Statements of Candidates

TO BE VOTED FOR

AT

GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION

ALSO

Propositions To Be Voted On

November 8, 1927

Issued Pursuant to Chapter 2, Article XI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California

By BOARD OF ELECTION COMMISSIONERS

Attest:

Registrar of Voters and Secretary of the Board of Election Commissioners
ELECTION PROCLAMATION

Mayor's Office,
City and County of San Francisco,
State of California.

WHEREAS, The Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco has, pursuant to law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, certified to the Mayor of said City and County the names of all candidates together with the public offices to be filled at the "General Municipal Election" to be held in the City and County of San Francisco upon Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, which candidates have been nominated pursuant to the provisions of said Charter, and are entitled to have their names printed upon the ballot as provided by said Charter for such elections; Now, Therefore,

I, James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, in obedience and pursuant to the provisions of said Charter, hereby proclaim and call a GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION to be held in said City and County, in the whole and every part thereof, upon the 8th day of November, 1927, to be held in the manner provided by law and the Charter of said City and County, to elect

Mayor, for term of four years,
Two Police Judges, for term of four years,
District Attorney, for term of four years,
Assessor, for term of four years,
Auditor, for term of four years,
County Clerk, for term of four years,
Sheriff, for term of four years,
Coroner, for term of four years,
Nine Supervisors, for term of four years,
*Member of the Board of Education.

And I further proclaim that the following and annexed list of candidates, together with the offices to be filled at such election, constitutes the list of candidates who have been nominated pursuant to law and the said Charter as certified to me by said Registrar of Voters.

Done at the office of the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, in said City and County, upon this 10th day of October, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

*(Appointed by the Mayor), whose name must be submitted to the electors for confirmation or rejection at the November election.
LIST OF CANDIDATES

MAYOR
John E. Hines
James E. Power
James Rolph, Jr.
Adolph Uhl

POLICE JUDGE
Joseph M. Golden
Leo A. Murasky
Lile T. Jacks

DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Matthew Brady
Maurice T. Dooling
Norman D. Cook

ASSESSOR
James B. McSheehy
Russell L. Wolden

AUDITOR
Thomas F. Boyle
Jos. G. Harney

COUNTY CLERK
Harry I. Mulcrevy
Franklin K. Spencer

SHERIFF
Thomas F. Finn
W. J. Fitzgerald

CORONER
Thos. B. W. Leland

MEMBER BOARD OF EDUCATION
Alfred I. Esberg

SUPERVISORS
John B. Badaracco
Theodore P. Bahr
Edwin G. Bath
E. Bianchi
Henry D. Byrne
John J. Calish
Solomon A. Church
Jesse C. Colman
William E. Costley
Jeff Floyd
Andrew J. Gallagher
Julius S. Godeau
J. Emmet Hayden
Frederick Head
John D. Hynes
Fred C. Jaeger
John Jay Kinrod
Harry I. Koblik
Frank J. McGovern
Ralph McLeran
Carl W. Miles
J. Boyd Oliver
Jefferson E. Peyser
Cora J. Read
Alfred Roncovieri
Warren Shannon
E. Jack Spaulding
Fred Suhr
J. M. Toner
Martin F. Welch
Anna C. Wellbrock
To the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco:

Sir:—

I hereby certify that the foregoing contains the names of the candidates, together with the public offices to be filled at the GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION to be held November 8, 1927, in the City and County of San Francisco, who have been nominated and are entitled to have their names printed upon the ballots for such election, pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and that all of said offices are to be filled for a full term.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, and Secretary of the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County.

Dated, October 10, 1927.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Badaracco, John B.</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahr, Theodore P.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bath, Edwin G.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bianchi, E.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyle, Thos. F.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brady, Matthew</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrne, Henry D.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calish, John J.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church, Solomon A.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colman, Jesse C.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook, Norman D.</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costley, William E.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dooling, Maurice T.</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finn, Thomas F.</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzgerald, W. J.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floyd</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallagher, Andrew J.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godeau, Julius S.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden, Joseph M.</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harney, Jos. G.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayden, J. Emmet</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head, Frederick</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hines, John E.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hynes, John D.</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacks, Lile T.</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaeger, Fred C.</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinrod, John Jay</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koblik, Harry I.</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leland, Thos. B. W.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McIver, Ralph</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McSheehy, James B.</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles, Carl W.</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulcrevy, H. I.</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murasky, Leo A.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver, J. Boyd</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peyser, Jefferson E.</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power, James E.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read, Cora J.</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolph, Jr., James</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roncovieri, Alfred</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon, Warren</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaulding, E. Jack</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer, Franklin K.</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suhr, Fred</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toner, J. M.</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uhl, Adolph</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welch, Martin F.</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellbrock, Anna C.</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolden, Russell L.</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For Mayor

JOHN E. HINES

I have been in business in San Francisco for thirty years, and now conducting the John E. Hines Collection Service, and have come in contact with all kinds of people—the employer and employed, the rich and the poor, the honest man and the shiftless; and from such a varied assortment of humanity I have obtained some very excellent and original ideas as to how our city government should be run for the greatest benefit to all concerned.

I stand beholden to no man. No “Invisible Government” shall for a moment be tolerated. I stand for the workingman and his rights, and I also stand for the capitalist when his wealth is used not for greed and power, but for the better development and prosperity of our city.

I stand for municipal ownership of bridges, water, power, street railways, more and better lights, more small playgrounds to keep the children off the streets.

I stand for the people. Make Hines your Mayor, and be assured of a square deal.

Finally, I am thoroughly conversant with the theories of city government, but am not a professional politician.

Listen to Hines, and Success to you.

For Mayor

JAMES E. POWER

I was born in San Francisco and educated in the public schools, graduating from Commercial High in 1893. I ask for your support for my candidacy for the office of Mayor on my public record as School Director, member of the Board of Supervisors and Postmaster of San Francisco.

For two years I was Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and reported a tax rate of $2.20.

While Postmaster I was elected President of the National Association of Postmasters.

I have given my best thought and pledge my best efforts to the constructive program that must be carried out if San Francisco is to achieve her full metropolitan destiny.

When I announced my candidacy I stated that personalities would be no part of my campaign. I have kept this promise. I have laid stress upon the true issues before the voters. These are fully stated in my platform, a copy of which you have already received. I ask my fellow citizens to study carefully the program I have laid down and my plans for carrying it out.

I have been fortunate enough to achieve a modest success in my private business, and aspire to the Mayoralty in order to apply to
municipal business the principles that I have tested by practical experience.

I take pleasure in announcing the following sponsors:
Mrs. James E. Power, housewife; Miss Florence M. Power, teacher; James E. Power, Jr., law student; J. C. Ewing, president City Coal Company; Frank J. Foran, vice-president King Coal Company; Francis V. Keesling, attorney-at-law; Daniel J. Murphy, vice-president Crocker First National Bank; Dr. Guido Caglieri, physician and surgeon; George J. Asmussen, secretary; Mrs. John I. Nolan, former member of Congress; Edgar C. Levey, attorney-at-law, Speaker of Assembly; Mrs. Marie Purnau Walsh, housewife; Emilio Lastreto, attorney-at-law; Peter J. McCormick, McBrearty & McCormick; H. W. Gaetjen, president Empire Mill Company; Joseph S. Lewis, jeweler; Mrs. Hannah MacDonald, housewife; F. M. McAuliffe, attorney-at-law; Carl Henry, president Owl Drug Company; Daniel B. Dwyer, merchant; Joseph Moreno, secretary Marine Engineers; A. W. Bronillet, attorney-at-law.

For Mayor

JAMES ROLPH, JR.

Sixteen years ago I became Mayor, backed by a strong Board of Supervisors. Public improvement upon a notable scale began at once. The City Government set the example of building in a big way—Civic Center, Hetch Hetchy, schools, tunnels, streets, Municipal Railways—and private enterprises, encouraged, helped in every way, kept pace with the municipality, and San Francisco has shot forward in building, business and prosperity.

Because our City Government has been without taint I have been able to get for the people the service of leading citizens of brains, experience and the highest character, as commissioners appointed by the Mayor. These men and women should be kept in charge of their well-managed departments. They have built up the best police and fire departments in America; created a wonderful school system; with fine buildings for our children; created a public playground system; built great public works; promoted the public health; modernized the park system for its greater enjoyment by the people; expanded and protected Civil Service; conducted honest elections; fostered the arts and set a national example in promoting municipal music. Such citizens can only be held in public service by continuance of an administration they know they can trust. San Francisco's welfare demands they be kept at the tasks they are doing so well.

We must complete Hetch Hetchy, extend the city railways, take over the privately owned car lines at a price about which there must be no question, and by vote of the people, and maintain the five-cent fare.

We must dispose of Hetch Hetchy power directly to the people as a public project, buying or building our distribution system.
We must bridge the bay, north and east, build highways south, keep school buildings abreast with the needs of the children, provide more playgrounds, support intelligent community advertising, encourage new industries, continue to draw conventions to San Francisco, make San Francisco the Pacific Coast center of commercial aviation, and take every possible step to maintain the prosperity that brings happiness to the homes of all the people.

I ask re-election as Mayor to maintain San Francisco's municipal integrity, carefully built up, and attacked now by forces of bossism and destruction that must be given no foothold in the government of the city.

For Mayor

ADOLPH UHL

Reasons for my candidacy for Mayor:
A clean business administration.
One hundred cents value for one dollar's taxes.
Board of Supervisors nine members, adequate pay, giving city their undivided attention.
Mayor one term, six years. Cuts out any political machine. Will save taxpayers $1,000,000 a year.
Create industrial lands needed for more industries. Two thousand acres can be created at Hunter's Point.
Finish Hetch Hetchy; bring pure mountain water to San Francisco direct from mountain reservoir. Present plans run it into Crystal Springs reservoir, losing value of pure mountain water.
Two million dollars yearly saved the taxpayers on my Supreme Court case. Twenty-five cents reduction in your taxes. Your tax rate would have been $3.91 last year in place of $3.66, if I had not won that Supreme Court suit. The suit stopped the Supervisors violating the charter.
I pledge a square deal to Labor and Capital, just cooperation with both.
All the children should be in fireproof schools. I will positively give first consideration to getting our school children out of fire traps into fireproof schools. I commend the work of the Parent-Teacher Association for its zealous work in behalf of the children and will give it my hearty support and cooperation. I have made no election promise; am not tied to any boss. I own no stock or bonds in any public service corporation; have never received compensation from any.
I pledge you my word I will eliminate the political machine that is hampering the progress of San Francisco and burdening the taxpayer. I will see that the half million dollars' worth of high pressure pipe, which has been rusting in a lot at Sixth and Hubbell since 1910, is used to give adequate fire protection.
I ask your support, in favor of the business man.
For Police Judge

JOSEPH M. GOLDEN

I was born and raised in this city and received my early education in the public schools. I am a university graduate and received the degree of Bachelor of Laws and was admitted to practice law in June, 1916. I was engaged in the practice of the law at the outbreak of the World War and at that time enlisted in the United States Navy.

I was elected Police Judge in 1923 and have been officiating as such during the past four years. At the present time I am Presiding Judge.


For Police Judge

LILE T. JACKS

Born of early pioneer parents in Plumas County, California. As a young man came to San Francisco; served many years as Deputy County Clerk under H. I. Mulcrevy, and while clerk to the late Judge J. M. Seawell studied law, working days and attending evening law school.

Followed my profession after admission to practice of law, 1908, and in the recall election of 1921 was drafted by San Francisco Bar Association as candidate for Police Judge. Re-elected Police Judge, 1923, by large majority.

During incumbency have tried approximately 75,000 cases and collected for taxpayers fines in excess of $75,000. (See Auditor Boyle's annual report.)

