelve o'clock noon and their terms of office shall be for two years rather than four years. Should the amendment to this section not be approved by the legislature or fail for any other reason, so that the terms of the six supervisors elected at the general municipal election in 1971 shall be for two years as in this section provided, then in that event the terms of the six supervisors elected at the general municipal election in 1971 shall not expire on the eighth day of January, 1974, but shall continue until the eighth day of January, 1976,
and the terms of the five supervisors elected in the general municipal election in 1973 shall be for two years and shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the eighth day of January, 1976.

SECTION 10. NUMBER, DISTRICTS, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISORS. The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected ((at large)) by districts. Each member of the board shall be paid a salary of ninety-six hundred dollars ($9,600) per year, and each shall execute an official bond to the city and county in the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000).

At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, the newly elected and continuing members of the board of supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in the City Hall, and thereafter regular meetings shall be held as fixed by resolution. The supervisors constituting the new board shall, on January 8, 1932, and every second year thereafter, elect one of their number as president of the board for a two-year term. The president shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special committees of the board and shall have such other powers and duties as the supervisors may provide.

The meetings of the board shall be held in the City Hall, provided that, in case of emergency, the board, by resolution, may designate some other appropriate place as its temporary meeting place. The board shall cause a calendar of the business scheduled for each meeting to be published and shall keep and publish a journal of its proceedings. Notice of any special meeting shall be published at least twenty-four hours in advance of such special meeting.

The City and County shall, for the purpose of conducting the general municipal election of supervisors in November, 1973, and thereafter, be divided into eleven supervisiorial districts bounded and described as follows:

FIRST SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the point of intersection of the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean and a straight-line extension of Lincoln Way; thence easterly along Lincoln Way to 19th Avenue; thence southerly along 19th Avenue to the center point of the intersection of 19th Avenue and Sloat Boulevard; thence westerly along Sloat Boulevard to 24th Avenue; thence southerly along 24th Avenue to Eucalyptus Drive; thence westerly along Eucalyptus Drive to Middlefield Drive; thence generally southerly along Middlefield Drive to Lake Merced Boulevard; thence southeasterly and southerly along Lake Merced Boulevard to Brotherhood Way; thence easterly and southeasterly along Brotherhood Way to center point of its intersection with Junipero Serra Boulevard; thence southerly to the southern boundary of the city and county; thence west along said county boundary to the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean; thence north-
vation; thence southeasterly and easterly along said boundary to its point of intersection with Arguello Boulevard; thence southerly along Arguello Boulevard to Fulton Street; thence easterly along Fulton Street to Stanyan Street; thence southerly along Stanyan Street to Frederick Street; thence westerly along Frederick Street to Lincoln Way; thence westerly along Lincoln Way to the point of intersection with the Pacific Ocean shoreline; thence northerly and easterly along said shoreline to the point of commencement.

Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards and ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said streets, boulevards and ways, respectively.

THIRD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the point of intersection of the shoreline of San Francisco Bay and the southern and southwestern boundary of the Presidio United States Military Reservation; thence southeasterly and easterly along said boundary to its point of intersection with Arguello Boulevard; thence southerly along Arguello Boulevard to Fulton Street; thence easterly along Fulton Street to Broderick Street; thence northerly along Broderick Street to O’Farrell Street; thence westerly to St. Joseph’s Avenue; thence generally northwesterly along St. Joseph’s Avenue to Geary Boulevard; thence westerly along Geary Boulevard to Lyon Street; thence northerly along Lyon Street to Sacramento Street; thence easterly along Sacramento Street to Van Ness Avenue; thence northerly along Van Ness Avenue to Greenwich Street; thence easterly along Greenwich Street to Larkin Street; thence northerly along Larkin Street and a northerly extension thereof to the point of intersection with the shoreline of San Francisco Bay; thence generally westerly and southerly along said shoreline to the point of commencement.

Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards, and avenues contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said streets, boulevards and avenues, respectively.

FOURTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of intersection of Market Street and Van Ness Avenue; thence northerly along Van Ness Avenue to Sacramento Street; thence westerly along Sacramento Street to Lyon Street; thence southerly along Lyon Street to Geary Boulevard; thence easterly to St. Joseph’s Avenue; thence generally southeasterly along St. Joseph’s Avenue to O’Farrell Street; thence easterly along O’Farrell Street to Broderick Street; thence southerly along Broderick Street to Fulton Street; thence westerly along Fulton Street to Stanyan Street; thence southerly along Stanyan Street to Waller Street; thence easterly along Waller Street to Buena Vista Avenue West; thence, following the boundary of Buena Vista Park, generally southerly, easterly and northeasterly along Buena Vista Avenue West and Buena Vista Avenue East to the point of intersection of Buena Vista Avenue East and Duboce Avenue; thence Easterly along Duboce Avenue to Noe Street; thence southerly along Noe Street to Market Street; thence northeasterly along Market Street to the point of commencement.

Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to
streets, avenues, boulevards, avenues east and avenues west contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said streets, avenues, boulevards, avenues east and avenues west, respectively.

FIFTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of the intersection of Van Ness Avenue and Bush Street; thence northerly along Van Ness Avenue to Greenwich Street; thence easterly along Greenwich Street to Larkin Street; thence northerly along Larkin Street to the point of intersection with the shoreline of San Francisco Bay; thence generally easterly and southerly along said shoreline, including all the piers, to a straight-line easterly extension of Pacific Avenue; thence westerly along said extension and along Pacific Avenue to Battery Street; thence southerly along Battery Street to Sacramento Street; thence westerly along Sacramento Street to Kearny Street; thence southerly on Kearny Street to Bush Street; thence westerly along Bush Street to the point of commencement.

Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets and avenues contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said streets and avenues, respectively.

SIXTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise all of that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of intersection of Market, Castro and 17th Streets; thence easterly along 17th Street to Pennsylvania Avenue; thence northerly along Pennsylvania Avenue to 16th Street; thence easterly along 16th Street and a straight-line extension of 16th Street out to the mid-San Francisco Bay territorial boundary of the city and county; thence generally northerly, westerly, southerly and easterly to include all the piers and islands in the Bay of San Francisco and the islands in the Pacific Ocean which are within the territorial boundaries of the city and county and all ships or other seagoing vessels docked at any port within the jurisdiction of the city and county of San Francisco, unless specifically described and included in another paragraph of this section; thence to a straight-line extension of Pacific Avenue and its point of intersection with Pier 3, and to include all of Pier 3; thence westerly along said extension and along Pacific Avenue to Battery Street; thence southerly along Battery Street to Sacramento Street; thence westerly along Sacramento Street to Kearny Street; thence southerly along Kearny Street to Bush Street; thence westerly along Bush Street to Van Ness Avenue; thence southerly along Van Ness Avenue to Market Street; thence southwesterly along Market Street to the point of commencement.

Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets and avenues contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said streets and avenues, respectively.

SEVENTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of intersection of Lincoln Way and 19th Avenue; thence southerly along 19th Avenue to Kirkham Street; thence easterly along Kirkham Street to 7th Avenue; thence generally southerly and southeaste
Twin Peaks Boulevard; thence generally southerly along the western route of Twin Peaks Boulevard to Portola Drive; thence generally easterly along Portola Drive to Clipper Street; thence generally northeasterly and easterly along Clipper Street to Douglass Street; thence southerly along Douglass Street to Army Street; thence easterly along Army Street to Noe Street; thence southerly along Noe Street to 30th Street; thence easterly along 30th Street to Dolores Street; thence northerly along Dolores Street to 17th Street; thence westerly along 17th Street to the center point of intersection of 17th Street, Castro and Market Streets; thence northeasterly along Market Street to Noe Street; thence northerly on Noe Street to Duboce Avenue; thence westerly along Duboce Avenue to Buena Vista Avenue East; thence, following the boundary of Buena Vista Park, generally southwesterly, westerly and northerly along Buena Vista Avenue East and Buena Vista Avenue West to Waller Street; thence westerly along Waller Street to Stanyan Street; thence southerly on Stanyan Street to Frederick Street; thence generally westerly along Frederick Street to Lincoln Way; thence westerly along Lincoln Way to the point of commencement.

Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to ways, avenues, streets, boulevards, drives, avenues east and avenues west contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center line of said ways, avenues, streets, boulevards, drives, avenues east and avenues west, respectively.

EIGHTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of intersection of 19th Avenue and Kirkham Street; thence easterly along Kirkham Street to 7th Avenue; thence generally southerly and southwesterly along 7th Avenue to the center point of intersection of 7th Avenue, Laguna Honda Boulevard and Clarendon Avenue; thence generally easterly, northerly and northeasterly along Clarendon Avenue to the center point of intersection of Clarendon Avenue, and Twin Peaks Boulevard; thence generally southerly along the western route of Twin Peaks Boulevard to Portola Drive; thence generally easterly along Portola Drive to Clipper Street; thence generally northeasterly and easterly along Clipper Street to Douglass Street; thence southerly on Douglass Street to Army Street; thence easterly along Army Street to Noe Street; thence southerly along Noe Street to 30th Street; thence easterly along 30th Street to Dolores Street; thence southerly along Dolores Street to San Jose Avenue; thence generally southerly and southwesterly on San Jose Avenue to the center point of intersection with Bosworth Street; thence generally northwesterly along Bosworth Street to the point of intersection with a straight-line extension of Burnside Street; thence generally southwesterly along said extension and along Burnside Street to Mangels Avenue; thence westerly along Mangels Avenue to Baden Street; thence southerly along Baden Street to Monterey Boulevard; thence westerly along Monterey Boulevard to Foerester Street; thence southerly on Foerester Street to Judson Avenue; thence westerly on Judson Avenue to Phelan Avenue; thence southerly on Phelan Avenue to the center point of intersection of Phelan, Ocean and Geneva Avenues; thence generally northwesterly along Ocean Avenue to Ashton Avenue; thence southerly along Ashton Avenue to Holloway Avenue; thence westerly along Holloway Avenue to Junipero Serra Boulevard;
thence southerly along Junipero Serra Boulevard to the center point of intersection with Brotherhood Way; thence generally westerly along Brotherhood Way to Lake Merced Boulevard; thence generally northerly along Lake Merced Boulevard to Middlefield Drive; thence generally northerly along Middlefield Drive to Eucalyptus Drive; thence easterly along Eucalyptus Drive to 24th Avenue; thence northerly on 24th Avenue to Sloat Boulevard; thence easterly along Sloat Boulevard to the center point of intersection of 18th Avenue; thence northerly along 19th Avenue to the point of commencement.

Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to avenues, streets, boulevards, drives and ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center lines of said avenues, streets, boulevards, drives and ways, respectively.

NINTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise that portion of the city and county commencing at the center point of the intersection of 17th Street and Dolores Street; thence easterly along 17th Street to the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101); thence generally southerly along the center line of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101) to the interchange with the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) along the center line thereof to the center line of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280); thence generally westerly along the center line of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to the center point of its intersection with Mission Street; thence generally northeasterly along Mission Street to St. Mary’s Street; thence generally northwesterly along St. Mary’s Street to San Jose Avenue; thence generally northeasterly along San Jose Avenue to the point of intersection with Dolores Street; thence northerly along Dolores Street to the point of commencement.

Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets and avenues contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center lines of said streets and avenues, respectively.

TENTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise that portion of the city and county commencing at the point of intersection of the southern boundary of the city and county and Junipero Serra Boulevard; thence northerly along Junipero Serra Boulevard to Holloway Avenue; thence easterly along Holloway Avenue to Ashton Avenue; thence northerly along Ashton Avenue to Ocean Avenue; thence generally southeasterly along Ocean Avenue to the center point of intersection of Ocean, Geneva and Phelan Avenues; thence northerly along Phelan Avenue to Judson Avenue; thence easterly along Judson Avenue to Foote Street; thence northerly on Foote Street to Monterey Boulevard; thence easterly along Monterey Boulevard to Baden Street; thence northerly on Baden Street to Mangels Avenue; thence easterly along Mangels Avenue to Burnside Street; thence generally northeasterly along Burnside Street and a straight-line extension thereof to the point of intersection with Bosworth Street; thence generally southeasterly along Bosworth Street to the center point of intersection with San Jose Avenue; thence generally northeasterly along San Jose Avenue to St. Mary’s Avenue; thence generally southeasterly along St. Mary’s Avenue to Mission Street; thence generally southwesterly along Mission Street to the center line of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280); thence generally easterly along the center line of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to the point of intersection with a northerly
straight-line extension of the center point of the intersection of Trumbull, Stoneybrook and Cambridge Streets; thence southerly along said extension to the center point of the intersection of Trumbull, Stoneybrook and Cambridge Streets; thence generally easterly and southerly along Cambridge Street to Silver Avenue; thence generally easterly along Silver Avenue to University Street; thence generally southerly along University Street to the intersection of Wayland Street and McLaren Park; thence generally westerly, southwesterly, and southerly along the northern and western boundaries of McLaren Park to the intersection of Crocker-Armon Playground; thence generally southerly along the eastern boundary of Crocker-Armon Playground to Geneva Avenue; thence generally south-easterly along Geneva Avenue to Carter Street; thence generally southwesterly along Carter Street to the southern boundary of the city and county; thence westerly along said county boundary to the point of commencement.

Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to boulevards, avenues, streets, and ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the center lines of said boulevards, avenues, streets and ways, respectively.

ELEVENTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, shall comprise that portion of the city and county not otherwise described as constituting the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth or Tenth Supervisorial Districts.

Each supervisor shall be elected by a supervisorial district and must have resided in such district for at least one year immediately preceding the date he or she takes office and must continue to reside therein during his or her incumbency, and upon ceasing to be such resident, shall be removed from office.

The term of office for the supervisors elected from the first, third, fifth, seventh, ninth, and eleventh districts at the general municipal election in 1973 shall be for a term of two years; commencing, however, with the general municipal election in 1975, the term of office for the supervisors elected from said first, third, fifth, seventh, ninth, and eleventh districts shall be for a term of four years and shall continue as such thereafter. The term of office for the supervisors elected from the second, fourth, sixth, eighth, and tenth districts at the general municipal election in 1973 shall be for a term of four years and shall continue as such thereafter.

The Board of Supervisors shall, by ordinance, adjust the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in the year following the year in which each decennial federal census is taken, commencing with the 1980 census, as provided in the statutes and the Constitution of the State of California, and subject to all of the requirements therein.

Should the Amendments to this section not be approved by the legislature of the State of California or fail for any other reason, so that the offices of the eleven supervisors may be elected by districts at the general municipal election to be held in November, 1973, as in this section provided, then in that event the election of eleven supervisors by districts shall commence with and at the general municipal election to be held in November, 1975, and the term of office for the supervisors elected from the first, third, fifth, seventh, ninth, and eleventh districts at the general municipal election in 1975 shall be for a term of two years; commencing, however, with the general
municipal election in 1977, the term of office for the supervisors elected from said first, third, fifth, seventh, ninth, and eleventh districts shall be for a term of four years, and shall continue as such thereafter, and the term of office for the supervisors elected from the second, fourth, sixth, eighth, and tenth districts at the general municipal election in 1975 shall be for a term of four years and shall continue as such thereafter.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION K

Yes on “K” Will Unify the City
San Francisco is divided today: divided between downtown where the money is and everywhere else where the people are. One neighborhood is played off against another, causing mistrust between communities in our City. A district elected board would be bound to seek agreement among neighborhoods. The City would be united.

Yes on “K” to End Big Money Campaigns
District campaigns means that candidates would overcome big money influence by personal contact with the voters. People could effectively organize and participate in elections. Supervisors who do not respond to neighborhood issues could not buy their way back into office with well financed media campaigns.

Yes on “K” for More Democratic Government
District election means that every neighborhood, every group, every person in the City will have real access to the Board. Laws will be enacted democratically for once rather than on the basis of secret deals and favors returned.

Yes on “K” for More Accountable Supervisors
District election means that the supervisors will have identifiable constituencies as Congressmen and Assemblymen do. There will, for the first time, be real accountability to the people. The present supervisors are accountable now to downtown and big money. It’s time to give downtown one vote rather than eleven.

Yes on “K” Is the First Step to a Better City
No method of electing supervisors will solve all our problems, but district election is the first and best step to take. Instead of replacing one unresponsive supervisors with another unresponsive supervisor, the most ever achieved by at-large elections, it’s time for a change.

CALVIN WELCH
Citizens for Representative Government

Endorsed by:
Rachel Arce
Enrico Banducci
Bay Area Reporter
William E. Beardenphil
John E. Benson
Roger H. Bernhardt
Susan J. Blerman
Norman W. Berryessa
Gary Borvice
Joseph Brajkovich
George A. Brown, Jr.
Hon. Willie L. Brown, Jr.
Hon. John L. Burton
Agar Jaleks
Walter Jebe
Michael Rolle Jones
Rev. Andrew Jovinall
Zalde Kirtley
Lorraine Lahr
La Raza en Accion Local
Gordon J. Lau
Larry Littlejohn
Local 538, SEIU, AFL-CIO
Dr. Martin MacIntyre
Enola Maxwell
Jack Morrison
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ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION K

Argument Against Initiative Proposition Calling for Ward System

This proposition is a sham and a cruel hoax. It will hurt those who most need many representatives to respond to their concerns. A ward system means either total control by political bosses who develop “Klout”, or worse, an anarchic evasion of comprehensive political responsibility.

Bluntly, this would mean that the monopolistic power to control would center in the unseen financial and public relations type manipulators; the ethnic bosses; the non-resident managerial “pros”, whether charlatans, or in business, labor or management, and the like. They would soon join in cynical alliance to control three districts in each election to have a permanent hammerlock on the entire Board. Now these power brokers must try to influence all eleven as well as likely new contenders, which necessarily works to the incidental benefit of us all. We too now have eleven persons who have to pay some heed to the concerns of any citizen who petitions for redress of grievances. If sensitivity of a supervisor is proved grossly lacking, it has been regularly demonstrated that he is soon cast aside. Whereas in an entrenched ward system, a grieving citizen may not even have the sympathetic ear of his own ward leader, and he will find that no other ward leader will dare heed his cry unless it comes “through proper channels”.

Let us not be stampeded to amputate our direct pipelines to the halls and chambers of the entire spectrum of our Government!! Let us instead learn and practice more effective participation through intelligent political action, which is what Good Government is all about.

KAMINI K. GUPTA,
Member of last Citizens Charter
Revision Committee.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION “K”

Last November San Franciscans overwhelmingly voted their approval of the present method of electing supervisors over the district method now proposed in Proposition K.

Vote No on Dividing Our City—Vote No on “K”

The eleven districts proposed in Proposition K were drawn without benefit of hearings. The neighborhoods involved, labor, business,
ethnic groups or interested citizens were not given an opportunity to express their views. The result is an ill-conceived, mystifying hodgepodge of unrelated districts.

**Vote No on Ward Politics—Vote No on “K”**

Proposition K seeks to destroy a system which has been cited as “the cleanest of any American city” by dividing San Francisco into 11 districts or wards. One supervisor would be elected from each district, and he would be concerned with only his district, instead of the entire City.

**Vote No on Bossism—Vote No on “K”**

Proposition K would bring back all the political “back scratching” and “log rolling” that were associated with San Francisco in the early 1900’s when the mayor and several supervisors were sent to prison on graft charges.

**Vote No on Higher Taxes—Vote No on “K”**

Proposition K would result in higher taxes for San Franciscans. Instead of voting for projects benefiting the entire City, each supervisor would compete with the others to direct money for special projects in his district. The City as a whole would be the loser.

**Vote No on Ghettoes—Vote No on “K”**

Proposition K would revert to the old system of racial political ghettoes where only a large concentration of minorities could elect one of their own—Blacks would only represent Blacks, Whites, Whites, Latins, Latins, etc. San Francisco has progressed beyond this stage. We presently have three minority supervisors serving on the Board—and they represent all San Franciscans.

**Vote No on Gerrymandering—Vote No on “K”**

Proposition K would allow the supervisors to reapportion their own districts. Gerrymandering, now unknown to San Francisco, would become a fact of political life.

**Vote No on False Claims—Vote No on “K”**

Proponents of Proposition K claim that this proposal would end “big money” campaigns. The new campaign spending law—proposed and enacted by the present Board of Supervisors who represent all San Franciscans—severely reduces the total amount that can be spent and the amount that can be raised from any contributor or source. “Big money” campaigns are already dead—and the present board killed them.

*Keep City Government Clean. Vote No on “K”*
Citizens for a United San Francisco
John F. Crowley, Co-Chairman

**ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION “K”**

**Vote “No” on Proposition “K”**

The voters have already voted against District Election of Supervisors. Last November over 78,000 San Franciscans voted against this same District Election proposal.

**Vote “No” on Proposition “K”**

District Elections will not bring about better representation on the Board of Supervisors. Never in the history of the Board have more decisions of Commissions or Boards been overturned as a direct result of public sentiment. If the Supervisors were not responsive, they would not have overturned these decisions. This shows they
are responsive to the will of the people. They are responsive to all San Franciscans.

**Vote “No” on Proposition “K”**

What happens if the Supervisor from your District disagrees with you? Where do you go to get a problem solved? Now you can go to ten other Supervisors to get help. But if there were District Election, only one Supervisor would have to listen to your problems.

**Vote “No” on Proposition “K”**

District Election of Supervisors will not necessarily decrease campaign costs. Since the Board of Supervisors passed the Campaign Spending Ordinance, every candidate for Supervisor, whether he is running in a district or at-large, will have exactly the same maximum amount to spend. If they have the same limitations and restrictions, how can anyone say that “costs will be reduced”?

**Vote “No” on Proposition “K”**

District Election of Supervisors would not necessarily provide better minority representation on the Board of Supervisors. Currently the San Francisco Board of Supervisors has the most diverse ethnic representation of any major city. The newly elected black Mayor of Los Angeles, Tom Bradley, is an indication that a minority candidate can win in an at-large election, and that the voters will vote for the man, not his race.

**Vote “No” on Proposition “K”**

San Francisco is too small to divide into 11 separate districts. Few people remember that San Francisco is a City-County consolidation, the only one of its type in the western United States. Without question, San Francisco’s small geographic area and uniquely close-knit, cosmopolitan population, does not lend itself to division into 11 separate districts.

**Vote “No” on Proposition “K”**

Keep San Francisco united for all San Franciscans. Vote “No” on Proposition “K”.

William E. Dauer
Executive Vice President
GREATER SAN FRANCISCO
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

**ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION K**

Vote No on Proposition K so that you can participate in the election of all the members of the Board of Supervisors. The major issues of the City cut across boundaries of districts. Divisions which separate San Franciscans in seeking solutions to City problems should not be accentuated by geographical fragmentation. San Francisco must have Supervisors who will listen to all its people, and who will work for the interests of the whole City.

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS
OF SAN FRANCISCO
Charlotte Berk, President

**ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION K**

Vote “No” on Proposition K

Representative government in San Francisco will suffer a devastating throwback to the last century unless the voters vote “No” on Proposition K and reject the plan to elect members of the Board of Supervisors by district.
When the Board of Freeholders elected in 1897, and then the people of San Francisco, approved the Charter in effect on January 8, 1900, they emphatically, with the experience of decades under the district or ward system, turned down that system's outmoded, ill-conceived (for San Francisco) plan of legislative representation, and replaced it with the effective, responsive, election-at-large method under which the City has progressed and prospered.

Election of Supervisors by district in San Francisco is not only unnecessary but actually is harmful, because:

(1) This is a relatively small and extraordinarily compact municipality in geographical terms. In all other California counties, reasonable communication between Supervisors and constituents can be assured best by segmentation into districts, largely because of the substantial physical areas to be served, and the often marked divergence in political philosophy as between urban, suburban and rural interests.

In San Francisco, no such reasons for division exist. The limited land area, the relative mutuality of neighborhood interests, the identity of all the population with the same community goals, and the interdependence of districts upon one another for attainment of improved standards of living make it necessary, not merely desirable, that all citizens be able to approach all Supervisors with civic problems.

It should be sufficient argument to vote "No" in Proposition K to place the district-election proposition and the present election-at-large system in this comparative light. If district-election were to prevail, a citizen in a particular neighborhood normally would submit his legislative problems only to the Supervisor elected from his district. Other Supervisors, elected from other districts would have their own constituents to answer to and serve; they would not be likely to respond adequately or perhaps at all, to non-constituents. Thus, the citizen would have but one representative to approach and if his relationship with that Supervisor was a poor one then he would have no place to turn.

On the other hand, under the present, tried and proven system of election-at-large, every citizen, regardless of neighborhood of residence, may present his problems to any Supervisor, regardless of the latter's district of residence, and may expect reasonably to receive all possible attention and assistance.

Result of comparison: The present election-at-large system gives you direct representation by eleven Supervisors. Proposition K will give you direct representation by only one Supervisor.

(2) It has been the experience in the past, in San Francisco as well as in New York, Chicago, Boston and similar urban environs, that the inevitable result of limited, sharply-divided ward or district representation is "log-rolling" and "vote-swapping" among the district-elected officials at times for selfish political reasons or for limited interest groups, often without a genuine concern for the best interests of the City as a whole, and without due regard for legitimate needs and priorities.

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors is the only board in the state to include women as well as Black, Chinese and Chicano representation. The promise of increased political power for the racial minorities of San Francisco, through district-elections, is largely
illusory and deceptive. There is a very grave potential danger that
this proposal will in a substantial measure disenfranchise the
minorities. They will have lost the power presently exerted by their
vote for eleven Supervisors by exchanging it for a vote for one
Supervisor. Now, election-at-large gives them influence over all
Supervisors. Proposition K will limit their influence to only one, two
or three minority Supervisors, and no influence over the other eight.
The result of any adversary vote as between the two factions is
clearly predictable to the disadvantage of the minorities.

(4) Proposition K is bad news for both majority and minority
groups in their mutual and continuing struggle to assure election of
governmental officials on a basis of representing everyone equally
rather than "representing their own." San Francisco must persevere
in the campaign to guarantee equality of representation—it cannot
be done in the divisive, antagonistic atmosphere of ward politics.

Vote "No" on Proposition K

Insure equal representation for majority and minority groups.
Supervisor Terry A. Francois
Supervisor Peter Tamaras
Supervisor John L. Molinari
Supervisor George Y. Chinn
Supervisor Dorothy von Beroldingen
Supervisor Robert H. Mendelsohn
Supervisor Dianne Feinstein

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO
CHARTER SECTION 9.112
PROPOSITION "K"

Initiative charter amendment: Establishes eleven supervisoral dis-
tricts within City and County and provides for election of super-
visors therefrom; prescribes qualifications of candidates and
terms of office.

Should the proposed initiative charter amendment be adopted,
based on a report submitted by the Registrar of Voters, it is esti-
mated that the initial increase in the cost of government would be
approximately $155,000; thereafter the amount would be approxi-
mately $25,000 per election. Based on the 1973-1974 assessment roll,
this estimated initial increase is equivalent to approximately sixty-
one hundredths ($0.0061) of one cent in the tax rate. The increase
thereafter would be equivalent to approximately one tenth ($0.001)
of one cent in the tax rate.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION L

Initiative charter amendment: Provides for 30 hour
work week at 40 hours pay for City and County em-
ployees, employees of public works contractors, and
employees of certain businesses subject to local li-
censing laws; establishes enforcement commission
and provides funding for any increase in expenses
hereunder.
PROPOSITION L

PETITION FOR SUBMISSION TO ELECTORS OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CHARTER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (SECTIONS 7.704, 7.204, 8.450, 8.451, 8.452, 8.453, 6.208 AND 3.695) TO PROVIDE A 30 HOUR WORK WEEK AT 40 HOURS’ PAY FOR MANY WORKERS WITHIN SAN FRANCISCO COMMENCING JULY 1, 1974.

To the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco,
State of California:

We, the undersigned, registered and qualified electors of the State of California, residents of the City and County of San Francisco, Pursuant to Section 3 of Article XI of the Constitution of this State and Chapter 3 of Division 2 of Title 4, commencing at Section 34450 of the Government Code, and Sections 9.108-9.115 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, present to the Board of Supervisors of the city and county this petition and request that the following proposed amendment to the charter of the city and county be submitted to the registered and qualified electors of the city and county for their adoption or rejection at the regularly scheduled municipal election to be held on November 6, 1973.

The proposed charter amendments reads as follows:

(PLEASE NOTE: Additions or substitutions herein are indicated by bold-face type. Deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).)

7.704 Permits and Licenses

The board of supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance, the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this charter, and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, fire-fighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the city and county, and for such other matters as the board of supervisors may deem advisable.

Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged. Said ordinance shall also specify which department shall make the necessary investigations and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the departments as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license.

If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same, the applicant may appeal to the board of permit appeals.

Beginning July 1, 1974, any business operating within the city and county in order to have a permit or license issued or renewed must limit and restrict the normal work week of its employees to a maximum of 30 hours, with the total salary to be not less than that formerly paid for 40 hours or whatever constituted the normal work week; furthermore, such business must make any work done by its employees in excess of 30 hours during a calendar week purely voluntary and must compensate it by at least double-time or the prevail-
ing overtime rate, whichever is higher; except that nothing in this section pertaining to the shorter work week shall be enforced upon businesses owned and operated entirely within the city and county and which as of January 1, 1973, employed 25 or fewer persons.