Have been humane and kind to those in trouble, working, as it were, on a human scrap heap, salvaging all worth while and turning over to penal institutions those who have forfeited their right, by reason of criminal record. Am against willful lawlessness such as reckless automobile driving, crimes of violence, crimes against women, and the sale of unfit foodstuffs, correction of which is vital to public welfare.

Am for strict enforcement of law against all felons who have dedicated their lives to criminal career and as to this class am against their receiving probation.

Have endorsement of the San Francisco Bar Association.
For Police Judge
LEO A. MURASKY

A candidate for Police Judge. Is a native of San Francisco, 37 years of age, is married and has a family. He is a graduate of Hastings College of Law (University of California), served two years during the World War, and has been actively engaged in practice for the past twelve years, during which time he has gained an enviable reputation for his absolute integrity, fairness and proven ability as a lawyer. His candidacy has the enthusiastic endorsement of the members of the bar. He will deal firmly with habitual offenders, narcotic peddlers and armed criminals.

For District Attorney
MATTHEW BRADY

1. Judge Brady has devoted all his time to the office of District Attorney and has maintained no private law office.
2. All of his deputies and assistants are honest and efficient.
3. The Warrant and Bond Clerk's Office has been kept free from collection agencies and from all suspicion and scandal.
4. He has established the Department of Domestic Relations and placed a competent woman in charge of this humane and constructive work.
5. He has appointed the first woman deputy to take charge of and represent the people in all cases that come before the Women's Court.
6. He has prosecuted vigorously all offenses against women and children.
7. He has prosecuted all persons guilty of violations of the narcotic laws and has heartily cooperated in every move to suppress the traffic and dope. He is also furthering the creation of a clinic for the rehabilitation of the unfortunate addict.
8. His office has successfully disposed of approximately seventy-five thousand Superior Court cases, one hundred and fifty thousand Police Court cases, three thousand Grand Jury investigations, besides a vast mass of civil litigation and office routine.
9. He has treated all persons alike, without regard to their station or condition in life.
10. He has protected the innocent as well as prosecuted the guilty.
11. He has tempered justice with mercy and has devoted himself to crime prevention as well as to crime punishment.
12. He bases his candidacy upon his record and experience in public service covering a period of twenty years as Civil Service Commissioner, Police Judge and District Attorney. His administration has been endorsed by more than seven hundred attorneys engaged in the act of the practice of the law in San Francisco.

For District Attorney

NORMAN D. COOK

I am a candidate for District Attorney at the behest of many public-spirited citizens. I am not aligned with any political boss or group, and owe allegiance to the people of San Francisco only.

A change from one political faction to another will not remedy conditions. I do not choose to secure support through gratuitous suggestions of capitalists, or office-holders, however prominent, but rest secure in the belief that the voters of San Francisco are competent to do their own thinking and voting.

The duties of this office are laid down by law for the safeguards of society. Law-abiding people are not criminals, and minor infractions of law do not make them such.

I stand for the protection of the decent people of San Francisco. I will devote my exclusive time and attention to personally conducting prosecutions.

The first woman prosecutor was suggested by me. I now propose a woman assistant for full-time duty in the Juvenile Court.

I was born at Hollister, California, November 22, 1880; came to San Francisco, 1898; entirely self-made; graduate from Kent Law School and Hastings College of the Law, University of California; been an instructor in law past fifteen years; had twenty years' general practice of the law.


For District Attorney

MAURICE T. DOOLING

The character and ability of a candidate can best be judged by those who sponsor him.

Consider these sponsors of Maurice T. Dooling:


Here is what leading San Franciscans say of Dooling's candidacy:

JAMES D. PHELAN: Maurice Dooling has the character, disposition and ability to serve the community at this critical time. I exhort my fellow citizens to support him.

LEWIS F. BYINGTON: Mr. Dooling is a man of proven worth, having served well for many years in the city attorney's office. As district attorney he can be depended upon to prepare and try cases himself—not to delegate the entire task to deputies.

GERTRUDE ATHERTON: Inherently true to an unimpeachable social code, capable, intelligent, energetic, industrious, San Francisco has never been offered a candidate more generously equipped to be our district attorney. I appeal to all woman voters to support him.

JOHN H. McCALLUM: As a business man, I look upon Mr. Dooling's candidacy as the best value votes can buy.

ALBERT A. ROSENSHINE: I believe Maurice Dooling's election to the office of district attorney will bring about a conspicuous improvement in San Francisco's official family.

In his platform, Maurice T. Dooling pledges himself:
1. To remain in direct personal charge of the affairs of the office.
2. To restore cooperation with the police department.
3. To offer ALL facts in presenting cases to courts and before the grand jury.
4. To make certain that innocence is not wronged nor guilt shielded.
5. To select deputies solely upon their character and legal knowledge.
6. To see that every dollar allotted the district attorney's office is spent for the purpose intended—not diverted to reward political favorites.
For Assessor

JAMES B. McSHEEHY

To the Citizens of San Francisco:

Whose taxes are you paying? Your own—or somebody else's?
If elected Assessor, I promise:

1. To reduce the taxes of ninety per cent of the people by equalizing assessments.
2. To drive politics and political influence from the Assessor's office.
3. To eliminate antiquated and costly thumb-rule methods and to employ modern, scientific assessment principles.
4. To be strictly impartial and vigilant for the just interests of all taxpayers.

For ten years the Assessor's office has been dominated by political influence.
San Francisco property has traditionally been assessed at 50 per cent of its true value.
Incompetence and political favoritism lead to such inequitable assessments as these:

1. **St. Francis Hotel** (Improved) Appraised $6,420,204. Assessed $2,413,559, about 37 2/3 per cent.
2. **The Emporium** (Improved) Appraised $7,016,039. Assessed $2,368,750, about 33 2/3 per cent.
3. Block 7081 (Unimproved) 1220 feet frontage Junipero Serra and Worcester (Gore corner) Appraised $60,520. Assessed $3,000, or 4 1/2 per cent.

These properties are under-assessed $1,966,072. It would take 655 property owners, paying on an assessment of $3,000 each, or $114 each, to make up the difference, and they pay the bill.

Small taxpayers are found paying such assessments as these:
1. Block 5520 (Improved) S. W. Corner Montezuma and Shotwell streets. Appraised $3,557.00. Assessed $2,220.00, or 62 1/2 per cent.

QUALIFICATIONS

Born in San Francisco, I have been a building contractor for twenty-five years, a public building inspector, and for ten years a Supervisor. This experience particularly qualifies me for Assessor. As Chairman of the Finance Committee, I promised to reduce taxes. I kept my pledge. I promise, if elected Assessor, to reduce further the taxes of the great mass of the people by equalizing assessments.
For Assessor

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN

The Assessor of San Francisco must be a man of definitely proven qualifications and specific training in assessment problems. Assessor Wolden, the incumbent, possesses these qualifications; his broad general training is such that the problems which will devolve upon San Francisco's Assessor in the future can be safely entrusted to him, particularly re-appraisal surveys directed toward equalization of assessment burdens.

Russell L. Wolden was born in San Benito county, California, on January 3, 1878. For more than twenty-five years he has been a resident of San Francisco; his two sons are receiving their education in the San Francisco public schools.

Since January, 1917, Russell L. Wolden has been connected with the Assessor's office. Because of his long training under the late John Ginty, whose chief deputy he was, Wolden was appointed to the office of Assessor following Mr. Ginty's death.

The last assessment roll was the largest in the city's history. By the employment of progressive and efficient methods, more than $27,000,000 was added to the assessment roll, with justice to home owners and business interests alike. That this assessment roll was fair is attested by the fact that out of 450,000 assessments the Supervisors, as a Board of Equalization, made revisions for only five taxpayers, from which showing it would appear that all assessments were made on efficient and scientific principles, and not by rule of thumb.

The protection of the home owner and the encouragement of business expansion depend largely upon a non-political and efficient administration of the office of Assessor. To a continuance of such an administration Assessor Wolden stands pledged.

Assessor Wolden's candidacy is sponsored by: Marshall Hale, Merchant; Dr. Marianna Bertola, Physician; James W. Mullen, Editor of Labor Clarion; O. P. Stidger, Attorney; Howard A. Welsh, Advertising; Mrs. D. E. F. Easton, Housewife; Julian J. Meyer, Investments; August R. Oliva, Commission Merchant; Thomas Doyle, Secretary San Francisco Building Trades Council; Margaret Mary Morgan, Printer; Emile E. Kahn, Realtor; F. V. Keesling, Attorney; George E. Russell, Aerial Photographer; H. G. Brigaerts, Business Representative of Electrical Workers' Union; Belle A. Donovan, Housewife; Jacob Nieto, Rabbi; P. F. Rathjens, Butcher; George J. Giannini, Merchant; S. C. Buckbee, Real Estate; Clarence H. King, Financial Secretary Musicians' Union No. 6.
For Auditor
THOS. F. BOYLE

To the Voting Public:

I am now completing my fifth term as Auditor. My election as Auditor followed a successful career as business manager of the Call and Bulletin, two of our leading San Francisco newspapers. As Auditor I have conducted the office honestly, efficiently and conscientiously and in full compliance with the State laws and City Charter provisions. I have served all the people fairly and squarely.

The municipal accounting system established under my direction is declared by U. S. Government experts and statisticians the very best of any city in the United States. This statement has been made publicly and also personally to his honor, the Mayor.

Quoting from the report of the Grand Jury just issued: “The total amount of demands audited by Mr. Boyle during the past fiscal year was over $53,000,000. In addition to this, Auditor Boyle canceled demands which had been passed by the Board of Supervisors and other departments of the city government in a total amount of $704,000.00, the Auditor thus safeguarding the city's funds in compelling court decisions on claims that he considered invalid.”

I refer you to the following list of sponsors: Aaron Altman, Director Art, School Department; Wm. T. Bonsor, San Francisco Labor Council; Capt. George Brown, S. F. Fire Department; Eugene F. Conlin, Attorney-at-Law; Miss Marion Delaney, Social Welfare; Katherine C. Felton, Secretary, Associated Charities; Mario Forno, Juvenile Court; Marshall Hale, Merchant; Al Katchinski, Shoe Merchant; Chas. H. Kendrick, Real Estate; Chas. A. Koenig, Manufacturer; Dr. Samuel C. Kohs, Director, Hebrew Board of Relief; Louis H. Mooser, Real Estate; Daniel C. Murphy, Manager, Liberty Bank; John McLaren, Superintendent, Golden Gate Park; John H. McCallum, Lumber Merchant; F. P. Nicholas, San Francisco Building Trades Council; James P. Skelly, Secretary, Little Children's Aid; Geo. C. Turner, Boys' Aid Society; E. A. Walcott, Secretary, Commonwealth Club.

For Auditor
JOSEPH G. HARNEY

I was born in San Francisco and have been a resident of this city all my life. I have been engaged in the general contracting business for the past twenty years.

If elected, I will conduct the office honestly, economically and efficiently. I will insist that all persons receive prompt and courteous treatment.

My sponsors, enumerated below, are sufficient proof of my character and the service I will render to the electorate of San Francisco. My sponsors are as follows:

Mrs. Sophia L. Biller, clubwoman; R. H. O. Bohr, clothing mer-
chant; T. I. Butler, president Pacific Gravel Company; Frank M. Carroll, city passenger agent, Chicago & Northwestern Railway; Ira W. Coburn, contractor and builder, and member of Board of Education; Wm. H. Egan, hay and grain merchant; Wm. K. Gutzkow, vice-president Russ Estate Company; Chas. L. Harney, street contractor and engineer; Mrs. M. V. B. MacAdam, retired realtor and clubwoman; Walter E. Maguire, real estate broker; Edward F. Moore, superintendent of City of Paris; Wm. L. Mulrenin, real estate speculator; Mrs. Della Murphy, clubwoman; Hugo G. Poheim, merchant tailor; A. G. Sala, realtor.

For County Clerk

H. I. MULCREVY


Hon. Harry I. Mulcrevy, incumbent County Clerk, was first inducted into said office on January 8, 1906. Shortly thereafter, on April 18, 1906, occurred the conflagration of San Francisco, destroying the City Hall, including files and records of County Clerk's office. Mr. Mulcrevy, at sacrifice of his private business, thereupon applied himself solely to the task of reestablishing his public office and arranging for machinery of judicial branch of government to be set in motion. Mr. Mulcrevy's business experience was here used to splendid advantage and records show that in a very short time the County Clerk's office and courts were operating as if nothing unusual had happened.

Mr. Mulcrevy personally supervises the conduct of his office and is always available to the public to perform any of his official duties. He has established in his office such a perfect system of filing indexing, registering, recording, docketing, etc., that the status of any court or other proceeding can be easily ascertained by either title or number. Work of the County Clerk's office is divided into several departments and work of each department is kept up to date. Every file and record book is in splendid order and accessible to the public.

System prevails; efficient, courteous and prompt service is the rule in the County Clerk's office.

By an intelligent distribution of responsibility and implicit understanding between principal and deputies, Mr. Mulcrevy has been enabled to handle the ever-increasing amount of business in the County Clerk's office (without additional help) and earn more than a million dollars' profit ($1,064,574.45) in excess of running expenses during his administration.

Judges, lawyers and laymen are warm in their praises of Mr. Mulcrevy, because he has demonstrated in a practical way that "A public office is a public trust" and that a public office can be conducted on the highest standard of business principles. They also certify that the best interests of bench, bar and public would be subserved by retaining him in office and urge his reelection on November 8, 1927.
For County Clerk
FRANKLIN K. SPENCER

Plain statement of facts: The law requires that candidates come before the people depending upon their individual merit and qualifications. Political parties are strictly prohibited from holding conventions, nominating, recommending or endorsing any candidate for office. I am complying strictly with the law, which is the first test of good citizenship. I am the only candidate who has not sought and received the endorsement of unauthorized bodies—small coteries of men who arrogate to themselves the power to act for political parties. Do not be deceived by literature bearing endorsements; they are spurious. I am a native of San Francisco and by occupation a Searcher of Records—have been engaged in this line of work for many years. Am a Republican by education as well as by descent.

For Sheriff
THOMAS F. FINN

I am a native of San Francisco and have lived here all my life. Have served the people as State Senator during several terms of the Legislature, and have served the last three terms as Sheriff of this city and county.

I believe that a candidate for public office should be judged by his record.

Following are excerpts from report of Grand Jury, January 31, 1927:

“To the Foreman and Members of the Grand Jury of the City and County of San Francisco:

“Ladies and Gentlemen: Your Committee on the Office of the Sheriff and the County Jails has made a complete inspection of the same and have the following report to make:

“Relative to the Sheriff’s office proper, your Committee visited the same at the City Hall during the early part of the month and were personally conducted throughout by Sheriff Thomas F. Finn.

“Your Committee is pleased to report that this veteran and faithful public servant has surrounded himself with a staff of capable assistants many of whom specialize on different parts of the voluminous civil work and that all of it receives expert attention. The general conduct of the office is par excellence for efficiency, and the general public is given prompt and courteous service upon whatever business they have to transact there, it was personally observed by your Committee.

“Relative to the county jails your Committee on January 20, 1927, made a complete tour of the three branches of same and found the same in excellent condition, so far as it is within the power of Sheriff Finn and his staff to have them so.

“In conclusion, your Committee wishes to give full indorsement
to Sheriff Finn and his staff for their efficiency in the manner of
carrying out the arduous duties of this responsible office.

"Respectfully submitted,

L. J. VALENTINE, Chairman, JOSEPH H. FINIGAN,
PHIL NOONAN, JULIAN H. KAY,
J. E. SNELSON, BENJAMIN ANIXTER,
MAX JACKSON, JOHN C. NEWLANDS,
EDWARD R. BRADY, MRS. LAURA R. MOLLEDA,
ERNEST L. DRURY, T. J. NEILAN,
JOHN E. McDOUGALD, RALPH PINCUS."

The conduct of the Sheriff’s office and the county jails has been
commended by each succeeding Grand Jury, as well as by all of the
courts in this city and county.

For Sheriff

W. J. FITZGERALD

I was born, raised and reside South of Market Street in San
Francisco.
I am an attorney, a civil engineer, and have been executive
secretary of the Board of Public Works for the past eight years,
and am now on leave of absence from the office.
I was Assistant Civil Engineer in the Bureau of Engineering,
under civil service tenure, for seven years.
I am a graduate and former faculty member of St. Mary’s Col-
lege, and am now president of the Alumni Association of that uni-
versity.
In placing myself before the people as a candidate for the office
of Sheriff, I pledge myself to devote my full time to the duties of
that office, as prescribed by charter, and to refrain from using that
office to manipulate politics.
I will see to it that there is no tampering with the jury box.
I stand for the early removal of the Ingleside County Jail to a
location where it will not be offensive to surrounding residents.
The Sheriff’s office needs a change—the substitution of a Sheriff
for the present political “boss,” who has been on the public payroll
for 26 years.

For Coroner

T. B. W. LELAND

Born in California and educated in the public schools. Graduated
from the State Normal School and the University of California.
Served as autopsy surgeon under Coroner Dr. R. Beverly Cole, and
upon his death was appointed by Mayor Phelan to fill the unexpired
term and elected to the office at the end of the term. Resigned as
Coroner at entrance of United States into World War to enlist in the
U. S. Navy, and upon my return in 1918 was reappointed Coroner.
Have been highly commended by every grand jury during my adminis-
tration of the office and have been repeatedly indorsed by the Republican, Democratic and Union Labor parties, as well as by all important civic organizations. Have endeavored to give the city a progressive, efficient and honest administration.

For Supervisor

JOHN B. BADARACCO

I was born and raised in California, and have been engaged in business in San Francisco for the past 16 years. For the past four years I have been a member of the Board of Supervisors, and prior to that, was elected for three consecutive terms to the State Legislature, representing the Thirty-third Assembly District continuously for six years. Am past president of the Native Sons, San Francisco Parlor No. 49.

The Supplies Committee, of which I am Chairman, in conjunction with Leonard Levy, City Purchasing Agent, during the past eighteen months has saved conservatively $662,000.

I believe that the Hetch Hetchy water system should be completed without delay; that the resultant power should be distributed by the City; that there should be a unification of the street car systems under municipal ownership, and that the bay bridge should be publicly owned.

Junipero Serra, Bay Shore, Alemany Boulevard and Bernal Cut have been the steady objects of my work. I have been always insistent on the proper care of streets between railroad tracks, and have been successful in having many streets put in good repair.

My sponsors are: Josephine Barr Collins, president of the California State Floral Society, 3 Broderick street; Mrs. H. J. Koch, housewife, 1235 Carolina street; Mrs. Edna Calhan, real estate, 226 Monticello avenue; Edwin G. Foppiano, real estate, 1124 Hyde street; James E. Wilson, secretary-treasurer Brotherhood of Teamsters, 181 Arlington avenue; August R. Oliva, commission merchant, 565 Clay street; Arthur H. Samish, secretary Motor Carriers' Association, 1635 Chestnut street; Harry W. Gaetjen, manager Empire Planing Mill, 555 Forty-fifth avenue; Victor J. Canepa, State Senator, auto dealer, 454 Union street; Al Katchinski, president Philadelphia Shoe Company, 3450 Jackson street; J. R. Klawans, merchant, 3330 Laguna street; J. B. Fugazi, steamship agent, 1438 Filbert street; Victor Sbragia, undertaker, 649 Green street; Daniel C. Murphy, banker, State Senator, member of the Board of Education, 90 Justin drive; Albert A. Rosenshine, Assemblyman and attorney for the California State Banking Commission, 1000 Mason street; Alice Rose Powers, member of the Board of Education, 233 Claremont boulevard; F. J. Ghiselli, real estate, 1454 Twenty-fourth avenue; George J. Giannini, banker, 640 Post street; Franck R. Havenner, Supervisor, 460 Ellis street.

19
For Supervisor
THEODORE P. BAHR

I was born in the State of Wisconsin, in 1878. I came to San Francisco in 1900 and have resided here continuously ever since. For the past twenty-five years I have conducted my own business successfully (contracting and manufacturing). I am a San Francisco taxpayer.

I advocate a progressive business administration including: immediate construction of adequate school buildings, completion of Hetch Hetchy water and power system, extension and unification of street railways, municipally owned and operated garbage collection, improved streets and more highways, more industries and payrolls.

I am an independent candidate, pledged to no man, party or organization.

For Supervisor
EDWIN G. BATH

Am completing my second consecutive term as Supervisor. Fulfilling the trust imposed upon me, I have consistently stood for more and better schools, parks, playgrounds, better streets, highways and other needed public improvements. Advocated and voted for municipal ownership of public utilities. I favor publicly owned bay bridges and early acquirement of Market Street Railways; street regulation of automobile and pedestrian traffic and the lowest possible tax rate. Am aligned with no faction and voted independently on all issues. Attorney—graduate University of California. Associated with Pacific Mutual Life Insurance Company for nearly twenty-five years. Man of family.

For Supervisor
E. BIANCHI

At the request of many friends, pioneer and old-time residents of San Francisco, interested in the civic progress of our city, I am a candidate for Supervisor.

I was born in San Francisco of pioneer Italian parentage, who made early history in Italian opera, and am a man of family.

I attended the Hastings College of Law (Law Department, University of California), class '86. Admitted to California bar in 1894.

Pledge myself to foster early completion of Hetch Hetchy project, purchase of Spring Valley system, better streets, better roads, the growth of commerce and industry, and municipal ownership of all public utilities.
For Supervisor

HENRY D. BYRNE

Born in Amador county, California. Attended public school until 17 years of age. While serving my apprenticeship in a large machine shop, taking up the trade of machinist, I attended the Lincoln Night School in San Francisco, graduating the following year, then entered the Commercial High, from which I graduated after a full term. Accepted position as salesman for a large mechanical rubber goods manufacturing concern, representing this company for five years in the Northwest. Resigning this position in 1905 to become one of the incorporators of Barton, Squires & Byrne, Inc., jobbers in mechanical rubber goods, at that time doing a large annual business with all the countries bordering on the Pacific.

In 1916 I was elected to represent the 32nd Assembly District in the Legislature from San Francisco, serving during the World War years. My record stands as an open book for service to the people of San Francisco.

At the present time I am engaged in the rubber business, and feel sure that my business ability and legislative experience fit me to serve the people of San Francisco as one of their representatives on the Board of Supervisors.

I thank you for your supporting vote on November 8.

For Supervisor

JOHN J. CALISH

As Supervisor I will lend every possible effort to bring about the early completion of the entire Hetch Hetchy project. I favor municipal distribution of water and power. I favor the formation of a non-partisan Public Utility Commission to govern all public utilities. I am in favor of bridging the bay. I have always been friendly to the Municipal Railway System and favor the extension of the Municipal System wherever needed. I stand for the unification of street railways. I stand for a low tax rate, without, in any way, crippling the growth of the city. I stand for the education and proper schooling of our young by appropriating proper school funds. I am a strong believer of as many highways as it is possible to build to open up the city, and the completion of the ocean esplanade. I favor the Sunset boulevard connecting Lincoln way and Sloat boulevard.