7.204 Contractors' Working Conditions

Every contract for any public work or improvement to be performed at the expense of the city and county, or paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury, whether such work is to be done directly under contract awarded, or indirectly by or under subcontract, sub-partnership, day labor, station work, piece work, or any other arrangement whatsoever, must provide: (((1 That in the performance of the contract and all work thereunder, eight hours shall be the maximum hours of labor on any calendar day:)) (1) That in the performance of the contract and all work thereunder, beginning July 1, 1974, 30 hours shall be the maximum hours of labor in any calendar week, with the total salary to be not less than that formerly paid for 40 hours or whatever constituted the normal work week; furthermore all work done in excess of 30 hours during a calendar week shall be purely voluntary and be compensated by at least double-time or the prevailing overtime rate, whichever is higher; except that nothing in this section pertaining to the shorter work week shall be enforced upon businesses owned and operated entirely within the city and county and which as of January 1, 1973, employed 25 or fewer persons, and for the employees of such businesses eight hours shall be the maximum hours of labor on any calendar day; (2) that any person performing labor thereunder shall be paid not less than the highest general prevailing rate of wages in private employment for similar work; (3) that any person performing labor in the execution of the contract shall be a citizen of the United States, (4) that all laborers employed in the execution of any contract within the limits of the city and county shall have been residents of the city and county for a period of one year immediately preceding the date of their engagements to perform labor thereunder, provided, however, that the officer empowered to award any such contract may, upon application of the contractor, waive such residence qualifications and issue a permit specifying the extent and terms of such waiver whenever the fact be established that the required number of laborers and mechanics possessing qualifications required by the work to be done cannot be engaged to perform labor thereunder.

The term “public work” or “improvement,” as used in this section shall, include the fabrication, manufacturing or assembling of materials in any shop, plant, manufacturing establishment or other place of employment, when the said materials are of unique or special design, or are made according to plans and specifications for the particular work or improvements and any arrangement made for the manufacturing, fabrication or assembling of such materials shall be deemed to be a contract or a subcontract subject to the provisions of this section.

The board of supervisors shall have full power and authority to enact all necessary ordinances to carry out the terms of this section and may by ordinance provide that any contract for any public work or improvement, or for the purchase of materials which are to be manufactured, fabricated or assembled for any public work or improvement, a preference in price not to exceed ten per cent shall be
allowed in favor of such materials as are to be manufactured, fabricated or assembled within the City and County of San Francisco as against similar materials which may be manufactured, fabricated or assembled outside thereof. When any such materials are to be fabricated, assembled or manufactured by any sub-contractor or materialman for the purpose of supplying the same to any contractor bidding on or performing any contract for any public work or improvement, said sub-contractor or materialman manufacturing, fabricating, assembling or furnishing said materials manufactured, assembled or fabricated within the City and County of San Francisco shall be entitled to the same preferential as would any original contractor or materialman furnishing the same if the board of supervisors by ordinance so provide. When any ordinance shall so provide any officer, board or commission letting any contract may in determining the lowest responsible bidder for the doing or performing of any public work or improvement add to said bid or sub-bid an amount sufficient not exceeding ten per cent in order to give preference to materials manufactured, fabricated or assembled within the City and County of San Francisco.

8.450 Municipal Railway
Persons employed as platform men or bus operators in the operating department of the municipal railway system shall be subject to the following conditions of employment: ((The basic hours of labor shall be eight hours, to be completed within ten consecutive hours, and there shall be two days off, consecutive where practicable, in each week. All labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or after a spread of ten consecutive hours in any one day, or five days in any one week, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.)) Beginning July 1, 1974, the basic hours of labor shall be 30 hours during any calendar week with the total salary to be not less than that formerly paid for 40 hours, and there shall be at least two consecutive days off in each week. All labor performed in excess of 30 hours in any one week shall be paid for at the rate of at least double-time.
Conductors and motormen may be assigned to duty as bus operators and while assigned to such duty they shall receive the compensation fixed for such service. Such assignment shall be governed by seniority of service, subject to a qualifying test by the railroad management as to competency and to state laws as to qualifications and licensing.

8.451 Police Department
(a) The word “member” or “members” as used in this section shall mean the members of the several ranks in the police department set forth in Section 3.531 of this charter.
((b) The basic week of service for each member shall be forty hours and the annual compensation set forth in Section 3.531 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.))
(b) Beginning July 1, 1974, the basic week of service for each member shall be thirty hours with the total salary to be not less than that formerly paid for forty hours, and the annual compensation set forth in Section 3.531 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.
(c) Each member shall be entitled to at least two days off during each week except as hereinafter provided.
(d) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission public interest, or necessity requires the services of any member to serve in excess of the basic week of service during any week, the said police commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said service, and said member shall be compensated therefor or shall receive equivalent time credited to him in lieu thereof in accordance with this sub-section. For service performed in excess of the basic week, members shall be compensated on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said excess service bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section 3.531, or in lieu thereof equivalent time off duty with pay.

(c) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to interfere with a vacation, as provided for in section 8.401 of this charter, or the normal days off per week; provided, however, that when in the judgment of the police commission public interest or necessity requires the services of any member to serve on his vacation, or part thereof, or normal days off, the said commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said member to serve during said vacation, or part thereof, or normal days off, and he shall receive additional compensation for the period so served. Said additional compensation shall be computed on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said extra service performed bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensations provided therefor in section 3.531.

(f) Nothing in this section shall abridge or limit in any way the provisions of Section 301, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, approving Rule 32 of the civil service commission, insofar as sick leave and disability leaves for members are concerned.

(g) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission the efficient performance of police duty requires that one or more members of the police department should report for roll call, orders, and assignments, prior to going on duty, the said commission may designate a period not to exceed fifteen minutes in any one day for said reporting, and the said periods of fifteen minutes need not be compensated for in money or in time off with pay.

(h) Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing sub-sections, the members of the police department shall be entitled to the days declared to be holidays for employees whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis in the schedules of compensations adopted by the board of supervisors pursuant to the provisions of section 8.401 of the charter as additional days off with pay. Members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of the police commission.

8.452 Fire Department

The chief of department shall recommend and the fire commission shall provide by rule for work schedules or tours of duty for the officers and members occupying the several ranks of the fire department; provided, however that all tours of duty established for officers and members assigned to the fire fighting companies, including the salvage corps, shall start at eight o'clock A.M. (No such officer or member shall be required to work more than one hundred and twenty (120) hours in any fifteen-day period.) Beginning July 1, 1974, no such officer or member shall be required to work more than ninety
(90) hours in any fifteen-day period nor shall he receive any less salary than was formerly paid for one hundred and twenty (120) hours, nor shall any officer or member be required to work more than twenty-four consecutive hours except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the department. Officers and members may exchange watches with permission of the chief of department and time worked on such exchange of watches shall not be construed as time in violation of the limitation ((of 120 hours)) of 90 hours in any fifteen-day period nor twenty-four consecutive hours. Each such officer and each such member shall be entitled to at least (1) day off duty during each week.

When, in the judgment of the fire commission, it is in the public interest that any such officer or member shall work on his day off and said officer or member consents to so work, he may at the direction of the chief of department work on said day off, and in addition to the regular compensation provided for said officer or member as set forth in this charter, said officer or member shall be entitled to be compensated at his regular rate of pay as provided for herein for said extra time served, or he shall be allowed the equivalent time off.

In any computation in the administration of the San Francisco City and County Employees Retirement System in which the compensation, as defined in any provisions relating to the retirement system, is a factor, compensation for overtime provided for in this section shall be excluded, and no such overtime compensation shall be deemed as compensation for any purpose relating to such retirement provisions.

Officers and members of the uniformed force shall be entitled to the days declared to be holidays for employees whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis in the schedule of compensations adopted by the board of supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of section 8.401 of the charter, as additional days off with pay. Officers or members required to perform service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of the fire commission.

For payroll purposes, that portion of each tour of duty which falls within each calendar day shall constitute a single tour of duty. The rate of compensation for the service performed by officers or members on a holiday or for service performed on an assigned day off, as in this charter provided, shall be calculated by dividing the annual rates of pay for each fiscal year by the number of single tours of duty as scheduled for the several ranks in the fire fighting companies in said fiscal year.

8.453 Other City and County Employees

Beginning July 1, 1974, the regular work week of all city and county employees not employed through the municipal railway, police department or fire department, is limited and restricted to a maximum of 30 hours, with the total salary to be not less than that formerly paid for 40 hours. Any work performed during a calendar week in excess of 30 hours shall be purely voluntary and shall be compensated by either double-time or at the prevailing over-time rate, whichever is higher and with due concern for the unemployed. No reduction in the work force or any department or cutback in any
city or county service shall be permitted in connection with the reduction of the work week.

6.208 Tax Levy

On or before the 15th day of September of each year, the board of supervisors by ordinance shall levy a tax, the estimated proceeds of which, together with the total amount of receipts and revenues estimated to be received from all sources, will be sufficient to meet all appropriations made by the annual appropriation ordinance.

Any increases in city expenses created by shortening the work week of city and county employees to 30 hours with the total salary not less than that formerly paid for 40 hours shall be met by increasing the payroll expense or business taxes of businesses now subject to those taxes; except that businesses owned and operated entirely within the city and county and which as of January 1, 1973 employed 25 or fewer persons shall not have their payroll expense or business taxes raised to meet the expenses of shortening the work week for city and county employees.

3.695 Shorter Work Week Commission

There is hereby established a shorter work week commission which is charged with the duty of ensuring the enforcement of provisions in this charter pertaining to the establishment of the 30 hour work week. Nothing in the commission's powers shall be construed as in any way interfering with or limiting independent attempts by unions or other labor groups to pursue and enforce the shorter work week.

The shorter work week commission shall consist of five (5) members, three (3) to be elected at large by the voters of the city and county at an election to be held before July 1, 1974, and two (2) to be appointed by the San Francisco Central Labor Council. Their terms shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th of January, 1976, with the appointees' successors to be chosen by that date and the elected commissioners' successors to be elected in the regular municipal election of November, 1975. Thereafter all commissioners' terms shall be for two years.

Meetings of the commission shall be held at least once a month after normal working hours and all proceedings of the commission shall be open to the public.

The commission shall be empowered to require both the city and county and private businesses holding licenses or permits or contracting to do work with the city to keep accurate records showing the name of each employee and the hours worked by said employee during each calendar week. Such records shall be produced upon request of the commission.

The commission at its meetings shall hear complaints from any employee or group of employees relative to possible infractions of the 30 hour work week provisions of this charter, and shall be empowered to take all due action not elsewhere prohibited by this charter to correct any abuses of such shorter work week provisions.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION L

Why We Need 30 For 40

More than 65,000 San Franciscans signed the petition that placed 30 for 40 on the November ballot. Many now realize that a shorter
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work week at full pay is the only way to provide jobs for the unemployed and significantly improve conditions for people already working.

30 hours work for 40 hours pay will mean that up to 33% more jobs will be created through added shifts. Although the employers will try to minimize this development through speed-up, overtime, etc., and prevent the opening of 117,000 new jobs (a 33% increase in San Francisco) at least tens of thousands of new jobs will certainly be created here in San Francisco.

Currently there are at least 6 million job-hunters in the country, up 2 million from 1968. Unemployment in San Francisco has recently been running about 5.5%. And these statistics are misleading, since they do not include people who have given up looking for work, underemployed part-timers, housewives, welfare recipients who would work if they could find decent jobs and veterans on the G.I. Bill.

30 for 40 is not a gift horse. It is something we absolutely need and have earned with our sweat and blood. More people have been killed in job-related accidents than were killed in all the wars in which the United States has fought. Last year alone, 14,200 workers were killed in on-the-job accidents and 2.3 million suffered disabling injuries. 70% of these on-the-job accidents happen in the last 2 hours of work. (And the boss tells us we do not need a six hour day!) Speed-up kills as the employer demands, “Produce, produce!” We have no recourse; 30 for 40 is a must.

Who has built the machines that now are used to replace us? We have—the workers. Yet we do not even profit from our own technology. The average worker today because of automation and technology, produces more for less cost in 40 hours than the average worker 40 years ago could produce in 80 hours. In 1950, steel workers produced 167 tons of raw steel each. In 1970, they produced 326 tons each. In the same period the work force in steel went from 592,000 to 403,000. The steel giants use automation to lay off workers and increase their billions in profits. 30 for 40 is the way to begin to fight for the fruits of automation and technology to go to workers and their families instead of to bigger profits for the companies.

30 for 40 will mean for workers added free time away from the job and energy to devote toward personal goals. We also gain greater job security, since employers won’t be able to fire for no cause and replace us with the unemployed. 30 for 40 will mean less exposure to the boredom, exhaustion and health hazards prevalent in today’s working environment. We need 30 for 40!

Who Will Pay?

Big business. Corporate profits are at record levels (up 35% in the last 2 years). Standard Oil of California made $600 million profits in 1972. AT&T, parent corporation of Pacific Telephone, and the largest private employer in San Francisco, had net annual profits of $2.1 billion. Space prevents further elaboration but it is clear that big business can pay 30 for 40 and still have hundreds of millions in profits “left-over”.

The increased cost of 30 for 40 for city employees would be paid by increasing the payroll tax paid to City Hall by big business. The
30 for 40 initiative prohibits the increase of personal income or property taxes.

But what about inflation? Inflation has been a fact of life in the U.S. every year since 1946. With 30 for 40 enforced, it is true that the unit cost of production will be higher and that companies will try to pass that cost on to working people in the form of higher prices; however, business raises prices whether or not workers get higher wages or shorter hours. There's no clearer lesson of this than the last two years: wages have been frozen at 5.5% or less and yet prices in this period have skyrocketed at unprecedented levels—16% a year! On top of this, the increase in workers taxes alone, from 1972 to 1973, more than offset the maximum allowable wage increase (5.5%), not even considering inflation of prices. And now Nixon is proposing raising taxes an additional 10%. Working peoples' demands or strikes for higher wages or a shorter work week are not the cause of inflation but a reaction to higher prices and mass unemployment caused by big business seeking even more millions in profits.

Also, a revived and stronger labor movement organized to win 30 for 40 will be in a much better position to oppose the rising prices through strikes, union-called marches, etc.

Will Business Move Out of S.F.?

Two points must be made here: first, business, in the form of industry, has been steadily moving out of San Francisco since the early 1950's. Two recent examples of this are the announced exits of American Can Co. and the phone book printing operation. Fortune, Bay Guardian and many others have run major articles describing how San Francisco has become the "Manhattan (NYC) of the West": a financial and commercial center with a majority of white collar jobs. The main employers in S.F. today are operating vital to the functioning of a finance-commerce center: banking, insurance, shipping, transportation, and tourism: hotels, restaurants, etc., hospitals, schools, post offices, Phone Co., etc. These big employers won't be leaving S.F. both because it's impractical (can you see the S.F. Muni moving to San Jose?) and because of their huge multi-billion dollar investments here. In fact, the trend is for this type of investment to increase: the new buildings and expansion of Transamerica, Met Life, Hyatt Regency, BART, etc.

Secondly, the resurgence of the movement for a shorter workweek at no loss in pay is nation-wide. Several unions all over the country have made 30 for 40 their number one demand: UAW (United Auto Workers) Local 420, Cleveland; AFSCME (American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees) State Council Minnesota; IAM (International Association of Machinists) Lodge 2119, Lynn, Massachusetts; AFL-CIO State of Washington; Brotherhood of Railway Carmen, Lodge 1330, Newark, New Jersey. Many other locals have functioning 30 for 40 committees, including UAW Local 212 in Detroit. The "move-out-of-town" scare campaign is the same tactic used by employers when workers began organizing unions in various cities and states. It didn't stop workers from fighting for union shops and we can't let it divert the movement for shorter hours. The 30 for 40 movement will spread faster than the big employers can run.
Beyond the Election: 30/40 in the Unions and W.A.M.

Few would believe us if we promised that 30 for 40 will be established merely because we vote it in this November. We should see the vote for 30 for 40 in this election as just the start—a mandate from the working people of San Francisco that we want a shorter work week now.

Big business will get an injunction or restraining order in court if it passes, and the amendment will be tied up for months or years in court before they eventually hand down a decision favorable to the employers, ruling it “illegal.” (This is exactly what the big real estate interests did when a rent control initiative passed in Berkeley last year.)

This prediction shouldn’t discourage us, but rather should make us aware that the election is not the main battlefield on which we can carry out the fight for 30 for 40. The real struggle has to be waged in the unions and on our jobs, where working men and women can wield their real power—through strikes, job actions, rallies, marches, etc. The employers will listen only when they have no choice: either they give us 30 for 40 or NO WORK GETS DONE.

This will be a long struggle, maybe taking several years (the tremendous fight for the 40 hour week developed over decades) and it may result in small reductions of the work week along the way before 30 for 40 is won on a mass scale. That’s why to carry on the fight, workers need an organization dedicated to winning the shorter work week by taking the struggle for 30 for 40 into the unions and onto our jobs.

Workers Action Movement (WAM) is that organization. WAM is a national organization of rank and file workers from different industries and unions united around WAM’s 3 point program: 1) 30 hours work at 40 hours pay! 2) Support for workers strikes by all other working people! 3) Rank and file control of the unions!

Workers Action Movement wants to build a new militant labor movement centered around the fight for 30 for 40 and the rest of WAM’s program. To do this we must build workers’ solidarity and overcome the racism and male supremacy which the employers foster to keep us divided against each other. In the last five month, WAM held working conventions in Detroit, New York City, and San Francisco. One thousand workers in all attended these three conferences to map out concrete plans to implement WAM’s program. WAM has taken the lead in making 30 for 40 the number one demand and building rank and file committees to win it in many union locals around the country. Recently WAM and other rank and file workers let wildcat strikes in the auto industry in Detroit over health and safety, shorter hours (no compulsory over-time) and speed-up. Here in San Francisco, WAM put the 30 for 40 initiative on the ballot and is becoming more active in various unions and also non-union offices downtown. WAM is only one year old and the new movement for a shorter work week is just beginning! 30 for 40 is the wave of the future.

30 Hours Work for 40 Hours Pay!

Join Workers Action Movement!

Unions endorsing the San Francisco 30 for 40 initiative campaign*
ACA (American Communications Assn.) Local 9, SF
NABET (Nat. Assn. of Broadcast Employees and Technicians) Local 532, SF
ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION L

Vote "No" on Proposition L

This proposition should be emphatically rejected by the people of San Francisco because of the irreparable economic harm it will inflict upon every segment of the community.

If you pay taxes in San Francisco—directly or indirectly—as a worker or employer, as a tenant or landlord, as a pensioner, welfare recipient, student, professional, or otherwise, this proposition will seriously disrupt your way of life.

Consider these statistics: If your local government merely maintains its current level of police, fire, health, transit, water, sewer and all other services to the citizens, the added personnel cost required to make up the 10-hour per week work difference will total more than $61,000,000 annually in salaries, plus added millions in retirement and other benefits.

Hundreds of San Francisco private employers with more than 25 workers on the payroll will be required to disburse 40 hours' pay for 30 hours' work. Inevitably the entire community will feel the impact. Those private employers will move to other, nearby cities where 40 hours pay would still be good for 40 hours work. Local unemployment will grow. Local welfare rolls will grow. The property tax will rise. The City will be unable to fund its social services to the needy, disabled, children and others.

Vote "No" on Proposition L

Quentin L. Kopp
Terry A. Francois
Peter Tamaras
Dorothy von Beroldingen
Ronald Pelosi
Robert H. Mendelsohn
John L. Molinari
George Y. Chinn
John J. Barbagelata
Dianne Feinstein

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION L

How would you like to get 40 hours' worth of pay every week for doing just 30 hours' worth of work? That's what supporters of the so-called "30 for 40" initiative are promising. They might as well promise everyone a goose that lays golden eggs because Proposition L really belongs in a fairy tale world.

Vote No on Proposition L

If Proposition L passes, everyone who pays taxes will share the costs, but only a few will receive the benefits. Employees of the State of California and the Federal Government are excluded from Proposition L. California law prohibits cities and counties from regulating wages and hours of private employees, so only the employees
of the City and County of San Francisco would actually get a 30-hour week with a 40-hour paycheck.