I will lend every effort towards proper lighting conditions of the city, and am strongly in favor of the opening up and building of the outlying districts. My desire is to build up more homes and keep people "living" in San Francisco. I want to see close and friendly relations existing between capital and labor. I will lend my whole-hearted support to the aforesaid. I am not a believer of procrastination in anything pertaining to the affairs vital to the need of the growth of San Francisco. I believe in a plan to industrially develop San Francisco.

I have been identified in the past and present with the following
civic bodies: Polk Street Improvement Club, Fillmore Street Improvement Club, Oceanside Improvement Club, Oceanside Community Council, Sunset Federation of Improvement Clubs, Sunset Transportation and Development Association, Sunset Progressive Club, Civic League of Improvement Clubs, Golden Gate Valley Improvement Club, Sunset-Parkside Incorporated Association, Street Contractors' Association.

For Supervisor

SOLOMON A. CHURCH

My Platform—

Turn Hetch Hetchy back to the people.
“Efficiency and economy” in government.
Reduce taxes; equalize assessments.
Good schools, good streets, playgrounds, and all requisites so necessary to health and happiness of its citizens, should be provided for.

My Pledge—

I will go in with clean hands and come out the same way.
I have been actively and successfully engaged in the electrical business in San Francisco for fifteen years.

For Supervisor

JESSE C. COLMAN

My platform is first of all a Business Platform.
I will stand for an efficient, business-like administration of public affairs with politics absolutely eliminated.
I will assist in the continuous development of the school system.
I will insist upon the strict enforcement of the Civil Service merit system for city employees, with World War Veterans maintaining their preferential ratings.
I stand for an early, economic completion of San Francisco’s Hetch Hetchy water project and development of its hydro-electric energy for the best interests of all the people. I believe the people should own or control their public utilities.
I stand for an early solution of our garbage problems.
I believe in the bridging of San Francisco bay and the development of our natural resources.
I will stand for the completion of our Civic Center and War Memorial group of buildings just as soon as sufficient funds are available. The War Memorial should get under way at once.
I believe that the Police and Fire Departments should be kept out of politics to maintain their present high standards of efficiency for which they are noted throughout the world.
I believe in an early solution of our traffic and transportation problems along scientific lines.
I stand for the advancement of music and art by the municipality.
I believe in the encouragement of all clean American sports and athletes.

I believe first, last and all the time in my city, San Francisco.


For Supervisor
WILLIAM E. COSTLEY

Advocate the repeal of all laws that retard trusts, combines and mergers from achieving their historical destiny of welding all means of production and distribution of wealth into economic unity.

Economic unity will end this present competitive system, thus ending the cruel struggle for existence amidst an ocean of plenty.

Restore to the people their natural heritage, the land and tools perfectly organized and harnessed for the production of the six essential needs of man: Food, clothing, shelter, sanitation, education and recreation or enjoyment.

With our eight billion man power of machinery working for us, we will have economic security for all.

For Supervisor
JEFF FLOYD

I favor more industries, commerce, payrolls, additional parks and playgrounds, building of new and better schools, streets and highways.

I am a San Francisco boy, born and raised in our city, and a general insurance broker, for a number of years at 505 Van Ness avenue. My father was Pop Floyd, No. 118 California street. I am trustee of Mission Parlor No. 38, N. S. G. W.; member of
Elks, No. 3; Associated Sportmen's Clubs of California; South of Market Boys, Inc., and other clubs.
I have been actively and successfully engaged in business in San Francisco for the last fifteen years.

For Supervisor

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER

The humane side of civic and community life comes first with me. The child of every San Franciscan is entitled to the best we can give it. Better playgrounds and schools help parents materially.
The people have given a mandate for lower taxes and economy. Certain public improvements must proceed, but the Supervisors must evidence a disposition to practice economy.
Good streets are essential to a city. My efforts for the largest possible appropriation for good streets will continue. Everything I can do will be done to complete Hetch Hetchy. I favor the municipal distribution of the power therefrom.
Our Fire and Police Departments must be maintained at their present efficient standard.
Any attempt to interfere with Civil Service I will vigorously oppose.
I favor the installation of traffic devices at all dangerous points; special protection must be given to pedestrians.
It is a Supervisor's duty to encourage industrial enterprises with large payrolls to locate in our City.
Property should be assessed equitably, with no favoritism.
I have followed rigidly those public utilities which serve our people. In this connection I favor the acquisition of the Market Street Railway properties. This will give us a universal transfer system and will preserve the five-cent fare.
I oppose the proposed increased telephone rates.
I favor giving each district its fair share of improvements.
The bridge to Alameda should be constructed at the earliest date.
Broad highways and rapid transit down the Peninsula is a vital need.
My attendance at meetings since my appointment January 11, 1926, follows: Present at 107 of the 112 Supervisorsial meetings held; five absences due to illness and absence on City business; present at 420 committee meetings and at 110 special meetings, such as citizens' committees, etc.

For Supervisor

JULIUS S. GODEAU

Julius S. Godeau is a candidate for Supervisor.
The voters of San Francisco have an opportunity to put a substantial business man on the Board.
Supervisors run the city's business.

When a man has been successful in his own business it stands to reason that he is the more competent to handle the city's business. Julius S. Godeau was born in San Francisco. He has lived all his life here. He has been in business in San Francisco 42 years.

The record of the conduct of his own affairs, the esteem in which he is held by his fellow citizens speak most eloquently for his candidacy.

For Supervisor

J. EMMET HAYDEN

As to my legislative record, I can refer to my vote making possible the Civic Center, Municipal Railway System, Ocean Beach Esplanade, Marina development, new school buildings, playgrounds for children, good streets and sewers and the municipal airport. Introduced the resolution appointing commission of three eminent engineers to report on a bridge across the bay to Alameda county.

I am on record in favor of the rapid completion of the Hetch Hetchy water project, municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy hydro-electric power and the purchase of the Spring Valley water system. An advocate of unification of the street car system of San Francisco under municipal operation, and the rapid completion of the San Francisco War Memorial, John McLaren Park and Aquatic Park.

I favor the proposed bond issue for good roads which is to be voted upon at the coming election.

As to the cultural side of city life, I point to my work as Chairman of the Auditorium Committee for 8 years, in bringing to the people the very best in music by introduction of popular symphony concerts, Spring Festivals, Music Week and the Municipal Chorus.

Referring to these concerts, I want to quote Mr. Redfern Mason, musical critic of the San Francisco Examiner: "They are supported and are made to pay by people (like you and me), folks who have to work for their livelihood and need music as a constructive recreation. They have given food for thousands of music-hungry people. San Francisco today is literally preaching the gospel of beauty to the people."

For Supervisor

FREDERICK HEAD

Canadian by birth; naturalized 1886; Lincoln School graduate, Edwards class 1879; admitted to practice law 1915; twenty years' City service; Sunset property owner; member three fraternities; Methodist; stands for better government; not bought by endorsements; you'll win with Head.

Condemn cemetery properties for Memorial Parks.
Construct our own water distributing system.
Finish outside construction Hetch Hetchy water supply speedily.
Money used on streets instead of paid to politicians as in past.
Provide facilities for ingress to and egress from City.
Intelligent economy in expenditure of public money.
No skimping school needs.
More comfort stations.

For Supervisor

JOHN D. HYNES

I was one of the first to advocate a change in our City government and campaigned in 1923 to effect that change. In 1925, two years later, with the assistance of the newspapers, a change was made. Now complete the work. If you elect me, the same policy for a conservative, economical expenditure of the taxpayers' money, with full value received for money paid out, will be maintained and advocated. I am sure that is what the voters and taxpayers want and expect. This, I pledge and promise, if you elect me Supervisor.

For Supervisor

FRED C. JAEGGER

To the People of San Francisco:

I was born in San Francisco and have lived here all my life. Starting in the floral business in 1895, I have met with considerable success.

If I am elected Supervisor I shall endeavor to promote good schools; moreover, I shall use every influence to promote good building construction without the wasting of funds.

I also firmly believe in playgrounds and parks for our children, as what is better to promote good health than good, clean, outdoor sports?

I am also in favor of tax reduction where this is permissible, at the same time granting the government all necessary funds to properly carry on the affairs of our city in a safe, sound, business-like manner.

I am also in favor of good lighting systems in our residential districts; good boulevards; a mooring at our flying field for visiting dirigibles; and other progressive projects.

I shall also do all in my power to make San Francisco the manufacturing and financial center of the West.

For Supervisor
JOHN JAY KINROD

For your support and vote, my pledge stands for a progressive, economical and efficient government.
Encouragement of more industries and more payrolls.
Municipal ownership of public utilities as per our charter.
Improvement of streets, lighting and transportation in the residential districts.

If elected Supervisor, I will be guided by the sentiment of the people.

Have been an employee of the Recorder’s office of San Francisco for the past 8 years. Am a World War veteran, high school and college graduate, member of Office Employees’ Association of the American Federation of Labor, and American Legion.

For Supervisor
HARRY I. KOBLIK

Fellow Citizens: You need a Socialist as Supervisor to fight energetically for municipal acquisition at the lowest cost of the Bay Bridge, the Street Railways, Hetch-Hetchy power distribution, and Spring Valley distributing system, and to see that they are economically administered.

Since the Supreme Court has declared the Board of Education has taxing power, I favor making the board elective, responsible to you whose money it spends.
Favoring low taxes, I oppose waste and extravagance, but advocate progressive improvements.
I advocate organized labor as the best guarantee of good wages, the basis of true prosperity.
Vote for me, the Socialist nominee.

For Supervisor
RALPH McLERAN

I am an independent candidate, controlled by no political faction; and not seeking to ride into office on arbitrary and false issues. I stand behind any movement which, according to my better judgment and 16 years’ experience as Supervisor, will benefit San Francisco and all of the people.
For Supervisor

CARL W. MILES

I am 36 years of age.

After having served four years as Chief Petty Officer in the Navy during the World War, I engaged in business in 1920. I am now president of Miles and Scott, Inc.; president of the Mail Advertising Service Association, and Past Commander of C. C. Thomas Navy Post, American Legion.

As a business man, I believe that the City business may be administered as efficiently and economically as that of any private corporation.

I believe in the right of every child to the best possible educational advantages; up-to-the-minute schools; adequately paid teachers, and equal accommodations for children of every district.

I believe wholeheartedly in municipal ownership of all public utilities and, if elected, will work for the early completion of Hetch Hetchy; the distribution of resultant power through municipally owned lines for the operation of municipal railways and lighting system; the public ownership of the Bay Bridge, and for the creation of a Public Utility Commission to study and carry out plans for the construction, acquisition and operation of these utilities.

I believe in the unification of the street railways under municipal ownership and control; I shall work for civic improvements; better traffic regulation; completion of City projects; the good will and harmony with neighboring communities—to all of these I pledge my support.

My sponsors are: Lucille Miles, housewife, 571 Frederick street; Ernest L. West, merchant tailor, 742 Fifteenth avenue; Henry Heidelberg, attorney-at-law, 2731 Anza street; Ella M. Todd, housewife, 1341 Thirty-seventh avenue; Dr. Howard M. McKinley, dental surgeon, 110 Eighth avenue; Joseph A. Kilroy, attorney-at-law, 140 Beulah street; Rose A. Puch, merchant, 1019A Vallejo street; Isador Zellerbach, president Zellerbach Paper Co., 3524 Jackson street; Charles H. Kendrick, investor, 2701 Pierce street; Lucretia I. Henkel, retired nurse, 1445 Oak street; Everett W. Wilson, president Pacific National Bank, Mark Hopkins Hotel; John A. McGee, attorney-at-law, 505 Geary street; Thomas J. Riordan, attorney, 721 Twenty-first avenue; Karl Eber, jeweler, 790 Thirty-seventh avenue; John M. Perata, mortician, 2169 Green street; Alma M. Walker, national secretary United Veterans of the Republic, 1955 McAllister street; Dr. W. J. Hawkins, physician, 70 Baker street; Joseph Rooney, retired, 359 Frederick street; Edgar S. Bissinger, realtor, 2190 Jackson street; Dr. Robert Grosso, dentist, 68 Mallorca way.
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For Supervisor

J. BOYD OLIVER

I present my candidacy to the voters of San Francisco upon my record as an attorney and member of civic organizations active in municipal affairs. I am a member of the Rialto Post of the American Legion. I am a graduate of the University of California and have been practicing law in San Francisco since the close of the World War. I saw service overseas and was discharged with the rank of captain in 1919.

I pledge myself as favoring:
1. Purchase of the Market Street Railway and unification of the street railway systems of the City under municipal ownership at the five-cent fare.
2. Purchase by the City of the Spring Valley properties and distributing system as soon as possible.
3. Municipal bonds for the Municipal Railway, Bay Shore and other highway extensions.
5. Completion of transmission lines.
7. A policy of economy working toward a reduction in taxes and in opposition to extravagant conduct of departmental affairs.
8. Publicly owned and operated Bay bridges.
10. Rapid standardization and completion of the street lighting system now being inaugurated.

For Supervisor

JEFFERSON E. PEYSER

World War veteran. Practicing attorney. Native of California; resident of San Francisco for 27 years.
Graduate Lowell High School and University of California.
I consider the proper development of highways and street-car transportation, the completion of the Hetch-Hetchy project and adequate school facilities to be the most vital problems confronting San Francisco and pledge myself to give careful and constant attention thereto.

I refrain from making empty promises, but I assure the voters that I can and will do one thing: Attend the meetings of the Board promptly and faithfully and give my best and most conscientious attention to its duties.
For Supervisor
CORA J. READ

The Board of Supervisors is the responsible department of the City Administration upon which the citizens depend for an economical, safe and efficient administration of the affairs of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must be guided by expert advice, whenever necessary, of those qualified in municipal administration, and in sympathy with public ownership of public utilities, etc.

The wage earners of this City through the organized labor movement have safeguarded and constantly raised the standard of living of all workers. Their interests must be given first consideration. No industries or employers of labor should be granted privileges or shall ordinances be passed which would benefit those who discriminate against the organized labor movement or discriminate against industries or employers who work in harmony with the organized workers.

Municipal ownership of public utilities must be pushed forward and constant watch be kept against any attempt to destroy the usefulness of these basic provisions of the City charter.

The general policies in our schools must be that those attending will understand the benefits derived from organized labor, municipal ownership.

These problems and many others which are not enumerated for lack of space cannot be carried on effectively without the active participation of the workers in the management thereof.

The organized labor movement in cooperation with other workers' organizations must organize their political power and form United Labor Committees to at all times be able to safeguard the welfare of the organized labor movement as well as all other wage earners of this City.

A detailed program will be published outlining the platform of my candidacy for Board of Supervisors.

It is upon these principles I appear before the voters of this City for their support.

For Supervisor
ALFRED RONCOVIERI

The undersigned sponsor Alfred Roncovieri for Supervisor, because of his high character, ability, experience, and knowledge of the great municipal problems confronting our City. Mr. Roncovieri was born in San Francisco, and educated in our public schools and the University of California. He speaks four languages, and has acquired a broad knowledge of civic problems through study, research and travel.
As Superintendent of Schools he served the people with distinction. In 1906, he brought order out of chaos in the school department, and thereafter gave many years of loyal and efficient service to the children and teachers of this city.

As Supervisor, during the last four years, he has sponsored and voted for all of our major municipal accomplishments, among which are: Tax reduction; Duboce Tunnel; Bridge Legislation; Breaking the Bottleneck; Airport; Bay Shore Highway; Market Street Extension; Improved Streets, Street Lighting and Street Cleaning; Extension of Sewer System; Golden Gate Ferry; Auditorium Popular Symphonies; Tubercular Sanitarium; New Traffic Signal System; Liberal Appropriations for Schools, and for Dependent Children; etc., etc.

He stands for rigid economy, civic integrity, and progressive good government. His past actions assure his future record. Deeds are stronger endorsements than words.

A. P. Giannini, president Bancitaly Corporation; Marshal Hale, executive vice-president Hale Bros. Stores, Inc.; Andrew F. Burke, attorney-at-law and Past Exalted Ruler, San Francisco Lodge No. 3, B. P. O. Elks; Sidney L. Schwartz, investment banker and broker and president San Francisco Stock and Bond Exchange; General A. P. Entenza, National Commander-in-Chief Spanish War Veterans; Walter A. Weber, president Musicians' Union, Local No. 6, A. F. of L.; Paul Kleiber, president Kleiber Motor Company; Walter McGovern, attorney-at-law and Past Dictator San Francisco Lodge No. 26, Loyal Order of Moose; Dr. Mariana Bertola, Past President of the California Federation of Women's Clubs; George F. Schwarz, secretary Kleiber Motor Company; Albert A. Greenbaum, secretary Musicians' Union; Joy Lichtenstein, manager Hartford Fire Insurance Company, and Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company; John J. Lerman, attorney-at-law and Past Exalted Ruler San Francisco Lodge No. 3, B. P. O. Elks; A. J. Vining, Past Commander George H. Thomas Post, G. A. R.; Clarence King, treasurer Musicians' Union; Frank Cames, retired merchant and capitalist; Colonel Charles H. Murphy, founder of the High School of Commerce of San Francisco, and its Principal for over 25 years; James G. Dewey, composer, and member of Board of Directors Musicians' Union; Eugene J. Autard, retired merchant, and Past President Lafayette Club, Inc.; Walter E. Trefts, attorney-at-law, and official Court Reporter.

For Supervisor

WARREN SHANNON

As a Supervisor for the past eight years, I have supported all municipal legislation of a constructive and progressive nature, such as provided for street improvements, more and better schools, and additional parks and playgrounds. I have striven to conduct city affairs with economy consistent with efficiency, the rule in my private
business, the Shannon-Conmy Printing Company, of which I have been business manager for the past twenty-one years, and which has been successfully conducted since its establishment in 1854, when my father settled in San Francisco.

The newly established system of Centralized Municipal Purchasing, which has already saved the taxpayers over three million dollars, emanated from the Supplies Committee, of which I am a member.

Sponsor of resolution providing $37,500 for the City Attorney's office with which to wage fight in behalf of the people against the proposed raise in telephone rates.

As Chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, which has charge of the important legislation concerning Hetch Hetchy and the Municipal Railway, I have worked for early completion of the water and power project and extending the service of the City's street car lines, and will continue to do so.

I believe in and will work for carrying into effect charter provisions on municipal ownership. I have taken my stand for municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power.


For Supervisor

E. JACK SPAULDING

Following is my platform:

I am heartily in favor of, and will strenuously work for, a good and efficient municipal government, and will sanction only such laws as are conducive to the welfare, happiness and prosperity of the people of San Francisco.

For Supervisor

FRED SUHR

Fred Suhr, candidate for Supervisor, today made public the following campaign statement:

"I present myself to the voters of San Francisco upon my record as a member of the Board of Supervisors for eight successive years, from 1914 to 1922. As to my position on the major questions of municipal concern, I am in favor of public ownership of Hetch Hetchy power; the completion of transmission lines; the municipal bonds; Spring Valley purchase as soon as possible; the most economical method of completing the Hetch Hetchy water conduit; the purchase of the Market Street Railway by the city and the completion of our Municipal Railway system at the five-cent fare, and a publicly owned bay bridge and modified State laws, if necessary, to enable the people to
construct and own that bridge. I approve of the efforts of economy and tax reduction of our city government, and I oppose any departmental extravagance. I believe that the Supervisors are the real heads of our city government and that they should not be mere rubber stamps for any city official or department."


For Supervisor

J. M. TONER

(Statement by Raymond R. Stephens)

Dr. Toner received his education in the public schools of San Francisco; in the University of Notre Dame, Indiana; in the Santa Clara University, and the University of California. He has practiced his profession in San Francisco for upward of twenty-five years. He served as Coroner in 1911, was physician and surgeon for the Molders' Union, San Francisco Fire Department, Longshoremen's Union, and the Fraternal Order of Eagles.

As a business man and a civic leader, Dr. Toner has proved his case.

He pledges development of our industrial area by bringing Hetch Hetchy water and power to San Francisco to be dispensed under a municipally owned distribution system.

He advocates better transportation, lighting, water and sewer systems and wider streets and boulevards for our outlying districts.

He wants convenience stations placed in all parks, squares, automobile stations and throughout the downtown congested districts.

He advocates the passage of the bonds for boulevards, car line extensions and public improvements and pledges himself to see that the money derived from the bonds will be spent economically.

He wants the Sunset and Richmond districts linked up with adequate car service. He favors the completion of Yacht Harbor and of bridging the Bay.

He is strongly in favor of maintaining the best Police, Fire and School departments in the United States, and wants all lands for parks, boulevards and playgrounds purchased while the price of the needed property is reasonably cheap.

He will demand a modern electrified lighting system throughout the City and better housing facilities for the Board of Health, and stands squarely for the progress and upbuilding of San Francisco.

He is in favor of municipally owned public utilities to be administered by a non-political commission.
For Supervisor

MARTIN F. WELCH

Born in San Francisco. Age 46.
Taxpayer for 19 years.
Endorsed for Justice of the Peace in 1926 by 31,710 voters.
Close observer of municipal affairs for 25 years.
Attorney-at-law.
In favor of municipal ownership of public utilities.
Speedy completion of Hetch Hetchy water project.
Extension of highways.
Adequate lighting system, especially in outlying districts.
Opposed to increase in telephone rates.
Absorption of Market Street Railway by the Municipal Railway.
Fair to capital and labor.
Efficient and economical administration of municipal business.
Opposed to the wasting of time by the Board of Supervisors in unnecessary and irrelevant debate.

For Supervisor

MRS. ANNA CHARLOTTE WELBROCK

I am a Native Daughter, born in San Francisco, Calif., October 6, 1879. My family consists of a married daughter and an adult son. I helped lay the foundation for public ownership in 1909, being the first woman to work for the Geary Street Road. I proved beyond a doubt that I stand for the good of the masses—San Francisco first.

When I ran for Supervisor two years ago, I stated as I do now: Hetch Hetchy is like a gift from heaven— juggled with until we know not what the outcome will be. The school question will be uppermost in my mind, and the old folks will never be forgotten. I run independently now as I did then, expecting to be elected by the people. I stand 100% for a government of, for and by the people. For the past two years I have faithfully attended the Board meetings, thereby familiarizing myself with their work. My slogan is:

“You can’t make me your first woman Supervisor, but you can make me your first Native Daughter Supervisor.”

Women should have representation on the Board.