Vote No on Proposition L

And if "30 for 40" passes, the cost to the City and County of San Francisco has been established by the City Controller to be $90 million and could perhaps exceed $100 million a year if public services are maintained at present levels.

Vote No on Proposition L

If we could make fairy tales come true just by voting for them, everyone could have a goose that lays golden eggs or even a 30-hour work week with a 40-hour paycheck. Too bad life isn’t more like fairy tales, because if you vote for Proposition L, the goose you cook may be your own.

William E. Dauer
Executive Vice President
GREATER SAN FRANCISCO
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

CONTROLLER’S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO
CHARTER SECTION 9.112
PROPOSITION “L”

Initiative charter amendment: Provides for 30 hour work week at 40 hours pay for City and County employees, employees of public works contractors, and employees of certain businesses subject to local licensing laws; establishes enforcement commission and provides funding for any increase in expenses hereunder.
Should the proposed initiative charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, the cost of government of the City and County of San Francisco would increase annually by at least an estimated $87,900,000. This estimate is based on (a) continuing the existing levels of service at the current hours per day, (b) costs of increased payroll and fringe benefits at straight time rates and (c) current salary rates prevailing during the fiscal year 1973-1974.
Adoption of the proposed amendment would not affect the property tax rate; however, it would be incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors to increase rates related to the business tax and employers payroll tax by approximately 41.1%.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION M

DECLARATION OF POLICY: It shall be the policy of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that low cost, quality child care be made available to all San Francisco children. Child care shall include infant care, pre-school and after school programs. Policy shall be made by the parents and faculties at each center. Funding shall be procured by the City and County of San Francisco.
ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION M

Who Needs Child Care Centers?
San Francisco is poorly equipped to deal with a most critical problem—good child care for working mothers.

Soaring inflation is forcing more married women to work outside their homes; single parents need child care centers so they can find work and stay off welfare. Private child care is too costly for all but the wealthy. As a result, thousands of young children are left in the care of older brothers and sisters or given a key to let themselves into an empty apartment.

Child care is not simply a babysitting service. Quality centers provide education, play, good meals, and the security for parents in knowing that their children are under the supervision of trained teachers.

Our State Superintendent of Public Instruction, Wilson Riles, has stated that one-half of a child's learning ability is developed during the critical pre-school years. Child care, like education, is a public concern. All children deserve it; not just those who find a place in the few good centers.

There are approximately 3,000 places in full-day child care centers (public and private) in our city. Yet, there are 13,807 working women in San Francisco who have children under six and another 20,754 working women whose children are between six and seventeen; many of whom need these centers.

Who Pays for Child Care Centers?

A tax increase is not needed to fund quality child care centers. The Board of Education has not spent money budgeted for child care for several years in a row and has also failed to use money offered to the city by the state's Department of Education. The city is making poor use of school buildings by leaving them empty after school hours and during vacations.

In other words, our present tax dollars are not being used to provide the services we need. Other funding will come from a sliding scale of fees for those able to pay. However, no fee scale will be set to exclude any child in need of care.

The federal government has cut back funding to our children's centers. Local officials must work for the voters to stop these cutbacks. They need to know where the voters stand on this issue.

Why This Policy Statement

This city is in desperate need of children's centers. We have a right to expect our elected officials, federal, state and local to live up to their promises and not waste our tax money. The passage of this policy statement will show our elected officials that we want more children's centers, not less! Vote for the children—Vote yes on Proposition "M".

PATRICIA L. CRAWFORD
Chair Person: Child and Parent Action

Sponsors of the Child Care Policy Statement

Organizations
Action Council for Comprehensive Child Care
Assoc. for Education of Young Children of No. Calif.
Board of Education, San Francisco
Coalition for Effective Schools
Conference on Religion, Race and Social Concern, S.F.
Council for Civic Unity, S. F. Bay Area
Donaldina Cameron House
Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council
International Institute of San Francisco (Staff)
Montifiore Senior Citizens
National Council of Jewish Women, S. F. Section
National Organization for Women
National Women's Political Caucus, S.F.
Nurses Association, Inc. S.F.
Officers for Justice
Socialist Coalition
Socialist Workers Party
United Fund for Social Change
Union Women's Alliance to Gain Equality
Women for Peace, S.F.
Womens Liberation in Action
Young Democrats, S.F.
Young Socialist Alliance, S.F.

Church
Commission on Social Justice, Archdiocese of San Francisco
San Francisco Council of Churches, Bd. of Directors; Election Committee
Social Concerns Committee of First Unitarian Church

Unions
AFSCME Child Care Workers Local
AFSCME Council 58
American Federation of Teachers, Local 61, AFL-CIO, S.F.
Central Labor Council, AFL-CIO, S.F.
City Joint Council, MEBA/AFSCME, AFL-CIO, S.F.
Classroom Teachers Assoc., S.F.
Misc. Culinary Employees Union, Local #110
Retail Store Employees Union, Local 410. AFL-CIO
Women's Conference of the Calif. Labor Federation, AFL-CIO

Individuals
Mayor Joseph Alioto
Willie Brown, Jr., Assemblyman, 18th Dist.
S. Wyanne Bunyan, Chairwoman Democratic Nat. Comm., Asst. Dean
Hastings Law School
John L. Burton, Assemblyman, 20th Dist.
Mrs. Shirley Cohelian Burton, Chairman, Golden Gate Business and
Civic Women's Club
George Chinn, Supervisor, S.F.
Dr. Lee S. Dolson, Board of Education, S.F.
Mervyn M. Dymally, Senator, California
Diane Feinstein, Supervisor, S.F.
Peter M. Finnegan, Member Bd. of Governors, S.F. Community College Dist.
Richard Hongisto, Sheriff
Joan L. Irwin, Central Committee Republican Party
Dave Jenkins, ILWU Legislative Council
Way Konigsberg, Performing Arts Social Society, Inc.
Flo Kennedy, Feminist Party
John A. Kidder, Commissioner, Board of Education, S.F.
Quentin L. Kopp, Board of Supervisors
Lorraine Lahr, Pres. National Organization for Women
Milton Marks, Senator, Ninth District
Jeff Masonek
Jack Morrison
Sister Gertrude Patch, Pres. Lone Mountain College
Wilson Riles, Superintendent of Public Instruction, Dir. of Education
John Riordan, Member, Bd. of Governors, S.F. Community College Dist.
Carole Seligman, Socialist Workers Party
Dorothy von Beroldingen, Supervisor
Yorl Wada, Ex. Dir. YMCA Buchanan Street
Jerome R. Wilder, U.S. Congressman 14th Dist.
Mary Elizabeth Zimmerman, Pres. S.F. Personnel and Guidance Assoc.
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SAMPLE BALLOT
VOTE EARLY
POLLS OPEN FROM
7 A.M. TO 8 P.M.

The Voting Machine Will
Record Votes ONLY Where
The Pointers Are Left
DOWN, Covering Names of
Candidates.

DIRECTIONS
FOR VOTING

STATE PROPOSITION
YES
1
NO
TAXES AND EXPENDITURES. Limits State expenditures and local property tax rates except
school districts. State personal income tax reduced. Financial impact estimates: Reduction of State
revenues $170,000,000; projected State program expenditures $6,520,000,000 to $7,180,000,000.
and State payments to local governments which will affect their revenues or expenditures.
Certain initiative provisions for personal income tax reductions have been accomplished by

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES
A
NO
PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING IMPROVE-
MENT BONDS, 1973. To issue a bonded indebtedness of $37,000,000 for the purpose of
repairing, reconstructing or replacing public school buildings determined, in the manner
prescribed by law, to be structurally unsafe
for school use.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES
B
NO
CHILDREN'S CENTERS IMPROVEMENT
BONDS, 1973. To issue a bonded indebtedness
of $7,420,000 for the improvement of the
facilities housing Children's Centers activities
of the San Francisco Unified School District.

1-A
JOHN J.
Barbagelata
Incumbent

2-A
GEORGE Y.
Chinn
Incumbent

3-A
STANLEY
Cotton
Painter

4-A
ELIZABETH
Cox
Socialist Worker

5-A
DAVID DAWSON
Dehr
Poet

6-A
DIANNE
Feinstein
Incumbent

7-A
LOUIS G.
Gonzales
Business Executive
Proprietor

8-A
JOHN
Hansen
Politician

9-A
JAMES L.
Hansen
City Employee-
Hospital

KA
Union
Schoo.
SAMPLE BALLOT  SPECIAL STATE AND GENERA

1st. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2nd. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of the CANDIDATES for whom you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

3rd. TO VOTE for a person whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise numbered slide at top of machine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, and write name of candidate on paper under slide. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate for office of Supervisor, in which case after writing in or candidates, you may pull down such number of point names of candidates, so that the aggregate will not exceed number of candidates for which you are entitled to vote.)