PROPOSITIONS
PROPOSITION NO. 1
Boulevard Bond Issue

Bill No. 8225, Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series), as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1927, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF NINE MILLION THREE HUNDRED AND EIGHTY THOUSAND ($9,380,000) DOLLARS FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF THE PERMANENT IMPROVEMENTS, TO-WIT: CERTAIN IMPROVED ROUTES FOR PUBLIC HIGHWAY PURPOSES IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AS FOLLOWS: A BOULEVARD TO BE KNOWN AS THE BAYSHORE HIGHWAY FROM THE VICINITY OF ARMY STREET, POTRERO AVENUE AND SAN BRUNO AVENUE ALONG SAN BRUNO AVENUE WIDENED TO CORTLAND AVENUE, THENCE ALONG A NEW RIGHT OF WAY TO SILVER AVENUE AND CHARTER OAK AVENUE, THENCE ALONG CHARTER OAK AVENUE WIDENED TO PAUL AVENUE, THENCE ALONG A ROUTE PARALLELING SAN BRUNO AVENUE BETWEEN SAN BRUNO AVENUE AND THIRD STREET TO THE VICINITY OF SAN BRUNO AVENUE AND SUNNYDALE AVENUE AND THE COUNTY LINE, OR THE MOST FEASIBLE ROUTE OR ROUTES; A BOULEVARD TO BE KNOWN AS THE ALEMANY BOULEVARD FROM THE VICINITY OF CORTLAND AVENUE AND SAN BRUNO AVENUE AND THE PROPOSED BAYSHORE HIGHWAY, THENCE IN A SOUTHERLY AND WESTERLY DIRECTION FOLLOWING THE GENERAL COURSE OF THE OLD OCEAN SHORE RIGHT OF WAY TO A CONNECTION WITH THE JUNIPERO SERRA BOULEVARD NEAR PALMETTO AVENUE, OR THE MOST FEASIBLE ROUTE OR ROUTES; A BOULEVARD TO BE KNOWN AS THE JUNIPERO SERRA BOULEVARD FROM THE VICINITY OF SLOAT BOULEVARD AND PORTOLA DRIVE, THENCE IN A GENERAL SOUTHERLY DIRECTION WIDENING AND STRAIGHTENING THE EXISTING ROADWAY OF THE PRESENT JUNIPERO SERRA
BOULEVARD TO THE COUNTY LINE NEAR THE VICINITY OF BELLE AVENUE, OR THE MOST FEASIBLE ROUTE OR ROUTES; A BOULEVARD TO BE KNOWN AS NINETEENTH AVENUE EXTENDED SOUThERLY CROSSING OLD OCEAN AVENUE AND PARALLELING ON EACH SIDE THE EXTENSION OF THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY RUNNING SOUThERLY AND SOUTHEASTERLY TO JUNIPERO SERRA BOULEVARD, OR THE MOST FEASIBLE ROUTE OR ROUTES; A BOULEVARD TO BE KNOWN AS THE SUNSET BOULEVARD COMMENCING AT LINCOLN WAY BETWEEN TWENTIETH AVENUE AND THIRTY-SEVENTH AVENUE AND RUNNING IN A SOUThERLY DIRECTION BETWEEN SAID TWENTIETH AVENUE AND SAID THIRTY-SEVENTH AVENUE, OR SUCH AVENUES INCLUDED OR EXTENDED, TO SLOAT BOULEVARD, OR THE MOST FEASIBLE ROUTE OR ROUTES; A BOULEVARD OR BEACH FRONTAGE IMPROVEMENT TO BE KNOWN AS THE ESPLANADE COMMENCING IN THE VICINITY OF THE GREAT HIGHWAY AND FULTON STREET AND RUNNING IN A GENERAL SOUThERLY DIRECTION ALONG AND UPON SAID GREAT HIGHWAY TO LINCOLN WAY, OF THE SAME TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION AS THE PREVIOUSLY COMPLETED ESPLANADE; AN IMPROVEMENT OF THE GREAT HIGHWAY FROM LINCOLN WAY SOUTHERLY TO SLOAT BOULEVARD; A BOULEVARD TO BE KNOWN AS THE VAN NESS AVENUE EXTENSION FROM THE VICINITY OF MISSION STREET AND TWELFTH STREET EXTENDED SOUThERLY TO HOWARD STREET AND THIRTEENTH STREET, OR THE MOST FEASIBLE ROUTE OR ROUTES; INCLUDING APPROACHES, STRUCTURES, PAVEMENTS, CURBS, SIDEWALKS, SEWERS, DRAINAGE FACILITIES, THE READJUSTMENT OF INTERSECTING AND ADJACENT STREETS AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE PURCHASE OF THE NECESSARY LANDS WITH RESPECT TO EACH OF THE SAID BOULEVARDS OR BEACH FRONTAGE IMPROVEMENTS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty
thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bayshore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the county line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue, and the proposed Bayshore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the county line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street; or the most feasible route or routes, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, pro-
cured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 8th day of September, 1927, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 27805 (New Series), in the sum of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 27805 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter to be required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

“BOULEVARD BOND ISSUE.” To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word “YES.” To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word “NO.” Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bayshore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the county line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue and the proposed Bayshore highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the county line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any
qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word “YES” under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word “NO” under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word “YES” appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of, and to authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word “NO” shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as “serials.” All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the
United States. Said bonds shall be called “Boulevard Bond Issue.”

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 9380, both inclusive, and shall be payable four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.

“BOULEVARD BOND ISSUE.”

No. ————. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith
and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its mayor and treasurer, and countersigned by its auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

Mayor.

Treasurer.

Countersigned:

Auditor.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

FORM OF COUPON.

No.__________.

On ___________ 1, 19____, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, _______ dollars ($______) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number __________.

Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ___________, 19____.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ________________ and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

Treasurer.
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Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the pay-
ment for said nine million three hundred and eighty thousand
dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of
four hundred twenty-two thousand one hundred dollars for the
first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual
interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it
becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds
the sum of four hundred thousand nine hundred and ninety-five
dollars to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain out-
standing after the four hundred and sixty-nine thousand dollars
thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the
seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of three
hundred seventy-nine thousand eight hundred and ninety dollars
to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as
remain outstanding after the four hundred sixty-nine thousand
dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and
so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding years until said
bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay
interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest
will diminish each year by the amount of twenty-one thousand
one hundred and five dollars by reason of the payment each year
beginning five years from date of said bonds of four hundred
sixty-nine thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of four
hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars each year beginning four
years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the
principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and con-
tinuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the prin-
cipal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are,
and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying
the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy,
the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to
pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the
bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal
year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied
for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and
in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten
days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten
days notice of such special election shall be given and published
as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Finally Passed.—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Septem-
ber 26, 1927.

Ayes: Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy,
Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Mc-
Sheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd.

Absent: Supervisors Bath, Shannon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved: San Francisco, September 26, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.
PROPOSITION NO. 2
Municipal Railway Extension Bonds

Bill No. 8236, Ordinance No. 7747 (New Series), as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1927, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF FOUR MILLION SIX HUNDRED THOUSAND ($4,600,000) DOLLARS FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A PUBLIC UTILITY, TO-WIT: A NUMBER OF EXTENSIONS TO THE EXISTING MUNICIPAL STREET RAILWAY SYSTEM OVER AND ALONG THE PUBLIC STREETS AND PRIVATE RIGHTS OF WAY, SAID EXTENSIONS TO BE MADE FROM THE EXISTING MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRACK AT SCOTT AND CHESTNUT STREETS INTO THE MARINA TRACT, WEST OF SCOTT STREET AND NORTH OF CHESTNUT STREET; FROM THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRACK AT MASONIC AVENUE AND TURK STREET INTO THE RICHMOND DISTRICT, CONNECTING WITH THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRACKS RUNNING TO THE OCEAN BEACH; FROM THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRACKS ON MASONIC AVENUE AT TURK STREET, EASTERLY ON TURK STREET TO MARKET STREET, CONNECTING WITH THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRACKS ON MARKET STREET; A CONNECTING CROSSTOWN SERVICE WEST OF TWENTIETH AVENUE BETWEEN THE JUDAH STREET LINE OF THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY AND THE EXISTING MUNICIPAL RAILWAY LINE ON TARALAV STREETS; FROM THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRACKS AT FORTY-SIXTH AVENUE AND TARALAV STREET, SOUTHERLY TO A TERMINAL NEAR WAWONA STREET AND FORTY-SEVENTH AVENUE; FROM THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRACKS AT THIRTIETH AND CHURCH STREETS, SOUTHWESTERLY THROUGH THE BERNAL CUT, INTO THE EXCELSIOR DISTRICT AT OR NEAR EXCELSIOR AVENUE; THENCE SOUTHERLY THROUGH THE EXCELSIOR DISTRICT TO A TERMINAL AT GENEVA AVENUE; FROM A CONNECTION WITH THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY TRACKS ON MARKET STREET EXTENDED TO
A POINT AT OR NEAR EUREKA STREET; THENCE SOUTHERLY OVER EUREKA STREET OR OTHER MOST FEASIBLE ROUTE TO TWENTY-THIRD STREET; THENCE BY THE MOST FEASIBLE ROUTE TO A TERMINUS ON DIAMOND STREET NEAR TWENTY-NINTH STREET; AN AUTOMOBILE BUS SERVICE FROM THE VICINITY OF SEVENTEENTH STREET AND POTRERO AVENUE BY THE MOST FEASIBLE ROUTE TO THE VICINITY OF TWENTY-THIRD STREET AND WISCONSIN STREET, AND FOR SUCH OTHER EXTENSIONS AND ADDITIONS TO THE TRackage AND FACILITIES OF THE SAID MUNICIPAL RAILWAY SYSTEM AS MAY BE DEEMED NECESSARY, AND TO INCLUDE EQUIPMENT THEREOF, APPURTENANCES THERETO, THE NECESSARY BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES AND THE PURCHASE OF NECESSARY LANDS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the ocean beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street.
near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 8th day of September, 1927, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 27858 (New Series), in the sum of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 27858 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"MUNICIPAL RAILWAY EXTENSION BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO." Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a
bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the ocean beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary.

Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed propo-
sition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on
the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the
proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the
same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chap-
ter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word
"YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are
used, shall constitute a vote in favor of, and to authorize, the in-
curring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the
proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right
of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and
a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for
the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and
boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of
voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other
necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined,
designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election
Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and
said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and pro-
vide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully
conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count
the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respec-
tively for and against the proposition herein stated and make
return thereof in time, form and manner required for the count-
ing, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal
elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The
Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns
and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns
and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for
canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and
shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-
thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and author-
ized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said
proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been
accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the
cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall
be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said
bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest
at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually,
shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the
principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the
United States. Said bonds shall be called "Municipal Railway
Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1
to 4600, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred and
eighty-four thousand ($184,000) dollars thereof eight years from
the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and one hundred and eighty-four thousand ($184,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.

"MUNICIPAL RAILWAY EXTENSION BOND."

No. __________________________  $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of __________, 19____, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereeto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Fran-
cisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupoms of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its mayor and treasurer, and countersigned by its auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

Mayor.

Treasurer.

Countersigned:

Auditor.

(Sign)

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

FORM OF COUPON.

No.———.

$________

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number ————.

Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———— 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

Treasurer.
Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million six hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of two hundred and seven thousand dollars for the first eight years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the ninth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety-eight thousand seven hundred and twenty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars thereof due eight years from their date have been paid, and for the tenth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety thousand four hundred forty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars thereof due nine years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of eight thousand two hundred eighty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning eight years from the date of said bonds of one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars each year beginning seven years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Finally Passed.—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, October 3, 1927.

Ayes: Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd.

Absent: Supervisors Bath, Shannon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved: San Francisco, October 3, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.
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PROPOSITION NO. 3
Transmission Line Bonds

Bill No. 8237, Ordinance No. 7748 (New Series), as follows:


Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County,
California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a step-down terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County, with necessary equipment therefor.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 10th day of September, 1927, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 27859 (New Series) in the sum of two million ($2,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 27859 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of two million ($2,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"TRANSMISSION LINE BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO." Also said ballot shall have printed
thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a step-down terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County, with necessary equipment therefor.

Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word “YES” under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word “NO” under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word “YES” appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of, and to authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word “NO” shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.
Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Such bonds shall be called "Transmission Line Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.

"TRANSMISSION LINE BOND."

No. __________ $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and
promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ____________, 19__, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its mayor and treasurer, and countersigned by its auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with
the engraved or lithographed signature of its treasurer, and this
bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

Mayor.

Treasurer.

Countersigned:

Auditor.

(C)lerk of the Board of Supervisors.

FORM OF COUPON.

No.__________.$__________

On ___________1, 19_______. the City and County of San
Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treas-
er of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the
fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the
City and State of New-York, ___________dollars ($__________)
in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then
due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, numbered ___________.

Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ___________, 19_____.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and
County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of
______________and the interest and principal
thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the pay-
ment of said two million dollars bonds shall be the sum of ninety
thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds
to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such
interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of
said bonds the sum of eighty-six thousand four hundred dollars to
pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain
outstanding after the eighty thousand dollars thereof due five years
from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the
date of said bonds the sum of eighty-two thousand eight hundred
dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said
bonds as remain outstanding after the eighty thousand dollars thereof
due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each
year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid,
sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said
bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year
by the amount of three thousand six hundred dollars by reason of
the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of eighty thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of eighty thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Finally Passed.—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, October 3, 1927.

Ayes: Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Harrelson,

Absent: Supervisors Bath, Shannon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved: San Francisco, October 3, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

PROPOSITION NO. 4
Public Ownership of Transbay Bridge

September 12, 1927.

Honorable Election Commission,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your attention is called to the following resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors on Monday, August 29, 1927:

Resolution No. 27754 (New Series):

"RESOLVED, That any transbay bridge from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda shall be financed, constructed, maintained and operated under public ownership, and that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall take such steps as may be necessary for such construction, operation and ownership of said bridge; and be it
"FURTHER RESOLVED, That the foregoing declaration of policy be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco, for their approval or disapproval, at the general municipal election to be held Tuesday, November 8, 1927."

Adopted August 29, 1927.
Approved September 8, 1927.

Yours truly,

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

(SEAL)

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco—ss.

I, J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, of the City and County of San Francisco, do hereby certify that the annexed Resolution No. 27754 (New Series) is a full, true and correct copy of the original thereof on file in this office.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand, and affixed the official seal of the City and County this 12th day of September, 1927.

(SEAL)

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

PROPOSITION NO. 5
For Immediate Construction of Bridge by Private Capital

SUPERVISORS' CHAMBERS
CITY HALL, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.

August 29, 1927.

To the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco:

Gentlemen: We, the undersigned, Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by virtue of the power vested in us as such Supervisors by Section 2 of Chapter IV of Article XI of the Charter of said City and County, do hereby, in our official capacity as such Supervisors as aforesaid, propose for submission to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the next general election for adoption or rejection by them at the polls in accordance with the provisions of said Article of said Charter, a question in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

"That it should be the policy of our Board of Supervisors that we favor a publicly owned bridge from San Francisco to Alameda County, but we, however, declare for immediate construction of said bridge by private capital with provision made that the people may hereafter elect, as established by law, to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

"Answer Yes.
No."
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And you, as the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County, are hereby notified that it is hereby required of you to cause the foregoing proposed question to be submitted to said qualified electors in accordance with Article XI of said Charter, and in the manner provided by law and the said Charter, and generally to do and perform all acts required by law and by the said Charter for the lawful submission of said question to a vote of said qualified electors, and to cause the votes that shall be cast for and against the same to be counted, canvassed and returned, and officially canvassed, and the result thereof declared in the manner required by law and said Charter.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto set our signatures as such Supervisors at the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, this 29th day of August, 1927.

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
C. J. DEASY,
WARREN SHANNON,
W. P. STANTON,
LEWIS F. BYINGTON,
JESSE C. COLMAN.

Filed this 7th day of September, 1927, by Andrew J. Gallagher at 4:15 p.m., 606 Grant Building (address).

J. H. ZEMÁNSKY, Registrar of Voters.

PROPOSITION NO. 6
To Transfer Certain Parcel of Land to United States Government

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.
Board of Supervisors, Clerk's Office, Room 235, City Hall.
September 14, 1927.

Board of Election Commissioners, Room 155, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Your attention is called to the following resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors, Monday, September 12, 1927:

"RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco should transfer, without monetary consideration, to the Government of the United States, a site on the northeast corner of Hyde and Fulton Streets in the Civic Center, to be used by the Government of the United States for the erection thereon of a Federal Building, and that the Board of Supervisors should submit to the electors of San Francisco, at the earliest possible date, an amendment to the Charter, authorizing the transfer of said site to the Government of the United States without monetary consideration, upon condition that a Federal Building be erected thereon;

"BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the foregoing declaration of policy be submitted to the electors of the City and County
of San Francisco at the general municipal election to be held on November 8, 1927."

Sincerely yours,
J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco—ss.

I, J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, of the City and County of San Francisco, do hereby certify that the annexed Resolution No. 27789 (New Series) is a full, true and correct copy of the original thereof on file in this office.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand, and affixed the official seal of the City and County this 14th day of September, 1927.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

PROPOSITION NO. 7

Ordinance by Mayor

I, James Rolph Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, by virtue of the power vested in me by the Charter of said City and County, hereby propose the following ordinance for submission to the electors at the Municipal General Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the eighth day of November, 1927:

ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EMPLOYMENT BY THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS OF THREE ASSISTANTS TO THE CITY ENGINEER TO EXAMINE AND ANALYZE ALL FRANCHISES UNDER WHICH PRIVATELY OWNED STREET RAILWAYS ARE OPERATED IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; TO DETERMINE THE RESPECTIVE DATES OF EXPIRATION OF SAID FRANCHISES; TO FIX THE VALUE THEREOF, IF ANY, AND THE VALUE OF ALL OTHER PROPERTIES, INCLUDING ROLLING STOCK, TRACKAGE AND EQUIPMENTS OPERATED, OWNED OR CONTROLLED AND USED OR USABLE IN THE OPERATION OF SAID PRIVATELY OWNED STREET RAILWAYS, INCLUDING THE MARKET STREET RAILWAY SYSTEM SITUATE IN SAN FRANCISCO AND IN THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, AND TO FORMULATE AND REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS A PLAN AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE ACQUISITION AND OPERATION OF SAID PROPERTIES BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.
Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Three assistants to the City Engineer shall be employed by the Board of Public Works to examine and analyze all franchises under which privately owned street railways are operated in the City and County of San Francisco; to determine the respective dates of expiration of said franchises; to fix the value thereof, if any, and the value of all other properties, including rolling stock, trackage and equipments operated, owned or controlled and used or usable in the operation of said privately owned street railways, including the Market Street Railway System situate in San Francisco and in the County of San Mateo; to formulate a plan and recommendations for the acquisition and operation of said properties by the City and County of San Francisco, and to report to the Board of Public Works their findings and recommendations within ninety (90) days from the date of their appointment.

Section 2. One of said assistants must have had experience in the construction or operation of street railways and another must be a civil engineer with traction experience. None of said assistants shall be directly or indirectly interested, as officer, stockholder, bondholder, pledgeholder, agent or employee in the Market Street Railway Company or in other privately owned street railways in the State of California or elsewhere, or in any holding or other corporation which is in any manner affiliated with any such privately owned street railway.

Section 3. A Board consisting of the President of the University of California, the President of Leland Stanford Jr. University, the President of Santa Clara University and the President of St. Mary's College shall present to the Board of Public Works the names of six persons at least two of whom have had experience in the construction or operation of street railways and two of whom are civil engineers with traction experience and two of whom are citizens of San Francisco. From the names so presented the Board of Public Works shall select three assistants to the City Engineer, one of whom has had experience in the construction or operation of street railways; one of whom shall be a civil engineer with traction experience, and one of whom shall be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco, with or without technical training. No person shall be appointed assistant who is disqualified under Section 2 hereof, or who is opposed to the ownership, control and operation of street railways by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Said assistants shall within ninety days after their appointment file their report and recommendations with the Board of Public Works; provided, however, that any report or recommendation made by said assistants shall provide for the continued maintenance of a five-cent fare and the prevailing half fare for school children.

Section 5. Said assistants shall make such other and further examinations, analyses, investigations, reports and recommendations upon the subject of the acquisition and operation by the City and
County of San Francisco of privately owned street railway systems as in their judgment shall seem proper.

Section 6. Said assistants in the performance of their duties shall receive the cooperation of the City Engineer and the City Attorney of San Francisco.

Section 7. This ordinance shall have the same force and effect as if passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of Section 8, Chapter I, Article VI of the City Charter.

Section 8. There shall be appropriated from the moneys in the General Fund not otherwise appropriated adequate compensation for the services to be rendered by said assistants and for their traveling expenses and all other expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

Section 9. If for any reason the said assistants shall not be ready to file their report and recommendations within ninety days after their appointment, the Board of Public Works may extend the time within which such report and recommendations shall be filed.

Section 10. This ordinance shall take effect upon the date of the official declaration of the result of said Municipal General Election.

(Signed) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Filed this 8th day of October, 1927, by James Rolph, Jr., at 11:45 a. m., City Hall.

San Francisco, October 8, 1927.

J. H. ZEMANSKY,
Registrar of Voters.
“VOTE AS ONE
FOR NUMBER ONE”
Nov. 8, 1927
HIGHWAY AND BOULEVARD BONDS.

San Francisco’s future progress depends very largely upon the proper development of a constructive highway and boulevard program. The geographical situation of this city is of such character that land transportation down the peninsula must receive immediate attention. The highways providing ingress and egress to and from San Francisco are totally inadequate and the present situation has stunted the growth of this community.

The Bond Issue for $9,380,000 for Highways and Boulevards (Number 1 on the ballot) will make it possible for San Francisco to proceed without further hindrance to develop its Highways and Boulevards with adequate financing.

This Bond Issue provides for the following major projects:—

1.—THE BAY SHORE HIGHWAY project calls for an expenditure of $2,265,000. It will provide for a highway 125 feet wide for a distance of three miles, from the vicinity of Potrero Avenue, Army Street and San Bruno Avenue, to connect with the section now nearing completion, and extend five miles south from South San Francisco to Burlingame.

2.—THE ALEMANY BOULEVARD will extend from Cortland and San Bruno Avenues, to connect with Junipero Serra Boulevard. It will follow the old Ocean Shore Railroad right-of-way, will be 100 feet wide, five miles long and will cost $2,365,000.

3.—THE SUNSET BOULEVARD, costing $1,900,000, will traverse the Sunset District north and south from Lincoln Way (South of Golden Gate Park) to Sloat Boulevard. It will be located between Twentieth and Thirty-seventh Avenues. It will be the Sunset District’s counterpart of the Funston Boulevard, which lies north of Golden Gate Park, and will be one entire block in width.

4.—THE ESPLANADE AT THE BEACH will cost $1,000,000. San Francisco has for years neglected one of its most important assets from the viewpoint of scenic beauty in its failure to beautify its Ocean frontage. The plan calls for the expenditure of a sufficient sum of money for the immediate improvement and beautification of the Ocean frontage in its entirety, from the Cliff House clear down to Sloat Boulevard.

(over)
5.—THE JUNIPERO SERRA BOULEVARD will extend from the vicinity of Sloat Boulevard and Portola Drive, thence in a south-direction to the County Line. It will be approximately two miles in length and 135 feet wide. This improvement will cost $850,000.

6.—THE NINETEENTH AVENUE EXTENSION will consist of a boulevard a mile and a quarter in length and 128 feet wide. It will cost $500,000 and will extend Nineteenth Avenue across Sloat Boulevard and, parallel with the Municipal Railway, through a territory now without streets running southerly to connect with Junipero Serra Boulevard.