4th. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull the words "YES" or "NO" as you desire to vote DOWN.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION YES C NO

HALL OF JUSTICE IMPROVEMENT RONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $4,000,000 for additions to and alteration and reconstruction of a portion of the Hall of Justice Building to provide additional courtrooms and related rooms for the Superior and Municipal Courts.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION YES D NO

Add Section 9.106-1 and amends various sections: Provides for a runoff election for office of Mayor if no candidate for said office receives a majority vote in the general municipal election.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION YES E NO

Amends Section 8.599 and adds Section 8.599a: Provides for an increase in certain retirement allowances of miscellaneous employees.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION YES F NO

Add Section 7.403.1: Authorizes transfer of City and County real property to Federal Government for inclusion in Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION YES G NO

SUPERVISORS VOTE FOR FIVE

11-A
JEFFREY M. Mason
International Trade Executive

12-A
PETER PATRICK Mendelssohn
Mayor's Aging Committee

13-A
HARVEY BERNARD Milk
Store Owner

14-A
JACK Morrison
Urban Policy Planner

15-A
ALFRED J. Nelder
Rev. Edward Preston
Minister

16-A
JESUS CHRIST Satan
Metaphysician

17-A
CAROLE Seligman
Socialist Worker

18-A
HARRY A. Siitonen
Printer

19-A
ALFI
5th. **LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN** as you have placed them, move the **RED HANDLE LEVER** of the **VOTING MACHINE** to the **LEFT** as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

**IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Vote For</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Amends Section 8.309; Exempts, with certain exceptions, paraprofessional employees of school districts from civil service provisions of the Charter.</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Amends various sections relating to Civil Service procedures involving qualifications of applicants, protests of test items and eligible lists, duration of eligible lists, temporary and emergency appointments and dismissal during probationary period.</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-A</td>
<td>ED Simon</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-A</td>
<td>THOMAS M. O'Connor</td>
<td>Vote for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-A</td>
<td>MEL Haynes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-A</td>
<td>Leroy Wade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-A</td>
<td>John Webb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-A</td>
<td>Steven Wattenmaker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-A</td>
<td>Howard Wallace</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-A</td>
<td>Dorothy von Beroldingen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-A</td>
<td>Peter Tamaras</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-A</td>
<td>Hanna Takashige</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-A</td>
<td>Infant Business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K NO</td>
<td>YES L NO</td>
<td>YES M NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statement for Proposition K**

- Establishes special districts within City and provides for election of supervisors; prescribes qualifications of terms of office.

**Statement for Proposition L**

- Initiative charter amendment: Provides for 30-hour work week at 40 hours pay for City and County employees, employees of public works contractors, and employees of certain businesses subject to local licensing laws; establishes enforcement commission and provides funding for any increase in expenses hereunder.

**Declaration of Policy**

- It shall be the policy of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that low-cost, quality child care be made available to all San Francisco children. Child care shall include infant care, pre-school and after school programs. Policy shall be made by the parents and faculties at each center. Funding shall be procured by the City and County of San Francisco.

---

**Notice**

- **Study Sample Ballot Carefully.**
- **Mark Your Choices on Selection Card.**
- **Take Card to Polling Place.**

**Polls Open 7 A.M. — Close 8 P.M.**

**Vote Early**

---

**Incumbent**

THOMAS C. Scanlon
SAMPLE BALLOT

VOTE EARLY

POLLs OPEN FROM
7 A.M. to 8 P.M.

The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN, Covering Names of Candidates.

DIRECTIONS FOR VOTING

STATE PROPOSITION
YES 1 NO

TAXES AND EXPENDITURES, Limits State expenditures and local property tax rates except school districts. State personal income tax reduced. Financial impact estimates: Reduces State revenues $130,000,000; projected State program expenditures $620,000,000 to $1,366,000,000; and State payments to local governments which will affect their revenues or expenditures. Certain initiative provisions for personal income tax reductions have been accomplished by legislation passed August 25, 1973.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $37,825,000 for the purpose of repairing, reconstructing or replacing public school buildings determined, in the manner prescribed by law, to be structurally unsafe for school use.

CHILDREN’S CENTERS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $2,428,000 for the improvement of the facilities housing Children’s Centers activities of the San Francisco Unified School District.

1-A
JOHN Hansen
Politician

2-A
JAMES L. Holsterkamp
City Employee-Hospital

3-A
KAYREN Hudibrough
Unemployed Schoolteacher

4-A
JEFFREY M. Masonok
International Trade Executive

5-A
PETER PATRICK Mendelssohn
Mayor’s Aging Committee

6-A
HARVEY BERNARD Milk
Store Owner

7-A
JACK Morrison
Urban Policy Planner

8-A
ALFRED J. Nelder
Security Executive

9-A
REV. EDWARD Preston
Minister

10-A
JESUS C. Metaph
SAMPLE BALLOT

1st. **MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER** of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2nd. **TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES** of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of the CANDIDATES for whom you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

3rd. **TO VOTE** for a person whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise numbered slide at top of machine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, and write name of candidate on paper under slide. (Do not pull down pointer over name of an office of Supervisor, in which case after writing in names of candidates, you may pull down such number of pc's names of candidates, so that the aggregate will not exceed of candidates for which you are entitled to vote.)

4th. **TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS**, pull the words "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALL OF JUSTICE IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>Adds Section 9.100-1 and amends various sections; Provides for a runoff election for office of Mayor if no candidate for said office receives a majority vote in the general municipal election.</td>
<td>Adds Section 8.589 and adds Section 8.536: Provides for an increase in certain retirement allowances of miscellaneous employees.</td>
<td>Adds Section 7.403.1: Authorizes transfer of City and County real property to Federal Government for inclusion in Golden Gate National Recreation Area.</td>
<td>Adds S. bonds to assist pr in design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUPERVISORS**

**VOTE FOR FIVE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11-A</th>
<th>12-A</th>
<th>13-A</th>
<th>14-A</th>
<th>15-A</th>
<th>16-A</th>
<th>17-A</th>
<th>18-A</th>
<th>19-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAROLE Sellman</td>
<td>HARRY A. Siltonen</td>
<td>ALFRED SIMON Siniora</td>
<td>HANNA Takashige</td>
<td>PETER Tamaras</td>
<td>DOROTHY von Beroldingen</td>
<td>HOWARD Wallace</td>
<td>STEVEN Wattenmaker</td>
<td>JOHN Webb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socialist Worker</td>
<td>Printer</td>
<td>Retail Business</td>
<td>Socialist Worker</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Member, Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>Socialist Worker</td>
<td>Socialist Worker</td>
<td>Railroad Worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IST</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>carole</td>
<td>sellman</td>
<td>harry</td>
<td>a.</td>
<td>siltonen</td>
<td>alfred</td>
<td>simon</td>
<td>siniora</td>
<td>hanna</td>
<td>takashige</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5th. LEAVING THE POINTERs DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HANDLE LEVER of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amends Section 8.096:</td>
<td>Includes certain pilots</td>
<td>Amends various sections</td>
<td>Amends Section 8.300:</td>
<td>Initiative:\n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td>test items and eligible</td>
<td>service provisions of the</td>
<td>number of other city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assistance areas</td>
<td></td>
<td>lists, duration of</td>
<td>Charter.</td>
<td>council.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

CITY ATTORNEY
Vote for One

TREASURER
Vote for One

39-A
Y WADE
Incumbent

21-A
JOHN J.
Barbagelata
Incumbent

22-A
GEORGE Y.
Chinn
Incumbent

23-A
STANLEY
Cotton
Incumbent

24-A
ELIZABETH
Cox
Incumbent

25-A
DAVID DAWSON
Incumbent

26-A
DIANNE
Feinstein
Incumbent

27-A
LOUIS G.
Gonzales
Business Executive

28-A
THOMAS M.
D'Conner
Incumbent

29-A
THOMAS C.
Scanlon
Incumbent
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PROPOSITION K
NO

PROPOSITION L
YES

PROPOSITION M
YES

DECLARATION OF POLICY: It shall be the policy of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that low cost, quality child care be made available to all San Francisco children. Child care shall include infant care, pre-school and after-school programs. Policy shall be made by the parents and faculties at each center. Funding shall be procured by the City and County of San Francisco.

STUDY SAMPLE BALLOT CAREFULLY.
MARK YOUR CHOICES ON SELECTION CARD.
TAKE CARD TO POLLING PLACE.
POLLS OPEN 7 A.M. — CLOSE 8 P.M.

VOTE EARLY
**Sample Ballot**

**Vote Early**

POLLs OPEN FROM 7 A.M. to 8 P.M.

**The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN, Covering Names of Candidates.**

---

**Directions for Voting**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>State Proposition 1</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Taxes and Expenditures.** Limits State expenditures and local property tax rates except for school districts. State personal income tax reduced. Financial impact estimate: Reduces: State revenues $170,000,000; projected State program expenditures $620,000,000 to $1,366,000,000; and State payments to local governments which will affect their revenues or expenditures. Certain initiative provisions for personal income tax reductions have been accomplished by legislation passed August 23, 1973.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>City &amp; County Proposition A</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Public School Building Improvement Bonds, 1973.** To incur a bonded indebtedness of $37,826,000 for the purpose of repairing, reconstructing or replacing public school buildings determined, in the manner prescribed by law, to be structurally unsafe for school use.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>City &amp; County Proposition B</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Children's Centers Improvement Bonds, 1973.** To incur a bonded indebtedness of $2,420,000 for the improvement of the facilities housing Children's Centers activities of the San Francisco Unified School District.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>1-A</strong></th>
<th><strong>2-A</strong></th>
<th><strong>3-A</strong></th>
<th><strong>4-A</strong></th>
<th><strong>5-A</strong></th>
<th><strong>6-A</strong></th>
<th><strong>7-A</strong></th>
<th><strong>8-A</strong></th>
<th><strong>9-A</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alfred J. Nelder</td>
<td>Rev. Edward Preston</td>
<td>Jesus Christ Satan</td>
<td>Carole Seligman</td>
<td>Harry A. Siltonen</td>
<td>Alfred Simon Sinhora</td>
<td>Hanna Takashige</td>
<td>Peter Tamaras</td>
<td>Dorothy von Beroldingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Executive</td>
<td>Minister</td>
<td>Metaphysician</td>
<td>Socialist Worker</td>
<td>Printer</td>
<td>Retail Business</td>
<td>Socialist Worker</td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
<td>Member, Board of Supervisors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Note:**
- The sample ballot includes spaces for voters to mark their choices for various propositions and candidates. The ballot design includes placeholders for voters to indicate their preferences, with options for Yes, No, and Undecided.
- The ballot features a section for state propositions and city propositions, each with a yes or no option.
- Each proposition includes a brief description of the impact and purpose.
- The candidate section lists names and positions with brief descriptions or roles.

---

**Date:** November 6, 1973

---

**Election:**

---

**Specifics:**

---

**Contact:**

---

**Additional Information:**

---

**Important Notes:**

---

**Footer:**

---

**Back:**

---

**Back of the Ballot:**

---

**Questions:**

---

**Additional Resources:**

---

**References:**

---

**End of Document:**

---
1st. **MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER** of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and **LEAVE IT THERE**.