7.—THE VAN NESS AVENUE EXTENSION will cost $500,000. It will be 125 feet in width and will extend Van Ness Avenue from Mission Street to Howard Street.

There are involved in the proposed Bond Issue a total of approximately 151½ miles of new Highways and Boulevards.

THIS ISSUE NEED NOT RESULT IN A SINGLE CENT OF INCREASED TAXES. SAN FRANCISCO RECEIVES ANNUALLY OVER ONE MILLION DOLLARS FROM THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AS ITS SHARE OF THE STATE GASOLINE TAX. THE EXPENDITURE OF THIS MONEY IS LIMITED BY LAW TO STREETS AND HIGHWAYS. THIS FUND IS MORE THAN AMPLE TO PAY ALL OF THE INTEREST UPON THIS BOND ISSUE AND RETIRE EVERY CENT OF THE BONDS.

The approval of this Bond Issue will make it possible for this City to adopt a program which is constructive in its nature and the fulfillment of which should aid materially in developing San Francisco as an attractive City, Commercially, Industrially and Residually.

The Bond Issue (Number 1 on the ballot) should receive a unanimous vote.

TAKE THE SHACKLES OFF SAN FRANCISCO!

MILTON MARKS, CHAIRMAN STREET COMMITTEE,
Board of Supervisors.

CITIZENS’ COMMITTEE FOR THE HIGHWAY BONDS,
Percy E. Towne, Chairman.

"VOTE AS ONE FOR NUMBER ONE"
Why We Should VOTE FOR the Municipal Railway Extension Bonds

THEY DEVELOP THE CITY

1. Because the development and prosperity of San Francisco depend largely upon ample streetcar service;
2. Because San Francisco's development is being held back by lack of that service;
3. Because there are large populous districts in San Francisco today without adequate service;
4. Because only the Municipal Railway can furnish that service;
5. Because the voting of these bonds will provide the needed transportation in those districts;
6. Because the proposed extensions have been sponsored by the Public Ownership Association which was chiefly instrumental in carrying the original Municipal Railway bond issue;

THEY COST NOTHING

7. Because the Municipal Railway, consisting of 74 miles of track and 27 miles of bus lines, operating 234 cars and 19 busses, is the property of the citizens of San Francisco and is valued at over twelve million dollars;
8. Because the Municipal Railway has not cost the people one cent in taxes;
9. Because the proposed extensions will not cost the people one cent in taxes;

THEY PAY HANDSOMELY

10. Because the Municipal Railway has built out of earnings 32 miles of track, costing three million dollars;
11. Because the Municipal Railway has bought out of earnings 66 new cars costing one million dollars and 16 busses costing $100,000;
12. Because the Municipal Railway has paid out of earnings $2,000,000 for redemption of its bonds and $8,000,000 in interest on its bonds in San Francisco; paid a deficit of $140,000 for construction of Twin Peaks and Stockton Street tunnels; has a cash balance of approximately $500,000;
13. Because San Francisco is the only city in the United States that has not increased or proposed to increase the fare above five cents;
14. Because the Municipal Railway has kept the fare down to five cents in San Francisco;
15. Because the five cent fare saves the people of San Francisco nearly $8,000,000 a year over the 8-cent fare which is the American average;
16. Because the money earned by the Municipal Railway is spent in San Francisco for the benefit of San Francisco;
17. Because the Municipal Railway employs only citizens of San Francisco at decent wages and decent hours.

LET US VOTE YES ON THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY EXTENSION BONDS AND HELP DEVELOP THE CITY WE LOVE!

CITIZENS' MUNICIPAL RAILWAY EXTENSION BOND CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE
Headquarters: 1179 MARKET ST.—Phone: Market 8626
H. R. HUBBARD, Chairman.
WM. H. SCOTT, Secretary.
Why the Bond Issue for an Electric Transmission Line and Step-Down Station Should Be Defeated by the Voters of San Francisco

VOTE NO on NUMBER 3
Even now San Francisco has an unusually high bonded indebtedness. Of all cities of over 500,000 population, only New York and Philadelphia are deeper in debt per capita. At this time, without a municipal power distributing system and with a municipal water project calling for $62,000,000 more of bond money, San Francisco's per capita debt stands at $138.27.

Increasing bonded debt means increasing taxes. Some additions to our bonded debt cannot be avoided, but we must exercise the utmost caution in incurring further debt. *We must sanction no bond issue unless the necessity is plain and the benefit certain.* Any investment at this time in a transmission line and step-down station would prove a waste of money. *Let us vote down the $2,000,000 bond issue and compel the presentation of a carefully worked out, complete and scientific plan for municipal power distribution.*

WM. H. HARRELSON,
Member Board of Supervisors.

VOTE NO ON NUMBER 3
Objections at THIS TIME to Bond Issue

OF $4,600,000 FOR MUNICIPAL RAILWAY EXTENSIONS

OBJECTION 1.—Expanding Franchises Should Be Settled First.
OBJECTION 2.—Duplication.
OBJECTION 3.—7 Cent Car Fare, If Bonds Are Voted.

SETTLE EXPIRING FRANCHISES

The expiring franchises of the Market Street Railway Company should positively be settled before we vote any additional bonds for Municipal Railway extensions. A settlement of the expiring franchises of the Market Street Railway can only be accomplished by either buying the Market Street Railway properties or giving the Market Street Railway Company an indeterminate franchise.

MEANING OF AN INDETERMINATE FRANCHISE

An indeterminate franchise does not fix any specific period of time. Under an indeterminate franchise the people reserve the right to purchase the Market Street Railway properties at any time.

AVOID DUPLICATING LINES

In event of purchasing the Market Street Railway properties, several of the proposed Municipal Railway extensions can be more economically made to the Market Street Railway tracks.

City Engineer O’Shaughnessy emphasized this fact in his report (July, 1921) on the Municipal Railway. Mr. O’Shaughnessy, on page 105 of that report, stated:

“The United Railroads, operating 287 miles of track to the City’s 63 miles, is naturally in a better position to reach into those districts than is the City.”
Objections at THIS TIME to Bond Issue—Continued

Mr. O'Shaughnessy warned against making an extension of the Municipal Railway from Geary Street over Masonic to the Pope Tract, for the reason that Geary Street is already congested. Nevertheless, in June, 1927, he recommended making an extension from Geary Street via Masonic and over Balboa. Certainly the congestion of traffic on Geary Street in 1927 was infinitely greater than in 1921.

We maintain the Balboa Street extension should be made by extending No. 4 line of the Market Street Railway west from Turk and Divisadero out Turk and Balboa to 33rd Avenue. That our contention is correct is evidenced by the fact the Supervisors now want you to purchase the Turk Street franchise at $411,000. The Balboa Street extension at $750,000. Why vote $981,000 Bonds when the Market Street Railway Company have notified the Supervisors that they are willing to build this extension?

Referring to the Excelsior line, $617,000.

Mr. Rion J. Arnold, the foremost street railway expert in the United States recommended that extension into the Excelsior and the Crocker-Armon Tract should be made from the Mission Street lines of the Market Street Railway Company. Why, then, should you vote $617,000 to build an extension to the J line into the Excelsior District? It is a mistake to extend the J line from 30th and Church into the Excelsior District for the following reasons:

1. Four-fifths of a mile of the extension will be through Bernal Cut, where no earnings are to be had.

2. On leaving Bernal Cut, the J car will travel five blocks over the Market Street Railway tracks on San Jose Avenue. This will bring about cut-throat competition, and losses will positively be reflected in a higher car fare.

3. The running time to the Ferry via the J line will be no better than the running time via Mission Street to the Ferry.

A surprising item in the proposed bond issue is $310,000 for engineering contingencies and extras, as compared to $5,000 in the previous bond issue covering municipal extensions.

The interest on the proposed $4,600,000 bond issue will amount to $207,000 per annum. $1,000,000 of the bond issue covers engineering contingencies, garage, car shops and car barn. No profit can be made on these items. Therefore, the interest on the $1,000,000 bonds, amounting to $46,000 a year, must be paid for out of the annual earnings of the Municipal Railway.

LOOK OUT FOR INCREASED CAR FARE

Mr. O'Shaughnessy stated before the Board of Supervisors:

"If the extensions are to be built, the car fare cannot remain at 5 cents."

He has stated since: "The car fare must be raised to 7 cents."

The earnings in 1927 will fall short approximately $100,000 to meet Charter requirements. Therefore we do not want to plunge the Municipal Railway into further unneeded debt.

We have now an example of a non-paying extension in the M line that runs through the Spring Valley Golf Links, and this extension has set every citizen wondering why it was ever built.

We want to warn you that there is no surer way of wrecking the Municipal Railway than to vote these bonds at this time.

CITY EFFICIENCY LEAGUE,
E. A. Janssen, Secretary.

=================================================================

Vote NO
On BOND ISSUE
Bring Hetch Hetchy Power into San Francisco and let the People use it.

Vote YES on NUMBER 3
To the Voters of San Francisco:

The bond issue for $2,000,000 to finish the "missing link" in the City's Hetch Hetchy transmission line, will give the people a proper market for their own power supply.

As long as the City's unfinished line halts at Newark, as at present, Hetch Hetchy power must go unused or else be sold to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Being the only possible buyer at Newark the Pacific Gas and Electric Company can and does dictate the price and the terms. The City is helpless.

Finish the line—bring it on into the City itself, as was the original intention—and the people are given a chance against the power monopoly and its costly rates.

The people's power system is located in the Sierra Nevada mountains. When it became known that the City Engineer planned to run the transmission line only to Newark—where the "P. G. and E." had just built a new substation—a wave of indignation swept San Francisco.

This bond issue is the people's first opportunity to correct the bad condition that was then created.

It is because the line is unfinished that the city is receiving only $2,000,000 a year for a huge power-output which the Pacific Gas and Electric Company transmits but forty miles and then sells to our people for little less than $9,000,000 a year.
Completion of our own transmission line is the essential first step that must be made before this foolish and unsound arrangement can be remedied.

The original Hetch Hetchy plans which this city laid before Congress involved a COMPLETE transmission line INTO THE CITY, not one that halts at a private power station.

And Congress never intended that the waters of Yosemite National Park should be turned into a generating source for any private corporation. The grant was made expressly to the people of this city, for their own beneficial use.

As long as we remain in our present position—which is indefensible in principle as well as financially—we are open to just such criticisms as have been made recently from Federal sources, which are rightly dissatisfied with the uses to which we are putting the Hetch Hetchy grant.

That private power interests would like to see this grant forfeited and leased to themselves, is obvious.

Completion of the transmission line, in conformity with the City's original program, ought not to be delayed.

Opposition to this relatively small bond issue comes from power company sources, which do not want the city enabled to compete with them in the electrical fields. No sooner had the people of Los Angeles voted to bring their own power into their city, than the private companies slashed rates 12 per cent. It is the
presence of the Municipal Railways in San Francisco that keeps carfares to five cents on the private lines.

Similar benefits may be expected to follow through the decision of San Francisco’s voters to carry the Hetch Hetchy power line to its proper destination and make the current ready for use.

The question is raised as to what will be done with the power when the line is finished and the stepdown station ready.

If this power is worth $2,000,000 a year at Newark, it is worth considerably more in San Francisco with other users available. The gradual diversion of the City’s power supply to municipal functions is also made possible and will occur as a natural and gradual consequence.

Hetch Hetchy power belongs to the city and the public, not to any private corporation.

Only by completing the public transmission line can the City and the public make the rightful and profitable use of the power-plant which their moneys have constructed.

CITIZEN’S CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE
APPOINTED BY THE MAYOR AND BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,

HENRY F. BOYEN,
Chairman.

VOTE YES ON NUMBER 3