2nd. **TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES** of your choice, pull down the **POINTER**S over the names of the CANDIDATES for whom you wish to VOTE and **LEAVE THEM DOWN**.

3rd. **TO VOTE** for a person whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise numbered slide at top of machine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, and write name of candidate on paper under slide. (Do not pull down pointer over name of a group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate for office of Supervisor, in which case after writing in candidates, you may pull down such number of pointer slides as you desire to vote for candidates for which you are entitled to vote.)

4th. **TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS**, pull the words "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote DOWN.

---

**CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION C**
ALL OF JUSTICE IMPROVEMENT
ONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $4,500,000 for additions to and alteration and reconstruction of a portion of the Hall of Justice Building to provide additional courts and related rooms for the Superior and Municipal Courts.

---

**CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION D**
Adds Section 9,100-1 and amends various sections! Provides for a run-off election for office of Mayor if no candidate for said office receives a majority vote in the general municipal election.

---

**CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION E**
Amends Section 8,509 and adds Section 8,509-A. Provides for an increase in certain retirement allowances of miscellaneous employees.

---

**CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION F**
Adds Section 7,403.1: Authorizes transfer of City and County real property to Federal Government for inclusion in Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

---

**SUPERVISORS**
**VOTE FOR FIVE**

- **ARDice**
  - 11-A: STEVEN Wattenmaker
  - 12-A: JOHN Webb
  - 13-A: LEROY Wadewoods
  - 14-A: JOHN L. Barbargelata
  - 15-A: GEORGE Y. Chinn
  - 16-A: STANLEY Cotton
  - 17-A: ELIZABETH Cox
  - 18-A: DAVID Dawson
  - 19-A: DIANNE Feinstein

Worker
Socialist Worker
Railroad Worker
Teacher
Incumbent
Incumbent
Painter
Socialist Worker
Poet
Incumbent
MUNICIPAL ELECTION NOVEMBER 6, 1973

5th. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HANDLE LEVER of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION

G  NO

Section 7.308: Authorizes issuance of bonds to provide funding of loan program to property owners to rehabilitate property located in rehabilitation assistance areas.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION

H  NO

Amends Section 8.509: Extends pilot program to include certain pilots and maritime engineers as members of Fire Department for retirement purposes.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION

I  NO

Amends various sections relating to Civil Service procedures involving qualifications of applicants, points of test items and eligible list, duration of eligible lists, temporary and emergency appointments and dismissal during probationary period.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION

J  NO

Amends Section 8.306: Exempts, with certain exceptions, paraprofessional employees of school districts from civil service provisions of the Charter.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION

K  YES

Initiative to elect eleven supervisors.

CITY ATTORNEY

Vote for One

20-A

LOUIS G.
Gonzales
Executive Proprietor

21-A

JOHN
Hansen
Politician

22-A

JAMES L.
Heisterkamp
City Employee-
Hospital

23-A

KAYREN
Hudiburg
Unemployed
Schoolteacher

24-A

JEFFREY M.
Masonek
International Trade
Executive

25-A

PETER PATRICK
Mendelsohn
Mayor's Aging
Committee

26-A

HARVEY
Bernard
Milk
Store Owner

27-A

JACK
Morrison
Urban Policy
Planner

28-A

THOMAS M.
O'Connor
Incumbent

29-A

MEL
Haynes
Construction Executive

TREA.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY PROPOSITION K</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION L</th>
<th>CITY &amp; COUNTY PROPOSITION M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COUNTY PROPOSITION K**

Amendment: Establishes special districts within City and County for election of supervisors; prescribes qualifications of terms of office.

**CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION L**

Initiative charter amendment: Provides for a 30-hour work week at 40 hours pay for City and County employees, employers of public works contractors, and employees of certain businesses subject to local licensing laws; establishes enforcement commission and provides funding for any increase in expenses hereunder.

**CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION M**

Declaration of Policy: It shall be the policy of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that low cost, quality child care be made available to all San Francisco children. Child care shall include infant care, pre-school and after-school programs. Policy shall be made by the parents and faculty at each center. Funding shall be procured by the City and County of San Francisco.

---

**USER**

One

---

**30-A**

THOMAS C. Scanlon
Incumbent

---

STUDY SAMPLE BALLOT CAREFULLY.
MARK YOUR CHOICES ON SELECTION CARD.
TAKE CARD TO POLLING PLACE.

**POLLS OPEN 7 A.M. — CLOSE 8 P.M.**

**VOTE EARLY**
SAMPLE BALLOT

VOTE EARLY

POLLS OPEN FROM 7 A.M. to 8 P.M.

The Voting Machine Will Record Votes ONLY Where The Pointers Are Left DOWN, Covering Names of Candidates.

DIRECTIONS FOR VOTING

STATE PROPOSITION
YES 1  NO
TAXES AND EXPENDITURES. Limits State expenditures and local property tax rates except school districts. State personal income tax reduced. Financial impact estimate: Reduces State revenues $170,000,000, projected State program expenditures $600,000,000 to $360,000,000, and State payments to local governments which will affect their revenues or expenditures. Certain initiative provisions for personal income tax reductions have been accomplished by legislation passed August 23, 1973.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $72,806,000 for the purpose of repairing, reconstructing or replacing public school buildings determined, in the manner prescribed by law, to be structurally unsafe for school use.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
CHILDREN'S CENTERS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $2,450,000 for the improvement of the facilities housing Children's Centers activities of the San Francisco Unified School District.

1

2-A

DOROTHY von Boroldingen
Member, Board of Supervisors

3-A

HOWARD Wallace
Socialist Worker

4-A

STEVEN Wattenmaker
Socialist Worker

5-A

JOHN Webb
Railroad Worker

6-A

LEROY WADE Woods
Teacher

7-A

JOHN J. Barbagelata
Incumbent

8-A

GEORGE Y. Chinn
Incumbent

9-A

STANLEY Cotton
Painter

10

ELIZ.
Socialist
SAMPLE BALLOT

1st. MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER of VOTING MACHINE to the RIGHT as far as it will go and LEAVE IT THERE.

2nd. TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES of your choice, pull down the POINTERS over the names of the CANDIDATES for whom you wish to VOTE and LEAVE THEM DOWN.

3rd. TO VOTE for a person whose name does not appear on the BALLOT LABEL CARD, raise numbered slide at top of machine corresponding to number of office on OFFICE TITLE CARD, and write name of candidate on paper under slide. (Do not pull down pointer over name of any group in which you intend to write in name of a candidate of Supervisor, in which case after writing in names of candidates, you may pull down such number of pointers as names of candidates, so that the aggregate will not exceed the number of candidates for which you are entitled to vote.)

4th. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull the words "YES" or "NO" as you may desire to vote DOWN.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES C
NO

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES D
NO

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES E
NO

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES F
NO

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES G
NO

ALL OF JUSTICE IMPROVEMENT
ONDS, 1973. To incur a bonded indebtedness of $4,629,000 for additions to and alteration of a portion of the Hall of Justice Building to provide additional courtrooms and related rooms for the Superior and Municipal Courts.

Adds Section 9,108-4 and amends various sections: Provides for a runoff election for offices of Mayor if no candidate for said office receives a majority vote in the general municipal election.

Amends Section 8,539 and adds Section 8,539a: Provides for an increase in certain retirement allowances of miscellaneous employees.

Adds Section 7,403,1: Authorizes transfer of City and County real property to Federal Government for inclusion in Golden Gate National Recreation Areas.

Supervisors

VOTE FOR FIVE

V

11-A
DAVID DAWSON
Debr
Poet

12-A
DIANNE FELSTEIN
Incumbent

13-A
LOUIS G. GONZALES
Business Executive Proprietor

14-A
JOHN HANSEN
Politician

15-A
JAMES L. HUETERKAMP
City Employee, Hospital

16-A
KAYRENS HUDIBURGH
Unemployed Schoolteacher

17-A
JEFFREY M. MASON
International Trade Executive

18-A
PETER PATRICK
Mendelson
Mayor's Aide

19-A
HARVEY BERNARD
Milk
Store Owner
MUNICIPAL ELECTION NOVEMBER 6, 1973

5th. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the RED HANDLE LEVER of the VOTING MACHINE to the LEFT as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.

V & COUNTY PROPOSITION G
Amends Section 8.308: Authorizes issuance of provide funding of loan program to party owners to rehabilitate property and rehabilitation assistance areas.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION H
Amends Section 8.569: Includes certain pilots and marine engineers of fireboats as members of Fire Department for retirement purposes.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION I
Amends various sections relating to Civil Service procedures involving qualifications of applicants, protest of test items and eligible lists, duration of eligible lists, temporary and emergency appointments and dismissal during probationary period.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION J
Amends Section 8.300: Exempts, with certain exceptions, paraprofessional employees of school districts from civil service provisions of the Charter.

CITY & COUNTY PROPOSITION K
Initiative chart eleven super-blue
County and premium therefrom candidates and...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITION</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>City &amp; County Proposition L</td>
<td>City &amp; County Proposition M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **K**: This amendment establishes special districts within City and County for the election of supervisors, with no further qualifications of office.
- **L**: Initiative charter amendment: Provides for 30-hour work week at 40-hour pay for City and County employees, employees of public works contractors, and employees of certain businesses subject to local licensing laws; establishes enforcement commission and provides funding for any increase in expenses hereunder.
- **M**: Declaration of Policy: It shall be the policy of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that low-cost, quality child care be made available to all San Francisco children. Child care shall include infant care, pre-school and after-school programs. Funding shall be procured by the City and County of San Francisco.

**STUDY SAMPLE BALLOT CAREFULLY.**
**MARK YOUR CHOICES ON SELECTION CARD.**
**TAKE CARD TO POLLING PLACE.**

**POLLS OPEN 7 A.M. — CLOSE 8 P.M.**

**VOTE EARLY**